

# **Table of Contents**

## **CHAPTER 1. MOTION IN LIMINE LAW**

### **PART I. OVERVIEW**

#### **A. IN LIMINE LAW AND PROCEDURE**

- § 1:1 Description and purpose of motion
- § 1:2 Authority for motion
- § 1:3 Typical use of motion
- § 1:4 —Limitations on use
- § 1:5 —Preservation of objections
- § 1:6 Timing of motion
- § 1:7 Scope of motion
- § 1:8 Procedural requirements
- § 1:9 —Caution regarding local rules
- § 1:10 Court record of ruling
- § 1:11 Objections

#### **B. DRAFTING SUGGESTIONS**

- § 1:12 Overview
- § 1:13 —File motions supported by facts
- § 1:14 —Be succinct

### **PART II. SAMPLES**

- § 1:15 Sample in limine brief
- § 1:16 Sample in limine order

## **CHAPTER 2. PREJUDICIAL EVIDENCE**

### **PART I. MOTION AUTHORITIES**

#### **A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE PREJUDICIAL EVIDENCE, GENERALLY**

- § 2:1 Suggested motion text
- § 2:2 Motion summary
- § 2:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence
- § 2:4 —Prejudice defined
- § 2:5 —“Emotional bias”
- § 2:6 Opposing authorities—Generally

§ 2:7 —Broad discretion

**B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT WILL WASTE COURT'S TIME**

- § 2:8 Suggested motion text
- § 2:9 Motion summary
- § 2:10 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of time-wasting evidence
- § 2:11 Opposing authorities

**C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE CONFUSING OR MISLEADING EVIDENCE**

- § 2:12 Suggested motion text
- § 2:13 Motion summary
- § 2:14 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of confusing or misleading evidence
- § 2:15 Opposing authorities
- § 2:16 —Limiting instruction

**D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE USED TO CREATE AN EMOTIONAL BIAS**

- § 2:17 Suggested motion text
- § 2:18 Motion summary
- § 2:19 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 2:20 —Exclusion of evidence intended to inflame jurors' emotions
- § 2:21 ——Jurors' self interest as taxpayers
- § 2:22 ——“Golden rule” argument
- § 2:23 ——Evidence of poverty as motive
- § 2:24 Opposing authorities

**E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE OR LIMIT CUMULATIVE EVIDENCE**

- § 2:25 Suggested motion text
- § 2:26 Motion summary
- § 2:27 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of cumulative time-wasting evidence
- § 2:28 —Exclusion of cumulative evidence
- § 2:29 ——Articles and letters
- § 2:30 ——Credentials
- § 2:31 ——Number of witnesses
- § 2:32 ——Photographs
- § 2:33 ——Reports

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:34 ——Witness testimony
- § 2:35 ——Videotape evidence
- § 2:36 —Repetitive testimony
- § 2:37 —Rebuttal testimony
- § 2:38 Opposing authorities—General authority
- § 2:39 —Number of witnesses
- § 2:40 —Videotape evidence
- § 2:41 —Photographs

## PART II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 2:42 Motion to exclude confusing evidence
- § 2:43 Motion to exclude cumulative evidence
- § 2:44 Motion to exclude prejudicial evidence
- § 2:45 Motion to exclude evidence that will confuse the jury
- § 2:46 Motion to exclude evidence of defendant's poverty
- § 2:47 Opposition to motion to exclude prejudicial evidence
- § 2:48 Opposition to motion to exclude cumulative witness testimony
- § 2:49 Opposition to motion to exclude evidence that will confuse the jury

## CHAPTER 3. IRRELEVANT EVIDENCE

### PART I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

#### A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IRRELEVANT EVIDENCE

- § 3:1 Suggested motion text
- § 3:2 Motion summary
- § 3:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
  - Matters not in dispute
  - No unlimited inquiry
  - Other states' laws
  - Outside pleadings
  - Prejudicial
  - Speculative evidence
  - Court's discretion
  - Too remote
- § 3:12 Opposing authorities

#### B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF MATTERS NOT IN CONTROVERSY

- § 3:13 Suggested motion text
- § 3:14 Motion Summary

## KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 3:15 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 3:16 ——Admitted or uncontested matters
- § 3:17 ——Collateral issues
- § 3:18 ——Unpledged issues
- § 3:19 Opposing authorities
- § 3:20 ——Collateral issues
- § 3:21 ——Estoppel: unpledged issues
- § 3:22 ——Where relevant to other issues
- § 3:23 ——Admissibility of evidence despite uncontested issue

## PART II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 3:24 Motion to exclude irrelevant evidence (immigration status)
- § 3:25 Motion to exclude evidence of physical conditions not at issue
- § 3:26 Motion to exclude irrelevant evidence (insurance case)
- § 3:27 Motion to exclude collateral and irrelevant evidence
- § 3:28 Motion to exclude evidence relating to unpledged issues
- § 3:29 Motion to exclude speculative evidence

## CHAPTER 4. WRITINGS & PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

### PART I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

#### A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE LACKING FOUNDATION

- § 4:1 Suggested motion text
- § 4:2 Motion summary
- § 4:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
  - § 4:4 —Preliminary facts—Definition
  - § 4:5 ——Determination out of jury presence
  - § 4:6 ——Conditional admissibility of evidence
  - § 4:7 ——Determining existence of preliminary facts
  - § 4:8 ——Improper foundation, generally
  - § 4:9 ——Photographs
  - § 4:10 ——Tape recordings
  - § 4:11 ——Tests and experiments
  - § 4:12 ——Videotapes and motion pictures
  - § 4:13 ——Witness testimony—Experts
  - § 4:14 ——Witness testimony—Lay
  - § 4:15 ——Writings
  - § 4:16 ——Medical reports

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:17 ——Objects or things
- § 4:18 Opposing authorities—General
- § 4:19 —Conditional admissibility
- § 4:20 —Outside juror's presence
- § 4:21 —Weight vs. admissibility

