

Table of Contents

Volume 6

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

I. ORGANIZATION OF THIS TEXT

- § 1:1 In general; Access to the law
- § 1:2 Substantive law chapters
- § 1:3 Practical procedures chapters
- § 1:4 Appendices

II. FUNDAMENTALS

A. MANDATORY NATURE OF THE PENNSYLVANIA ACT

- § 1:5 Workers' compensation as mandatory system
- § 1:6 The two anti-waiver provisions of the Pennsylvania Act
- § 1:7 Requirement of insurance; Consequences of failure to insure
- § 1:8 Effect of 1993 amendments
- § 1:9 Mandatory coverage and agreements as to employment status
- § 1:10 ERISA as not preempting workers' compensation
- § 1:11 Arbitration & waiver agreements and effect on workers' compensation rights
- § 1:12 Effect of 1996 amendments: Creation of Uninsured Employers Guaranty Fund
- § 1:13 Effect of employee's claim to be independent contractor
- § 1:14 Effect of employer's bankruptcy
- § 1:15 Effect of claimant's bankruptcy
- § 1:16 Election
- § 1:17 State workers' compensation acts not preempted by NAFTA
- § 1:18 Opt-out legislation in Oklahoma declared unconstitutional

B. PURPOSE AND THEORY OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT

- § 1:19 In general

- § 1:20 The humanitarian purpose (no-fault liability)
- § 1:21 Humanitarian purpose and occupational disease legislation; The Hawk's Nest Tunnel Disaster
- § 1:22 Cost of accidents as ingredient in the cost of goods and services (cost internalization)
- § 1:23 An alternative view: Workers as funding workers' compensation via wage reductions
- § 1:24 Secondary purpose: The safety objective
- § 1:25 The safety purpose: slow recognition in the cases, but present from the outset
- § 1:26 Health and safety in historical perspective
- § 1:27 Secondary objective: Rehabilitation
- § 1:28 Historical note: Common law of vocational rehabilitation (1983–1996) and legislative overthrow (1996)
- § 1:29 Law as favoring the settlement of disputes
- § 1:30 Why workers' compensation was never federalized
- § 1:31 Durability of the system

C. EFFECT OF THE ACT ON OTHER STATUTORY OR COMMON LAW RIGHTS OF ACTION

- § 1:32 In general
- § 1:33 Comprehensive discussion of exclusive remedy and related issues
- § 1:34 Statutory basis for exclusive remedy; Parties immune from suit
- § 1:35 Statutory exceptions to exclusive remedy
- § 1:36 Decisional law precluding common law suit
- § 1:37 Decisional law allowing common law suit; Personal injury involved
- § 1:38 Causes of action not affected by exclusive remedy
- § 1:39 Claim of retaliatory discharge for exercise of workers' compensation rights not barred
- § 1:40 Anomalous case of *Lord Corp. v. Pollard* (Pa. 1997)
- § 1:41 Practice note: Exclusivity versus “preemption”

III. BRIEF HISTORY OF THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION AND OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE ACTS

- § 1:42 Origins of the law in Pennsylvania; Amendment to the constitution
- § 1:43 Historical Note: Before the Workers' Compensation Act, The Casey Act
- § 1:44 Research note: Comprehensive centennial history of Act published

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 1:45	Crystal Eastman and <i>Work Accidents and the Law</i> (1908)
§ 1:46	Original legislation of 1915
§ 1:47	Reasons for omission of occupational diseases
§ 1:48	1980 text on occupational disease compensation endures (2021) as the superior analysis
§ 1:49	Constitutionality of the 1915 Act; Developments, 1916–1936
§ 1:50	Enactment of workers’ compensation as legitimate exercise of the state’s “police power”
§ 1:51	1937 amendments liberalizing law and adding disease coverage; Tumultuous case of <i>Rich Hill Coal v. Bashore</i> (Pa. 1939)
§ 1:52	Retrenchment: 1939 reenactment of the Workmen’s Compensation Act and creation of the Occupational Disease Act
§ 1:53	Developments, 1939–1955
§ 1:54	1956 amendments to Workmen’s Compensation and Occupational Disease Acts
§ 1:55	Creation of Commonwealth Court
§ 1:56	The amendments of 1972: A “year of dramatic change”
§ 1:57	Statutory changes: 1974–1978
§ 1:58	Landmark common law developments, 1972–1993
§ 1:59	Substantive areas, 1972–1993
§ 1:60	Research note: Landmark Case of <i>Pawlosky v. W.C.A.B. (Latrobe Brewing Co.)</i> (Pa. 1987) commemorated in 2017
§ 1:61	Procedural areas, 1972–1993
§ 1:62	1993 amendments to the Act (Act 44): Genesis of the reform movement
§ 1:63	Critical aspects of 1993 Amendments
§ 1:64	Landmark common law developments, 1994–1997
§ 1:65	Substantive areas, 1994–1997
§ 1:66	Procedural areas, 1993–1997
§ 1:67	Amendments to the Act (Act 1 of 1995)
§ 1:68	Act 57 of 1996: Introduction, summary and background
§ 1:69	Rule of liberal construction continues under Act 57
§ 1:70	Constitutional challenges to Act 57; Tumultuous case of <i>Protz v. W.C.A.B. (Derry Area Sch. Dist.)</i> (Pa. 2017)
§ 1:71	Critical developments (1998)
§ 1:72	Critical developments (1999)
§ 1:73	Critical developments (2000)
§ 1:74	Critical developments (2001)

WORKERS' COMPENSATION: LAW & PRACTICE

- § 1:75 Critical developments (2002)
- § 1:76 Critical developments (2003)
- § 1:77 Critical developments (2004)
- § 1:78 Critical developments (2005)
- § 1:79 2005 Legislative Budget and Finance Committee (LBFC) Report
- § 1:80 Critical developments (2006)
- § 1:81 2006 amendments: Act 147 of 2006
- § 1:82 Background of 2006 amendments
- § 1:83 Critical developments (2007)
- § 1:84 Critical developments (2008)
- § 1:85 Rand Corporation Releases Study of Pennsylvania System (2008)
- § 1:86 WCRI studies factors influencing return to work (2011)
- § 1:87 Critical developments (late 2008, early 2009)
- § 1:88 Critical developments (2009, early 2010)
- § 1:89 Critical developments (2010, early 2011)
- § 1:90 Critical developments (2011, early 2012)
- § 1:91 Critical developments (2012, early 2013)
- § 1:92 Critical developments (2013, early 2014)
- § 1:93 Amendment of Act (2014) Regarding Longshore Act, Jones Act
- § 1:94 Critical developments (2014, early 2015)
- § 1:95 Critical developments (2015, early 2016)
- § 1:96 Critical developments (2016, early 2017)
- § 1:97 Critical developments (2017, early 2018)
- § 1:98 Critical developments (2018, early 2019)
- § 1:99 Critical developments (2019, early 2020)
- § 1:100 Critical developments (2020, early 2021)

IV. CONSTRUCTION AND APPLICATION OF THE LAW

A. RULES OF CONSTRUCTION

- § 1:101 Generally; Application of general rules
- § 1:102 Statutory Construction and lack of legislative correction
- § 1:103 Stare decisis; Conflicting precedents; Age of precedents
- § 1:104 Deference to agency in interpreting regulations
- § 1:105 The rule of liberal construction
- § 1:106 Limits on the rule of liberal construction
- § 1:107 The prior practice
- § 1:108 Practice note: Rule of liberal construction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 1:109 Effect of 1996 amendments (Act 57)
- § 1:110 Use of legislative history
- § 1:111 Practice note: Avoiding constitutional issues

B. RETROACTIVE AND PROSPECTIVE APPLICATION OF THE LAW

- § 1:112 Generally
- § 1:113 Retroactivity defined; Theoretical basis for disallowing retroactive application of law
- § 1:114 Determining retroactivity in workers' compensation cases
- § 1:115 Application of the Statutory Construction Act
- § 1:116 General rule as stated by courts; Remedies versus rights
- § 1:117 Cases under the Act: In general
- § 1:118 Cases denominating certain provisions substantive or procedural
- § 1:119 Caveat: Remedial amendment retroactive
- § 1:120 Changes in case law
- § 1:121 Retroactivity of case law declaring statute unconstitutional; Effect on prior final adjudication

V. ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE: DEPARTMENT OF LABOR & INDUSTRY

- § 1:122 Introduction
- § 1:123 Practice Note: Primacy of Labor & Industry website and *Annual Report* for understanding administrative structure
- § 1:124 Administrative structure reviewed in current/historical context in Centennial text
- § 1:125 Independent assessments of the administrative structure: 1991, 1996, 2008

A. BUREAU OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION

- § 1:126 Structure of the Bureau of Workers' Compensation
- § 1:127 Statutory bases for powers and duties
- § 1:128 Administrative Support Division
- § 1:129 Claims Management Division
- § 1:130 Health and Safety Division
- § 1:131 Health Care Services Review Division
- § 1:132 Self-Insurance Division
- § 1:133 Special Funds and Compliance Division
- § 1:134 Legal Division

**B. WORKERS' COMPENSATION OFFICE OF
ADJUDICATION**

- § 1:135 Introduction; Historical Background
- § 1:136 Practice note: Hearings and Fact-Finding—Major cross-reference
- § 1:137 Sources of authority and status of WCJ; WCJ hearings public; Reassignments; When hearing need not be convened
- § 1:138 Practice note: Reassignment of cases
- § 1:139 Status of WCJ as fact-finder; Ability to investigate and order discovery
- § 1:140 Practice note: Power and authority of WCJs; Self-represented workers; Larson theory of WCJ as trial judge
- § 1:141 Inability of WCJ and Board to rule on constitutional issues
- § 1:142 Historical note: Union status of Workers' Compensation Judges

C. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEAL BOARD

- § 1:143 Introduction
- § 1:144 Appeal Board and its history treated in 2015 Centennial text
- § 1:145 Practice note: Appeal Board practices—Major cross-reference
- § 1:146 Effect of 2006 amendments
- § 1:147 Practice Note: Board status, history, and practice on Board website
- § 1:148 Practice note: Board practice
- § 1:149 Authority and status of Appeal Board; Board hearings public; Statutory appellate duties and powers of Appeal Board; Scope of review
- § 1:150 Original jurisdiction of Appeal Board

D. APPELLATE ENTITIES

- § 1:151 Commonwealth Court
- § 1:152 Supreme Court

**CHAPTER 2. EMPLOYMENT, STATUTORY
EMPLOYMENT, AND LEASED
EMPLOYMENT**

I. IN GENERAL

- § 2:1 Generally; Statutory definition of employer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:2 Statutory definition

II. COMMON-LAW TREATMENT OF SECTION 103

- § 2:3 Generally; Distinction between employer-employee relationship and contractor-independent contractor
- § 2:4 Entities which can be employers; Hiring by agents
- § 2:5 Right to control
- § 2:6 Practice note: Right to control details versus “relative nature of work” analysis
- § 2:7 Practice note: H.B. 2215 of 2020 would have displaced control test with “ABC” test
- § 2:8 Practice note: Burden of proof and collateral estoppel effect
- § 2:9 Practice note: CGL and auto insurance policies do not provide coverage for injuries sustained by employees arising in the course of employment
- § 2:10 Employee status of person with ownership interest in employer
- § 2:11 Act 72 of 2010: Construction Workplace Misclassification Act (2010)
- § 2:12 Interpretations of Construction Workplace Misclassification Act
- § 2:13 Act 72 of 2010: Applicability to all contracts

III. DOCTRINE OF “BORROWING EMPLOYER”

- § 2:14 Introduction; General principles
- § 2:15 “Leased-truck” cases
- § 2:16 Practice note: Leased-truck cases
- § 2:17 Leased-trucks and the import of regulatory authority
- § 2:18 CETA, related cases, and “workfare”

IV. STATUTORY EMPLOYERS

A. IN GENERAL; STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- § 2:19 General principles; Purpose of the concept
- § 2:20 Statutory bases; Statutory employer’s right to indemnity
- § 2:21 Effect of 1993 Amendments: Additions to Section 302
- § 2:22 Practice note: Significance of two versions of statutory employment
- § 2:23 Practice note: Defense of statutory employer said to be jurisdictional

- § 2:24 Historical Note: Whether injured worker is employee or independent contractor and the “screening question”

B. CONSTRUCTION OF STATUTORY EMPLOYER PROVISIONS

- § 2:25 Generally; Criteria for establishing “statutory employer” status
- § 2:26 Practice note: Successive sub-contractors
- § 2:27 Differences in application to tort and compensation cases
- § 2:28 Employer who is under a contract with an owner or one who is in the position of an owner
- § 2:29 Tort suit against premises owner
- § 2:30 Premises occupied by or under the control of alleged statutory employer
- § 2:31 Subcontract between purported statutory employer and sub-contractor (“Vertical privity”)
- § 2:32 Practice note: The subcontract element and a split of authority
- § 2:33 Part of the employer’s regular business entrusted to a sub-contractor
- § 2:34 Municipality contracting out work as a statutory employer
- § 2:35 Employee of a sub-contractor
- § 2:36 Application of Section 302(a): Leading case of *Six L’s Packing Co.* (Pa. 2012)
- § 2:37 Immunity of statutory employer from suit; Leading case of *Fonner v. Shandon* (Pa. 1999)
- § 2:38 Rule immunizing employer from liability not in violation of “Open Courts” provision of Pennsylvania Constitution
- § 2:39 Statutory employment and franchisors
- § 2:40 Immunity of employee of statutory employer
- § 2:41 Practice note: Burden of proof

V. MISCELLANEOUS EMPLOYMENT SITUATIONS

- § 2:42 Dual employment, joint employment, and joint and several liability
- § 2:43 Temporary agencies
- § 2:44 Temporary/Staffing agencies as providing long-term labor
- § 2:45 Temporary agency as carrier of workers’ compensation coverage: Effect on claimant’s third-party action
- § 2:46 Effect of a third-party as an “alternate employer”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- (additional insured) on temporary agency's Workers' Compensation Policy
- § 2:47 Parent-subsidiary

VI. EFFECT OF EXISTENCE OF COMPENSATION COVERAGE ON EMPLOYER STATUS

- § 2:48 Unsettled rule regarding carrying of insurance on employee and estoppel to deny employer status
- § 2:49 Practice note: Presence of compensation insurance

VII. EMPLOYEE LEASING/PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYER ORGANIZATIONS

- § 2:50 Employee leasing: Introduction
- § 2:51 Practice note: California case defining employee leasing
- § 2:52 Lack of regulation in Pennsylvania before 2012
- § 2:53 Regulation of PEO's: Act 102 of 2012
- § 2:54 Employee leasing and insurance
- § 2:55 Practice note: Employee leasing and the workers' compensation insurance connection
- § 2:56 Historical note: Employee leasing as troubling to state regulators
- § 2:57 Historical Note: Pre-2012 disputed cases
- § 2:58 Practice note: Further resources addressing employee leasing

CHAPTER 3. PERSONS ENTITLED TO COMPENSATION, EXTRATERRITORIALITY AND RIGHTS OF VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 3:1 Generally
- § 3:2 Statutory definition
- § 3:3 "Illegality" of employment contract/fraud in the hiring as not a defense
- § 3:4 Continued residency in Pennsylvania not a requirement for continuation of benefits
- § 3:5 Practice note: Act's spelling of employee as "employee"

II. UNDOCUMENTED WORKERS

- § 3:6 Coverage of undocumented workers

- § 3:7 Critical analysis of *Astudillo*, the undocumented worker entitlement issue, and federal preemption
- § 3:8 Cases from other states allowing disability benefits despite undocumented status
- § 3:9 Practice note: Critique of laws limiting disability benefits to undocumented workers
- § 3:10 Practice note: Representing undocumented workers
- § 3:11 Practice note: Burden of proof when undocumented worker refuses to answer inquiries on immigration status
- § 3:12 Undocumented workers should be considered employees

III. JUDICIAL CONSTRUCTION OF SECTION 104, IN GENERAL

- § 3:13 Generally
- § 3:14 Practice note: Proving employment status and burden of proof
- § 3:15 Corporate officers
- § 3:16 The prior practice: Electing-out and effect of 1993 and 1996 amendments
- § 3:17 Practice note: Procedure for electing out
- § 3:18 Requirement that employee perform services for a valuable consideration, generally
- § 3:19 Existence of contract of employment; Assent to relationship

IV. CASUAL EMPLOYMENT

- § 3:20 Introduction; Purpose of exclusion
- § 3:21 Meaning of “casual”
- § 3:22 Meaning of “regular course of the business of the employer”
- § 3:23 Practice note: Proving casual employee status
- § 3:24 Practice note: Casual employment cases in conflict?

V. PARTICULAR MISCELLANEOUS EMPLOYMENTS

- § 3:25 Introduction
- § 3:26 Jurors
- § 3:27 Prisoners: Confined and in work-release
- § 3:28 Work relief/workfare recipients
- § 3:29 Professional athletes
- § 3:30 College scholarship athletes
- § 3:31 National Guard members

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 3:32 “Tryout” workers and substitutes
- § 3:33 Job applicants
- § 3:34 Student nurses; Interns and residents
- § 3:35 Student interns
- § 3:36 Court reporters
- § 3:37 Newscarriers
- § 3:38 Amateur sports officials
- § 3:39 Horse racing jockeys
- § 3:40 Nonstandard work and the Gig Economy: Leading text,
Rosenblat, *Uberland: How Algorithms are Rewriting
the Rules of Work* (2018)
- § 3:41 Pennsylvania law and the true Gig Workforce;
Unemployment case of *Lowman v. UCBR* (Pa. 2020)
- § 3:42 Rejecting control in the Gig Economy employment
analysis
- § 3:43 Worker health and safety in the Gig Economy
- § 3:44 Practice Note: New comprehensive article on workers’
compensation and labor in the Gig Economy
- § 3:45 Practice note: “Humans as a Service”—Essential text
by Law Professor Prassl on the gig economy

VI. EMPLOYEES OR PERSONS COVERED OR EXCLUDED UNDER OTHER STATUTES

A. PERSONS EXCLUDED OR EXEMPTED

- § 3:46 Domestics
- § 3:47 Practice note: Exclusion of domestics
- § 3:48 Workers laboring in “consumer-directed home care”
- § 3:49 Amendment of Act (2014) Regarding Longshore Act,
Jones Act
- § 3:50 Real estate brokers
- § 3:51 Insurance brokers
- § 3:52 Conscientious objectors
- § 3:53 Practice note: Conscientious objectors
- § 3:54 Practice note 2: Conscientious objectors
- § 3:55 Volunteers
- § 3:56 “Good Samaritan” volunteers: The 2003 amendment
and unfortunate use of phrase “course and scope of
employment”
- § 3:57 “Good Samaritan” volunteers: Crime Victims Act
provision adopted by reference

B. PERSONS INCLUDED

- § 3:58 Agricultural workers
- § 3:59 Minors; Penalties for illegal employment

- § 3:60 Employer's hiring of minors and "scienter" requirement
- § 3:61 Payment of compensation due illegally employed minor
- § 3:62 Auxiliary police
- § 3:63 Statutory employees

VII. EFFECT ON APPLICATION OF THE ACT OF GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATION OF EMPLOYEE'S INJURY: INTRATERRITORIALITY AND EXTRATERRITORIALITY PROVISIONS

A. IN GENERAL; APPLICATION TO INTRATERRITORIAL INJURIES

- § 3:64 Introduction
- § 3:65 Provision regarding "post-hoc" coverage for employers domiciled outside Pennsylvania Act

B. APPLICATION TO EXTRATERRITORIAL INJURIES

- § 3:66 Generally; Statutory provisions
- § 3:67 Burden of proof on claimant to show applicability of Pennsylvania Act when injury sustained out of state
- § 3:68 Practice note: Extraterritorial injury provision is based on model statute
- § 3:69 Alabama courts interpret parallel statute
- § 3:70 Kentucky courts interpret parallel statute
- § 3:71 New Mexico courts interpret parallel statute
- § 3:72 Delaware courts interpret parallel statute
- § 3:73 Practice Note: Exhaustive Article on Pennsylvania Act and Extraterritoriality
- § 3:74 Extraterritoriality in Pennsylvania before 1974 amendment
- § 3:75 Employment principally localized in Pennsylvania
- § 3:76 Employee working under a contract of hire made in Pennsylvania in employment not principally localized in any state
- § 3:77 Employee working under a contract of hire made in Pennsylvania in employment principally localized in another state whose workers' compensation law is not applicable to his employer
- § 3:78 Employee working under a contract of hire made in Pennsylvania for employment outside the United States and Canada
- § 3:79 Construction of term "contract of hire made in this state"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 3:80 Construction of term “principally localized”
- § 3:81 Effect of agreements regarding principal localization of employment
- § 3:82 Practice note: Matters regarding extraterritoriality provisions
- § 3:83 Workers’ compensation in Canada

C. INTERACTION OF PENNSYLVANIA WORKERS’ COMPENSATION ACT AND LAWS OF OTHER STATES

- § 3:84 Generally; 1993 Amendment
- § 3:85 Practice note: Credit for other-state payments
- § 3:86 Practice note: Evidentiary effect of payments by employer under other state’s compensation law

VIII. APPLICATION OF PENNSYLVANIA WORKERS’ COMPENSATION ACT TO VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS AND RELATED OCCUPATIONS

- § 3:87 Introduction
- § 3:88 Volunteer firefighters not entitled to Heart & Lung Act salary continuance
- § 3:89 Persons covered
- § 3:90 Effect of 2002 and 2003 amendments
- § 3:91 Effect of 2020 amendment
- § 3:92 Definition of “member”; Employed or retired status irrelevant
- § 3:93 Archival Note: Prior discussion of the term “member”
- § 3:94 Scope of coverage of volunteer firefighters, ambulance corpsmen, and rescue and lifesaving crews
- § 3:95 Scope of coverage of other volunteers
- § 3:96 Practice note: The “actively engaged”/“actually engaged” criterion as opportunity for coverage
- § 3:97 Practice note: The municipal authorization “catch-all”
- § 3:98 Injuries and volunteer firefighters; Presumption “disappears” once municipality presents rebuttal
- § 3:99 Practice note: Proving claims in cases of diseases of the heart and lungs
- § 3:100 Extraterritorial injuries
- § 3:101 Benefit rate applicable to volunteer firefighters
- § 3:102 SAWW to be imputed to all firefighters, regardless of regular employment status
- § 3:103 Duration of benefits
- § 3:104 Practice note: Method of establishing cost of insurance

- § 3:105 Tort liability of fire company versus municipality
- § 3:106 Practice note: Illegality of discrimination against injured volunteer firefighters
- § 3:107 Practice note: Dealing with volunteer firefighter claims
- § 3:108 Effect of 2002 amendment: Act 60
- § 3:109 Mutual aid agreements

CHAPTER 4. CASUALTIES AND DISABLEMENTS COMPENSABLE

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 4:1 Generally; Statutory provisions
- § 4:2 Prior practice
- § 4:3 Practice note: Prior practice

II. CONSTRUCTION OF THE TERM “INJURY”

A. IN GENERAL

- § 4:4 Introduction
- § 4:5 *Pincus* and *Krawchuk*: Heart attack, unusual strain, and off-premises events
- § 4:6 Practice note: Arising in the course of employment, off-premises events, and an inconsistent decision
- § 4:7 Practice Note: *Krawchuk* not overruled
- § 4:8 *Bethlehem Steel*: Aggravation, repetitive trauma, and specific events
- § 4:9 *Thomas* and *Martin*: Mental stress and abnormal working conditions
- § 4:10 *Jackson Township*, *Lash* and *Farquhar*: Risk of harm as injury
- § 4:11 Practice note: Risk of harm and compensability of medical monitoring
- § 4:12 Practice Note: Risk of harm and obsolete precedents
- § 4:13 *Fruehauf* to *Pawlosky*: Decline and fall of limited compensation for diseases
- § 4:14 *Pawlosky* precedent marks 30 years
- § 4:15 Anomalous result in *Lord Corp. v. Pollard* (Pa. 1997)
- § 4:16 Exposure to secondhand smoke
- § 4:17 Practice note: Social factors and work-related disorders
- § 4:18 Thirty years of liberal construction of the term “injury”

B. LAW UNIQUE TO PARTICULAR INJURIES

1. Heart Attack and Other Cardiac Ailments

- § 4:19 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:20	Practice note: Cardiac injury claims
§ 4:21	Practice note: Time limitations
	2. Aggravation and Continuous Trauma Cases
§ 4:22	Generally
§ 4:23	Practice note: Date of injury
	3. Mental Stress Injuries
§ 4:24	Generally; Incident with minor physical injury likely still a mental claim
§ 4:25	Research note: New article reviews Pennsylvania and all jurisdictions on mental stress causing mental disability claims
§ 4:26	Practice note: The DSM-5 and the diagnosis of PTSD
§ 4:27	Practice note: Upheaval in the law (1999–2001) surrounding “mental stress causing physical disability” and resolution in <i>Panyko v. W.C.A.B. (U.S. Airways)</i> (Pa. 2005)
§ 4:28	Preexisting susceptibility to mental illness not a bar to mental-mental claim
§ 4:29	Mental stress causing mental injury: Abnormal working conditions required
§ 4:30	Promotions, demotions, and other common workplace stresses
§ 4:31	Workplace conditions not generally considered abnormal; Relaxation of rule in leading case of <i>Payes v. Pennsylvania State Police</i> (Pa. 2013)
§ 4:32	Relaxation of rule; Leading case of <i>Payes v. Pennsylvania State Police</i> (Pa. 2013)
§ 4:33	Mental harm from sexual harassment cognizable as an injury
§ 4:34	Practice Note: Sexual harassment and injury therefrom as arising in the course of employment
§ 4:35	Practice note: Extra burden and expert testimony
§ 4:36	Practice note: Distinguishing psychic injury original claim from psychological overlay cases
§ 4:37	Abnormal working conditions, the ADA and constitutional claims
§ 4:38	Decline and fall of <i>Whiteside v. W.C.A.B. (Unisys Corp.)</i> (Pa. Commw. 1994)
§ 4:39	Mental injury as biological disorder
§ 4:40	Mental stress cases and the limitation of action
	4. Risk of Continued Injury
§ 4:41	Generally
§ 4:42	Extent of protection: Controversy over “prophylactic

restrictions” and resolution in *Bethlehem Steel Corp. v. W.C.A.B. (Baxter)* (Pa. 1998)

§ 4:43 Interpretation of *Baxter*: Competing cases of *Locher* and *McCabe*

§ 4:44 Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57)

5. Miscellaneous

§ 4:45 Generally

§ 4:46 Shiftwork Maladaptation Syndrome

§ 4:47 Shift Work Maladaptation Syndrome: Approach of other jurisdictions

§ 4:48 Compensability of Hepatitis C (in general)

§ 4:49 Hepatitis C amendment to Pennsylvania Act (2001)

§ 4:50 Regulatory authority under Hepatitis C amendment

§ 4:51 Practice note: Compensability of injuries suffered from overwork

§ 4:52 Compensability of disorders caused by naturally occurring toxic substances

§ 4:53 Latex exposure cases

§ 4:54 Circumstances of work and condition of premises as aggravating factors

§ 4:55 Medical testing and monitoring

§ 4:56 Lyme Disease as compensable under the Pennsylvania Act

§ 4:57 “Sitting as the New Smoking”

§ 4:58 Migraine headaches and compensability under the Pennsylvania Act

§ 4:59 COVID-19 as compensable under the Pennsylvania Act

III. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WORK AND INJURY: CONSTRUCTION OF “COURSE OF EMPLOYMENT” AND “RELATED THERETO” REQUIREMENTS

A. IN GENERAL

§ 4:60 Introduction; Statutory provisions

§ 4:61 Practice note: Phraseology “course and scope”

§ 4:62 Genesis and general effect of requirements; interpretation of the phrases “arising in the course of employment and related thereto”

§ 4:63 Practice note: Burden of proof and presumptions applicable to issues of causation

B. CAUSATION

§ 4:64 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:65 Necessity of medical evidence
- § 4:66 Expert testimony; Obvious and non-obvious causation and disability
- § 4:67 Claimant's own characterization of injury

C. RECURRENCES AND AGGRAVATIONS OF INJURIES; EFFECT OF PREEXISTING AND SUBSEQUENT INJURIES

- § 4:68 Generally
- § 4:69 Temporary orders for compensation (the Section 410 order)
- § 4:70 Practice note: Use of medical evidence in aggravation/recurrence cases
- § 4:71 Practice note: Recurrence, aggravation, and burden of proof in reinstatement context
- § 4:72 Apportionment, generally (Section 322, added in 1993)
- § 4:73 Section 322 as not applicable in recurrence/aggravation context
- § 4:74 Application of Section 322

D. CONSEQUENCES OF INJURY AS COMPENSABLE

- § 4:75 Generally
- § 4:76 Compensable consequences, burden of proof, limitation of action, and proper petition: Primacy of *Commercial Credit Claims v. W.C.A.B. (Lancaster)* (Pa. 1999); and *Westinghouse Electric Corp. / CBS v. W.C.A.B. (Burger)* (Pa. Commw. 2003)
- § 4:77 Review of NCP and leading case of *Cinram Manufacturing v. W.C.A.B. (Hill)* (Pa. 2009)
- § 4:78 Compensable consequences and notice of injury
- § 4:79 Review of NCP when a claimant returns to work: Need to file within three years
- § 4:80 Archives of earlier commentary: Compensable consequences and uncertainty in the law

E. LAW UNIQUE TO DEATH

- § 4:81 Generally
- § 4:82 Practice note: Death claims

F. CONSTRUCTION OF "COURSE OF EMPLOYMENT" IN PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES

- § 4:83 The Pennsylvania conceptualization of "course of

employment”: Liberal, but not the “positional risk” doctrine

§ 4:84 Practice note: Incident or abandonment?

