

# Index

## **ABSENCE OR PRESENCE**

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, absence of comments, § 3:10
- Review of final rules, absence of congressional direction, § 19:18

## **ABUSE OF DISCRETION**

- Definitions or distinctions, § 16:13

## **ACCESS**

- Analysis and impact requirements, access to underlying data, § 7:37

## **ACTUAL NOTICE**

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, actual notice exceptions from rulemaking, § 3:52

## **ADDITIONAL MATTERS**

- Analysis and Impact Requirements** (this index)

## **ADEQUACY OR INADEQUACY**

- Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, inadequate response to comments, Test 8, § 16:11
- Development of rule, adequacy of notice regarding issues, § 5:8

## **ADJUDICATION**

- Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, § 18:19
- Definitions or distinctions, § 2:13
- Preambles and history of rules, impact of rules on adjudicated case precedents, § 10:5
- Rulemaking contrasted to. **Adjudication, Rulemaking Contrasted to** (this index)

## **ADJUDICATION, RULEMAKING CONTRASTED TO**

- Generally, § 22:1 et seq.

## **ADJUDICATION, RULEMAKING CONTRASTED TO—Cont'd**

- Agency avoidance of rulemaking, judicial review of, § 22:2
- Agency leaders' incentives to choose, § 22:14
- Avoidance of rulemaking, judicial review of agency, § 22:2
- Benefits of rulemaking, § 22:16
- Burdens of using adjudication, § 22:11
- Changes
  - courts require statements regarding changes, § 22:15
  - interpretations, changes of, § 22:4
- Choice
  - adjudication chosen over rules, § 22:1
  - agency leaders' incentives to choose, § 22:14
  - consequences of choices, § 22:10
- Consequences of choices, § 22:10
- Courts require statements regarding changes, § 22:15
- Discovery advantage in adjudication, § 22:12
- Due process, § 22:17
- Efficiency rationales, § 22:6
- Evidence, substantial evidence standard, § 22:13
- Future issues for adjudication and rulemaking, § 22:18
- Incentives to choose, agency leaders', § 22:14
- Influencers, adjudication insulates against, § 22:8
- Insulation, adjudication insulates against influencers, § 22:8
- Interpretations, changes of, § 22:4
- Judicial review of agency avoidance of rulemaking, § 22:2

**ADJUDICATION, RULEMAKING**

**CONTRASTED TO—Cont'd**

- Limits on standing, effects of, § 22:19
- Other case developments, § 22:20
- Possible trends, § 22:9
- Prosecutorial discretion guidance not final agency rule, § 22:21
- Rationale, efficiency, § 22:6
- Reasons for choices, § 22:5
- Standards, substantial evidence standard, § 22:13
- Standing, effects of limits on, § 22:19
- Stare decisis certainty, loss of, § 22:7
- Statements regarding changes, courts require, § 22:15
- Substantial evidence standard, § 22:13
- Supreme court landmark cases, § 22:3
- Trends, possibility of, § 22:9

**ADMINISTRATION**

- Policy changes after elections, § 19:22

**ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD**

- Definitions or distinctions, § 2:10

**ADOPTION OF RULE**

- Delay in, § 14:3

**ADVANCEMENTS**

- Development of rule, advanced notice of proposed rulemaking, § 5:6

**ADVICE LETTERS**

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, advice letters of agency, § 3:60

**ADVISORY COMMITTEES**

- Development of rule, § 5:10

**ADVISORY OPINION**

- Definitions or distinctions, § 2:11

**AGENCY**

- Adjudication, Rulemaking Contrasted To (this index)

**AGENCY—Cont'd**

- Analysis and impact requirements, review of agency inaction on environmental issues, § 7:41
- Appeal and review, agency assertion of nonreviewability, § 15:8
- Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures** (this index)
- Deference to Agency Choices in Rulemaking** (this index)
- Handling of rulemaking comments. **Agency Handling of Rulemaking Comments** (this index)
- Incentives of agency leaders to choose, § 22:14
- Initiation of rule, agency staff development of rules, § 4:3
- Judicial review of agency avoidance of rulemaking, § 22:2
- Making, Assembling, and Responding to Comments** (this index)
- Political influence on final rules, agency management, § 11:1
- Preambles and history of rules, effect on prior agency rules and guidelines, § 10:4
- Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

**AGENCY HANDLING OF RULEMAKING COMMENTS**

- Generally, § 8:1
- Alternative proposals, impact of comments making, § 8:3
- Analysis under small business impact legislation (SBREFA), § 8:6
- Building administrative record, § 8:9
- Cross-examination, formal rulemaking hearings and, § 8:13
- Duty to respond to comments in preambles, § 8:5
- Evidence
  - formal rulemaking hearings and, § 8:12
  - official notice of evidence, § 8:14
- Failure to make comments on issues, effects of, § 8:11

## INDEX

### AGENCY HANDLING OF RULEMAKING COMMENTS —Cont'd

Files and filing. Records, *infra*  
Formal rulemaking hearings  
    cross-examination, § 8:13  
    evidence, § 8:12  
Hearings. Formal rulemaking hear-  
ings, *supra*  
Hidden influences upon rule, paper  
trails of, § 8:8  
Influence, paper trails of hidden  
influences upon rule, § 8:8  
Internet, comment on rules received  
via, § 8:18  
Late-filed comments, effect of, § 8:10  
Legal objections, impact of, § 8:2  
Meetings during comment periods,  
§ 8:4  
Notice of evidence, official, § 8:14  
Objections, impact of legal objec-  
tions, § 8:2  
Official notice of evidence, § 8:14  
Other government entities, comments  
by, § 8:7  
Paper trails of hidden influences upon  
rule, § 8:8  
Preambles, duty to respond to com-  
ments in, § 8:5  
Processing comments, § 8:1  
Records  
    building administrative record,  
    § 8:9  
    late-filed comments, effect of,  
    § 8:10  
    reopening, § 8:16  
Reopening the record, § 8:16  
Responding to comments in  
preambles, duty as to, § 8:5  
SBREFA (analysis under small busi-  
ness impact legislation), § 8:6  
Secret data used in rulemaking,  
§ 8:15

### AGENDA

Initiation of rule, regulatory agendas,  
§ 4:6

### ALTERNATES OR ALTERNATIVES

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, impact of comments  
making alternative proposals,  
§ 8:3  
Analysis and impact requirements,  
consideration of alternatives,  
§ 7:40  
Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
alternatives considered, Test 7,  
§ 16:10  
Making, assembling, and responding  
to comments, looking for win/  
win alternative, § 6:4  
Negotiated rules, § 9:1

### ANALYSIS

Impact requirements. **Analysis and  
Impact Requirements** (this  
index)  
RFA weakness, analysis and impact  
requirements, § 7:16  
SBREFA, analysis under small busi-  
ness impact legislation, § 8:6

### ANALYSIS AND IMPACT REQUIREMENTS

Generally, § 7:1 *et seq.*  
Access to underlying data, § 7:37  
Additional matters  
    choices, § 7:14  
    impact analysis requirements,  
    § 7:6  
Agency inaction on environmental  
issues, review of, § 7:41  
Alternatives, consideration of, § 7:40  
Assessment stage, environmental,  
§ 7:26  
Categorical exclusions, § 7:22  
Consideration of alternatives, § 7:40  
Context of rulemaking proceeding,  
§ 7:23  
Contrasts to economic analysis,  
§ 7:35  
Council on environmental quality,  
role of, § 7:38  
Coverage definitions, § 7:21

## ANALYSIS AND IMPACT

### REQUIREMENTS—Cont'd

Data, access to underlying, § 7:37  
 Definitions, coverage definitions, § 7:21  
 Economic analysis, contrasts to, § 7:35  
 Endangered species evaluation of rules, § 7:42  
 Environmental impact analysis, § 7:20 to 7:42  
 Environmental impact statement, § 7:27  
 Exceptions or exclusions  
     generally, § 7:19  
     categorical exclusions, § 7:22  
     security exception, § 7:34  
 Executive orders  
     generally, § 7:2  
     litigation over executive order analysis, § 7:5  
 Experience in litigation, § 7:17  
 Federal agency required actions, § 7:10  
 Finding of no significant impact (FONSI), § 7:29  
 Flexibility, Regulatory Flexibility Act, § 7:8 to 8 to 19  
 FONSI (finding of no significant impact), § 7:29  
 History, § 7:18  
 Impact analysis, environmental, § 7:20  
 Impact statement, environmental, § 7:27  
 Inaction of agency on environmental issues, review of, § 7:41  
 Litigation  
     executive order analysis, litigation over, § 7:5  
     experience, § 7:17  
     NEPA litigation, § 7:31  
     procedural issues in, § 7:32  
 Mechanisms of agency, § 7:24  
 Methods, generally, § 7:1  
 NEPA  
     litigation, § 7:31

## ANALYSIS AND IMPACT

### REQUIREMENTS—Cont'd

NEPA—Cont'd  
     standing to assert NEPA rights, § 7:33  
     statutory scheme of NEPA, § 7:20  
     three stages of NEPA analysis, § 7:25  
 OIRA, § 7:15  
 OMB oversight of impacts, § 7:4  
 Operations, Regulatory Flexibility Act, § 7:12  
 Orders. Executive orders, supra  
 Outcomes, § 7:9  
 Override of agency expertise by political managers, § 7:3  
 Oversight, OMB oversight of impacts, § 7:4  
 Paperwork Reduction Act, § 7:7  
 Perceptions, § 7:30  
 Political managers, override of agency expertise by, § 7:3  
 Predicting impacts, rule of reason in, § 7:39  
 Procedure  
     generally, § 7:11  
     litigation, procedural issues in, § 7:32  
 Quality of environment, role of council on, § 7:38  
 Reduction, Paperwork Reduction Act, § 7:7  
**Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)**  
     (this index)  
 Review of agency inaction on environmental issues, § 7:41  
 Role of council on environmental quality, § 7:38  
 Rule of reason in predicting impacts, § 7:39  
 SBA, § 7:15  
 Security exception, § 7:34  
 Small entities, § 7:13  
 Standing to assert NEPA rights, § 7:33  
 State actions, § 7:36

