

Index

ACCIDENTS

Short-term time limitations barring solicitations arising from, **7:11**

ACCOUNTANCY, BOARD OF

Ibanez v. Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation, Board of Accountancy, **3:9**

ACCOUNTANTS

Content regulations, **6:38**

ACTORS

Content regulation, **6:20, 6:21**

ACTUALLY MISLEADING

Content regulations, **6:2**

ADVANCEMENT

First Amendment, **2:22, 2:23**

ADVERTISED FEES

Content regulation, **6:30, 6:31**

AFFORDABLE ACCESS

Aspirations of profession, **4:6**

ALABAMA

State advertising rules, **8:2**

ALASKA

State advertising rules, **8:3**

ALLEN FIRM

Content regulation, “best lawyers in america” litigation, **6:12**

AMBIGUOUS ADVERTISING

Aspirations of profession, **4:10**

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION (ABA)

Content regulations, **6:35**

State advertising rules, **8:1**

APPEAL AND REVIEW

Commercial speech doctrines, **2:3-2:5**

APPEARANCE

Limitations and restrictions, **7:17**

APPROVAL

Bar approval of filed advertisements, **7:28**

Firm, approval by, **7:1**

ARIZONA

History, **1:6**

State advertising rules, **8:4**

Supreme court, **3:1**

ARKANSAS

State advertising rules, **8:5**

ASPIRATIONS OF PROFESSION

Generally, **4:5-4:11**

Affordable access, **4:6**

Ambiguous and confusing advertising, avoiding, **4:10**

Confusion, avoiding, **4:10**

Dignity, promoting, **4:9**

Enhancing affordable access to legal services, **4:6**

Good taste, promoting, **4:9**

Identification of target audience, **4:11**

Misleading, **4:10**

Professionalism, fostering, **4:7**

Public confidence, fostering, **4:7**

Public understanding of legal rights, **4:8**

Target audience, identification of, **4:11**

BAR ASSOCIATIONS AND AUTHORITIES

American Bar Association (this index)

**BAR ASSOCIATIONS AND
AUTHORITIES—Cont'd**

Approval of filed advertisements,
7:28

Disciplinary Authority (this index)
History, **1:7**

Supreme Court (this index)

**BATES v. STATE BAR OF
ARIZONA**

Generally, **3:1**

History, **1:6**

“BEST LAWYERS IN AMERICA”

Content regulation, **6:12**

BLOGS

Regulation of attorney discussion of
completed proceedings, **7:23.50**

BURDEN OF PROOF

First amendment, **2:25**

CALIFORNIA

State advertising rules, **8:6**

CASE STUDY

Battle over New York’s newly
restrictive rules, **6:16.50**

**CATCH-ALL DISCLOSURE
REQUIREMENTS**

Content regulation, **6:28**

**CATCHY STOCK ADVERTISING
DEVICES**

Content regulation, **6:15**

CENTRAL HUDSON TEST

First Amendment (this index)

CERTIFICATION

Content regulation, **6:34, 6:36, 6:38**

**CERTIFIED PUBLIC
ACCOUNTANT**

Content regulation, **6:38**

CHANNEL BUSINESS

Payment, **7:5**

CHILDREN AND MINORS

Contact with prospective clients, **7:9**

CHOICE OF LAW

Disciplinary authority, **7:27**

CLIENT INFORMATION

Disclosure, **7:18**

COLORADO

State advertising rules, **8:7**

**COMMERCIAL SPEECH
DOCTRINES**

Generally, **2:1 et seq.**

Appeal and review, **2:3-2:5**

First Amendment (this index)

Free speech, generally, **2:1**

Modern constitutional law, **2:2-2:5**

Strict review, **2:5**

COMMUNICATIONS

Limitations and restrictions, **7:17**

COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS

Content regulation, **6:10**

COMPLAINTS

Filing, **7:14**

**COMPUTER - ACCESSED
ADVERTISING**

Generally, **7:22**

COMPUTER CODES

Limitations and restrictions, **7:25**

CONFUSION

Aspirations of profession, **4:10**

CONNECTICUT

State advertising rules, **8:8**

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

Generally, **2:1 et seq.**

Commercial Speech Doctrines (this
index)

Content regulation, **6:18, 6:19**

First Amendment (this index)