1. Role of the Premises

§ 4:85 Marginal situations; On-premises cases

§ 4:86 On-premises cases and Acts of God

§ 4:87 Marginal situations; Off-premises cases; The “furthering the employer’s interests” requirement

§ 4:88 Ignoring the “arising” concept: Unsatisfactory case of *Camilo v. W.C.A.B. (American Bank Notes)* (Pa. Commw. 1999)

§ 4:89 Injury or death suffered after termination of work

§ 4:90 Preparation for work

§ 4:91 Meaning of “premises” and “condition of the premises”; Parking lot cases

§ 4:92 Practice note: Court draws distinction between “Parking Lot” cases and “Pathway” cases

§ 4:93 Personal comfort doctrine

§ 4:94 Meals

§ 4:95 Practice note: Meals

§ 4:96 Idiopathic Falls

§ 4:97 Practice Note: New article treats idiopathic falls under Pennsylvania, other state acts

§ 4:98 Unexplained Falls

§ 4:99 At-home injuries suffered by telecommuters

2. Social Events, Amusements, and Similar Activities

§ 4:100 Generally

§ 4:101 Recreation and amusement

§ 4:102 Parties and other social events

§ 4:103 Employer-sponsored teams and exertional activities encouraged by employer

§ 4:104 Off-duty running injuries

3. Injuries Incurred During Travel

§ 4:105 Generally

§ 4:106 Contract of employment includes transportation

§ 4:107 Effect of 1993 amendments: Employer-provided car

§ 4:108 Claimant with no fixed place of work; Traveling or “roving” employee

§ 4:109 Special missions

§ 4:110 Special circumstances

§ 4:111 The falling asleep at the wheel cases

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:112 Ridesharing Act cases
- § 4:113 2015 Amendment to Ridesharing Act
- § 4:114 Temporary employees
- 4. Labor Activities, Worker Housing, and Other Circumstances
- § 4:115 Labor activities
- § 4:116 Bunkhouse rule
- § 4:117 Worker drafted by the employer to do miscellaneous work off premises
- § 4:118 Worker requested to make deliveries as part of employer “crowdsourcing” strategy
- § 4:119 On-call employees and layover status

IV. DEFENSES, VIABLE AND OTHERWISE, AGAINST COVERAGE

A. IN GENERAL

- § 4:120 Introduction
- § 4:121 Practice note: Nature of proofs to establish affirmative defenses

B. MATTERS NOT CONSTITUTING DEFENSES

- § 4:122 Negligence, gross negligence, and foolishness
- § 4:123 Horseplay
- § 4:124 Practice note: Horseplay

C. MATTERS CONSTITUTING DEFENSES

- § 4:125 Violation of law and intoxication; Introduction and statutory provisions
- § 4:126 The intoxication defense: The *Mahon* case (Pa. Commw. 2003) and “but-for” causation as the rule
- § 4:127 Judicial construction of “violation of the law” defense
- § 4:128 Practice note: Proving violation of law; Integrity of chemical sample
- § 4:129 2021 article treats the violation of law and intoxication defenses
- § 4:130 Intentional assault for “reasons personal”
- § 4:131 The “reasons personal” defense: “Personal” to whom?—A definitive treatment of law and policy
- § 4:132 Intentional assaults and the “aggressor defense”
- § 4:133 Reasons personal and a case of remarkable facts and proofs: *LeDonne v. W.C.A.B. (Graciano Corp.)* (Pa. Commw. 2007)

- § 4:134 Innocent victim of sexual harassment has not suffered injury because of reasons personal; Injuries by co-employees “run-amok”
- § 4:135 Practice note: Application of defense of reasons personal in negligence cases; Proving reasons personal via cross-examination
- § 4:136 Practice Note: Intentional assaults and hate crimes
- § 4:137 Violation of positive orders
- § 4:138 Practice note: Policies underlying and viability of defense
- § 4:139 Suicide and other self-inflicted injury
- § 4:140 Chronic pain and suicide
- § 4:141 Injury or death from military activity or enemy sabotage of foreign power

CHAPTER 5. COMPENSATION FOR WORK-RELATED DISEASES

I. HOW WORK-RELATED DISEASES ARE COMPENSATED IN PENNSYLVANIA

A. IN GENERAL; PENNSYLVANIA WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT

- § 5:1 Summary
- § 5:2 Historical note: Worker's right to assert tort suit for occupational disease before coverage in late 1930's
- § 5:3 Introduction: Disease as injury, “occupational diseases,” and leading case of *Pawlosky v. W.C.A.B. (Latrobe Brewing Co.)* (Pa. 1987)
- § 5:4 Seminal *Pawlosky* decision (1987) in historical retrospective
- § 5:5 Practice note: Disease as injury or “occupational disease”
- § 5:6 Practice Note: Anomalous result in *Lord Corp. v. Pollard* (Pa. 1997)
- § 5:7 Shiftwork Maladaptation Syndrome; Shiftwork as a cause of cancer
- § 5:8 Statutory provisions dealing with work-related disease
- § 5:9 Practice Note: Vocational rehabilitation services for occupational disease victims
- § 5:10 Practice Note: Definitive text on background of occupational disease compensation
- § 5:11 Practice note: Definitive text on silicosis as an occupational disease

TABLE OF CONTENTS

B. PENNSYLVANIA OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE ACT

- § 5:12 Generally
- § 5:13 Current application
- § 5:14 Section 108(i) claims

II. DISEASE AILMENTS COMPENSABLE AS INJURIES

- § 5:15 Generally
- § 5:16 The prior practice: Disease as injury
- § 5:17 Practice note: Required proofs in disease as injury claim
- § 5:18 Continuing role of Section 108(n) after *Pawlosky*
- § 5:19 Listed occupational disease claim pursued as an injury
- § 5:20 Treatment of injury as occupational disease: Heart attack cases
- § 5:21 Practice note: Notice in disease as injury claims
- § 5:22 Statute of limitations in disease as injury claims
- § 5:23 Practice note: Death claims in the disease as injury context
- § 5:24 Compensability of disorders caused by naturally occurring toxic substances
- § 5:25 Latex exposure cases
- § 5:26 COVID-19: A disease injury compensable under the Pennsylvania Act

III. OCCUPATIONAL DISEASES COMPENSABLE

A. IN GENERAL

- § 5:27 Introduction
- § 5:28 Compensability of occupationally-acquired anthrax
- § 5:29 “Sick building syndrome”
- § 5:30 Statutory provisions
- § 5:31 Hepatitis C amendment
- § 5:32 Firefighters/cancer amendment (2011)
- § 5:33 Practice note: Employer’s rebuttal, “substantial competent evidence,” and operation of the presumption
- § 5:34 Act 46 in the Commonwealth Court, 2016-2018
- § 5:35 Practice Note: Act 46, the presumption, and lack of disability
- § 5:36 Practice Note: Commonwealth Court refers to Act 46 limitations as “statutes of repose”
- § 5:37 Supreme Court, in *Sladek v. W.C.A.B. (City of*

- Philadelphia*) (Pa. 2017), addresses burden of proof in Act 46 cases
- § 5:38 Act 46 award available for medical benefits only
- § 5:39 Act 46 and volunteer firefighter requirement of PennFIRS report
- § 5:40 Jurisdictional requirement: Disease hazard exposure after June 30, 1973
- § 5:41 Requirements of compensability of specifically enumerated diseases
- § 5:42 Compensability of common dust diseases: The two-in-ten rule
- § 5:43 Requirements of compensability under omnibus provision
- § 5:44 COVID-19: A disease potentially compensable under Section 108(n)
- § 5:45 Claim based upon risk of harm from re-exposure to hazard
- § 5:46 Claim based upon risk of harm from re-exposure to hazard: Primacy of *Bethlehem Steel Corp. v. W.C.A.B. (Baxter)* (Pa. 1998)
- § 5:47 Practice note: Disability proofs

B. CAUSATION

- § 5:48 Presumption of causation
- § 5:49 Rationale for presumptions
- § 5:50 A harsh critique of the firefighter cancer presumption
- § 5:51 Historical note: Antiquity of presumptions
- § 5:52 Practice note: Supporting and rebutting the presumption
- § 5:53 Practice note: The presumption, firefighters, and anomalous case of *Marcks v. W.C.A.B. (City of Allentown, Dept. of Public Safety, Bureau of Fire)* (Pa. 1988)
- § 5:54 Causation where multiple contributing factors exist
- § 5:55 Practice note: Supreme Court's displeasure with weak occupational disease medical proofs
- § 5:56 Practice Note: Expert medical proofs in disease cases, the *AMA Causation Guides*, and the "Bradford Hill" Criteria
- § 5:57 Practice note: Sufficiency of expert testimony under *McCloskey/Kusenko* test
- § 5:58 Practice note: Superseded cases
- § 5:59 Practice note: Reliability of evidence required when claimants seek to prove disease hazard exposures via lay testimony: Counsel must heed certain rules of evidence

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:60 Practice note: Precedent allowing lay proofs as to exposure not overthrown
- § 5:61 Practice note: Proving exposure through expert testimony supported by epidemiological research
- § 5:62 Chemical-induced injury and causation: The evidence-based medicine view

IV. BENEFITS

- § 5:63 Generally; Total and partial disability
- § 5:64 Award on no lost time disease claim
- § 5:65 Calculation of Average Weekly Wage
- § 5:66 Set-off for unemployment compensation

V. PARTIES LIABLE FOR COMPENSATION

- § 5:67 Generally; Two methods of determining employer liable
- § 5:68 Rationale for two-part liability scheme
- § 5:69 Practice note: Importance of identifying occupational disease
- § 5:70 Subsidy provision of Section 305.1
- § 5:71 Interpretation of longest-exposing employer rule
- § 5:72 Carrier liable

VI. TIMING RULES IN DISEASE CASES

A. LIMITATIONS

- § 5:73 Generally; Statutory provisions regarding original claim
- § 5:74 Practice note: Discovery rule and disease as injury claims
- § 5:75 Notice of injury regarding original claim: Primacy of *Republic Steel Corp. v. WCAB (Zacek)* (Pa. Commw. 1979)
- § 5:76 Notice of Injury, Act 46 of 2011, and leading case of *Sell v. W.C.A.B. (LNP Engineering)* (Pa. 2001)
- § 5:77 Discovery rule of *Zacek* applies to all disease claims, whether found in Section 108 or otherwise
- § 5:78 Practice note: Commencement of other litigation and effect on notice limitation

B. MANIFESTATION REQUIREMENT

- § 5:79 Generally; Statutory provision
- § 5:80 Constitutionality of time restriction
- § 5:81 Operation of time restriction

- § 5:82 Practice note: Significance of distinguishing restriction from limitation period

VII. PROCEDURAL MATTERS UNIQUE TO OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE

- § 5:83 Introduction
§ 5:84 Res judicata and collateral estoppel
§ 5:85 General rule and exception regarding claim petitions
§ 5:86 General rule regarding termination petitions
§ 5:87 Further doctrine
§ 5:88 Practice note: Raising the defense
§ 5:89 Suspension as claimant's remedy in occupational disease cases
§ 5:90 Employer's IME in occupational disease cases
§ 5:91 Retirement cases in context of occupational disease claims
§ 5:92 Case filed under Occupational Disease Act cognizable under Workers' Compensation Act

CHAPTER 6. BASIS, FORM, AMOUNT, AND PERIOD OF COMPENSATION: TOTAL DISABILITY, TEMPORALLY-LIMITED PARTIAL DISABILITY VIA IMPAIRMENT RATING, AND PARTIAL DISABILITY BEFORE AND AFTER ACT 57 OF 1996

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 6:1 Introduction: Types of disability and Pennsylvania principally as a "wage-loss" state
§ 6:2 Practice note: 1996 Amendments (Act 57) did not create an impenetrable "bright line"
§ 6:3 No permanent partial disability (PPD) exists under the Act
§ 6:4 Meaning of disability: Statements of the traditional rule
§ 6:5 Awards when an injury occurs but no disability is immediately suffered
§ 6:6 Distinction between impairment and disability; Current limited applicability of *AMA Guides*
§ 6:7 Historical note: "Disability" as defined by common law: Superseded cases
§ 6:8 Historical note: Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57)
§ 6:9 Practice note: Receipt of unemployment compensation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- not inconsistent with application for workers' compensation benefits
- § 6:10 Practice note: Occupational categories and Social Security regulations

II. TOTAL DISABILITY

A. CIRCUMSTANCES CONSTITUTING TOTAL DISABILITY

- § 6:11 Generally
- § 6:12 Risk of harm in returning to work as total disability
- § 6:13 Entitlement to total disability even if there has been specific loss
- § 6:14 Presumed temporary total disability in cases of two lost appendages
- § 6:15 Time away from work for medical treatment not necessarily reflective of total (or partial) disability

B. AMOUNT AND DURATION OF BENEFITS IN TOTAL DISABILITY CASES

1. Amount

- § 6:16 Generally; Statutory provisions
- § 6:17 Historical note: 1996 clarification that return to work without wage-loss results in suspension
- § 6:18 Prohibition of “stacking” total disability benefits
- § 6:19 No cost of living increase (COLA) applicable to total disability payments
- § 6:20 Practice note: Workers' compensation easy-reference rate schedules
- § 6:21 Practice Note: Understanding the quick-reference benefit rate tables

2. Benefit Period

- § 6:22 Total disability potentially payable for the duration
- § 6:23 Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57)
- § 6:24 Waiting period; Intent and critique of such periods
- § 6:25 Practice note: Complex contractual case and remote commencement of disability
- § 6:26 Historical/Contextual note: Disability and serious injury with no lost time: *Lemansky*, *Waldameer Park*, and the Medical-Only NCP Form
- § 6:27 Historical note: Doctrine (2007–2008): Confusion in the cases over whether an employer must use Medical-Only NCP
- § 6:28 Current practice (2021): Use and non-use of the Medical-Only NCP

- § 6:29 Practice note: Effect of issuing Medical-Only NCP
- § 6:30 Practice note: Action by a claimant when an employer refuses to issue NCP
- § 6:31 Effect of incarceration on compensation
- § 6:32 Meaning of “conviction” and incarceration
- § 6:33 Offer of modified work to incarcerated claimant
- § 6:34 Practice note: Effect on compensation of late notice
- § 6:35 Practice note: Benefit payment when injured employee dies prior to or during litigation (non-work-related causes)

C. BURDEN OF PROOF

- § 6:36 Generally: Statement of the traditional rule (1968–1993); Statement of the current rule (1993–present)
- § 6:37 Practice note: Effect of Act 57 of 1996; Use of Earning Power Assessment in midst of original claim
- § 6:38 Practice note: Meeting claimant’s burden
- § 6:39 Apparent displacement of the traditional rule (1968–1993): *Inglis House* (Pa. 1993) and *Innovative Spaces* (Pa. Commw. 1994)
- § 6:40 Practice note: Analysis of apparent overthrow of general rule
- § 6:41 Practice note: Sufficiency of medical, lay testimony to establish ongoing disability under *Innovative Spaces*
- § 6:42 Historical note: Anomalous cases (1999) regarding original claim burden of proof and the employer response
- § 6:43 Historical note: “Rejected” doctrine regarding pretextual discharges: *United Parcel Service v. W.C.A.B. (Portanova)* (Pa. Commw. 1991)
- § 6:44 Practice note: “Pleadings” as insufficient to meet the claimant’s burden of proof
- § 6:45 System of temporally-limited permanent partial disability stricken

III. TEMPORALLY-LIMITED PARTIAL DISABILITY AFTER IMPAIRMENT RATING EVALUATION (ACT 57 OF 1996)

A. IN GENERAL: STATUTE AND REGULATIONS; LAW STRICKEN (2017), REINSTITUTED (2018)

- § 6:46 Introduction
- § 6:47 Section 306(a.3) (formerly Section 306(a.2) (added by Act 57 of 1996): System invalidated (2017), reinstated as revised (2018)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:48 Post-104-week partial disability system declared unconstitutional; Statute stricken
- § 6:49 Summary: Regulations pertinent to partial disability determination (January 17, 1998)
- § 6:50 Act 57 Regulation, 34 Pa. Code § 123.105(f), invalidated by the Commonwealth Court

B. INTERPRETATIONS APPLICABLE TO ACT 57 (1996) AND ACT 111 (2018)

- § 6:51 How the Impairment Rating Evaluation System works, in general; Maximum Medical Improvement (MMI) as an implied condition precedent to a valid impairment rating
- § 6:52 Historical note: Sixth Edition of *AMA Guides* and Bureau announcement
- § 6:53 Definition of “Maximum Medical Improvement” and “permanency” under the *AMA Guides*
- § 6:54 Practice note: Remarkable court deference to IRE physician testimony
- § 6:55 The request for the IRE
- § 6:56 Petitions before and after the impairment rating
- § 6:57 Claimant’s appeal from IRE: “Immediate” and during 500 weeks
- § 6:58 Designation of physician
- § 6:59 IRE physician must maintain credentials

C. THE AMA GUIDES AND ITS CRITICISMS

- § 6:60 Matters regarding the *AMA Guides*: In general
- § 6:61 *AMA Guides*: Chronic pain, psychological overlay, and miscellaneous injuries
- § 6:62 Historical note: Criticism of *AMA Guides*
- § 6:63 Historical note: Criticism of Fifth Edition of *AMA Guides*
- § 6:64 Controversy surrounding Sixth Edition

D. LAW STRICKEN AND REINSTITUTED: *PROTZ* AND ACT 111 OF 2018

- § 6:65 Challenge to the use of *AMA Guides*: *Protz v. W.C.A.B.* (Pa. Commw. 2015)
- § 6:66 *Protz v. W.C.A.B. (Derry Area Sch. Dist.)* (Pa. 2017): Post-104-week regime of partial disability declared unconstitutional; *AMA Guides* disallowed for use; Statute stricken
- § 6:67 *Protz v. W.C.A.B. (Derry Area Sch. Dist.)* (Pa. 2017): Extensive Summary

- § 6:68 *Protz* and key interpretation: *Whitfield v. W.C.A.B.*
(*Tenet Health System Hahnemann*) (Pa. Commw.
2018) and progeny
- § 6:69 *Protz* and key interpretations: *Dana Holding Corp. v.*
WCAB (Smuck) (Pa. 2020)
- § 6:70 Employer's rebuttal under *Whitfield*
- § 6:71 *AMA Guides* and Impairment Ratings restored: Act 111
of 2018 and court ratification
- § 6:72 Act 111 of 2018 and posted agency statement
- § 6:73 *Protz* not retroactive to revive remote reinstatement
claims

IV. THE STATUTE; PARTIAL DISABILITY FOR PRE-1996 INJURIES AND WHENEVER EARNING POWER IS DEMONSTRATED VIA ACTUAL JOB AVAILABILITY

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 6:74 Generally; Statutory provisions
- § 6:75 Statutory Language: Amount and duration of benefits
- § 6:76 Statutory Language: Criteria of partial disability (Act
57 of 1996)
- § 6:77 Criteria of partial disability, pre-Act 57
- § 6:78 *Kachinski* still prevails when an employer offers light
work at plant
- § 6:79 Reinstatement to total disability within 500 weeks of
partial disability; Reinstatement after collecting 500
weeks of partial disability
- § 6:80 Reinstatement to total disability after 500 weeks of
benefit suspension
- § 6:81 500 weeks at once an entitlement and a time
limitation
- § 6:82 The Prior Practice: Partial disability benefits as those
subject to commutation

B. CIRCUMSTANCES CONSTITUTING PARTIAL DISABILITY

- § 6:83 Generally
- § 6:84 Claimant returns to work with loss of earning power
or residual injury
- § 6:85 Claimant on an original claim petition who has worked
while in process of asserting claim
- § 6:86 Claimant who suffered non-scheduled specific losses
- § 6:87 Traumatic injury with no immediate wage loss: Right
to suspension

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:88 Claimant returns to work and loses overtime
- § 6:89 Partial disability as continuing when a claimant separates from modified duty
- § 6:90 Claimant at light duty, with loss of earnings, voluntarily changes job within employer

C. CALCULATION OF PARTIAL DISABILITY COMPENSATION

1. In General

- § 6:91 The partial disability formula
- § 6:92 Examples of calculation
- § 6:93 Practice note: How changing return to work wages are handled

2. Construction of the Term Earning Power

- § 6:94 Generally; Wages as minimum earning power
- § 6:95 Profits from business as earning power
- § 6:96 Practice note: Profits from business
- § 6:97 Imputed income as earning power: In-kind compensation
- § 6:98 Imputed income as earning power: Work on gratis basis, surveillance, and vocational expert proofs
- § 6:99 Practice note: Imputed income and handling of evidence
- § 6:100 Effect on earning power of loss of overtime upon return to work, or other similar situation
- § 6:101 Other receipts as earning power
- § 6:102 Earning power and return to work when commissions are method of payment
- § 6:103 Earning power and continued work in concurrent self-employment
- § 6:104 Disbursements of vacation and holiday pay

3. Burden of Proof of Earning Power

- § 6:105 Generally
- § 6:106 Practice note: Changing burdens of proof when a claimant returns to work on partial disability

D. 500-WEEK PERIOD OF COMPENSATION

- § 6:107 Generally
- § 6:108 500-week period applies as a limitation of action regardless of whether a claimant returns to full duty or modified duty
- § 6:109 Practice note: Unsettled status of whether periods of

- suspension during period of partial disability are to be deducted from the 500-week period
- § 6:110 Practice note: Mistaken payments by an employer in excess of 500 weeks
- § 6:111 Expiration of 500 weeks does not terminate medical
- § 6:112 Claimant with two injuries and two periods of partial disability

E. OTHER STATUTORY LIMITATIONS ON RECEIPT OF COMPENSATION

- § 6:113 The Prior Practice: Earnings floor of Section 306(b) (abolished 1996)
- § 6:114 Comparative earnings limitation: Amendment by Act 57 of 1996
- § 6:115 Practice note: Comparative earnings
- § 6:116 Historical note: Judicial limitation of pre-amendment law

F. JOB AVAILABILITY

- § 6:117 Generally (Doctrine pre-Act 57 of 1996)
- § 6:118 Development of requirement of job availability
- § 6:119 Summary of the job availability doctrine (injuries prior to June 24, 1996 and whenever an employer seeks an order of partial disability based on actual job availability)
- § 6:120 Practice note: Dynamics of job availability claims
- § 6:121 Practice note: Proper petition in job availability cases
- § 6:122 Practice note: Showing of job availability not necessarily required where full recovery is alleged
- § 6:123 Practice note: Showing job availability as vocational rehabilitation
- § 6:124 Interview with vocational expert
- § 6:125 No vocational rehabilitation under the Pennsylvania Act
- § 6:126 Practice note: Bureau features return-to-work program on website
- § 6:127 "Funded employment" and job availability

G. ELEMENTS OF DOCTRINE OF JOB AVAILABILITY (INJURIES PRIOR TO JUNE 24, 1996), AND WHENEVER EARNING POWER IS DEMONSTRATED VIA ACTUAL JOB AVAILABILITY

1. In general

- § 6:128 Generally: *Kachinski* guidelines

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:129 *Kachinski*-style job searches (outplacement)
undertaken with regard to claimants with injuries
after effective date of Act 57
- § 6:130 Job availability as a vocational rehabilitation
program
- § 6:131 Required geographic location of prospective jobs
- § 6:132 Practice note: Claimant's awareness of implications of
not following through on job referrals/offer
- § 6:133 Historical note: Good faith follow-through and
original claims

2. Communicating Job Referrals

- § 6:134 Generally
- § 6:135 Practice note: Communicating job referrals: Form
LIBC-757 should be tendered
- § 6:136 Practice note: Conveying job referrals
- § 6:137 Practice note: Modified work during litigation
- § 6:138 Requirement that a claimant's restrictions be
communicated to the prospective employer
- § 6:139 Practice note: Advertising of claimant's restrictions
- § 6:140 Practice note: Effective communication

3. Requirement That Change in Claimant's Condition Be Shown; Appropriateness of Referred Job

- § 6:141 Generally
- § 6:142 Historical note: 2007 Supreme Court decision on
burden in modification petition
- § 6:143 Implications of post-injury non-work-related
conditions
- § 6:144 Practice note: Post-injury non-work-related conditions
and limitation (2010) on employer relief
- § 6:145 Implications of pre-injury non-work-related physical
conditions
- § 6:146 Implications of pre-injury, non-work-related
employability impediments
- § 6:147 Post-injury changes in a claimant's vocational status
- § 6:148 Specific medical pre-approval not required
- § 6:149 Practice note: Quality of medical evidence

4. Good Faith Requirement

a. Of the Claimant

- § 6:150 Generally
- § 6:151 Claimant's failure to pursue job referral in reliance
on medical opinion