## INDEX

### ANALYSIS AND IMPACT

#### REQUIREMENTS—Cont'd

- Statements
  - environmental impact statement, § 7:27
  - supplementing statements, § 7:28
- Statutory scheme of NEPA, § 7:20
- Supplementing statements, § 7:28
- Three stages of NEPA analysis, § 7:25
- Underlying data, access to, § 7:37
- Weakness of RFA, § 7:16

### ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, announcements receiving deference, § 18:3

### APPEAL AND REVIEW

- Absence of congressional direction, court review of final rules, § 19:18
- Administrations, change of, § 19:22
- Agency assertion of nonreviewability, § 15:8
- Analysis and impact requirements, review of agency inaction on environmental issues, § 7:41
- Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, contrasting to substantial evidence review, § 16:15
- Arguments on judicial review, § 19:19
- Arlington decision, importance of, § 15:99
- Attorney fee payments, § 19:21
- Aversion, judicial aversion to constitutional bases for review, § 19:4
- Avoidance of rulemaking
  - agency, judicial review of avoidance by, § 22:2
  - procedures, § 3:13
- Change of administrations, § 19:22
- Changes in policy, court review of final rules, § 19:17
- Complete or completion, substantial evidence support, § 17:5

### APPEAL AND REVIEW—Cont'd

- Congressional direction, absence of, § 19:18
- Constitutional issues
  - application of constitutional norms, court review of final rules, § 19:6
  - due process issues, § 19:3
  - equal protection arguments, § 19:9
  - final rules, court review of, generally, § 19:2 to 19:14
  - history of constitutional differentiation, court review of final rules, § 19:5
  - judicial aversion, court review of final rules, § 19:4
  - vagueness, court review of final rules, § 19:8
- Deference on policy choices, court review of final rules, § 19:20
- Defining the standard, substantial evidence support, § 17:4
- De novo review, court review of final rules, § 13:5
- Development of rule, internal review of proposed rule texts, § 5:5
- Disappearance of formal rulemaking, substantial evidence support, § 17:6
- Discretionary review, procedural defenses against rulemaking litigation, § 15:68
- Due process, court review of final rules, § 19:3
- Equal protection arguments, court review of final rules, § 19:9
- Excess delegation claims, court review of final rules, § 19:7
- Exhaustion, nonreviewability and, § 15:9
- Final rules, court review of
  - absence of congressional direction, § 19:18
  - arguments on judicial review, § 19:19
  - aversion, judicial aversion to constitutional bases for review, § 19:4

**APPEAL AND REVIEW—Cont'd**

Final rules, court review of—Cont'd  
 changes in policy, § 19:17  
 congressional direction, absence of, § 19:18  
 constitutional issues, supra  
 deference on policy choices, § 19:20  
 de novo review, § 13:5  
 due process issues, § 19:3  
 equal protection arguments, § 19:9  
 excess delegation claims, § 19:7  
 injunction, review by, § 13:2  
 jurisdiction for review of rules, § 13:4  
 Morgan principle, application of, § 19:16  
 other bases for, generally, § 19:1 et seq.  
 policy issues, § 19:15 to 19:20  
 preemption conflicts, § 19:12  
 pre-enforcement review of rules under APA, § 13:1  
 procedural violations, § 19:1  
 property rights claims, § 19:11  
 records for review, § 13:3  
 reluctance, judicial, § 19:15  
 retroactivity of rules, § 19:13  
 separation of powers conflicts, § 19:10  
 unconstitutional vagueness, § 19:8  
 vacating invalidated final rules, § 13:6  
 vagueness, unconstitutional, § 19:8  
 Formal rulemaking disappearance, substantial evidence support, § 17:6  
 Harmless error in review, § 13:9  
 Injunction, review by, § 13:2  
 Jurisdiction, court review of final rules, § 13:4  
 Making, assembling, and responding to comments, recognizing imbalance of judicial review power, § 6:5

**APPEAL AND REVIEW—Cont'd**

Merger of standards not complete, substantial evidence support, § 17:5  
 Morgan principle, court review of final rules, § 19:16  
 Nonreviewable matters  
 generally, § 15:1 to 15:13  
 agency assertion of nonreviewability, § 15:8  
 exhaustion, nonreviewability and, § 15:9  
 Presidential action is nonreviewable, § 15:4  
 procedural defenses against rulemaking litigation, generally, § 15:1  
 rarity of nonreviewability, § 15:3  
 some generally nonreviewable rules are reviewable, § 15:6  
 Policy issues, court review of final rules, § 19:15 to 19:20  
 Preambles and history of rules, utility of preamble on judicial review, § 10:3  
 Preemption conflicts, court review of final rules, § 19:12  
 Pre-enforcement review of rules under APA, § 13:1  
 Presidential action is nonreviewable, § 15:4  
 Presumption favoring reviewability, § 15:5  
 Procedural defenses against rulemaking litigation  
 Arlington decision, importance of, § 15:99  
 discretionary review, § 15:68  
 nonreviewable matters, supra  
 Presidential action is nonreviewable, § 15:4  
 presumption favoring reviewability, § 15:5  
 proposed actions, no review of, § 15:17  
 rarity of nonreviewability, § 15:3  
 ripeness for review, § 15:16

## INDEX

### APPEAL AND REVIEW—Cont'd

- Procedural defenses against rulemaking litigation—Cont'd
  - rules subject to, § 15:20
  - some generally nonreviewable rules are reviewable, § 15:6
  - timeliness of review requests, § 15:14
- Procedural violations, court review of final rules, § 19:1
- Property rights claims, court review of final rules, § 19:11
- Proposed actions, no review of, § 15:17
- Rarity of nonreviewability, § 15:3
- Rationale, reviewing rules for substantial evidence support, § 17:2
- Records, court review of final rules, § 13:3
- Reluctance, judicial, § 19:15
- Remand decisions in district courts, § 13:8
- Remedies, reviewing rules for substantial evidence support, § 17:7
- Retroactivity of rules, court review of final rules, § 19:13
- Ripeness for review, § 15:16 to 15:34
- Separation of powers conflicts, court review of final rules, § 19:10
- Some generally nonreviewable rules are reviewable, § 15:6
- Standards
  - avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:55
  - defining the standard, § 17:4
  - merger of standards not complete, § 17:5
  - substantial evidence support, reviewing rules for, generally, § 17:1
- Statutory or nonstatutory review, § 13:7
- Substantial evidence
  - generally, § 17:1 et seq.

### APPEAL AND REVIEW—Cont'd

- Substantial evidence—Cont'd
  - arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, § 16:15
  - complete or completion, merger of standards not complete, § 17:5
  - defining the standard, § 17:4
  - disappearance of formal rulemaking, § 17:6
  - formal rulemaking, disappearance of, § 17:6
  - merger of standards not complete, § 17:5
  - rationale, § 17:2
  - remedies, § 17:7
  - standards, supra
- Timeliness of review requests, § 15:14
- Unconstitutional vagueness, court review of final rules, § 19:8
- Vacating invalidated final rules, § 13:6
- Vagueness, unconstitutional, § 19:8

### APPEARANCE

- Appeal and review, disappearance of formal rulemaking, § 17:6

### APPLICATION

- Appeal and Review** (this index)
- Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, retroactive application, § 16:23
- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, application of exception to cases, § 3:17
- Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

### APPROVAL

- Consent or Approval** (this index)

### ARBITRARY OR VULNERABLE TO REVERSAL

- Abuse of discretion term, § 16:13
- Alternatives considered, Test 7, § 16:10
- Benefits factors, § 16:18



**ARBITRARY OR VULNERABLE  
TO REVERSAL—Cont’d**

Cost factors, § 16:18  
 Courts  
     substantial evidence review,  
         contrasting to, § 16:15  
     substitution of judgment, § 16:14  
 Definitions, abuse of discretion term,  
     § 16:13  
 Discovery, explanatory statements  
     and, § 16:19  
 Evidence, contrasting to substantial  
     evidence review, § 16:15  
 Evolution in case law, § 16:3  
 Exclusion, § 16:21  
 Experts, disagreement of, § 16:22  
 Explanations  
     statements, § 16:19  
     Test 3, § 16:6  
 Factors, Test 1, § 16:4  
 Factual bases, Test 4, § 16:7  
 Forecasting, predictive models and  
     forecasts, § 16:20  
 History in case law, § 16:3  
 Ignoring important aspect, Test 5,  
     § 16:8  
 Importance of articulating agency  
     rationales, § 16:26  
 Important aspect ignored, Test 5,  
     § 16:8  
 Inadequate response to comments,  
     Test 8, § 16:11  
 Inclusion, § 16:21  
 Inconsistency, Test 6, § 16:9  
 Models or samples, predictive models  
     and forecasts, § 16:20  
 Modification of rules, § 16:17  
 New legislation, rules impacted by,  
     § 16:24  
 “Otherwise not in accordance with  
     law,” § 16:25  
 Overview, § 16:1  
 Predictive models and forecasts,  
     § 16:20  
 Presumptions of validity, § 16:16  
 Rational connection of rule to  
     problem, Test 9, § 16:12

