# **Index**

ANALYSIS AND METHODS

AACE RP29R-03 FORENSIC

checklist, 13:1

Impacted as-planned category

Impacted As-Planned, this index

details, 13:2

generally, **6:6** 

#### SCHEDULE ANALYSIS -Cont'd Method Comparison Study, this index Generally, 17:1 to 17:7 Time impact analysis (TIA) category Analysis evaluation, 17:5 generally, **6:3** Choosing method, 17:6 Time Impact Analysis, this index Method implementation, 17:4 Total time category Organization and scope, 17:2 generally, 6:7 Review, 17:7 Source validation, 17:3 Total Time, this index Summary, 17:1 AS-BUILT CRITICAL PATH ABANDONMENT Generally, 6:5, 9:1 to 9:9 Case summaries, 9:9 No damage for delay, abandonment exception to contractual provi-Example implementation, **9:6, 9:7** sions, 5:7 Major delay types, treatment of, 9:8 Negative treatment, 9:5 **ACCELERATION** Positive treatment, 9:2 to 9:4 Generally, 3:8 ASCE standard ANSI/ASCE/CI ASCE STANDARD ANSI/ASC/CI 67-17 schedule delay analysis, 71-21 15:9 Identifying, quantifying, and proving Concurrency, 3:14 loss of productivity, 24:1 et seq. ANALYSIS AND METHODS ASCE STANDARD ANSI/ASCE/CI Generally, 6:1 et seq. 67-17 SCHEDULE DELAY As-built critical path category ANALYSIS generally, 6:5 Generally, 15:1 to 15:10 As-Built Critical Path, this index Acceleration, 15:9 ASCE Standard ANSI/ASCE/CI Changing schedules after the fact, 67-17 Schedule Delay Analysis, 15:8 Chronology of delay, 15:5 this index Categories of schedule delay analysis Concurrent delay, 15:6 methods, **6:2** Critical path, 15:2 Collapsed as-built category Early completion, 15:4 generally, **6:4** Float, **15:3** Collapsed As-Built, this index Responsibility for delay, 15:7 Fact pattern, 6:8 Review of schedule delay analysis Guidelines for schedule delay analystandard, 15:10 sis Summary, 15:1 et seq.

AS PLANNED

**BONUS PAYMENTS** 

Generally, 3:7

Impacted As-Planned, this index

#### BURDEN OF PROOF

Generally, **3:1** Contemporaneously granted CPM time extensions, 3:4

Delay to critical path, 3:2

Dynamic CPM, 3:3

Updated CPM schedules, 3:3

# **CAUSATION**

Disruption, 18:2, 21:2

# COLLAPSED AS-BUILT

Generally, **6:4, 8:1 to 8:10** 

Case summaries, 8:10

Cautionary tale (Youngdale), 8:2

Contemporaneous updates, using, 8:8

Contractor delays, removing, 8:6

Major delay types, treatment, 8:9

Negative treatment of method, 8:3

Owner delays, removing, 8:5

Positive treatment of method, 8:4

Stepped removal, 8:7

Traditional implementation, 8:5

#### COMMON LAW

Exceptions to contractual provisions. No Damage for Delay, this index

# COMPARISON METHODS FOR PROVING DISRUPTION

Disruption, 23:1 to 23:5

# COMPENSABLE DELAY

Generally, 1:2

#### COMPLETION

Early completion, right to, **3:6** Waiver of completion, 3:16

# CONCURRENCY

Generally, 3:9 to 3:14

Acceleration, 3:14

Apportionment, 3:13

ASCE standard ANSI/ASCE/CI 67-17 schedule delay analysis,

Float, delay absorbing, 3:11

Noncritical delay, 3:11

Offsetting delay, 3:12

Pacing, 3:10

# CRITICAL PATH METHOD (CPM)

Generally, 2:1 to 2:37

Actual progress. Progress updating, below

Adjusting contract completion date to account for excusable delay, 2:34

After-the-fact corrections to CPM schedules, 2:37

As-built

generally, 2:7, 6:5

As-Built Critical Path, this index

As-planned vs. as-built, 2:5

Assigned constraints, 2:21

Burden of Proof, this index

Calendar constraints, 2:22

Categories of scheduling constraints

generally, 2:23 et seq.