- § 6:152 Practice note: Claimant's testimony alone held insufficient to support allegation of inability to work
- § 6:153 Personal distaste for prospective work not good faith
- § 6:154 Sacrifice of substantive union or other rights not required; Loss of pension rights
- § 6:155 No bright line rule for union members
- § 6:156 Historical note: Claim of retirement not good faith; Burden of proof (1990s doctrine)
- § 6:157 Historical note: Retirement as negating proposition that a claimant is partially disabled: Doctrine, 2001–2008
- § 6:158 Retirement as negating proposition that a claimant is partially disabled; Important holdings
- § 6:159 The Commonwealth Court announces, applies, new rule in voluntary withdrawal/pension cases (2010)
- § 6:160 Doctrine on voluntary withdrawal (2011 to the present)
- § 6:161 Practice note: Voluntary withdrawal upon retirement and approach of other jurisdictions
- § 6:162 Practice note: Implications of claimant's receipt of Social Security Disability
- § 6:163 Incarcerated claimant
- § 6:164 Response of a claimant based upon activity in re-schooling or volunteer activity
- § 6:165 The nature of the inquiry and the proper test

b. Of the Employer

- § 6:166 Generally
- § 6:167 No-work jobs and employer bad faith: The meaning of *Ryan v. W.C.A.B. (Port Erie Plastics, Inc.)* (Pa. Commw. 1994)
- § 6:168 At-home telecommunication work

5. Miscellaneous

- § 6:169 Mechanics of modification
- § 6:170 Statute of limitation on employer's job availability modification petition
- § 6:171 Practice note: Effective job referral letter

V. PARTIAL DISABILITY FOR POST-1996 INJURIES

A. IN GENERAL; CRITICAL STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

- § 6:172 The Act 57 innovation: Statutory criteria of "earning power" and hence of partial disability (full statute)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:173 Regulations (originally January 17, 1998)
- § 6:174 Practice note: Court cases resolving Act 57 disputes without reference to employer job offer regulation
- § 6:175 Availability of new criteria (as to time)
- § 6:176 Actual job availability after Act 57
- § 6:177 Additions to Section 306(b) in 2003
- § 6:178 Historical note: Earning power assessments and job availability
- § 6:179 No outplacement required
- § 6:180 Other aspects of the statutory language

B. MATTERS CRITICAL TO EMPLOYER'S PETITION

- § 6:181 Threshold requirement of limited accommodation and offer
- § 6:182 Limited accommodation and offer: Burden of proof
- § 6:183 Jobs potentially available must be attended by a vacancy
- § 6:184 Momentous case of *Phoenixville Hospital* (Pa. 2013): Claimant's response to the Earning Power Assessment relevant
- § 6:185 Interpretations of *Phoenixville Hospital* (Pa. 2013)
- § 6:186 Basis of expert's Earning Power Assessment
- § 6:187 Practice note: Is one job sufficient to support the findings of an EPA?
- § 6:188 "Employment which exists" and the non-Pennsylvania resident
- § 6:189 Requirement of Form 757 (Notice of Ability to Return to Work)
- § 6:190 Notice of Ability to Return to Work and the claimant's "obligation to look for available employment"
- § 6:191 Practice Note: Form LIBC-757 must be provided to the claimant in all cases (and exceptions)

C. MATTERS CRITICAL TO CLAIMANT'S RESPONSE

- § 6:192 Employee's duty to cooperate with vocational assessment
- § 6:193 Requirement that a claimant attend an exam
- § 6:194 Claimant's response in the post-*Kachinski* era
- § 6:195 Claimant's response in the post-*Kachinski* era: Applying for the Earning Power Assessment jobs
- § 6:196 Reinstatement

**D. REGULATION OF VOCATIONAL EXPERTS
UNDER ACT 57**

- § 6:197 Tumultuous *Caso* case (Pa. 2003) and amendment of
Section 306(b)(2) (Act 53 of 2003)
- § 6:198 2007 regulations

**VI. JOB AVAILABILITY AND BURDEN OF PROOF:
WHEN JOB AVAILABILITY MUST BE SHOWN**

A. IN GENERAL

- § 6:199 Generally
- § 6:200 Job availability and original claim petition
- § 6:201 Practice note: Volatility of decisional law
- § 6:202 Statutes applicable
- § 6:203 Practice note: The prior practice
- § 6:204 Historical note: Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57)
- § 6:205 Practice note: Earning Power Assessment as defense
against reinstatement

**B. THE BURDEN OF SHOWING JOB
AVAILABILITY IN SPECIFIC SITUATIONS**

- § 6:206 Introduction; Primacy of *Pieper v. W.C.A.B. (Ametek
Thermox Instruments Division)* (Pa. 1990); and *Latta
v. W.C.A.B. (Latrobe Die Casting) (Latta II)* (Pa.
1994)
- § 6:207 Supreme Court alters *Pieper* standard, particularly as
to alleged fault situations: *Bufford v. W.C.A.B.
(North American Telecom)* (Pa. 2010)
- § 6:208 Practice note: Defunct cases in wake of *Bufford*
- § 6:209 Practice note: Employer defenses in the wake of
Bufford
- § 6:210 Employer seeking suspension or modification of
benefits with regard to a claimant receiving total
disability
- § 6:211 Claimant asserting original claim, with change of
disability status after original injury or in course of
litigation; Other superseding cause cases
- § 6:212 Apparent displacement of the general rule as to
burden of proof: *Inglis House* (Pa. 1993) and
Innovative Spaces (Pa. Commw. 1994)
- § 6:213 Practice note: Superseding cause cases
- § 6:214 Claimant returns to regular work on suspension;
Suffers renewed loss of earnings because of layoff
- § 6:215 Historical note: Conflicting cases on implications of

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Harle</i> decision: Primacy of <i>Landmark Constructors, Inc. v. W.C.A.B. (Costello)</i> (Pa. 2000)
§ 6:216	Entitlement to disability payments in wake of layoff
§ 6:217	Doctrine regarding entitlement to disability payments in wake of lay-off; Partially disabled claimant as “damaged goods”
§ 6:218	Doctrine regarding entitlement to disability payments in wake of lay-off
§ 6:219	Claimant who still has material medical pathology but is at full duty
§ 6:220	Claimant returns to work at light duty; Suffers renewed loss of earnings because of layoff
§ 6:221	Layoff/economic downturn after <i>Harle</i> : <i>Harper & Collins</i> (Pa. 1996) overtime case
§ 6:222	Practice note: The unsuccessful employer arguments
§ 6:223	Claimant returns to work at created job; Suffers renewed loss of earnings because of layoff
§ 6:224	Practice note: Layoff from specially created job
§ 6:225	Claimant suffers injury with no lost time, continues to work, and then is laid off
§ 6:226	Claimant on suspension or modification withdraws from job market (voluntary sacrifice of light duty)
§ 6:227	Claimant on suspension or modification retires; Retirement prior to initiation of original claim
§ 6:228	Retirement and burden of proof
§ 6:229	Withdrawal by moving to remote location
§ 6:230	Claimant who is incarcerated
§ 6:231	Claimant on suspension after receipt of specific loss award who is laid off
§ 6:232	Claimant on suspension or modification who suffers fault-related recurrent loss of earnings
§ 6:233	Wilful Misconduct of Unemployment Compensation Law and fault sufficient to disqualify a claimant not the same
§ 6:234	Incompetence and business necessity as disqualifying claimant from benefits: <i>Hertz Penske Truck Leasing Co. v. W.C.A.B. (Bowers)</i> (Pa. 1996)
§ 6:235	Fault and primacy of <i>Vista Inter. Hotel v. W.C.A.B. (Daniels)</i> (Pa. 1999)
§ 6:236	Recurrent loss of earnings because of non-work delict: “Allocation of the consequences of discharge” to claimant; <i>Hertz-Penske</i> not overruled; Alternative analysis from <i>Pieper</i>
§ 6:237	Claimant’s burden to reinstate after bad faith refusal to return to work: The “Scarlet Letter” cases
§ 6:238	Claimant on benefits who cannot return to work

- because of pre-injury misconduct discovered only
after injury
- § 6:239 Claimant on suspension or modification who changes
place of residence while working
- § 6:240 Claimant on modified benefits who seeks to reinstate:
Dillon v. W.C.A.B. (Greenwich Collieries) (Pa. 1994)
- § 6:241 Claimant who has exhausted 500 weeks of partial
disability and seeks to reinstate: *Stanek v. W.C.A.B.*
(Greenwich Collieries) (Pa. 2000)

Volume 7

CHAPTER 7. BASIS, FORM, AMOUNT, AND PERIOD OF COMPENSATION: DISABILITY RESULTING FROM PERMANENT INJURY ("SPECIFIC LOSS") AND DEATH BENEFITS

I. PERMANENT INJURY ("SPECIFIC LOSS")

A. INTRODUCTION; STATUTORY PROVISIONS

1. In General

- § 7:1 Generally
- § 7:2 "Specific loss," undocumented workers, and others
suffering loss of earnings through fault
- § 7:3 "Specific loss" a slang term; Scheduled losses as
reflecting "disability"
- § 7:4 "Specific Loss" as a slang expression: Part II
- § 7:5 Statutory provisions; Payments made in periodic
installments
- § 7:6 Award of disability resulting from permanent injury
does not end medical benefits
- § 7:7 Practice note: Payments under Section 306(c) payable in
periodic installments—New York adopts a different
approach

2. Exceptions to Exclusivity of Scheduled Compensation

- § 7:8 Generally
- § 7:9 Requirement of medical evidence to establish specific
loss
- § 7:10 Presumption of continuing total disability in case of
dual specific loss

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:11 Practice note: Strategic use of specific loss provisions—
“Shield or sword”

3. What Constitutes Loss

- § 7:12 Generally
- § 7:13 Practice note: Establishing loss of use
- § 7:14 Effect of preexisting loss of members

4. Benefits Payable

- § 7:15 Generally
- § 7:16 Practice note: Specific loss benefit rate and problem
with statute
- § 7:17 Compensation for healing periods
- § 7:18 Awards where claimant incurs both specific injury and
other disability
- § 7:19 Award where injuries in addition to specific loss are
present, but not currently disabling
- § 7:20 Survivability of specific loss awards
- § 7:21 Practice note: Court addresses receipt of dual specific
losses and case of *Turner v. W.C.A.B. (Jones &
Laughlin Steel Corp.)* (Pa. 1978)
- § 7:22 Concurrent receipt of total disability and specific loss
awards
- § 7:23 Prohibition against concurrent receipt of disability and
specific loss benefits
- § 7:24 Prohibition against concurrent receipt of dual specific
losses
- § 7:25 Availability of prosthetic devices; Historical
background and application to modern appendage
transplant procedures
- § 7:26 Prohibition of dual receipt and unsatisfactory case of
Community Service Group v. W.C.A.B. (Peiffer) (Pa.
Commw. 2009)

B. APPLICATION OF SPECIFIC LOSS PROVISIONS TO PARTICULAR INJURIES

1. Appendages

- § 7:27 Thumb, fingers, and hand
- § 7:28 Forearm and arm
- § 7:29 Lower leg and leg
- § 7:30 Toes and foot

2. Vision

- § 7:31 Generally
- § 7:32 Eye injury and limitation of action

§ 7:33 Corrective devices and surgery

3. Hearing

§ 7:34 Law prior to and after Act 1 of 1995; Failed constitutional challenges

§ 7:35 Practice note: New text on hearing loss

a. Current Law: 1995 Amendments

§ 7:36 Hearing loss, generally: Act 1 of 1995

§ 7:37 Payment of medical where work-related hearing loss does not reach 10%

§ 7:38 Interest in hearing loss cases

§ 7:39 Hearing loss and presbycusis deduction

§ 7:40 Hearing loss and “conductive loss” deduction

§ 7:41 Limitation of actions

§ 7:42 Notice of injury

§ 7:43 Burden of proof, employer’s defenses, and case law refinement

§ 7:44 Hearing loss, Act 1, and “successor corporation”

§ 7:45 Hearing loss, Act 1, and extraterritorial exposures

§ 7:46 Practice note: Measuring hearing loss

§ 7:47 Practice note: Matters of inquiry by claimant’s counsel

§ 7:48 Practice note: Areas of inquiry by employer’s counsel

§ 7:49 Practice note: Exposure to noise within OSHA limits may still cause hearing loss

b. Law Prior to 1995 Amendments

§ 7:50 The prior practice: Generally

§ 7:51 The prior practice: What constituted complete loss

§ 7:52 The prior practice: Date and notice of loss and limitation of actions

§ 7:53 The prior practice: Employer and carrier liable

4. Disfigurement

§ 7:54 Generally

§ 7:55 Historical note: Original omission of disfigurement awards

§ 7:56 Practice note: Disfigurement awards are not “pain and suffering”

§ 7:57 What constitutes compensable disfigurement

§ 7:58 Procedure in disfigurement cases

§ 7:59 Board’s duties upon review and alteration of disfigurement award: “Written guidelines” not required, but detailed explanations mandated

§ 7:60 Practice note: Making the record regarding disfigurement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:61 Practice note: Determining amount of compensation

C. LAW AND PROCEDURE UNIQUE TO SPECIFIC LOSSES

- § 7:62 Notice of injury
§ 7:63 Employer's right to credit for payments of disability compensation upon specific loss agreement or award
§ 7:64 Practice note: Assertion of the right to and receipt of specific loss benefits; Time to assert
§ 7:65 Practice note: Date of injury, carrier liable, and unsatisfactory case of *J.G. Furniture Div. / Burlington v. W.C.A.B. (Kneller)* (Pa. 2008)

D. EVIDENTIARY MATTERS UNIQUE TO SPECIFIC LOSSES

- § 7:66 Rules surrounding medical evidence; Nuances of testimony
§ 7:67 Requirement of medical evidence to establish specific loss
§ 7:68 Affirmative defenses against a specific loss claim
§ 7:69 Fact-finding

II. BENEFITS IN RESPECT OF FATAL INJURIES

A. IN GENERAL; STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- § 7:70 Introduction
§ 7:71 Practice note: Independent status of dependent's claim and statute of limitations
§ 7:72 Procedure in fatal claim cases
§ 7:73 Practice note: Proper petition to file and how fatal claims are accepted voluntarily
§ 7:74 Limiting fatal claim benefits to foreign, non-resident dependents

B. FATAL CLAIM BENEFITS OF PARTICULAR PERSONS

1. Surviving Spouses

a. In General

- § 7:75 Requirement that claimant establish marriage to decedent
§ 7:76 Abolition of "common law marriage" and the prior practice
§ 7:77 Requirement that claimant establish dependency

- § 7:78 Same-sex marriage recognized in Pennsylvania
- § 7:79 Benefits payable; Weekly payment “floor”

b. Duration and Termination of Benefits

- § 7:80 Generally
- § 7:81 Termination of benefits on dependent spouse's death
- § 7:82 Termination of benefits on dependent spouse's re-marriage; The “dowry” provision
- § 7:83 Termination of benefits on dependent spouse's meretricious relationship
- § 7:84 Practice note: Forum for decision on meretricious relationship and forfeiture
- § 7:85 Practice note: Cohabitation and improved economic circumstances

2. Surviving Children

- § 7:86 Requirement that claimant establish parent-child relationship; Effect of 1993 amendments
- § 7:87 Practice note: Vitality of pre-1993 cases
- § 7:88 WCJ as having power to determine paternity
- § 7:89 Common pleas as having power to determine guardian in disputed cases
- § 7:90 Requirement that claimant be member of decedent's household; Dependency
- § 7:91 Benefits payable
- § 7:92 Practice note: Amounts payable
- § 7:93 Duration of benefits
- § 7:94 Practice note: Minor child enrolled in school

3. Surviving Parents

- § 7:95 Requirement that claimant establish relationship and dependency
- § 7:96 Presumption of dependency when decedent is a minor
- § 7:97 Benefits payable
- § 7:98 Practice note: Effect of amount of decedent's contribution on amount of benefit
- § 7:99 Duration of benefits

4. Surviving Brothers and Sisters

- § 7:100 Requirement that claimant establish relationship and dependency
- § 7:101 Benefits payable
- § 7:102 Duration of benefits

C. OTHER ISSUES PERTAINING TO FATAL CLAIM BENEFITS

- § 7:103 Determination of classes of dependents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:104 Burial expenses; Adjustments to reimbursements, 1993, 2018
- § 7:105 Survival of claims
- § 7:106 Survival of specific loss benefits

CHAPTER 8. AVERAGE WEEKLY WAGE AND INTEREST

I. CALCULATION OF PRE-INJURY AVERAGE WEEKLY WAGE

A. IN GENERAL

- § 8:1 Overview of Section 309; 1996 reforms intended to remedy the unwieldy prior practice
- § 8:2 Practice note: Average Weekly Wage calculation versus benefit rate calculation; Statement of Wages forms
- § 8:3 Effect of Supreme Court ruling in *Hannaberry HVAC v. W.C.A.B. (Snyder, Jr.)* (Pa. 2003): The “economic reality” test
- § 8:4 Practice note: Import of *Hannaberry HVAC v. W.C.A.B. (Snyder, Jr.)* (Pa. 2003): Expansion of economic reality test
- § 8:5 Earnings included/excluded in calculation of Average Weekly Wage
- § 8:6 Practice note: Review petition and modification of Notice Compensation Payable to correct Average Weekly Wage calculation
- § 8:7 Concurrent employment
- § 8:8 The date of injury for purposes of calculating Average Weekly Wage
- § 8:9 Challenging the Average Weekly Wage calculation
- § 8:10 Period of time to which pay will be allocated when calculating Average Weekly Wage
- § 8:11 Practice Note: Scrutinizing the Average Weekly Wage computation

B. CALCULATIONS UNDER ACT 57 OF 1996

- § 8:12 The seven statutory calculation methodologies effective in 1996
- § 8:13 Historical/Practice note: Pre-reform methodologies
- § 8:14 Method One—Wages fixed by the week
- § 8:15 Method Two—Wages fixed by the month
- § 8:16 Method Three—Wages fixed by the year
- § 8:17 Method Four—Wages fixed by any manner not enumerated in methods one, two, or three

- § 8:18 Method Four and the “in the employ” language
- § 8:19 Method Five—Not employed for at least three consecutive periods of thirteen calendar weeks before work injury
- § 8:20 Method Six—Employed for less than thirteen weeks
- § 8:21 Method Seven—Occupations that are exclusively seasonal
- § 8:22 *Pittsburgh Steelers v. W.C.A.B. (Trucks)* (Pa. Commw. 2019) and the decline of the seasonal employment concept
- § 8:23 Practice Note: Average Weekly Wage calculation when claimant is corporate officer or works on commission basis
- § 8:24 Constitutional challenges to Section 309 methodologies
- § 8:25 The anomalous Average Weekly Wage methodology: *Colpetzer v. W.C.A.B. (Standard Steel)* (Pa. 2005)
- § 8:26 Average weekly wage calculation for professional athletes
- § 8:27 Average Weekly Wage calculation for volunteer firefighters and related occupations

C. PRE-ACT 57 CALCULATIONS

- § 8:28 Calculating Average Weekly Wage for injuries occurring on or before June 23, 1996
- § 8:29 Former Method Four—Wages fixed by day, hour or output of employee
- § 8:30 Former Method Five—Workers in the employ of employer for less than thirteen weeks
- § 8:31 Former Method Five—The “common sense” assessment under case law
- § 8:32 Former Method Six—Wages for a worker on job less than thirteen weeks when “Method Five” does not yield fair calculation of worker’s total wages
- § 8:33 Former Method Eight—Wages for seasonal employees where standard method does not yield fair calculation of Average Weekly Wage
- § 8:34 Former Method Nine—Mandatory alternative calculation to “Methods One Through Eight”
- § 8:35 Former Method Ten—Mandatory alternative calculation

D. MISCELLANEOUS ASPECTS

- § 8:36 The “co-employee cap” rule
- § 8:37 The “co-employee cap” rule for injuries occurring on or before June 23, 1996

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:38 Retroactivity of case law addressing Average Weekly Wage
- § 8:39 Receipt of disability benefits under multiple state workers' compensation statutes for single period of disability

II. INTEREST

- § 8:40 In general
- § 8:41 Interest payable as simple interest
- § 8:42 Practice note: Calculating simple interest
- § 8:43 Older claims
- § 8:44 When payable
- § 8:45 Payment of interest is mandatory
- § 8:46 Interest on benefits paid by agreement
- § 8:47 Interest on medical benefits
- § 8:48 Interest on benefits already received by employee
- § 8:49 Interest on counsel fees
- § 8:50 Interest on funeral expenses
- § 8:51 Interest on medical bills previously paid or defrayed by another carrier
- § 8:52 Interest on specific loss claims
- § 8:53 Interest on hearing loss claims
- § 8:54 No interest on overpayments recovered from Supersedeas Fund
- § 8:55 No interest on Section 410 reimbursement
- § 8:56 Forfeiture of interest

CHAPTER 9. MEDICAL BENEFITS, MEDICAL COST CONTAINMENT, AND REFUSAL OF MEDICAL SERVICES

I. MEDICAL BENEFITS AND COMPENSABILITY OF TREATMENTS AND COSTS

A. IN GENERAL

- § 9:1 Generally
- § 9:2 Medical benefits as payable indefinitely
- § 9:3 No co-payments by injured worker
- § 9:4 The prior practice: The pre-1993 Amendment medical coverage regime
- § 9:5 Effect of the 1993 Amendments
- § 9:6 Compensable procedures, generally
- § 9:7 Massage therapy as compensable when administered under supervision of licensed provider

WORKERS' COMPENSATION: LAW & PRACTICE

- § 9:8 Licensure of massage therapists (Act 118 of 2008)
- § 9:9 At-home personal care services as afforded by professionals and family members
- § 9:10 Apportionment of medical expense liability
- § 9:11 The claimant's entitlement to medical benefits following retirement
- § 9:12 Practice note: Medical payments not an admission of liability, but may work to toll limitation of actions
- § 9:13 Practice note: Text by occupational medicine physician
- § 9:14 Reimbursement of dental services
- § 9:15 Role of nurse case managers
- § 9:16 Nurse case managers: A 2018 briefing
- § 9:17 Medical marijuana: WCJ and PHRC rulings
- § 9:18 Medical Marijuana and legalization in conflict with federal law; Competing New Mexico, Maine, and New Jersey cases
- § 9:19 The opioid crisis; Pennsylvania Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP)
- § 9:20 Telemedicine and the Pennsylvania practice

B. COSTS INCURRED WHILE TRAVELING FOR MEDICAL TREATMENT; TIME OFF FOR OCCASIONAL TREATMENT

- § 9:21 Employer's responsibility for reimbursing costs incurred while traveling for medical treatment: Rule of *Helen Min. Co. v. W.C.A.B. (Tantlinger)* (Pa. Commw. 1992)
- § 9:22 Employers liable as a matter of law for travel of more than 100 miles
- § 9:23 Practice note: Addressing the compensability of medical travel
- § 9:24 Amount of reimbursement
- § 9:25 Historical note: Case law regarding reimbursement of particular travel expenses
- § 9:26 Compensability of absences necessitated by work injury medical treatment

C. PROCEDURAL MATTERS REGARDING COST OF MEDICAL TREATMENT

- § 9:27 The procedural context of the medical bill, generally; Perspective of claimant's and defense counsel
- § 9:28 Effect of limitation of action on medical bills
- § 9:29 Medical-Only Notice of Compensation Payable as not tolling limitation of action

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:30 Medical bills and the claimant's execution of a Final Receipt
- § 9:31 The effect of a WCJ's decision granting a termination petition
- § 9:32 Practice note: Imposition of penalties for employer's failure to cover compensable medical costs
- § 9:33 Imposition of penalties: *Listino v. W.C.A.B. (INA Life Ins. Co.)* (Pa. Commw. 1995)
- § 9:34 Practice note: Avoiding penalties in the medical bill context

II. MEDICAL COST-CONTAINMENT

A. PAYMENT OF MEDICAL EXPENSES UNDER THE 1993 AMENDMENTS

- § 9:35 Summary
- § 9:36 Pennsylvania has no agency medical director
- § 9:37 Summary by the Commonwealth Court (2011)
- § 9:38 Historical note: Effect of 1996 amendments (Act 57)
- § 9:39 Historical note: Proposed (never enacted) revisions to Cost Containment Regulations (2006)
- § 9:40 Payment of medical expenses and litigation
- § 9:41 Policy reasons for cost-containment law and regulations
- § 9:42 Medical Access Studies
- § 9:43 Rise of Evidence-Based Medicine (EBM) in workers' compensation
- § 9:44 Commonwealth Court citing *AMA Causation Guides*, Bradford Hill Criteria
- § 9:45 EBM Guidelines unsuccessfully proposed in 2015 Pennsylvania legislation
- § 9:46 Treatment guidelines under the New York system

B. THIS TEXT'S REVIEW OF 1993 AMENDMENTS; ESSENTIAL PRELIMINARY MATTERS

- § 9:47 Practice note: Payment of medical expenses under the 1993 amendments
- § 9:48 Early regulatory interpretation of Act 44; Immediate applicability (1993)
- § 9:49 Practice note: Group health to pay when workers' compensation insurer denies bill
- § 9:50 Utilization of collateral authorities

C. STATUTORY DEFINITIONS RELEVANT TO
PAYMENT OF MEDICAL EXPENSES UNDER
THE 1993 AMENDMENTS (SECTION 109, 77 P.S.
§ 29)

§ 9:51 Generally

D. REGULATORY DEFINITIONS RELEVANT TO
PAYMENT OF MEDICAL EXPENSES UNDER
1993 AMENDMENTS (34 PA. CODE § 127.3)

§ 9:52 Generally

E. PEER REVIEW

§ 9:53 Generally; Difference between peer review and
utilization review

§ 9:54 Regulatory interpretations (final rulemaking (1995))

§ 9:55 Commentary

F. SECTION 306(F.1): MEDICAL SERVICES:
MANNER AND AMOUNT OF PROVISION
(STATUTE, REGULATION AND COMMENTARY)

1. Employer Liability for Medical Expenses, In
General

§ 9:56 Generally

§ 9:57 Effect of 1996 amendments (Act 57)

§ 9:58 Commentary; Cost containment provisions limited to
“providers” as defined in law

2. Designated Providers List; Rule against Self-
Referrals; Employee’s Awareness of Rights and
Responsibilities; Treatment after Initial Ninety
Days

§ 9:59 Generally

§ 9:60 Regulatory interpretations: Final Rulemaking (1995)

§ 9:61 Commentary

§ 9:62 Practice note: Similar Bureau regulation (2007)

§ 9:63 Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57 of 1996);
Commentary on lack of choice

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	3. Specific Services for Which Employer is Responsible; Liability for Prostheses and Other Orthopedic Appliances; Liability for Medical Expenses Regardless of Whether Claimant Suffers Loss of Earning Power; Liability for Hospital Accommodations
§ 9:64	Generally
§ 9:65	Commentary
	4. Continued Right of Employer and Carrier to Sub-Contract Provision of Services
§ 9:66	Generally
§ 9:67	Commentary
	5. Requirement That Physicians Provide Status Reports to Employer
§ 9:68	Generally
§ 9:69	Regulatory interpretation: Final Rulemaking (1995)
§ 9:70	Commentary
	6. Fees as Governed by 113% of Medicare Reimbursement Mechanisms; Exceptions; Trauma centers and burn facilities
§ 9:71	Generally
§ 9:72	Regulatory interpretations (Final Rulemaking (1995)); Select regulations
§ 9:73	Commentary
§ 9:74	Practice note: Subrogee group health insurer not limited to 113%-of-Medicare amount
	7. Adjustment to 113%-of-Medicare Amount
§ 9:75	Generally
§ 9:76	Initial interpretation (1993); Proposed Rulemaking (1994); Final Rulemaking (1995)
§ 9:77	Commentary
	8. Rule against Cross-Referrals
§ 9:78	Generally
§ 9:79	Initial interpretation (1993); Proposed Rulemaking (1994); Final Rulemaking (1995)
§ 9:80	Commentary
	9. Regulatory Refinements to Reimbursement Scheme
§ 9:81	Generally

