

# Table of Contents

## Volume 1

### CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO TRADE DRESS

- § 1:1 Generally
- § 1:2 —Use of this book
- § 1:3 —Scope of this book
- § 1:4 Overview of trade dress
- § 1:5 Historical background of trade dress
- § 1:6 Future of trade dress
- § 1:7 Trade Dress and the Internet

### CHAPTER 2. DEFINITION OF TRADE DRESS

- § 2:1 Literal definitions of trade dress
- § 2:2 Broadness of trade dress
- § 2:3 Is color per se protectible trade dress?
- § 2:4 What is not trade dress?

### CHAPTER 3. OVERVIEW OF TRADE DRESS PROTECTION

- § 3:1 Strength of trade dress protection
- § 3:2 Unlimited duration of trade dress rights
- § 3:3 Trade dress: the hidden fortress
- § 3:4 Is trade dress protection too broad or too strong?
- § 3:5 Limitations on overall strength of trade dress protection
- § 3:6 Strength of protection of particular types of trade dress
- § 3:7 Particular features of trade dress
- § 3:8 Brief summary of current state of the law
- § 3:9 Desirability of a trade dress audit

### CHAPTER 4. THE EFFECT OF SIGNIFICANT SUPREME COURT DECISIONS

- § 4:1 State of trade dress law when *Two Pesos* was decided
- § 4:2 Discussion of *Two Pesos*
- § 4:3 Impact of *Two Pesos*
- § 4:4 Discussion of *Qualitex*
- § 4:5 Discussion of *Wal-Mart*
- § 4:6 Discussion of *TrafFix*

### CHAPTER 5. EXAMPLES OF TRADE DRESS

- § 5:1 Packaging
- § 5:2 Color per se
- § 5:3 Color in combination with other elements
- § 5:4 Containers
- § 5:5 Configuration or shape
- § 5:6 Entertainment and sports features/uniforms and costumes
- § 5:7 Miscellaneous other examples

- § 5:8 Sounds
- § 5:9 Examples of protected trade dress
- § 5:10 Examples of trade dress not protected by courts
- § 5:11 Graphic illustrations of trade dress
- § 5:12 Trade dress of service businesses
- § 5:13 Flavors

## **CHAPTER 6. ELEMENTS OF TRADE DRESS CLAIM**

- § 6:1 Overview of the essential elements of a trade dress claim
- § 6:2 Element of functionality as an affirmative defense
- § 6:3 —Functionality as an element of plaintiff’s case in chief
- § 6:4 Statutory resolution of the split of authority as to functionality
- § 6:5 Element of ownership of the trade dress
- § 6:6 Intent is not a required element
- § 6:7 Actual confusion is not a required element
- § 6:8 Secondary meaning for product configurations; when required
- § 6:9 Application by the courts of the elements of the trade dress claim
- § 6:10 Requirement of a sufficiently specific description of the trade dress
- § 6:11 Consistency of trade dress
- § 6:12 Domestic use or reputation of the trade dress

## **CHAPTER 7. LIKELIHOOD OF CONFUSION**

- § 7:1 Generally
- § 7:2 Overall test for likelihood of confusion—Tests in different circuits
- § 7:3 —Standards for different types of trade dress
- § 7:4 Similarity of the trade dress—Side by side comparison not proper
- § 7:5 —Side by side comparison may be proper
- § 7:6 —Overall impression
- § 7:7 Actual confusion—Actual “actual confusion”
- § 7:8 —Projected “actual confusion”: surveys
- § 7:9 Sophistication of the purchasers
- § 7:10 Standards of appellate review
- § 7:11 Types of trade dress confusion—Confusion of source
- § 7:12 —Confusion of sponsorship or affiliation
- § 7:13 Special cases—Colors or shade confusion
- § 7:14 —Use of slogan “If You Like X, You’ll Love Y” and similar comparisons
- § 7:15 Effect of use of defendant’s own marks or labels on likelihood of confusion
- § 7:16 Effect of disclaimers on likelihood of confusion
- § 7:17 Effect of intent on likelihood of confusion
- § 7:18 Likelihood of confusion analysis in combined trademark/trade dress cases
- § 7:19 Likelihood of confusion in related state claims
- § 7:20 Effect of likelihood of confusion on irreparable injury requirement for an injunction
- § 7:21 Post-sale confusion in trade dress cases

## **CHAPTER 8. PROTECTION OF TRADE DRESS UNDER FEDERAL LAW**

- § 8:1 Section 43(a)(1) of the Lanham Act; unregistered marks and unfair competition
- § 8:2 1999 amendment to Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act to clarify burden of proof in trade dress cases
- § 8:3 Section 32 of the Lanham Act: federally registered trade dress—Availability of federal registration for trade dress
- § 8:4 —Effect of federal registration of trade dress

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:5 Assertion of state court unfair competition claims in federal court under 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)
- § 8:6 References to trade dress in federal statutes
- § 8:7 Proposed federal trade dress legislation

## CHAPTER 9. PROTECTION OF TRADE DRESS IN STATE COURTS OR UNDER STATE LAW

- § 9:1 Overview of state court protection
- § 9:2 California statutes
- § 9:3 California case law
- § 9:4 Other states' statutory law—Model State Trademark Bill
- § 9:5 —Dilution laws
- § 9:6 —Case law
- § 9:7 Personal jurisdiction and venue
- § 9:8 Subject matter jurisdiction over federal claims
- § 9:9 Removal and remand issues