**ARBITRARY OR VULNERABLE  
TO REVERSAL—Cont’d**

Relationship to statute, Test 2, § 16:5  
 Response to comments as inadequate,  
     Test 8, § 16:11  
 Retroactive application, § 16:23  
 Review, contrasting to substantial  
     evidence review, § 16:15  
 Scope factors, § 16:21  
 Sources, Test 1, § 16:4  
 Standards, supreme court’s State  
     Farm standard, § 16:2  
 State Farm standard, § 16:2  
 Statements, explanatory statements  
     and discovery, § 16:19  
 Statutes, Test 2, § 16:5  
 Substantial evidence review, contrast-  
     ing to, § 16:15  
 Substitution of judgment, § 16:14  
 Supreme court, State Farm standard,  
     § 16:2  
 Tests  
     alternatives considered, Test 7,  
         § 16:10  
     explanations, Test 3, § 16:6  
     factors, Test 1, § 16:4  
     factual bases, Test 4, § 16:7  
     ignoring important aspect, Test 5,  
         § 16:8  
     important aspect ignored, Test 5,  
         § 16:8  
     inadequate response to comments,  
         Test 8, § 16:11  
     inconsistency, Test 6, § 16:9  
     rational connection of rule to  
         problem, Test 9, § 16:12  
     relationship to statute, Test 2,  
         § 16:5  
     response to comments as inade-  
         quate, Test 8, § 16:11  
     sources, Test 1, § 16:4  
 Validity, presumptions of, § 16:16  
 Withdrawal of rules, § 16:17

**ARGUMENTS**

**Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures**  
 (this index)



## INDEX

### **ARGUMENTS—Cont'd**

- Judicial review, arguments on,  
§ 19:19
- Making, assembling, and responding  
to comments, effectiveness in  
comments, § 6:9, 6:10

### **ARLINGTON DECISION**

- Appeal and review, § 15:99
- Procedural defenses against rulemak-  
ing litigation, § 15:99

### **ASSEMBLY OF**

- Comments. **Making, Assembling,  
and Responding to Comments**  
(this index)
- Development of rule, assembling  
proposed rule, § 5:1

### **ASSERTIONS**

- Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

### **ASSESSMENT**

- Analysis and impact requirements,  
environmental assessment stage,  
§ 7:26

### **ATTITUDE**

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
attitude of agency, § 3:3

### **ATTORNEY FEE PAYMENTS**

- Appeal and review, § 19:21

### **AVERSION**

- Constitutional bases for review,  
judicial aversion to, § 19:4

### **AVOIDANCE OR AVOIDABILITY**

- Appeal and Review** (this index)
- Non-agency exemption, § 3:62
- Procedures. **Avoiding Rulemaking  
Procedures** (this index)

### **AVOIDING RULEMAKING PROCEDURES**

- Absence of comments, § 3:10
- Actual notice exceptions from  
rulemaking, § 3:52

### **AVOIDING RULEMAKING**

#### **PROCEDURES—Cont'd**

- Advice letters of agency, § 3:60
- Agency
  - arguments against, § 3:15
  - attitudes, § 3:3
- Appeal or review, standard of judicial  
review, § 3:55
- Application of exception to cases,  
§ 3:17
- Arguments
  - agency, argument against, § 3:15
  - commonly heard agency argu-  
ments, § 3:54
- Attitude of agency, § 3:3
- Background of exceptions, § 3:4
- Benefits exception, § 3:23
- Binding nature of rules, § 3:58
- Burden on agency, § 3:11
- Case law drawing distinctions, § 3:61
- Categories, defining of, § 3:25
- Changes to existing rules, clarifica-  
tions and, § 3:36
- Chosen name for “rule,” effect of,  
§ 3:49
- Clarifications and changes to existing  
rules, § 3:36
- Comment, nonenforceability of rules  
adopted without notice and,  
§ 3:48
- Commonly heard agency arguments,  
§ 3:54
- Comparison, interpretive rules  
compared to adjudications,  
§ 3:35
- Construction or interpretation. Inter-  
pretation, *infra*
- Continuum as distinction, not  
dichotomy, § 3:40
- Defenses, harmless error defense,  
§ 3:59
- Deference
  - generally, § 3:28
  - good cause claims, judicial defer-  
ence to, § 3:14
- Definitions or distinctions
  - case law drawing, § 3:61

## AVOIDING RULEMAKING

### PROCEDURES—Cont'd

Definitions or distinctions—Cont'd  
categories, defining, § 3:25  
dichotomy, distinction is continuum not, § 3:40  
interpretation of defined terms, § 3:39  
types of rules distinguished, § 3:29  
Delayed effective date, good cause exception for, § 3:18  
Delegation of power or authority, time when agency has no legislative rulemaking delegation, § 3:33  
Designation. Name or naming, *infra*  
Dichotomy, distinction is continuum, not, § 3:40  
Differentiating interpretive from other rules, § 3:38  
Discretion of agency, effect of, § 3:53  
Documents, guidance documents, § 3:47  
Enforcement, nonenforceability of rules adopted without notice and comment, § 3:48  
Environmental harms, § 3:16  
Exceptions or exemptions  
actual notice exceptions from rulemaking, § 3:52  
applying exception to cases, § 3:17  
background of exceptions, § 3:4  
benefits exception, § 3:23  
delayed effective date, good cause exception for, § 3:18  
experience with exceptions, § 3:5  
foreign affairs exception, § 3:20  
good cause, § 3:7 to 3:18  
internal management exception, § 3:21  
interpretations, § 3:24, 3:27  
introduction to rulemaking exceptions, § 3:1  
military exceptions, § 3:20  
narrowly read exception, § 3:8  
*per se* exemptions, § 3:50

## AVOIDING RULEMAKING

### PROCEDURES—Cont'd

Exceptions or exemptions—Cont'd  
policy statements, exception for, § 3:24  
procedural regulations exception, § 3:22  
proprietary rules exceptions, § 3:19  
Exemptions or exclusions. Exceptions or exemptions, *supra*  
Existing rules or regulations  
clarifications and changes to existing rules, § 3:36  
explanation of existing law, § 3:30  
inconsistency with existing regulations, § 3:31  
Experience with exceptions, § 3:5  
Explanation of existing law, § 3:30  
Foreign affairs exception, § 3:20  
Good cause  
delayed effective date, good cause exception for, § 3:18  
exceptions, § 3:7 to 3:18  
judicial deference to good cause claims, § 3:14  
Guidance  
documents, § 3:47  
states and regulated persons, guidance to, § 3:44  
Harmless error defense, § 3:59  
Important policy reasons, § 3:9  
Inconsistency with existing regulations, § 3:31  
Internal management exception, § 3:21  
Interpretation  
defined terms, § 3:39  
differentiating interpretive from other rules, § 3:38  
exceptions or exemptions, § 3:24, 3:27  
must interpret something, interpretive rules, § 3:26  
role of interpretive rule, § 3:37  
rules, generally, § 3:34, 3:35  
time when cannot be used, § 3:42

## INDEX

### AVOIDING RULEMAKING

#### PROCEDURES—Cont'd

- Introduction to rulemaking exceptions, § 3:1
- Judicial deference to good cause claims, § 3:14
- Judicial review, § 3:13
- Layout of chapter, § 3:6
- Letters, agency advice letters, § 3:60
- Litigation, § 3:41
- Manuals, § 3:45
- Military exceptions, § 3:20
- Mistake or error, harmless error defense, § 3:59
- Must interpret something, interpretive rules, § 3:26
- Name or naming
  - chosen name for “rule,” effect of, § 3:49
  - specific named persons, rules for, § 3:51
- Narrowly read exception, § 3:8
- New duties, interpretive rules creating, § 3:34
- Nonenforceability of rules adopted without notice and comment, § 3:48
- Notice
  - actual notice exceptions from rulemaking, § 3:52
  - nonenforceability of rules adopted without notice and comment, § 3:48
- Operational aspects, § 3:12
- Per se exemptions, § 3:50
- Policy statements
  - generally, § 3:46
  - exception for, § 3:24
- Postal service exclusion, § 3:63
- Precedents, serving as, § 3:32
- Procedural regulations exception, § 3:22
- Proprietary rules exceptions, § 3:19
- Remedies, § 3:56
- Retroactivity, § 3:57
- Role of interpretive rule, § 3:37

### AVOIDING RULEMAKING

#### PROCEDURES—Cont'd

- Specific named persons, rules for, § 3:51
- Standard of judicial review, § 3:55
- States and regulated persons, guidance to, § 3:44
- Statutory rights, § 3:2
- Suspension of rules, § 3:43
- Time or date
  - good cause exception for delayed effective date, § 3:18
  - interpretation, time when cannot be used, § 3:42
- Types of rules distinguished, § 3:29

### BENEFITS

- Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted to, § 22:16
- Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, benefits factors, § 16:18
- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, benefits exception, § 3:23

### BINDING NATURE

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:58

### BUILDING RECORD

- Agency handling of rulemaking comments, building administrative record, § 8:9
- Making, assembling, and responding to comments, § 6:2

### BURDEN OF PROOF

- Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted to, § 22:11
- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, burden on agency, § 3:11
- Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

### CASE LAW

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, case law drawing distinctions, § 3:61
- Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, § 18:13

## CATEGORIES

- Analysis and impact requirements, categorical exclusions, § 7:22
- Defining of categories, § 3:25