**B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE WRITINGS,  
GENERALLY**

- § 4:22 Suggested motion text
- § 4:23 Motion summary
- § 4:24 Supporting authorities—“Writing” defined
- § 4:25 ——Inadequate foundation
- § 4:26 —Unauthenticated writings—General authentication requirement
- § 4:27 ——Exclusion of unauthenticated writings
- § 4:28 —Inadmissible hearsay—Generally
- § 4:29 ——Examples of inadmissible written hearsay
- § 4:30 —Inadmissible secondary evidence
- § 4:31 —Examples of writings held inadmissible
- § 4:32 Opposing authorities—Authentication
- § 4:33 ——Adequate foundations—Examples
- § 4:34 —Hearsay—Hearsay exceptions, generally
- § 4:35 —Secondary evidence
- § 4:36 —Lists

**C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE GRUESOME OR  
INFLAMMATORY PHOTOGRAPHS**

- § 4:37 Suggested motion text
- § 4:38 Motion summary
- § 4:39 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 4:40 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 4:41 —Gruesome or inflammatory photographs
- § 4:42 —Purpose to inflame jurors' emotions
- § 4:43 —Exclusion of photographs, generally
- § 4:44 —X-rays
- § 4:45 —Accident and crime scene photographs, generally
- § 4:46 —Other grounds
- § 4:47 Opposing authorities—Photographs, generally
- § 4:48 ——Adequate foundation
- § 4:49 ——Enlarged photographs
- § 4:50 —Gruesome photographs
- § 4:51 —X-rays
- § 4:52 —Accident and crime scene photographs
- § 4:53 —Less discretion to exclude at penalty phase

KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

**D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE PREJUDICIAL FILM  
OR VIDEOTAPES**

- § 4:54 Suggested motion text
- § 4:55 Motion summary
- § 4:56 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 4:57 —Exclusion of videotape evidence
- § 4:58 —Other grounds
- § 4:59 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 4:60 —Relevance
- § 4:61 —Cautionary admonition
- § 4:62 —Adequate foundation

**E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE PUBLISHED ARTICLES**

- § 4:63 Suggested motion text
- § 4:64 Motion summary
- § 4:65 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 4:66 —Exclusion of published articles—Cumulative
- § 4:67 ——Hearsay
- § 4:68 ——Prejudicial
- § 4:69 ——Other grounds
- § 4:70 Opposition authorities—Where relevant to issues
- § 4:71 —Nonhearsay

**F. MOTION TO EXCLUDE LETTERS**

- § 4:72 Suggested motion text
- § 4:73 Motion summary
- § 4:74 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 4:75 —Exclusion of letters—Generally
- § 4:76 ——Hearsay
- § 4:77 ——Irrelevant
- § 4:78 ——Cumulative
- § 4:79 ——Lack of foundation
- § 4:80 —Other grounds
- § 4:81 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 4:82 —Exceptions to hearsay rule
- § 4:83 ——Business records exception
- § 4:84 ——Public records exception
- § 4:85 —Relevant
- § 4:86 —Inconsistent statement

**G. MOTION TO EXCLUDE MAPS, MODELS,  
CHARTS, EXHIBITS AND DEMONSTRATIVE  
EVIDENCE**

- § 4:87 Suggested Motion Text

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:88	Motion Summary
§ 4:89	Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
§ 4:90	—Court’s discretion
§ 4:91	—Exclusion of maps, models or charts
§ 4:92	—Exhibits
§ 4:93	—Demonstrations
§ 4:94	—Illustrations and diagrams
§ 4:95	—Other grounds
§ 4:96	Opposing authorities—Illustrative purposes
§ 4:97	—Where accuracy not disputed
§ 4:98	—Relevant to issues
§ 4:99	—Discretion of court
§ 4:100	—Lists
§ 4:101	—Computer databases
§ 4:102	—Maps, models or charts, generally
§ 4:103	—Diagrams and illustrations
§ 4:104	—Demonstrations
§ 4:105	— — Demonstration of injury

**H. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER MEDICAL RECORDS, REPORTS OR BILLS**

§ 4:106	Suggested motion text
§ 4:107	Motion summary
§ 4:108	Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial matter, generally
§ 4:109	—Improper foundation, generally
§ 4:110	—Medical opinions—Improper hearsay evidence
§ 4:111	— — Opinions of others—Lack of personal knowledge
§ 4:112	—Patient medical history
§ 4:113	— — Improper hearsay
§ 4:114	—Billing records—Lack of foundation
§ 4:115	— — — Failure to pay medical bill
§ 4:116	—Discretion of court
§ 4:117	—Other grounds
§ 4:118	Opposition citations—Proper authentication
§ 4:119	—Opinions of others—Opinion used in formation of diagnosis and treatment
§ 4:120	— — Business records exception
§ 4:121	—Patient history—Observations of physician
§ 4:122	— — Relevant
§ 4:123	—Medical billing records—Reasonableness of charges

**I. MOTION TO EXCLUDE SOCIAL MEDIA EVIDENCE**

§ 4:124	Suggested motion text
---------	-----------------------

## KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 4:125 Motion summary
- § 4:126 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
  - Exclusion of social media evidence—Generally
  - Hearsay
  - Lack of foundation or authentication
  - Other grounds
  - Opposing authorities—Generally
  - Nonhearsay
  - Proper foundation or authentication

## PART II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 4:134 Motion to exclude gruesome photographs
- § 4:135 Motion to admit videotape evidence
- § 4:136 Motion to exclude demonstration
- § 4:137 Motion to exclude written letter
- § 4:138 Motion to exclude plaintiff's medical history
- § 4:139 Motion to exclude newspaper article
- § 4:140 Motion to exclude evidence of inflammatory and prejudicial photographs
- § 4:141 Motion to exclude demonstration of defendant's tattoo
- § 4:142 Motion to exclude social media evidence
- § 4:143 Motion to exclude map
- § 4:144 Motion to exclude unauthenticated video recording
- § 4:145 Motion to exclude accident report
- § 4:146 Motion to exclude text messages
- § 4:147 Opposition to motion to exclude social media evidence
- § 4:148 Opposition to motion to exclude prejudicial photographs
- § 4:149 Opposition to motion to exclude text messages
- § 4:150 Motion to exclude medical bills