- § 9:82 Commentary
 - 10. Review of Allowances
- § 9:83 Generally
 - 11. Exemption from Medical Expense Caps for Coordinated Care Organizations
- § 9:84 Generally
- § 9:85 Commentary
 - 12. Reimbursement of Pharmaceutical Costs
- § 9:86 Generally
- § 9:87 Initial interpretation (1993); Proposed Rulemaking (1994); Final Rulemaking (1995)
- § 9:88 Commentary; Legitimacy of use of *Truven Redbook* (2021)
- § 9:89 Practice Note: Rule that claimant cannot be directed-billed or balance-billed; Compromise settlement of cases
- § 9:90 Practice note: Growth of pharmacy services for workers' compensation claimants
- § 9:91 Pharmacy Benefit Management as part of medical cost containment
- § 9:92 Historical/Archival Note: Physician dispensing of medication
- § 9:93 House Bill 1846 (2014): Amendment to Section 306(f.1) addresses physician dispensing of medication
- § 9:94 Proposed amendment (2017) would add drug "formulary" to law
- § 9:95 Carrier attempts to challenge creative therapy billing arrangement of no avail
 - 13. Use of Procedure Codes in Unusual Situations
- § 9:96 Generally
- § 9:97 Regulatory interpretations (Final Rulemaking (1995))
 - 14. Rules against Fragmenting and Unbundling
- § 9:98 Generally
- § 9:99 Regulatory interpretations (Final Rulemaking (1995))
- § 9:100 Commentary
 - 15. Ability of Employer to Contract with Coordinated Care Organization
- § 9:101 Generally
- § 9:102 Regulatory interpretations (Final Rulemaking (1995))
- § 9:103 Commentary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

16. Utilization Review and Requirement of Payment: In General and Time for Requesting

- § 9:104 Generally
- § 9:105 Initial interpretation (1993); Proposed Rulemaking (1994); Final Rulemaking (1995)
- § 9:106 Commentary: Generally
- § 9:107 Employer must pay disputed bills when UR peer finds treatment reasonable and necessary; Regulation 128.208(g) sustained
- § 9:108 Historical note: Early regulatory controversy over requirement of payment and unilateral supersedeas during Utilization Review
- § 9:109 Constitutional challenge to UR provision: *American Mfrs. Mut. Ins. Co. v. Sullivan* (U.S. 1999)
- § 9:110 Commentary: Utilization Review and jurisdiction of WCJ (with historical notes)
- § 9:111 Practice note: Defunct case of *Fotta v. W.C.A.B. (U.S. Steel/USX Corp.)* (Pa. Commw. 1999)
- § 9:112 Practice note: WCJ may request peer review during litigation

17. Disputes with Regard to Reasonableness and Necessity of Treatment: Utilization Review (In General)

- § 9:113 Generally
- § 9:114 Initial interpretation (1993); Proposed Rulemaking (1994); Final Rulemaking (1995)
- § 9:115 Commentary: Bureau of Workers' Compensation as entity in charge of URO assignment
- § 9:116 Provider which is not a "health care provider" must be noticed
- § 9:117 Failure of URO and its peer to consider records
- § 9:118 Procedure when provider under review does not tender records to URO
- § 9:119 Constitutionality of denial of review
- § 9:120 Procedure when URO fails to abide by time requirements
- § 9:121 Commentary: Utilization Review and original claims (with historical notes)
- § 9:122 Commentary: Utilization Review not to address causal connection
- § 9:123 Reasonableness and necessity of treatment: Palliative care and "quality of care"
- § 9:124 Rethinking the common wisdom about pre-approval: *McLaughlin v. W.C.A.B. (St. Francis Country House)* (Pa. Commw. 2002)

- § 9:125 Prospective Utilization Review
- § 9:126 Practice note: Revocation of prescription card—A case of estoppel?
- § 9:127 Resolving issue in favor of provider under review
- § 9:128 Object of Utilization Review must be an individual health care provider
- § 9:129 Physical therapy groups: How employer/carrier is to phrase UR Request
- § 9:130 Utilization Review may be undertaken with regard to care facilities
- § 9:131 Contents of Utilization Review peer's report
- 18. Disputes with Regard to Reasonableness and Necessity of Treatment: Utilization Review
- § 9:132 Generally
- 19. Disputes with Regard to Reasonableness and Necessity of Treatment: Utilization Review (Cost of URO)
- § 9:133 Generally
- § 9:134 Regulatory interpretation: Final rulemaking (1995)
- § 9:135 Commentary
- 20. Disputes with Regard to “Reasonableness and Necessity” of Treatment: Utilization Review (Petition before WCJ after URO)
- § 9:136 Generally
- § 9:137 Regulatory interpretations (Final Rulemaking (1995))
- § 9:138 Commentary: Petition for review, payment of medical bills, supersedeas and burden of proof; Pre-2000 authorities
- § 9:139 Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57)
- § 9:140 Commentary: Petition for review, HCFA billing forms, and other evidentiary matters
- § 9:141 Practice note: Procedure when claimant has not submitted bills on HCFA forms
- § 9:142 Commentary: Petition for review and healthcare provider as petitioner
- § 9:143 The party in interest and leading case of *Hough v. W.C.A.B. (AC&T Companies)* (Pa. Commw. 2007)
- § 9:144 Commentary: Utilization Review and attorney's fees
- § 9:145 Commentary: Petition for Review of UR determination; Procedural matters
- § 9:146 WCJ's procedure when Bureau refuses to process treatment through Utilization Review

TABLE OF CONTENTS

21. Fee Review: In General, and Time for Requesting

- § 9:147 Generally
- § 9:148 Practice note: Fee review procedures online at Bureau website
- § 9:149 Regulatory interpretations, Final Rulemaking (1995), Part 1: Medical Fee Disputes
- § 9:150 Regulatory interpretations, Final Rulemaking (1995), Part 2: Billing Transactions
- § 9:151 Commentary: Proposed Rulemaking (2006)
- § 9:152 Commentary: Basic Principles
- § 9:153 Leading case of *Crozer Chester Medical Center* (Pa. 2011): Dispute as to causal relation renders Fee Review Application premature
- § 9:154 Conflicting, unsatisfactory case of *Workers' First Pharmacy* (Pa. Commw. 2020)
- § 9:155 Procedure for employer/carrier to follow in denying medical treatment bills for lack of causation in wake of unsatisfactory decision in *Workers' First Pharmacy* (2020)
- § 9:156 Critique (August 2020) of holdings in *Workers' First Pharmacy*, *Omni Pharmacy*
- § 9:157 Separate track for medical fee disputes, standing, and problem of jurisdiction; Resolution with *Armour Pharmacy* case (Pa. Commw. 2019)
- § 9:158 Mechanics of and time for medical bill submissions
- § 9:159 Practice note: No terminal limit for provider's submission of bills?
- § 9:160 Fee review, billing transactions, and downcoding
- § 9:161 Provider's failure to communicate disagreement with downcoding
- § 9:162 Ineffective response to objectionable bill: Treatment as "unproven therapy"
- § 9:163 Interest due when provider prevails
- § 9:164 Non-enumerated entities and access to Fee Review for unpaid bills

22. Prohibition against Direct Billing

- § 9:165 Generally
- § 9:166 Regulatory interpretation: Final Rulemaking (1995)
- § 9:167 Commentary

23. Payments of Medical Expenses as Insufficient to Revive Three-Year Limitation of Actions

- § 9:168 Generally

- § 9:169 Commentary
- 24. Accredited Trauma Centers and Burn
Facilities: Exceptions from Medicare-Based
Fee Schedules
- § 9:170 Generally
- § 9:171 Regulatory interpretations (final rulemaking (1995))
- § 9:172 Regulation 127.128(c) called into question by court
- § 9:173 Commentary
- G. SECTION 306(F.2): MEDICAL SERVICES:
COORDINATED CARE ORGANIZATIONS
(STATUTE, REGULATION, AND COMMENTARY)
- 1. General Authorization; Role of the Department
of Health
- § 9:174 Generally
- § 9:175 Commentary
- 2. Requisites of the Coordinated Care
Organization (In General)
- § 9:176 Generally
- 3. Requisites of the Coordinated Care
Organization (Requirements for Certification)
- § 9:177 Generally
- 4. Requisites of the Coordinated Care
Organization (Bases for Refusal of
Certification; Bases for Suspension of
Certification)
- § 9:178 Generally
- 5. Immunity of Participant in Coordinated Care
Organization
- § 9:179 Generally
- § 9:180 Commentary
- 6. Requisites of the Coordinated Care
Organization (Certain Exceptions for Rural
Health Care Providers)
- § 9:181 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7. Department of Health As Entity Responsible for Regulation of Coordinated Care Organizations

§ 9:182 Generally

III. SUSPENSION OF BENEFITS FOR REFUSAL OF TREATMENT

§ 9:183 Refusal of reasonable medical treatment/services

§ 9:184 Effect of 1993 Amendments (Act 44 of 1996)

§ 9:185 Modification of doctrine: Prospects for vocational
rehabilitation

§ 9:186 Services in respect of which forfeiture has been
applied

§ 9:187 Practice note: Prosecuting and responding to a
forfeiture petition

§ 9:188 Practice note: Example of forfeiture case

§ 9:189 Refusal based on religious belief

§ 9:190 Refusal to treat and Supersedeas Fund recovery

CHAPTER 10. EXCLUSIVENESS OF REMEDIES PROVIDED BY WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT

I. IN GENERAL; ACTIONS BETWEEN EMPLOYEES AND EMPLOYERS

A. WORKERS' COMPENSATION AS EXCLUSIVE REMEDY OF EMPLOYEE AND AGAINST EMPLOYER

§ 10:1 Generally; Statutory provisions

§ 10:2 Failure to insure as vitiating immunity

§ 10:3 Comprehensive general liability (CGL), other carriers
not responsible for workers' compensation risks

§ 10:4 Practice note: Lack of right against employer in
workers' compensation as indicating that exclusive
remedy does not apply

§ 10:5 Application to persons claiming through employee

§ 10:6 Claim by unborn child; Associated claims of mother

§ 10:7 Immunity of insurance carrier and other agents

§ 10:8 Practice note: Exclusive remedy as applied to illegally
employed minors and statutory employer situations

§ 10:9 Practice note: Exclusive remedy as not applicable to
independent contractors

- § 10:10 Practice note: Exclusive remedy as not applicable to workers in non-employee status
- § 10:11 The exclusive remedy and temporary agencies
- § 10:12 Temporary agency and actual employer as entitled to immunity
- § 10:13 Pro-active attempt by employer to avoid tort action
- § 10:14 Filing for and receipt of workers' compensation as creating estoppel and barring civil action against employer
- § 10:15 Filing of civil action does not create election out of workers' compensation

B. CONSTITUTIONALITY, VALIDITY, AND CONSTRUCTION OF EXCLUSIVE REMEDY PRINCIPLE, IN GENERAL

- § 10:16 Constitutionality
- § 10:17 Construction of principle, generally
- § 10:18 Application to injuries for which there is no recovery under the act; *Tooev v. AK Steel Corp.* (Pa. 2013)
- § 10:19 Insurance coverage for civil action claims brought under *Tooev v. AK Steel Corp.* (Pa. 2013)
- § 10:20 Injuries for which there is no recovery under the Act: Fatal claims suffered by workers with no dependents
- § 10:21 Injuries for which there is no recovery, reconsidered: New Supreme Court cases and the possibility of retractive legislation
- § 10:22 Civil action under "Open Courts" constitutional provision: Pennsylvania should follow Oregon
- § 10:23 Practice note: Raising exclusive remedy; Procedural issues; Estoppel via seeking out workers' compensation
- § 10:24 Raising exclusive remedy: Anomalous result in *Pollard v. Lord Corp.* (Pa. 1997)
- § 10:25 Practice note: Procedure when plaintiff alleges an injury that may be compensable under Workers' Compensation Act

C. EFFECT OF EMPLOYER'S INTENTIONAL MISCONDUCT

1. In General

- § 10:26 Generally
- § 10:27 Practice note: Intentional infliction of emotional distress

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:28	Intentional infliction of emotional distress: Suit against harasser versus suit against employer
§ 10:29	Practice note: Intentional infliction of emotional distress and “personal animus”
§ 10:30	Historical note: Legislative efforts to limit exclusive remedy
§ 10:31	Allegation of false imprisonment
2. Bad Faith	
§ 10:32	Generally
§ 10:33	Development of the rule in Pennsylvania
§ 10:34	The death of bad faith under the Pennsylvania Workers’ Compensation Act
§ 10:35	Practice note: Claims beyond bad faith
§ 10:36	RICO suit allowed as against employer, adjusting service, and IME physician who allegedly colluded to deny benefits
3. Exceptions to Rule That Employer’s Intentional Act Does Not Vitate Exclusiveness	
§ 10:37	Generally
§ 10:38	Fraud and misrepresentation by employer aggravating work injury
§ 10:39	Practice note: Fraudulent misrepresentation: Exception as having been “narrowly construed”
§ 10:40	Practice note: Fraudulent misrepresentation
§ 10:41	Negligence in “managed care”
§ 10:42	Insurance coverage and negligence in workers’ compensation managed care
§ 10:43	Practice note: Negligence in managed care
§ 10:44	Vocational rehabilitation malpractice
§ 10:45	Managed care/Disability management, standards of care, and tort liability
§ 10:46	Abuse of process
§ 10:47	Damages under the Wiretapping Act
§ 10:48	Constitutional claims
D. EXCEPTIONS TO EXCLUSIVITY PROVISION	
1. Uninsured and Underinsured Motor Vehicle Claims	
§ 10:49	Generally
§ 10:50	Law prior to 1993 amendments
§ 10:51	Effect of 1993 Amendments
§ 10:52	Superior Court doctrine

2. Liability in Tort of Parent Corporation of Employer; Liability of Joint Venturer

- § 10:53 Generally
- § 10:54 Practice note: Liability in tort of parent corporation—
Subrogation
- § 10:55 Liability of joint venturer

3. Retaliatory Discharge for Exercise of Rights under Pennsylvania Workers' Compensation Act

- § 10:56 Generally
- § 10:57 Historical note: First suggestion that cause of action existed
- § 10:58 Cause of action recognized: *Shick v. Donald L. Shirey* (Pa. 1998)
- § 10:59 Practice note: Pleading and proving retaliatory discharge
- § 10:60 Practice note: Retaliatory discharge and chronic absence
- § 10:61 Cause of action as not limited to complete discharge
- § 10:62 Cause of action as limited to at-will employees
- § 10:63 Limitation of action on retaliatory discharge case
- § 10:64 Discharge of employee not an unfair labor practice under NLRA
- § 10:65 Retaliatory action may lie even when employer does not formally accept claim

4. Dual Capacity Doctrine

- § 10:66 Generally
- § 10:67 Practice note: Dual capacity doctrine and “Rube Goldberg” exception

5. Third Person's Attack on or Sexual Harassment of Employee

- § 10:68 Generally
- § 10:69 Practice note: Establishing “personal animus”
- § 10:70 Personal animus a not strictly required showing: “Purely personal reasons” as the pivotal test
- § 10:71 Practice note: A case limited to exceptional circumstances?
- § 10:72 “Purely personal reasons” and rape in the workplace
- § 10:73 Sexual harassment cases
- § 10:74 Uncertainty in the law: Defunct case of *Heath v. W.C.A.B. (Pennsylvania Bd. of Probation and Parole)* (Pa. Commw. 2004)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 10:75 Federal court trend: No “*per se* rule that the personal animus/third-party attack exception applies in any case of sexual harassment between two individuals”
- § 10:76 Supreme Court, Commonwealth Court make awards in sexual harassment cases
- § 10:77 Practice note: Availability of set-off of compensation; Rule regarding estoppel
- 6. Discriminatory Conduct by the Employer
- § 10:78 Generally
- 7. Defamation
- § 10:79 Generally
- 8. Invasion of Privacy
- § 10:80 Invasion of privacy
- 9. Spoliation of Evidence
- § 10:81 Spoliation of evidence

II. ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST THIRD PARTIES

A. IN GENERAL; SUITS AGAINST CO-EMPLOYEES

- § 10:82 General rule
- § 10:83 Practice note: Reference to workers’ compensation at trial during employee’s third-party action
- § 10:84 Immunity of co-employee
- § 10:85 Co-employee assault cases: *Vosburg v. Connolly* (Pa. Super. 1991) and other nuances of analysis
- § 10:86 Assault and battery claim against co-employee
- § 10:87 Joinder of labor union
- § 10:88 Joinder of Commonwealth agencies

B. JOINDER OF EMPLOYER IN THIRD-PARTY ACTION

- § 10:89 Generally; Statutory provision
- § 10:90 Waiver of immunity through express contract of indemnity
- § 10:91 Conflicting cases, 1991–1993: Waiver of immunity without express mention of workers’ compensation
- § 10:92 1993: Restoration of the traditional rule
- § 10:93 Practice note: Ability of employer to plead set-off for workers’ compensation
- § 10:94 Pass-through indemnification clauses

- § 10:95 Practice note: Delaware law provides that indemnification clause only applicable against negligent employer

CHAPTER 11. COMPENSATION FUNDS(A)

I. SUPERSEDEAS FUND

A. IN GENERAL

- § 11:1 Introduction
§ 11:2 Statutory provisions
§ 11:3 Practice note: Circumstances under which and how reimbursement is achieved

B. REIMBURSEMENT FROM FUND

- § 11:4 Petition requirement
§ 11:5 Historical/Archival note: Supersedeas Fund reimbursement, the errantly-issued Notice of Compensation Payable, and the miscalculated Average Weekly Wage (rule reconsidered)
§ 11:6 The errantly-issued Notice of Compensation Payable: A change in the law (2011)
§ 11:7 Both disability and medical payments as recoverable; Interest, attorney's fees, not recoverable
§ 11:8 Specific request requirement; Effect of non-action
§ 11:9 Request requirement as applicable in original claim context; Controversy and resolution in *Mark v. W.C.A.B. (McCurdy)* (Pa. Commw. 2006)
§ 11:10 Practice note: Requesting supersedeas in specific situations
§ 11:11 Rule against retroactive reimbursement; *Kusenko* exception (abolished)
§ 11:12 Recovery of medical payments: Date of proper presentation of bill, not date of service, as controlling
§ 11:13 Meaning of "final outcome"
§ 11:14 Practice note: Limitations of action
§ 11:15 Practice note: Unsupported stipulations and other collusion as ineffective to bind Fund
§ 11:16 Stipulated decision supported by record evidence sufficient to support recovery
§ 11:17 Supersedeas Fund recovery disallowed to settling employer
§ 11:18 Supersedeas Fund reimbursement unavailable when employer has other remedies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 11:19 Practice note: Supersedeas Fund indemnification as to employer subrogation recovery
- § 11:20 Criticism of Supersedeas Fund concept

II. SUBSEQUENT INJURY FUND

- § 11:21 Introduction
- § 11:22 Historical note: Second Injury Funds in decline
- § 11:23 Practice note: New comprehensive review of Second Injury Funds
- § 11:24 Statutory provisions
- § 11:25 Practice note: Statute of limitations
- § 11:26 Practice note: Procedure and burden of proof

III. STATE WORKERS' INSURANCE FUND

- § 11:27 Introduction; Initial organization and codification
- § 11:28 Statutory provisions; Purpose and mandate of Fund; Effect of 1996 amendments (Act 57); Disputes as between SWIF and its insureds
- § 11:29 Administrative and miscellaneous provisions
- § 11:30 Statute as controlling contract of insurance with SWIF
- § 11:31 Practice note: Dealing with SWIF

IV. WORKERS' COMPENSATION SECURITY FUND

- § 11:32 Introduction; Statutory provisions
- § 11:33 Provisions as to administration of PWCSF

V. SELF-INSURANCE GUARANTY FUND

- § 11:34 Introduction
- § 11:35 Statutory provisions
- § 11:36 Organization of the Fund
- § 11:37 2000 Amendment

VI. WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADMINISTRATION FUND

- § 11:38 Generally

VII. UNINSURED EMPLOYERS GUARANTY FUND

- § 11:39 Introduction
- § 11:40 Initial regulatory authority; Critical forms
- § 11:41 WCJ Rules amended to address practice involving Fund

- § 11:42 Lack of UEGF regulations
- § 11:43 House Bill 440 of 2011
- § 11:44 2018 Amendments to UEGF provisions
- § 11:45 UEGF a “party” under the WCJ Rules
- § 11:46 Interpretations
- § 11:47 UEGF as adopting uninsured employer defenses
- § 11:48 The UEGF and arguments with regard to election of remedies
- § 11:49 Origin of Fund

CHAPTER 12. SUBROGATION AND THE LAW OF SET-OFF OR CREDIT

I. INTRODUCTION; TRADITIONAL SUBROGATION

A. IN GENERAL

- § 12:1 Introduction
- § 12:2 Statutory provisions; Policy and historical background
- § 12:3 Essential principles; Requirement of “equitability”; Constitutionality
- § 12:4 Status of the subrogation interest: Not an assignment
- § 12:5 Policy supporting subrogation
- § 12:6 Workers’ compensation authorities not to exercise “equity” in face of subrogation rules
- § 12:7 Subrogation of negligent employer
- § 12:8 Practice note: Subrogation and joinder of negligent employer
- § 12:9 Tumultuous case of *Thompson v. W.C.A.B. (USF & G Co.)* (Pa. 2001)
- § 12:10 Employer malfeasance (e.g., spoliation) in recovery process as creating waiver
- § 12:11 Assignment forbidden
- § 12:12 Practice note: Leading subrogation errors
- § 12:13 Collateral source rule referenced in workers’ compensation decision

B. REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCRUAL OF RIGHT; PROPER PARTY TO ASSERT ACTION

- § 12:14 Requirement of actual payment; “Anticipatory subrogation”
- § 12:15 Subrogation when employer revokes Notice of Temporary Compensation Payable
- § 12:16 Identity of cause of action, proof of third-party fault, and burden of proof in general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 12:17 Proper party to assert action; “Reluctant plaintiff”

C. EXTENT OF SUBROGATION

§ 12:18 Generally

§ 12:19 Upheaval in the Law with *Whitmoyer v. W.C.A.B. (Mountain Country Meats)* (Pa. 2018): Employer not entitled to “anticipatory subrogation” as to medical treatment expense liability

§ 12:20 Practice Note: Terms of original settlement

§ 12:21 Subrogation and damages attributable to pain and suffering

§ 12:22 Practice note: Extent of subrogation, loss of consortium, and special hearing to apportion damages

§ 12:23 Subrogation and subsequent maladies (analysis pre-MCARE Act of 2002)

§ 12:24 Practice note: Medical malpractice and employer’s proofs (analysis pre-MCARE Act of 2002)

§ 12:25 Effect of “MCARE Act” (2002): Elimination of collateral source rule, employer subrogation, in medical malpractice actions

§ 12:26 Subrogation to recoveries attributable to children

§ 12:27 Apportionment versus disclaiming in wrongful death cases: Leading case of *Gillette v. Wurst* (Pa. 2007)

§ 12:28 Subrogation when the third party is not alleged to have been negligent in causing discrete aspects of claimant’s injury

§ 12:29 Subrogation to proceeds of legal malpractice action

§ 12:30 Subrogation to proceeds of breach of contract action

§ 12:31 Historical note: Subrogation under No-Fault Act (1974–1984)

§ 12:32 Historical note: Subrogation under the Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law (1984–1993)

§ 12:33 Restoration of subrogation in motor vehicle injury context

§ 12:34 Pre-injury “waivers of subrogation” allowed in Pennsylvania workers’ compensation policies

§ 12:35 Claimant’s standing to assert pre-injury waiver of subrogation

§ 12:36 Effect of Act 44, Section 23 (immunity of Commonwealth and governmental entities from subrogation/reimbursement claims)

§ 12:37 Exclusion, in employer auto insurance policies, of claims by workers’ compensation claimants

- § 12:38 Subrogation claim cognizable where third-party recovery achieved in midst of contested claim petition

D. PROCEDURAL MATTERS

1. Pleading

- § 12:39 Within compensation system
§ 12:40 In common pleas court
§ 12:41 In federal court
§ 12:42 Resolution of subrogation dispute and quandary as to jurisdiction
§ 12:43 Practice note: Pleading of subrogation

2. Limitation of Action

- § 12:44 Within workers' compensation system
§ 12:45 Within common pleas or federal court

3. Notice of Subrogation Right

- § 12:46 Generally
§ 12:47 Practice note: Advisability of notice

4. Voluntary Waiver or Forfeiture by Employer of Subrogation Interest

- § 12:48 Generally
§ 12:49 Practice note: Parties must show attention to detail with regard to precise nature of lien waiver
§ 12:50 Practice note: Waiver and Compromise & Release Agreement

E. MECHANICS OF SUBROGATION: ALLOCATION OF ATTORNEY'S FEES

1. In General

- § 12:51 Introduction; Statutory and regulatory provisions; Major form revision, March 2006
§ 12:52 Basic theory of distribution/allocation; Nature of attorney's fees; Principles governing the process
§ 12:53 Reimbursement of third-party action fees and costs when claimant returns to work during grace period
§ 12:54 Practice note: General principles
§ 12:55 The "Bureau" method of distribution of proceeds and allocation of fees
§ 12:56 Practice note: Bureau method
§ 12:57 Theory supporting "Bureau" method

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2. *Rollins* Case; Repudiation of *Rollins*

- § 12:58 Introduction
- § 12:59 Basics of *Rollins* formulation; Criticism
- § 12:60 *Dasconio* (Pa. Commw. 1989): Reconciliation of *Rollins* with logic and the Bureau approach
- § 12:61 Repudiation of *Rollins*

3. Latest Cases Setting forth Methodology

- § 12:62 Practice note: Post-*Dasconio* law of distribution and fee allocation
- § 12:63 Formula where there is no future compensation payable
- § 12:64 Where claimant cannot or will not reimburse the lien amount but is entitled to further compensation payments
- § 12:65 Calculation of grace period when employer has waived portion of accrued lien
- § 12:66 Calculation where medical treatment is being paid out of pocket by claimant

4. Other Matters

- § 12:67 WCJ's power to order reimbursement
- § 12:68 Settlement by annuity; Miscellaneous matters
- § 12:69 Exposure to jury of fact of lien

II. SUBROGATION OF THIRD-PARTY PAYORS AND SET-OFF OR CREDIT

A. IN GENERAL

- § 12:70 Distinguishing subrogation and set-off; Statutes and cases
- § 12:71 Auto insurance carrier as party entitled to subrogation
- § 12:72 Veterans Administration as party entitled to subrogation
- § 12:73 Difference between subrogation and mere restitution
- § 12:74 Practice note: Payments subrogable or subject to set-off
- § 12:75 Practice note: Need to ascertain full nature of other payments received
- § 12:76 Definition of subrogation under second paragraph; Role of equity
- § 12:77 Rule that insurer is "unable to subrogate its own insured"
- § 12:78 Determination of set-off amount; Role of equity;

Leading cases of *Creighton v. Continental Roll & Steel Foundry* and *Temple v. Pennsylvania Dept. of Highways*

- § 12:79 Rule as to credit when paid leave, taken during disability, is to be restored upon award of compensation
- § 12:80 Distinction between statutory set-off and “in lieu of compensation payments”
- § 12:81 Credit and overpayments of compensation

