

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. SOURCES OF TEXAS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 1:1 Introduction
- § 1:2 Origin and development of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure
- § 1:3 Judicial authority to develop procedural rules or requirements by case law

B. RULEMAKING AND TEXAS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW

- § 1:4 Rulemaking in Texas criminal litigation—In general
- § 1:5 Allocation of pre-1985 authority regarding rulemaking
- § 1:6 Delegation of limited rulemaking authority to the court of criminal appeals
- § 1:7 Prohibition against modifying “substantive rights” by rulemaking
- § 1:8 Legislative disapproval of court rules

C. LIMITS ON JUDICIAL AUTHORITY TO DEVELOP CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW BY DECISIONAL LAW

- § 1:9 Introduction—Limits on judicial authority to develop procedural requirements by case law
- § 1:10 Rulemaking authority as limit on decisional law
- § 1:11 Legislative adoption of “common law”

CHAPTER 2. INTERPRETING AND APPLYING TEXAS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE STATUTES, THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION, AND TEXAS CASE LAW

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 2:1 Introduction

**B. TEXAS COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS AND
CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW AND POLICY**

- § 2:2 Court of criminal appeals and criminal procedure law and policy—In general
- § 2:3 Development of the court’s present appellate, review, and writ jurisdiction
- § 2:4 Court of criminal appeals’s “supervisory” authority
- § 2:5 Exercise of discretionary review authority

**C. DETERMINING THE LAW FROM TEXAS
APPELLATE JUDICIAL OPINIONS**

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 2:6 Introduction

**2. *DETERMINING THE AUTHORITY OF
OPINIONS***

- § 2:7 Determining authority of opinions—In general
- § 2:8 Opinions “of the court” and plurality opinions
- § 2:9 Characterizing opinions in criminal cases
- § 2:10 Memorandum opinions
- § 2:11 Unpublished opinions of the courts of appeals
- § 2:12 Unpublished opinions of the Court of Criminal Appeals
- § 2:13 Per curiam opinions

**3. DETERMINING THE AUTHORITY OF
LANGUAGE IN OPINIONS**

- § 2:14 Holdings and dicta—In general
- § 2:15 Effect of decision on contentions not urged
- § 2:16 Judicial dictum versus obiter dictum
- § 2:17 Practical significance of unnecessary judicial discussions

4. PRECEDENT AND STARE DECISIS

- § 2:18 Authoritativeness of precedent—The policy of stare decisis
- § 2:19 Reconsideration of “holdings”
- § 2:20 Finding precedent undermined by changes in intervening law
- § 2:21 Significance of the deciding court
- § 2:22 Significance of the type of case—Court of criminal appeals opinions in death cases
- § 2:23 Court of appeals’s authority to overrule its holdings
- § 2:24 Authoritativeness of decisions of the federal courts

D. CONSTRUING STATUTES AND COURT RULES

- § 2:25 Construing statutes and court rules—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:26	Construing statutes relating to criminal litigation—In general
§ 2:27	—Code Construction Act
§ 2:28	—Court of criminal appeals’s general approach
§ 2:29	—Plain language leading to absurd consequences
§ 2:30	—Ambiguous language
§ 2:31	—Avoiding potential unconstitutionality
§ 2:32	—Use of extratextual legislative history when appropriate
§ 2:33	—Legislative failure to modify judicial interpretation
§ 2:34	—Use of term previously construed by the courts
§ 2:35	Construing court rules—In general
§ 2:36	—Federal case law as a model

E. INTERPRETING THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION

§ 2:37	Interpreting the Texas Constitution—In general
§ 2:38	The evolution of Texas criminal “independence” jurisprudence
§ 2:39	The significance of the content given the federal analogue
§ 2:40	Considerations suggesting a Texas provision has a more expansive content—In general
§ 2:41	—Textual differences
§ 2:42	—Framers’ intentions
§ 2:43	—Texas law prior to supreme court’s determination of federal constitutional law
§ 2:44	—Comparable jurisprudence from other jurisdictions
§ 2:45	—Appropriate balance of competing considerations
§ 2:46	—The showing required to prevail

CHAPTER 3. CATEGORIZING CRIMINAL PROCEDURE RIGHTS AND REQUIREMENTS—THE *MARIN* ANALYSES

§ 3:1	<i>Marin v. State</i> and the <i>Marin</i> analyses
§ 3:2	Nature of the <i>Marin</i> analyses
§ 3:3	Implications of <i>Marin</i> analyses for preservation of error
§ 3:4	Other implications of the <i>Marin</i> analysis
§ 3:5	Categorizing rights under <i>Marin</i>
§ 3:6	Identifying absolute rights
§ 3:7	Identifying waivable-only rights
§ 3:8	Rights defendants must be able to waive
§ 3:9	Identifying forfeitable rights—The case law
§ 3:10	A proposed general analysis for identifying forfeitable rights
§ 3:11	Waiver of waivable rights
§ 3:12	Forfeiture of forfeitable rights
§ 3:13	Estoppel and absolute or waivable-only rights

CHAPTER 4. JURISDICTION

A. INTRODUCTION—SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION, TERRITORIAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS

- § 4:1 In general
- § 4:2 Subject-matter jurisdiction—Constitutional limitations on power to create crimes
- § 4:3 Constitutional limitations on power to create crimes—Substantive due process and right to privacy
- § 4:4 —First Amendment
- § 4:5 —Fifth Amendment
- § 4:6 Other constitutional limitations on power to create crimes
- § 4:7 Federal preemption limiting state power to create crimes
- § 4:8 Territorial jurisdiction in general
- § 4:9 Territorial jurisdiction—Result element
- § 4:10 —Conduct element
- § 4:11 —Omissions
- § 4:12 —Burden of proof
- § 4:13 Waiver of subject-matter jurisdiction claim by failure to object
- § 4:14 Subject-matter jurisdiction for particular courts: general vs. local laws
- § 4:15 Levels of courts

B. SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION OF DISTRICT-LEVEL COURTS

- § 4:16 In general
- § 4:17 Pre-1985 constitutional provision
- § 4:18 1985 constitutional amendment
- § 4:19 General laws
- § 4:20 Felonies
- § 4:21 Misdemeanors
- § 4:22 Included misdemeanors—In general
- § 4:23 Included misdemeanor—Valid felony charge
- § 4:24 Included misdemeanors—Offense elements
- § 4:25 Included misdemeanor—Election to proceed
- § 4:26 Misdemeanors involving official misconduct—In general
- § 4:27 —Rationale
- § 4:28 —Eligible officials
- § 4:29 —Eligible conduct
- § 4:30 —Concurrent jurisdiction with county court
- § 4:31 Reduction from third-degree or State Jail Felony to misdemeanor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:32 Transfer of misdemeanor case from county court
- § 4:33 Local statutes—In general
- § 4:34 —Criminal district courts
- § 4:35 —Special district court jurisdiction
- § 4:36 —Concurrent jurisdiction rules

C. COUNTY-LEVEL COURTS

- § 4:37 In general
- § 4:38 Constitutional county courts—In general
- § 4:39 —Where criminal district courts exist
- § 4:40 —Where added jurisdiction has been given to district courts
- § 4:41 Statutory county courts
- § 4:42 Concurrent jurisdiction with justice courts
- § 4:43 Appellate jurisdiction of county courts—In general
- § 4:44 —De novo or on the record
- § 4:45 —Charge within justice or municipal court jurisdiction
- § 4:46 —Perfecting appeal

D. JUSTICE COURTS

- § 4:47 In general
- § 4:48 The constitutional amendment
- § 4:49 Punishable by fine only
- § 4:50 Justice court precincts

E. MUNICIPAL COURT

- § 4:51 In general
- § 4:52 Ordinance violations
- § 4:53 Violations of state law

F. PRIORITY OF JURISDICTION

- § 4:54 In general
- § 4:55 First filed rule
- § 4:56 Relinquishing jurisdiction
- § 4:57 Waiver of claim
- § 4:58 Identity of charges

CHAPTER 5. VENUE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 5:1 In general
- § 5:2 Functions of venue rules
- § 5:3 Confusion of venue and jurisdiction

- § 5:4 Venue and jurisdiction distinguished
- § 5:5 Venue and territorial jurisdiction
- § 5:6 Special venue statutes
- § 5:7 Scope of this chapter

B. CHOICE OF VENUE FOR PARTICULAR OFFENSES

- § 5:8 In general
- § 5:9 Forgery—In general
- § 5:10 —Means of committing
- § 5:11 —Presenting instrument in different county
- § 5:12 —Land titles
- § 5:13 Perjury and aggravated perjury
- § 5:14 Stolen property—In general
- § 5:15 —The removal rule
- § 5:16 —Eligible offenses
- § 5:17 Unlawful disposition of secured property
- § 5:18 False imprisonment and kidnapping
- § 5:19 Conspiracy
- § 5:20 Bigamy
- § 5:21 Sexual assault—In general
- § 5:22 —Trial venue
- § 5:23 —Grand jury venue
- § 5:24 —Change of venue
- § 5:25 Criminal nonsupport
- § 5:26 Organized criminal activity
- § 5:27 Unauthorized use of a vehicle
- § 5:28 Illegal recruitment of athletes
- § 5:29 Computer crimes
- § 5:30 Telecommunications crimes
- § 5:31 Simulating legal process
- § 5:32 Escape or unauthorized absence
- § 5:33 Fraudulent use or possession of identifying information

C. VENUE IN THE COUNTY WHERE THE OFFENSE WAS COMMITTED

- § 5:34 In general
- § 5:35 Elements of the offense
- § 5:36 —Continuing offenses
- § 5:37 —Split between counties
- § 5:38 Accomplices
- § 5:39 When venue cannot be determined
- § 5:40 Offenses committed on the boundaries of counties

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5:41 Homicide cases

D. JURISDICTION AND VENUE IN INTERSTATE CASES

§ 5:42 In general

§ 5:43 Venue—County where offender found or element occurs

E. VENUE OF MUNICIPAL AND JUSTICE COURTS

§ 5:44 In general

§ 5:45 Justice court venue—In general

§ 5:46 —Basic rule

§ 5:47 —Precinct of residence

§ 5:48 —Transferring cases

§ 5:49 Adjudication on plea of guilty in county where arrested

§ 5:50 Justice court venue—Trial de novo

§ 5:51 Municipal court venue

§ 5:52 —Trial de novo

§ 5:53 Municipal and justice courts—Concurrent venue

F. ALLEGING VENUE IN CHARGING INSTRUMENTS

§ 5:54 In general

§ 5:55 Alleging venue in the county of prosecution

§ 5:56 “Then and there” pleading

§ 5:57 Pleading venue in municipal and justice courts

G. PROVING VENUE

§ 5:58 In general

§ 5:59 Not an element of the offense

§ 5:60 Consequences of not proving venue

§ 5:61 Defendant’s plea

§ 5:62 Jury instruction on venue

§ 5:63 Proof by summary testimony

§ 5:64 Proof by inference

§ 5:65 Judicial notice—In general

§ 5:66 —County within State of Texas

§ 5:67 —Judicial districts

§ 5:68 —County seats

§ 5:69 —Other geographic features

§ 5:70 Proof of venue when venue has been changed

H. APPELLATE REVIEW OF VENUE ISSUES

§ 5:71 In general

- § 5:72 The nature of the presumption
- § 5:73 Made an issue in the court below
- § 5:74 Affirmatively appears to the contrary

CHAPTER 6. LIMITATIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 6:1 In general
- § 6:2 Use of limitation periods in proving prior conviction sequences
- § 6:3 Amendments to limitation provisions—retroactivity and ex post facto limitations
- § 6:4 Effect on limitations if offenses are reformulated
- § 6:5 Limitations and lesser included offenses

B. DETERMINING WHAT LIMITATION PERIOD APPLIES

- § 6:6 In general
- § 6:7 Felonies
- § 6:8 Felonies with no limitation—Murder and manslaughter
- § 6:9 Felonies with no limitation leaving scene of accident in which death occurred
- § 6:10 Felonies with no limitation—Sexual assault of child and indecency with child
- § 6:11 —Sexual assault with DNA evidence
- § 6:12 Felonies with 20-year statute of limitations from victim's 18th birthday
- § 6:13 Felonies with 10-year limitations—Theft by fiduciaries and by public servant
- § 6:14 —Forgery
- § 6:15 —First-degree felony injury to a child, elderly individual, or disabled individual
- § 6:16 —Arson
- § 6:17 Felonies with seven-year limitations—Misapplication of fiduciary property and securing execution of document by deception and other similar offenses
- § 6:18 —Certain motor vehicle fuel tax offenses
- § 6:19 Felonies with five-year limitations—Theft, burglary, and robbery
- § 6:20 —Kidnapping
- § 6:21 —Injury to a child, elderly individual, or disabled individual not prosecuted as first-degree felony
- § 6:22 —Abandoning or endangering a child
- § 6:23 —Sexual assault not covered by other provisions
- § 6:24 Misdemeanors

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:25 Special rules
- § 6:26 —Criminal attempts
- § 6:27 —Criminal conspiracy and organized criminal activity
- § 6:28 —Criminal solicitation
- § 6:29 —Aggravated offenses
- § 6:30 Limitation periods outside the code of criminal procedure

C. APPLICABILITY

- § 6:31 In general
- § 6:32 Applicability—Extraneous offenses
- § 6:33 —Revocation of probation
- § 6:34 —Aggregation of amounts in theft cases
- § 6:35 —Accomplices
- § 6:36 —Civil proceedings
- § 6:37 —Juvenile proceedings

D. CALCULATING THE RUNNING OF THE LIMITATION PERIOD

- § 6:38 In general
- § 6:39 Computation of time
- § 6:40 Date of commission of the offense
- § 6:41 When a charging instrument is presented
- § 6:42 Excluded period—Absence from the state
- § 6:43 —Pendency of charging instrument
- § 6:44 —What charging instrument qualifies
- § 6:45 —Void, voidable, and valid charging instruments
- § 6:46 —Pleading and proving pending charging instruments

E. PLEADING REQUIREMENTS

- § 6:47 In general
- § 6:48 Statutory pleading requirements
- § 6:49 Alleging the date of the offense
- § 6:50 Alleging a date within the limitations period
- § 6:51 Alleging a date anterior to the filing of the charge
- § 6:52 Pleading “on or about” a date

F. PROOF REQUIREMENTS

- § 6:53 In general
- § 6:54 Proof—Before presentment or filing
- § 6:55 —Date indictment was presented
- § 6:56 —Outside the limitation period
- § 6:57 —Year the offense was committed

- § 6:58 —Circumstantial evidence
- § 6:59 Submitting the issue to the jury
- § 6:60 Jury instructions
- § 6:61 Burden of persuasion

G. METHODS OF CHALLENGING LIMITATIONS DEFECTS

- § 6:62 Challenging limitations defects prior to 1985 amendments
- § 6:63 1985 amendments require limitations objection at trial
- § 6:64 Raising limitations claims in pretrial habeas corpus
- § 6:65 Raising limitations claims at time of jury instruction

CHAPTER 7. EXCLUSION OF ILLEGALLY OBTAINED EVIDENCE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 7:1 Exclusion of illegally obtained evidence—In general
- § 7:2 Use of illegally obtained evidence for purposes other than proving guilt

B. GENERAL MATTERS

- § 7:3 Federal law exclusionary requirements
- § 7:4 Future of Fourth Amendment exclusionary requirement—In general
 - § 7:5 —Inapplicable to some Fourth Amendment violations
 - § 7:6 —Requirement of at least reckless Fourth Amendment violation
- § 7:7 Relationship of federal and state exclusionary law
- § 7:8 Exclusionary requirements imposed by Texas law—In general
 - § 7:9 —State constitutional requirement of exclusion
 - § 7:10 —Article 38.23
 - § 7:11 —Confession statutes
 - § 7:12 —Other statutory requirements
 - § 7:13 —Case law requirements
- § 7:14 Exclusionary requirements based on the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations

C. THE TEXAS STATUTORY EXCLUSIONARY RULE

- § 7:15 The Texas Statutory Exclusionary Rule—Article 38.23
- § 7:16 Origin and development of the Article 38.23 exclusionary requirement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:17 Construction of the statutory exclusionary requirement—
Relevance of federal constitutional exclusionary law
- § 7:18 —Effect on legal requirement violated
- § 7:19 Defendants’ need to explicitly invoke state exclusionary
requirement

D. ILLEGALITY INVOKING THE EXCLUSIONARY SANCTION

- § 7:20 Sufficiency of the illegality—In general
- § 7:21 Evidence obtained in violation of Texas Constitution
- § 7:22 Evidence obtained in violation of the Constitution of the
United States
- § 7:23 Evidence obtained in violation of “laws” of the United States
- § 7:24 Evidence obtained in violation of “laws” of Texas—In general
- § 7:25 —“Laws” invoking the exclusionary sanction
- § 7:26 —Legislative intention to preclude exclusionary remedy
- § 7:27 Requirement that law make conduct illegal

E. APPLICATION OF THE EXCLUSIONARY SANCTION

- § 7:28 Application—In general
- § 7:29 Requirement of “standing”—In general
- § 7:30 —Mere legitimate presence on premises not sufficient
- § 7:31 —Searches related to automobiles
- § 7:32 Defendants’ need to show harm or prejudice from violation of
law
- § 7:33 Requirement of “causal connection”—In general
- § 7:34 —Evidence retained or processed in violation of law
- § 7:35 —Need for a particularly direct causal relationship between
the violation of law and acquisition of the evidence
- § 7:36 Requirement under Article 38.23 that evidence have been
“obtained . . . in” violation of triggering legal requirement—
In general
- § 7:37 —Need for a causal link between illegality and acquisition of
the evidence
- § 7:38 —Need for a “nonremote” causal relationship
- § 7:39 —Need for a “subsequent” and “separate” act in violation of
law
- § 7:40 Special problem—Evidence obtained by Texas officer acting
outside officer’s “jurisdiction”
- § 7:41 Evidence wrongfully obtained by private person—In general
- § 7:42 —When private person’s actions motivated by “law
enforcement” violate penal laws
- § 7:43 —Need to treat state action and private action “the same”

- § 7:44 —Private person’s actions to be evaluated as if person was peace officer
- § 7:45 Evidence wrongfully obtained by officers of different jurisdiction—In general
- § 7:46 —Confessions
- § 7:47 —Nonconfession evidence
- § 7:48 —Fourth Amendment exclusion
- § 7:49 Trial judge has no discretion

F. SCOPE OF REQUIRED EXCLUSION

- § 7:50 Exclusion of all “fruit of the poisonous tree”
- § 7:51 Evidence with “independent source”
- § 7:52 Evidence or contraband saved from illegal destruction or removal by illegal law enforcement conduct
- § 7:53 Defendant’s face as excludable fruit

G. EXCEPTIONS TO THE REQUIREMENT OF EXCLUSION

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 7:54 Exceptions—In general

2. ATTENUATION OF TAINT

- § 7:55 Attenuation of the taint—In general
- § 7:56 —*Hudson* addendum
- § 7:57 Applicability under Article 38.23
- § 7:58 Application of the rule
- § 7:59 Intervening criminal conduct by defendant
- § 7:60 Relationship to requirement of nonremote causal connection

3. GOOD FAITH

- § 7:61 “Good faith”—In general
- § 7:62 —Negligent recordkeeping
- § 7:63 Requirement of neutral magistrate
- § 7:64 Article 38.23(a)’s requirement of issued warrant
- § 7:65 Application where officer acts beyond warrant’s authority
- § 7:66 Warrant issued on factual misrepresentations
- § 7:67 Article 38.23(a)’s requirement of “actual” probable cause
- § 7:68 Need for subjective reliance on the warrant
- § 7:69 Requirement that possible reliance be objectively reasonable
- § 7:70 Warrant obtained on information illegally acquired

4. INEVITABLE DISCOVERY

- § 7:71 Inevitable discovery—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:72 Unavailable under Article 38.23
- § 7:73 Application of the Fourth Amendment exception

5. IMPEACHMENT

- § 7:74 Impeachment of testifying defendant

6. USE TO PROVE PERJURY

- § 7:75 Evidence offered to prove perjury

CHAPTER 8. GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF SEARCH, SEIZURE, AND RELATED LAW

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 8:1 General principles
- § 8:2 “Units” of law enforcement action for search and seizure law analysis
- § 8:3 “Probable cause” and other criterion for evidentiary support
- § 8:4 “Probable cause” and other criteria for evidentiary support—
Specificity of information
- § 8:5 Role of subjective “intent” in search and seizure analysis—
General preference for “objective” standards
- § 8:6 —Law enforcement conduct requiring less than probable cause
- § 8:7 —Arrests, “intent to arrest,” and characterizing detentions
- § 8:8 —Pretext motivation
- § 8:9 —“Programmatic purposes” in Fourth Amendment analysis
- § 8:10 —Prohibition against “racial profiling”—In general
- § 8:11 —Required reports on specific stops and use of video
equipment—In general
- § 8:12 — —Exception where recording equipment is provided and
used or beyond budget
- § 8:13 —Effect on evidence admissibility
- § 8:14 “Collective information” of multiple officers considered in
assessing reasonableness
- § 8:15 Balancing interests to determine “reasonableness”
- § 8:16 Reasonableness—Reliance upon computer
- § 8:17 Excessive force or destruction of property, reasonableness of
searches and seizures, and admissibility of evidence
- § 8:18 Permitting news media to accompany officers
- § 8:19 Authority of officers as officers and private citizens
- § 8:20 Authority of officers without peace officer authority acting with
officers possessing such authority
- § 8:21 Reasonableness as requiring least intrusive course of law
enforcement conduct

- § 8:22 Doctrines creating exceptions to general constitutional search and seizure rules—Exigent circumstances, emergencies, and community caretaking

B. PRINCIPLES OF “SEARCH” LAW

- § 8:23 “Search” law principles
§ 8:24 What constitutes a “search”—In general
§ 8:25 —Loss of protection by abandonment
§ 8:26 Surveillance of home as search
§ 8:27 “Plain view” observations not “searches”
§ 8:28 Aerial observations as searches
§ 8:29 Entry onto “open fields” as searches
§ 8:30 Entry onto “open fields” as trespass triggering Texas exclusionary rule
§ 8:31 “Reasonableness” of a search—Fourth Amendment law
§ 8:32 —Article I, section 9 of the Texas Constitution
§ 8:33 Surgical and other extraordinarily intrusive searches
§ 8:34 Stomach “pumping”

C. PRINCIPLES OF “SEIZURE” LAW

- § 8:35 “Seizure” law principles
§ 8:36 What constitutes a “seizure” of property—In general
§ 8:37 —Loss of protected interest by abandonment
§ 8:38 “Reasonableness” of a seizure
§ 8:39 “Plain view” seizures—In general
§ 8:40 —Item must be in “plain view”
§ 8:41 —Requirement of sufficient supporting information
§ 8:42 —Possible requirement of inadvertence
§ 8:43 —No need for “nexus” to investigation
§ 8:44 —Possible statutory limit on seizure of “mere evidence”
§ 8:45 —Possible statutory prohibition on certain seizures of “personal writings”
§ 8:46 Investigatory seizures on less than probable cause

D. SEARCHES OF “CONTAINERS”

- § 8:47 Searches of properly seized “containers”

CHAPTER 9. SEARCH WARRANTS AND THEIR EXECUTION

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 9:1 Search warrants—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:2 Search warrants issued on illegally obtained evidence
- § 9:3 “Securing” premises pending application for warrant

B. GENERAL MATTERS

- § 9:4 State law requirements and federal constitutional demands
- § 9:5 Requirement of statutory authority for search warrants
- § 9:6 Role of affidavit in construing a search warrant—In general
- § 9:7 —Affidavit as controlling over terms of the warrant
- § 9:8 —Need for affidavit to be attached to warrant
- § 9:9 “Mere evidence” and “evidentiary” search warrants—In general
- § 9:10 —Identifying “evidentiary” search warrants—In general
- § 9:11 — —Warrants issuing for mere evidence and other items
- § 9:12 —No warrant may issue for evidentiary personal writings of accused
- § 9:13 Prohibition against multiple evidentiary search warrants
- § 9:14 Search warrants for contraband subject to forfeiture
- § 9:15 Warrants for surgical and other extraordinarily intrusive searches
- § 9:16 Severability of search warrant provisions—In general
- § 9:17 —Severability unavailable if warrant is essentially general in character

C. “FOUR CORNERS” RULE—SUPPORTING AND CHALLENGING SEARCH WARRANT AFFIDAVITS

- § 9:18 “Four corners” rule—In general
- § 9:19 Prohibition against extraneous support for warrant—In general
- § 9:20 —Possible constitutional basis
- § 9:21 —Defining the affidavit contents that may be considered
- § 9:22 Prohibition against challenging the facial accuracy of search warrants affidavits
- § 9:23 Defendant’s Fourth Amendment right to attack face of affidavit—*Franks v. Delaware*
- § 9:24 Defendant’s right to prevail on *Franks* attack—In general
- § 9:25 —Required showing of at least reckless disregard
- § 9:26 —Attack based on omissions from affidavit
- § 9:27 —Misrepresentations by affiant’s informant
- § 9:28 —Determining materiality and State’s right to “reform” affidavit
- § 9:29 Defendant’s right to factual hearing on *Franks* attack—In general
- § 9:30 —The hearing that must be held

D. APPLICATION AND ISSUANCE

- § 9:31 Application for and issuance of search warrants—In general
- § 9:32 —Affidavit must be sworn but need not be signed
- § 9:33 Persons authorized to issue search warrants—In general
- § 9:34 —Geographical scope of magistrate’s warrant-issuing authority
- § 9:35 —Evidentiary warrants
- § 9:36 Requirement of “neutral and detached” magistrate—In general
- § 9:37 —Requirement of capacity to make probable cause determinations
- § 9:38 —Requirement of neutrality
- § 9:39 Required showing of probable cause—In general
- § 9:40 —Showing must support each authorization in warrant
- § 9:41 —Informer’s tip—In general
- § 9:42 — —Texas constitutional standard
- § 9:43 —Staleness
- § 9:44 —Time when warrant is executed
- § 9:45 —Anticipatory search warrants
- § 9:46 —Evidentiary search warrants
- § 9:47 Sealing the affidavit

E. FACIAL REQUIREMENTS

- § 9:48 Facial requirements—In general
- § 9:49 —Fourth Amendment demands
- § 9:50 Description of place to be searched—Adequate facial specificity—In general
- § 9:51 —Adequate specificity “as applied”
- § 9:52 — —Personal knowledge of officers
- § 9:53 Description of items to be seized—In general
- § 9:54 —Evidentiary warrants
- § 9:55 Signature of magistrate
- § 9:56 Endorsement of time warrant issued

F. EXECUTION OF THE WARRANT

- § 9:57 Warrant must be executed by a peace officer
- § 9:58 Time within which warrant must be executed—In general
- § 9:59 —The “three day” rule—In general
- § 9:60 —Applying the “three day” rule
- § 9:61 —Execution “without delay”
- § 9:62 —Specific provisions in particular warrants
- § 9:63 —Warrant for DNA material
- § 9:64 Time of day when warrant is executed
- § 9:65 “Serving” or “presenting” the search warrant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 9:66	Entering to execute search warrant—In general
§ 9:67	—Fourth Amendment requirements—In general
§ 9:68	— —General requirement of announcement
§ 9:69	— —Permissible “no-knock” entries—In general
§ 9:70	— — —Fear of destruction or removal of items
§ 9:71	— — —Fear of violence
§ 9:72	— — —Announcement unnecessary or futile
§ 9:73	— —Entry upon occupants’ failure to respond
§ 9:74	— —Property damage
§ 9:75	—Traditional Texas law entry requirements and modern successors
§ 9:76	—Entry by breaking as limited by section 9.51 of the Penal Code
§ 9:77	—Role of issuing magistrate in “no knock” entries under Texas law
§ 9:78	—Exclusion of evidence obtained after unlawful entry—Fourth Amendment requirements
§ 9:79	— —Texas law requirements
§ 9:80	Scope of search permitted—In general
§ 9:81	—Search limited to place specified in warrant
§ 9:82	— —Search of residential premises under warrant for business premises
§ 9:83	—Search limited by items to be searched for and seized
§ 9:84	—Persons on premises
§ 9:85	—Automobiles on premises
§ 9:86	—“Securing” premises
§ 9:87	Detention of persons on premises
§ 9:88	Seizures permitted—In general
§ 9:89	—Items specified in warrant
§ 9:90	—“Plain view” seizures of undescribed items
§ 9:91	—“Plain view” seizures of containers
§ 9:92	—Evidentiary warrants
§ 9:93	Federal constitutional notice requirements concerning seizures of property
§ 9:94	Destruction of property during entry or search
§ 9:95	Presence of new media or other “observers”
§ 9:96	Inventory
§ 9:97	Return on warrant
§ 9:98	Inventory and return requirements—Exclusion of evidence
§ 9:99	Removal of seized evidence from county
§ 9:100	Seeking return of seized property from magistrate

CHAPTER 10. ARRESTS AND DETENTIONS OF SUSPECTS

A. INTRODUCTION

§ 10:1 Arrests and detentions of suspects—In general

B. APPLICABLE LAW AND REMEDIES

§ 10:2 Interrelationship of federal and state law

§ 10:3 Procedural impact of invalid detention—Jurisdiction and
power to prosecute

§ 10:4 —Admissibility of evidence

§ 10:5 —Effect of invalid detention on suspect's abandonment of
contraband

C. IDENTIFYING AND CHARACTERIZING DETENTIONS

§ 10:6 Identifying and characterizing detentions—In general

§ 10:7 Identifying detentions—"Seizures"—In general

§ 10:8 — —Seizure by physical force—In general

§ 10:9 — — —Suspect breaking away

§ 10:10 — —Seizure by show of authority—In general

§ 10:11 — — —Show of authority required

§ 10:12 — — —Submission

§ 10:13 — —Stationary suspect

§ 10:14 — —Passengers in stopped vehicle

§ 10:15 — —Application of the criteria—In general

§ 10:16 — — —Request for permission to search (or other
"cooperation") not controlling

§ 10:17 — — —Relevant factors

§ 10:18 —Stationhouse visits as "seizures"

§ 10:19 Characterizing detentions—In general

§ 10:20 —"Arrests" distinguished from other detentions

§ 10:21 —"Formal" arrests

§ 10:22 —"Custodial" arrests

§ 10:23 Detentions defensible only as "arrests"

§ 10:24 Citation as alternative to custodial arrest—In general

§ 10:25 Expanded authority for use of citations

§ 10:26 Citation as alternative to custodial arrest—Constitutional
issue

§ 10:27 "Pretext" arrests and detentions

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D. BASIC LEGAL REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO DETENTIONS

- § 10:28 Fourth Amendment and other “basic” requirements—In general
- § 10:29 Fourth Amendment requirements—No warrant needed for arrest or most other detentions
- § 10:30 —Probable cause needed for arrest
- § 10:31 —Sufficient supporting information for nonarrest detentions
- § 10:32 —Warrant required for arrest in private premises—In general
- § 10:33 — —Exceptions generally
- § 10:34 — —Exigent circumstances exception to warrant requirement
- § 10:35 — —“Hot pursuit” exception to warrant requirement
- § 10:36 —Exclusionary impact of warrantless action
- § 10:37 State law requirement of arrest warrant—In general
- § 10:38 —Exigent circumstances exception permitting warrantless entry of residence
- § 10:39 Use of force
- § 10:40 Detentions under an invalid statute

CHAPTER 11. ARREST WARRANTS AND THEIR EXECUTION

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 11:1 Arrest warrants—In general

B. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 11:2 Summons as alternative to arrest warrant—In general
- § 11:3 —When summons may issue
- § 11:4 —Service of the summons
- § 11:5 Capias distinguished from arrest warrant
- § 11:6 Capias pro fine
- § 11:7 Combination search and arrest warrants
- § 11:8 Authority to issue arrest warrants