## CHAPTER 5. TESTS & SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

### PART I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

#### A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTS, EXPERIMENTS AND RELATED TESTIMONY

- § 5:1 Suggested motion text
- § 5:2 Motion summary
- § 5:3 Supporting authorities—Expert not qualified to testify on subject
  - Not generally accepted
  - Not made under substantially identical conditions
  - Not reliable

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5:7	—Scientific procedures not proper
§ 5:8	—Speculative or conjectural
§ 5:9	—Too many variables
§ 5:10	—Too time-consuming / collateral issues
§ 5:11	—Lack of foundation
§ 5:12	—Other grounds
§ 5:13	Opposing authorities—In general
§ 5:14	—Court’s discretion
§ 5:15	—Identical conditions requirement
§ 5:16	—General acceptance—Published precedent
§ 5:17	—Level of acceptance in scientific community
§ 5:18	—Weight vs. admissibility
§ 5:19	—Careless testing
§ 5:20	—Qualification as expert
§ 5:21	—Opinion based on legitimate matters

**B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE JUNK SCIENCE AND RELATED EVIDENCE**

§ 5:22	Suggested motion text
§ 5:23	Motion summary
§ 5:24	Supporting authorities—Exclusion of new scientific evidence—Generally
§ 5:25	—Hedonic damage evidence
§ 5:26	—“Truth-Serum” evidence
§ 5:27	—Polygraph evidence
§ 5:28	—Refusal to take polygraph test
§ 5:29	—Psychological tests and syndromes—In general
§ 5:30	—Rape trauma syndrome
§ 5:31	—Child sexual abuse accommodation syndrome
§ 5:32	—Pedophilia profile
§ 5:33	—Electronic Penile Plethysmograph test
§ 5:34	—Hypnosis evidence
§ 5:35	—Voiceprint identification
§ 5:36	—Accident reconstruction evidence
§ 5:37	—Biomechanic evidence
§ 5:38	—Low-speed impact cases
§ 5:39	—Statistical evidence—Exclusion of confusing evidence
§ 5:40	—Disapproved statistics evidence, generally
§ 5:41	—Statistics in civil cases
§ 5:42	—Statistics in employment discrimination cases
§ 5:43	—Dog tracking
§ 5:44	—Battered women’s syndrome
§ 5:45	—Urine test results
§ 5:46	—Comparative bullet analysis
§ 5:47	Opposing authorities—In general
§ 5:48	—Where Daubert test not required

- § 5:49 ——Approved tests
- § 5:50 —Hedonic damages
- § 5:51 —Truth serum
- § 5:52 —Polygraph evidence—Where used as proof of matter other than truth
- § 5:53 ——Where used for investigative purposes
- § 5:54 —Psychological tests—Compare: psychological tests vs. psychological opinion
- § 5:55 ——Rape trauma syndrome—Where not used to show rape occurred
- § 5:56 ——“Battered Woman Syndrome”
- § 5:57 —Hypnosis evidence—Hypnosis in criminal cases
- § 5:58 ——Exceptions to inadmissibility
- § 5:59 —Statistics evidence—General acceptance
- § 5:60 ——Weight vs. admissibility
- § 5:61 ——Compare: psychological profiling
- § 5:62 ——Judicial notice
- § 5:63 —Accident reconstruction and biomechanic evidence

## **PART II. SAMPLE MOTIONS**

- § 5:64 Motion to exclude evidence of statistical analysis
- § 5:65 Motion to exclude evidence of polygraph examination
- § 5:66 Motion to exclude evidence of hypnosis
- § 5:67 Motion to exclude expert testimony
- § 5:68 Motion to exclude expert testimony (credibility of witness)
- § 5:69 Motion to exclude biomechanic evidence

## **CHAPTER 6. DISCOVERY MOTIONS**

### **PART I. MOTION AUTHORITIES**

#### **A. MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS**

- § 6:1 Suggested motion text
- § 6:2 Motion summary
- § 6:3 Supporting authorities—General authority [C.R. 37.02]—Misuse of discovery process
- § 6:4 ——Evidence sanction under CR 37.02(a)
- § 6:5 ——Issue sanction under CR 37.02(b)
- § 6:6 ——Terminating sanction under CR 37.02(c)
- § 6:7 ——When prior order unnecessary
- § 6:8 ——Willfulness requirement
- § 6:9 —Depositions [CR 30.01 to 30.07; 37.01, 37.02, 37.04]  
——Failure to comply with discovery order
- § 6:10 ——Evidence exclusion
- § 6:11 ——Harsher sanctions—Termination or default

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:12 —Interrogatories [CR 33.01 to 33.03; 37.01, 37.02, 37.04]—Failure to comply with discovery order
- § 6:13 ——Evidentiary sanctions
- § 6:14 ——Harsher sanctions—Dismissal
- § 6:15 —Production requests [CR 34.01 to 34.03; 37.01, 37.02, 37.04]—Failure to comply with discovery order
- § 6:16 ——Evidentiary sanctions
- § 6:17 ——Harsher sanctions—Termination or default
- § 6:18 —Mental and Physical Examinations [CR 35.01; 35.02; 37.02]—Failure to comply with discovery order
- § 6:19 ——Terminating sanctions
- § 6:20 —Requests for admission [CR 36.01; 36.02; 37.02]—Deeming non-responsive matters admitted
- § 6:21 ——Failure to comply with discovery order [CR 37.02; 37.03]
- § 6:22 ——Terminating sanctions
- § 6:23 —Failure to disclose identity of witnesses, generally
- § 6:24 ——Compare: faulty expert designations or declarations
- § 6:25 —Other grounds
- § 6:26 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 6:27 ——Sanction should be appropriate to level of misconduct
- § 6:28 ——Reasonable alternative remedy
- § 6:29 ——Willfulness requirement
- § 6:30 —Depositions
- § 6:31 —Interrogatories
- § 6:32 —Requests for production
- § 6:33 —Matters deemed admitted
- § 6:34 —No prior order