## CHAPTER 10. RELATED CLAIMS IN TRADE DRESS CASES

- § 10:1 Dilution
- § 10:2 —Federal law
- § 10:3 —Conflicts on different issues
- § 10:4 —Model State Trademark Bill version of dilution
- § 10:5 —Particular cases
- § 10:6 State unfair and deceptive trade practices laws
- § 10:7 —State unfair competition laws
- § 10:8 —State false advertising laws
- § 10:9 State common law trade dress infringement
- § 10:10 Misappropriation
- § 10:11 Miscellaneous tort claims under state law—Interference with business relationships
- § 10:12 —Trade libel or disparagement
- § 10:13 Patent and copyright claims
- § 10:14 Federal false advertising claims
- § 10:15 State anti-molding statutes
- § 10:16 Theft of trade secrets
- § 10:17 Misrepresentation of source under Section 14(3) of the Lanham Act

## CHAPTER 11. PROTECTION OF PARTICULAR TYPES OF TRADE DRESS

- § 11:1 Overview
- § 11:2 Restaurant decor and design
- § 11:3 Food products
- § 11:4 Bottles and cans
- § 11:5 Toys and games
- § 11:6 Sporting goods and apparel
- § 11:7 Publications
- § 11:8 Health care products
- § 11:9 Computer products
- § 11:10 Miscellaneous other products
- § 11:11 Service businesses
- § 11:12 Pharmaceuticals
- § 11:13 Automotive industry
- § 11:14 Web sites

## CHAPTER 12. INHERENTLY DISTINCTIVE TRADE DRESS

- § 12:1 Generally
- § 12:2 Definition of inherently distinctive trade dress
- § 12:3 Tests for determining whether trade dress is inherently distinctive
- § 12:4 Examples of inherently distinctive trade dress
- § 12:5 Examples of non-inherently distinctive trade dress
- § 12:6 Application of inherent distinctiveness to product configuration trade dress before *Wal-Mart*
- § 12:7 Standard of review
- § 12:8 Effect of section 2(f) claim on inherent distinctiveness
- § 12:9 Effect of federal registration on inherent distinctiveness
- § 12:10 Inherent Distinctiveness of Product Designs after the Supreme Court's decision in *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Samara Brothers, Inc.*

## CHAPTER 13. REQUIREMENT AND PROOF OF SECONDARY MEANING

- § 13:1 Circumstances when secondary meaning is required: Section 2(f) of the Lanham Act
- § 13:2 Definition of secondary meaning
- § 13:3 Proof of secondary meaning
- § 13:4 —By stipulation
- § 13:5 —Types of evidence
- § 13:6 —Amount of evidence necessary
- § 13:7 —Advertising—Amount spent as a factor
- § 13:8 — —Image advertising
- § 13:9 —Surveys
- § 13:10 —Declarations
- § 13:11 —Findings of other tribunals
- § 13:12 —Customer letters
- § 13:13 —Evidence of functionality or other issues
- § 13:14 —Intentional copying
- § 13:15 —Unsolicited media coverage
- § 13:16 —Actual confusion
- § 13:17 —Length of time required for trade dress to acquire secondary meaning
- § 13:18 Secondary meaning in the making
- § 13:19 Factual issue

## CHAPTER 14. EFFECT AND PROOF OF INTENTIONAL COPYING

- § 14:1 Effect in general
- § 14:2 Types of intent
- § 14:3 —Intent to copy as a parody
- § 14:4 —Effect of good faith intent on damages
- § 14:5 —Intent to copy functional features
- § 14:6 Effect on likelihood of confusion
- § 14:7 Effect on secondary meaning
- § 14:8 Effect on damages, attorneys' fees, and other relief—Damages
- § 14:9 —Attorneys' fees
- § 14:10 —Recall of products
- § 14:11 —Notification to buyers of infringing products
- § 14:12 Direct evidence of intentional copying—Defendant's own admissions
- § 14:13 —Use of private investigators
- § 14:14 Indirect evidence—Similarities of the trade dress itself

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:15 —Expert testimony
- § 14:16 Evidentiary presumptions
- § 14:17 Attorney/client privilege and advice of counsel

## CHAPTER 15. AVAILABLE RELIEF FOR TRADE DRESS INFRINGEMENT

- § 15:1 Injunctive relief
- § 15:2 —Statutory basis for injunctions
- § 15:3 —Test for permanent injunction
- § 15:4 —Cases where injunction entered
- § 15:5 —Cases where injunction denied
- § 15:6 —Effect of defendant's intent to change trade dress
- § 15:7 —Effect of plaintiff's delay in seeking injunction
- § 15:8 Monetary relief
- § 15:9 Attorneys' fees—Overview
- § 15:10 —Section 35 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a)
- § 15:11 — —Application to section 43(a) cases
- § 15:12 — —Issues presented
- § 15:13 — —Exceptional circumstances
- § 15:14 — —Attempted parody intent
- § 15:15 — —Unusual financial hardship to the defendant
- § 15:16 —Right to jury trial—Damages or equitable relief sought
- § 15:17 — —Waiver of jury trial right
- § 15:18 — —Counterclaims
- § 15:19 Costs of litigation
- § 15:20 Recall of goods or notification to distributors
- § 15:21 Seizure and destruction of infringing goods
- § 15:22 Order freezing defendant's assets during litigation
- § 15:23 Notification to customers of infringement or injunction
- § 15:24 Damages for fraudulent registration of trade dress
- § 15:25 United States Customs
- § 15:26 Counterfeiting remedies
- § 15:27 Contempt remedies