contractual constraints, 2:25

physical constraints, 2:24

preferential sequencing constraints, 2:26

Collapsed as-built/but for, 2:8

Completion date, adjusting to account for excusable delay, 2:34

Constraints

Categories of scheduling constraints, above

Means of schedule constraint, below

Contractual constraints, 2:25

Cost loading, 2:27

Critical path

generally, 2:11

expiration of contract time, delays after, 2:13

float on the critical path, 2:15

longest path or zero float path, 2:12

resolution, 2:14

Evolution of methods over time, 2:9

Excusable delay, adjusting contract completion date to account for,

2:34

Expiration of contract time, delays after, 2:13

Float

generally, 2:10

CRITICAL PATH METHOD (CPM) —Cont'd	CRITICAL PATH METHOD (CPM) —Cont'd
Float—Cont'd	Preferential sequencing constraints,
critical path, float on, 2:15	2:26
longest path or zero float path,	Progress updating
2:12	generally, 2:29 to 2:34
History, 2:1 et seq.	actual progress, updating schedule
Impacted as-planned, 2:6	to reflect
Incorrect dates, updating schedule to	generally, 2:30
reflect actual progress, 2:31	incorrect dates, 2:31
Intermediary milestones, 2:28	retained logic vs. progress over-
Leads and lags, 2:18	ride, <b>2:32</b>
Logic and updates	excusable delay, adjusting contract
generally, 2:16 et seq.	completion date to account for, 2:34
after-the-fact corrections to CPM	
schedules, 2:37	retained logic vs. progress over- ride, 2:32
Categories of scheduling constraints, above	revising schedule to reflect
Means of schedule constraint,	changes and revisions to plan
below	going forward, 2:33
Progress updating, below	Resolution, 2:14
responsibility for failing to update	Resource loading, 2:27
schedules, 2:35	Responsibility for failing to update
subcontractor harmed by owner	schedules, 2:35
changes, 2:36	Retained logic vs. progress override,
Long duration activities, 2:19	2:32
Longest path or zero float path, 2:12	Subcontractor harmed by owner
Means of schedule constraint	changes, 2:36
generally, 2:17 to 2:22	Time impact analysis, <b>2:4</b>
assigned constraints, 2:21	Updates
calendar constraints, 2:22	Progress updating, above responsibility for failing to update
leads and lags, 2:18	schedules, 2:35
logic ties, 2:17	
long duration activities, 2:19	DAMAGES
open-ended activities, 2:20	Delay Damages, this index
Open-ended activities, 2:20	Disruption, <b>18:5 to 18:9</b>
Origins, schedule delay analysis	Liquidated Damages, this index
methods	No Damage for Delay, this index
generally, <b>2:3 to 2:8</b>	DAUBERT ISSUES
as-built critical path, 2:7	Generally, 1:5
as-planned vs. as-built, 2:5	DELAY
collapsed as built/but for, 2:8	Disruption distinguished, 18:3
impacted as-planned, 2:6	
time impact analysis, 2:4	DELAY DAMAGES
Origins of CPM, 2:2	Generally, <b>4:1 to 4:14</b>
Owner changes, subcontractor	Breach damages, <b>4:4</b>
harmed by, <b>2:36</b>	Common types
Physical constraints, 2:24	generally, <b>4:6 to 4:11</b>