Free speech. **First Amendment** (this
index)

Self-regulation, **4:4**

CONSUMER PROTECTION

Models of regulations, **5:3**

INDEX

CONSUMER PROTECTION

—Cont'd

Self-regulation, **4:2**

CONTACT WITH PROSPECTIVE CLIENTS

Children and minors, **7:9**

Emotional condition of client, **7:9**

Mental condition of client, **7:9**

Physical impairment of client, **7:9**

Vulnerability of client, **7:9**

CONTENT-NEUTRAL REGULATION OF SPEECH

First amendment, **2:9**

CONTENT REGULATION

Generally, **6:1 et seq.**

ABA model rule on practice fields
and specialization, **6:35**

Accountants, certified public account-
tant, **6:38**

Actors, use of, **6:20, 6:21**

Actually misleading distinguished,
6:2

Advertised fees and rates, **6:30, 6:31**

Affirmative requirements for infor-
mation regarding lawyer's
qualifications or experience,
6:33

Allen Firm "Best Lawyers in Amer-
ica" litigation, **6:12**

Bar authorities, **6:5**

"Best Lawyers in America," **6:12**

Catch-all disclosure requirements,
6:28

Catchy stock advertising devices,
6:15

Certification, **6:34, 6:36, 6:38**

Certified public accountant, **6:38**

Comparative claims of superior qual-
ity, disclaimers, **6:27**

Comparative statements, **6:10**

Constitutional law, **6:18, 6:19**

Contingency fee clients, liability for
costs, **6:29**

Costs of action, **6:29, 6:30**

Credentials, **6:38**

CONTENT REGULATION—Cont'd

Disclaimer

certification, **6:36**

comparative claims of superior
quality, **6:27**

contingency fee clients, liability
for costs, **6:29**

effective placement, **6:43**

judicial preference for disclaimers,
6:42

potentially misleading underlying
advertisement, **6:44**

prohibitory regulation versus, **6:41**

requirements, generally, **6:25**

specialization, **6:36**

Disclosures

affirmative requirements for infor-
mation regarding lawyer's
qualifications or experience,
6:33

catch-all requirements, **6:28**

location of practice, **6:32**

noncommercial speech, imposi-
tions, **6:46**

prohibitory regulation versus, **6:41**
requirements, generally, **6:21**

Discounts, **6:30**

Dramatizations, **6:16-6:19, 6:21**

Emotions, appeals to, **6:15**

Empirical evidence, **6:2**

Endorsements, **6:23-6:25, 6:24**

Ethical conduct, **6:8**

Evidence, **6:2, 6:26**

Expectations, **6:9**

Experience, **6:33**

Facts, statements implying outcomes
related to, **6:7**

Farrin v. Thigpen, **6:19**

First amendment, **2:7**

Florida Bar, Mason v., **6:5**

Florida Bar v. Pape, **6:14**

Hiring attorneys, **6:28**

Honoring advertised fee prices,
regulation on, **6:31**

Identification as advertisements, **6:6**

Illustrations, **6:22**

CONTENT REGULATION—Cont'd

Images, **6:22**
 Implications, **6:22.50**
 Inherently misleading distinguished, **6:2**
 Judge, portrayal, **6:20.50**
 Judicial preference for disclaimers, **6:42**
 Jury, portrayal, **6:20.50**
 Legality of conduct, **6:8**
 Letterheads, **6:37**
 Limitations and restrictions, **6:16, 6:17, 6:24, 6:26**
 Listing non-law credentials or certifications, **6:38**
 Location of practice, disclosure of, **6:32**
 Lower court applications of various forms of misleading advertising, **6:4, 6:5**
 Mason v. Florida Bar, **6:5**
 Materially misleading statements or omissions, generally, **6:1**
 Merits, statements implying outcomes related to, **6:7**
 Misleading statements, generally, **6:1**
 Motto and implication, **6:22.50**
 Names, **6:37**
 Newsletters, **6:39**
 Objective evidence, **6:2**
 Omissions, generally, **6:1**
 Placement of disclaimers, **6:43**
 Policy issues, **6:18, 6:19**
 Potentially misleading, **6:2, 6:44**
 Practice areas, **6:34, 6:35**
 Pre-enforcement, seeking relief in federal court, **6:47**
 Presumptions, **6:40**
 Prior acts and matters, **6:26**
 Prohibitory regulation versus regulation through disclaimers or disclosure requirements, **6:41**
 Props, **6:22**
 Puffery, **6:15**
 Qualifications, **6:33**
 Rates, **6:30**