**B. MOTION TO CONCLUSIVELY ESTABLISH ADMITTED MATTERS**

- § 6:35 Suggested motion text
- § 6:36 Motion summary
- § 6:37 Supporting authorities—Conclusive establishment of admitted matters [CR 36.01]
- § 6:38 —Purpose of discovery
- § 6:39 Opposing authorities

**C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF CLAIMS DENIED DURING DISCOVERY**

- § 6:40 Suggested motion text
- § 6:41 Motion summary
- § 6:42 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally

## KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 6:43 ——Unfair surprise
- § 6:44 ——Where privilege raised during discovery
- § 6:45 —Other grounds
- § 6:46 Opposing authorities

### D. MOTIONS RE IMPROPER EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE

- § 6:47 Suggested motion text
- § 6:48 Motion summary
- § 6:49 Supporting authorities—Expert witness disclosure [CR 26.02]
- § 6:50 —Deficient declaration
- § 6:51 ——Retained experts—Declaration required
- § 6:52 ——Non-retained experts—No declaration required
- § 6:53 —Undisclosed witness
- § 6:54 —Opinions not referenced at deposition
- § 6:55 Opposing authorities—Expert witness disclosure
- § 6:56 ——Continuance
- § 6:57 —Opposition to motion based on expert's failure to reference opinions at deposition
- § 6:58 ——No obligation to volunteer information
- § 6:59 ——No effort to follow up by deposing party
- § 6:60 ——Compensation or prior testimony information
- § 6:61 ——Opportunity to re-depose experts

## PART II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 6:62 Motion to exclude evidence of claim denied during discovery
- § 6:63 Motion to exclude testimony of expert witness
- § 6:64 Motion to exclude evidence of medical bills for services obtained after *[date]*
- § 6:65 Motion to exclude testimony of undisclosed witness
- § 6:66 Motion to exclude evidence produced beyond discovery deadline
- § 6:67 Motion to deem matters admitted
- § 6:68 Motion for sanction establishing facts
- § 6:69 Motion to exclude expert testimony relating to matters not disclosed during discovery
- § 6:70 Motion for evidentiary sanctions for failure to serve answers/objections to interrogatories
- § 6:71 Opposition to defendants' motion to limit plaintiff's expert's opinions
- § 6:72 Motion for order rendering default judgment as discovery sanction
- § 6:73 Motion to dismiss action as discovery sanction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## CHAPTER 7. CHARACTER EVIDENCE

### PART I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

#### A. OVERVIEW OF CHARACTER EVIDENCE

- § 7:1 Admissible character evidence
- § 7:2 Character evidence subject to exclusion

#### B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE CHARACTER EVIDENCE USED FOR IMPEACHMENT

- § 7:3 Suggested motion text
- § 7:4 Motion summary
- § 7:5 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
  - Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
  - Exclusion of improper impeachment evidence, generally
    - Alcohol consumption
    - Investigations, arrests or misdemeanor convictions
    - Drug use
    - Convictions
    - Fraudulent acts
    - Good character of witness
    - Religious belief
    - Sexual preference or behavior
    - Collateral issues
    - Excluding evidence of expert's bias
    - Excluding evidence of bias
    - Other grounds
  - Opposing authorities—Facts at issue
  - Witness perceptions
  - Impeachment, generally
  - Witness veracity
  - Witness bias
  - Collateral matters
  - Alcohol related offenses
  - Religious beliefs
  - Good character—Opening the door doctrine

#### C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE CHARACTER EVIDENCE USED TO PROVE CONDUCT

- § 7:29 Suggested motion text
- § 7:30 Motion summary
- § 7:31 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally

## KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 7:32 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 7:33 —Exclusion of improper character evidence
- § 7:34 ——Care or skill in negligence cases
- § 7:35 ——Crimes evidence, generally
- § 7:36 ——Other grounds
- § 7:37 Opposing authorities—Court's discretion
- § 7:38 —Relevant to material issue
- § 7:39 —Witness impeachment
- § 7:40 —Trait at issue

### D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE PRIOR CRIME EVIDENCE

- § 7:41 Suggested motion text
- § 7:42 Motion summary
- § 7:43 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
  - § 7:44 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
  - § 7:45 —Exclusion of crime Evidence, generally
  - § 7:46 —Prior felony
    - § 7:47 ——Balancing prejudicial impact of evidence
    - § 7:48 ——Must reflect on credibility
    - § 7:49 ——Moral turpitude
    - § 7:50 ——Improper character evidence
    - § 7:51 ——Remote convictions
    - § 7:52 —Prior arrest or misdemeanor conviction
    - § 7:53 ——Possession of firearm
    - § 7:54 —Prior criminal plea—Nolo contendere and Alford pleas (non felony)
      - § 7:55 —Uncharged offenses
      - § 7:56 —Violent character
      - § 7:57 —Collateral issues
      - § 7:58 —Other grounds
    - § 7:59 Opposing authorities—Impeachment expressly allowed regarding felonies
      - § 7:60 —Moral turpitude crimes
      - § 7:61 —Remoteness of conviction
      - § 7:62 —Impeachment with prior qualifying conviction (criminal cases)
        - § 7:63 ——Impeachment with prior convictions—Civil cases
      - § 7:64 —Admissible plea evidence—Nolo contendere and Alford pleas
        - § 7:65 ——Guilty plea
        - § 7:66 —Uncharged offenses—Weight vs. admissibility
        - § 7:67 —Violent character
        - § 7:68 ——Similarity of incidents (relevance)
        - § 7:69 —Capital cases—Penalty phase

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **PART II. SAMPLE MOTIONS**

- § 7:70 Motion to exclude evidence of alcoholism of percipient witness
- § 7:71 Motion to exclude evidence of prior felony conviction
- § 7:72 Motion to exclude evidence of witness' habit of intemperance
- § 7:73 Motion to exclude improper character evidence (marital infidelity)
- § 7:74 Motion to exclude evidence of religious beliefs
- § 7:75 Motion to exclude improper character evidence (juvenile adjudication)
- § 7:76 Motion to exclude improper character evidence (prescription drug abuse)
- § 7:77 Motion to exclude evidence of prior arrest of witness
- § 7:78 Motion to exclude evidence regarding witness's mental health
- § 7:79 Opposition to motion to exclude inflammatory evidence