disruption, 23:1 to 23:5

#### DISRUPTION—Cont'd DELAY DAMAGES—Cont'd Common types—Cont'd Productivity equipment costs, 4:9 generally, **18:2** et seq., **21:1** to extended project overhead costs 21:40 (direct overhead), 4:10 ASCE Standard ANSI/ASC/CI 71-21, **24:1** et seq. labor costs, 4:7 combining factors, 21:10 material costs. 4:8 comparable and appropriate study, unabsorbed home office overhead 21:5 to 21:9 costs (indirect overhead), 4:11 Department of Labor Bulletin 917, Disruption vs. delay, 4:12 21:37 to 21:39 Equipment costs, 4:9 generally, 18:2 et seq. Equitable adjustments vs. damages identifying, quantifying, and provgenerally, 4:2 ing loss of productivity, 24:1 breach damages, 4:4 et seq. equitable adjustments, 4:3 industry and academic studies, Extended project overhead costs 21:11 (direct overhead), 4:10 lack of contractor-caused inef-Labor costs, 4:7 ficiencies. 21:4 Liquidated damages, 4:13 Leonard Thesis, 21:34 to 21:36 Material costs, 4:8 Mechanical Contractors Association of America factors, 21:12 Mitigation of damages, 4:5 to 21:24 Overhead National Electrical Contractors extended project overhead costs Association factors, 21:25 to (direct overhead), 4:10 21:30 unabsorbed home office overhead proof of causation, 21:2 costs (indirect overhead), 4:11 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Proof, 4:14 Modification Impact Evaluation Guide, 21:31 to 21:33 DEPARTMENT OF LABOR **BULLETIN 917** Proof of disruption, 18:4 Similar projects compared, 20:6 to Disruption, 21:37 to 21:39 20:8 DISRUPTION Total cost, 20:20 to 20:27 Generally, 18:1 et seq. Visual observation judgment, 22:37 to 22:10 Causes, 18:2 Comparison methods for proving EARLY COMPLETION disruption, 23:1 to 23:5 Right to, **3:6** Comparison to bid, 20:1 to 20:27 EARNED VALUE Contractual limitations on loss of Disruption, 20:2 to 20:5 productivity, 18:11 Damages, 18:5 to 18:9 **EQUIPMENT** Delay distinguished, 18:3 Delay damages, equipment costs, 4:9 Earned value, 20:2 to 20:5 **EQUITABLE ADJUSTMENTS** Impact of changes on unchanged Delay Damages, this index work, 18:10 Inefficiency, 18:3 **EVIDENCE** Measured mile, 19:1 to 19:10 Comparison methods for proving

Modified total cost, 20:9 to 20:19

# EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Disruption, 18:4

# **EXCUSABLE DELAY**

Adjusting contract completion date to account for excusable delay, 2:34

Excusable but not compensable, 1:3

# FEDERAL CONTRACTS

Delay vs. suspensions, **3:5** No damage for delay, **5:11** 

#### **FLOAT**

Critical Path Method (CPM), this index

Delay absorbing, 3:11

# FORENSIC SCHEDULE ANALYSIS

AACE RP29R-03 Forensic Schedule Analysis, this index

# GUIDELINES FOR SCHEDULE DELAY ANALYSIS

Checklist, 13:1 Details, 13:2

# IMPACTED AS-PLANNED

Generally, **6:6**, **10:1** to **10:9**Case summaries, **10:9**Example implementation
global insertion, **10:5**owner/contractor impacted
schedules compared, **10:6**stepped insertion, **10:7** 