B. ELEMENTS REQUIRED TO DEMONSTRATE ENTITLEMENT

- § 12:82 True subrogation by insurance company
- § 12:83 Practice note: Subrogation of health insurance carriers
- § 12:84 Practice note: Subrogation of health insurance carriers and the charge-back process
- § 12:85 Health insurance carrier not limited to reimbursement at Act 44 rates
- § 12:86 Subrogation of health insurers not subject to parties' stipulation of non-compensability
- § 12:87 Statutory set-off by employer; Reimbursement or credit agreements
- § 12:88 Practice note: Set-off and pensions (pre-Act 57 commentary)
- § 12:89 Payments that are not “in lieu” of compensation
- § 12:90 Proper party to assert right: Subrogation of insurance company
- § 12:91 Proper party to assert right: “Equitable Subrogation” apart from Section 319
- § 12:92 Proper party to assert right: Set-off or “in lieu” payments
- § 12:93 Practice note: Assertion of subrogation or set-off right; Attorney's fees
- § 12:94 Degree of diligence required of health insurer subrogee
- § 12:95 Degree of diligence required of auto carrier
- § 12:96 Equitable principles as superseding contractual subrogation
- § 12:97 Social Security Disability (SSD) and Medicare offsets
- § 12:98 Handling of credit when claimant has both pre- and post-Act 57 claims
- § 12:99 Practice Note: Documentation required to establish third-party payor subrogation claim

TABLE OF CONTENTS

C. STATUTORY CREDIT FOR UNEMPLOYMENT
COMPENSATION

- § 12:100 Generally
- § 12:101 Practice note: Regulations affecting unemployment
compensation credit
- § 12:102 Practice note: Procedure and further regulations
affecting unemployment compensation credit
- § 12:103 Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57)
- § 12:104 Purpose of allowing credit for unemployment
compensation benefits
- § 12:105 Unemployment and the gross/net credit issue
- § 12:106 Rule regarding raising of unemployment
compensation credit
- § 12:107 Credit applies regardless of account from which
received

D. EFFECT OF ACT 57 OF 1996: STATUTORY
CREDIT FOR SOCIAL SECURITY RETIREMENT
BENEFITS

- § 12:108 Statutory credit for Social Security Retirement (in
general)
- § 12:109 Social Security Retirement credit: Act 57
Regulations

E. EFFECT OF ACT 57 OF 1996: STATUTORY
CREDIT FOR PENSION BENEFITS

- § 12:110 Statutory credit for pension benefits (in general)
- § 12:111 Pension offset when defined benefit plan is involved:
Pennsylvania State University/PMA Ins. Group v.
W.C.A.B. (Hensal) (Pa. Commw. 2006)
- § 12:112 Defined-pension credit, multiple-employer pension
plan, and applicability of *Hensal*
- § 12:113 Employer's right to credit: Merger and successive
employers
- § 12:114 Pension credit and rate of set-off
- § 12:115 An impartial economist's analysis of *Pennsylvania*
State University/PMA Ins. Group v. W.C.A.B.
(Hensal) (Pa. Commw. 2006)
- § 12:116 Pension credit: Act 57 Regulations

F. EFFECT OF ACT 57 OF 1996: STATUTORY
CREDIT FOR SEVERANCE BENEFITS

- § 12:117 Statutory credit for severance pay (in general)

- § 12:118 Severance credit: Act 57 Regulations
- § 12:119 Availability of credit and problem with language in statute

CHAPTER 13. ESTABLISHMENT AND ENFORCEMENT OF CLAIMS: PREPARATION AND TRIAL

I. INTRODUCTION: PRINCIPLES OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION LITIGATION AND THEIR PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

A. THE LITIGATED WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIM

- § 13:1 Generally
- § 13:2 Workers' compensation proceedings are not "civil" matters
- § 13:3 Hybrid of litigation and administrative fact-finding; Judge as "bound to bring out the truth"
- § 13:4 Role of the Administrative Agency Law
- § 13:5 WCJ lacks power to issue declaratory judgments; WCJ lacks power to entertain "class action"
- § 13:6 Practice note: Effect on practice of hybrid nature
- § 13:7 Practice note: Need to win the case before the WCJ
- § 13:8 Working rules for professionalism; Code of Civility

B. THE LIBERAL RULES OF PLEADING

- § 13:9 Generally
- § 13:10 Rule that amendments are freely granted
- § 13:11 Practice note: Advisability of formal amendment
- § 13:12 Entitlement of claimant to all relief the credible evidence shows
- § 13:13 Practice note: Need for requests for relief
- § 13:14 Entitlement of employer to relief specifically requested
- § 13:15 Liberal pleading rule as largely ending after WCJ decision
- § 13:16 Practice note: Liberal pleading after WCJ decision
- § 13:17 Exceptions to liberal pleading rule

C. THE LIBERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

- § 13:18 Generally
- § 13:19 Practice note: Evidentiary objections

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:20 Practice note: “Liberal Rules of Evidence” and “Rule of Liberal Construction”

D. PERVASIVE ROLE OF THE “INTERESTS OF JUSTICE”

- § 13:21 Generally
- § 13:22 Practice note: Practical implications of the “interests of justice” standard
- § 13:23 Practice note: Tension between the need for order and the interests of justice

E. SELF-REPRESENTATION IN WORKERS’ COMPENSATION PROCEEDINGS

- § 13:24 Generally

II. RULES OF PRACTICE BEFORE THE WCJ AND WCAB

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 13:25 Generally; Effect of Act 147 of 2006
- § 13:26 Practice note: Variations in application
- § 13:27 Practice note: Procedural manuals keyed to individual judges

B. HIGHLIGHTS OF AND COMMENTARY ON WCJ RULES

- § 13:28 Rules of Procedure: The prior practice
- § 13:29 Purpose of the rules: Expeditiousness
- § 13:30 Rules of Practice Amended (2009)
- § 13:31 Waiver and modification of Rules
- § 13:32 Amendment of petitions
- § 13:33 Definition of “party”
- § 13:34 Filing as effected by mailing; Providing certificate of service
- § 13:35 Rules against continuances; Definition, nature of WCJ discretion
- § 13:36 Procedure as to joinder
- § 13:37 Procedure as to joinder; Grant of motion to strike joinder is a final order
- § 13:38 Uninsured Employers Guaranty Fund (UEGF) a “Party” under the WCJ Rules
- § 13:39 *Yellow Freight* not applicable in joinder context; Resolution of joinder prior to original petition

WORKERS' COMPENSATION: LAW & PRACTICE

- § 13:40 Rules and evidence with regard to supersedeas request; "Reverse" supersedeas and rule against interim reinstatement
- § 13:41 Hearing procedures; WCJ to admit Bureau documents (Department Record); Remote hearings
- § 13:42 Practice note: WCJ rules on dismissal, scheduling orders, cited to support dismissal of petition for lack of prosecution
- § 13:43 Exchange of documents and discovery; Depositions; Preservation of objections
- § 13:44 Subpoena form available on Labor & Industry website
- § 13:45 Bureau documents not public records; Access to documents
- § 13:46 Rules surrounding discovery of employee's treating physician
- § 13:47 Practice note: Defense counsel's contact with treating physician when such physician is also employee of employer
- § 13:48 Forfeiture for failure to cooperate with discovery as to earning power
- § 13:49 Subpoenas
- § 13:50 Stipulations
- § 13:51 Briefing of case
- § 13:52 Amendment of decisions
- § 13:53 Ability of WCJ judge to vacate decision
- § 13:54 Principles of the WCJ rules; Practice implications
- § 13:55 WCJ Rules Amended (2009): Summary, Commentary, Full Text (Appendix A)
- § 13:56 WCJ Rules Amended (2014): Full Text (Appendix C)

C. HIGHLIGHTS OF AND COMMENTARY ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEAL BOARD RULES

- § 13:57 Introduction
- § 13:58 Revisions to Rules (2002)
- § 13:59 Effect of 2006 Amendments (Act 147)
- § 13:60 Content and form of appeals
- § 13:61 Motions to quash
- § 13:62 Briefs and oral arguments
- § 13:63 Supersedeas on appeal
- § 13:64 "Original jurisdiction" petitions

III. PRE-LITIGATION PROCEDURES

A. INTRODUCTION; REQUIREMENT OF NOTICE OF INJURY TO EMPLOYER

- § 13:65 Introduction
- § 13:66 Generally
- § 13:67 Practice note: Mandatory nature of notice requirement

B. EMPLOYER AND INSURER INVESTIGATIONS

- § 13:68 Duty to investigate
- § 13:69 Practice note: Duty to investigate
- § 13:70 Practice note: The prior practice
- § 13:71 Investigation of injury, the *Lemansky* decision, and satisfaction of investigation requirement
- § 13:72 The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and its effect on workers' compensation cases
- § 13:73 HIPAA-compliant authorization form
- § 13:74 Authorities governing release of records of psychiatric and HIV treatment
- § 13:75 Genetic Information Non-Discrimination Act (GINA): In general, relation to workers' compensation

C. PHYSICAL EXAMS

- § 13:76 Generally: The Independent Medical Exam (IME)
- § 13:77 Procedural matters relevant to physical exam
- § 13:78 Payment to claimant for expenses of exam
- § 13:79 Practice note: Procedure
- § 13:80 Availability of further examination and the "mere passage of time"
- § 13:81 Practice note: Subsequent examinations
- § 13:82 Reasonable cause for failure to submit to an ordered exam
- § 13:83 Practice note: Attorney's involvement in physical exam
- § 13:84 Practice note: Healthcare provider's involvement in physical exam
- § 13:85 Examination and occupational disease
- § 13:86 Practice note: Applicability of Rules of Civil Procedure
- § 13:87 Practice note: Overexposure of IME physicians a danger?
- § 13:88 Additional studies not reflective of additional exam

- § 13:89 Expert vocational evaluations under Act 57: Nature and availability

D. PAYMENT OR DENIAL; TERMINATION BY AGREEMENT

- § 13:90 Generally
- § 13:91 Effecting payment or denial; Importance of Revised Bureau Regulations (2007)
- § 13:92 Practice note: Employer can seek termination of benefits as of date that precedes date of NCP
- § 13:93 Effecting payment when employer only acknowledges injury and liability for medical treatment
- § 13:94 Historical note: Early reactions, thoughts about the Medical-Only NCP
- § 13:95 “Qualified,” “Box 4” Denial as legitimate means to accept case for medical-only
- § 13:96 Petition for claimant to file to establish disability after issuance of Medical-Only NCP
- § 13:97 Practice note: Petition for claimant to file to establish disability after issuance of Box 4 (“Qualified”) denial
- § 13:98 Further doctrine relevant to Notice of Compensation Payable; Injury versus “manner in which it was acquired”
- § 13:99 The Notice of Temporary Compensation Payable (NTCP) (payments without prejudice)
- § 13:100 Case law surrounding the NTCP
- § 13:101 Practice Note: Bureau creates new form LIBC-495B
- § 13:102 Notice of Temporary Compensation Payable and “extent of liability”
- § 13:103 Initial compensation agreements
- § 13:104 Practice note: Inclusions, effect, and filing of agreements
- § 13:105 Supplemental agreements
- § 13:106 Effect of agreements and supplemental agreements
- § 13:107 Illegal compensation agreements (pre-1996 Amendment)
- § 13:108 Practice note: Termination agreement
- § 13:109 Treatment of two injuries causing total disability

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IV. HEARINGS AND FACT-FINDING

A. IN GENERAL; ROLE OF THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION JUDGE, WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEAL BOARD, AND THE COMMONWEALTH COURT

- § 13:110 Introduction
- § 13:111 Generally
- § 13:112 Workers' Compensation Judge (WCJ) (formerly "referee")
- § 13:113 WCJ as fact-finder and effect of 1993 and 1996 Amendments
- § 13:114 Supreme Court on WCJ fact-finding in IRE and uncontradicted evidence contexts
- § 13:115 Finality of WCJ fact-finding among state systems
- § 13:116 Certain credibility determinations as requiring explanation: *Daniels* case
- § 13:117 Deference to fact-finding: "Instinct Versus Discipline"
- § 13:118 WCJ as having power to determine paternity
- § 13:119 WCJ as conductor of trial and administrator of evidence law; Raising constitutional issue before WCJ
- § 13:120 WCJ and Board cannot decide constitutional issues; Alleged constitutional violation reviewable as error of law
- § 13:121 Full faith and credit
- § 13:122 Workers' Compensation Appeal Board
- § 13:123 Review by Commonwealth Court and Supreme Court
- § 13:124 Common pleas court as lacking power to stay workers' compensation proceedings
- § 13:125 Commonwealth Court original jurisdiction
- § 13:126 Effect of 2006 amendments: Creation of a mandatory mediation system
- § 13:127 Practice note: Procedure when claimant is hearing-impaired, requires an interpreter, or otherwise requires accommodations
- § 13:128 Bifurcation
- § 13:129 Practice note: Dichotomy between credibility and persuasiveness
- § 13:130 Court remarks on deficiency in WCJ fact-finding and testimony of co-workers
- § 13:131 Practice Note: The litigation/judicial privilege

B. WCJ PRACTICE: DOCTRINE GOVERNING PRACTICE AND FACT-FINDING

- § 13:132 Introduction
- § 13:133 Reassignment of cases; Bias of workers' compensation judge
- § 13:134 Statutory rule as to time for scheduling of hearings
- § 13:135 Summary dismissal of frivolous petition
- § 13:136 Rule that stenographic record be prepared; Power of WCJ to re-open record if record is incoherent
- § 13:137 Ability of WCJ judge to vacate decision
- § 13:138 Appointment of impartial physician; Peer review under 1993 amendments
- § 13:139 Rules governing scheduling of hearings; Close of the record; Dismissal of the case for lack of prosecution
- § 13:140 Rule governing power of WCJ after remand
- § 13:141 Briefs and oral argument
- § 13:142 Serial hearings
- § 13:143 Regulations governing "consolidated hearing procedures" ("One-day, One-trial")
- § 13:144 Defense of serial hearings
- § 13:145 "Interim reinstatement"/"reverse supersedeas" practice disapproved
- § 13:146 Immunity of WCJ from slander and defamation actions
- § 13:147 Ability of WCJ to adjudicate disputes over fringe benefits
- § 13:148 Need for admission of exhibits at on-the-record hearing
- § 13:149 WCJ "striking a reasonable compromise"

C. MAKING DECISIONS AND AWARDS

- § 13:150 Introduction; Requisites of decision; Effect of 1993 amendments and the "reasoned decision" requirement
- § 13:151 Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57)
- § 13:152 Certain credibility determinations as requiring explanation: *Daniels* case (Pa. 2003)
- § 13:153 Practice note: Is *Daniels* language on fact-finding and live witnesses *dicta*?
- § 13:154 Propositions with regard to WCJ decision-making that endure Act 44, Act 57, and *Daniels*
- § 13:155 The "standard" for reviewing credibility determinations, post-*Daniels*: "The total package," including "subtle nuances of reasoning"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:156 WCJ's obligation to explain credibility determination when testimony has been both live and via deposition
- § 13:157 Historical note: The prior practice
- § 13:158 Verbatim adoption of proposed findings
- § 13:159 Proper fact finding by the WCJ: Segregation of "summary findings" and "hard findings"
- § 13:160 Segregation of findings of fact from conclusions of law
- § 13:161 "Substantial evidence" versus "preponderance of the evidence"
- § 13:162 Practice note: Comporting with "reasoned decision requirement"
- § 13:163 Adjudication by WCJ and *Bechtel Power* case

V. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AND RES JUDICATA

A. IN GENERAL

- § 13:164 General applicability
- § 13:165 Doctrine regarding finality
- § 13:166 Collateral estoppel and res judicata as not applicable in context of Notice of Compensation Payable, agreements, and stipulations

B. DEFINITION AND EFFECT OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL (ISSUE PRECLUSION)

- § 13:167 Generally
- § 13:168 Practice note: Collateral estoppel and re-submission of evidence
- § 13:169 Practice note: Collateral estoppel and attack on prior medical facts as adopted in earlier decision
- § 13:170 Judicial estoppel

C. DEFINITION AND EFFECT OF RES JUDICATA

- § 13:171 Generally
- § 13:172 Practice note: Avoiding effect of res judicata
- § 13:173 Example of operation of res judicata
- § 13:174 Res judicata and termination/suspension petition in context of insidious occupational diseases
- § 13:175 Res judicata and claim petition in context of insidious occupational disease
- § 13:176 Identity of cause of action
- § 13:177 Practice note: Res judicata, stipulations, and review of agreements

- § 13:178 Identity and capacity of parties
- § 13:179 The effect of res judicata on employer petitions;
Momentous case of *Lewis v. W.C.A.B. (Giles & Ransome, Inc.)* (Pa. 2007)
- § 13:180 Interpretation of *Lewis v. W.C.A.B. (Giles & Ransome, Inc.)*
- § 13:181 Review of award, res judicata, and “writ of coram nobis”

D. FURTHER DOCTRINE RELEVANT TO COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AND RES JUDICATA

- § 13:182 Effect of workers' compensation determination in
proceedings of other tribunals
- § 13:183 Effect of other tribunal's determination on workers'
compensation proceedings
- § 13:184 Practice note: Department of Corrections
adjudication collaterally estops claimant's
allegations of disability before WCJ
- § 13:185 Effect of Social Security Disability determination
- § 13:186 Historical note: Effect of unemployment
compensation decision in workers' compensation
proceedings
- § 13:187 Res judicata effect of non-appealed utilization
review decision

E. LAW OF THE CASE

- § 13:188 Law of the Case applicable in workers'
compensation context

F. EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES

- § 13:189 The exhaustion of administrative remedies doctrine
- § 13:190 Judicial estoppel
- § 13:191 Election of remedies
- § 13:192 General rule as to burden of proof

VI. ASSERTION OF ORIGINAL AND SUBSEQUENT CLAIMS BY CLAIMANT; BURDEN OF PROOF

A. ORIGINAL CLAIM

- § 13:193 Bringing claim petition
- § 13:194 Parties; Importance of properly identifying the
defendant
- § 13:195 Employer not a party able to initiate claim
proceeding

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 13:196	Practice note: Third party payer as possible party
§ 13:197	Practice note: Effect of 1993 amendments; Health care providers as party
§ 13:198	Joinder
§ 13:199	Answer of defendant to original claim
§ 13:200	Late answer doctrine to the present (2021)
§ 13:201	Practice note: Employer's answer and "prophylactic" raising of defenses
§ 13:202	Practice note: Filing of answer
§ 13:203	Best method for claimant to proceed when employer has waived the right to answer the petition
§ 13:204	Factual allegations, and not legal assertions, as subject to admission in late answer
§ 13:205	Uninsured Employers Guaranty Fund not bound by employer's late answer
§ 13:206	Essential aspects of claimant's burden of proof in original claim
§ 13:207	Burden of proof as being by the "preponderance" of the evidence
§ 13:208	Burden of proof as shifting to employer in the context of an employee's original claim petition: Employer affirmative defenses
§ 13:209	Historical note: Earlier text statements as to burden-shifting
§ 13:210	Historical note: Difficulties (1999–2002) with original claim burden of proof and employer response
§ 13:211	Practice note: Unsatisfactory decision in <i>Fotta v. W.C.A.B. (US Steel/USX Corp.)</i> (Pa. Commw. 1998)

B. PETITION TO REVIEW NOTICE OF COMPENSATION PAYABLE, AGREEMENT OR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT

§ 13:212	Generally
§ 13:213	Review of agreements: Primacy of <i>Commercial Credit Claims v. W.C.A.B. (Lancaster)</i> (Pa. 1999)
§ 13:214	Review of NCP and leading case of <i>Cinram Mfg. v. W.C.A.B. (Hill)</i> (Pa. 2009)
§ 13:215	Practice Note: Advice to defense counsel in light of <i>Cinram Mfg.</i>
§ 13:216	Practice note: Need for articulated cross-petition
§ 13:217	Practice note: Review of agreements and the "existing agreement" trap

C. CLAIMANT'S PETITION FOR MODIFICATION

§ 13:218 Generally

D. PETITION TO SET ASIDE FINAL RECEIPT

§ 13:219 Generally

E. PETITION TO REINSTATE

§ 13:220 Introduction

§ 13:221 Doctrine as of 2021

§ 13:222 Reinstatement after lay-off; Leading case of
Teledyne-McKay (Pa. Commw. 1997)

§ 13:223 Reinstatement and fault

§ 13:224 Reinstatement, fault, and revision of law: Leading
case of *Bufford v. WCAB (No. Am. Telecom)* (Pa.
2010)

§ 13:225 Historical note: Pre-1993 doctrine

§ 13:226 Affirmative defenses to reinstatement

F. PETITION TO REVIEW MEDICAL TREATMENT/
BILLING

§ 13:227 Historical note: Pre-1993 Amendment practice

§ 13:228 Effect of 1993 Amendments

G. PETITIONS FOR PENALTIES AND
ATTORNEY'S FEES

§ 13:229 Penalties

§ 13:230 Attorney's fees

**VII. ASSERTION OF EMPLOYER PETITIONS;
BURDEN OF PROOF**

A. IN GENERAL; RULE AGAINST AUTOMATIC
SUPERSEDEAS ON BENEFITS PRIOR TO
HEARING

§ 13:231 General rule as to burdened party

§ 13:232 Introduction

§ 13:233 Employer not a party able to initiate claim
proceeding

§ 13:234 Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57)

§ 13:235 Generally; Rule against unilateral supersedeas

§ 13:236 Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57): Sections 413(c)
and 413(d) and unilateral stopping of benefits
when claimant actually returns to work

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:237 WCJ rules amended (1998) to account for Sections 413(c)/413(d) hearings: 34 Pa. Code §§ 131.49, 131.50, 131.50a
- § 13:238 Practice note: Procedure at 413(c)/413(d) hearings
- § 13:239 Use of Section 413(c) filing even when claimant's benefits vary
- § 13:240 Effect of factually incorrect Section 413(c) filing
- § 13:241 Historical note: Supersedeas upon actual return to work with no earnings loss (pre-1996 Amendment claims)
- § 13:242 Historical note: Rule against automatic supersedeas
- § 13:243 Supersedeas hearing as critical juncture in proceedings
- § 13:244 Effect of *Sullivan v. Barnett* (U.S. 1999); Towards continued viability of *Baksalary* with regard to disability benefits
- § 13:245 District court vacates in *Baksalary*: Claimant's due process rights imperilled?

B. PETITION FOR TERMINATION

- § 13:246 Generally
- § 13:247 Meaning of final cessation of disability or "full recovery"
- § 13:248 Practice note: Physician's opinion as to full recovery
- § 13:249 Full recovery with disability continuing because of other problems
- § 13:250 Practice note: Procedure for claimant when disability continues because of other problems
- § 13:251 Meretricious relationship
- § 13:252 Practice note: Filing of termination petition in midst of original claim
- § 13:253 Expert testifying as to termination must respect Notice of Compensation Payable
- § 13:254 Practice note: Finding of permanent impairment via IRE does not preclude termination attempt

C. PETITION TO SUSPEND

- § 13:255 Generally
- § 13:256 Actual return to work at full wages (pre-1996 Amendment)
- § 13:257 Alleged availability of work at equal wages
- § 13:258 Claimant recovers, is cleared for regular duty, but possesses minor residual physical impairment; Leading case of *Landmark Constructors v. W.C.A.B. (Costello)* (Pa. 2000)

WORKERS' COMPENSATION: LAW & PRACTICE

- § 13:259 Practice note: Suspension based upon alleged job availability
- § 13:260 Substantial recovery from work-related injury, with preexisting or superseding factors as proximate cause of continuing disability
- § 13:261 Refusal to attend physical exam
- § 13:262 Refusal to treat
- § 13:263 Refusal to comply with discovery on matters pertinent to earning power
- § 13:264 Change in dependent status
- § 13:265 Effect of 1993 Amendments: Claimant incarcerated
- § 13:266 Voluntary withdrawal

D. PETITION TO MODIFY

- § 13:267 Actual return to work at reduced earnings
- § 13:268 Alleged availability of work at reduced wages

E. PETITION TO REVIEW

- § 13:269 Generally; Review of Notice of Compensation Payable, agreement, or award
- § 13:270 Employer's review of description of injury in NCP; Need for diligence
- § 13:271 Review of award and "writ of error *coram nobis*"
- § 13:272 Reviewing notice of compensation payable/agreement
- § 13:273 Practice note: Review of agreements
- § 13:274 Setting aside of NCP on basis that incorrect insurance carrier has accepted the claim
- § 13:275 Setting aside of agreement for fraud
- § 13:276 Practice note: Correction of caption
- § 13:277 Employer petition for credit for compensation overpayments
- § 13:278 Practice note: Section 311.1 of the Act (Employee's obligation to report status; Right of employer to suspend compensation for refusal of cooperation)
- § 13:279 Interpretations
- § 13:280 Regulations under Section 311.1 (January 1998)

Volume 8

CHAPTER 14. ESTABLISHMENT AND ENFORCEMENT OF CLAIMS: LIMITATIONS, EVIDENCE, AND APPEAL AND JUDGMENT

I. TIME LIMITATIONS, IN GENERAL

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 14:1 Generally
- § 14:2 Practice note: Strict interpretation of time limitations
- § 14:3 Time limitations and stability of doctrine

B. THE FOUR DIFFERENT CLASSIFICATIONS OF TIME LIMITATIONS OF THE ACT

- § 14:4 Introduction
- § 14:5 “Statutes of repose”
- § 14:6 “Statutes of repose”: Supreme Court revises the definition in unsatisfactory case of *Vargo v. Koppers Co., Inc., Engineering and Const. Div.* (Pa. 1998)
- § 14:7 More revision to the definition of “statute of repose”
- § 14:8 Mandatory express limitations
- § 14:9 Upheaval in law surrounding statute of repose category
- § 14:10 Jurisdictional limitations
- § 14:11 Death and disease manifestation time restrictions
- § 14:12 Practice note: Disease/death manifestation restrictions as “statutes of repose”
- § 14:13 Practice note: Disease/death manifestation provisions: Inconsistent cases
- § 14:14 Practice Note: Disease manifestation requirements as “Limiting Provisions”

II. APPLICATION OF THE TIME LIMITATIONS

A. TRADITIONAL INJURY/DEATH CLAIMS

- § 14:15 Purpose
- § 14:16 “Tolling” of the limitation
- § 14:17 Practice note: Withdrawal of original claim as tolling limitation
- § 14:18 Practice note: Tolling of limitation where injury is sustained with no loss of earning power
- § 14:19 Practice Note: Medical-Only Notice of Compensation

- Payable as not tolling original claim limitation of action
- § 14:20 Practice note: Claimant's death during litigation
- § 14:21 Original review petition as effective to toll original claim limitation
- § 14:22 Payment of medical bills may toll original claim statute of limitations (overthrow of the traditional rule)
- § 14:23 Commencement of limitation in "continuous trauma" or "aggravation" case
- § 14:24 Practice note: Continuous trauma and aggravation cases
- § 14:25 Commencement of limitation in mental stress-mental disability case
- § 14:26 Commencement of limitation in hearing loss cases, pre-and post-Act 1 of 1995
- § 14:27 Commencement of limitation of action when injury can be recoverable either as a disease or an injury
- § 14:28 Commencement of limitation of action when specific loss (permanent injury) manifests itself remotely from date of acute trauma
- § 14:29 Commencement of limitation of action in disfigurement cases
- § 14:30 Pleading of the limitation
- § 14:31 Death claims, traditional injury
- § 14:32 Resurrection of laches
- § 14:33 Limitation of action on failure to insure criminal prosecutions

B. OPERATION OF THE THREE-YEAR LIMITATION IN OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE CONTEXT; OTHER APPLICATIONS OF "DISCOVERY RULE"

- § 14:34 General rule
- § 14:35 Death claims and the discovery rule; Occupational disease claims
- § 14:36 Interaction of the discovery rule, the three-year claim petition limitation and the 300-week disease manifestation provision
- § 14:37 Discovery rule and hearing loss cases; Discovery rule outside of occupational disease
- § 14:38 Practice note: Discovery rule in specific loss case