### **CHAPTER 8. WITNESS EVIDENCE**

#### **PART I. MOTION AUTHORITIES**

##### **A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER EXPERT OPINION**

- § 8:1 Suggested motion text
- § 8:2 Motion summary
- § 8:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of improper opinion, generally
  - § 8:4 —Inadequate foundation
  - § 8:5 —Hypothetical questions
  - § 8:6 —Inadmissible hearsay
  - § 8:7 —Opinions of others
  - § 8:8 —Statements of others
  - § 8:9 —Treatises, documents & texts
  - § 8:10 —Legal questions
  - § 8:11 —Ultimate issues
  - § 8:12 —Matters of common experience
  - § 8:13 —Not reasonably relied upon by experts
  - § 8:14 —Not perceived or personally known
  - § 8:15 —Speculation or conjecture
  - § 8:16 —Too many variables
  - § 8:17 —Uncooperative witness
  - § 8:18 —Usurping jury function
  - § 8:19 —Irrelevant matters
  - § 8:20 —Improper profile evidence
  - § 8:21 —Outside area of specialty or expertise

KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 8:22 —Cumulative testimony
- § 8:23 —Other grounds
- § 8:24 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 8:25 —Hearsay
- § 8:26 ——Statements of others
- § 8:27 ——Treatises, documents and text
- § 8:28 ——Hypothetical questions
- § 8:29 ——Ultimate issues
- § 8:30 ——Uncooperative witness
- § 8:31 ——Expert's perceptions
- § 8:32 —Cumulative testimony

**B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF NON-QUALIFIED EXPERT**

- § 8:33 Suggested motion text
- § 8:34 Motion summary
- § 8:35 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of non-qualified experts
- § 8:36 —Improper qualifications: examples
- § 8:37 —Other grounds
- § 8:38 Opposing authorities—Generally

**C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE LAY WITNESS TESTIMONY**

- § 8:39 Suggested motion text
- § 8:40 Motion summary
- § 8:41 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of non-expert opinion
- § 8:42 ——Causation
- § 8:43 ——Legal opinions
- § 8:44 ——Lay experiments
- § 8:45 —Other grounds
- § 8:46 Opposing authorities—Perceptions of witness
- § 8:47 ——Sanity
- § 8:48 —Helpful to clear understanding
- § 8:49 —Medical malpractice cases—“Common knowledge” exception

**D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF INCOMPETENT WITNESS**

- § 8:50 Suggested motion text
- § 8:51 Motion summary
- § 8:52 Supporting Authorities—Inability to express self or tell truth
- § 8:53 ——Children

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:54 —Lack of mental competence / insanity
- § 8:55 —Lack of personal knowledge of subject matter
- § 8:56 —Other grounds
- § 8:57 Opposing authorities—General authority
- § 8:58 —Children
- § 8:59 —Mental competence / insanity
- § 8:60 —Lack of personal knowledge of subject matter

**E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF JUDGE, ARBITRATOR, MEDIATOR, ATTORNEY OR JUROR**

- § 8:61 Suggested motion text
- § 8:62 Motion summary
- § 8:63 Supporting authorities—Judge as witness
- § 8:64 —Attorney as witness
- § 8:65 —Juror as witness
- § 8:66 Opposing authorities—Attorney testimony—  
Uncontested matter
- § 8:67 —Value of legal services
- § 8:68 —Waiver
- § 8:69 —Allowed to prevent a crime or injury
- § 8:70 —Extraordinary circumstances—Irreparable harm
- § 8:71 —Judge or referee testimony

**F. MOTION TO EXCLUDE WITNESS FROM COURTROOM PRIOR TO TESTIFYING**

- § 8:72 Suggested motion text
- § 8:73 Motion summary
- § 8:74 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of non-party  
witnesses from courtroom
- § 8:75 —Criminal cases
- § 8:76 Opposing authorities—Discretionary decision
- § 8:77 —Cannot exclude party
- § 8:78 —Essential to case
- § 8:79 —Purpose of rule

**G. MOTION TO EXCLUDE COMMENT ON EXERCISE OF PRIVILEGE (NOT TO TESTIFY)**

- § 8:80 Suggested motion text
- § 8:81 Motion summary
- § 8:82 Supporting authorities—No-comment rule
- § 8:83 Opposing authorities—Allowable inferences
- § 8:84 —Civil consequences of silence
- § 8:85 —Waiver or failure to invoke
- § 8:86 —Overwhelming evidence

§ 8:87 —Intent

**H. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF NON-CALLED WITNESSES**

- § 8:88 Suggested motion text
- § 8:89 Motion summary
- § 8:90 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
  - § 8:91 —Where witness was equally available to testify
  - § 8:92 —Where comments would invite speculation
  - § 8:93 —Where other adequate evidence
  - § 8:94 —Where reason for unavailability would be prejudicial
  - § 8:95 —Other grounds
  - § 8:96 Opposition citations—Generally
  - § 8:97 —Non-calling of material witness
  - § 8:98 —Question of weight, not admissibility

**I. MOTION TO EXCLUDE HEARSAY EVIDENCE**

- § 8:99 Suggested motion text
- § 8:100 Motion summary
- § 8:101 Supporting authorities—General authority for exclusion
  - § 8:102 —Purpose of rule
  - § 8:103 —Written hearsay—General authority for exclusion
  - § 8:104 ——Examples
  - § 8:105 ——Multiple hearsay
  - § 8:106 Opposing authorities—Hearsay exceptions, generally
  - § 8:107 ——Purpose of exceptions to hearsay rule
  - § 8:108 —Non-hearsay evidence
  - § 8:109 —Non-assertive conduct
  - § 8:110 —Multiple hearsay
  - § 8:111 —Hearsay used to impeach witness credibility
  - § 8:112 —Broad discretion