CONTENT REGULATION—Cont'd

References to past successes, **6:26**
 Routine services, **6:30**
 Safe-harbor provisions permitting or presumptively permitting specified content, **6:40**
 Self-laudatory statements, **6:10-6:12**
 Simulations, **6:16, 6:17, 6:21**
 Specialization, **6:34-6:36**
 Successes, references to, **6:26**
 Supreme Court treatment of various forms of misleading advertising, **6:3**
 Testimonials, **6:23-6:25, 6:24**
 Trade names, **6:22.50, 6:37**
 Undignified advertising and marketing, **6:13, 6:14**
 Unjustified expectations, statements creating, **6:9**
 Visual devices, **6:22**

CONTINGENCY FEE CLIENTS

Content regulation, **6:29**

COPIES

Retention of by attorney, **7:2**

CORRESPONDENCE

Limitations and restrictions, **7:17**

COSTS OF ACTION

Content regulation, **6:29, 6:30**

CREDENTIALS

Content regulation, **6:38**

CURRENTLY REPRESENTED BY LEGAL COUNSEL

Limitations and restrictions, **7:12**

DELAWARE

State advertising rules, **8:9**

DIGITAL ADVERTISING

Generally, **7:22**

DIGNITY

Aspirations of profession, **4:9**

DIRECT ADVANCEMENT

First amendment, **2:22, 2:23**

INDEX

DIRECT SOLICITATION OF CLIENTS

Generally, **7:8**

DISASTERS

Short-term time limitations barring solicitations arising from, **7:11**

DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY

Choice of law issues, **7:27**

Peel v. Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of Illinois, **3:7**

Zauderer v. Office of Disciplinary Council of the Supreme Court of Ohio, **3:5**

DISCLAIMER

Content Regulation (this index)

DISCLAIMERS

Internet advertising, **7:23**

DISCLOSURES

Client information obtaining, **7:18**

Content Regulation (this index)

Noncommercial speech, **6:46**

Third persons, another lawyer actually handle matter, **7:19**

DISCOUNTS

Content regulation, **6:30**

DISCRIMINATION

First amendment, **2:8, 2:27**

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

State advertising rules, **8:10**

DRAMATIZATIONS

Content regulation, **6:16-6:19, 6:21**

EDENFELD v. FANE

Supreme court, **3:8**

EMOTIONAL CONDITION OF CLIENT

Contact with prospective clients, **7:9**

EMOTIONS, APPEALS TO

Content regulation, **6:15**

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Content regulation, **6:2**

First amendment, **2:26**

ENDORSEMENTS

Content regulation, **6:23-6:25**

ENFORCEMENT

Relief, seeking pre-enforcement, federal court, **6:47**

ETHICAL CONDUCT

Content regulation, **6:8**

ETHICS CODES

History, **1:4**

EVIDENCE

Content regulation, **6:2, 6:26**

First amendment, **2:25**

EXCEPTIONS

Former clients or persons with whom lawyer has close personal or family relationship, **7:10**

EXPECTATIONS

Content regulation, **6:9**

EXPERIENCE

Content regulation, **6:33**

EXTRAJUDICIAL STATEMENTS

First amendment, **2:29**

FACTS

Content regulation, **6:7**

FAMILY RELATIONSHIP

Exception for former clients or persons with whom lawyer has close personal or family relationship, **7:10**