C. NOTICE OF INJURY LIMITATION

- § 14:39 Statutory requirement of notice

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:40 Practice note: Provision of notice and running of the 120 days
- § 14:41 Purpose of notice; Nature of the defense
- § 14:42 Notice of injury as applying to all “compensable consequences”
- § 14:43 Notice of injury when subsequent injury is not mere compensable consequence but new injury
- § 14:44 Notice excused in death cases
- § 14:45 Twenty-one day clause
- § 14:46 Actual knowledge standard
- § 14:47 Obvious work causation versus hidden cause
- § 14:48 The discovery rule under Section 311; Leading case of *Sell v. W.C.A.B. (LNP Engineering)* (Pa. 2001)
- § 14:49 Practice note: Running of the 120 days in occupational disease context
- § 14:50 Discovery rule applies to notice limitation in all disease cases
- § 14:51 “Quality of notice”; Leading case of *Gentex Corp. v. W.C.A.B. (Morack)* (Pa. 2011)
- § 14:52 Effective receipt of notice

D. APPEAL PROVISIONS

1. In General

- § 14:53 Effect of 1996 amendments (Act 57)
- § 14:54 Special Rules of Administrative Practice and Procedure Before the Workers’ Compensation Appeal Board
- § 14:55 Appeal from WCJ to Appeal Board
- § 14:56 Applicable appellate rules governing time for appeals
- § 14:57 Appeals *nunc pro tunc*
- § 14:58 Practice note: *Nunc pro tunc* appeals to Appeal Board
- § 14:59 Practice note: Relief granted when WCJ’s interlocutory order errantly instructed parties that such order could not be appealed

2. Rules Governing Service

- § 14:60 Appeals from WCJ to Appeal Board
- § 14:61 Practice note: Procedure upon suspicion of late mailing of decision from WCJ
- § 14:62 Historical note: Service upon party, as opposed to counsel

3. Appeals from the Appeal Board to Commonwealth Court

- § 14:63 Applicable rules

- § 14:64 Practice note: Appellate practice
- § 14:65 Practice note: Appeals from Appeal Board

E. OTHER STATUTES OF LIMITATION

- § 14:66 Practice note: Upheaval in law surrounding statute of repose category
- § 14:67 Time for rehearing
- § 14:68 Time for reinstatement
- § 14:69 Limitation after termination of benefits
- § 14:70 Reinstatement after suspension of benefits; Primacy of *Cozzone v. W.C.A.B. (Pa Municipal / East Goshen Tp.)* (Pa. 2013)
- § 14:71 500 weeks as both limitation of action and period of entitlement: Leading case of *Cytemp Specialty Steel v. W.C.A.B. (Servey)* (Pa. 2002)
- § 14:72 Archival note: The displaced rules, generous and restrictive
- § 14:73 Historical note: Reinstatement after commutation of benefits
- § 14:74 Reinstatement in cases of eye injury
- § 14:75 Time for setting aside final receipt
- § 14:76 Time for review of existing agreement for mistake
- § 14:77 Time for review in cases of compensable consequences
- § 14:78 Time for review for compensable consequences or mistake when claimant has returned to work and payments of TTD or TPD have been suspended
- § 14:79 Time for review for employer to terminate, suspend, or modify
- § 14:80 Practice note: Commencement date in reinstatement cases

F. TOLLING OF LIMITATIONS

- § 14:81 Generally; Tolling by employer conduct
- § 14:82 Effect of estoppel: Recommencement of the limitation
- § 14:83 Conduct sufficient to create estoppel
- § 14:84 Practice note: Tolling of limitation by failure to tender records
- § 14:85 Conduct sufficient to create estoppel: Fraudulently obtaining the final receipt
- § 14:86 Tolling because of “disabilities”
- § 14:87 Tolling by payments
- § 14:88 Tolling effect of payments under Heart & Lung Act
- § 14:89 Historical note: Medical payments traditionally did not toll original claim limitation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:90 Payments of medical expenses: The well-established rule reconsidered
- § 14:91 Payments of medical expenses: Sufficient to toll only in original claim context
- § 14:92 Payments “in lieu” of compensation: Payments sufficient to toll
- § 14:93 Practice note: “Payments in Lieu of Compensation”
- § 14:94 Payment under other state acts
- § 14:95 Payments under Federal Employers’ Liability Act

III. LAW OF EVIDENCE

A. IN GENERAL; RELAXED RULES OF EVIDENCE

- § 14:96 Generally
- § 14:97 Admission of evidence as a matter of WCJ discretion
- § 14:98 Practice note: Failure to raise error in admission of hearsay is waivable
- § 14:99 Practice note: Evidence in workers’ compensation proceedings
- § 14:100 Sources for the rules of evidence
- § 14:101 Sources of the rules of evidence: The codified rules
- § 14:102 Department of Labor & Industry’s view on adopting codified rules of evidence
- § 14:103 Practice note: Special Rules of Practice before the WCJ
- § 14:104 Principle of liberal application of evidence rules versus “rule of liberal construction”
- § 14:105 Burden of proof as being by the “preponderance of the evidence”
- § 14:106 Evidentiary rulings and appellate review of evidentiary matters
- § 14:107 Practice note: Scholarly treatment of the admissibility of electronic evidence

B. SPECIFIC EVIDENTIARY PROVISIONS OF THE PENNSYLVANIA WORKERS’ COMPENSATION ACT

- § 14:108 Introduction
- § 14:109 Administration of the law of evidence
- § 14:110 Practice note: Administration of law of evidence
- § 14:111 Burdens of proof
- § 14:112 Practice note: Burden of proof
- § 14:113 Presumptions; Rule that presumption “disappears” once the defense advances contrary evidence
- § 14:114 Documentary submissions at supersedeas hearing

- § 14:115 “Small claim” cases: Effect of 1993 Amendments
- § 14:116 Practice note: Section 422(c) and the right of cross-examination
- § 14:117 Effect of 1996 amendments: Change from “physician” to “healthcare provider”
- § 14:118 Effect of 1996 amendments (Act 57)
- § 14:119 Historical note: Aborted *Iroquois Tool System* case and suggestion that Act 57 signed medical report was unconstitutional
- § 14:120 Practice note: Dealing with *Iroquois Tool Systems*
- § 14:121 Practice note: Small claims, use of reports, and “specific loss” cases
- § 14:122 Practice note: Use of affidavits of lay witnesses
- § 14:123 Admissibility of reports in medical-only and suspended benefits cases
- § 14:124 Historical note: Bureau of Workers’ Compensation Report on Small Claims and Use of Reports in Litigation (2002)
- § 14:125 Admissibility of reports in other jurisdictions
- § 14:126 Exclusion of report of occupational injury
- § 14:127 Hospital records

C. PARTICULAR EVIDENTIARY MATTERS

1. Hearsay

a. In General

- § 14:128 Introduction
- § 14:129 Hearsay in compensation cases
- § 14:130 Rule that hearsay alone cannot support a decision
- § 14:131 Admissibility of hearsay to corroborate competent evidence; Decline and fall of the corroborative hearsay practice
- § 14:132 Practice note: Applicability of *Commonwealth v. Thomas* codified
- § 14:133 Application of *Thomas* rule to vocational experts
- § 14:134 Rule that non-objected to hearsay is competent evidence
- § 14:135 Practice note: Doctrine that unobjected to hearsay cannot support essential findings and decision (*Walker* rule)
- § 14:136 Practice note: Workers’ compensation statute trumps *Walker* rule?

b. Exceptions

- § 14:137 Business records

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 14:138	Practice note: Business records and medical opinions
§ 14:139	Death certificates/autopsy reports
§ 14:140	Practice note: Admissibility of death certificates
§ 14:141	Res gestae (“excited utterance”)
§ 14:142	Statement to physician
§ 14:143	Then-existing physical condition
§ 14:144	State of mind
§ 14:145	Present sense impression
§ 14:146	Dying declaration
§ 14:147	Judicial notice
2. Admissions	
§ 14:148	Generally; Payment of medical bills as not constituting admission of liability
§ 14:149	Admissions of counsel
§ 14:150	Settlement demand letters
3. Common Law Presumptions	
§ 14:151	Generally
4. Relevance in Compensation Cases	
§ 14:152	Generally
5. Doctrine Regarding Use of Surveillance Evidence	
§ 14:153	Generally
6. Expert Testimony Issues	
§ 14:154	Generally
§ 14:155	Practice note: <i>AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Disease and Injury Causation</i>
§ 14:156	Requirement of expert testimony
§ 14:157	<i>Frye</i> as the standard in workers’ compensation
§ 14:158	Burdened party’s expert opinion
§ 14:159	Sufficiency of expert testimony; Requirement that opinion be within a reasonable degree of medical certainty
§ 14:160	Requirement of proper foundation for opinion; Hypotheticals and objections; Leading case of <i>Newcomer v. W.C.A.B. (Ward Trucking Corp.)</i> (Pa. 1997)
§ 14:161	Application of Pennsylvania rules of evidence to expert testimony
§ 14:162	Practice note: Treating physician (as opposed to operating surgeon) as competent

7. Evidence of Criminal Conviction

§ 14:163 Generally

D. ORDER OF PROOF, OBJECTIONS AT TRIAL, WITNESSES, AND OTHER TRIAL MATTERS

§ 14:164 Generally

§ 14:165 Offers of proof and objections

§ 14:166 Witnesses; Failure to call a witness

§ 14:167 Practice note: Use of leading questions in workers' compensation cases

§ 14:168 Failure to call a witness

§ 14:169 Physical offering of evidence

§ 14:170 Use of opposing side's expert

§ 14:171 Highly prejudicial proofs

§ 14:172 "Chain of custody," urine sample, and defense of illegal use of drugs

§ 14:173 Assertion of privilege against self-incrimination

IV. APPEAL AND ERROR

A. INTRODUCTION; APPEAL TO WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEAL BOARD

§ 14:174 Introduction

§ 14:175 Interlocutory orders

§ 14:176 Appeal to the Workers' Compensation Appeal Board, generally

§ 14:177 Bases and time for appeal

§ 14:178 Scope of review

§ 14:179 Review and constitutionality

§ 14:180 *De novo* review in cases of fraud

§ 14:181 Power of Appeal Board to grant rehearing

B. LAW AND PRACTICE IN APPEALS TO APPEAL BOARD

§ 14:182 Filing the appeal

§ 14:183 Requirement of a final order

§ 14:184 Necessity that party appealing be "aggrieved"

§ 14:185 Power of Appeal Board to grant supersedeas; Denial orders are not "Paper Tigers"

§ 14:186 Practice note: Criteria upon request for supersedeas

§ 14:187 Power of Appeal Board

§ 14:188 Power of Appeal Board and remand

§ 14:189 Remand tradition prior to repeal (Act 57 of 1996) of Section 423(a), second paragraph (77 P.S. § 854)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:190 Scope of review post-amendment
- § 14:191 Practice note: Remand in the “interests of justice”
- § 14:192 Appeal Board review and disfigurement cases
- § 14:193 Scope of review of Appeal Board under Section 425
- § 14:194 Rule that scope of review of Appeal Board is same as Commonwealth Court

C. REHEARING BEFORE THE WORKERS’ COMPENSATION APPEAL BOARD

- § 14:195 Generally
- § 14:196 Practice note: Bases for requesting rehearing
- § 14:197 Practice note: Petitioning for rehearing

D. APPEALS TO COMMONWEALTH COURT

- § 14:198 Generally; Appealability of Appeal Board Remand Orders to Commonwealth Court
- § 14:199 Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of The Appellate and Trial Courts
- § 14:200 Practice note: Appellate practice
- § 14:201 Scope of review of Commonwealth Court; Definition of “substantial evidence”
- § 14:202 Questionable endurance of “capricious disregard” standard of review
- § 14:203 Difference between scope of review and standard of review
- § 14:204 Appellate review with regard to constitutional issues
- § 14:205 Scope of review and errors of law
- § 14:206 Scope of review and “reasonable inferences rule”
- § 14:207 Scope of review and bases for remand
- § 14:208 Scope of review: Waiver
- § 14:209 Harmless error
- § 14:210 Fees for frivolous appeal
- § 14:211 Commonwealth Court treatment of Superior Court precedents; Appeal Board decisions
- § 14:212 Rule (2011) as to citing unreported memorandum opinions
- § 14:213 Reargument before Commonwealth Court
- § 14:214 Waiver of issues on appeal: Controversial *Dobransky* (Pa. Commw. 1997); and *Freuhauf* (Pa. Commw. 2001) decisions
- § 14:215 Supreme Court endorses strict doctrine of waiver
- § 14:216 Practice note: Mere inscription of finding and conclusion numbers does not accomplish issue preservation

§ 14:217 Practice note: Strict doctrine of waiver

E. APPEALS TO PENNSYLVANIA SUPREME COURT

§ 14:218 Generally

F. SUPERSEDEAS (APPEAL BOARD, COMMONWEALTH COURT, AND SUPREME COURT)

§ 14:219 Generally; Supersedeas denials are not “Paper Tigers” and are to be met with compliance

V. JUDGMENT ON THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION AWARD

A. INTRODUCTION

§ 14:220 Generally

§ 14:221 Common law basis for employer to enter judgment on WCJ award: Momentous case of *United Parcel Service v. Hohider* (Pa. Super. 2008)

§ 14:222 Summary of the statutory scheme

§ 14:223 Practice note: Judgment can be taken on award of penalties; Common Pleas decision details judgment process

B. RECORDING AGREEMENT OR AWARD AS JUDGMENT IN COMMON PLEAS WHERE EMPLOYER COMPLIES WITH ACT

§ 14:224 Generally

§ 14:225 Practice note: Statute as applicable to employers carrying insurance or legitimately self-insured; Partial obsolescence of provision

§ 14:226 Practice note: Mechanics of entering judgment

§ 14:227 Practice note: Choice of jurisdiction

§ 14:228 Effect of appeal

C. RECORDING CLAIM PETITION, AGREEMENT OR AWARD AS JUDGMENT IN COMMON PLEAS WHERE EMPLOYER DOES NOT COMPLY WITH ACT

§ 14:229 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D. MODIFICATION, EXECUTION, AND SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT

- § 14:230 Modification
- § 14:231 Striking or opening of judgment
- § 14:232 Execution
- § 14:233 Satisfaction

CHAPTER 15. ATTORNEY'S FEES, COSTS, AND PENALTIES

I. INTRODUCTION

A. IN GENERAL

- § 15:1 Introduction
- § 15:2 Attorney's fees for frivolous appeal under Rules of Appellate Procedure
- § 15:3 Practice note: Consultants hold forth on employer/carrier strategies to reduce defense costs
- § 15:4 Disgorgement of attorney's fees and litigation costs not allowed
- § 15:5 Practice note: Supersedeas on unreasonable contest fees and litigation costs awards

II. ATTORNEY'S FEES ASSESSED AGAINST EMPLOYEE

A. IN GENERAL

- § 15:6 Summary of the law
- § 15:7 Historical background and purpose of the statute
- § 15:8 Effect of 2006 Amendment: Hard 20% fee cap, specific limit in C&R agreements
- § 15:9 Twenty percent fees out of medical *per se* reasonable
- § 15:10 Historical note: Fee agreement and "fees out of medical"
- § 15:11 Attorney's fees limit and constitutionality
- § 15:12 Section 501 and the prior practice
- § 15:13 Practice note: Section 501
- § 15:14 Introduction: Fund against which fees may be had
- § 15:15 Practice note: Fees permissible where claim results in reimbursement of employer's disability plan
- § 15:16 Rule that twenty percent fees on disability payments are *per se* reasonable
- § 15:17 Attorney's fee limit and compromise and release agreement

B. MECHANICAL RULES

- § 15:18 When fees can be secured; Fees when employer interposes set-off; Fees on increased benefit rate
- § 15:19 Practice note: Securing fees prior to award; Attorney's fees and supersedeas denial
- § 15:20 Requirement that contingent fee agreement be in writing
- § 15:21 How fees are paid; Interest on fees
- § 15:22 Municipality's right of set-off because of workers' compensation award not limited by existence of claimant's ongoing attorney fee

C. PRACTICE MATTERS

- § 15:23 Need for agreement in writing at initial hearing
- § 15:24 Role of Rules of Professional Conduct and attorney's fees as assessed against claimant
- § 15:25 Disputes over fees between counsel
- § 15:26 *Hendricks v. W.C.A.B. (Phoenix Pipe & Tube)* (Pa. Commw. 2006) and change in rule regarding resolution of fee disputes
- § 15:27 Practice note: Attorney's standing in proceedings, appeals
- § 15:28 Problems with insurance company in distributing fees; Revised regulation (2007) on distribution of fees
- § 15:29 Manner in which fees are to be recognized in a non-litigated case
- § 15:30 Interim fee orders as not surviving final order
- § 15:31 Handling of fees when claimant is also entitled to Heart & Lung Act benefits
- § 15:32 Unreasonable contest fees not available from Uninsured Employers Guaranty Fund

III. ATTORNEY'S FEES ASSESSED AGAINST EMPLOYER

A. IN GENERAL

- § 15:33 Introduction; Summary of the law
- § 15:34 Statutory provisions
- § 15:35 Purpose
- § 15:36 Constitutionality
- § 15:37 Effect of 1993 Amendments
- § 15:38 Circumstances under which employer could be liable for fees

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 15:39 Nature of determination; Request requirement
- § 15:40 Judge may award fees on his or her own motion
- § 15:41 Practice note: Employer has right to respond to attorney's fees claim
- § 15:42 Practice note: Miscellaneous aspects of attorney's fees law
- § 15:43 Practice note: Fees against claimant for unreasonable contest
- § 15:44 Attorney's fees and Commonwealth Court original jurisdiction
- § 15:45 Healthcare providers and fee disputes

B. AMOUNT OF FEE

- § 15:46 Generally: Controversy as to *quantum meruit* method
- § 15:47 Current rule; Basis for valuation; Fees of paralegals and law clerks
- § 15:48 Practice note: *Quantum Meruit* Fees

C. WHAT CONSTITUTES AN UNREASONABLE CONTEST

- § 15:49 General tests
- § 15:50 Meaning of harassment
- § 15:51 Unreasonable contest, withdrawal cases, and pro rata apportionment
- § 15:52 Unreasonable contest and disputes over the degree of disability
- § 15:53 After-acquired medical opinion, defense of lack of causation, and improper use of phrase "affirmative defense"
- § 15:54 Internal policy on treatment of "medical-only" cases not an excuse for an arbitrary contest
- § 15:55 Unreasonable contest and the Section 410 order
- § 15:56 Unreasonable contest award coupled with additional fees assessed against claimant
- § 15:57 Exemplary cases
- § 15:58 Violation of act and unreasonable contest as a matter of law

IV. COSTS OF LITIGATION, EXCLUSIVE OF ATTORNEY'S FEES

- § 15:59 Introduction
- § 15:60 Statutory basis for awarding costs; Requirement of approval; Essential rules
- § 15:61 Circumstances under which costs can be had; The critical "matter at issue" language

- § 15:62 Litigation costs recoverable
- § 15:63 Practice note: Litigation costs

V. PENALTIES

- § 15:64 Introduction
- § 15:65 Statutes involved
- § 15:66 Penalties as against claimant: Forfeiture of interest
- § 15:67 Effect of 1996 Amendments (Act 57)
- § 15:68 Department of Labor & Industry as moving party in penalty petition
- § 15:69 Difference between penalties and attorney's fees
- § 15:70 Purpose; Rule that notice and hearing is required; Other doctrine
- § 15:71 Requirement that compensation be awarded before penalty can be assessed
- § 15:72 Practice note: Need for hearings
- § 15:73 Award of penalties as discretionary matter; Entities capable of awarding penalties; Matters regarding review
- § 15:74 Practice note: Penalty proceedings not stayed because of appeal
- § 15:75 Elements of proper exercise of discretion
- § 15:76 Practice note: Rule that violation of law must be established; Difference between illegal delay and mere disputing of claim through litigation; Burden of proof
- § 15:77 Awards of penalties: Exemplary cases and rule of *Listino v. W.C.A.B. (INA Life Ins. Co.)* (Pa. Commw. 1995)
- § 15:78 Practice note: Employer's response to penalty petition

CHAPTER 16. COMPROMISE & RELEASE, COMMUTATION, MEDIATION, AND CARVE-OUT ARRANGEMENTS

I. COMPROMISE & RELEASE

A. IN GENERAL

- § 16:1 Compromise settlements allowed
- § 16:2 Difference between compromise settlement and commutation
- § 16:3 Proscription of pre-injury waivers endures despite creation of compromise settlements
- § 16:4 Proscription of pre-injury waivers applies to rights vis-à-vis employer as opposed to third party

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 16:5 Statute providing for compromise settlement or “C&R”; Statute to be strictly construed
- § 16:6 Practice note: Unauthorized Compromise and Release provisions not enforceable
- § 16:7 Regulation (WCJ Rule) addressing C&R
- § 16:8 Practice note: Procedural nature of change
- § 16:9 Practice note: Reference in law to vocational rehabilitation interview
- § 16:10 Rule as to oversight and criterion of approval
- § 16:11 Oversight, criterion, and a defense of paternalism
- § 16:12 Practice Note: Importance of the hearing
- § 16:13 Approval as condition precedent to enforceability: The “death after agreement but before approval” cases
- § 16:14 Approval and the *pro se* claimant
- § 16:15 Practice note: C&R stipulations as a “contract” between employer and claimant
- § 16:16 Practice note: WCJ should not be a rubber-stamp
- § 16:17 Historical note: Non-prevailing early position as to review
- § 16:18 Historical note: Proposed best interests criterion of approval (H.B. 1944 of 2004)
- § 16:19 Public policy as encouraging C&R and finality; Implications of policy of finality; Reservation about social policy and compromise
- § 16:20 Intent and background of 1996 Amendment creating C&R
- § 16:21 Other jurisdictions as long allowing C&R; Follow-up studies on settling claimants

B. PROCEDURE

- § 16:22 Use of petition and form; Employer as party to submit proposed release
- § 16:23 Inclusions of C&R stipulations, in general
- § 16:24 Compromise and Release agreements and the involvement of minors
- § 16:25 Taxation of settlement proceeds
- § 16:26 Settlement proceeds, equitable distribution, and child support
- § 16:27 Settlements proceeds and alimony
- § 16:28 Practice note: Child Support arrearages
- § 16:29 Settlement of lifetime claim by dependents or estate
- § 16:30 Historical note: C&R, finality, and the Philadelphia “bench order” controversy
- § 16:31 Employer (versus carrier) rights in the C&R process
- § 16:32 Resignation as part of C&R

WORKERS' COMPENSATION: LAW & PRACTICE

- § 16:33 Resignation as “term or provision” of the usual C&R
- § 16:34 Resignation as part of C&R: Enforceability and right to unemployment compensation thereafter
- § 16:35 Practice Note: Resignation as part of C&R
- § 16:36 Voluntary resignation as part of C&R and forfeiture of unemployment compensation claim
- § 16:37 Specific performance of agreement
- § 16:38 Attorney’s fees capped at 20%
- § 16:39 Claimant’s counsel retained by employer
- § 16:40 Dispute between attorneys over fees out of C&R lump sum
- § 16:41 C&R and Supersedeas Fund recoveries
- § 16:42 Practice note: Legal malpractice and the allegation of inadequate settlement
- § 16:43 Appellate review of settlements; Allegations of fraud on appeal
- § 16:44 Practice note: Appeal
- § 16:45 Practice note: Appellate review and allegations of fraud
- § 16:46 Satisfaction of order
- § 16:47 Employer consent not required
- § 16:48 Practice Note: C&R monies as part of the lien

C. SCOPE AND EFFECT OF RELEASE

- § 16:49 Release as applicable to disability, medical, or both
- § 16:50 Blanket releases not authorized
- § 16:51 Ability of employer to settle and deny all liability: Issues surrounding compromise of the original claim
- § 16:52 Practice note: Claimant must be cautious when settling original claim
- § 16:53 Historical note: Pre-C&R practice, now displaced, of resolving original claim
- § 16:54 Parties unable to C&R and have claimant send bills to auto insurer
- § 16:55 Non-manifested injuries
- § 16:56 Employer’s subrogation and assignment of rights
- § 16:57 Joinder and other claims against multiple defendants
- § 16:58 Practice note: Multiple-defendant cases
- § 16:59 Inclusion of a non-party in the C&R
- § 16:60 Scope of Release: Collateral estoppel and res judicata
- § 16:61 Enforceability of Pennsylvania C&R (or other state’s settlement) when claimant has potential workers’ compensation rights in another jurisdiction
- § 16:62 Rights under other state or federal nonworkers’ compensation laws

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 16:63 Practice note: Release with regard to other rights
- § 16:64 Release with regard to other rights, consideration, and a remarkable Rhode Island case
- § 16:65 Last-minute general release held not sufficient to bar claimant's post-C&R Title VII and ADA actions
- § 16:66 C&R could not be pleaded in civil case
- § 16:67 Approval of C&R as adjudication of workers' compensation rights; The exclusive remedy
- § 16:68 Effect of Pennsylvania C&R in other jurisdictions

D. PARTIES AFFECTED BY RELEASE

- § 16:69 Dependents
- § 16:70 Co-employees
- § 16:71 Claims of subrogees and other third parties: In general
- § 16:72 Providers and subrogees
- § 16:73 Practice Note: Settling out from under the known bills of a known healthcare provider
- § 16:74 Group health insurer as subrogee and a case of dual payment
- § 16:75 Practice note: Claimant's long-term disability payments (LTD) may be set-off by compromise settlement lump sum
- § 16:76 Authority for Medicaid lien

E. SET ASIDE OF C&R AND AVOIDANCE OF RELEASE

- § 16:77 Introduction
- § 16:78 Setting-aside of C&R: Jurisdiction, grounds for, and lack of full disclosure
- § 16:79 Practice note: A case of mutual mistake of fact
- § 16:80 Practice note: Opening of settlements and mental incompetence
- § 16:81 Practice note: Opening of settlements and divergent opinions as to genesis of WCJ power
- § 16:82 Practice note: C&R an affirmative defense; Warrant of no other injuries
- § 16:83 Practice note: Commonwealth Court and the law of release
- § 16:84 Employer's assignment to claimant of third-party action subrogation rights as part of C&R

F. ARCHIVES OF AUTHORS' COMMENTARY

- § 16:85 Settlement and litigation culture (Commentary, 1996)

- § 16:86 Settlement and litigation culture (Commentary, 1998–2000)
- § 16:87 Settlement and litigation culture (Commentary, 2004)
- § 16:88 Settlement and litigation culture (Commentary: 2006)
- § 16:89 Hold harmless agreements as not authorized by Pennsylvania Act; Condemnation among states (Commentary: 2007)

G. SETTLEMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY (SSD)

- § 16:90 C&R and SSD: In general
- § 16:91 How the offset works
- § 16:92 Practice note: Inclusion of *Sciarotta* language essential in every case
- § 16:93 Historical note: Lifetime proration was not always the rule
- § 16:94 Practice note: Example of *Sciarotta* language

H. C&R AND THE MEDICARE SECONDARY PAYER ACT

- § 16:95 In general
- § 16:96 Operation of the MSPA in the C&R context
- § 16:97 Practice note: “Evidence-Based” Medicare Set Asides
- § 16:98 Practice note: Voluntary medical set asides
- § 16:99 Practice note: Medicare prescription drug plan as increasing set aside amount
- § 16:100 Practice note: CMS protocols posted on government website
- § 16:101 Practice note: Considering Medicare’s interests
- § 16:102 Practice note: Conditional Medicare payment inquiry
- § 16:103 Practice note: Medicare Set Aside proposal
- § 16:104 What to include in a Medicare Set Aside proposal
- § 16:105 Practice note: The rise of the Medicare Set-Aside consultants
- § 16:106 Reporting requirements prior to settlement: MMSEA
- § 16:107 Defendant’s satisfaction of liability when conditional payments are a concern: Medicare a co-payee