C. APPLICATION FOR AND ISSUANCE OF ARREST WARRANTS

- § 11:9 The complaint—Requirements in general
- § 11:10 —The showing of probable cause—In general
- § 11:11 — —Nonappearance and traffic offense warrants—In general
- § 11:12 — — —Traffic offense warrants
- § 11:13 — — —Nonappearance warrants

- § 11:14 The “four-corners” rule and arrest warrants
- § 11:15 Requirement of a judicial determination of probable cause

D. FACIAL REQUIREMENTS OF ARREST WARRANTS

- § 11:16 Facial requirements—In general
- § 11:17 Identification of person to be arrested—In general
- § 11:18 —Persons on or in charge of described premises
- § 11:19 —Persons properly arrested under valid description
- § 11:20 Naming the offense—In general
- § 11:21 —Compliance by naming the offense in the affidavit
- § 11:22 —Sufficiency of the specification of the offense
- § 11:23 —Need to show harm or prejudice
- § 11:24 Signature of magistrate
- § 11:25 Endorsement of magistrate’s office

E. EXECUTION OF ARREST WARRANTS

- § 11:26 Persons who may execute arrest warrant
- § 11:27 Need for possession of the warrant
- § 11:28 Geographical scope of warrant
- § 11:29 Time limit for execution of arrest warrant
- § 11:30 Use of force to make arrest
- § 11:31 Entry of premises to make arrest—Warrant requirement
- § 11:32 —Announcement requirements
- § 11:33 Entry of premises to make arrests—Effect of improper entry upon admissibility of evidence
- § 11:34 Notice to arrestee of authority to make arrest

CHAPTER 12. WARRANTLESS ARRESTS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 12:1 Warrantless arrests—In general

B. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 12:2 Relationship of federal and state law concerning warrantless arrests
- § 12:3 Authority of officers acting outside their employing jurisdiction—In general
- § 12:4 —“Special officers”
- § 12:5 —Municipal police officers—In general
- § 12:6 — —Traditional definition of officers’ authority
- § 12:7 — —Authority to arrest for offenses committed within presence or view

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 12:8 —Power to arrest for offense against the public peace
- § 12:9 “Civil” violations insufficient to support arrest
- § 12:10 Determining basis “on” which arrest made
- § 12:11 Warrantless arrests of persons charged in another state

C. AUTHORIZED WARRANTLESS ARRESTS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 12:12 Permissible warrantless arrests

2. FELONY SUSPECTS “ABOUT TO ESCAPE”

- § 12:13 Felony arrests when suspect is “about to escape”—In general
- § 12:14 Belief that suspect is sufficient escape risk—In general
- § 12:15 —Dejarnette’s “all factors” approach
- § 12:16 —Requirement of suspect’s act and exception for probable cause developed in suspect’s presence
- § 12:17 —Leaving scene as sufficient

3. OFFENSES COMMITTED IN PRESENCE OR VIEW

- § 12:18 Offense committed in presence or within view—In general
- § 12:19 Need for arresting officer to be “viewing” officer
- § 12:20 Conduct that must occur within officer’s presence or view
- § 12:21 Effect of delay in making arrest
- § 12:22 Rationale for exception

4. PERSON FOUND IN A “SUSPICIOUS PLACE”

- § 12:23 Suspect found in “suspicious place”—In general
- § 12:24 Nature of probable cause required
- § 12:25 Definition of “suspicious place”
- § 12:26 Possible definition of “suspicious place”

5. SUSPECT FOUND WITH STOLEN PROPERTY

- § 12:27 Suspect found with stolen property
- § 12:28 Necessary relationship between suspect and stolen property

6. OTHER PERMISSIBLE WARRANTLESS ARRESTS

- § 12:29 Suspect believed to have violated family court order
- § 12:30 Assault suspect posing further risk to victim
- § 12:31 Suspect committing offense involving family violence
- § 12:32 Persons confessing felony to peace officer

§ 12:33 Interference with emergency telephone call

D. EFFECTUATING WARRANTLESS ARRESTS

§ 12:34 Use of force to make warrantless arrests

§ 12:35 Entry of premises

§ 12:36 Informing arrestee of authority and purpose

CHAPTER 13. NONARREST DETENTIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

§ 13:1 Nonarrest detentions—In general

§ 13:2 Texas “failure to identify” statute

§ 13:3 Detention of witnesses

B. TRAFFIC STOPS AND RELATED DETENTIONS

§ 13:4 Traffic stops—In general

§ 13:5 —State law basis

§ 13:6 —Nature of the detention as a matter of state law

§ 13:7 —Distinguishing traffic stops and investigatory or *Terry* stops

§ 13:8 Federal constitutional considerations—In general

§ 13:9 —Random “suspicionless” stops prohibited

§ 13:10 —Requirement of probable cause or reasonable suspicion

§ 13:11 Statutory framework for arrest and citation—In general

§ 13:12 —Need for reasonable suspicion or probable cause—In general

§ 13:13 —Need for probable cause—Need for stopping officer to observe violation

§ 13:14 — —Traffic stop not rendered reasonable by officer’s misunderstanding of the law

§ 13:15 Need for traffic stop to be made within reasonable time after and reasonable distance from observed violation

§ 13:16 Defining traffic offenses—In general

§ 13:17 —Improper taillight

§ 13:18 —Improper lane change

§ 13:19 —Crossing center line

§ 13:20 —Driving too slow

§ 13:21 —Improper use of passing lane

§ 13:22 —Following too closely

§ 13:23 —Use of turn signal

§ 13:24 —Excessive acceleration

§ 13:25 Traffic stop interrelated issues—Duration, continued justification, investigation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 13:26	Identifying the end of a traffic stop—In general
§ 13:27	—Continued detention as an investigatory stop
§ 13:28	—Continued interaction as a consensual encounter
§ 13:29	Actions and investigation permitting during traffic stop—In general
§ 13:30	—Completing mechanics of the traffic matter
§ 13:31	Actions and investigation permitted during traffic stop— Checking license, registration, and insurance documentation
§ 13:32	—Warrant and criminal history checks
§ 13:33	—Searches incident to arrest—In general
§ 13:34	— —No right to search incident to traffic “stop”
§ 13:35	— —“Arrest” triggering right to search
§ 13:36	—Weapons “frisk” search and other self-protective action
§ 13:37	—Dog “sniff”
§ 13:38	—Questioning regarding traffic-related matters
§ 13:39	—Questioning regarding drugs and other nontraffic matters
§ 13:40	Permissible duration of traffic stop—In general
§ 13:41	—Officer’s subjective “intent” not controlling
§ 13:42	—Reasonable time to complete legitimate traffic-related purpose of the stop
§ 13:43	—Extending stop to pursue nontraffic concerns—In general
§ 13:44	— —Warrant and criminal history check
§ 13:45	— —Securing presence of drug dog
§ 13:46	— —Questioning and other investigation concerning nontraffic offense
§ 13:47	Permissible duration of a traffic stop—Basis for stop negated
§ 13:48	Detention and/or investigation of passenger during traffic stop
§ 13:49	Possible constitutional limits on arrests for traffic offenses
§ 13:50	Pretext motivation
§ 13:51	Boat stops

C. INVESTIGATORY OR *TERRY* STOPS

§ 13:52	Investigatory stops—In general
§ 13:53	—Officers’ authority to make stops
§ 13:54	Determining whether a detention has occurred
§ 13:55	Need for legitimate investigatory function
§ 13:56	Requirement of “reasonable suspicion”—In general
§ 13:57	—Ongoing, past, and future offenses
§ 13:58	—Seriousness and nature of the suspected offense
§ 13:59	—Conduct as consistent with innocent activity as criminal activity
§ 13:60	—Tips and information from others
§ 13:61	—Specific offense under investigation

- § 13:62 —Meeting description of perpetrator of specific offense
- § 13:63 —Nature of area in which suspect is encountered
- § 13:64 —Flight and other suspicious responses to officers
- § 13:65 —Nervousness in response to police attention
- § 13:66 —Suspicious situations encountered during patrol
- § 13:67 —Stops of motorists based on suspicious driving
- § 13:68 —Passengers in properly stopped car
- § 13:69 —Suspicion of drug activity during traffic stop
- § 13:70 —Drug courier profile
- § 13:71 —Required specificity of testimony
- § 13:72 Movement of the suspect
- § 13:73 Length of detention
- § 13:74 Requests, demands, and searches for identification
- § 13:75 Field sobriety tests
- § 13:76 Use of force

D. COMMUNITY CARETAKING STOPS

- § 13:77 Noncriminal “caretaking” stops
- § 13:78 Officer’s motivation
- § 13:79 Objective basis required
- § 13:80 No right to make incidental search

E. ROADBLOCK STOPS

- § 13:81 Roadblock stops—In general
- § 13:82 Fourth Amendment reasonableness—In general
- § 13:83 —“Information-seeking” roadblock stops
- § 13:84 —Texas court’s interpretation of *Sitz*
- § 13:85 —Permissible purposes
- § 13:86 —Required content of guidelines
- § 13:87 —Reasonableness of particular stops

CHAPTER 14. SEARCHES ASSOCIATED WITH DETENTIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 14:1 Searches associated with detentions—In general
- § 14:2 Admissible fruits of a search—“Plain view” and “plain feel”
- § 14:3 Evidence seized during arrest by officer outside officer’s jurisdiction

B. SEARCHES INCIDENT TO ARREST

- § 14:4 Searches incident to arrest—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:5 Need for “custodial arrest”—In general
- § 14:6 —Formal arrest
- § 14:7 —Traffic stop
- § 14:8 Proximity to arrest necessary
- § 14:9 Scope of search—Person of arrestee
- § 14:10 —Tests on arrestee’s clothing
- § 14:11 —Items “immediately associated” with the person of the arrestee
- § 14:12 —Items not “immediately associated” with the person of the arrestee but within reach
- § 14:13 —Area of possible reach
- § 14:14 —Time controlling in defining permissible scope of search
- § 14:15 —Search of premises for dangerous persons
- § 14:16 —Automobiles
- § 14:17 —Examination of personal effects taken incident to arrest
- § 14:18 —Strip and body cavity searches
- § 14:19 Testing of arrested persons for communicable diseases
- § 14:20 “Caretaking” seizures and searches

C. STATIONHOUSE SEARCHES

- § 14:21 Stationhouse searches

D. WEAPONS SEARCHES

- § 14:22 Weapons searches—In general
- § 14:23 Self-protective action less intrusive than a search
- § 14:24 Reasonable fear for safety—In general
- § 14:25 —Need for officer to subjectively be in fear
- § 14:26 —Objective justification
- § 14:27 —Relevance of the dimension of the danger
- § 14:28 Scope of weapons searches—In general
- § 14:29 —Need for preliminary patdown
- § 14:30 —Permissibility of going beyond patdown
- § 14:31 —Permissible intensity and duration of the patdown
- § 14:32 —Multiple patdowns
- § 14:33 —Searches of items in suspect’s possession
- § 14:34 —Searches of automobiles
- § 14:35 Retrieval of seizable items identified during weapons search

E. IMPLIED CONSENT AND BREATH, BLOOD, AND URINE SPECIMENS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 14:36 The implied consent statute—Overview

- § 14:37 —Other means of obtaining specimens not prohibited
- § 14:38 Constitutional considerations
- § 14:39 Videotaping in intoxication offense investigations

2. DETERMINING WHETHER IMPLIED CONSENT STATUTE APPLIES

- § 14:40 Determining whether implied consent statute applies—In general
- § 14:41 The arrest requirement—In general
- § 14:42 —Determining whether an arrest occurred
- § 14:43 —Continued custody after “arrest”
- § 14:44 —Requirement that arrest be based on particular acts
- § 14:45 Specimens taken for treatment reasons
- § 14:46 Field sobriety tests

3. TAKING OF SPECIMEN WHERE IMPLIED CONSENT STATUTE DOES NOT APPLY

- § 14:47 Specimen taking where statute does not apply—In general
- § 14:48 Blood samples taken pursuant to “evidentiary” search warrants
- § 14:49 Prearrest use of “portable breath tester”

4. TAKING OF SPECIMEN WHERE IMPLIED CONSENT STATUTE APPLIES

- § 14:50 Taking a specimen when implied consent statute applies—In general
- § 14:51 Samples other than of breath or blood
- § 14:52 Right to counsel
- § 14:53 Admissibility of suspect’s ineffective effort to invoke right to counsel
- § 14:54 Taking a specimen with consent—In general
- § 14:55 —Inability to provide specimen because of intoxication
- § 14:56 —Withdrawal of consent
- § 14:57 —Admonishment requirements—In general
- § 14:58 — —Admonishment regarding unfavorable result of analysis following submission
- § 14:59 — —Warning regarding automatic nature of license suspension
- § 14:60 — —Written warning requirement
- § 14:61 —Voluntary consent—In general
- § 14:62 — —Consent influenced by admonitions regarding nonstatutory consequences of refusal
- § 14:63 — —Taking of multiple specimens

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:64 Taking a specimen without consent—In general
- § 14:65 —Persons incapable of refusing consent
- § 14:66 —Injury accident situations—In general
- § 14:67 — —Reasonable grounds that violation caused accident
- § 14:68 — —Requirement that requesting officer have “reasonable grounds” for belief suspect committed vehicle or watercraft operation offense
- § 14:69 Required compliance with rules of the Department of Public Safety—In general
- § 14:70 —Proof as predicate for admission of evidence
- § 14:71 —Requirements for taking of breath specimens—In general
- § 14:72 — —“Presence of the subject” and exercise of “reasonable care”
- § 14:73 — —Conduct during pretest period possibly compromising accuracy of test
- § 14:74 Requirements for taking of blood specimens
- § 14:75 Suspect’s right to additional testing—In general
- § 14:76 —Effect on voluntariness of consent
- § 14:77 —Effect on admissibility of results of initial test

5. *ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE*

- § 14:78 Admissibility of evidence—In general
- § 14:79 General rules of admissibility—In general
- § 14:80 —Refusal to submit to taking of specimen is admissible
- § 14:81 —Results of analysis of specimen taken are admissible
- § 14:82 Effect of statutory requirements on admissibility—In general
- § 14:83 Predicates for testimony—In general
- § 14:84 —Typical compliance testimony
- § 14:85 —Compliance by other testimony
- § 14:86 —Validity of breath testing scientific theory and technique
- § 14:87 Retrograde extrapolation testimony—In general
- § 14:88 —Necessity for such testimony
- § 14:89 —Admissibility of specific testimony
- § 14:90 Admissibility of evidence—“Confession” statute
- § 14:91 Noncompliance with implied consent statute as basis for inadmissibility under exclusionary rule
- § 14:92 Effect of admonishment defects—In general
- § 14:93 —“Harm” from admonishment defects
- § 14:94 —Defendant’s refusal to submit to taking of specimen—In general
- § 14:95 — —Failure to provide written warnings and “harm”
- § 14:96 —Evidence derived from defendant’s submission to taking of specimen

6. *RESOLVING EVIDENCE ISSUES*

- § 14:97 Resolving evidence issues—In general

- § 14:98 Jury submission of implied consent issues—In general
- § 14:99 —Predicate matters
- § 14:100 —Accurate and reliable testing device
- § 14:101 Defendants' right to decision by the court

CHAPTER 15. WARRANTLESS SEARCHES

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 15:1 Warrantless searches—In general
- § 15:2 Sources of law governing warrantless searches
- § 15:3 Suspicionless weapons searches—X-ray and magnetometer examinations

B. EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES SEARCHES

- § 15:4 Exigent circumstances searches in general—The “emergency” doctrine
- § 15:5 —Relationship to rules permitting less intrusive police action
- § 15:6 —Need for officers to be subjectively motivated by the emergency
- § 15:7 Required exigencies—In general
- § 15:8 —Destruction or removal of evidence
- § 15:9 —Danger of harm to officers or others
- § 15:10 —Escape of suspect
- § 15:11 —“Hot pursuit” of suspect
- § 15:12 Requirement of probable cause
- § 15:13 Announcement and other aspects of entry to make exigent circumstances search
- § 15:14 Limits on the scope of permissible search
- § 15:15 No general “crime scene” exception
- § 15:16 Protective “sweeps”
- § 15:17 Community-caretaking actions