## CHAPTER 16. DEFENSES TO TRADE DRESS CLAIMS

- § 16:1 Overview of available defenses
- § 16:2 Checklist of possible defenses
- § 16:3 First amendment defense
- § 16:4 Monopolization or attempt to monopolize defense
- § 16:5 Fair use defense
- § 16:6 Other traditional trademark defenses—Parody defense
- § 16:7 —Laches or estoppel
- § 16:8 —Fraud
- § 16:9 —Abandonment of trade dress
- § 16:10 Functionality as a former defense

## CHAPTER 17. FUNCTIONALITY AND GENERICNESS

- § 17:1 Overview
- § 17:2 Types of functionality—Utilitarian functionality
- § 17:3 —Aesthetic functionality
- § 17:4 Particular problems—Color
- § 17:5 —Customer demand

- § 17:6 —Scent and flavor
- § 17:7 Definitions and tests of functionality
- § 17:8 Pharmaceuticals
- § 17:9 Burden of proof
- § 17:10 Factors to consider
- § 17:11 Appellate review
- § 17:12 Split of authority on various functionality issues
- § 17:13 Functionality of color coding
- § 17:14 Relationship of functionality to patent law
- § 17:15 Relationship of functionality to genericness
- § 17:16 Proof of functionality or nonfunctionality
- § 17:17 Statutory amendments affecting functionality and their applicability
- § 17:18 Specific examples of functional trade dress

## **CHAPTER 18. SEARS-COMPCO DEFENSE**

- § 18:1 Explanation of the *Sears-Compco* defense
- § 18:2 Current status of the *Sears-Compco* defense
- § 18:3 Non-applicability of *Sears-Compco* defense to federal trademark claims
- § 18:4 Applicability of *Sears-Compco* defense to product configuration trade dress

## **CHAPTER 19. PROCEDURAL ISSUES RELATING TO TRADE DRESS CASES**

- § 19:1 Motions to dismiss or to strike
- § 19:2 Particular cases
- § 19:3 Motions for summary judgment
- § 19:4 Personal jurisdiction, venue, and other motions
- § 19:5 Appeals of trade dress rulings
- § 19:6 Extraordinary writs
- § 19:7 Motion to dismiss or remand supplemental state claims to state court
- § 19:8 Stays
- § 19:9 Declaratory judgment actions
- § 19:10 Removal of state court actions to federal court
- § 19:11 Rule 11 sanctions
- § 19:12 Use of surveys in trade dress cases
- § 19:13 Subject matter jurisdiction over trade dress claims
- § 19:14 Arbitration of trade dress issues
- § 19:15 Default judgments
- § 19:16 Discovery and discovery remedies

## **CHAPTER 20. OBTAINING TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERS AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS IN TRADE DRESS CASES**

- § 20:1 Why seek a preliminary injunction
- § 20:2 When not to seek a preliminary injunction
- § 20:3 What should be done before filing a preliminary injunction motion?
- § 20:4 —Excessive delay and laches
- § 20:5 —Preparing for the hearing
- § 20:6 —Use of professional investigators
- § 20:7 Obtaining a preliminary injunction—No actual confusion required, but helpful
- § 20:8 —Different circuits' standards to grant relief
- § 20:9 —Money damages cannot be adequate relief
- § 20:10 Procedure for obtaining a preliminary injunction

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 20:11 Procedure for obtaining a temporary restraining order
- § 20:12 Temporary restraining order hearing and preliminary injunction hearing
- § 20:13 Issues related to the injunction bond
- § 20:14 Post-hearing issues related to the injunction—Contempt
- § 20:15 —Motions to narrow or broaden the scope or otherwise modify the injunction
- § 20:16 Appellate review of preliminary injunction orders
- § 20:17 Injunction granted
- § 20:18 Injunction denied
- § 20:19 Examples of cases where preliminary injunctions or TROs were granted or denied
- § 20:20 Defenses to TROs and preliminary injunctions—Delay in bringing the motion or application
- § 20:21 —Availability of adequate monetary relief
- § 20:22 —Other defenses
- § 20:23 Statutory basis for TRO's and preliminary injunctions
- § 20:24 Specific terms of a trade dress injunction

## CHAPTER 21. DISCOVERY ISSUES IN TRADE DRESS CASES

- § 21:1 Interrogatories and requests for admissions
- § 21:2 Requests for production of documents and things
- § 21:3 Request for entry onto property or to view
- § 21:4 Discovery into venue or jurisdictional issues
- § 21:5 Discovery prior to preliminary injunction hearing and other interim relief
- § 21:6 Discovery prior to summary judgment hearings