## CHANGE OR MODIFICATION

- Adjudication, Rulemaking**
  - Contrasted To** (this index)
- Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, modification of rules, § 16:17
- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, clarifications and changes to existing rules, § 3:36
- Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, changes of position, § 18:8
- Final rules review, changes in policy, § 19:17
- Statements regarding changes, courts require, § 22:15

## CHOICES

- Adjudication, Rulemaking**
  - Contrasted to** (this index)
- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, effect of chosen name for “rule,” § 3:49
- Congress** (this index)
- Deference to Agency Choices in Rulemaking** (this index)

## CLAIRITY OF DRAFTING AGENCY GUIDANCE

- Plain Writing Act, § 5:13

## CLARITY OR CLARIFICATION

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, clarifications and changes to existing rules, § 3:36
- Development of rule, clarity of terms in proposed rule, § 5:2
- Making, assembling, and responding to comments, § 6:6

## COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL

- Procedural defenses against rulemaking litigation, § 15:98

## COMMENTS

- Agency Handling of Rulemaking Comments** (this index)

## COMMENTS—Cont’d

- Assembling comments. **Making, Assembling, and Responding to Comments** (this index)
- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, nonenforceability of rules adopted without notice and comment, § 3:48
- Making, Assembling, and Responding to Comments** (this index)
- Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

## COMMON ARGUMENTS

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, commonly heard agency arguments, § 3:54

## COMPARISON

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, interpretive rules compared to adjudications, § 3:35

## COMPLETE OR COMPLETION

- Appeal and review, substantial evidence support, § 17:5

## CONGRESS

- Appeal and review, absence of congressional direction, § 19:18
- Choices
  - dislike for rule, effect of congressional, § 20:2
  - individual inputs by members of congress, effect of, § 20:4
  - satisfaction of congressional requirements, § 20:1
  - silence, § 20:3
- Deference to agency choices in rulemaking in face of congressional nonaction, § 18:14
- Dislike for rule, effect of congressional, § 20:2
- Final rules, congressional influences on, § 11:4
- Individual inputs by members of congress, effect of, § 20:4
- Initiation of Rule** (this index)

## INDEX

### **CONGRESS—Cont'd**

Satisfaction of congressional requirements, § 20:1

Silence, § 20:3

### **CONSENT OR APPROVAL**

Making, assembling, and responding to comments, understanding internal approval stages of agency, § 6:7

Negotiated rules, consent settlements impacting rules, § 9:3

### **CONSENT SETTLEMENTS**

Negotiated rules, consent settlements impacting rules, § 9:3

### **CONSEQUENCES OF CHOICES**

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted to, § 22:10

### **CONSIDERATION**

**Alternates or Alternatives** (this index)

Analysis and impact requirements, consideration of alternatives, § 7:40

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, alternatives considered, Test 7, § 16:10

**Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

### **CONSISTENCY**

**Inconsistency** (this index)

### **CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**

**Appeal and Review** (this index)

**Due Process** (this index)

Equal protection, court review of final rules, § 19:9

**Preemption of State Actions by Federal Rules** (this index)

Unconstitutional vagueness, final rules review by court, § 19:8

### **CONSTRUCTION OR INTERPRETATION**

Adjudication, changes of interpretations, § 22:4

### **CONSTRUCTION OR**

#### **INTERPRETATION—Cont'd**

**Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures** (this index)

Defined terms, interpretation of, § 3:39

### **CONTENT**

Preamble, content of, § 10:1

### **CONTEXT**

Analysis and impact requirements, context of rulemaking proceeding, § 7:23

### **CONTINUUM**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, continuum as distinction, not dichotomy, § 3:40

### **CONTRASTS**

**Adjudication, Rulemaking Contrasted To** (this index)

Analysis and impact requirements, contrasts to economic analysis, § 7:35

### **COSTS OR EXPENSES**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, cost factors, § 16:18

Making, assembling, and responding to comments, effective arguments in comments concerning costs, § 6:10

### **COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

Analysis and impact requirements, § 7:38

### **COURTS**

Adoption of rule, response to delays in, § 14:3

**Arbitrary or Vulnerable to Reversal** (this index)

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, judicial deference to good cause claims, § 3:14

Changes, courts require statements regarding, § 22:15

## **COURTS—Cont'd**

### **Deference to Agency Choices in Rulemaking** (this index)

Delays in rulemaking, response to adoption of rule, delay in, § 14:3  
litigation issues, § 14:4

process issues, § 14:4

refusal to adopt rules, § 14:2

Final rules, court review of. **Appeal and Review** (this index)

Negotiated rules, court-imposed rulemaking, § 9:2

Process issues, response to delays in rulemaking, § 14:4

Refusal to adopt rules, § 14:2

Response to delays in rulemaking.  
Delays in rulemaking, response to, *supra*

Review. **Appeal and Review** (this index)

## **COVERAGE**

Definitions, § 7:21

## **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

Agency handling of rulemaking comments, formal rulemaking hearings and cross-examination, § 8:13

## **DATA**

Analysis and impact requirements, access to underlying data, § 7:37

Making, assembling, and responding to comments, effective arguments in comments concerning data, § 6:10

## **DEFENSES**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, harmless error defense, § 3:59

**Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

## **DEFERENCE**

Agency choices. **Deference to Agency Choices in Rulemaking** (this index)

## **DEFERENCE—Cont'd**

Appeal and review, deference on policy choices, § 19:20

**Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures** (this index)

## **DEFERENCE TO AGENCY**

### **CHOICES IN RULEMAKING**

Adjudication-based interpretations, § 18:19

Announcements receiving deference, § 18:3

Case law of deference, § 18:13

Changes of position, § 18:8

Congressional nonaction, deference in face of, § 18:14

Denial of deference, § 18:6

Development of modern deference, § 18:2

Elements of deference, § 18:1

Guidances, deference to, § 18:24

Inconsistency, § 18:8

Interaction between two agencies, § 18:17

Interim rules and preserving status quo, § 18:21

Judulang decision, deference issues after, § 18:22

Later Supreme Court deference opinions, § 18:20

Mead decision, effects of 2001, § 18:12

Opinion, questions of policy and, § 18:11

Own rules, interpretations of, § 18:18

Partial deference under Skidmore, § 18:9

Perez decision, § 18:25

Policy questions, § 18:11

Rate setting, § 18:15

Retroactivity, § 18:16

Scientific questions, § 18:10

Skidmore, partial deference under, § 18:9

Social Security acquiescence rulings, § 18:23

Step one deference, § 18:4

## INDEX

### DEFERENCE TO AGENCY

#### CHOICES IN RULEMAKING

##### —Cont'd

- Step two deference, § 18:5
- Supreme Court's 2011 Chevron norms applied in Mayo Foundation, § 18:7
- Technical questions, § 18:10
- Trump deregulation, effect of, § 18:26

### DEFINITIONS OR DISTINCTIONS

- Abuse of discretion term, § 16:13
- Adjudication, § 2:13
- Administrative record, § 2:10
- Advisory opinion, § 2:11
- Analysis and impact requirements, coverage definitions, § 7:21
- Case law drawing, § 3:61
- Categories, defining, § 3:25
- Dichotomy, distinction is continuum not, § 3:40
- Formal rules, § 2:8
- Guidance documents and manuals, § 2:7
- Interpretation of defined terms, § 3:39
- Interpretive rules, § 2:4
- Judicial branch issuance of rules, § 2:14
- Legislative rules, § 2:3
- Negotiated rules, § 2:9
- Petitions, § 2:12
- Policy statements, § 2:6
- Procedural rules, § 2:5
- Rule, § 2:1
- Rulemaking, § 2:2
- Substantial evidence support, defining the standard, § 17:4
- Types of rules distinguished, § 3:29

### DELAYS

- Adoption of rule, delay in, § 14:3
- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, good cause exception for delayed effective date, § 3:18
- Court's response to delays in rulemaking. **Courts** (this index)

### DELAYS—Cont'd

- Litigation issues, § 14:4
- Process issues, § 14:4
- Refusal to adopt rules, § 14:2

### DELEGATION OF POWER OR AUTHORITY

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, time when agency has no legislative rulemaking delegation, § 3:33

### DENIAL

- Refusal or Denial** (this index)

### DE NOVO REVIEW

- Final rules, § 13:5

### DESIGNATION

- Name or Naming** (this index)

### DEVELOPMENT

- Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, development of modern deference, § 18:2
- Rule. **Development of Rule** (this index)

### DEVELOPMENT OF RULE

- Adequacy of notice regarding issues, § 5:8
- Advanced notice of proposed rulemaking, § 5:6
- Advisory committees in rulemaking, § 5:10
- Appeal or review, internal review of proposed rule texts, § 5:5
- Assembling proposed rule, § 5:1
- Clarity of drafting agency guidance under Plain Writing Act, § 5:13
- Clarity of terms in proposed rule, § 5:2
- Formal rulemaking hearings, § 5:11
- Hearings, formal rulemaking hearings, § 5:11
- Hybrid rulemaking model, § 5:12
- Initiation of rule, development of rules by agency staff, § 4:3
- Internal review of proposed rule texts, § 5:5



## **DEVELOPMENT OF RULE**

### **—Cont'd**

Legal effect of rules not adopted,  
§ 5:9

Negotiated rules, § 5:4

Notice

adequacy of notice regarding  
issues, § 5:8

advanced notice of proposed  
rulemaking, § 5:6

Old hybrid rulemaking model, § 5:12

Policy assertions in rulemaking, § 5:3

Preambles for proposed rules, prepa-  
ration of, § 5:7

Preparation of preambles for  
proposed rules, § 5:7

Texts, internal review of proposed  
rule, § 5:5

## **DICHOTOMY**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
distinction is continuum, not  
dichotomy, § 3:40

## **DIFFERENTIATION**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
differentiating interpretive from  
other rules, § 3:38