C. CONSENT SEARCHES

- § 15:18 Consent searches—In general
- § 15:19 Words or actions constituting consent—In general
- § 15:20 —Implied consent
- § 15:21 Requirement that consent be “voluntary”—In general
- § 15:22 —Consent given during valid detention
- § 15:23 —Consent given after valid detention has ended
- § 15:24 —Acquiescence in apparently inevitable search
- § 15:25 —Consent given during invalid detention
- § 15:26 Third-party consents—In general
- § 15:27 —Defendant present and refusing consent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 15:28 —“Actual” authority to consent
- § 15:29 —“Apparent” authority to consent
- § 15:30 Scope of consent—In general
- § 15:31 —Multiple searches

D. AUTOMOBILE SEARCHES

- § 15:32 Automobile searches—In general
- § 15:33 —What constitutes “search” of a vehicle
- § 15:34 “Automobile” or “vehicle” exception to warrant requirement—
In general
- § 15:35 —Exigent circumstances not required
- § 15:36 —Movement of vehicle to stationhouse for search
- § 15:37 —Scope of search permitted—In general
- § 15:38 — —Passengers
- § 15:39 — —Property belonging to passenger
- § 15:40 Search of vehicle located on private property
- § 15:41 Search of vehicle incident to arrest of occupant—In general
- § 15:42 —Reason to believe evidence relevant to arrest will be found
- § 15:43 —Occupancy necessary to trigger right to search
- § 15:44 —Duration of the right to search
- § 15:45 Inventory search of properly seized vehicle—In general
- § 15:46 —Propriety of seizing vehicle—In general
- § 15:47 — —Seizure of vehicle as stolen property
- § 15:48 — —Impoundment after arrest of driver or owner
- § 15:49 —Standardized inventory procedure
- § 15:50 —Scope of inspection
- § 15:51 —Attacking a search on the basis of an “evidentiary intent”
- § 15:52 Examining contents of “containers” found in vehicles—In
general
- § 15:53 —Searches incident to arrest
- § 15:54 —Inventory searches
- § 15:55 —Vehicle exception searches—In general
- § 15:56 — —Containers belonging to passengers

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 16. CONFESSIONS, STATEMENTS, AND ADMISSIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 16:1 Confession law—In general
- § 16:2 Terminology—Confessions, admissions, statements
- § 16:3 Sources and nature of limits upon admissibility of self-incriminating statements
- § 16:4 Suspects' rights: warnings, counsel, waivers, termination of interrogation, and the like
- § 16:5 Waivers—Express and “implied”
- § 16:6 Categorizing confession issues—*Oursbourn v. State*
- § 16:7 No need for authority to interrogate
- § 16:8 Admissibility of confession made out of state
- § 16:9 Admissibility of confession made to federal officer
- § 16:10 Evidence of out-of-court admissions made in languages other than English and contemporaneously translated
- § 16:11 Evidence of out-of-court admissions made in languages other than English and showing the admission as made in that language
- § 16:12 Defendants' right at trial to challenge the credibility of confession evidence
- § 16:13 —Expert testimony
- § 16:14 State's proof of warnings without subsequent confession
- § 16:15 Accuracy, probative value, unfair prejudice, and Evidence Rule 403
- § 16:16 Effect of Vienna Convention on Consular Relations on admissibility of confessions

B. EFFECT OF INADMISSIBLE CONFESSION ON OTHER EVIDENCE

- § 16:17 Evidence obtained as a result of an inadmissible confession—
In general
- § 16:18 —Federal constitutional law
- § 16:19 —Texas statutory law
- § 16:20 Effect of inadmissible confession upon subsequent confessions—In general
- § 16:21 —First confession inadmissible on *Miranda* or Sixth Amendment grounds
- § 16:22 —First confession involuntary under federal constitutional standards

§ 16:23 —First confession inadmissible on state law grounds

C. TRIGGERING MAJOR CONFESSION LAW DOCTRINES: “CUSTODY” AND “INTERROGATION”

- § 16:24 Triggering requirements for *Miranda* and Article 38.22—In general
- § 16:25 Custody—In general
- § 16:26 —Already incarcerated suspect
- § 16:27 —Nonarrest investigatory detentions as custody under Article 38.22
- § 16:28 Official interrogation—In general
- § 16:29 —Conduct constituting interrogation
- § 16:30 —Requirement that interrogation be “official”

D. THE TEXAS “CONFESSION STATUTE”—IN GENERAL

- § 16:31 Origin and development of the Texas “confession statute”
- § 16:32 General structure of the statute
- § 16:33 Distinction among the types of statutory issues
- § 16:34 Exclusionary sanctions
- § 16:35 Requirement of “custody”
- § 16:36 Requirement of official interrogation
- § 16:37 Deaf person’s right to warnings by interpreter

E. THE TEXAS “CONFESSION STATUTE”—GENERAL EXCEPTIONS

- § 16:38 Exceptions to Article 38.22 generally
- § 16:39 Impeachment exception—In general
- § 16:40 —Inapplicable to nondefendant defense testimony
- § 16:41 —Requirement of voluntariness
- § 16:42 —Limiting jury charge
- § 16:43 Exception for examining trial statements
- § 16:44 Exception for statements before grand juries
- § 16:45 Exception for statements made at trial
- § 16:46 Exception for other admissible statements

F. THE TEXAS “CONFESSION STATUTE”—WRITTEN STATEMENT REQUIREMENTS

- § 16:47 Requirements applicable to written statements—In general
- § 16:48 —Defining the statement
- § 16:49 History and development of written confession facial requirements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 16:50	Signing by defendant
§ 16:51	Requirement that statement reflect defendant's admissions
§ 16:52	Facial showings—Warnings—In general
§ 16:53	— —Substance of warnings
§ 16:54	— —Substantial compliance
§ 16:55	— —Procedural aspects of warnings
§ 16:56	—Waivers
§ 16:57	—“The face of the statement”
§ 16:58	Effect of facial shortcomings on admissibility of statements
§ 16:59	Requirements applicable to warnings actually given
§ 16:60	“For or against” admonition rule—In general
§ 16:61	—Limitation to preinterrogation warning
§ 16:62	Requirement that warning be received from person to whom statement is “made”—In general
§ 16:63	—Identifying officers from whom warnings are received and those to whom statement is “made”
§ 16:64	—Evaluation of case law development of the requirement
§ 16:65	Timing of the warnings
§ 16:66	Accused's perception that oral admissions can be used
§ 16:67	Proof of written statement
§ 16:68	Written documents and self-incriminating statements—In general
§ 16:69	—Use of inadmissible written statement to “prove” admissible oral statement
§ 16:70	—Use of transcription of oral statement

G. THE TEXAS “CONFESSION STATUTE”— PROHIBITION AGAINST PROOF OF ORAL SELF-INCRIMINATING STATEMENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

§ 16:71	Texas “oral confession” rule
---------	------------------------------

2. PROHIBITION AGAINST PROOF OF ORAL STATEMENTS

§ 16:72	Prohibition against use of oral statements
§ 16:73	Definition of oral statement
§ 16:74	Origin of the oral-confession rule
§ 16:75	Rationale of the oral-confession rule
§ 16:76	Oral-confession rule to be “strictly construe[d]”

3. WARNINGS AND ORAL STATEMENTS

§ 16:77	Warnings required for oral statements
---------	---------------------------------------

- § 16:78 Warnings cannot make inadmissible oral statement admissible

4. WAIVERS REQUIRED FOR ORAL STATEMENTS

- § 16:79 Written statement waiver requirements do not apply to oral statements

**H. THE TEXAS “CONFESSION STATUTE”—
EXCEPTIONS TO THE ORAL-STATEMENT
RULE**

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 16:80 Exceptions—In general

2. RES GESTAE STATEMENTS

- § 16:81 Exception for res gestae statements—In general
§ 16:82 Requirement that statement be contemporaneous with stimulating event
§ 16:83 Effect of limitation of statute to statements made in response to interrogation

3. “PARTIALLY CORROBORATED” STATEMENTS

- § 16:84 “Partially corroborated” statements—In general
§ 16:85 “Assertions of facts or circumstances” that may be corroborated
§ 16:86 Necessary incriminating nature of the corroborated facts or assertions
§ 16:87 “F[inding the incriminating assertion of fact or circumstances] to be true”
§ 16:88 Corroboration need not be consequence of statement
§ 16:89 Requirement that corroboration be by evidence developed after statement made
§ 16:90 Entire “statement” rendered admissible by partial corroboration
§ 16:91 Supportability of partial corroboration exception

4. ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED STATEMENTS

- § 16:92 Electronically recorded statements—In general
§ 16:93 —Required showing of competency of recording device operator
§ 16:94 —Required showing of accuracy and lack of alteration
§ 16:95 Requirement of warnings during the recording
§ 16:96 Requirement of waiver during the recording

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 16:97 Provision of recordings to defense counsel
- § 16:98 Identification of voices
- § 16:99 Preservation of recordings
- § 16:100 Necessary completeness of recording
- § 16:101 Accuracy and alterations of recordings
- § 16:102 Proof of admissible recorded statement

I. VOLUNTARINESS

- § 16:103 Voluntariness requirements—In general
- § 16:104 Fourteenth Amendment due process voluntariness requirement
- § 16:105 Texas law voluntariness requirements
- § 16:106 Need for official misconduct—In general
- § 16:107 —14th Amendment due process
- § 16:108 —State due process and statutory requirements
- § 16:109 Voluntariness analysis—Unlawful violence
- § 16:110 —The “totality of the circumstances”
- § 16:111 —Representation that confession is legally required
- § 16:112 —No “per se” effect of “for or against” (or similar) statement
- § 16:113 —Accuracy of particular statement
- § 16:114 Statements induced by promises—In general
- § 16:115 —Due process standard
- § 16:116 Promises under Texas voluntariness law—In general
- § 16:117 —Promise made during negotiations initiated by defendant
- § 16:118 —“Positive” promise of some benefit
- § 16:119 —Made or sanctioned by one in authority
- § 16:120 —Likely to influence suspect to confess untruthfully
- § 16:121 Statements induced by deception—In general
- § 16:122 —Due process voluntariness—In general
- § 16:123 — —Misrepresentations regarding extrinsic considerations and others concerning the suspect’s connection to the crime
- § 16:124 —Texas law
- § 16:125 — —Use of criminally false report
- § 16:126 Effect of suspect’s effort to invoke inapplicable *Miranda* rights

J. FIFTH AMENDMENT REQUIREMENTS— *MIRANDA*

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 16:127 *Miranda* requirements—In general
- § 16:128 —Warning, waiving, and invoking of the rights
- § 16:129 Application of *Miranda*—Custody and interrogation
- § 16:130 Application of *Miranda* to sobriety tests

- § 16:131 Need to “rewarn” under *Miranda*
- § 16:132 Distinguishing waiver issues from invocation of *Miranda* rights
- § 16:133 Exclusionary consequences of *Miranda* violations

2. EXCEPTIONS TO MIRANDA REQUIREMENTS

- § 16:134 *Miranda* exceptions—In general
- § 16:135 Public safety
- § 16:136 Undercover investigations
- § 16:137 Routine and noninvestigatory questioning

3. MIRANDA REQUIREMENTS—COUNSEL DURING INTERROGATION

- § 16:138 Right to counsel

4. MIRANDA REQUIREMENTS—WARNINGS

- § 16:139 *Miranda* warning requirements
- § 16:140 The right to effective warnings and “question first, warn later”—In general
- § 16:141 —*Missouri v. Seibert*
- § 16:142 —Texas adoption of Justice Kennedy’s *Seibert* analysis

5. MIRANDA REQUIREMENTS—NO INTERROGATION IF RIGHT TO COUNSEL INVOKED

- § 16:143 Bar to interrogation raised by invoking the right to counsel
- § 16:144 Invoking the right to counsel and the *Edwards* Rule—In general
- § 16:145 —Need for unambiguous statement
- § 16:146 Prospective invocations of the right to counsel
- § 16:147 Limited invocation of the right to counsel
- § 16:148 Avoiding the *Edwards* Rule—Suspect’s spontaneous change of mind
- § 16:149 Intervening events ending the bar to reapproach

6. INVOKING THE RIGHT TO SILENCE AND ITS EFFECT

- § 16:150 Invoking the right to remain silent—In general
- § 16:151 —Need for an unambiguous invocation
- § 16:152 —Consenting to only an unrecorded interview
- § 16:153 —Showing defendant’s invocation of the rights to the jury
- § 16:154 —Reapproaching the suspect

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 16:155 Invoking the right to terminate the interview—In general
- § 16:156 —Distinguishing an objection to recording of the interview
- § 16:157 Questioning suspect over objection when lawyer is present

7. WAIVERS AND THEIR VALIDITY

- § 16:158 Waiver of *Miranda* rights—In general
- § 16:159 Waiver may be express or implied
- § 16:160 Need for waivers before interrogation begins—In general
- § 16:161 —Waiver of right to remain silent
- § 16:162 —Waiver of right to counsel
- § 16:163 Effectiveness of waivers—In general
- § 16:164 —Voluntariness
- § 16:165 —Intelligence
- § 16:166 Deception by law enforcement officers

K. SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO COUNSEL REQUIREMENTS

- § 16:167 Sixth Amendment counsel requirements—In general
- § 16:168 Triggering the right—Adversary judicial proceedings
- § 16:169 —Discontinuation of proceedings
- § 16:170 Undercover situations
- § 16:171 Overt custodial interrogation situations—In general
- § 16:172 —Warnings
- § 16:173 —Waivers
- § 16:174 —Interference with counsel’s access to suspect
- § 16:175 —Interrogation or approach permissible only with permission of counsel
- § 16:176 *Edwards* Rule and the Sixth Amendment
- § 16:177 —*Montejo*’s clarification of the rule
- § 16:178 Offense-specific nature of the Sixth Amendment right and interrogation regarding different offenses—In general
- § 16:179 —Intertwined offenses
- § 16:180 Exclusionary remedy for Sixth Amendment violation
- § 16:181 Impeachment use of statements

L. CONFESSIONS OBTAINED DURING DELAYS IN PRESENTING SUSPECTS BEFORE MAGISTRATES

- § 16:182 Delay in presentation as basis for exclusion—In general
- § 16:183 Origin of and rationale for Texas “causal connection” rule
- § 16:184 Showing the required “causal connection”
- § 16:185 Possible abandonment of delay as independent basis for exclusion

- § 16:186 “Causal connection” rule reconsidered
- § 16:187 Effect on confession of delay in violation of constitutional requirements

M. SUSPECTS’ SILENCE AS “CONFESSIONS”

- § 16:188 Silence as a “confession”—In general
- § 16:189 Federal constitutional limits on use of silence
- § 16:190 Texas law limits on use of silence—In general
- § 16:191 —Testifying defendant leaving false impression with jury

N. STATEMENTS MADE BY SUSPECTS SUBJECT TO THE FAMILY CODE’S JUVENILE PROVISIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 16:192 Family Code requirements and admissibility of statements in criminal prosecutions—In general
- § 16:193 —Flexibility in applying exclusionary rule
- § 16:194 Definition of “child” subject to Family Code requirements
- § 16:195 Waiver by misrepresenting age
- § 16:196 “Strict compliance” with Family Code provisions required

2. FAMILY CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR PROCESSING DETAINED CHILD

- § 16:197 Family Code requirements for processing detained child—In general
- § 16:198 Referral of child presented to juvenile court back to police for interrogation and/or investigation
- § 16:199 Detention in a juvenile processing office
- § 16:200 Law enforcement questioning
- § 16:201 Alternative grounds on which child’s custody may become violative of statute
- § 16:202 “Without first taking the child to any place other than a juvenile processing office”
- § 16:203 “Without unnecessary delay”
- § 16:204 Volunteered statements during custody violating statute
- § 16:205 “Causal connection” and dissipation of the taint
- § 16:206 Parental notification