I. RESOLUTION VIA STRUCTURED SETTLEMENT

- § 16:108 In general
- § 16:109 Benefits and popularity of annuities; Operation of C&R by annuity

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 16:110 State regulation of C&R by annuity; Pennsylvania as lacking such regulation
- § 16:111 Assignment of C&R annuity payments; Pennsylvania law
- § 16:112 Practice Note: Advantage of professional administration of MSA
- § 16:113 Practice note: The Pennsylvania experience
- § 16:114 Practice note: Role of structured settlement counselors

J. MATTERS REGARDING PRACTICE

- § 16:115 Practice note: “Best Practices” for attorneys to follow in securing approval
- § 16:116 Practice note: Practical considerations apart from direct questioning
- § 16:117 Practice note: Preparation for C&R hearing
- § 16:118 “Compromise and release pitfalls”
- § 16:119 Practice note: Checklist for questioning of the claimant
- § 16:120 Practice note: Allegations of legal malpractice by injured worker client in Compromise & Release context
- § 16:121 Practice note: Negotiating credit for continuing periodic payments
- § 16:122 Practice note: Compromise settlement and dealing with the self-represented claimant
- § 16:123 Practice note: Defense counsel offers C&R advice (2019)

II. COMMUTATION OF BENEFITS

- § 16:124 Introduction
- § 16:125 Voluntary commutation practice permissible but defunct
- § 16:126 Critical aspects of the prior practice
- § 16:127 Law of commutation, generally
- § 16:128 Law of Commutation: Small claims (Section 412 of the Act, 77 P.S. § 791)
- § 16:129 Criteria applicable in adjudicating a commutation petition
- § 16:130 Validity of commutation agreements and orders
- § 16:131 Practice note: What practical issues the reviewing tribunal will consider in adjudicating a commutation petition
- § 16:132 Contested commutations
- § 16:133 No commutation of partial benefits upon the claimant’s death

- § 16:134 Reinstating benefits following the granting of a
commutation petition
- § 16:135 Termination of benefits after commutation
- § 16:136 Commuted benefits and equitable distribution

III. INFORMAL CONFERENCES AND MEDIATION

- § 16:137 Settlement conferences and alternative dispute
resolution (ADR) prior to 2006
- § 16:138 Effect of 2006 amendments: Creation of a
mandatory mediation system.
- § 16:139 Import of judge not scheduling case for mandatory
mediation
- § 16:140 Comparative studies of all state mediation programs
- § 16:141 Rules of Practice, 2009, addressing Act 147-created
and other mediation; WCJ adjudicating case not to
be mediating/settlement conference judge, unless
parties agree
- § 16:142 Practice note: Mediation techniques and practices
- § 16:143 Practice Note: American Bar Association publishes
field manual for mediation
- § 16:144 Practice Note: Employer's mediation request before
supersedes ruling
- § 16:145 Practice Note: Mediation of insurance disputes
- § 16:146 Practice note: Mediation protocols of particular
judge-mediators
- § 16:147 Mediation of case by hearing judge generally
disfavored
- § 16:148 Practice note: Techniques and practices as dictated
by the theory and purposes of mediation
- § 16:149 Agreement for agreement's sake should never be the
goal
- § 16:150 Practice note: Practical considerations surrounding
mediation: In general
- § 16:151 Practice note: A typical process
- § 16:152 Practice note: Practical matters and pitfalls to be
considered

IV. CARVE-OUTS UNDER COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS (CREATION BY ACT 57 OF 1996)

- § 16:153 In general
- § 16:154 Errant reference to section by court (2009)
- § 16:155 Critique of California system (Upjohn Institute
2002)
- § 16:156 California legislation amended, expanded (2004)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 16:157 Carve-Outs: Critical commentary and comparing jurisdictions
- § 16:158 Pennsylvania Regulations (full text) (January 17, 1998)

CHAPTER 17. EVALUATION AND HANDLING OF CLAIMANT'S CASE

I. INTRODUCTION: EVALUATION AND HANDLING OF CLAIMANT'S CASE

- § 17:1 Introduction
- § 17:2 Workers' compensation claim as "living claim"
- § 17:3 Practical aspects of the "living claim"
- § 17:4 Working Rules for Professionalism
- § 17:5 Code of Civility
- § 17:6 Electronic communication
- § 17:7 Practice note: Certification in Workers' Compensation Practice allowed
- § 17:8 Practice note: How judges assess witness credibility
- § 17:9 Practice Note: WCAIS and the Labor & Industry website; Importance of the "Request"
- § 17:10 Practice Note: Miscellaneous advice with regard to hearings; WCJ procedures on Labor & Industry website

II. INITIAL INTERVIEW AND ASSESSMENT OF CLAIMANT'S CASE

A. IN GENERAL

- § 17:11 Need for assessment of elements of claim
- § 17:12 Acquisition of all documents and records
- § 17:13 Securing Bureau documents ("Department Record")
- § 17:14 Availability of documents on WCAIS
- § 17:15 Practice Note: Effect of HIPAA on securing medical and hospital records
- § 17:16 Calculation of Average Weekly Wage
- § 17:17 Inspection of disfigurement photographs
- § 17:18 Ascertainment of existence of subrogation claims
- § 17:19 Practice note: Conflict between claimant and counsel regarding subrogation lien
- § 17:20 Evaluation of existence of dependents
- § 17:21 Analysis of conflicts
- § 17:22 Access to witnesses

B. INTERVIEWING THE CLIENT

- § 17:23 The face-to-face meeting
- § 17:24 Scope of attorney-client privilege
- § 17:25 Procedures for interpreters
- § 17:26 Report from claimant of what has been communicated
- § 17:27 Assessment of original claim: Audit of claim through use of claim petition
- § 17:28 Consideration of other potential remedies
- § 17:29 Claimant's understanding of and agreement to attorney's fee and handling of litigation costs
- § 17:30 Litigation costs cannot include contingent expert fee
- § 17:31 Conclusion of initial interview and assessment
- § 17:32 Consideration of mediation
- § 17:33 Signing of petition; Integrity of e-filed petition
- § 17:34 Checklist: Initial Interview

III. ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT OF MEDICAL ASPECTS OF CASE

- § 17:35 Practice Note: Practical advice for claimant's counsel
- § 17:36 Need for analysis
- § 17:37 Initial interview of claimant regarding medical aspects of case
- § 17:38 Fundamentals of the medical investigation
- § 17:39 Practice note: Use of medical reports
- § 17:40 Coaching of medical witness

IV. PRE-LITIGATION PROCEDURES: DEALING WITH CLAIMS PERSONNEL

- § 17:41 Introduction
- § 17:42 Pervasiveness of insurance or self-insurance; L&I Website "Insurance Search" tool
- § 17:43 Conduct of settlement negotiations
- § 17:44 Negotiating with claims representative before litigation
- § 17:45 Dealing with claims representative after initiation of litigation

V. FILING OF PETITION; WCJ PRACTICE AND HEARINGS

- § 17:46 Introduction
- § 17:47 Need for diligence in assertion of claims and answers
- § 17:48 Filing of the petition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 17:49 Claim petition can be discontinued and withdrawn by claimant “without prejudice”
- § 17:50 Analysis of answer
- § 17:51 WCJ practice; Discovery and exchange of information
- § 17:52 Awareness of individual WCJ practices
- § 17:53 Initial and subsequent hearings
- § 17:54 Practice note: Miscellaneous, essential items of practical advice

VI. CONDUCT OF THE LITIGATION

- § 17:55 Possibility of joinder
- § 17:56 Continuance of negotiations
- § 17:57 Possibility of “Section 410” order
- § 17:58 Communication with claimant
- § 17:59 Continuances
- § 17:60 Arguments on the case
- § 17:61 Special significance of “supersedeas” hearings
- § 17:62 Child support responsibility status

VII. OTHER PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF HANDLING CLAIMANT’S CASE

- § 17:63 Advice regarding return to work during pendency of litigation
- § 17:64 Representing claimant in limited and “medical only” claims
- § 17:65 Workers’ compensation as a settlement practice
- § 17:66 Representation in regard to physical examinations/ expert interviews
- § 17:67 Rule that workers’ compensation checks are immune from claims of creditors, non-assignable
- § 17:68 Form: Claimant’s Account of Examination/Interview
- § 17:69 Checklist: Subject Matters to Be Covered at Hearings

CHAPTER 18. EVALUATION AND HANDLING OF EMPLOYER’S CASE

I. INTRODUCTION; MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS PERTINENT TO REPRESENTATION AND LAWYERING

- § 18:1 Handling of employer’s case as a matter of existing protocol and standard procedure
- § 18:2 Nature of workers’ compensation claim as “living claim”

- § 18:3 Need for evaluation; “Bald credibility challenge” as not supporting reasonable contest
- § 18:4 Working Rules for Professionalism
- § 18:5 The Code of Civility (2000)
- § 18:6 Coaching of medical witness
- § 18:7 Dealing with *pro se* claimant and Rules of Professional Conduct; Defense counsel not to counsel claimant
- § 18:8 WCAIS and the need for familiarity with technology

II. INITIAL EVALUATION OF EMPLOYER'S CASE

A. IN GENERAL

- § 18:9 Introduction
- § 18:10 Identity of claimant's counsel
- § 18:11 Basic data about claimant and claim
- § 18:12 Practice note: Basic data

B. ANALYSIS OF DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS

- § 18:13 Bureau documents (the “Department record”)
- § 18:14 Securing Bureau documents (the “Department record”)
- § 18:15 Availability of documents on WCAIS
- § 18:16 Non-Bureau employment records
- § 18:17 Medical records
- § 18:18 Hospital records
- § 18:19 Pharmacy records
- § 18:20 Practice note: Effective use of medical and hospital records
- § 18:21 Practice note: Effect of HIPAA on securing medical and hospital records
- § 18:22 Case management reports
- § 18:23 Expert vocational reports
- § 18:24 Analysis of medical bills

C. ANALYSIS OF OTHER INFORMATION

- § 18:25 Surveillance evidence
- § 18:26 Practice note: Surveillance evidence
- § 18:27 Transcripts of interviews/summary of interviews

D. OTHER PRE-LITIGATION CONSIDERATIONS

- § 18:28 Possibility of need for joining added defendant
- § 18:29 Analysis of statute of limitations and other time limitations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 18:30 Analysis of claim for interposition of specific loss
- § 18:31 Inspection of disfigurement photographs
- § 18:32 Assessment of existence of subrogation claim
- § 18:33 Legibility of documents
- § 18:34 Consideration of whether client interviews are necessary
- § 18:35 Consideration of pre-litigation settlement of claim
- § 18:36 Consideration of pre-litigation mediation
- § 18:37 Workers' compensation as a settlement practice
- § 18:38 Awareness of individual WCJ practices; Importance of Labor & Industry website
- § 18:39 Checklist: Critical data and file inclusions

III. DEALING WITH CLAIMS ADJUSTER AND EMPLOYER

- § 18:40 Relationship between attorney, adjuster, and employer; Conflicts as between carrier and employer
- § 18:41 Formulating strategy
- § 18:42 Communicating
- § 18:43 Scope of attorney-client privilege
- § 18:44 Practice note: Practices to avoid in connection with adjuster/attorney strategizing
- § 18:45 Practice note: "What insurance companies really think"

IV. FILING OF PETITIONS; PROCEEDINGS; CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CLAIMANT

A. IN GENERAL

- § 18:46 Introduction
- § 18:47 Pro forma/uncontested petitions to adjust benefits
- § 18:48 "Passing files"

B. CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CLAIMANT

1. In General; Standard Areas of Cross-Examination

- § 18:49 Introduction
- § 18:50 Practice note: Evaluation of the claimant
- § 18:51 Generally
- § 18:52 Basic biographical data
- § 18:53 Employment data unique to the claimant
- § 18:54 General questions, employment since date of injury
- § 18:55 At-home activities, exertional and non-exertional

- § 18:56 Practice note: At-home activities
- § 18:57 Receipt of other monies or benefits
- § 18:58 Other litigation
- § 18:59 Prior history of medical problems and claims
- § 18:60 Practice note: Prior medical history
- § 18:61 Other matters

2. Testing of Claimant's Veracity

- § 18:62 Occurrence of incident
- § 18:63 Level and extent of disability
- § 18:64 Motivation
- § 18:65 Practice note: How judges assess witness credibility
- § 18:66 Checklist: Standard areas of cross-examination
- § 18:67 Checklist: Veracity of Claimant

CHAPTER 19. HANDLING SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 19:1 The importance of claims investigation and surveillance
- § 19:2 Why counsel should be concerned with fraudulent claims

II. PRACTICAL USES OF SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE

- § 19:3 General rules regarding use of surveillance evidence
- § 19:4 Import of surveillance when claimant has burden of proof
- § 19:5 Use in conjunction with medical evidence
- § 19:6 Illustration: Medical question-answer sequence—defense counsel
- § 19:7 Use in conjunction with vocational evidence
- § 19:8 Practice note: Procedure when surveillance discovers claimant's surreptitious return to work
- § 19:9 Use to impeach credibility

III. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 19:10 Need for restraint by defense counsel
- § 19:11 Need for restraint and cause of action for breach of privacy: Controlling principles
- § 19:12 Rules of Professional Responsibility
- § 19:13 Surveillance obtained without knowledge or consent of claimant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 19:14 Impact of Judges' Rules

IV. PERSPECTIVE OF CLAIMANT'S COUNSEL

§ 19:15 Surveillance evidence not always damaging to claimant's case

§ 19:16 Commonwealth Court acknowledgment of limits of surveillance evidence

V. ELEMENTS OF A SUCCESSFUL SURVEILLANCE INVESTIGATION AND RESPONSE

§ 19:17 Obtaining the optimum result with strategic surveillance

§ 19:18 Responding to surveillance evidence

VI. PRESENTATION OF SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE

A. IN GENERAL

§ 19:19 Introduction

§ 19:20 Practice note: Awareness of individual WCJ practices

§ 19:21 Practice note: Judge protocols as posted on Labor & Industry website; Value of stipulations; Submission of videos, etc., on WCAIS

§ 19:22 Social media: Discovery, surveillance, and ethics in workers' compensation litigation

§ 19:23 The Duhl & Millner treatment of compensation and social networking: In general

§ 19:24 The Duhl & Millner treatment of compensation and social networking: Ethical considerations

B. OUTLINE FOR DIRECT EXAMINATION OF SURVEILLANCE INVESTIGATOR

§ 19:25 Generally

§ 19:26 General background—Employment information

§ 19:27 Overview of investigation

§ 19:28 Summary of investigation

§ 19:29 Authentication of surveillance videotape

§ 19:30 Surveillance videotape authentication

§ 19:31 Illustration: Surveillance question-answer sequence—defense counsel

§ 19:32 Observations of claimant's physical capabilities and activity level

§ 19:33 Presentation of surveillance videotape

**C. OUTLINE FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF
SURVEILLANCE INVESTIGATOR**

- § 19:34 Exploring the investigator's experience level
- § 19:35 Exploring the investigator's financial interest in case
- § 19:36 Addressing the "pretext" investigation
- § 19:37 Challenging the reliability of the surveillance videotape
- § 19:38 Illustration: Surveillance cross-examination question-answer sequence—claimant's counsel
- § 19:39 Practice note: Disclosing surveillance evidence
- § 19:40 Surveillance videotape on appeal

D. CHECKLISTS

- § 19:41 Checklist: Defense—Pre-Hearing: Presentation of Surveillance Evidence
- § 19:42 Checklist: Claimant—Pre-Hearing: Presentation of Surveillance Evidence
- § 19:43 Checklist: Direct Examination—Surveillance Investigator
- § 19:44 Checklist: Cross-examination—Surveillance Investigator

**CHAPTER 20. LAW AND PRACTICE OF
MEDICAL EVIDENCE**

**I. INTRODUCTION; FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS
OF MEDICAL PROOF**

**A. IMPORTANCE OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE IN
WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROCEEDINGS
AND PREVALENCE OF *FRYE V. UNITED
STATES* AS STANDARD**

- § 20:1 Introduction
- § 20:2 Practice note: The advantages of presenting expert medical proof
- § 20:3 Application of *Frye v. United States* in Pennsylvania workers' compensation litigation; Non-applicability of *Daubert*
- § 20:4 Practice note: Diagnosis as legal construct?
- § 20:5 Practice note: Social factors and work-related disorders
- § 20:6 Practice note: Debate over "Symptom Validity Science" or the "Fake Bad Scale"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 20:7 Practice note: Low back injuries and medical imaging
- § 20:8 Biomedical engineers as witnesses in litigation
- § 20:9 Rise of evidence-based medicine
- § 20:10 Practice note: Invaluable text for lawyers on understanding pain
- § 20:11 Practice note: Critique of the Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder diagnosis
- § 20:12 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-5) Released, May 2013
- § 20:13 The opioid crisis and workers' compensation
- § 20:14 Chronic Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) and the Budapest Criteria

B. REQUIREMENT OF LEGALLY COMPETENT MEDICAL PROOFS

- § 20:15 Legally competent medical proof: Basic examples
- § 20:16 Admissibility of lay observations in support of medical causation; Leading case of *Gibson v. W.C.A.B. (Armco Stainless & Alloy Products)* (Pa. 2004)
- § 20:17 Practice note: Claimant's lay characterization of injury
- § 20:18 Physician Assistants: Role in the system, admissibility of reports
- § 20:19 Certified Registered Nurse Practitioners (CRNPs): Role in the system, admissibility of reports

C. RULES SURROUNDING LEGALLY COMPETENT MEDICAL PROOFS

- § 20:20 The need for unequivocal medical proof of causation
- § 20:21 Expert opinion evidence need not be unqualified
- § 20:22 Equivocal medical testimony
- § 20:23 Medical opinion with regard to prospective work abilities, recovery, is equivocal
- § 20:24 Unequivocal medical testimony
- § 20:25 Burdened party as possessing requirement of producing unequivocal opinion
- § 20:26 Medical opinion offering alternative work-related causes not equivocal
- § 20:27 Practice Note: Non-burdened party need not necessarily present unequivocal evidence of other causes
- § 20:28 Medical opinion beyond expert's specialty
- § 20:29 Hypothetical questions

- § 20:30 Impact of inaccurate medical history; Leading case of *Newcomer v. W.C.A.B. (Ward Trucking Corp.)* (Pa. 1997); Critique of permissive Pennsylvania approach
- § 20:31 Competence of medical opinion that challenges decided medical “fact”
- § 20:32 Medical proof unnecessary for obvious injuries
- § 20:33 Obvious injuries
- § 20:34 Non-obvious injuries
- § 20:35 Obvious injury, non-obvious disability
- § 20:36 Medical expert qualifications; Competence of “sub-experts”
- § 20:37 Expert qualifications in utilization review litigation
- § 20:38 Audiologists not competent to present expert medical testimony
- § 20:39 Practice note: Qualifying the expert medical witness
- § 20:40 Practice note: Competence of medical opinion and the discogram imbroglio; Definition of an “objective” test

II. MEDICAL EVIDENTIARY ISSUES

A. HEARSAY AND ITS EXCEPTIONS

- § 20:41 Introduction
- § 20:42 Hearsay
- § 20:43 The “*Walker* Rule”
- § 20:44 Admissibility of expert medical reports in limited claims
- § 20:45 Definition of limited claim
- § 20:46 Application of Section 422(c) to “healthcare providers”
- § 20:47 Documents contemplated by Section 422(c) of the Act
- § 20:48 Admissibility of hospital records; Res gestae exception and admissibility
- § 20:49 Admissibility of death certificates
- § 20:50 Admissibility of autopsy reports
- § 20:51 Admissibility of corroborative medical reports; Upheaval in the law (2020)
- § 20:52 Admissibility of Bureau documents (“Department record”)
- § 20:53 Admissibility of employer’s report of occupational injury or disease
- § 20:54 Hearsay evidence consistent with facts already established by circumstantial evidence
- § 20:55 Medical expert’s reliance upon reports prepared by others
- § 20:56 When the “*Thomas*” rule does not apply

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 20:57 Practice note: Comparing civil admissibility vs. workers' compensation admissibility

B. OTHER RULES PERTINENT TO MEDICAL EVIDENCE

- § 20:58 Practice note: Expert testimony "beyond the four corners" of the report
§ 20:59 Practice note: The "Adverse Inference" rule

III. PRESENTING EXPERT MEDICAL EVIDENCE

- § 20:60 Medical Records vs. Expert Medical Testimony
§ 20:61 Practice note: When proceeding by written report, submit a persuasive "medical packet"
§ 20:62 Practice note: Selecting the appropriate medical witness
§ 20:63 Use of treating physicians
§ 20:64 Choosing between treating physician and non-treating physician
§ 20:65 Practice note: Preparing for medical testimony
§ 20:66 Practice note: Preparing for medical testimony and court's disciplinary rule caution
§ 20:67 Practice note: Defense counsel's presentation of testimony of the claimant's treating physician
§ 20:68 Practice note: Defense counsel's contact with treating physician when such physician is also employee of employer
§ 20:69 Claimant's use of IME expert/report
§ 20:70 Practice note: Compelling an expert to testify
§ 20:71 Practice note: Discovery of the employee's treating physician
§ 20:72 Expert witness financial records
§ 20:73 Practice note: Presentation of expert medical testimony—Outline for direct examination
§ 20:74 Practice note: Presentation of expert medical testimony—Outline for cross-examination
§ 20:75 Checklist: Direct Examination of Claimant's Medical Expert
§ 20:76 Checklist: Direct Examination of Defendant's Medical Expert
§ 20:77 Checklist: Cross-examination of Claimant's Medical Expert
§ 20:78 Checklist: Cross-examination of Defendant's Medical Expert

IV. ACCEPTED INJURIES AND THE COMPENSABILITY OF CONSEQUENTIAL INJURIES

- § 20:79 Introduction: Notice of Compensation Payable injury description
- § 20:80 Notice of Compensation Payable injury description: Body part and diagnosis versus “mechanism of injury”
- § 20:81 Assessing the integrity of the Notice of Compensation Payable injury description
- § 20:82 Expanding the Notice of Compensation Payable injury description; Uncertainty of decisions (1998–2005)
- § 20:83 Review of NCP and leading case of *Cinram Manufacturing v. W.C.A.B. (Hill)* (Pa. 2009)
- § 20:84 Practice note: Defense strategy to avoid surprise in amendment of Notice of Compensation Payable
- § 20:85 Expanding Notice of Compensation Payable to include psychological injury; Leading case of *Commercial Credit Claims v. W.C.A.B. (Lancaster)* (Pa. 1999)
- § 20:86 Time limitation for reviewing Notice of Compensation Payable
- § 20:87 Review petition, medical evidence, “presumption of continuing injury,” and payment of bills
- § 20:88 Practice note: When to file a review/penalty petition

V. LEGAL PRINCIPLES PERTINENT TO MEDICAL EVIDENTIARY ISSUES

1. BURDENS OF PROOF APPLICABLE TO COMMON PETITIONS

- § 20:89 Burden of proof: Conventional physical injury
- § 20:90 Burden of proof: Physical condition flowing from non-work trauma
- § 20:91 Burden of proof: Physical injury arising out of related medical treatment
- § 20:92 Burden of proof: Cardiac injuries
- § 20:93 Burden of proof: Suicide arising out of work injury
- § 20:94 Burden of proof: “Specific loss” of an appendage
- § 20:95 Burden of proof: Hearing loss due to long-term exposure to hazardous occupational noise
- § 20:96 Burden of proof: Hearing loss due to other occupational causes
- § 20:97 Burden of proof: Loss of vision

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 20:98	Burden of proof: Disfigurement
§ 20:99	Burden of proof: Physical-mental injury
§ 20:100	Burden of proof: Mental-physical injury
§ 20:101	Burden of proof: Mental-mental injury
§ 20:102	Burden of proof: Mental-mental injury with attendant non-verifiable physical conditions
§ 20:103	Burden of proof: Occupational diseases enumerated in Section 108; Hepatitis C
§ 20:104	Burden of proof: Occupational diseases not enumerated but encompassed by omnibus provision of Section 108(n)

2. ESSENTIAL PRINCIPLES REGARDING MEDICAL PROOFS; FULL RECOVERY

§ 20:105	The “substantial contributing factor” test
§ 20:106	The cumulative trauma test
§ 20:107	The “but for” test of causation
§ 20:108	Aggravation versus recurrence
§ 20:109	Burden of proof: Full recovery
§ 20:110	Practice note: Defendant’s medical expert should address all injuries contemplated by Notice of Compensation Payable
§ 20:111	Apportionment

CHAPTER 21. LAW AND PRACTICE OF DEMONSTRATING EARNING POWER THROUGH EXPERT VOCATIONAL EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION: LAW PRIOR TO 1996

§ 21:1	Vocational rehabilitation, the doctrine of actual job availability, and the prior practice
§ 21:2	Further discussion of laws surrounding vocational evidence and partial disability
§ 21:3	The <i>Kachinski</i> regime: A summary
§ 21:4	Practice note: Model return-to-work program is on Labor & Industry website
§ 21:5	Practice note: Vocational evidence in broad perspective

II. DEMONSTRATION OF EARNING POWER SINCE ACT 57 OF 1996

A. ESSENTIAL PRINCIPLES

§ 21:6	Earning Power Assessments
--------	---------------------------

- § 21:7 Calculation of earning power: Mechanics when multiple jobs found
- § 21:8 The Notice of Ability to Return to Work form requirement; Form not required in original claim context
- § 21:9 Practice note: Time for tender of Notice of Ability to Work form
- § 21:10 The specific job vacancy requirement
- § 21:11 Evidence of specific job vacancy
- § 21:12 Practice note: Commonwealth Court authority as conflicting with Act 57 Regulations
- § 21:13 Practice note: Defense strategy for proving no specific job vacancy
- § 21:14 Qualifications of vocational experts
- § 21:15 Historical note: Prior practice and controversy over qualification of vocational experts
- § 21:16 Vocational expert disclosure requirements
- § 21:17 The Code of Ethics (Revised in 2017)
- § 21:18 Qualifications/Code of Ethics and claimant's vocational experts
- § 21:19 Practice note: Vocational counselor interview of claimant
- § 21:20 Geographic scope of Earning Power Assessment
- § 21:21 Job availability element of Earning Power Assessment
- § 21:22 Actual job referral not required in Earning Power Assessment
- § 21:23 "Imputing" earning power following "gratis" return to work
- § 21:24 Establishing earning power from profits derived from family business
- § 21:25 Technical requirements of Earning Power Assessment not applicable in "imputed earning" cases
- § 21:26 Establishing earning power without expert evidence
- § 21:27 Serial Earning Power Assessments

B. RESPONDING TO AN EARNING POWER ASSESSMENT

- § 21:28 Applying for jobs identified in Earning Power Assessment
- § 21:29 Claimant's response in the post-*Kachinski* era: Applying for the Earning Power Assessment jobs—*Phoenixville Hospital* case (Pa. 2013) and its progeny
- § 21:30 Practice note: The claimant's independent job

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- procurement will not defeat an Earning Power Assessment
- § 21:31 Practice note: Claimant’s use of expert vocational evidence
- § 21:32 Practice note: Cost of claimant vocational expert not a medical expense
- § 21:33 Expert vocational evidence and incarcerated claimants