## **DIRECTIVES**

Initiation of Rule (this index)

## **DISAPPEARANCE**

Appeal and review, disappearance of  
formal rulemaking, § 17:6

## **DISCOVERY**

Adjudication, discovery advantage in,  
§ 22:12

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
explanatory statements and  
discovery, § 16:19

## **DISCRETION**

Appeal and review, procedural  
defenses against rulemaking  
litigation, § 15:68

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
effect of discretion of agency,  
§ 3:53

## **DISCRETION—Cont'd**

Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation (this  
index)

## **DISLIKE**

Congressional dislike for rule, effect  
of, § 20:2

## **DISTINCTIONS**

Definitions or Distinctions (this  
index)

## **DOCUMENTS**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
guidance documents, § 3:47

## **DUE PROCESS**

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, § 22:17

Final rules, court review of, § 19:3

## **DUTY**

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, duty to respond to com-  
ments in preambles, § 8:5

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
interpretive rules creating new  
duties, § 3:34

## **ECONOMIC ANALYSIS**

Contrasts to, § 7:35

## **EFFECTIVENESS**

Making, Assembling, and Respond-  
ing to Comments (this index)

## **EFFICIENCY RATIONALES**

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, § 22:6

## **ELECTIONS**

Policy changes after elections change  
administrations, § 19:22

## **ELECTRONIC GOVERNMENT PROVISIONS**

Initiation of rule, § 4:7

## **ELEMENTS**

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, § 18:1

## INDEX

### ENDANGERED SPECIES

#### EVALUATION OF RULES

Environmental impact analysis,  
§ 7:42

### ENFORCEMENT

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
nonenforceability of rules  
adopted without notice and com-  
ment, § 3:48

Pre-enforcement review of rules  
under APA, § 13:1

### ENVIRONMENT

**Analysis and Impact Requirements**  
(this index)

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
§ 3:16

**Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

Role of council on environmental  
quality, § 7:38

### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

Generally, § 7:20 to 7:42

Endangered species evaluation of  
rules, § 7:42

### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Generally, § 7:27

### EQUAL PROTECTION

Final rules, court review of, § 19:9

### ERRORS

**Mistake or Error** (this index)

### EVIDENCE

**Agency Handling of Rulemaking  
Comments** (this index)

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
contrasting to substantial evi-  
dence review, § 16:15

**Burden of Proof** (this index)

Cross-examination, formal rulemak-  
ing hearings and, § 8:13

**Discovery** (this index)

### EVIDENCE—Cont'd

**Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

**Substantial Evidence** (this index)

### EVOLUTION IN CASE LAW

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
§ 16:3

### EXCEPTIONS OR EXEMPTIONS

**Analysis and Impact Requirements**  
(this index)

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
§ 16:21

**Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures**  
(this index)

Per se exemptions, § 3:50

Security exception, § 7:34

### EXCESS OR EXCESSIVENESS

Final rules review, excess delegation  
claims, § 19:7

### EXCLUSIONS

**Exceptions or Exemptions** (this  
index)

### EXECUTIVE ORDERS

**Analysis and Impact Requirements**  
(this index)

Litigation over executive order analy-  
sis, § 7:5

Preemption of state actions by federal  
rules, executive order coverage,  
§ 21:5

### EXEMPTIONS

**Exceptions or Exemptions** (this  
index)

### EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES

Appeal and review, nonreviewability  
and exhaustion, § 15:9

**Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

### EXISTING RULES OR REGULATIONS

**Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures**  
(this index)

**EXPENSES**

**Costs or Expenses** (this index)

**EXPERIENCE**

Analysis and impact requirements, experience in litigation, § 7:17

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, experience with exceptions, § 3:5

**EXPERTS**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, disagreement of experts, § 16:22

Override of agency expertise by political managers, § 7:3

**EXPLANATION**

**Arbitrary or Vulnerable to Reversal** (this index)

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, explanation of existing law, § 3:30

**EXPRESS PREEMPTION**

Basics, preemption of state actions by federal rules, § 21:2

**FEDERAL AGENCIES**

Analysis and impact requirements, federal agency required actions, § 7:10

**FEDERAL RULES**

**Preemption of State Actions by Federal Rules** (this index)

**FILES AND FILING**

**Records** (this index)

**FINAL OR FINALITY**

**Constitutional Law** (this index)

**Political Influence on Final Rules** (this index)

Rules. **Final Rules** (this index)

**FINAL RULES**

Abandonment of proposed rule, making a rule final and effective, § 12:10

Administrative record, making a rule final and effective, § 12:6

**FINAL RULES—Cont'd**

**Appeal and Review** (this index)

Changes from proposal, effects of, § 12:2

Court review of. **Appeal and Review** (this index)

Effective dates, generally, § 12:4

Enforcement of final rule, § 12:8

Exceptions, § 12:9

Interim final rules, § 12:5

Making rule final and effective, generally, § 12:1 et seq.

**Political Influence on Final Rules** (this index)

Proposals

abandonment of proposed rule, § 12:10

changes, effects of, § 12:2

Retroactive rules, § 12:7

State influence, § 11:5

Time or timing, § 12:1, 12:3

Time or timing, generally, § 12:4

Vacating invalidated final rules, § 13:6

Waivers, § 12:9

White House, political influence on final rules, § 11:2

**FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (FONSI)**

Analysis and impact requirements, § 7:29

**FLEXIBILITY**

**Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)** (this index)

**FONSI (FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT)**

Analysis and impact requirements, § 7:29

**FORECASTING**

Predictive models and forecasts, § 16:20

**FOREIGN AFFAIRS**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, foreign affairs exception, § 3:20

## INDEX

### FORMAL OR FORMALITY

- Agency Handling of Rulemaking Comments** (this index)
- Appeal and review, formal rulemaking disappearance, § 17:6
- Definitions or distinctions, formal rules, § 2:8
- Development of rule, § 5:11
- Hearings
  - Agency Handling of Rulemaking Comments** (this index)
  - development of rule, § 5:11

### FORMAL RULES

- Definitions or distinctions, § 2:8

### FUTURE MATTERS

- Adjudication and rulemaking, future issues for, § 22:18

### GOOD CAUSE

- Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures** (this index)

### GUIDANCE

- Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures** (this index)
- Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, § 18:24
- Documents and manuals, § 2:7

### GUIDELINES

- Preambles and history of rules, effect on prior agency rules and guidelines, § 10:4

### HANDLING OF RULEMAKING COMMENTS

- Agency Handling of Rulemaking Comments** (this index)

### HARDSHIP

- Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

### HARMLESS ERROR

- Appeal and review, § 13:9
- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, harmless error defense, § 3:59

### HARM OR INJURY

- Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

### HEARINGS

- Formal or Formality** (this index)

### HIDDEN INFLUENCES

- Agency handling of rulemaking comments, paper trails of hidden influences upon rule, § 8:8

### HISTORY

- Analysis and impact requirements, § 7:18
- Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, history in case law, § 16:3
- Preambles and History of Rules** (this index)

### HYBRID RULEMAKING MODEL

- Development of rule, § 5:12

### IDEAS

- Initiation of Rule** (this index)

### IGNORING IMPORTANT ASPECT

- Test 5, arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, § 16:8

### IMBALANCE

- Making, assembling, and responding to comments, recognition of imbalance of judicial review power, § 6:5

### IMPACT

- Analysis and Impact Requirements** (this index)
- Preambles and history of rules, impact of rules on adjudicated case precedents, § 10:5
- Regulations. **Analysis and Impact Requirements** (this index)
- Requirements. **Analysis and Impact Requirements** (this index)

### IMPACT STATEMENT

- Analysis and impact requirements, § 7:27

**IMPLIED MATTERS**

Preemption of state actions by federal rules, § 21:3

**IMPORTANCE**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, important policy reasons, § 3:9  
 Preemption of state actions by federal rules, § 21:1  
 Test 5, arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, important aspect ignored, § 16:8

**INADEQUACY**

**Adequacy or Inadequacy** (this index)

**INCENTIVES**

Agency leaders to choose, § 22:14

**INCLUSION**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, § 16:21

**INCONSISTENCY**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, inconsistency with existing regulations, § 3:31  
 Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, § 18:8  
 Test 6, arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, § 16:9

**INFLUENCE**

Adjudication insulates against influencers, rulemaking contrasted to adjudication, § 22:8  
 Agency handling of rulemaking comments, paper trails of hidden influences upon rule, § 8:8  
 Initiation of rule, influences of Congress, § 4:4  
**Political Influence on Final Rules** (this index)

**INITIATION OF RULE**

Agency staff development of rules, § 4:3  
 Agendas, regulatory, § 4:6

**INITIATION OF RULE—Cont'd**

Congress  
 action or inactivity, effect of, § 4:5  
 influences, § 4:4  
 Development of rules by agency staff, § 4:3  
 Electronic government provisions, § 4:7  
 Influences of Congress, § 4:4  
 Petitions seeking adoption of rules, § 4:2  
 Regulatory agendas, § 4:6  
 Sources of rules, § 4:1