3. STATEMENTS MADE IN CUSTODY AND IN RESPONSE TO INTERROGATION

- § 16:207 Statements made in custody and in response to interrogation—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 16:208 Written statements
- § 16:209 Recorded statements
- § 16:210 “Volunteered” statements not stemming from interrogation
- § 16:211 Voluntary statements used to impeach testifying defendant
- § 16:212 Warnings required
- § 16:213 Oral statements partially corroborated

CHAPTER 17. LINEUPS, SHOWUPS, PHOTO SHOWINGS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 17:1 Eyewitness identification testimony—In general
- § 17:2 “Fairness” of the in-court identification
- § 17:3 Testimony as to previous identifications
- § 17:4 Voice “lineups” and “showups”

B. CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS—IN GENERAL

- § 17:5 Overview of the constitutional requirements
- § 17:6 Exclusionary remedy

C. RIGHT TO COUNSEL

- § 17:7 Right to counsel—In general
- § 17:8 Attachment of the Sixth Amendment right to counsel
- § 17:9 Attachment of the Texas constitutional right to counsel
- § 17:10 Waiver of counsel
- § 17:11 Counsel’s function
- § 17:12 Testimony as to identification at tainted proceeding
- § 17:13 In-court identification admissible upon proof of “independent source”

D. IMPERMISSIBLE SUGGESTIVENESS

- § 17:14 Due process standard—In general
- § 17:15 —Need for governmental action
- § 17:16 Burden of proof
- § 17:17 Impermissible suggestiveness—In general
- § 17:18 —Suggestiveness
- § 17:19 —“Unnecessariness” of the suggestiveness
- § 17:20 Substantial likelihood of misidentification—In general
- § 17:21 —The criteria applied
- § 17:22 “Independent source” in the due process context

E. NONEXCLUSIONARY RESPONSES TO RELIABILITY CONCERNS

- § 17:23 Nonexclusionary responses—In general
- § 17:24 Expert testimony—In general
- § 17:25 —Relevancy under Rule 702
- § 17:26 —Reliability under Rule 702
- § 17:27 —Balance of probative value, unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, misleading of the jury, and delay
- § 17:28 —Application of the *Jordan* analysis
- § 17:29 Cautionary instructions—In general
- § 17:30 —*Telfaire* instructions

CHAPTER 18. MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS ON EXCLUSIONARY RULE AND CONFESSION LAW GROUNDS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 18:1 “Suppression issues”—Exclusionary rule and confession law challenges to evidence
- § 18:2 Applicability of Article 38.22, sections 6 and 7 procedure
- § 18:3 Exclusionary rules and confession law requirements no basis for dismissal
- § 18:4 Defendants’ right to definitive ruling by judge

B. MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS

- § 18:5 Pretrial motions to suppress—In general
- § 18:6 —Definition
- § 18:7 Motions to suppress after *Medrano*
- § 18:8 Requirements for the pretrial motion to suppress

C. DEFENDANTS’ RIGHT TO DETERMINATION OF “VOLUNTARINESS” OF STATEMENT

- § 18:9 Determining the voluntariness of a statement
- § 18:10 Mandatory hearing on voluntariness—In general
- § 18:11 —Requirement of voluntariness challenge and specific allegations
- § 18:12 Defendants’ right to trial court ruling and findings
- § 18:13 State’s right to trial court ruling and findings if confession found inadmissible
- § 18:14 Appellate remedy for violation of hearing and finding requirements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D. NEED TO RAISE SUPPRESSION ISSUES PRETRIAL

- § 18:15 Suppression issues need not be raised pretrial
- § 18:16 Possible exception when case is set for pretrial hearing

E. BURDENS OF PROCEEDING AND PROOF

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 18:17 Burdens in general

2. EXCLUSIONARY RULE MATTERS

- § 18:18 Burdens of proceeding and persuasion in search and seizure matters—In general
- § 18:19 Nature of the burdens
- § 18:20 Placement of the burdens
- § 18:21 Proof of supporting warrant
- § 18:22 Proving warrantless police action
- § 18:23 Invalidity of action taken under warrant
- § 18:24 “Standing”
- § 18:25 Causation, fruit of the poisonous tree, and independent source
- § 18:26 Consent to search—In general
- § 18:27 —Proof by clear and convincing evidence required
- § 18:28 Exceptions to exclusionary requirements—In general
- § 18:29 Attenuation of the taint

3. CONFESSION MATTERS

- § 18:30 Burden of proof—In general
- § 18:31 Voluntariness—In general
- § 18:32 —Applicable only if defendant raises the voluntariness issue
- § 18:33 Custodial interrogation
- § 18:34 Warnings, waivers, and related matters
- § 18:35 Admissibility of oral statement

F. RESOLVING SUPPRESSION ISSUES

- § 18:36 Trial judge has no duty to rule pretrial
- § 18:37 Issues inappropriate for pretrial resolution
- § 18:38 Resolving suppression issues without a full evidentiary hearing—In general
- § 18:39 —Resolving a motion on the motion itself
- § 18:40 —Resolving a motion on affidavits at a “paper hearing”
- § 18:41 Defendants’ possible right to a full evidentiary hearing

- § 18:42 Relitigation at hearing on motion to suppress of matters resolved earlier in another proceeding
- § 18:43 Relitigation at hearing on motion to suppress of matters resolved in the same proceeding

G. HEARINGS ON SUPPRESSION CLAIMS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 18:44 Full evidentiary hearings on suppression claims

2. COPIES OF RECORDED STATEMENTS AT ISSUE

- § 18:45 Defendants' right to copies of recorded statements for hearing on admissibility

3. PRODUCTION AND INTRODUCTION OF WARRANTS AND AFFIDAVITS

- § 18:46 State's duty to produce warrants and affidavits—In general
- § 18:47 When the duty to produce arises
- § 18:48 "Production" required
- § 18:49 Defendant's duty to introduce warrants and affidavits

4. FAILURE TO CALL REBUTTING WITNESSES

- § 18:50 State's failure to call rebutting witnesses—In general
- § 18:51 Explanations for failing to call rebutting witnesses

5. CONDUCTING THE HEARING

- § 18:52 Conducting the hearing—In general
- § 18:53 Compelling attendance of witnesses
- § 18:54 Hearsay and "probable cause"
- § 18:55 Evidentiary rules in hearings on motions to suppress—In general
- § 18:56 —Appropriate or required practice under *Granados*
- § 18:57 The motion to suppress as evidence

6. SUFFICIENCY AND SPECIFICITY OF EVIDENCE REQUIRED

- § 18:58 Required "sufficiency" of evidence to support denial of motion to suppress
- § 18:59 Required "specificity" of evidence to support denial of motion to suppress

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- § 18:60 Findings of fact and conclusions of law

8. EVALUATION

- § 18:61 Critique of present situation

H. APPELLATE REVIEW

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 18:62 Appellate review of trial court rulings on suppression matters
§ 18:63 Evidence insufficient because of defense objections

2. STANDARD OF REVIEW

- § 18:64 Standard of appellate review in appeals from rulings on motions to suppress—In general
§ 18:65 Issues subject to special standards of review
§ 18:66 Trial judges' findings of historical fact—In general
§ 18:67 Trial judges' finding of historical facts—Inferences from facts
§ 18:68 Trial judges' findings of historical fact—Unusual situations not requiring deference
§ 18:69 —Motion decided on affidavits
§ 18:70 —Judge's power to disbelieve uncontroverted testimony
§ 18:71 Questions of law
§ 18:72 "Application of law to fact questions" or "mixed questions of law and fact"
§ 18:73 De novo review in "application of law to fact questions" or "mixed questions of law and fact"—In general
§ 18:74 —De novo review as mandatory or discretionary
§ 18:75 —Uncontroverted testimony supporting legality of acquisition of evidence
§ 18:76 Review of voluntariness determinations

3. CONSIDERATION OF TRIAL EVIDENCE

- § 18:77 Trial evidence generally not considered in reviewing pretrial ruling on motion to suppress
§ 18:78 Trial evidence considered where suppression issue relitigated

I. PRETRIAL RULING AS PRESERVING ISSUES FOR APPEAL

- § 18:79 Pretrial overruling of the motion as preserving error for appeal—In general

- § 18:80 —Record must show judge intended a definitive and final ruling

CHAPTER 19. DOUBLE JEOPARDY

A. INTRODUCTION. PREREQUISITES, ATTACHMENT OF JEOPARDY, RAISING CLAIMS

- § 19:1 Introduction
- § 19:2 Double jeopardy protections limited to criminal proceedings
- § 19:3 Attachment of jeopardy
- § 19:4 The requirement of jurisdiction for jeopardy attachment
- § 19:5 Continuing jeopardy
- § 19:6 Raising double jeopardy claims

B. DEFINING THE SAME AND DIFFERENT OFFENSES

- § 19:7 Defining the same offense: acts and statutory offenses
- § 19:8 Defining the same offense: the *Blockburger* rule
- § 19:9 The same offense under the former carving doctrine and the continuing importance of the number of acts
- § 19:10 The same offense definition in continuing offenses
- § 19:11 The preeminence of legislative intent in determining the same offense
- § 19:12 Determining legislative intent with regard to the same or different offenses
- § 19:13 The importance of the offense charged to determining the same offense
- § 19:14 The scope of double jeopardy protection and lesser included offenses
- § 19:15 Multiple punishments for the “same offense” at one trial
- § 19:16 Choosing the offense to vacate when multiple punishments applied to same offense in one trial
- § 19:17 The meaning of “same offense” for multiple penalties in one trial and multiple trials
- § 19:18 The federal definition of same offense in multiple trials
- § 19:19 The definition of same offense in multiple trials under the Texas Constitution
- § 19:20 Policy concerns and the meaning of the “same offense” in multiple prosecutions

C. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL

- § 19:21 Collateral estoppel in general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 19:22 Collateral estoppel applicable only to criminal trial proceedings resulting in acquittal
- § 19:23 Applicability of collateral estoppel to civil proceedings and collateral criminal hearings
- § 19:24 Collateral estoppel and the ambiguity of jury verdicts
- § 19:25 Collateral estoppel inapplicable within single criminal trial

D. PRIOR ACQUITTAL

- § 19:26 Double jeopardy protections after jury acquittal
- § 19:27 Acquittals by the judge
- § 19:28 Jury conviction, judicial acquittal

E. PRIOR CONVICTION

- § 19:29 Double jeopardy protections following conviction
- § 19:30 Insufficient evidence exception
- § 19:31 Conviction of lesser offense as implied acquittal
- § 19:32 Prosecution of lesser offense after appellate acquittal for greater
- § 19:33 Exceptions to typical rules with regard to lesser included offenses

F. MISTRIALS AND DISMISSALS

- § 19:34 Double jeopardy and mistrials at the request or with the consent of defendant
- § 19:35 Mistrials intentionally provoked by the prosecution
- § 19:36 Manifest necessity for mistrial

G. MULTIPLE SENTENCES

- § 19:37 Double jeopardy and increased sentences
- § 19:38 Double jeopardy and sentence enhancements
- § 19:39 “Acquitted” of the death penalty
- § 19:40 Sentence increases on appeal

H. DUAL SOVEREIGNTY

- § 19:41 Prosecutions by different sovereigns: federal prosecution after state
- § 19:42 State prosecution after federal
- § 19:43 State prosecution following prosecution in a different state
- § 19:44 Prosecution in one county or municipality after prosecution in another

CHAPTER 20. PROMPT PRESENTATION BEFORE THE MAGISTRATE

- § 20:1 Terminology—“Magistrating” the accused

- § 20:2 Requirement of prompt presentation
- § 20:3 Texas Fair Defense Act of 2001
- § 20:4 Arrest on misdemeanor warrant issued in another county
- § 20:5 Prompt presentation and confession law considerations
- § 20:6 Prompt presentation and arrestees' right to probable cause determination
- § 20:7 Prompt presentation and arrestee's right to probable cause determination—Texas Fair Defense Act of 2001—State law right to probable cause determination
- § 20:8 — —Right to release on bond where no probable cause determination made
- § 20:9 — —Postponement of probable cause determination
- § 20:10 Duties of the magistrate at the appearance
- § 20:11 Requirement of presentation “without unnecessary delay”—In general
- § 20:12 —Delay for investigatory reasons
- § 20:13 —Delay for interrogation purposes
- § 20:14 —Availability of magistrate
- § 20:15 Effect of unnecessary delay—In general
- § 20:16 —Admissibility of evidence
- § 20:17 Right to counsel
- § 20:18 Completeness and accuracy of magistrate's admonitions—In general
- § 20:19 —Informing accused of the accusation
- § 20:20 New presentation not required by shifts in custody or investigation
- § 20:21 Authority to issue order for emergency protection in family violence cases

CHAPTER 21. RELEASE PENDING COURT APPEARANCE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 21:1 Pretrial release—In general
- § 21:2 Release during and after trial

B. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 21:3 Federal constitutional considerations
- § 21:4 Texas constitutional right to bail
- § 21:5 Jurisdiction over pretrial release matters—In general
- § 21:6 —Habeas corpus
- § 21:7 Application of evidentiary rules to bail proceedings
- § 21:8 Litigating pretrial release issues

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 21:9 Pretrial release where right to probable cause determination is violated

C. ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL BONDSMEN IN PRETRIAL RELEASE

- § 21:10 Bondsmen in Texas bail law
§ 21:11 Regulation of bondsmen
§ 21:12 Surety's limited right to "retake" a defendant
§ 21:13 Surety's ability to get "off the risk"
§ 21:14 Termination of bondsman's pretrial responsibility

D. PRETRIAL RELEASE OF BAILABLE DEFENDANTS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 21:15 Introduction

2. TYPES OF RELEASE AND TERMINOLOGY

- § 21:16 Bail—In general
§ 21:17 Bail bond—In general
§ 21:18 —"Cash only" bond
§ 21:19 Personal bond—In general
§ 21:20 —Impaired defendants
§ 21:21 Release without bond
§ 21:22 Bond providing differentially for surety and cash deposit

3. REASONABLE OR APPROPRIATE BAIL

- § 21:23 Reasonable or appropriate bail—In general
§ 21:24 —Significance of case law
§ 21:25 Assurance that defendant will comply with appearance undertakings
§ 21:26 Nature and circumstances of the offense
§ 21:27 Ability to make bail
§ 21:28 Future safety of the victim
§ 21:29 Future safety of the community
§ 21:30 Accused's community ties and other circumstances
§ 21:31 Strength or weakness of State's case
§ 21:32 Inappropriate considerations generally
§ 21:33 Setting bail to assure pretrial custody

4. *CONDITIONS UPON PRETRIAL RELEASE*

- § 21:34 Conditions upon release—In general

- § 21:35 Challenging conditions on pretrial release
- § 21:36 Conditions must be capable of being met
- § 21:37 Authorizations for specific types of conditions
- § 21:38 Trial courts' inherent power to condition pretrial release
- § 21:39 General authorization for "conditions relating to victim or community safety"
- § 21:40 Revoking bond for violating "conditions relating to victim or community safety"

5. *PRETRIAL APPEAL BY THE STATE*

- § 21:41 Effect on bail of pretrial appeal by the state

E. PROCEDURE IN BAILABLE CASES

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 21:42 Procedure—In general
- § 21:43 Taking and setting bail by peace officer

2. INITIAL SETTING OF BAIL

- § 21:44 Initial setting of bail—In general
- § 21:45 Use of "schedule"
- § 21:46 Delayed release in family violence cases

3. MODIFYING BAIL PREVIOUSLY SET

- § 21:47 Modifying bail previously set—In general
- § 21:48 —Notice and hearing
- § 21:49 Modifying bail at examining trial
- § 21:50 Postindictment modification in felony cases
- § 21:51 Motion filed in court where case is pending
- § 21:52 Modifying bail at state's request—In general
- § 21:53 —Showing that bail set is insufficient
- § 21:54 —Seeking reduction at habeas corpus hearing

4. DEFENDANTS' CHALLENGES TO BAIL PROCEDURE OR AMOUNT

- § 21:55 Defendants' challenges to bail procedure or amount—In general
- § 21:56 Need to prove inability to make bail set
- § 21:57 Need to prove amount that can be met
- § 21:58 Appeal from denial of relief by habeas judge