## CHAPTER 22. TRIAL OF TRADE DRESS CASES

- § 22:1 Jury trials—Overview
- § 22:2 —Examples of trade dress jury cases
- § 22:3 —Jury's perception of trade dress
- § 22:4 Bench trials
- § 22:5 Trials in the Patent and Trademark Office
- § 22:6 Jury instructions utilized by the courts
- § 22:7 Appellate review of jury verdicts in trade dress cases
- § 22:8 Standard of review

## CHAPTER 23. RELATIONSHIP TO TRADEMARK PROTECTION

- § 23:1 Overview
- § 23:2 Trade dress may contribute to trademark infringement
- § 23:3 “Witches brew” or “welter of miscellany” in combined trademark/trade dress cases
- § 23:4 Differences between trademark and trade dress cases
- § 23:5 Similarities between trademark and trade dress cases

## CHAPTER 24. RELATIONSHIP TO COPYRIGHT PROTECTION

- § 24:1 Brief summary of copyright infringement elements
- § 24:2 Copyright and trade dress claims in same lawsuit for same subject matter
- § 24:3 Similarities between copyright and trade dress protection
- § 24:4 Differences between copyright and trade dress protection
- § 24:5 Potential conflict of “useful articles” limitation under 17 U.S.C.A. § 101 and trade dress protection for product designs

## CHAPTER 25. RELATIONSHIP TO PATENT PROTECTION

- § 25:1 Design patents and trade dress—Overlap of trade dress with design patent protection
- § 25:2 —Nature of protection

- § 25:3 —Elements of design patent law
- § 25:4 —Product designs as trade dress
- § 25:5 Utility patents and trade dress
- § 25:6 Existence of patent as creating a presumption of functionality or nonfunctionality
- § 25:7 Effect of defining trade dress with reference to a patent, its claims or drawing

## **CHAPTER 26. PROTECTION IN THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

- § 26:1 Introduction to PTO protection
- § 26:2 Protection of color as trade dress in PTO—Before the Lanham Act
- § 26:3 —*Owens-Corning* case
- § 26:4 —Burden in registering color
- § 26:5 —Color depletion theory
- § 26:6 —De jure functionality test
- § 26:7 —*British Seagull* case
- § 26:8 —Shade confusion and color specification
- § 26:9 —Current status
- § 26:10 Protection upon showing of secondary meaning
- § 26:11 Mechanics of a trade dress application—Drawing, the description of the trade dress, and specimens of the trade dress
- § 26:12 —Problems in the registration process
- § 26:13 Registration of immoral or scandalous trade dress
- § 26:14 Expedited registration if trade dress is being infringed
- § 26:15 Examples of registered trade dress
- § 26:16 Examples of trade dress where registration was refused
- § 26:17 Opposition proceedings involving trade dress rights
- § 26:18 Inherently distinctive trade dress in the PTO
- § 26:19 PTO's view of functionality
- § 26:20 Res judicata or claim preclusion in trade dress cases
- § 26:21 Cancellation proceedings involving trade dress rights

## **CHAPTER 27. INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION OF TRADE DRESS AND PROTECTION BY INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

- § 27:1 In general
- § 27:2 How to find a trade dress lawyer in foreign countries
- § 27:3 Sources of international trade dress law
- § 27:4 International protection versus domestic protection
- § 27:5 Extra-territorial reach of United States laws
- § 27:6 ITC proceedings and their initiation
- § 27:7 Effect of ITC decision
- § 27:8 ITC's jurisdiction
- § 27:9 Research materials for ITC cases
- § 27:10 Examples of trade dress cases decided by the ITC

## **CHAPTER 28. GUIDE TO RESEARCHING TRADE DRESS**

- § 28:1 Generally

## **CHAPTER 29. INSURANCE COVERAGE OF TRADE DRESS CLAIMS**

- § 29:1 Overview

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 29:2	Advertising injury coverage
§ 29:3	Tender of defense to insurer
§ 29:4	Duty of defense
§ 29:5	Duty of indemnity <i>[Reserved]</i>
§ 29:6	Specific cases
§ 29:7	Related issues
§ 29:8	Independent counsel or “Cumis” counsel
§ 29:9	Hourly rates in trade dress cases <i>[Reserved]</i>
§ 29:10	Declaratory judgment actions and summary judgment motions to establish coverage of trade dress claims
§ 29:11	Bad faith actions against insurers involving trade dress claims <i>[Reserved]</i>
§ 29:12	Insurance aspects of settling trade dress claims
§ 29:13	Defenses and exclusions to insurance coverage and duty to defend
§ 29:14	Coverage of continuing acts of infringement

## CHAPTER 30. FIGURES: PICTURES AND DRAWINGS OF PARTICULAR TRADE DRESS

### I. PICTURES AND PHOTOGRAPHS

§ 30:1	Pictures of sweater designs from <i>Knitwaves, Inc. v. Lollytogs, Ltd.</i>
--------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------

### II. DRAWINGS

§ 30:2	Drawing of Levi Strauss pants with side tab
§ 30:3	Drawing of candy bar from <i>World’s Finest Chocolates</i> decision
§ 30:4	Drawing of a surveyor’s reel
§ 30:5	Drawing of a Rolls Royce front grill
§ 30:6	Drawing of a Whirlpool jet for bathtubs
§ 30:7	Drawing of a fruit beverage container
§ 30:8	Drawing of a beer bottle
§ 30:9	Drawing of a condiment container
§ 30:10	Drawing of a water hose nozzle from the <i>Teledyne</i> case
§ 30:11	Drawing of a tire from the <i>Goodyear Tire &amp; Rubber v. Vogue Tyre &amp; Rubber</i> case
§ 30:12	Drawing of American Airline plane’s colors