**PART II. SAMPLE MOTIONS**

- § 8:113 Motion to exclude speculative expert opinion
- § 8:114 Motion to exclude reference to non-called witnesses
- § 8:115 Motion to preclude non-chiropractor from giving opinion on necessity of chiropractic treatment
- § 8:116 Motion to exclude evidence of computerized valuations of plaintiff's business, with alternative motion for a *Daubert* hearing regarding the validity of the methodology
- § 8:117 Motion to exclude testimony and opinions of medical doctor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:118 Motion to exclude lay witness testimony (causation)
- § 8:119 Motion to exclude witness from courtroom prior to testifying
- § 8:120 Motion to exclude testimony of witness for lack of personal knowledge of subject matter
- § 8:121 Motion to exclude testimony of mediator
- § 8:122 Opposition to motion to exclude lay witness evidence
- § 8:123 Opposition to motion to exclude expert witness testimony (non-qualified)
- § 8:124 Motion to exclude testimony of incompetent witness
- § 8:125 Motion to exclude comment regarding exercise of privilege

## CHAPTER 9. TRIAL PRESENTATION

### PART I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

#### A. MOTION TO PREVENT IMPROPER VOIR DIRE

- § 9:1 Suggested motion text
- § 9:2 Motion summary
- § 9:3 Supporting authorities—Improper voir dire
- § 9:4 —Preconditioning
- § 9:5 ——Preconditioning regarding dollar amount of damages
- § 9:6 —Educating jury on the law
- § 9:7 —Other grounds
- § 9:8 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 9:9 —Lack of prejudice or bad faith (reference to insurance)

#### B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER ARGUMENT IN OPENING STATEMENT

- § 9:10 Suggested motion text
- § 9:11 Motion summary
- § 9:12 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 9:13 —Exclusion of prejudicial matter in opening statement
- § 9:14 —Other grounds
- § 9:15 Opposing authorities

#### C. MOTION TO BAR PREMATURE REBUTTAL TO AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- § 9:16 Suggested motion text
- § 9:17 Motion summary
- § 9:18 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally

## KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 9:19 —Order of proof
- § 9:20 Opposing authorities

### D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO LOST OR DESTROYED EVIDENCE

- § 9:21 Suggested motion text
- § 9:22 Motion summary
- § 9:23 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 9:24 —Exclusion of lost or destroyed evidence
- § 9:25 ——Accidental destruction of evidence
- § 9:26 ——Intentional destruction or suppression of evidence
- § 9:27 ——A note on tort of spoliation of evidence
- § 9:28 —Other grounds
- § 9:29 Opposition citations—Generally
- § 9:30 —Other remedies

### E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF DAMAGES IN BIFURCATED TRIAL

- § 9:31 Suggested motion text
- § 9:32 Motion summary
- § 9:33 Supporting authorities—Statutory authority
- § 9:34 —Discretion and policy considerations
- § 9:35 —Other grounds
- § 9:36 Opposing authorities—Liability issues relevant to damages
- § 9:37 —Inappropriate matter

### F. MOTION TO PRECLUDE “GOLDEN RULE ARGUMENT”

- § 9:38 Suggested motion text
- § 9:39 Motion summary
- § 9:40 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 9:41 —Rejection of “Golden Rule Argument”
- § 9:42 —Other grounds

### G. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER TERMINOLOGY

- § 9:43 Suggested motion text
- § 9:44 Motion summary
- § 9:45 Supporting authorities—Confusing evidence
- § 9:46 —Terms containing legal conclusions
- § 9:47 —Testimony on ultimate issues—Exclusion of ultimate issue evidence

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:48 — General admissibility of ultimate issue opinions [KRE 401]
- § 9:49 — Misused terms
- § 9:50 — Preconditioning jury
- § 9:51 — Usurping jury function
- § 9:52 — Meaning of statute
- § 9:53 Opposing authorities—Legal questions vs. ultimate issues
- § 9:54 — Compare: legal conclusions (non-jury case)

### H. MOTION TO SUBMIT JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE

- § 9:55 Suggested motion text
- § 9:56 Motion summary
- § 9:57 Supporting authorities—Generally
- § 9:58 — Judicial economy
- § 9:59 Opposing authorities—Court’s discretion

## PART II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 9:60 Motion to exclude reference to lost or destroyed evidence
- § 9:61 Motion to allow use of jury questionnaire during voir dire
- § 9:62 Motion to exclude mediation evidence
- § 9:63 Motion to preclude golden rule argument
- § 9:64 Motion to exclude evidence of damages in bifurcated trial
- § 9:65 Motion to prevent improper voir dire
- § 9:66 Motion to exclude improper argument during opening statement
- § 9:67 Motion to bar premature rebuttal to affirmative defense
- § 9:68 Opposition to motion for missing evidence instruction

## CHAPTER 10. PERSONAL INJURY MOTIONS

### PART I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

#### A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF COLLATERAL SOURCE PAYMENTS

- § 10:1 Suggested motion text
- § 10:2 Motion summary
- § 10:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally

## KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 10:4 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:5 —Exclusion of collateral source evidence, generally
- § 10:6 ——Gratuitous payments
- § 10:7 ——Medical or liability policy payments
- § 10:8 ——Disability benefits
- § 10:9 ——Workers compensation benefits
- § 10:10 ——Wage payments
- § 10:11 ——Tax benefit
- § 10:12 ——Other grounds
- § 10:13 Opposing authorities—Exception: contract cases
- § 10:14 —Not “wholly independent” source
- § 10:15 ——Payments to co-tortfeasor
- § 10:16 —Relevant to issues in case
- § 10:17 ——Malingering
- § 10:18 ——Financial Hardship
- § 10:19 ——Immunity
- § 10:20 —Payment not a collateral source

### **B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE**

- § 10:21 Suggested motion text
- § 10:22 Motion summary
- § 10:23 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:24 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:25 —Exclusion of Liability Insurance Evidence
- § 10:26 ——Evidence of defendant’s lack of insurance
- § 10:27 —Irrelevant
- § 10:28 —Other grounds
- § 10:29 Opposing authorities—Cross-examination
- § 10:30 —Where relevant to issues or otherwise admissible
- § 10:31 —Incidental reference to insurance
- § 10:32 —Non-prejudicial references to insurance
- § 10:33 —Admission of fault
- § 10:34 —To prove ownership or employment