FARRIN v. THIGPEN

Content regulation, **6:19**

FEDERAL COURT

Pre-enforcement, seeking relief in federal court, **6:47**

FIRM

Approval by, **7:1**

FIRST AMENDMENT

- Generally, **2:1 et seq.**
- Advancement, direct and material, **2:22, 2:23**
- Applicability of Central Hudson to lawyer advertising, **2:30**
- Burden of proof, **2:25**
- Central Hudson test, generally, **2:16-2:26**
- Commercial character, discrimination not related to, **2:27**
- Commercial speech, generally, **2:12-2:29**
- Content-based regulation of speech, **2:7**
- Content-neutral regulation of speech, **2:9**
- Direct and material advancement, Central Hudson test, **2:22, 2:23**
- Discrimination, **2:8, 2:27**
- Empirical data, role of, **2:26**
- Evidence, **2:25**
- Extrajudicial statements, **2:29**
- Government interests, **2:21**
- Lack of protection for commercial speech, **2:14**
- Lawful activity, speech about, Central Hudson test, **2:19**
- Limitations and restrictions, **2:28**
- Misleading, Central Hudson test, **2:20**
- Models of regulations, **5:4, 5:6**
- Offended viewers, **2:11**
- Prior restraints, **2:28**
- Special settings, reduction of protection in, **2:10**
- Substantial government interests, Central Hudson test, **2:21**
- Tailoring, Central Hudson test, **2:22, 2:24**

FLORIDA

- Content regulation, **6:5, 6:14**
- Florida Bar, Mason v., **6:5**
- Florida Bar v. Pape, **6:14**
- Florida Bar v. Went for It, Inc., **3:10**

FLORIDA—Cont'd

- Ibanez v. Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation, Board of Accountancy, **3:9**
- Mason v. Florida Bar, **6:5**
- State advertising rules, **8:11**

FOREIGN LANGUAGES

- Use of more than one language in advertising, **7:20**

FORMER CLIENTS

- Exception for former clients or persons with whom lawyer has close personal or family relationship, **7:10**

FREE SPEECH

- ConsFirst Amendment (this index)

GEORGIA

- State advertising rules, **8:12**

GOOD TASTE

- Aspirations of profession, **4:9**

GOVERNMENT INTERESTS

- First Amendment, **2:21**

HAWAII

- State advertising rules, **8:13**

HIDDEN COMPUTER CODES

- Limitations and restrictions, **7:25**

HIRING ATTORNEYS

- Content regulation, **6:28**

HISTORY

- Generally, **1:1 et seq.**
- Arizona, **1:6**
- Bar authorities, **1:6, 1:7**
- Bates v. State Bar of Arizona, **1:6**
- Ethics codes, **1:4**
- Hostility, judicial, **1:3**
- Judicial hostility, **1:3**
- Limitations and restrictions, **1:4**
- Lincoln, Abraham, **1:1**
- Monopolistic movement, **1:2**
- 1960s and 1970s, **1:5**

INDEX

HISTORY—Cont'd

- Nineteenth century, Abraham Lincoln, **1:1**
- Twentieth century, monopolistic movement, **1:2**

HOSTILITY

- History, judicial hostility, **1:3**

HYPERLINKS

- Responses, **7:26**

IBANEZ v. FLORIDA

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION, BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

- Generally, **3:9**

IDAHO

- State advertising rules, **8:14**

IDENTIFICATION

- Aspirations of profession, **4:11**
- Content regulation, **6:6**

ILLINOIS

- Peel v. Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of Illinois, **3:7**
- State advertising rules, **8:15**

ILLUSTRATIONS

- Content regulation, **6:22**

IMAGES

- Content regulation, **6:22**

IMPLICATIONS

- Content regulation, **6:22.50**

INDIANA

- State advertising rules, **8:16**

INHERENTLY MISLEADING

- Content regulation, **6:2**

IN RE PRIMUS

- Generally, **3:3**

IN RE R.M.J.

- Generally, **3:4**

INTERNET ADVERTISING

- Generally, **7:22**
- Disclaimers, placement of, **7:23**
- “Pay per click,” **7:24.50**
- Performance-based advertising, **7:24.50**
- Pop-up advertising and hidden computer codes, **7:25**

IOWA

- State advertising rules, **8:17**

JUDGE

- Content regulation, **6:20.50**

JUDICIAL HOSTILITY

- History, **1:3**

JUDICIAL PREFERENCE

- Content regulation, **6:42**

JURY

- Content regulation, **6:20.50**

KANSAS

- State advertising rules, **8:18**

KENTUCKY

- Shapiro v. Kentucky Bar Association, **3:6**
- State advertising rules, **8:19**