## CHAPTER 31. FEDERAL AND STATE STATUTORY TRADE DRESS RELATED MATERIALS

### I. FEDERAL MATERIALS

§ 31:1	Copyright Statutes from 17 U.S.C.A.
§ 31:2	Patent Statutes from 35 U.S.C.A.
§ 31:3	Trademark Statutes from 15 U.S.C.A.
§ 31:4	Trade Dress Bills: H. R. 3163
§ 31:5	Federal Venue & Jurisdictional Statutes from 28 U.S.C.A.

### II. STATE MATERIALS

§ 31:6	California Statutes from Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code
§ 31:7	California Chapter 711 A.B. No. 1484

## CHAPTER 32. SAMPLE TRADE DRESS SEARCHES

§ 32:1	Sample product configuration trade dress search report: Candy lollipop shaped like ring
--------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- § 32:2 Sample color trade dress search report: Trade dress consisting mainly of a single color
- § 32:3 Sample product design trade dress search report: Design of a fishing lure

## **CHAPTER 33. FILE HISTORIES OF TRADE DRESS REGISTRATIONS**

- § 33:1 File history of Qualitex's Fed. Reg. No. 1,633,711, for the color green-gold on a dry cleaning press pad
- § 33:2 File history of The Topps' Company's Fed. Reg. No. 1,846,873 for a candy in the shape of a diamond ring
- § 33:3 File history of Beall Corp.'s truck trailer design, Fed. Reg. No. 1,622,363 for a truck trailer design
- § 33:4 Trademark Reg. No. 78572285 for Deere & Co. green and yellow color combination for tractors

## **CHAPTER 34. SAMPLE TRADE DRESS SURVEYS**

- § 34:1 Packaging survey on likelihood of confusion as to Hansen's Beverage energy drink can
- § 34:2 Survey in Red Bull v. Matador Concepts *[Reserved]*

## **CHAPTER 35. SUPREME COURT TRADE DRESS DECISIONS**

- § 35:1 Text of opinion in Coats v. Merrick Thread Co., 149 U.S. 562 (1893)
- § 35:2 Text of opinion in Singer Mfg. Co. v. June Mfg. Co., 163 U.S. 169 (1896)
- § 35:3 Text of opinion in Kellogg Co. v. National Biscuit Co., 305 U.S. 111 (1938)
- § 35:4 Text of opinion in Sears, Roebuck Co. v. Stiffel, 376 U.S. 225 (1964)
- § 35:5 Text of opinion in Compco Corp. v. Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., 376 U.S. 234 (1964)
- § 35:6 Text of opinion in Inwood Laboratories, Inc. v. Ives Laboratories, Inc., 456 U.S. 844 (1982)
- § 35:7 Text of opinion in Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft Boats, Inc., 489 U.S. 141 (1989)
- § 35:8 Text of opinion in Two Pesos, Inc. v. Taco Cabana, Inc., 112 S.Ct. 2753 (1992)
- § 35:9 Text of opinion in Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co., 115 S.Ct. 1300 (1995)
- § 35:10 Text of opinion in Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Samara Brothers, Inc., 120 S.Ct. 1339 (2000)
- § 35:11 Text of opinion in Traffix Devices, Inc. v. Marketing Displays, Inc., 121 S.Ct. 1255 (2001)

# **Volume 2**

## **CHAPTER 36. TMEP SECTIONS RELATING TO TRADE DRESS**

- § 36:1 TMEP Sections 1202.02 to § 1202.19

## **CHAPTER 37. FIGURES: PICTURES AND DRAWINGS OF PATENTS RELATED TO TRADE DRESS**

- § 37:1 Drawings from design patent for letter stamping machine, related to Ellison Educational Equipment, Inc. v. Tekservices, Inc., in Nebraska federal court (1995)
- § 37:2 Drawings from patent for product in Traffix Supreme Court case *[Reserved]*
- § 37:3 Drawings from patent for product in Vornado Air Circulation Systems, Inc. v. Duracraft Corp., in 10th Circuit federal court of appeals (1995) *[Reserved]*
- § 37:4 Drawings from design patent in Topps Co., Inc. v. Gerrit J. Verburg Co. in Southern District of New York federal court (1996) *[Reserved]*
- § 37:5 Drawings from patent for product in In Re Howard Leight Industries, Inc. in Trademark Trial and Appeal Board case (2006) *[Reserved]*

## **CHAPTER 38. TRADE DRESS APPLICATIONS**

- § 38:1 Trade dress application for Martinelli's apple-shaped bottle *[Reserved]*
- § 38:2 Trade dress application for color scheme of American Airlines airplane *[Reserved]*
- § 38:3 Trade dress application for garden utility cart, subject of trial in Mueller Mfg. v. Hawkshead *[Reserved]*