F. RELEASE BY REDUCTION OF BAIL WHEN STATE IS NOT "READY"

- § 21:59 Release when state is not "ready"—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 21:60	Constitutional validity of the statute
§ 21:61	Defendants' need to seek relief while state is not ready
§ 21:62	Time periods
§ 21:63	Readiness and the state's prima facie case
§ 21:64	Rebuttal by showing of not ready
§ 21:65	Accomplishing the release
§ 21:66	Exceptions to the right to release

G. REVOCATION OF BAIL

§ 21:67	"Revocation" of bail previously set
§ 21:68	Trial courts lack general power to revoke and deny bail
§ 21:69	"Revocation" of bail for violation of condition
§ 21:70	Constitutional authority to deny bail after revocation of bail
§ 21:71	Revocation after 2005 and 2007 constitutional amendments
§ 21:72	Order revoking bail not appealable

H. DENIAL OF BAIL—IN GENERAL

§ 21:73	Denial of bail
§ 21:74	Sources of authority for denial of bail—In general
§ 21:75	—Capital cases
§ 21:76	—Noncapital felony cases
§ 21:77	—Denial of bail after revocation
§ 21:78	Constitutionality of "preventive detention"

I. DENIAL OF BAIL—CAPITAL CASES

§ 21:79	Denying bail in capital cases
§ 21:80	Initial denial of bail
§ 21:81	Defendants' appellate remedies
§ 21:82	Required showing for denial of bail
§ 21:83	Discretion in denying bail under section 11

J. DENIAL OF BAIL—NONCAPITAL CASES

1. INTRODUCTION

§ 21:84	Denial of bail in noncapital cases—In general
---------	---

2. PROCEDURE FOR DENIAL OF BAIL IN NONCAPITAL CASES

§ 21:85	Denial of bail in the trial court
§ 21:86	Seven-day requirement for denial of bail
§ 21:87	Defendants' appellate remedies
§ 21:88	Denial of bail limited to 60 days

**3. SHOWING REQUIRED FOR DENIAL OF BAIL
IN NONCAPITAL CASES**

- § 21:89 Showing required—In general
- § 21:90 “[A]ccused” of noncapital felony
- § 21:91 Evidence substantially showing guilt
- § 21:92 Two prior felony convictions
- § 21:93 Offense committed while on felony bail
- § 21:94 Offense involving deadly weapon
- § 21:95 Violent or sexual offense committed while on felony
community supervision or parole
- § 21:96 Discretion in denying bail under section 11a

K. DENIAL OF BAIL IN FAMILY VIOLENCE CASES

- § 21:97 Constitutional authority
- § 21:98 Legislative provision for denial of bail

**L. DENIAL OF BAIL AFTER REVOCATION IN
FAMILY VIOLENCE CASES**

- § 21:99 Postrevocation denial of bail in family violence cases

CHAPTER 22. EXAMINING TRIALS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 22:1 Examining trials—In general
- § 22:2 Examining trial law and its relationship to practice
- § 22:3 Formal functions of the examining trial
- § 22:4 Discovery value of the examining trial
- § 22:5 Relationship between examining trials and habeas corpus

B. RIGHT TO EXAMINING TRIAL

- § 22:6 Felony defendants’ right to examining trials
- § 22:7 Effect of indictment upon right to examining trials
- § 22:8 Securing an examining trial
- § 22:9 —Who may hold examining trials?
- § 22:10 —Jurisdiction over the prosecution
- § 22:11 Role of examining trial in preserving testimony
- § 22:12 Reconsideration of bail at examining trial

C. EFFECT OF EXAMINING TRIAL

- § 22:13 Magistrate’s options at conclusion of examining trial
- § 22:14 “Discharge” of defendant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 22:15 Discharge has no effect on State's ability to pursue formal charge
- § 22:16 Tactical advantages of examining trials

D. CONDUCT OF THE EXAMINING TRIAL

- § 22:17 Enforcing defendants' examining trial procedural rights
- § 22:18 Defining the issue of probable cause
- § 22:19 Showing required of the State
- § 22:20 Propriety of considering defensive matters
- § 22:21 Defendants' procedural rights—In general
- § 22:22 —Right to cross-examine state witnesses and to call and compel the appearance of defense witnesses
- § 22:23 Defendants' "statements"
- § 22:24 Depositions in examining trials

E. CASES PROSECUTED AFTER WAIVER OF JUVENILE COURT JURISDICTION

- § 22:25 Examining trials in prosecutions following juvenile court waivers—In general
- § 22:26 Need for examining trials in waiver cases—Pre-1987 law
- § 22:27 —Post-1987 law
- § 22:28 Effects of the examining trial in waiver cases

F. MISDEMEANOR PROSECUTIONS

- § 22:29 No right to examining trial in misdemeanor cases
- § 22:30 Habeas corpus as vehicle for challenging probable cause in misdemeanor cases

CHAPTER 23. DEVELOPING THE FORMAL CHARGE—THE GRAND JURY

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 23:1 Grand jury charging—In general
- § 23:2 Defendants' right to grand jury indictment
- § 23:3 —Right to be tried only on offense authorized by grand jury
- § 23:4 —Harm from denial of the right
- § 23:5 Defendants' ability to raise issues arising from the grand jury process
- § 23:6 Competency of grand jurors as witnesses in attacks on indictments
- § 23:7 Prosecutors' charging discretion and the grand jury
- § 23:8 Policy of grand jury secrecy—In general

- § 23:9 —Information about grand jurors
- § 23:10 Grand jury “venue”—Geographical scope of grand jury’s authority and concern
- § 23:11 Need to present indictment in impaneling district court
- § 23:12 Reindictment of previously indicted defendant

B. PROCEDURAL CHALLENGES TO GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS, PROSECUTIONS, AND CONVICTIONS BASED ON GRAND JURY MATTERS

- § 23:13 Procedural ways of raising grand jury issues—In general
- § 23:14 Challenges during grand jury formation—In general
- § 23:15 —Challenge to the array
- § 23:16 —Challenge to a particular grand juror
- § 23:17 Challenges to prosecutions based on grand jury formation—
In general
- § 23:18 Motions to set aside—In general
- § 23:19 —Discrimination in selection of the grand jury
- § 23:20 —Disqualified grand juror
- § 23:21 Challenges to postformation grand jury procedure by motion
to set aside
- § 23:22 Grand jury must function during term in which it was
authorized to act

C. FORMATION OF THE GRAND JURY

- § 23:23 Formation of the grand jury—In general
- § 23:24 Number of grand jurors
- § 23:25 Indictments returned during extension of grand jury term

D. PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE GRAND JURY

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 23:26 Grand jury proceedings—In general

2. SUBPOENA AND ATTACHMENT POWER

- § 23:27 Grand jury’s subpoena and attachment power—In general
- § 23:28 Penalty for refusal to testify
- § 23:29 Refusal to testify can be punished only if question is “proper”
- § 23:30 Subpoena duces tecum for production of documents or other
items
- § 23:31 Subpoena duces tecum as “search” or “seizure”
- § 23:32 Abuse of the subpoena power to circumvent arrest or search
requirements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 23:33 Subpoena to be secret

3. PARTICIPATION BY THE PROSECUTOR, THE ACCUSED, AND DEFENSE COUNSEL

§ 23:34 Role of the prosecutor

§ 23:35 Participation by the accused and defense counsel—In general

§ 23:36 —Informal submissions to grand jurors

§ 23:37 Testimony or other appearance by the suspect

4. CALLING AND QUESTIONING SUSPECT WITNESSES AND OTHER WITNESSES

§ 23:38 Calling suspect witness

§ 23:39 Fifth Amendment rights of suspect witnesses

§ 23:40 Texas law rights of suspect witness—In general

§ 23:41 Remedy for violation of requirements applicable to suspect and other witnesses

§ 23:42 Questioning suspect witnesses—Definition of person “accused or suspected”

§ 23:43 —Requirements when witness appears voluntarily

§ 23:44 —Requirements when suspect is subpoenaed

§ 23:45 —“Substantial compliance” rule

§ 23:46 —Recording requirement

§ 23:47 Questioning nonsuspect witness

5. PRESENCE OF UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS

§ 23:48 Presence of unauthorized persons while grand jury is deliberating or voting—In general

§ 23:49 Unauthorized persons during taking of evidence

§ 23:50 Defining “deliberating”

§ 23:51 1995 statutory provision

6. PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT AND RELATED MATTERS

§ 23:52 Prosecution misconduct and interference with grand jury independence—In general

§ 23:53 Role of the prosecutor reconsidered

§ 23:54 Prosecutorial “misconduct”

§ 23:55 State’s failure to present all or exculpatory evidence

§ 23:56 Violation of grand jury secrecy as basis for attack on indictment

7. DEVELOPMENT AND PRESENTMENT OF THE CRIMINAL CHARGE

§ 23:57 Development and presentment of the charge—In general

- § 23:58 Challenges to indictments based on development and presentment requirements
- § 23:59 Indictment challenges based on grand jury's memorandum
- § 23:60 Indictment challenges based on minute entry of presentment
- § 23:61 Service of the indictment

8. SUFFICIENCY OF EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT INDICTMENT

- § 23:62 Indicted defendants' challenges to evidence supporting indictments

E. WAIVER OF RIGHT TO INDICTMENT

- § 23:63 Defendants' ability to waive indictment
- § 23:64 Requirements for effective waiver—In general
- § 23:65 —Voluntariness
- § 23:66 Procedural aspects of waiver of indictment—In general
- § 23:67 —Recommended procedure
- § 23:68 No "right" to waive indictment

CHAPTER 24. COMPLAINTS AND CHARGING INSTRUMENTS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 24:1 Complaints in Texas Criminal Procedure

B. NECESSITY FOR A COMPLAINT

- § 24:2 Requirement of a complaint to support a misdemeanor information
- § 24:3 Purposes of the requirement of a complaint
- § 24:4 Complaints in felony prosecutions

C. REQUIREMENTS OF COMPLAINTS

- § 24:5 Requirements of complaints—In general
- § 24:6 Complainant must be "credible person"
- § 24:7 Showing that accused committed charged offense—In general
- § 24:8 —"Negative" allegations in information
- § 24:9 —Alleging the offense
- § 24:10 Allegation of date
- § 24:11 Signature of complainant

D. DEFECTS IN COMPLAINTS

- § 24:12 Defects in complaints—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 24:13 Absence of commencement and conclusion required of charging instruments
- § 24:14 Jurat problems—In general
- § 24:15 —Jurat date before date of offense charged
- § 24:16 Failure of complainant to actually and properly swear to complaint
- § 24:17 Complainant's lack of adequate knowledge
- § 24:18 Misspellings, bad grammar, and other defects not affecting "sense" of complaint
- § 24:19 Complaint's failure to support all allegations of information

E. VARIANCES BETWEEN COMPLAINTS AND INFORMATIONS

- § 24:20 Variances between complaints and informations—In general
- § 24:21 Materiality of the variance
- § 24:22 Variance as to the date of the offense
- § 24:23 Variance as to the name of the accused
- § 24:24 Variance as to the description of commission of the offense
- § 24:25 Unnecessary allegations in complaint do not create variances
- § 24:26 Surplusage in information will not give rise to variance
- § 24:27 Curing a variance by idem sonans

F. FILING REQUIREMENT

- § 24:28 Filing the complaint "with" the information

G. RAISING COMPLAINT ISSUES IN THE TRIAL COURT

- § 24:29 Raising complaint issues in the trial court—In general
- § 24:30 Need to raise complaint issues at or before trial
- § 24:31 Complaint issues to be raised by challenges to information

H. AMENDMENT OF COMPLAINTS

- § 24:32 Amendment of complaints—In general
- § 24:33 Amendment or correction of the jurat
- § 24:34 Amendment of the substance of the complaint

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 25. REQUIREMENTS OF CHARGING INSTRUMENTS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 25:1 Charging instruments in general
- § 25:2 Charging instruments in citation misdemeanor cases
- § 25:3 Charging instrument not waivable
- § 25:4 Structure of charging instruments
- § 25:5 Facial requirements—Indictments
- § 25:6 —Informations
- § 25:7 —Complaints
- § 25:8 Statutorily authorized “form”
- § 25:9 Federal constitutional requirements
- § 25:10 Relationship between charging instrument requirements and substantive criminal law
- § 25:11 Substitution of lost charging instruments

B. TEXAS CHARGING INSTRUMENT BACKGROUND

- § 25:12 State constitutional requirements
- § 25:13 1985 revisions in charging instrument law
- § 25:14 Defendants’ constitutional right to grand jury indictment and charging instrument issues—In general
- § 25:15 —“Fundamental” nature of constitutionally mandated charging instrument requirements
- § 25:16 Defendants’ rights regarding misdemeanor informations and charging instrument issues

C. CHARACTERIZING CHARGING INSTRUMENT DEFECTS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 25:17 Characterizing charging instrument defects—In general

2. FUNDAMENTAL DEFECTS AND DEFECTS OF SUBSTANCE

- § 25:18 “Fundamental” versus “nonfundamental” defects
- § 25:19 Defects of “form” versus defects of “substance”

3. DEFECTS PREVENTING INSTRUMENT FROM BEING INDICTMENT OR INFORMATION

- § 25:20 Defects preventing instrument from being indictment or information—In general
- § 25:21 Failure to charge a person
- § 25:22 Absence of allegations necessary to give notice of the statutory offense

D. FORMAL PARTS OF CHARGING INSTRUMENTS

- § 25:23 Formal parts—In general
- § 25:24 Caption
- § 25:25 Commencement
- § 25:26 Naming or identifying the accused—In general
- § 25:27 —Description of an accused whose name is “unknown”
- § 25:28 —Defect of form or substance and the rationale for the requirement
- § 25:29 Signature of indictment by grand jury foreman—In general
- § 25:30 —Lack of signature not a basis for challenging indictment
- § 25:31 —“Irregularities” of the signing
- § 25:32 Endorsement of indictment by prosecutor
- § 25:33 Signature of information by prosecutor
- § 25:34 Information must appear to be presented by proper officer
- § 25:35 Description of indicting grand jury in indictments
- § 25:36 References to complaint in information
- § 25:37 Showing that indictment was presented in the “proper court”
- § 25:38 Showing that information was presented in the “proper court”
- § 25:39 Allegation of venue—In general
- § 25:40 —Allegations under special venue provisions
- § 25:41 —Defect as one of substance
- § 25:42 Allegation that accused acted “feloniously” or “unlawfully”
- § 25:43 Conclusion
- § 25:44 Endorsement of grand jury witnesses’ names on indictment—In general
- § 25:45 —Admissibility of testimony by nonendorsed witnesses

E. CHARGING THE OFFENSE

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 25:46 Requirement that charging instrument charge the offense—In general
- § 25:47 Charging an offense within jurisdiction of trial court—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 25:48 Charging an offense within the jurisdiction of the trial court—Not “jurisdictional”

2. GENERAL RULES FOR CHARGING AN OFFENSE

- § 25:49 Requirement that charging instrument charge the offense—
Defect of “substance”
- § 25:50 Charging instrument must allege all elements
- § 25:51 “Negative” elements
- § 25:52 Offense need not be named and statute need not be cited
- § 25:53 Legal conclusions not relevant
- § 25:54 Need to avoid “conclusions”
- § 25:55 Instrument must be read “practically” and as a whole
- § 25:56 Statutory language—Generally sufficient
- § 25:57 —Not required
- § 25:58 Language of statutory provision defining offense generally
sufficient—In general
- § 25:59 —Perjury
- § 25:60 Need to go beyond statutory language to charge the offense—
In general
- § 25:61 Totally inadequate descriptions
- § 25:62 Allegation of a matter as “known” also constitutes an
allegation of the matter itself
- § 25:63 Allegations must be “direct” and “positive” and not by
“inference”
- § 25:64 “Deducible” allegations
- § 25:65 “General” terms, “special” terms, and terms defined by
statute
- § 25:66 Effect of unnecessary but insufficient detailed allegations
upon charging an offense