LAWFUL ACTIVITY

- First amendment, **2:19**

LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICES

- On-line referral services, **7:24**
- Rules regulating, **7:6**

LEGALITY OF CONDUCT

- Content regulation, **6:8**

LETTERHEADS

- Content regulation, **6:37**

LETTERS

- Limitations and restrictions, **7:17**

LICENSE TO PRACTICE

- Advertising by lawyers not licensed to practice in state, **6:45**

**LIMITATIONS AND
RESTRICTIONS**

Accidents, **7:11**
Advertising by lawyers not licensed
to practice in state, **6:45**
Appearance and format of solicitation
letters and communications,
7:17
Battle over New York's newly
restrictive rules, **6:16.50**
Content regulation, **6:16, 6:17, 6:24,**
6:26
Currently represented by legal
counsel, **7:12**
Disasters, **7:11**
First amendment, **2:28**
History, ethics codes, **1:4**
Internet pop-up advertising and hid-
den computer codes, **7:25**
Locations at which solicitations may
occur, **7:13**
Online blogs, attorney discussions of
completed proceedings, **7:23.50**
Payment by other attorneys, **7:4**
Radio, **7:21**
Short-term time limitations barring
solicitations arising from
accidents or disasters, **7:11**
Television, **7:21**
Third persons, payment by other
attorneys, **7:4**

LINCOLN, ABRAHAM
Generally, **1:1**

LOCATION
Place or Location (this index)

LOUISIANA
State advertising rules, **8:20**

LOWER COURTS
Content regulation, **6:4, 6:5**

MAIL
Targeted mail solicitations, **7:16**

MAINE
State advertising rules, **8:21**

MARYLAND
State advertising rules, **8:22**

MASON v. FLORIDA BAR
Content regulation, **6:5**

MASSACHUSETTS
State advertising rules, **8:23**

**MATERIALLY MISLEADING
STATEMENTS OR
OMISSIONS**
Content Regulation (this index)

MENTAL CONDITION OF CLIENT
Contact with prospective clients, **7:9**

MERITS
Content regulation, **6:7**

MICHIGAN
State advertising rules, **8:24**

MINNESOTA
State advertising rules, **8:25**

MISLEADING
Aspirations of profession, **4:10**
Content Regulation (this index)
First amendment, **2:20**

MISSISSIPPI
State advertising rules, **8:26**

MISSOURI
State advertising rules, **8:27**

MODELS OF REGULATIONS
Generally, **5:1 et seq.**
Broad power to regulation, **5:5**
Competition between models, gener-
ally, **5:1 et seq.**
Consumer protection model, **5:3**
First Amendment, **5:4, 5:6**
Professionalism model, **5:2**

MONOPOLISTIC MOVEMENT
History, **1:2**

MONTANA
State advertising rules, **8:28**

INDEX

MOTTOS

Content regulation, **6:22.50**

MULTILINGUAL

ADVERTISEMENTS

Generally, **7:20**

NAMES

Content regulation, **6:37**

NEBRASKA

State advertising rules, **8:29**

NEVADA

State advertising rules, **8:30**

NEW HAMPSHIRE

State advertising rules, **8:31**

NEW JERSEY

State advertising rules, **8:32**

NEW MEXICO

State advertising rules, **8:33**

NEWSLETTERS

Content regulation, **6:39**

NEW YORK

Battle over New York's newly
restrictive rules, **6:16.50**

State advertising rules, **8:34**

NINETEENTH CENTURY AMERICA

Abraham Lincoln, example of, **1:1**

NONCOMMERCIAL SPEECH

Content regulation, **6:46**

NONPROFIT ADVOCACY ORGANIZATIONS

Solicitation by, **7:15**

NORTH CAROLINA

State advertising rules, **8:35**

NORTH DAKOTA

State advertising rules, **8:36**

OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE

Content regulation, **6:2**

OFFENDED VIEWERS

First amendment, **2:11**

OHIO

Ohralik v. Ohio State Bar Association, **3:2**

State advertising rules, **8:37**

Zauderer v. Office of Disciplinary
Council of the Supreme Court of
Ohio, **3:5**

OHRALIK v. OHIO STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Generally, **3:2**

OKLAHOMA

State advertising rules, **8:38**

OMISSIONS

Content Regulation (this index)