### **C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE SETTLEMENT EVIDENCE**

- § 10:35 Suggested motion text
- § 10:36 Motion summary
- § 10:37 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:38 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:39 —Exclusion of settlement evidence used to show liability

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:40	— —Prior Settlements
§ 10:41	— —Settlement negotiations
§ 10:42	— —Settlement with co-defendants
§ 10:43	— —Where offer made prior to litigation
§ 10:44	— —Offer to compromise
§ 10:45	— —Other Grounds
§ 10:46	Opposing Authorities—Purpose other than to show liability—Admission against interest
§ 10:47	— —Bias or prejudice
§ 10:48	— —Contract issues
§ 10:49	— —Impeachment
§ 10:50	— —Settlement with co-defendant: pro tanto reduction of verdict
§ 10:51	— —State of mind
§ 10:52	— —Settlement negotiation in insurance cases

**D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF OTHER ACCIDENTS, CLAIMS OR LAWSUITS**

§ 10:53	Suggested motion text
§ 10:54	Motion summary
§ 10:55	Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
§ 10:56	—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
§ 10:57	—Exclusion of prior-accident evidence—Absence of prior accidents
§ 10:58	—Prior accident evidence used to prove negligence
§ 10:59	—Lack of similarity: dangerous condition / defective products
§ 10:60	—Irrelevant
§ 10:61	—Unreliable or speculative
§ 10:62	—Exclusion of subsequent accident evidence—Generally
§ 10:63	—Other claims or lawsuits
§ 10:64	—Other grounds
§ 10:65	Opposing authorities—Evidence of prior accidents—Absence of prior accidents—Res ipsa loquiter
§ 10:66	—Court’s discretion
§ 10:67	—Similarity: dangerous condition / defective products
§ 10:68	—Notice
§ 10:69	—Relevant to issues in case (e.g., similar injuries)
§ 10:70	—Prior accidents as basis for expert opinion
§ 10:71	—Other possible exceptions—Impeachment
§ 10:72	—Evidence of subsequent accidents—Court’s discretion
§ 10:73	—Dangerous condition
§ 10:74	—Impeachment

KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 10:75 —Admissibility of other claims—Generally
- § 10:76 ——Proof of Bad Faith
- § 10:77 ——Proof of punitive damages

**E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF  
SUBSEQUENT REPAIRS**

- § 10:78 Suggested motion text
- § 10:79 Motion summary
- § 10:80 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
  - Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
  - § 10:82 —Exclusion of subsequent repair evidence—  
Inadmissible to show negligence
  - § 10:83 —Other grounds
  - § 10:84 Opposing authorities—Impeachment
  - § 10:85 —Relevant to issues
  - § 10:86 —Strict products liability

**F. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF  
STATUTE VIOLATION**

- § 10:87 Suggested motion text
- § 10:88 Motion summary
- § 10:89 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
  - § 10:90 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
  - § 10:91 —Exclusion of traffic citation evidence, generally
  - § 10:92 —Not proximate cause
  - § 10:93 ——Prior traffic violations
  - § 10:94 ——Statute inapplicable where party not within protected class
  - § 10:95 —Other grounds
  - § 10:96 Opposing authorities—Impeachment
  - § 10:97 —Proximate cause
  - § 10:98 ——Negligence per se—Presumption of negligence

**G. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT  
DRIVER WAS UNLICENSED**

- § 10:99 Suggested motion text
- § 10:100 Motion summary
- § 10:101 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of evidence of license suspension or revocation
  - § 10:102 —Not evidence of negligence
  - § 10:103 —Other grounds
  - § 10:104 Opposing authorities—Lack of prejudice
  - § 10:105 —Relevant to issues

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**H. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF FAILURE TO WEAR SEAT BELT**

- § 10:106 Suggested motion text
- § 10:107 Motion summary
- § 10:108 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
  - Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
  - § 10:110 —Exclusion of seat-belt evidence
  - § 10:111 — —Expert testimony required
  - § 10:112 — —Exclusion in strict liability cases
  - § 10:113 — —Defendant's burden unchanged by seatbelt law
  - § 10:114 — —Other grounds
- § 10:115 Opposing authorities—Where use would have reduced injuries

**I. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION**

- § 10:116 Suggested motion text
- § 10:117 Motion summary
- § 10:118 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
  - § 10:119 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
  - § 10:120 —Exclusion of alcohol evidence, generally
  - § 10:121 — —Lack of foundation—Proof of inebriation
  - § 10:122 — — —Blood alcohol tests
  - § 10:123 —Exclusion in automobile cases—Consumption by driver where no impairment
    - —Consumption by passenger
    - § 10:125 — — —Inebriation as causation of accident
    - § 10:126 — —Habit of general intemperance
    - § 10:127 — —Blood alcohol level and blood tests, generally
    - § 10:128 — — —Lack of foundation
    - § 10:129 — — —Irrelevant evidence
    - § 10:130 — —Other grounds
  - § 10:131 Opposing authorities—Where relevant to issues—Driving under the influence of alcohol as proof of negligence
- § 10:132 —Admissible evidence of drinking habit—Where used for impeachment
  - § 10:133 — —Drinking contemporaneous to incident

**J. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PRIOR D.U.I.**

- § 10:134 Suggested motion text
- § 10:135 Motion summary

## KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 10:136 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:137 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:138 —Exclusion of crimes evidence used for impeachment—Generally
- § 10:139 ——Exclusion of arrests and misdemeanor convictions
- § 10:140 ——Exclusion of felony convictions where no crime of “moral turpitude”
- § 10:141 ——Where probative value outweighed by risk of undue prejudice
- § 10:142 —Exclusion of D.U.I. evidence where used to prove improper conduct—Generally
- § 10:143 —Other grounds
- § 10:144 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 10:145 —Impeachment
- § 10:146 ——Use of felony convictions for impeachment expressly allowed
- § 10:147 ——D.U.I. as moral turpitude crime
- § 10:148 —Relevant to issues