3. EXCEPTIONS, DEFENSES, AND RELATED MATTERS

- § 25:67 Pleading exceptions—In general
- § 25:68 Exceptions to offenses defined in the Penal Code
- § 25:69 Exceptions to offenses in non-Penal Code statutes—In
general
- § 25:70 —Controlled Substance Act and Alcoholic Beverage Act
- § 25:71 —Non-penal offenses enacted after Penal Code
- § 25:72 —Non-penal offenses enacted before Penal Code
- § 25:73 Defenses or affirmative defenses need not be negated
- § 25:74 Alleging statutory matters not included in basic definition of
offense

4. ALLEGING THE CULPABLE MENTAL STATES

- § 25:75 Alleging the necessary mens rea—In general

CRIMINAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- § 25:76 Culpable mental state requirements
- § 25:77 Penal Code § 6.02's requirements
- § 25:78 Alleging "specific intents" or "particular culpable mental states"
- § 25:79 Alleging other required culpable mental states
- § 25:80 Determining the applicability of Penal Code section 6.02
- § 25:81 Section 6.02 as applied to elements for which no culpable mental state is prescribed
- § 25:82 Implied culpability requirements
- § 25:83 Defining a required culpable mental state
- § 25:84 Alleging a required culpable mental state—In general
- § 25:85 —Significance of placement of culpable mental state allegation
- § 25:86 Intent to defraud
- § 25:87 Culpable mental states concerning exceptions
- § 25:88 Culpable mental states concerning aggravating elements
- § 25:89 Offenses requiring multiple culpable mental states
- § 25:90 "Transferred intent" need not be pleaded

5. IDENTIFYING VICTIMS AND PARTICIPANTS

- § 25:91 Identification of the victim or "complaining witness"
- § 25:92 Identification of other "participants"—In general
- § 25:93 Purchaser in sale prosecution
- § 25:94 Parties to a criminal conspiracy and similar offenses
- § 25:95 Provider of property in receipt of stolen property case
- § 25:96 Other cases not covered by specific rule

6. ALLEGING RECKLESSNESS AND NEGLIGENCE

- § 25:97 Alleging acts constituting recklessness or negligence—In general
- § 25:98 Effect of pleadings on trial
- § 25:99 Avoiding the requirement by pleading intent or knowledge

7. SPECIFICS OF MANNER AND MEANS

- § 25:100 Specification of "manner and means"—In general
- § 25:101 Homicide offenses

8. SPECIFIC PROBLEMS IN CHARGING OFFENSES

- § 25:102 Describing or setting out writings in haec verba
- § 25:103 Charging offenses that incorporate other offenses
- § 25:104 —Grades of single offense

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 25:105 Charging attempt
- § 25:106 Charging a theft aggregated from several thefts

F. SPECIFICITY REQUIREMENTS BEYOND CHARGING AN OFFENSE—NOTICE AND RELATED DEMANDS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 25:107 Specificity demands distinguished from requirement that offense be charged
- § 25:108 Effect of specificity on lesser included offenses

2. SOURCES OF SPECIFICITY DEMANDS

- § 25:109 Trial preparation notice
- § 25:110 Right to grand jury indictment
- § 25:111 Double jeopardy

3. SPECIFICITY STANDARDS

- § 25:112 Specificity standards—In general
- § 25:113 Possible abandonment of need for charging instrument notice
- § 25:114 Providing notice by means other than the charging instrument
- § 25:115 Role of charging instruments under a flexible trial preparation notice requirement
- § 25:116 Sufficiency of statutory language
- § 25:117 Statutory terminology not completely descriptive of offense
- § 25:118 No need to plead evidence
- § 25:119 Matters State must prove at trial
- § 25:120 Right to notice concerning conduct that will be proved
- § 25:121 Allegation in terms of statutory definition of “manner or means” is sufficient
- § 25:122 Specificity actually required for trial preparation

4. APPLYING SPECIFICITY DEMANDS

- § 25:123 Specificity must be determined from face of charging instrument—In general
- § 25:124 —Exceptions
- § 25:125 Implicit abandonment of the traditional rule
- § 25:126 Notice to be determined from perspective of innocent accused

5. PARTICULAR SPECIFICITY DEMANDS—IN GENERAL

- § 25:127 Specificity requirements—In general

- § 25:128 Location of the commission of the offense
- § 25:129 Identification of persons involved in offense
- § 25:130 Pleading recklessness or negligence
- § 25:131 Intent and details of required objective
- § 25:132 Writings related to offense
- § 25:133 Elements of an incorporated offense
- § 25:134 Attempt

6. PARTICULAR SPECIFICITY DEMANDS— DESCRIPTIONS

- § 25:135 Descriptions of persons
- § 25:136 Descriptions of real property and premises
- § 25:137 Descriptions of personal property—In general
- § 25:138 —Legislative authorization of “general descriptions”
- § 25:139 —Elimination of legislative authorization of “general descriptions”
- § 25:140 —Specificity required in describing a “vehicle”

7. PARTICULAR SPECIFICITY DEMANDS— MANNER AND MEANS

- § 25:141 Specificity requirements concerning the “manner and/or means” of committing offense—In general
- § 25:142 Specificity required by traditional case law
- § 25:143 Statutory language as sufficient
- § 25:144 “Circumstances” concerning commission of the offense
- § 25:145 Continued vitality of traditional specificity case law

8. PARTICULAR SPECIFICITY DEMANDS— STATUTORY ALTERNATIVE MANNER AND MEANS

- § 25:146 Specifying among statutory alternatives—In general
- § 25:147 Theft and the *Thomas-Gorman* rule requiring specification
- § 25:148 Required specificity in charging controlled substance offenses
- § 25:149 Specificity not required regarding peripheral aspects of act or omission
- § 25:150 Required specificity regarding matters beyond the act or omission
- § 25:151 Specificity required in charging kidnapping and reconsideration of the rule

9. PARTICULAR SPECIFICITY DEMANDS— LIABILITY FOR CONDUCT OF ANOTHER

- § 25:152 Specificity requirements concerning liability for conduct of another—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 25:153 No allegations specifying such liability required
- § 25:154 Charging instruments specifying responsibility for crime committed by another

10. PARTICULAR SPECIFICITY DEMANDS— DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED

- § 25:155 Specificity requirements concerning driving while intoxicated and related offenses—In general
- § 25:156 Specifying the location of the offense
- § 25:157 Specifying the substances that caused the intoxication
- § 25:158 Specifying the theory to be used in proving intoxication

11. PARTICULAR SPECIFICITY DEMANDS— FORGERY

- § 25:159 Forgery charging instruments—In general
- § 25:160 “Purport” and “tenor” clause problems—In general
- § 25:161 —Pre-1974 pleading problems
- § 25:162 —Pre-1974 inconsistencies and variances involving purport and tenor clauses
- § 25:163 —Pleading forgery as defined in the 1974 Penal Code
- § 25:164 —Effect of *Porter* and rule that statutory language is sufficient

G. AVOIDING SPECIFICITY REQUIREMENTS BY PLEADING MATTERS AS UNKNOWN

- § 25:165 Specifics properly pleaded as unknown
- § 25:166 Diligence in seeking specifics need not be pleaded
- § 25:167 State’s obligation to prove allegations that matters are unknown
- § 25:168 Improper submission of theories involving allegations that matters were unknown

H. DATE OF OFFENSE AND LIMITATION ALLEGATIONS

- § 25:169 Date of offense must be pleaded
- § 25:170 Characterizing date allegation defects
- § 25:171 Specificity as to date and time not required by notice and bar considerations
- § 25:172 “On or about” pleading of date
- § 25:173 “Impossible” dates
- § 25:174 Incomplete or unclear allegations of date
- § 25:175 Date must be anterior to presentment or filing of charging instrument

- § 25:176 Date must be within limitations—In general
- § 25:177 —Alleging facts establishing tolling of limitations

I. PLEADING MATTERS AFFECTING PUNISHMENT

- § 25:178 Matters affecting punishment—In general
- § 25:179 Nature of pleading requirements concerning punishment matters
- § 25:180 Facts aggravating punishment
- § 25:181 Enhancement offenses—In general
- § 25:182 —Pleading specificity required
- § 25:183 —Defective allegations as defect of form
- § 25:184 —Overruling of *Long*
- § 25:185 Providing notice as to “affirmative findings”—The right to notice in general
- § 25:186 —Providing the necessary notice
- § 25:187 —Need for trial court objection to the charging instrument
- § 25:188 Pleadings concerning capital sentencing

J. “ALTERNATIVE” PLEADING

- § 25:189 Alternative pleading—In general
- § 25:190 Alternative ways of committing single offense—In general
- § 25:191 —Distinguishing different offenses
- § 25:192 Alternative offenses in one “transaction”—Alternative pleading prohibited
- § 25:193 —Effect of the “criminal episode” rule
- § 25:194 Use of conjunctive and disjunctive—In general
- § 25:195 —Prosecution’s need to “elect”
- § 25:196 —Conjunctive jury submission to cure State’s failure to elect

K. JOINDER AND MISJOINDER

- § 25:197 Joinder for charging purposes
- § 25:198 Joinder of defendants—In general
- § 25:199 —Charging multiple defendants with the same offense
- § 25:200 —Joining in one charging instrument multiple defendants charged with different offenses
- § 25:201 Joinder of offenses
- § 25:202 Misjoinder of defendants as a charging instrument defect
- § 25:203 Misjoinder of offenses as a charging instrument defect

L. “FORMAT” REQUIREMENTS—COUNTS AND PARAGRAPHS

- § 25:204 “Format” requirements—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 25:205 Definitions—Counts, paragraphs, and other units of the charge
- § 25:206 Use of counts
- § 25:207 Defect of duplicity
- § 25:208 Repugnancy—Defect of inconsistency within a count
- § 25:209 Requirement that each count be complete
- § 25:210 Use of paragraphs
- § 25:211 Alternative pleading, charging multiple offenses, counts, paragraphs, and similar matters

CHAPTER 26. CHALLENGES TO AND AMENDMENT OF CHARGING INSTRUMENTS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 26:1 Challenges to charging instruments—In general
- § 26:2 —Abuse of the right to challenge charging instruments by challenges for delay purposes
- § 26:3 Pretrial habeas corpus challenges to charging instruments
- § 26:4 Challenges in county court trials de novo
- § 26:5 Evidence sufficiency requirements not waived

B. NEED FOR A TRIAL COURT CHALLENGE

- § 26:6 Need for a trial court objection—Article 1.14(b)
- § 26:7 —Failure of instrument to constitute indictment or information
- § 26:8 —Municipal and justice court complaints
- § 26:9 —No “right not recognized” exception
- § 26:10 Defects, errors, and irregularities of form or substance in a charging instrument that must be raised under Article 1.14(b)—In general
- § 26:11 —State’s failure to allege aggravating or enhancing facts
- § 26:12 —Bars to prosecution on the charging instrument based on factors not related to face of charging instrument
- § 26:13 —Voidness of offense alleged for enhancement
- § 26:14 —Pleading errors posing risk of a “variance”

C. MAKING TRIAL COURT CHALLENGES

- § 26:15 Style of challenge—Motion to “set aside,” exception, motion to “quash”
- § 26:16 Challenge cannot extend to evidence admissibility or sufficiency
- § 26:17 Challenge must be in writing—In general

CRIMINAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- § 26:18 —Trial court errs by entertaining oral motion to quash
- § 26:19 —Oral amendment of or elaboration upon written motion
- § 26:20 —Oral challenges made pursuant to agreement to file written challenge
- § 26:21 Need to “present” trial court challenge
- § 26:22 Timeliness of challenge—In general
- § 26:23 —Motion filed on day trial actually begins
- § 26:24 —Motion filed on day trial is scheduled to begin
- § 26:25 —Noncontested cases
- § 26:26 —Pretrial hearing scheduled
- § 26:27 Specificity of challenge
- § 26:28 Challenge to charging instrument for failure to accurately identify defendant
- § 26:29 Challenge to charging instrument contesting meaning of statutory provision defining offense
- § 26:30 Testing prosecution’s “theory” by charging instrument challenge
- § 26:31 Need for ruling on challenge
- § 26:32 Ruling after trial begins
- § 26:33 Trial court’s discretion in ruling on motion to quash
- § 26:34 Trial court’s power to ignore defect of “form” not prejudicing substantial rights of defendant
- § 26:35 Effect of “quashing” charging instrument where effort to amend fails

D. AMENDMENT OF CHARGING INSTRUMENTS—IN GENERAL

- § 26:36 Background
- § 26:37 Defining “amendment”—In general
- § 26:38 —Correction of accused’s name distinguished
- § 26:39 —Abandonment of surplusage distinguished
- § 26:40 —Abandonment of alternative allegations distinguished
- § 26:41 —Reduction to lesser-included offense distinguished—In general
- § 26:42 —Adding allegations to implement reduction of charged offense
- § 26:43 Trial court’s authority to amend “quashed” charging instrument
- § 26:44 Amendment when defendant does not object
- § 26:45 Amendment on initiative of trial court
- § 26:46 Characterizing amendments for analysis—Time when amendment occurs
- § 26:47 Amendment errors as “harmless error”—In general
- § 26:48 —Pre-1985 harmless error law as applied to amendments

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 26:49 —Application of harmless error analysis to amendment errors under the 1985 pleading revisions
- § 26:50 Determining whether erroneous amendment was harmful
- § 26:51 Amendment in justice and municipal court practice

E. AMENDMENT OF CHARGING INSTRUMENTS— AMENDMENT BEFORE DAY OF TRIAL

- § 26:52 Amendment before the day of trial—In general
- § 26:53 —Defining “day of trial”
- § 26:54 —Burden of persuasion
- § 26:55 —Trial court’s discretionary power to refuse amendments and the State’s “right” to amend
- § 26:56 Prohibition against amendment causing instrument to charge “additional” or “different” offense—In general
- § 26:57 —Definition of “offense”
- § 26:58 —Determining offense initially charged
- § 26:59 Prohibition against amendment prejudicing “substantial rights” of defendant—In general
- § 26:60 —Right to notice
- § 26:61 —Right to grand jury indictment
- § 26:62 —Amendment of informations

F. AMENDMENT OF CHARGING INSTRUMENTS— AMENDMENT AFTER TRIAL COMMENCES

- § 26:63 Amendments permissible after trial commences
- § 26:64 Commencement of trial

G. AMENDMENT OF CHARGING INSTRUMENTS— AMENDMENT ON DAY OF TRIAL

- § 26:65 Amendments prohibited on day of trial but before trial commences
- § 26:66 Defining “day of trial”
- § 26:67 Objection necessary
- § 26:68 Improper amendment is reversible error

H. AMENDMENT OF CHARGING INSTRUMENTS— PROCEDURE FOR AMENDMENT

- § 26:69 Procedure for amendment—In general
- § 26:70 Procedure where amendment sought in response to defendant’s challenge to charging instrument
- § 26:71 Notice to defendant
- § 26:72 Defendant’s “objection”
- § 26:73 Hearing

- § 26:74 Amending the charging instrument itself—In general
- § 26:75 —Effect of an unsuccessful effort to amend
- § 26:76 Delay in trial if amendment made—In general
- § 26:77 —Amendment after defendant’s challenge to charging instrument
- § 26:78 Procedure for amendment during trial

I. APPELLATE REVIEW OF TRIAL COURT RULINGS ON PRETRIAL CHARGING INSTRUMENT CHALLENGES

- § 26:79 Appellate review—In general
- § 26:80 —Standard of review
- § 26:81 Interlocutory appeal by State—Availability of appeal
- § 26:82 —Inquiry into prejudice not appropriate
- § 26:83 Interlocutory review on behalf of defendant—In general
- § 26:84 —Review by pretrial habeas corpus
- § 26:85 Error on defendant’s appeal from conviction—In general
- § 26:86 —Need for inquiry into prejudice
- § 26:87 —The criterion for evaluating claims of prejudice
- § 26:88 —Record must be before appellate court and scrutinized
- § 26:89 —Establishing prejudice
- § 26:90 —Harmless error and charging instrument defects