**INJUNCTIONS**

Review by, § 13:2

**INJURY OR HARM**

**Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

**INSULATION**

Adjudication insulates against influencers, § 22:8

**INTENT**

**Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

**INTEREST IN SUBJECT MATTER**

**Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

**INTERIM RULES**

Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, § 18:21

**INTERNAL APPROVAL STAGES**

Making, assembling, and responding to comments, understanding internal approval stages of agency, § 6:7

**INTERNAL MANAGEMENT EXCEPTION**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:21

## INDEX

### INTERNAL REVIEW

Proposed rule texts, § 5:5

### INTERNET

Agency handling of rulemaking comments received via, § 8:18

### INTERPRETATION

**Construction or Interpretation** (this index)

### INTERPRETIVE RULES

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:4

### INTRODUCTION TO SUBJECT

Generally, § 1:1

### JUDICIAL BRANCH ISSUANCE OF RULES

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:14

### JUDICIAL MATTERS

**Courts** (this index)

### JUDICIAL NOTICE

Procedural defenses against rulemaking litigation, § 15:101

### JUDICIAL REVIEW

**Appeal and Review** (this index)

### JUDULANG DECISION

Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, § 18:22

### JURISDICTION

Final rules, court review of, § 13:4  
Procedural defenses against rulemaking litigation, § 15:94, 15:96

### LATE-FILED COMMENTS

Agency handling of rulemaking comments, § 8:10

### LAYOUT OF CHAPTER

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:6

### LEGAL ARGUMENTS

Making, assembling, and responding to comments, effectiveness of legal arguments in comments, § 6:9

### LEGAL EFFECT

Development of rule, legal effect of rules not adopted, § 5:9

Preamble, legal effect of, § 10:2

### LEGISLATION

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:3

New legislation, rules impacted by, § 16:24

### LEGISLATIVE RULES

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:3

### LETTERS

Agency advice letters, avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:60

### LIKE OR DISLIKE

Congressional dislike for rule, effect of, § 20:2

### LIMITATIONS

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted to, effect of limits on standing, § 22:19

### LITIGATION

**Analysis and Impact Requirements** (this index)

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:41

Delays, litigation issues, § 14:4

**Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

### LOGICAL OUTGROWTH STANDARD

Making, assembling, and responding to comments, § 6:11

### MAKING, ASSEMBLING, AND RESPONDING TO COMMENTS

Generally, § 6:1

Alternates or alternatives, looking for win/win alternative, § 6:4

Appeal or review, recognizing imbalance of judicial review power, § 6:5

**MAKING, ASSEMBLING, AND  
RESPONDING TO  
COMMENTS—Cont'd**

- Arguments, effectiveness in comments, § 6:9, 6:10
- Building the record, § 6:2
- Clearly written comments, § 6:6
- Consent or approval, understanding internal approval stages of agency, § 6:7
- Costs, effective arguments in comments concerning, § 6:10
- Data, effective arguments in comments concerning, § 6:10
- Effectiveness, generally, § 6:1
- Imbalance of judicial review power, recognition of, § 6:5
- Internal approval stages of agency, understanding, § 6:7
- Judicial review power, recognition of imbalance of, § 6:5
- Legal arguments, effectiveness in comments, § 6:9
- Logical outgrowth standard, § 6:11
- Needs of agency, understanding, § 6:3
- Precision in comments, volume versus, § 6:8
- Recognizing imbalance of judicial review power, § 6:5
- Records, building the record, § 6:2
- Stages, understanding internal approval stages of agency, § 6:7
- Understanding
  - internal approval stages of agency, § 6:7
  - needs of agency, § 6:3
- Volume versus precision in comments, § 6:8
- Win/win alternative, looking for, § 6:4
- Writing comments clearly, § 6:6

**MANAGEMENT**

- Political influence on final rules, management by agency, § 11:1

**MANUALS**

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:45

**MEAD DECISION**

- Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, § 18:12

**MECHANISMS OF AGENCY**

- Analysis and impact requirements, § 7:24

**MEETINGS**

- Agency handling of rulemaking comments, meetings during comment periods, § 8:4

**MERGER OF STANDARDS NOT  
COMPLETE**

- Appeal and review, substantial evidence support, § 17:5

**METHODS**

- Analysis and Impact Requirements**  
(this index)

**MILITARY MATTERS**

- Avoiding rulemaking procedures, military exceptions, § 3:20

**MISTAKE OR ERROR**

- Harmless error defense, § 3:59
- Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

**MODELS OR SAMPLES**

- Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, predictive models and forecasts, § 16:20
- Development of rule, old hybrid rulemaking model, § 5:12

**MODIFICATION**

- Change or Modification** (this index)

**MOOTNESS**

- Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)



## INDEX

### **MORGAN PRINCIPLE**

Final rules, court review of, § 19:16

### **MUST INTERPRET SOMETHING**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
§ 3:26

### **NAME OR NAMING**

**Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures**  
(this index)

Chosen name for “rule,” effect of,  
§ 3:49

Procedural defenses against rulemak-  
ing litigation, statutory designa-  
tion of parties with standing,  
§ 15:40

### **NARROWLY READ EXCEPTION**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
§ 3:8

### **NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (NEPA)**

**Analysis and Impact Requirements**  
(this index)

### **NEEDS**

Making, assembling, and responding  
to comments, understanding  
needs of agency, § 6:3

### **NEGOTIATED RULES**

Alternatives, § 9:1

Consent settlements impacting rules,  
§ 9:3

Court-imposed rulemaking, § 9:2

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:9

Development of rule, § 5:4

### **NEPA (NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY)**

**Analysis and Impact Requirements**  
(this index)

### **NEW LEGISLATION**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
rules impacted by new legisla-  
tion, § 16:24

### **NON-AGENCY EXEMPTION**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
§ 3:62

### **NONENFORCEABILITY**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
nonenforceability of rules  
adopted without notice and com-  
ment, § 3:48

### **NONREVIEWABLE MATTERS**

**Appeal and Review** (this index)

### **NOTICE**

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, official notice of evi-  
dence, § 8:14

**Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures**  
(this index)

**Development of Rule** (this index)

**Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

### **OBJECTIONS**

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, impact of legal objec-  
tions, § 8:2

### **OFFICE OF INFORMATION AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS (OIRA)**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
§ 7:15

### **OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET (OMB)**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
OMB oversight of impacts,  
§ 7:4

Impacts, OMB oversight of, § 7:4

Political influence on final rules,  
OMB oversight, § 11:3

### **OFFICIAL NOTICE**

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, official notice of evi-  
dence, § 8:14

### **OIRA**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
§ 7:15

**OLD HYBRID RULEMAKING  
MODEL**

Development of rule, § 5:12

**OMB**

**Office of Management and Budget  
(OMB)** (this index)

**OPERATIONAL ASPECTS**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
§ 3:12

**OPERATIONS**

Regulatory Flexibility Act, analysis  
and impact requirements, § 7:12

**OPINIONS**

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, questions of policy  
and opinion, § 18:11

**ORDERS**

**Executive Orders** (this index)

**OTHER GOVERNMENT  
ENTITIES**

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, § 8:7

**“OTHERWISE NOT IN  
ACCORDANCE WITH LAW”**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
§ 16:25

**OUTCOMES**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
§ 7:9

**OVERSIGHT**

**Office of Management and Budget  
(OMB)** (this index)

**OVERVIEW**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
§ 16:1

**Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

**PAPER TRAILS**

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, paper trails of hidden

**PAPER TRAILS—Cont’d**

influences upon rule, § 8:8

**PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
§ 7:7

**PARTIES**

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, effects of limits on standing,  
§ 22:19

NEPA rights, standing to assert,  
§ 7:33

**Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

**PART OR PARTIAL**

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, partial deference  
under Skidmore, § 18:9

**PERCEPTIONS**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
§ 7:30

**PEREZ DECISION**

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, § 18:25

**PER SE EXEMPTIONS**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
§ 3:50

**PETITIONS**

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:12  
Initiation of rule, petitions seeking  
adoption of rules, § 4:2

**Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

**POLICY**

Appeal and review, policy issues,  
§ 19:15 to 19:20

**Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures**  
(this index)

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, policy questions,  
§ 18:11

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:6

## INDEX

### **POLICY—Cont'd**

Development of rule, policy assertions in rulemaking, § 5:3

**Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

Statements

**Avoiding Rulemaking**

**Procedures** (this index)

definitions or distinctions, § 2:6

### **POLITICAL INFLUENCE ON FINAL RULES**

Agency management, § 11:1

Congressional influences, § 11:4

Management by agency, § 11:1

OMB oversight, § 11:3

Override of agency expertise by political managers, § 7:3

Oversight, OMB oversight, § 11:3

State influence, § 11:5

White House influence, § 11:2

### **POSSIBLE TRENDS**

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted to, § 22:9

### **POSTAL SERVICE EXCLUSION**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:63

### **PREAMBLES AND HISTORY OF RULES**

Adjudicated case precedents, impact of rules on, § 10:5

Agency handling of rulemaking comments, duty to respond to comments in preambles, § 8:5

Agency rules and guidelines, effect on prior, § 10:4

Content of preamble, § 10:1

Development of rule, preparation of preambles for proposed rules, § 5:7

Guidelines, effect on prior agency rules and, § 10:4

Impact of rules on adjudicated case precedents, § 10:5

### **PREAMBLES AND HISTORY OF RULES—Cont'd**

Judicial review, utility of preamble on, § 10:3

Legal effect of preamble, § 10:2

Precedents, impact of rules on adjudicated case precedents, § 10:5

Prior agency rules and guidelines, effect on, § 10:4

Utility of preamble on judicial review, § 10:3

### **PRECEDENTS**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, serving as precedents, § 3:32

Preambles and history of rules, impact of rules on adjudicated case precedents, § 10:5

### **PRECISION**

Making, assembling, and responding to comments, volume versus precision in comments, § 6:8

### **PREDICTIONS**

Analysis and impact requirements, rule of reason in predicting impacts, § 7:39

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, predictive models and forecasts, § 16:20

### **PREEMPTION OF STATE ACTIONS BY FEDERAL RULES**

Generally, § 21:1 *et seq.*

Executive order coverage, § 21:5

Express preemption, basics of, § 21:2

Implied preemption, § 21:3

Importance, § 21:1

Judicial review of final rules, conflicts, § 19:12

Other forms of preemptive effects, § 21:4

Scholarship, § 21:6

### **PREPARATION**

Development of rule, preparation of preambles for proposed rules, § 5:7

**PRESIDENT OF UNITED STATES**

Litigation over executive order analysis, § 7:5

**White House** (this index)

**PRESUMPTIONS**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, presumptions of validity, § 16:16

**PRIOR MATTERS**

Preambles and history of rules, effect on prior agency rules and guidelines, § 10:4

**PROCEDURAL DEFENSES  
AGAINST RULEMAKING  
LITIGATION**

Generally, § 15:1 et seq.