## **CHAPTER 39. TRADE DRESS LETTERS AND OPINIONS OF COUNSEL**

- § 39:1 Cease and desist letter to American Lighting from Be-Yang Industrial Co. regarding floor lamp trade dress
- § 39:2 Cease and desist letter to Home Base from The Fringe Gallery regarding whimsical sculptures called PLANT HEADS
- § 39:3 Cease and desist letter (soft) to GM Marketing from Ellison Educational regarding trade dress of letter stamping machines

## **CHAPTER 40. TRADE DRESS COMPLAINTS, ANSWERS, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIMS**

### **I. FEDERAL COURT COMPLAINTS**

- § 40:1 Complaint for infringement of Martinelli's apple-shaped juice bottle in Northern District of California
- § 40:2 First amended complaint for infringement of Hansen's MONSTER brand energy drink can
- § 40:3 Complaint for infringement of toy trade dress by competitor, in Lanard Toys Ltd. v. Novelty, Inc., in the Central District of California federal court
- § 40:4 Complaint for infringement of store trade dress by competitor, in Mother's Nutritional Center, Inc. v. Mommy's Nutritional Center

### **II. STATE COURT COMPLAINTS**

- § 40:5 Performance Machine, Inc. v. Custom Chrome, Inc., Case No. SACV 00-56 AHS (EEEx) (C.D. Cal. February 2, 2000)
- § 40:6 Amended Complaint from Innovations Ventures, LLC v. N2G Distributing, Inc., 2009 WL 2522497 (E.D. Mich. July 10, 2009)
- § 40:7 Second Amended Complaint from Brighton Collectibles, Inc. v. RK Texas Leather Mfg., Inc., 2011 WL 4590487 (S.D. Cal. August 31, 2011)

### **III. ANSWERS AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

- § 40:8 Answer to First Amended Counterclaims from Perrine Lowe, Inc. v. Dolly, Inc., Case No. SACV02-669 GLT (MLGx) (C.D. Cal.)
- § 40:9 Answer to Amended Complaint from Christian Louboutin S.A. v. Yves Saint Laurent America, Inc., 2011 WL 2972922 (S.D.N.Y.)

### **IV. COUNTERCLAIMS**

- § 40:10 Declaratory Judgment Complaint For Duty to Defend Underlying Complaint, from Professional Product Research, Inc. v. General Star Indemnity Co., Case No. 06-CV-5685 (RCC) (July 2006)
- § 40:11 Counterclaim, answer & defenses from Hansen Beverage Co. v. National Beverage Co. in California federal court
- § 40:12 Counterclaim, answer & defenses from Mother's Nutritional Center, Inc. v. Mommy's Nutritional Center
- § 40:13 Counterclaims, Answer & Affirmative Defenses from Reynolds Consumer Products, Inc. v. Hand-Foil Corp., 2014 WL 8664336 (E.D. Va. September 4, 2014)

## **CHAPTER 41. TRADE DRESS PRELIMINARY AND PROCEDURAL MOTIONS**

### **I. REMOVAL FROM STATE TO FEDERAL COURT**

- § 41:1 Notice of removal of Civil Action in Clifford Miller v. Cheseborough Ponds Co., in Central District of California federal court

### **II. STAYS AND TRANSFERS OF CASE**

- § 41:2 Petition for writ of mandamus and application for stay of transfer order in Ellison Educational Equipment, Inc. v. Tekservices, Inc., in Ninth Circuit federal appellate court

### **III. BRIEFS AND MEMORANDA IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS**

- § 41:3 Brief In Support of Motion to Dismiss Complaint or For More Definite Statement in Millennium Laboratories, Inc. v. Ameritex, Ltd., 2012 WL 3202417 (S.D. Cal. 2012)
- § 41:4 Memorandum of Law to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), in *Urban Group Exercise Consultants, Ltd. v. Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc.*, 2012 WL 3929792 (S.D.N.Y. 2012)
- § 41:5 Memorandum in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction, in *The B & F System, Inc. v. LeBlanc*, 2008 WL 4343978 (M.D.Ga. 2008)
- § 41:6 Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c), in *CGS Industries, Inc. v The Charter Oak Fire Insurance Co.*, 2010 WL 4091191 (E.D.N.Y. 2010)

## **CHAPTER 42. TRADE DRESS ORDERS, RULINGS AND OPINIONS**

### **I. TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERS**

- § 42:1 TRO and order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue, from Carol Cable Co. v. Grand Auto, Inc.

### **II. PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDERS**

#### **A. ORDERS GRANTING PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS**

- § 42:2 Ruling on submission in Carr v. Hawkshead, Inc., granting preliminary injunction in Douglass Carr v. Hawkshead in California state court, County of Santa Barbara
- § 42:3 Preliminary injunction and memorandum opinion from Ellison Educational Equipment, Inc. v. Tekservices, Inc., in Nebraska federal court

#### **B. ORDERS DENYING PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS**

- § 42:4 Order denying preliminary injunction in Muller Mfg. Co. v. Hawkshead, Inc., in Central District of California federal court

### **III. ORDERS OF DISMISSAL**

- § 42:5 Stipulation for Dismissal From The Black & Decker Corp. v. Positec USA Inc., 2015 WL 7302416 (N.D.Ill. September 22, 2015)

### **IV. ORDERS TO SHOW CAUSE**

- § 42:6 Order to show cause re: dismissal of supplemental claims in Ellison Educational Equip., Inc. v. GM Marketing., in Central District of California federal court