ONLINE BLOGS

Regulation of attorney discussion of
completed proceedings, **7:23.50**

ON-LINE REFERRAL SERVICES

Generally, **7:24**

OREGON

State advertising rules, **8:39**

PAYMENT

Channel business, **7:5**

Limitations and restrictions, **7:4**

“PAY PER CLICK”

Internet advertising, **7:24.50**

PEEL v. ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION OF ILLINOIS

Generally, **3:7**

PENNSYLVANIA

State advertising rules, **8:40**

PERFORMANCE-BASED ADVERTISING

Internet, **7:24.50**

PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP

Exception for former clients or persons with whom lawyer has close personal or family relationship, **7:10**

PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT OF CLIENT

Contact with prospective clients, **7:9**

PLACEMENT

Disclaimers, **6:43, 7:23**

PLACE OR LOCATION

Content regulation, **6:32**

Limitations and restrictions, **7:13**

POLICY ISSUES

Content regulation, **6:18, 6:19**

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

Solicitation by, **7:15**

POP-UP ADVERTISING

Limitations and restrictions, **7:25**

POTENTIALLY MISLEADING

Content regulation, **6:2, 6:44**

PRACTICE AREAS

Content regulation, **6:34, 6:35**

PREPAID LEGAL SERVICES

Generally, **7:7**

PRESUMPTIONS

Content regulation, **6:40**

PRIOR ACTS AND MATTERS

Content regulation, **6:26**

First amendment, **2:28**

PROFESSIONALISM

Aspirations of profession, **4:7**

Models of regulations, **5:2**

PROFESSIONAL REGULATION

Ibanez v. Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation, Board of Accountancy, **3:9**