C. EMPLOYER JOB OFFERS

- § 21:34 Employer job offers at the time-of-injury workplace
- § 21:35 *Kachinski* requirements applicable to employer job offers
- § 21:36 Practical aspects employer job offers
- § 21:37 Practice note: Employer job offers affecting union workers and pension recipients
- § 21:38 Practice note: Miscellaneous impediments to employer job offers
- § 21:39 Employer referrals of unproductive work
- § 21:40 Funded employment
- § 21:41 Practice note: The *Kachinski* job development method
- § 21:42 The “specific job vacancy” requirement not implicated when *Kachinski* job development undertaken
- § 21:43 Archival note: The “Hybrid” method—Earning Power Assessments and job referrals

III. EVIDENCE IN VOCATIONAL LITIGATION

A. IN GENERAL

- § 21:44 Vocational counselors as expert witnesses
- § 21:45 Vocational experts and hearsay testimony
- § 21:46 Vocational expert rejection of “judicial fact” not competent
- § 21:47 Effect of claimant retirement on vocational strategy

B. VOCATIONAL EVIDENCE AND LITIGATION

- § 21:48 Preparing for prosecuting case based in whole or in part on vocational testimony
- § 21:49 Practice note: Preparation with vocational expert
- § 21:50 The defendant’s vocational supersedeas submission
- § 21:51 Practice note: The claimant’s vocational supersedeas submission
- § 21:52 Practice note: Awareness of individual WCJ practices
- § 21:53 Practice note: Judge procedures posted on Labor & Industry website

- § 21:54 Areas and points of direct examination pertinent to vocational counselors

C. CHECKLISTS

1. Employer's Direct

- § 21:55 Checklist: Direct Testimony of Defendant's Vocational Expert (Earning Power Assessment)
§ 21:56 Checklist: Direct Testimony of Defendant's Vocational Expert (*Kachinski* Job Offer/Referral)

2. Issues Relevant to Claimant's Counsel

- § 21:57 Preparing for cross-examination of defendant's vocational expert
§ 21:58 Checklist: Cross-Examination of Defendant's Vocational Expert (Earning Power Assessment)
§ 21:59 Checklist: Cross-Examination of Defendant's Vocational Expert (*Kachinski*)
§ 21:60 Checklist: Direct Examination of Claimant's Vocational Expert
§ 21:61 Checklist: Cross-Examination of Claimant's Vocational Expert

Volume 9

CHAPTER 22. PROCEDURAL ISSUES AND ADVOCACY BEFORE THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION JUDGE (WCJ), WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEAL BOARD, COMMONWEALTH COURT, AND SUPREME COURT

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 22:1 The role of counsel as advocate: In general
§ 22:2 The role of counsel as advocate: Ethical considerations
§ 22:3 The role of counsel as advocate: Practical considerations

II. ADVOCACY BEFORE THE WCJ

A. PRACTICE ASPECTS: MISCELLANEOUS PRE-TRIAL MATTERS

- § 22:4 Introduction—The role of the Workers' Compensation Office of Adjudication

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 22:5	The office and role of the WCJ
§ 22:6	Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure do not apply to workers' compensation litigation
§ 22:7	Practice note: Court's reference to the Judicial Code
§ 22:8	WCJ qualifications
§ 22:9	WCJ Code of Ethics
§ 22:10	Recusal of WCJ
§ 22:11	WCJ obligation to rule on recusal motion
§ 22:12	WCJ power to dismiss frivolous petition
§ 22:13	WCJ jurisdiction over utilization review procedures
§ 22:14	WCJ jurisdiction over attorney fee disputes
§ 22:15	WCJ power to order disgorgement of award of litigation costs
§ 22:16	WCJ exclusive jurisdiction over subrogation disputes
§ 22:17	WCJ power to conduct mediation proceedings
§ 22:18	Scheduling first hearing following petition assignment
§ 22:19	Awareness of individual WCJ practices
§ 22:20	Judge procedures posted on Labor & Industry website

B. ANSWER TO ORIGINAL CLAIM PETITION AND EFFECTIVE ADVOCACY BY COUNSEL

§ 22:21	Practice note: Pleadings should be prepared on Bureau forms
§ 22:22	Answers to claim petitions
§ 22:23	Practice note: Effect of admissions contained in opponent's answer
§ 22:24	Affirmative defenses
§ 22:25	Defenses that must be pled
§ 22:26	Defenses that need not be pled
§ 22:27	Practice note: Include all possible affirmative defenses when answering a claim petition
§ 22:28	Practice/Historical note: When to file the defendant's answer
§ 22:29	Late answers— <i>Yellow Freight</i>
§ 22:30	Practice note: WCJ Rule addressing time and "completion of filing"
§ 22:31	Claimant's waiver of <i>Yellow Freight Motion</i>
§ 22:32	Practice note: Establishing an adequate excuse for the late answer
§ 22:33	Adequate excuses for late answer
§ 22:34	Inadequate excuses for late answer
§ 22:35	Appealing the WCJ's late answer adjudication
§ 22:36	Practice note: Claimant's counsel strategy in cases involving late answer

C. ADVOCACY AND PRACTICAL HANDLING OF HEARINGS

- § 22:37 Conduct of hearings: Practice in Philadelphia, Allegheny, and other counties
- § 22:38 Scheduling of hearings
- § 22:39 First hearing procedures
- § 22:40 Trial scheduling orders
- § 22:41 Mandatory mediation
- § 22:42 Live testimony vs. deposition testimony
- § 22:43 Order of presentation of evidence
- § 22:44 Hearing room decorum
- § 22:45 Practice note: Advising the WCJ of the need for a special listing
- § 22:46 Continuances
- § 22:47 Bureau documents ("Department Record") to be admitted at first hearing in every case
- § 22:48 Securing Bureau documents ("Department Record")
- § 22:49 Pleadings as substantive evidence

D. ADVOCACY AND SUPERSEDEAS PRACTICE

- § 22:50 Supersedeas practice before WCJs
- § 22:51 Standard supersedeas hearings
- § 22:52 Special supersedeas hearings
- § 22:53 Standards for granting supersedeas
- § 22:54 Supersedeas strategy
- § 22:55 Preparing the supersedeas packet
- § 22:56 Employee Challenge hearings
- § 22:57 Scope of WCJ review during challenge hearing

E. PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF AND QUICK REFERENCE TO KEY EVIDENTIARY RULES AND PROCEDURE

- § 22:58 Application of rules of evidence in WCJ hearings
- § 22:59 The Pennsylvania Rules of Evidence
- § 22:60 Table: Admissibility of particular matters
- § 22:61 Admission of evidence as matter of WCJ discretion
- § 22:62 The WCJ's control of cross-examination
- § 22:63 Controlling the record
- § 22:64 Submission of evidence following close of the evidentiary record

F. PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF THE RULE OF LIBERAL PLEADING

- § 22:65 Employee as moving party

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 22:66 Employer as moving party
- § 22:67 Practice note: Filing an amended pleading
- § 22:68 Amending a claim petition within three-year limitations period
- § 22:69 Illustration: Power of the WCJ to grant relief not requested by petition
- § 22:70 Strict waiver rule before WCJs

G. BRIEFING THE CASE BEFORE THE WCJ

- § 22:71 Briefing schedules
- § 22:72 Practice note: Request a briefing schedule
- § 22:73 Fundamentals of an effective brief
- § 22:74 Suggested format for WCJ brief
- § 22:75 Preserving objections in separate writing
- § 22:76 *Quantum meruit* counsel fee submission
- § 22:77 Practice note: No need for unreasonable contest fee request
- § 22:78 Litigation costs submission
- § 22:79 Reasoned decisions

III. ADVOCACY BEFORE THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEAL BOARD

A. PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF PRACTICE BEFORE THE BOARD

- § 22:80 The role of the Workers' Compensation Appeal Board
- § 22:81 Appeal Board panels
- § 22:82 Filing an appeal from a WCJ adjudication
- § 22:83 The Appeal Board Rules
- § 22:84 Appellate procedure before the Appeal Board—Mechanical requirements
- § 22:85 Standing to appeal
- § 22:86 Appeals from interlocutory orders
- § 22:87 Cross-appeals
- § 22:88 Practice note: Addressing split-decision orders
- § 22:89 *Nunc pro tunc* appeals
- § 22:90 Waiver of appellate issues
- § 22:91 Motions to quash
- § 22:92 Practice note: Appealing physical examination/expert interview orders

B. APPEAL BOARD REVIEW

- § 22:93 Appeal Board scope of review/standard of review
- § 22:94 Capricious disregard analysis—Traditional standard

- § 22:95 —Contemporary standard
- § 22:96 Review of incorrect designation of finding of fact
- § 22:97 Prevailing party entitled to reasonable inferences drawn from evidence of record

C. SUPERSEDEAS BEFORE THE APPEAL BOARD

- § 22:98 Supersedeas practice before the Appeal Board
- § 22:99 Practice note: Preparing a formal request for supersedeas
- § 22:100 Standards for Appeal Board review of request for supersedeas
- § 22:101 Supersedeas with regard to medical expenses
- § 22:102 Making payment following the denial of supersedeas
- § 22:103 Practice note: Enforcing an award following the denial of supersedeas
- § 22:104 Supersedeas orders not appealable

D. BRIEFING AND ARGUING THE CASE BEFORE THE BOARD

- § 22:105 Briefs to the Appeal Board
- § 22:106 Oral argument before Appeal Board

E. PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF ORIGINAL JURISDICTION MATTERS

- § 22:107 The Appeal Board as a quasi-fact-finding tribunal
- § 22:108 Disfigurement claims
- § 22:109 Claims for dual specific loss
- § 22:110 Commutation hearings
- § 22:111 Section 419 fact-finding
- § 22:112 The Appeal Board's power to grant a rehearing
- § 22:113 Granting of rehearing before rendering a decision
- § 22:114 Granting a hearing *de novo*
- § 22:115 Imposing penalties

F. ISSUES SURROUNDING RETURN OF THE RECORD

- § 22:116 Appeal Board remands
- § 22:117 Appealing an Appeal Board remand order
- § 22:118 Scope of a remand order

IV. ADVOCACY BEFORE THE COMMONWEALTH COURT

- § 22:119 Jurisdiction of Commonwealth Court

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 22:120	Practice note: Appellate practice and procedure
§ 22:121	Public Access Policy and appeals into the court system; Amendment of Appellate Rules
§ 22:122	Occupational Disease Act appeals
§ 22:123	Practice note: Appellate procedure before the Commonwealth Court
§ 22:124	Practice note: Filing a petition for review—Important Rules of Appellate Procedure
§ 22:125	Practice note: Errant filings
§ 22:126	Transfer of improperly filed appeals
§ 22:127	Waiver of appellate issues
§ 22:128	Constitutional questions
§ 22:129	Waiver of appellate issue through failure to raise affirmative defense, or other issues, before WCJ
§ 22:130	Frivolous appeals
§ 22:131	The role of the Commonwealth Court as an appellate tribunal
§ 22:132	Scope of review/standard of review of the Commonwealth Court
§ 22:133	Party prevailing before WCJ entitled to all reasonable inferences to be drawn from evidence of record
§ 22:134	Harmless error
§ 22:135	The “standard” for reviewing credibility determinations, post- <i>Daniels</i> : “The total package,” including “subtle nuances of reasoning” not fully articulated or appreciated, must be taken into account by Board and court
§ 22:136	Practice note: Requesting supersedeas in conjunction with petition for review
§ 22:137	Practice note: Filing an application for supersedeas with the Commonwealth Court
§ 22:138	Standards for Commonwealth Court review of supersedeas request
§ 22:139	Payment of award following denial of supersedeas
§ 22:140	Docketing Statement/Statement of Issues
§ 22:141	Designation of the Reproduced Record
§ 22:142	Application for <i>in forma pauperis</i>
§ 22:143	Practice note: Correcting the certified record
§ 22:144	Mechanical requirements for brief filing
§ 22:145	Format of appellate brief
§ 22:146	Miscellaneous appellate brief requirements
§ 22:147	Suppression of brief that fails to conform with appellate rules
§ 22:148	Practice note: Consider the Commonwealth Court’s substantial docket

- § 22:149 Oral argument before Commonwealth Court
- § 22:150 Reargument
- § 22:151 Conformance with brief and reproduced record requirements
- § 22:152 Motions to quash before Commonwealth Court
- § 22:153 Commonwealth Court Mediation Program
- § 22:154 Amicus curiae practice before the Commonwealth Court
- § 22:155 Revised Rule (2011) as to citing unreported memorandum opinions
- § 22:156 Reporting of memorandum opinions
- § 22:157 Historical note: Memorandum opinions
- § 22:158 Petitions for Allowance of Appeal before Pennsylvania Supreme Court
- § 22:159 Considerations governing Allowance of Appeal
- § 22:160 Supersedeas requests before Supreme Court
- § 22:161 Supreme Court scope of review of workers' compensation appeals
- § 22:162 Superior Court not bound by Commonwealth Court holdings
- § 22:163 Larson treatise recognized as "secondary source of authority"
- § 22:164 Practice note: Avoiding constitutional issues

V. PRACTICE CHECKLISTS

- § 22:165 Checklist: Preparation for WCJ Hearing
- § 22:166 Checklist: Preparation for Workers' Compensation Appeal Board Hearing
- § 22:167 Checklist: Preparation for Commonwealth Court Proceeding
- § 22:168 Form: Request for Supersedeas Pending Appeal

CHAPTER 23. INSURANCE AND REGULATORY ASPECTS

I. WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE

A. INTRODUCTION; METHODS OF INSURING

- § 23:1 Introduction
- § 23:2 Practice note: Resources for learning in detail about workers' compensation insurance: Books and website
- § 23:3 Practice note: "Must Read" text addresses workers' compensation insurance
- § 23:4 Official information line for employers; Website

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	information on identity of insurance carriers for specific employers
§ 23:5	Generally
§ 23:6	Insurance through private insurance company
§ 23:7	Practice note: Role of the Department of Insurance
§ 23:8	Insurance through self-insurance; Bureau's self-insurance information online
§ 23:9	Practice note: Effect of 1993 amendments; Self-insurance through "pooling"
§ 23:10	Self-insurance Regulations amended (2010)
§ 23:11	Practice note: Pennsylvania Workers' Compensation Security Fund
§ 23:12	Effect of 1993 Amendments; Creation of Self-Insurance Guaranty Fund
§ 23:13	Effect of 2006 amendments: Creation of Uninsured Employers Guaranty Fund
§ 23:14	Practice note: Terrorism Risk Insurance Act ("TRIA")
§ 23:15	Practice note: Claims handling by self-insureds
§ 23:16	Insurance through the State Workers' Insurance Fund (SWIF); SWIF website, FAQs
§ 23:17	Statute as controlling contract of insurance with SWIF
§ 23:18	Declaratory judgment action by liability insurer against SWIF
§ 23:19	Practice note: SWIF and effect of 1993 Amendments
§ 23:20	Practice note: State Workers' Insurance Fund and operations "as insurer of last resort"
§ 23:21	Historical note: Origin of Workers' Compensation Funds
§ 23:22	Further aspects of 1993 Amendments (Act 44)
§ 23:23	Nature of excess insurance
§ 23:24	Nature of reinsurance
§ 23:25	Nature of captive insurance
§ 23:26	Practice note: Book on history of workers' compensation insurance

B. THE RATING BUREAU; ESTABLISHMENT OF INSURANCE RATES AND PREMIUMS

§ 23:27	Generally; Role of the Rating Bureau
§ 23:28	Current ratemaking and premium formulation as stemming from 1993 Amendments (Act 44); Loss cost (competitive) rating
§ 23:29	Basics of premium calculation
§ 23:30	Practice note: Insights into premium disputes
§ 23:31	Requirement that carrier offer deductible plan

WORKERS' COMPENSATION: LAW & PRACTICE

- § 23:32 Section 1002(b) of the Act: Five percent discount in presence of safety committee
- § 23:33 Efficacy of the five percent discount
- § 23:34 Classification
- § 23:35 Disputes over classification
- § 23:36 Experience rating: Definition and purpose
- § 23:37 Merit rating available for smaller employers
- § 23:38 Experience rating and safety
- § 23:39 Practice note: Payments that count in the experience rating calculation
- § 23:40 Practice note: Experience rating, revisions, and the effect of litigation and C&R
- § 23:41 Disputes over experience rating
- § 23:42 Example of premium calculation
- § 23:43 Insurance reserves, pricing recognized by Commonwealth Court
- § 23:44 Premium sharing by municipalities for costs of volunteer firefighting companies and related undertakings
- § 23:45 Rise of predictive analytics

C. THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY

- § 23:46 Generally
- § 23:47 Practice note: Attorneys' need to know about the policy
- § 23:48 Workers' compensation insurance under the Workers' Compensation and Employers Liability Policy
- § 23:49 Practice note: Employer's workers' compensation insurance carrier can be determined from website
- § 23:50 Rule that employee is not the "insured" under the contract of insurance
- § 23:51 Practice note: Ability of WCJ to determine coverage issues in course of proceedings
- § 23:52 WCJ power, coverage issues, and unsatisfactory dicta of *Wagner v. W.C.A.B. (Anthony Wagner Auto Repairs & Sales, Inc.)* (Pa. Commw. 2012)
- § 23:53 Employers Liability insurance
- § 23:54 Insurance coverage for civil action claims brought under *Tooley v. AK Steel Corp.* (Pa. 2013)
- § 23:55 Other States Coverage
- § 23:56 "Other States Insurance": Extraterritorial coverage under the Workers Compensation and Employers Liability Policy
- § 23:57 Executive Officer exception (Act 44 of 1993)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 23:58 Duties of the insured under the policy
- § 23:59 Practice note: Employer and insurance carrier disputes or inconsistent actions in course of proceedings
- § 23:60 Pre-injury “waivers of subrogation” allowed in Pennsylvania workers’ compensation policies

D. WORKERS’ COMPENSATION INSURANCE COVERAGE

- § 23:61 Estoppel to deny compensation
- § 23:62 Litigation and determination of insurance coverage; Pleading
- § 23:63 Effect of fraud by employer and employee on insurer
- § 23:64 General rule that dispute between employer and employee is not to prejudice claimant; unsatisfactory case of *SWIF v. W.C.A.B. (Hering)* (Pa. Commw. 2003)
- § 23:65 Practice note: Fraud in the formation of the insurance contract
- § 23:66 Alleged fraud in workers’ compensation underwriting: A 2013 federal court case
- § 23:67 Insured’s bad faith action against carrier
- § 23:68 Concurrent policies
- § 23:69 Commercial General Liability (CGL) policy does not cover injuries sustained by employees
- § 23:70 The Workers Compensation & Employers Liability Policy: Expiration upon non-payment of renewal premium
- § 23:71 The Workers Compensation & Employers Liability Policy: Cancellation, non-renewal, and rescission
- § 23:72 Rescission held disallowed outside of workers’ compensation proceedings, but preferred rule is that rescission should be completely disallowed
- § 23:73 Insurance, cancellation, and collateral authorities
- § 23:74 Umbrella liability policy protecting premises owner from employee’s third-party suit

E. ROLES OF INDIVIDUALS OR ENTITIES CONNECTED WITH INSURANCE INDUSTRY

- § 23:75 Role of the insurance agent
- § 23:76 Role of the insurance broker
- § 23:77 Practice note: Role of brokers
- § 23:78 Role of the workers’ compensation claims adjuster

II. REGULATORY ASPECTS OF THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT

A. INTRODUCTION; STATUTES REGULATING EMPLOYER AND INSURER OBLIGATIONS

- § 23:79 Introduction
- § 23:80 Limits on regulatory power
- § 23:81 Official information line for employers; Bureau website
- § 23:82 Generally
- § 23:83 Exception for conscientious objectors
- § 23:84 Requirement of insurance; Requirement that identity of carrier be made known to employees; Determining identity of carrier
- § 23:85 Employer's procedure where potential Pennsylvania claimant has received benefits under law of other jurisdiction
- § 23:86 Employer's responsibility for medical benefits
- § 23:87 How compensation payments are to be made
- § 23:88 Practice note: Delivery of compensation checks
- § 23:89 Calculation of claimant's Average Weekly Wage and benefit rate
- § 23:90 Illegal employment of minors and need for "working papers"
- § 23:91 Requirement that employers and insurance carrier file critical documents in Harrisburg
- § 23:92 Bureau filings not "public records"
- § 23:93 Prompt reporting of injury and acceptance or denial of claim within defined time period
- § 23:94 Statute forbidding illegal settlements; Requirement of Bureau to scrutinize submitted forms; Requirement that Department scrutinize agreement to determine conformance with Act; Rule that agreements submitted are to be signed
- § 23:95 Requirement that employee be provided with Notice of Compensation Payable and agreements; Requirement that Bureau examine agreements
- § 23:96 Illegality of unilateral stopping of payments; The six ways in which disability benefits may be stopped
- § 23:97 Unilateral cessations of benefits after award and decision, without supersedeas, forbidden
- § 23:98 Penalties for violations of the Act
- § 23:99 Filing of reports of injury
- § 23:100 Possible penalty for chronic late payments by insurer or self-insurer; Requirement that adjusting services register with Department of Labor and Industry

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 23:101 The requirement of filing annual reports of compensation
- § 23:102 Final receipts

B. RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE BUREAU OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION

- § 23:103 Bureau regulations as equipping Bureau with oversight powers; Access to Bureau Regulations
- § 23:104 Historical note: Form filing and computation of time
- § 23:105 Provision of workers' compensation information to the injured worker
- § 23:106 Voluntary acceptance of liability: The Notice of Compensation Payable (NCP) and Medical-Only NCP
- § 23:107 Voluntary acceptance of liability with reservation of rights: The Notice of Temporary Compensation (NTCP) and Medical-Only NTCP
- § 23:108 Mutual agreement that compensation be paid: The original Agreement for Compensation and original Agreement for Death
- § 23:109 Statement of Wages to be filed in every case
- § 23:110 Denial of benefits
- § 23:111 The seven-day waiting period and mechanics of computation of compensation due
- § 23:112 How disability benefits are paid when worker dies before acceptance, agreement or award
- § 23:113 Procedures and documentation of the post-acceptance/award benefit adjustment process
- § 23:114 Voluntary assent to adjustment in light of employer's subrogation
- § 23:115 Issuance and delivery of compensation payments; Attorney's fees and costs

C. EFFECT OF 1993 AMENDMENTS

- § 23:116 Introduction
- § 23:117 "Building permit" (proof of insurance) requirement
- § 23:118 Insurance notification requirement
- § 23:119 New requirement of Health and Safety programs; Publication of regulations

CHAPTER 24. OTHER STATUTES PROVIDING FOR COMPENSATION FOR WORKPLACE INJURIES

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 24:1 Availability of other benefits
- § 24:2 Practice note: Other benefits
- § 24:3 Effect of lack of insurance; Creation of Uninsured Employers Guaranty Fund

II. STATE OR FEDERAL BENEFITS ADDITIONAL TO OR CONCURRENT WITH WORKERS' COMPENSATION

A. ACT 632/ACT 534

- § 24:4 Generally
- § 24:5 Practice note: Difference between Workers' Compensation and Act 632/534
- § 24:6 Critical language of the statute
- § 24:7 Persons entitled
- § 24:8 Persons not entitled: The discharged?
- § 24:9 Circumstances under which benefits may be secured
- § 24:10 Amount and duration of benefits
- § 24:11 Establishment and enforcement
- § 24:12 Relation to state workers' compensation
- § 24:13 Effect of Department of Corrections adjudication in workers' compensation proceeding

B. HEART & LUNG ACT

- § 24:14 Introduction
- § 24:15 Practice note: New (2015) book chapter on genesis, operation of Heart & Lung Act
- § 24:16 Difference between workers' compensation and Heart & Lung payments; Need for coordination between programs; Leading case of *Cohen v. W.C.A.B. (City of Philadelphia)* (Pa. 2006)
- § 24:17 *Commonwealth of PA, PA Dept. of Corrections v. W.C.A.B. (Wagner-Stover)* (Pa. Commw. 2010): Interpretation of *Cohen v. W.C.A.B. (City of Philadelphia)* (Pa. 2006)
- § 24:18 *Commonwealth of PA, PA Dept. of Corrections v. W.C.A.B. (Wagner-Stover)* (Pa. Commw. 2010): Dissent and criticism

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 24:19 Effect of Heart & Lung arbitration award in workers' compensation proceeding
- § 24:20 Critical language of the statute
- § 24:21 Persons entitled
- § 24:22 Effect of 2006 Amendments
- § 24:23 Persons not entitled: The discharged?
- § 24:24 Circumstances under which benefits may be secured; "Performance of duties" criterion
- § 24:25 Amount and duration of benefits
- § 24:26 Establishment and enforcement: Issues surrounding forum
- § 24:27 General issues surrounding enforcement
- § 24:28 No subrogation in motor vehicle injury context
- § 24:29 Relation to state workers' compensation
- § 24:30 Effect of Department of Corrections adjudication in workers' compensation proceeding

C. DEATH BENEFITS FOR FIREFIGHTERS, AMBULANCE OR RESCUE MEMBERS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS

- § 24:31 Generally

D. SALARY CONTINUATION FOR COUNTY EMPLOYEES

- § 24:32 Employees of jails and workhouses
- § 24:33 Police and firefighters

E. LONGSHORE AND HARBORWORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT

- § 24:34 Generally
- § 24:35 Amendment of Act (2014) Regarding Longshore Act, Jones Act
- § 24:36 Longshore Act settlement via periodic payments could not be assigned to factoring company
- § 24:37 Historical/Archival note: Cost crisis with Defense Base Act?
- § 24:38 Archival/Historical Note: More criticism of operation of Defense Base Act
- § 24:39 Appellate jurisdiction in Defense Base Act cases

III. BENEFITS RECOVERABLE UNDER FEDERAL LAW AND IN ADMIRALTY: FELA, FECA AND THE "JONES ACT"

- § 24:40 Introduction

- § 24:41 Federal Employers' Liability Act
- § 24:42 Merchant Marine Act of 1920 ("Jones Act")
- § 24:43 Federal Employees' Compensation Act
- § 24:44 Practice note: Representing injured workers under FECA

IV. PRACTICE NOTES: MISCELLANEOUS ALTERNATIVE BENEFITS

- § 24:45 Introduction
- § 24:46 Benefits under the Vocational Rehabilitation Act
- § 24:47 Federal Black Lung Benefits
- § 24:48 Non-statutory benefits
- § 24:49 Unemployment Compensation
- § 24:50 Public assistance
- § 24:51 Social Security Disability
- § 24:52 Veterans benefits
- § 24:53 The Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (FMLA)
- § 24:54 Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA"); Workers' compensation and the ADA

V. EFFECT OF 1993 AMENDMENTS

- § 24:55 Section 322 of the Act; Ban on dual receipt

APPENDICES

- Appendix A. Pennsylvania Code, Title 34, Chapter 131: WCJ Rules
- Appendix B. Pennsylvania Code, Title 34, Chapter 121: Bureau Regulations
- Appendix C. Pennsylvania Code, Title 34, Chapter 111: Appeal Board Rules
- Appendix D. Pennsylvania Code, Title 34, Chapter 123: Act 57 Regulations
- Appendix E. Pennsylvania Code, Title 34, Chapter 127: Medical Cost Containment Regulations
- Appendix F. Conversion Table
- Appendix G. Table of Scheduled Benefits
- Appendix H. Research Guide to Pennsylvania Workers' Compensation
- Appendix I. Model Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order
- Appendix J. Legislative History

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix K. Forms

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index