Historical treatment generally, 10:2

early acceptance, **10:3** suspicion, **10:4** 

Major delay types, treatment of, 10:8

# IMPOSSIBILITY OF SCHEDULE

Generally, 3:15

#### **INCENTIVE BONUS PAYMENTS**

Generally, **3:7** 

#### **INEFFICIENCY**

Disruption, 18:3

# INEXCUSABLE DELAY

Noncompensable, 1:4

#### LEONARD THESIS

Disruption, 21:34 to 21:36

# LIQUIDATED DAMAGES

Delay damages, **4:13**Inexcusable, noncompensable delay, **1:4** 

#### **MEASURED MILE**

Disruption, 19:1 to 19:10

# MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA FACTORS

Disruption, 21:12 to 21:24

#### METHOD COMPARISON STUDY

Generally, **12:1 to 12:3** Conclusions, **12:2** 

Global schedule delay method comparison, 12:5

Results. 12:1

Summary of International cases referencing schedule delay methods, 12:4

Summary of U.S. cases referencing schedule delay methods, 12:3

#### MITIGATION OF DAMAGES

Delay damages, 4:5

# MODIFIED TOTAL COST

Disruption, 20:9 to 20:19

# NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION FACTORS

Disruption, 21:25 to 21:30

# NO DAMAGE FOR DELAY

Generally, **5:1 to 5:11** 

Abandonment exception to contractual provisions, **5:7** 

Active interference exception to contractual provisions, **5:6** 

Common law exceptions to contractual provisions

generally, 5:4 to 5:9

abandonment, 5:7

active interference, 5:6

material breach of contract, 5:9

# NO DAMAGE FOR DELAY —Cont'd

Common law exceptions to contractual provisions—Cont'd preference afforded to remedy granting provisions, 5:5 unreasonable length of delay, 5:7 waiver, 5:8

Contractual clauses, 5:3

Federal contracts, 5:11

Federal contracts, **5:11**Legislative responses, **5:10** 

Material breach of contract, exception to contractual provisions, **5:9** 

Preference afforded to remedy granting provisions, **5:5** 

Source of rule, 5:2

Unreasonable length of delay exception to contractual provisions, **5:7** 

Waiver, exception to contractual provisions, **5:7** 

#### **NO FAULT**

Excusable but not compensable delays, 1:3

#### **OVERHEAD**

Delay Damages, this index

# **PRODUCTIVITY**

Disruption, this index

# SCHEDULE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Generally, 14:1 to 14:4

Best practices

contractor's (or subcontractor's) schedule, **14:4** 

owner's (or owner's agent's) schedule administration, **14:3** 

Example provisions, 14:1 Recommended topics, 14:2

# SCHEDULE IMPOSSIBILITY

Generally, 3:15

#### SHARED FAULT

Excusable but not compensable delays, 1:3

# SOCIETY OF CONSTRUCTION LAW DELAY AND DISRUPTION PROTOCOL

Generally, 16:1 to 16:9

Changes from 2002 Protocol to 2017 Protocol, **16:5 to 16:8** 

Comparison with industry standard, **16:9** 

Core principles, **16:2** Guidance sections, **16:3** 

Reversining protocol position on offsetting delay, **16:7**, **16:8** 

Review, 16:4 to 16:9

Summary, 16:1

#### **SUBCONTRACTORS**

Owner changes, subcontractor harmed by, **2:36** 

Schedule contract specifications and responsibilities, best practices, 14:4

#### TIME IMPACT ANALYSIS (TIA)

Generally, 6:3, 7:1 to 7:14

Adjusted

generally, 7:2

example implementation, 7:3

judicial analysis, 7:4

Case summaries, 7:14

Prospective TIA

generally, 7:8

example implementation, 7:9

judicial analysis, 7:10

Wide windows

generally, 7:11

example implementation, 7:12

judicial analysis, 7:13

Windows (unadjusted)

generally, 7:5

example implementation, 7:6

judicial analysis, 7:7

#### TOTAL COST

Disruption, 20:20 to 20:27

#### **TOTAL TIME**

Generally, **6:7, 11:1 to 11:6** 

Case summaries, 11:6

Example implementation, 11:4

# INDEX

# TOTAL TIME—Cont'd

Total time and total cost method generally, 11:2 historical treatment, 11:3

Treatment of major delay types, 11:5

# TYPES OF DELAY

Generally, 1:1 to 1:4
Compensable delay, 1:2
Excusable but not compensable delays, 1:3
Inexcusable, noncompensable delay, 1:4

# U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS MODIFICATION IMPACT EVALUATION GUIDE

Disruption, 21:31 to 21:33

# VISUAL OBSERVATION JUDGMENT

Disruption, 22:37 to 22:10

# WAIVER

Completion, waiver of, **3:16** No damage for delay, **5:8**