PROPS

Content regulation, **6:22**

PROSPECTIVE CLIENTS

Children and minors, **7:9**

Emotional condition of client, **7:9**

Mental condition of client, **7:9**

Physical impairment of client, **7:9**

Vulnerability of client, **7:9**

PUBLIC CONFIDENCE

Aspirations of profession, **4:7**

PUBLIC TRUST

Self-regulation, **4:3**

PUBLIC UNDERSTANDING OF LEGAL RIGHTS

Aspirations of profession, **4:8**

PUFFERY

Content regulation, **6:15**

QUALIFICATIONS

Content regulation, **6:33**

RADIO

Limitations and restrictions

special restrictions on radio and television advertising, **7:21**

RATES

Content regulation, **6:30**

RECORDS AND RECORDING

Bar approval of filed advertisements, **7:28**

Complaints, where to file, **7:14**

Copies, retention of by attorney, **7:2**

State bar authorities, filing with, **7:3**

REFERENCES

Content regulation, **6:26**

REFERRAL SERVICE

On-line referral services, **7:24**

Rules regulating, **7:6**

REGISTRATION

Peel v. Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of Illinois, **3:7**

INDEX

RELIEF

Pre-enforcement, federal court, **6:47**

RESPONSES

Web site hyperlinks, **7:26**

RHODE ISLAND

State advertising rules, **8:41**

ROUTINE SERVICES

Content regulation, **6:30**

SAFE-HARBOR

Content regulation, **6:40**

SELF-LAUDATORY STATEMENTS

Content regulation, **6:10-6:12**

SELF-REGULATION

Generally, **4:1 et seq.**

Constitutional law, **4:4**

Consumer protection, **4:2**

General consumer protection
advertising laws, relationship to,
4:2

Public trust, **4:3**

SHAPERO v. KENTUCKY BAR ASSOCIATION

Generally, **3:6**

SHORT-TERM TIME LIMITATIONS

Accidents or disasters, barring
solicitations arising from, **7:11**

SIMULATIONS

Content regulation, **6:16, 6:17, 6:21**

SOCIAL MEDIA ADVERTISING

Generally, **7:22**

SOUTH CAROLINA

State advertising rules, **8:42**

SOUTH DAKOTA

State advertising rules, **8:43**

SPECIALIZATION

Content regulation, **6:34-6:36**

SPECIAL SETTINGS

First amendment, **2:10**

STATE ADVERTISING RULES

Generally, **8:1 et seq.**

Alabama, **8:2**

Alaska, **8:3**

American Bar Association model
rules, generally, **8:1**

Arizona, **8:4**

Arkansas, **8:5**

California, **8:6**

Colorado, **8:7**

Connecticut, **8:8**

Delaware, **8:9**

District of Columbia, **8:10**

Florida, **8:11**

Georgia, **8:12**

Hawaii, **8:13**

Idaho, **8:14**

Illinois, **8:15**

Indiana, **8:16**

Iowa, **8:17**

Kansas, **8:18**

Kentucky, **8:19**

Louisiana, **8:20**

Maine, **8:21**

Maryland, **8:22**

Massachusetts, **8:23**

Michigan, **8:24**

Minnesota, **8:25**

Mississippi, **8:26**

Missouri, **8:27**

Montana, **8:28**

Nebraska, **8:29**

Nevada, **8:30**

New Hampshire, **8:31**

New Jersey, **8:32**

New Mexico, **8:33**

New York, **8:34**

North Carolina, **8:35**

North Dakota, **8:36**

Ohio, **8:37**

Oklahoma, **8:38**

Oregon, **8:39**

Pennsylvania, **8:40**

Rhode Island, **8:41**

South Carolina, **8:42**

STATE ADVERTISING RULES

—Cont'd

South Dakota, **8:43**

Tennessee, **8:44**

Texas, **8:45**

Utah, **8:46**

Vermont, **8:47**

Virginia, **8:48**

Washington, **8:49**

West Virginia, **8:50**

Wisconsin, **8:51**

Wyoming, **8:52**

STATE BAR AUTHORITIES

Filing with, **7:3**

SUBSTANTIAL GOVERNMENT INTERESTS

First amendment, **2:21**

SUCCESSES

Content regulation, **6:26**

SUPREME COURT

Generally, **3:1 et seq.**

Arizona, State Bar of, Bates v., **3:1**

Bar associations, see lines throughout this index topic

Bates v. State Bar of Arizona, **3:1**

Content regulation, **6:3**

Edenfeld v. Fane, **3:8**

Florida Bar v. Went for It, Inc., **3:10**

Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation, Board of Accountancy, Ibanez v., **3:9**

Ibanez v. Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation, Board of Accountancy, **3:9**

Illinois, Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of, Peel v., **3:7**

In re Primus, **3:3**

In re R.M.J., **3:4**

Kentucky Bar Association, Shapero v., **3:6**

SUPREME COURT—Cont'd

Ohio, Office of Disciplinary Council of the Supreme Court of, Zauderer v., **3:5**

Ohio State Bar Association, Ohralik v., **3:2**

Ohralik v. Ohio State Bar Association, **3:2**

Peel v. Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of Illinois, **3:7**

Shapero v. Kentucky Bar Association, **3:6**

Went for It, Inc., Florida Bar v., **3:10**

Zauderer v. Office of Disciplinary Council of the Supreme Court of Ohio, **3:5**

TAILORING

First amendment, **2:22, 2:24**

TARGET AUDIENCE

Aspirations of profession, **4:11**

TARGETED MAIL SOLICITATIONS

Generally, **7:16**

TELEVISION

Limitations and restrictions, **7:21**

TENNESSEE

State advertising rules, **8:44**

TESTIMONIALS

Content regulation, **6:23-6:25**

TEXAS

State advertising rules, **8:45**

THIRD PERSONS

Disclosure, **7:19**

Limitations and restrictions, **7:4**

TIME

Short-term time limitations barring solicitations arising from accidents or disasters, **7:11**

TRADE NAMES

Content regulation, **6:22.50, 6:37**

INDEX

UNDIGNIFIED ADVERTISING AND MARKETING

Content regulation, **6:13, 6:14**

UNJUSTIFIED EXPECTATIONS

Content regulation, **6:9**

UTAH

State advertising rules, **8:46**

VERMONT

State advertising rules, **8:47**

VIRGINIA

State advertising rules, **8:48**

VISUAL DEVICES

Content regulation, **6:22**

VULNERABILITY OF CLIENT

Contact with prospective clients, **7:9**

WASHINGTON

State advertising rules, **8:49**

WEB SITE

Hyperlinks, responses, **7:26**

WENT FOR IT

Florida Bar v. Went for It, Inc., **3:10**

WEST VIRGINIA

State advertising rules, **8:50**

WISCONSIN

State advertising rules, **8:51**

WYOMING

State advertising rules, **8:52**

ZAUDERER v. OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNCIL OF THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Generally, **3:5**