### **K. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PARTY'S HEALTH OR INJURIES WHERE NOT AT ISSUE**

- § 10:149 Suggested motion text
- § 10:150 Motion summary
- § 10:151 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:152 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:153 —Exclusion of evidence of party's health or injuries where not at issue
- § 10:154 —Other grounds
- § 10:155 Opposing authorities—Relevant to issues in case
- § 10:156 ——Demonstration of injury
- § 10:157 ——Other demonstrations

### **L. MOTION TO EXCLUDE ACCIDENT RECONSTRUCTION AND BIOMECHANIC EVIDENCE**

- § 10:158 Suggested motion text
- § 10:159 Motion summary
- § 10:160 Supporting authorities—Excluding accident reconstruction evidence—Generally
- § 10:161 ——Incomplete facts / speculation
- § 10:162 ——Reliance on observations of others (hearsay)
- § 10:163 ——Improper foundation or qualification
- § 10:164 ——Point of impact determinations

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:165	— —Reaction time determinations
§ 10:166	— —Vehicle speed determinations
§ 10:167	—Excluding biomechanic evidence
§ 10:168	— —Anthropomorphic dummies
§ 10:169	— —“Finite Element Analysis” tests
§ 10:170	— —“Pattern of Injury” tests
§ 10:171	—Low-speed impact automobile cases
§ 10:172	— —Excluding “Delta V” testimony
§ 10:173	— —Challenging “plopping into a chair” testimony
§ 10:174	— —“Human crash test dummy” testimony
§ 10:175	— —Photographs depicting “no damage” to vehicles
§ 10:176	— —Other unreliable tests
§ 10:177	Opposition—Tests and scientific testimony, generally
§ 10:178	—Accident reconstruction evidence—Proper basis for opinion
§ 10:179	— —Competent facts / foundation
§ 10:180	— —Vehicle speed
§ 10:181	— —Skid mark analysis
§ 10:182	— —Stopping distances
§ 10:183	— —Point of impact
§ 10:184	— —Photographs depicting “no damage” to vehicles
§ 10:185	—Biomechanic evidence—Cases where admitted
§ 10:186	—A note on opposing motions to exclude low-impact tests

## M. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PARTY'S FINANCIAL STATUS

§ 10:187	Suggested motion text
§ 10:188	Motion summary
§ 10:189	Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
§ 10:190	—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
§ 10:191	—Exclusion of financial status evidence, generally
§ 10:192	— —Plaintiff's financial status
§ 10:193	— —Defendant's financial status
§ 10:194	— —Wrongful death cases
§ 10:195	—Punitive damages cases
§ 10:196	—Other grounds
§ 10:197	Opposing authorities—Relevant to issues—Generally

## N. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TAX EVIDENCE

§ 10:198	Suggested motion text
§ 10:199	Motion summary
§ 10:200	Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally

## KENTUCKY MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 10:201 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:202 —Exclusion of tax evidence—Tax implications on damages
- § 10:203 —Failure to file a tax return
- § 10:204 —Wrongful death cases
- § 10:205 —Improper appeal to jurors as taxpayers
- § 10:206 —Other grounds
- § 10:207 Opposing authorities—Relevant to issues

### O. MOTION TO EXCLUDE LIABILITY OR FAULT EVIDENCE

- § 10:208 Suggested motion text
- § 10:209 Motion summary
- § 10:210 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:211 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:212 —Admitted matters, generally
- § 10:213 —Admission of fault—Admissions made during settlement negotiations
- § 10:214 —Inadmissible legal opinions by lay witnesses
- § 10:215 —Sympathy or benevolence
- § 10:216 —Other grounds
- § 10:217 Opposing authorities—Relevance
- § 10:218 —Admission of fault

### P. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER DAMAGE EVIDENCE

- § 10:219 Suggested motion text
- § 10:220 Motion summary
- § 10:221 Supporting authorities—Unpledged, undisputed or surprise claims—Unpledged matters
- § 10:222 —Undisputed matters
- § 10:223 —Surprise claims
- § 10:224 —Amounts in excess of stated damages
- § 10:225 —Speculative damages, generally
- § 10:226 —Exclusion of evidence relating to speculative damages
- § 10:227 —Condemnation proceedings
- § 10:228 —Lost profits
- § 10:229 —Irrelevant
- § 10:230 Opposing authorities—Unpledged or denied claims—Court's discretion
- § 10:231 —Estoppel
- § 10:232 —Denied claims
- § 10:233 —Speculative damages—Generally
- § 10:234 —Weight vs. admissibility

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:235	— “Reasonably certain” damages
Q.	<b>MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PARTY’S IMMIGRATION STATUS</b>
§ 10:236	Suggested motion text
§ 10:237	Motion summary
§ 10:238	Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
§ 10:239	—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
§ 10:240	—Unpledaded issues
§ 10:241	—Immigration status is irrelevant to issue of liability

## PART II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

§ 10:242	Motion to exclude evidence of collateral source payments
§ 10:243	Motion to exclude evidence of defendant’s liability
§ 10:244	Motion to admit evidence of laboratory testing regarding firearm residue
§ 10:245	Motion to exclude surveillance video
§ 10:246	Motion to exclude evidence of subsequent remedial measures
§ 10:247	Motion to exclude evidence of statute violation
§ 10:248	Motion to exclude evidence of failure to pay taxes
§ 10:249	Motion to exclude settlement evidence
§ 10:250	Motion to exclude liability insurance evidence
§ 10:251	Motion to exclude evidence of plaintiff’s financial status
§ 10:252	Motion to exclude evidence of defendant’s prior DUI
§ 10:253	Motion to exclude evidence of prior traffic citations
§ 10:254	Motion to exclude improper damage evidence (speculative evidence of lost profits)
§ 10:255	Motion to exclude evidence regarding prior accident
§ 10:256	Motion to exclude evidence regarding party’s prior injuries
§ 10:257	Opposition to motion to exclude evidence of subsequent repairs
§ 10:258	Opposition to motion to exclude evidence of defendant’s financial status
§ 10:259	Motion to exclude that driver was unlicensed

**Table of Laws and Rules**

**Table of Cases**

**Index**