Abbott Decision, ripeness after, § 15:22

Adequacy of notice, § 15:80

Adjudication, rulemaking context contrasted to, § 15:72

Aesthetic harm claims, § 15:53

Agency  
assertion of nonreviewability, § 15:8  
ripeness won by agencies, § 15:30

Allocation of funding, § 15:11

Announcement of future intentions, § 15:31

**Appeal and Review** (this index)

Arguments, loss of exhaustion arguments, § 15:75

Arlington decision, importance of, § 15:99

As-applied challenges to rules, § 15:33

Assertions  
agency assertion of nonreviewability, § 15:8  
standing, § 15:48

Balancing interests, § 15:32

Benefits cases, § 15:87

Burden of proof  
challenger, burdens on, § 15:79

**PROCEDURAL DEFENSES  
AGAINST RULEMAKING  
LITIGATION—Cont'd**

Burden of proof—Cont'd  
exhaustion of remedies, burden on claimant regarding, § 15:86

Causation of harm, establishment of, § 15:58

Comments  
participation in comments, § 15:82  
waiver by not commenting, § 15:71

Competitive status, § 15:63

Consequences, consummation and, § 15:29

Constitutional issues, § 15:19, 15:38, 15:76

Consummation and consequences, § 15:29

Contrast, rulemaking context contrasted to adjudication, § 15:72

Correction of error, exhaustion where agency can, § 15:78

Courts, exhaustion of remedies, § 15:91

Declaratory relief, § 15:84

Delay, effect of, § 15:70

Denial of standing  
mootness, § 15:60  
reasons for, § 15:49

Discretion  
exhaustion of remedies, discretionary review, § 15:68  
no law to apply, § 15:10

Economics, judicial economy, § 15:18

Electoral challenges, § 15:56

Enforcement of rules, standing in private, § 15:47

Enjoyment loss as harm for standing purposes, § 15:55

Environment  
enjoyment loss as harm for standing purposes, § 15:55  
standing for environmental claims, § 15:61

## INDEX

### PROCEDURAL DEFENSES

#### AGAINST RULEMAKING LITIGATION—Cont'd

Error. Mistake or error, *infra*

Evidence

burden of proof, *supra*

harm or hardship, evidence of,  
§ 15:34

Exhaustion of remedies, § 15:66 to  
15:95

**Exhaustion of Remedies** (this index)

Facial challenges to rules, § 15:33

Factors to be considered, § 15:92

Finality, § 15:15

Funding termination and allocation,  
§ 15:11

Futility, waiver of exhaustion for,  
§ 15:73

Future intentions, announcement of,  
§ 15:31

Hardship. Harm or injury, *infra*

Harm or injury

aesthetic harm claims, § 15:53

causation of harm, establishment  
of, § 15:58

claimed injury denied, § 15:59

environmental enjoyment loss as  
harm for standing purposes,  
§ 15:55

evidence of, § 15:34

showing a personal harm, § 15:57

showing of injury to litigant,  
§ 15:43

Heckler decision, effect of, § 15:2

Ideological claims, § 15:50

Imposition by court of exhaustion of  
remedies, § 15:91

Information Quality Act, § 15:13

Injury. Harm or injury, *supra*

Intent

announcement of future intentions,  
§ 15:31

legislative intent, § 15:7

Interest in subject matter

balancing interests, § 15:32

### PROCEDURAL DEFENSES

#### AGAINST RULEMAKING LITIGATION—Cont'd

Interest in subject matter—Cont'd

zone of interests protected by statute,  
§ 15:42

Issues of law, ripeness of, § 15:21

Judicial economy, § 15:18

Judicial notice, limits on, § 15:101

Jurisdiction, § 15:94, 15:96

Legislative intent, § 15:7

Letters, policy manuals and, § 15:26

Litigation of rule, standing, § 15:36  
to 15:65

Loss of exhaustion arguments,  
§ 15:75

Lost opportunities claims, § 15:50

Manuals, policy manuals and letters,  
§ 15:26

Mistake or error

exhaustion where agency corrects  
error, § 15:78

standing to challenge procedural  
errors, § 15:52

**Mootness** (this index)

Name, statutory designation of parties  
with standing, § 15:40

Nonreviewability. **Appeal and  
Review** (this index)

Notice

adequacy of, § 15:80

persons affected, notice to, § 15:89

Not required, exhaustion of remedies,  
§ 15:85

Orders, court-ordered actions,  
§ 15:81

Organizational standing, § 15:39

Overview

generally, § 15:1

exhaustion of remedies, § 15:66

ripeness for review, § 15:16

standing to litigate a rule, § 15:36

Participation in comments, § 15:82

Parties

notice to persons affected, § 15:89

standing, *infra*

**PROCEDURAL DEFENSES  
AGAINST RULEMAKING  
LITIGATION—Cont’d**

Petitions  
    generally, § 15:74  
    reconsideration, petitions for,  
        § 15:90

Policy manuals and letters, § 15:26

Policy statements, § 15:74

Premature issues, prevention of court  
    entanglement in, § 15:27

Presidential action is nonreviewable,  
    § 15:4

Presumption favoring reviewability,  
    § 15:5

Prevention of court entanglement in  
    premature issues, § 15:27

Primary jurisdiction, § 15:94

Private enforcement of rules, stand-  
    ing in, § 15:47

Proposed actions, no review of,  
    § 15:17

Prudential standing, § 15:54

Public actions, standing in, § 15:41

Rarity of nonreviewability, § 15:3

Reasons for denying standing,  
    § 15:49

Reconsideration, petitions for,  
    § 15:90

Redressability, § 15:44

Relationship to statutory purpose,  
    § 15:62

Remedies  
    generally, § 15:24, 15:51  
    **Exhaustion of Remedies** (this  
        index)

Remedies in lieu of vacating the  
    rules, § 15:97

Requests, timeliness of review  
    requests, § 15:14

Res judicata and collateral estoppel,  
    § 15:98

Review. **Appeal and Review** (this  
    index)

**Ripeness** (this index)

Safe harbor cases, standing in,  
    § 15:64

**PROCEDURAL DEFENSES  
AGAINST RULEMAKING  
LITIGATION—Cont’d**

Showing of injury or harm, § 15:43,  
    15:57

Some generally nonreviewable rules  
    are reviewable, § 15:6

Standing  
    assertion of standing, § 15:48  
    environmental matters, § 15:55,  
        15:61  
    errors, standing to challenge  
        procedural errors, § 15:52  
    litigation of rule, § 15:36 to 15:65  
    mootness, denial of standing  
        because of, § 15:60  
    organizational standing, § 15:39  
    overview, standing to litigate a  
        rule, § 15:36  
    private enforcement of rules,  
        standing in, § 15:47  
    procedural rights cases, § 15:65  
    prudential standing, § 15:54  
    public actions, § 15:41  
    reasons for denying, § 15:49  
    rulemaking context for standing  
        determinations, § 15:37  
    safe harbor cases, § 15:64  
    states, standing of, § 15:46  
    statutory designation of parties  
        with, § 15:40  
    violations, procedural violations as  
        basis for standing, § 15:45

States, standing of, § 15:46

Status, competitive status, § 15:63

Statutes  
    exhaustion of remedies, statutes  
        requiring, § 15:88  
    relationship to statutory purpose,  
        § 15:62  
    standing, statutory designation of  
        parties with, § 15:40  
    zone of interests protected by stat-  
        ute, § 15:42

Stays of rules, § 15:95

Subsets of exhaustion of remedies,  
    § 15:67

## INDEX

### PROCEDURAL DEFENSES

#### AGAINST RULEMAKING LITIGATION—Cont'd

Supplementing administrative record  
in court, § 15:100

Termination of funding, § 15:11

Timeliness of review requests,  
§ 15:14

Venue, § 15:35

Violations, procedural violations as  
basis for standing, § 15:45

Waiver

generally, § 15:83

comments, waiver by not com-  
menting, § 15:71

exhaustion for futility, § 15:73

Zone of interests protected by statute,  
§ 15:42

### PROCEDURE

#### Analysis and Impact Requirements (this index)

Appeal and review, procedural viola-  
tions, § 19:1

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
procedural regulations excep-  
tion, § 3:22

Defenses. **Procedural Defenses  
Against Rulemaking Litiga-  
tion** (this index)