## **V. SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORDERS**

- § 42:7 Summary judgment orders *[Reserved]*

## **VI. MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS**

- § 42:8 Miscellaneous orders *[Reserved]*

## **VII. PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- § 42:9 Proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law in Accu-Systems v. B & C Instrument Parts, Inc., in Central District of California federal court (on a preliminary injunction motion)

## **CHAPTER 43. TRADE DRESS DISCOVERY MATERIALS**

### **I. REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS**

- § 43:1 Plaintiff Mother's Nutritional Center, Inc. first set of requests for admissions to defendant Mommy's Nutritional Center

### **II. REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

- § 43:2 Plaintiff Mother's Nutritional Center, Inc. first set of requests for the production of documents and tangible things to defendant Mommy's Nutritional Center

### **III. INTERROGATORIES**

- § 43:3 Plaintiff Mother's Nutritional Center, Inc. first set of interrogatories to defendant Mommy's Nutritional Center

### **IV. DISCOVERY PLANS AND STIPULATIONS**

- § 43:4 Agreed Joint Discovery Plan from Reynolds Consumer Products, Inc. v. Handi-Foil Corp.

## **CHAPTER 44. TRADE DRESS PRETRIAL MATERIALS AND DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS**

### **I. SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS AND RELATED MATERIALS**

- § 44:1 Defendant's notice of motion and motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of plaintiff's alleged packaging trade dress, in Perine Lowe, Inc. v. Dolly, Inc.
- § 44:2 Defendant's statement of uncontroverted facts and conclusions of law in support of motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of plaintiff's alleged packaging trade dress, in Perine Lowe, Inc. v. Dolly, Inc.
- § 44:3 Defendant's memorandum of points and authorities in support of motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of plaintiff's alleged packaging trade dress, in Perine Lowe, Inc. v. Dolly, Inc. (with non-confidential exhibits and supporting declarations)
- § 44:4 Defendant's notice of motion and motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of plaintiff's alleged block trade dress, in Perine Lowe, Inc. v. Dolly, Inc.
- § 44:5 Defendant's statement of uncontroverted facts and conclusions of law in support of motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of plaintiff's alleged block trade dress, in Perine Lowe, Inc. v. Dolly, Inc.
- § 44:6 Defendant's memorandum of points and authorities in support of motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of plaintiff's alleged block trade dress, in Perine Lowe, Inc. v. Dolly, Inc. (with non-confidential exhibits and supporting declarations)
- § 44:7 Plaintiff's [Insured's] Summary Judgment Motion (Insurance Coverage) from Test Masters v State Farm, 2013 WL 6824543 (S.D.Tex. Sept. 12, 2013)

## II. MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 44:8 Motion in Limine to Exclude Experts in GUCCI AMERICA, INC., Plaintiff, v. GUESS, INC., Marc Fisher Footwear LLC, the Max Leather Group/Cipriani Accessories, Inc., Sequel AG, K&M Associates L.P., VIVA Optique, Inc., Signal Products, Inc. and Swank, Inc., Defendants., 2011 WL 4590709 (S.D.N.Y.)
- § 44:9 Defendants' Motion in limine to Prohibit Pictures In Lieu of Actual Products from Brighton Collectibles, Inc. v. RK Texas Leather Mfg., Inc.,
- § 44:10 Defendants' Motion In Limine to Exclude Expert Witness on Likelihood of Confusion, from The Black & Decker Corp. v. Positec USA Inc. (N.D. Ill. April 22, 2015)
- § 44:11 Memorandum in Support of Motion to Exclude Witnesses in Deere & Co. v. FIMCO Inc., —F. Supp. 3d—, 2018 WL 1419843 (W.D. Kentucky 2018)
- § 44:12 Opposition to Motion to Exclude Witnesses in Deere & Co. v. FIMCO, —F. Supp. 3d—, 2018 WL 1419843 (W.D. Kentucky 2018)

## CHAPTER 45. TRADE DRESS TRIAL MATERIALS

### I. MOTIONS FOR DIRECTED VERDICT

- § 45:1 Motions for directed verdict *[Reserved]*

### II. VERDICTS

- § 45:2 Verdicts *[Reserved]*

### III. SPECIAL VERDICTS

- § 45:3 Special jury verdict from Yurman case
- § 45:4 Special Verdict from Reynolds Consumer Products, Inc. v. Handi-Foil Corp., 2014 WL 1631352 (E.D. Va. March 28, 2014)
- § 45:5 Proposed Special Verdict from Frito-Lay North America, Inc. v. Medallion Foods, Inc.
- § 45:6 Jury Verdict in Frito-Lay North America, Inc. v. Medallion Foods, Inc., 2013 WL 798003 (E.D.Tex 2013)
- § 45:7 Special Jury Verdict from The Black & Decker Corp. v. Positec USA Inc., 2015 WL 7302430 (N.D.Ill. Oct. 5, 2015)
- § 45:8 Special Verdict From Car-Freshner Corp v. D & J Distributing, 2015 WL 9705098 (SDNY Nov. 19, 2015)