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:5

Litigation, procedural issues in,  
§ 7:32

### PROCESS OR PROCESSING

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, processing comments,  
§ 8:1

Delay in rulemaking, response to,  
§ 14:4

### PROPERTY AND PROPERTY RIGHTS

Final rules review by court, property  
rights claims, § 19:11

### PROPOSALS

**Development of Rule** (this index)

**Final Rules** (this index)

### PROPRIETARY RULES

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
proprietary rules exceptions,  
§ 3:19

### PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, § 22:21

### QUALITY

Analysis and impact requirements,  
role of council on quality of  
environment, § 7:38

### RATE SETTING

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, § 18:15

### RATIONALE

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, efficiency rationale, § 22:6

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
rational connection of rule to  
problem, Test 9, § 16:12

Importance of articulating, § 16:26

Substantial evidence support, review-  
ing rules for, § 17:2

### REASONS FOR CHOICES

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, § 22:5

### RECOGNITION

Making, assembling, and responding  
to comments, recognizing  
imbalance of judicial review  
power, § 6:5

### RECORDS

#### Agency Handling of Rulemaking Comments (this index)

Appeal and review, court review of  
final rules, § 13:3

Making, assembling, and responding  
to comments, building the rec-  
ord, § 6:2

### REDUCTION

Paperwork Reduction Act, analysis  
and impact requirements, § 7:7



**REFUSAL OR DENIAL**

Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, denial of, § 18:6  
 Delays, refusal to adopt rules, § 14:2  
**Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)

**REGULATORY AGENDAS**

Initiation of rule, § 4:6

**REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ACT (RFA)**

Analysis and impact requirements, generally, § 7:8 to 8 to 19  
 Weakness of RFA, § 7:16

**RELUCTANCE**

Appeal and review, judicial reluctance, § 19:15

**REMAND**

Appellate review of remand decisions in district court, § 13:8

**REMEDIES**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:56  
**Procedural Defenses Against Rulemaking Litigation** (this index)  
 Substantial evidence support, reviewing rules for, § 17:7

**REOPENING RECORD**

Agency handling of rulemaking comments, § 8:16

**RES JUDICATA**

Procedural defenses against rulemaking litigation, § 15:98

**RESPONSES**

Agency handling of rulemaking comments, duty as to responding to comments in preambles, § 8:5  
 Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, response to comments as inadequate, Test 8, § 16:11  
**Courts** (this index)

**RESPONSES—Cont'd**

**Making, Assembling, and Responding to Comments** (this index)

**RETROACTIVITY**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal, retroactive application, § 16:23  
 Avoiding rulemaking procedures, § 3:57  
 Deference to agency choices in rulemaking, § 18:16  
 Review of final rules by court, retroactivity of rules, § 19:13

**REVERSAL**

**Arbitrary or Vulnerable to Reversal** (this index)

**REVIEW**

**Appeal and Review** (this index)

**RFA**

**Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)** (this index)

**RIPENESS**

Abbott Decision, ripeness after, § 15:22  
 Agencies, ripeness won by, § 15:30  
 Exhaustion, ripeness and, § 15:23, 15:77  
 Issues of law, § 15:21  
 Particular ripeness claims, § 15:25  
 Procedural aspects of ripeness claims, § 15:28  
 Review, ripeness for, § 15:16 to 15:34

**ROLES**

Analysis and impact requirements, role of council on environmental quality, § 7:38  
 Avoiding rulemaking procedures, role of interpretive rule, § 3:37

**RULE**

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:1

**RULEMAKING**

Definitions or distinctions, § 2:2

## INDEX

### **RULE OF REASON**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
rule of reason in predicting  
impacts, § 7:39

### **SAMPLES**

**Models or Samples** (this index)

### **SATISFACTION**

Congressional requirements, § 20:1

### **SBA**

**Small Business**

### **SBREFA**

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, analysis under small  
business impact legislation,  
§ 8:6

### **SCHOLARSHIP**

Preemption of state actions by federal  
rules, § 21:6

### **SCIENTIFIC QUESTIONS**

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, § 18:10

### **SECRET DATA USED IN RULEMAKING**

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, § 8:15

### **SECURITY**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
security exception, § 7:34

### **SEPARATION OF POWERS**

Review by court of final rules,  
separation of powers conflicts,  
§ 19:10

### **SETTLEMENT**

Consent settlements impacting rules,  
negotiated rules, § 9:3

### **SILENCE**

Congress, § 20:3

### **SKIDMORE**

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, partial deference  
under Skidmore, § 18:9

### **SMALL BUSINESS**

Analysis and impact requirements  
(SBA), § 7:15

SBREFA, analysis under small busi-  
ness impact legislation, § 8:6

### **SMALL ENTITIES**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
§ 7:13

### **SOCIAL SECURITY**

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, § 18:23

### **SOURCES**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
Test 1, § 16:4  
Initiation, sources of rules, § 4:1

### **SPECIFICITY**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
rules for specific named persons,  
§ 3:51

### **STAGES**

Making, assembling, and responding  
to comments, understanding  
internal approval stages of  
agency, § 6:7

### **STANDARDS**

**Appeal and Review** (this index)  
Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
supreme court's State Farm  
standard, § 16:2

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
standard of judicial review,  
§ 3:55

Substantial evidence standard,  
rulemaking contrasted to  
adjudication, § 22:13

### **STANDING**

**Parties** (this index)

### **STARE DECISIS**

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, loss of stare decisis certainty,  
§ 22:7

**STATE FARM STANDARD**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
§ 16:2

**STATE INFLUENCE**

Final rules, § 11:5

**STATEMENTS**

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, courts require statements  
regarding changes, § 22:15

**Analysis and Impact Requirements**  
(this index)

Change or modification, courts  
require statements regarding  
changes, § 22:15

Explanatory statements and  
discovery, § 16:19

**Policy** (this index)

Supplementing statements, § 7:28

**STATES**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
§ 7:36

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
guidance to states and regulated  
persons, § 3:44

**Preemption of State Actions by  
Federal Rules** (this index)

**STATUTES**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
statutory scheme of NEPA,  
§ 7:20

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
statutory rights, § 3:2

**Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

Review, statutory or nonstatutory,  
§ 13:7

**STEP ONE DEFERENCE**

Agency choices in rulemaking,  
§ 18:4

**STEP TWO DEFERENCE**

Agency choices in rulemaking,  
§ 18:5

**SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE**

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, § 22:13

**Appeal and Review** (this index)

Defining the standard, § 17:4

Standard, rulemaking contrasted to  
adjudication, § 22:13

**SUBSTITUTE OR SUBSTITUTION**

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
substitution of judgment,  
§ 16:14

**SUPPLEMENTING STATEMENTS**

Analysis and impact requirements,  
§ 7:28

**SUPREME COURT**

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, § 22:3

Chevron norms applied in Mayo  
Foundation, § 18:7

Judulang decision, deference issues  
after, § 18:22

State Farm standard, arbitrary or  
vulnerable to reversal, § 16:2

**SUSPENSION**

Avoiding rulemaking procedures,  
suspension of rules, § 3:43

**TECHNICAL QUESTIONS**

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, § 18:10

**TESTS**

**Arbitrary or Vulnerable to  
Reversal** (this index)

**TEXTS**

Development of rule, internal review  
of proposed rule, § 5:5

**THREE STAGES OF NEPA  
ANALYSIS**

Requirements, § 7:25

**TIME OR DATE**

Agency handling of rulemaking com-  
ments, effect of late-filed com-  
ments, § 8:10

## INDEX

### TIME OR DATE—Cont'd

**Avoiding Rulemaking Procedures**  
(this index)

**Final Rules** (this index)

Future issues for adjudication and  
rulemaking, § 22:18

### TRENDS

Adjudication, rulemaking contrasted  
to, § 22:9

### TRUMP DEREGULATION ACTIVITIES

Deference to agency choices in  
rulemaking, § 18:26

### TYPES OF RULES

Distinguished, § 3:29

### UNCONSTITUTIONAL VAGUENESS

Final rules, court review of, § 19:8

### UNDERLYING DATA

Analysis and impact requirements,  
access to underlying data, § 7:37

### UNDERSTANDING

**Making, Assembling, and Respond-  
ing to Comments** (this index)

### UTILITY OF PREAMBLE

Judicial review, utility on, § 10:3

### VACATING

Final rules, vacating invalidated,  
§ 13:6

Remedies in lieu of vacating the  
rules, § 15:97

### VAGUENESS

Appeal and review, unconstitutional  
vagueness, § 19:8

### VALIDITY

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
presumptions of validity,  
§ 16:16

### VOLUME VERSUS PRECISION

Making, assembling, and responding  
to comments, volume versus  
precision in comments, § 6:8

### VULNERABILITY

**Arbitrary or Vulnerable to  
Reversal** (this index)

### WAIVER

Final rules, § 12:9

**Procedural Defenses Against  
Rulemaking Litigation** (this  
index)

### WEAKNESS

Analysis and impact requirements,  
weakness of RFA, § 7:16

### WHITE HOUSE

Final rules, political influence on,  
§ 11:2

Litigation over executive order analy-  
sis, § 7:5

### WIN/WIN ALTERNATIVE

Making, assembling, and responding  
to comments, § 6:4

### WITHDRAWAL

Arbitrary or vulnerable to reversal,  
withdrawal of rules, § 16:17

### WORDS AND PHRASES

**Definitions or Distinctions** (this  
index)

### WRITINGS

Making, assembling, and responding  
to comments, writing comments  
clearly, § 6:6