### IV. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

- § 45:9 Proposed Jury Instructions from Frito-Lay North America, Inc. v. Medallion Foods, Inc. (E.D.Tex. February 1, 2013)
- § 45:10 Agreed Jury Instructions from Innovations Ventures, LLC v. N2G Distributing, Inc., 2011 WL 6917275 (E.D. Mich. November 4, 2011)
- § 45:11 Jury Instructions from The Black & Decker Corp. v. Positec USA Inc., 2015 WL 7302430 (N.D.Ill. Oct. 5, 2015)
- § 45:12 Joint Jury Instructions from Car-Freshner Corp v. D & J Distrubting and Manuf., Inc., 2015 WL 6694527 (SDNY September 4, 2015)

### V. VOIR DIRE

- § 45:13 Voir dire *[Reserved]*

### VI. FINAL JUDGMENTS

- § 45:14 Final judgment order from Taco Cabana, International, Inc. v. Two Pesos, Inc., in Southern District of Texas federal court (App. B to Two Pesos Supreme Court decision)

## **VII. TRIAL BRIEFS**

- § 45:15 Plaintiff's Trial Brief from Brighton Collectibles, Inc. v. RK Texas Leather Mfg., Inc., 2013 WL 6433733 (S. D. Cal. October 14, 2013)

## **CHAPTER 46. TRADE DRESS POST TRIAL MATERIALS**

- § 46:1 Contempt Proceedings—Petition for Contempt Order (template) for Trade Dress Consent Judgment from 15B Fed. Proc. Forms § 64:626
- § 46:2 Consent Decrees and Permanent Injunctions—Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction from Kreation Juicery Inc. v. Eirman Shekarchi, 2014 WL 7691351 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014)
- § 46:3 Memorandum in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees in Nutrivita, Inc. v. VBS, 2016 WL 4586315 (C.D. Cal. 2016)

## **CHAPTER 47. TRADE DRESS APPEALS**

### **I. NOTICES OF APPEAL AND RELATED MATERIALS**

- § 47:1 Notice of Appeal and Related Documents *[Reserved]*

### **II. APPELLANT BRIEFS**

- § 47:2 Appellant/Petitioner Traffix's Brief in Supreme Court case, Traffix Devices, Inc. v. Marketing Displays, Inc., 52 U.S. 23, 121 S. Ct. 1255, 149 L. Ed. 2d 164, 58 USPQ2d 1001 (2001)
- § 47:3 Hansen's Appeal Brief in Hansen Beverage Co. v. Thunderbolt Beverage Co., in the Ninth Circuit federal appellate court
- § 47:4 Abercrombie & Fitch Stores, Inc. v. American Eagle Outfitters, Inc.

### **III. APPELLEE BRIEFS**

- § 47:5 Appellee's Brief in Secalt S.A. v. Wuxi Shenxi Const. Machinery Co., Ltd., 668 F.3d 677, 101 U.S.P.Q.2d 1553 (9th Cir. 2012)

### **IV. REPLY BRIEFS**

- § 47:6 Reply brief for petitioners for a writ of certiorari, in Lanard Toys, Inc. v. General Motors Corp.

### **V. AMICUS BRIEFS**

- § 47:7 Motion for leave to file amicus brief and brief amicus curiae of INTA in support of petition in Conopco, Inc. v. May Dept. Stores Co. in Supreme Court

### **VI. ORAL ARGUMENTS**

- § 47:8 Oral argument from Mercado Latino Inc. v. Indio Products, Inc., 2016 WL 1618117 (9th Cir. March 11, 2016)

## **CHAPTER 48. MATERIALS FROM OTHER TRADE DRESS PROCEEDINGS**

- § 48:1 Customs Recording Notice from 50 Cust. B. & Dec. 1 (Customs), 2016 WL 1259122 (March 23, 2016)
- § 48:2 Foreign tribunals *[Reserved]*

## **CHAPTER 49. TRADE DRESS EXPERT WITNESS MATERIALS**

- § 49:1 Expert witness report and declaration of Henry R. Hidell III, as to no likelihood of confusion of the trade dress of the Monster energy drink can

- § 49:2 Expert witness report and declaration of Sandra R. Cogan challenging survey done by another survey expert on Redbull energy drink can
- § 49:3 Expert witness report and declaration of survey expert Marylander involving trade dress of Lanard toys and competitor's toys
- § 49:4 Expert witness report and declaration of Dr. Gerald Ford involving trade dress of 1800 tequila bottle in E & J Gallo v. Proximo Spirits, Inc., 2012 WL 947187 (E.D. Cal. 2012)

## **CHAPTER 50. COURT DOCKETS FROM TRADE DRESS CASES**

- § 50:1 Court docket from Hansen Beverage Co. v. National Beverage Co., involving trade dress of Monster brand energy drink can
- § 50:2 Westlaw List of 8 Related Opinions/Dockets for Deere & Co v. FIMCO

## **CHAPTER 51. LEGAL AND FACTUAL SEARCHES FOR TRADE DRESS AND TRADE DRESS LAW**

- § 51:1 Search of West Key Number Digest on Westlaw for functionality trade dress cases
- § 51:2 Search of Intellectual Property Expert Witness Filings database [IP-EW-DOCS] on Westlaw for trade dress cases

**Table of Laws and Rules**

**Table of Cases**

**Index**