CHAPTER 27. DISCOVERY AND DISCLOSURE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 27:1 Pretrial discovery in criminal cases—In general
- § 27:2 Interlocutory appellate review of discovery rulings
- § 27:3 State’s access to mandamus relief
- § 27:4 Appellate case law and trial court practice
- § 27:5 Constitutional right to “access to evidence”—In general
- § 27:6 —Information protected by state law confidentiality

B. STATE’S RIGHT TO “DISCOVERY”

- § 27:7 State’s rights to discovery—In general
- § 27:8 State’s right to court-ordered handwriting exemplars
- § 27:9 Work-product exception to discovery by State—In general
- § 27:10 Nature of the work-product doctrine
- § 27:11 Material covered by work product
- § 27:12 Loss of work-product protection by use of material
- § 27:13 Experts consulted by the defense

TABLE OF CONTENTS

C. OBLIGATION TO DISCLOSE EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE

- § 27:14 Duty to disclose—In general
- § 27:15 Admissibility of nondisclosed information or evidence
- § 27:16 Undisclosed evidence must be “material”—In general
- § 27:17 —Materiality not dependant on “*Brady* request” for exculpatory information
- § 27:18 —General definition of materiality
- § 27:19 —Impeaching evidence can be material
- § 27:20 State must have possession of or access to evidence
- § 27:21 Significance of a request and specificity of any request
- § 27:22 Defendant’s awareness of exculpatory evidence
- § 27:23 Exculpatory evidence defendant could have discovered—In general
- § 27:24 —State’s open file policy
- § 27:25 Evidence equally available to both sides
- § 27:26 Public records and similar information
- § 27:27 Procedure—Request for *Brady* evidence
- § 27:28 —Timing of required disclosure—In general
- § 27:29 — —Need for defendant to seek delay when tardy disclosure made
- § 27:30 —Defendant’s right to have trial court make in camera examination of material
- § 27:31 —Need to raise nondisclosure in the trial court
- § 27:32 —No harmless-error analysis
- § 27:33 Crime Stoppers reports and information—In general
- § 27:34 Crime stoppers reports and information—Allegations and showing necessary to obtain in camera review
- § 27:35 Police officer’s personnel files

D. DUE PROCESS PROHIBITION AGAINST USE OF PERJURED TESTIMONY

- § 27:36 Prohibition against State’s use of perjured testimony—In general
- § 27:37 State must have “used” testimony
- § 27:38 Testimony must have been “false”
- § 27:39 State’s use of testimony must be “knowing”
- § 27:40 Materiality
- § 27:41 Showing of harm required in postconviction proceeding
- § 27:42 Unknowing use of perjured testimony

**E. CONSTITUTIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF
DESTRUCTION OR NONPRESERVATION OF
EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE**

- § 27:43 Destruction or nonpreservation of exculpatory evidence—In general
- § 27:44 —Statutory duty to preserve potential DNA evidence
- § 27:45 Destruction or nonpreservation of evidence
- § 27:46 Bad faith
- § 27:47 Evidence was exculpatory
- § 27:48 Exculpatory nature of evidence was apparent
- § 27:49 Unavailability of comparable evidence
- § 27:50 Destruction or nonpreservation of potentially exculpatory evidence—Potential Texas due course of law requirement

F. REMEDYING CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS

- § 27:51 Harmless error
- § 27:52 Remedy

**G. PRODUCTION AND INSPECTION UNDER
ARTICLE 39.14**

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 27:53 History and development of Article 39.14(a)
- § 27:54 Analysis under Article 39.14(a)
- § 27:55 Time for disclosure
- § 27:56 Article 39.14(a) “discovery” contrasted with other production and disclosure rules
- § 27:57 Article 39.14(a) “discovery” and subpoenas duces tecum
- § 27:58 Relationship between Article 39.14(a) and the constitutional *Brady* rule

2. DEFENDANT’S MOTION

- § 27:59 Defendant’s motion—In general
- § 27:60 Specificity required
- § 27:61 Timeliness
- § 27:62 Provision for details of production and inspection
- § 27:63 Making the necessary “showing[s]”
- § 27:64 Notice to other parties

3. “SHOWING” REQUIRED

- § 27:65 Showing required—In general
- § 27:66 Tangible things constituting or containing evidence

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 27:67 Materiality of evidence
- § 27:68 Possession of the state
- § 27:69 Good cause
- § 27:70 Authority to order production without showing of good cause

4. MATTERS EXEMPT FROM DISCOVERY

- § 27:71 Work product—In general
- § 27:72 —Discretionary power to order production
- § 27:73 Police reports and reports of state experts
- § 27:74 Statements of witnesses
- § 27:75 Privileged items

5. DISCLOSURE AND PRODUCTION

- § 27:76 Production and inspection permitted
- § 27:77 Trial court's pre-2005 discretion—In general
- § 27:78 —Evidence indispensable to the State's case
- § 27:79 2005 Change—Disclosure made mandatory
- § 27:80 The order to produce
- § 27:81 Duty of disclosure under order is continuing
- § 27:82 Enforcement of the order—In general
- § 27:83 —Willfulness
- § 27:84 —Appellate relief

6. APPELLATE REVIEW

- § 27:85 Need to seek delay in trial
- § 27:86 Necessary record for appeal
- § 27:87 Pre-2005 appellate review for abuse of discretion—
Requirement that defendant have been denied access to
material exculpatory evidence
- § 27:88 Appellate review after 2005 amendment
- § 27:89 Harmless error

H. PRODUCTION OF GRAND JURY TESTIMONY AND INFORMATION

- § 27:90 Defendants' access to grand jury information—In general
- § 27:91 —Statutory provision for limited access
- § 27:92 Criterion for granting access
- § 27:93 Particularized need
- § 27:94 In camera consideration where right to disclosure not shown

I. WITNESS LISTS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 27:95 Witness lists in general

- § 27:96 Excessively inclusive witness list
- § 27:97 List of expert witnesses—In general
- § 27:98 —Consequences of failure to disclose

2. ORDERING STATE TO PROVIDE WITNESS LIST

- § 27:99 Defendants’ “right” to witness lists
- § 27:100 Scope of the witness list—Rebuttal and punishment witnesses

3. *EXCLUSION OF TESTIMONY OF UNLISTED WITNESS*

- § 27:101 Exclusion of testimony by unlisted witnesses—In general
- § 27:102 Good/Bad faith
- § 27:103 Defense anticipation
- § 27:104 Harm or prejudice to defense
- § 27:105 Need to seek continuance

J. DEPOSITIONS

- § 27:106 Depositions—In general
- § 27:107 2005 legislative changes
- § 27:108 Application and supporting affidavit
- § 27:109 Notice to the other party
- § 27:110 Hearing and required showing
- § 27:111 Good reason—In general
- § 27:112 —Need for trial preparation
- § 27:113 “Good reason”—State’s exercise of its right to withhold witness’s statement
- § 27:114 Taking the deposition
- § 27:115 Appellate review—In general
- § 27:116 —Abuse of discretion
- § 27:117 —Harm or prejudice

K. NOTICE REQUIREMENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 27:118 Notice requirements—In general
- § 27:119 Raising lack of required notice in the trial court

2. DEFENDANT’S RIGHT TO NOTICE OF EXTRANEOUS OFFENSE EVIDENCE

- § 27:120 State’s duty to notify defense of intent to offer “extraneous offenses”—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 27:121 No notice required for use of extraneous offenses in rebuttal
- § 27:122 No notice required for use of extraneous offenses arising in the same transaction as charged offense
- § 27:123 “Open file” policy not adequate substitute
- § 27:124 Defendant’s timely request for such notice—In general
- § 27:125 —Request incorporated into motion
- § 27:126 Sufficiency of notice—In general
- § 27:127 —Timeliness
- § 27:128 —Nature and content
- § 27:129 —Notice given by State where defendant made no request
- § 27:130 State’s continuing duty to give notice
- § 27:131 Consequences of failure to give required notice—In general
- § 27:132 —Defendant’s need to request delay in trial

3. NOTICE OF CONVICTION TO BE USED FOR IMPEACHMENT

- § 27:133 Notice of convictions to be used for impeachment—In general
- § 27:134 Remedy for failure to provide adequate notice

4. NOTICE OF SENTENCING EVIDENCE

- § 27:135 Notice of sentencing evidence—In general
- § 27:136 —Evidence as to which notice is required
- § 27:137 —Applies only to evidence introduced in State’s case-in-chief
- § 27:138 —Inapplicable to same-transaction contextual evidence
- § 27:139 Request required
- § 27:140 Notice required—Generally
- § 27:141 —Timeliness
- § 27:142 —Content in general
- § 27:143 —Date of extraneous offense or bad act
- § 27:144 Need to object to lack of notice or inadequate notice
- § 27:145 Remedy for failure to provide adequate notice

5. NOTICE OF INSANITY DEFENSE

- § 27:146 Defendant’s duty to provide notice of intent to rely on insanity defense—Notice required
- § 27:147 Remedy of exclusion of insanity evidence
- § 27:148 Potential right of defendant to reciprocal disclosure

CHAPTER 28. SPEEDY TRIAL AND RELATED MATTERS

A. INTRODUCTION

§ 28:1 Rapid processing requirements—In general

B. TEXAS “SPEEDY TRIAL” ACT

§ 28:2 Background of the Speedy Trial Act

§ 28:3 Unconstitutionality of Speedy Trial Act

C. STATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO SPEEDY TRIAL

§ 28:4 State constitutional right to speedy trial—In general

§ 28:5 Construing and applying the state constitutional right to speedy trial

D. SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO SPEEDY TRIAL

§ 28:6 Sixth Amendment right to speedy trial—In general

§ 28:7 Remedy—Bar to prosecution

§ 28:8 Right attaches at arrest or formal charge

§ 28:9 Balancing test—In general

§ 28:10 —Calculating the relevant period of delay

§ 28:11 —Need for “triggering period” of presumptively prejudicial delay

§ 28:12 —Length of delay

§ 28:13 —Reasons for delay—In general

§ 28:14 — —Plea negotiations

§ 28:15 —Reasons for the delay—State’s desire to try multiple defendants together

§ 28:16 —Reasons for delay—Defense counsel

§ 28:17 —Defendant’s assertion of right to speedy trial

§ 28:18 —Prejudice to defendant—In general

§ 28:19 — —Anxiety

§ 28:20 — —Impairment of ability to defend

§ 28:21 — —“Particularized” or “specifically demonstrable” prejudice

§ 28:22 — —“Presumptive prejudice”

§ 28:23 —“Community interest” in (or “right” to) speedy trial

§ 28:24 —Balancing the relevant factors

§ 28:25 Raising speedy trial claims in the trial court

§ 28:26 Effect of plea of guilty on speedy trial claims

§ 28:27 Resolving speedy trial claims in the trial court

§ 28:28 Review of trial court rulings on speedy trial claims

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 28:29 Forcing a speedy trial by mandamus—In general
- § 28:30 —Absence of a remedy at law
- § 28:31 —The criterion for relief
- § 28:32 Forcing dismissal on speedy trial grounds by mandamus

E. PREACCUSATION DELAY: CONSTITUTIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 28:33 Speedy trial rights inapplicable to prearrest/charge delay
- § 28:34 Due process prohibition against excessive pre-arrest/charge delay—In general
- § 28:35 Due process prohibition against excessive prearrest/charge delay—The criterion
- § 28:36 —“Investigative” delay
- § 28:37 —Need to show intent to gain tactical advantage
- § 28:38 Enforcing the due process right in the trial court

F. PREACCUSATION DELAY: ACCUSED’S RIGHT TO BE FORMALLY CHARGED AT NEXT TERM OF COURT

- § 28:39 Article 32.01—In general
- § 28:40 —1997 legislative changes
- § 28:41 Prosecutions to which Article 32.01 applies
- § 28:42 Requirement that accused be detained in custody or held to bail on challenged offense
- § 28:43 Failure to present charge in timely fashion
- § 28:44 Need to seek relief before eventual formal charge
- § 28:45 Remedy—In general
- § 28:46 —No dismissal of prosecution “with prejudice”
- § 28:47 —Discharge from custody
- § 28:48 —No rearrest after discharge except upon indictment or information
- § 28:49 —No relief required if “good cause shown”—In general
- § 28:50 — —Mechanics of asserting good cause
- § 28:51 — —What constitutes good cause
- § 28:52 Raising and resolving Article 32.01 claims
- § 28:53 Constitutionality of Article 32.01 requirement

G. DELAY AFTER TRIAL

- § 28:54 Delay after trial—In general
- § 28:55 Delay in sentencing
- § 28:56 Appellate proceedings—Application of constitutional speedy trial rights
- § 28:57 —Due process prohibition against excessive delay

CHAPTER 29. RIGHT TO COUNSEL

A. RIGHTS OF REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL

- § 29:1 Right to counsel—In general
- § 29:2 Sixth Amendment right to counsel
- § 29:3 Texas constitutional right to counsel
- § 29:4 Texas statutory right to counsel
- § 29:5 Right to counsel lost only by effective waiver
- § 29:6 Right to have representation be effective
- § 29:7 Right to have counsel authorized to practice law
- § 29:8 Remedies for violation of right to counsel

B. RIGHT OF SELF-REPRESENTATION

- § 29:9 Constitutional right to self-representation
- § 29:10 Defendant incompetent to stand trial without representation
- § 29:11 Evaluating a defendant's choice of self-representation—In general
- § 29:12 —Defendant's "competency"
- § 29:13 —"Knowing and intelligent" choice
- § 29:14 —"Voluntary" choice
- § 29:15 —Need for statutory waiver of counsel
- § 29:16 Assertion of the right of self-representation—In general
- § 29:17 —"Equivocal" assertion of the right
- § 29:18 —Timeliness
- § 29:19 Terminating or barring self-representation because of abuse
- § 29:20 Defendant subject to ordinary rules of procedure and evidence
- § 29:21 Withdrawing the election to represent oneself
- § 29:22 "Hybrid" representation
- § 29:23 "Standby counsel"—In general
- § 29:24 —Participation without defendant's invitation or agreement

C. RETAINED COUNSEL/CLIENT RELATIONSHIP

- § 29:25 Right to representation by retained counsel of choice
- § 29:26 Defendant's right to delay to permit representation by counsel of choice
- § 29:27 Right to representation by retained counsel with a conflict of interests
- § 29:28 Defendants' right to replace retained counsel
- § 29:29 Disallowal of representation by counsel of choice to preserve orderly procedure
- § 29:30 Disallowance of representation by counsel of choice where counsel is witness

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 29:31 Withdrawal of retained counsel

D. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL—TRIAL

- § 29:32 Prosecutions in which accused is eligible for appointed counsel
- § 29:33 Public defenders
- § 29:34 Time when defendant is entitled to appointed counsel
- § 29:35 —Attachment of Sixth Amendment right to counsel
- § 29:36 —Sixth Amendment right to timely appointment of counsel for trial
- § 29:37 —Texas Fair Defense Act of 2001
- § 29:38 — —Release remedies for violation
- § 29:39 — —Other remedies and penalties
- § 29:40 Hearing on appointment of counsel
- § 29:41 Defendant’s testimony at hearing on right to counsel
- § 29:42 Financial eligibility—Indigency as an initial matter
- § 29:43 —“Partial” indigency
- § 29:44 —Change of financial condition
- § 29:45 —State’s right to recoupment of expenditures on representation—In general
- § 29:46 — —Enforcement of an order for reimbursement
- § 29:47 Appointment of counsel under the Texas Fair Defense Act of 2001
- § 29:48 Defendant’s right to change of appointed counsel
- § 29:49 Limits on a trial court’s ability to change appointed counsel
- § 29:50 Appointed counsel’s personal responsibility to provide representation
- § 29:51 Statutory preparation period for appointed counsel—In general
- § 29:52 —Calculation of the time period
- § 29:53 —Appellate consideration of insufficient preparation time claims
- § 29:54 —Proceedings on substituted charges
- § 29:55 —Retained counsel and defendants representing themselves
- § 29:56 —Additional, replacement, or substituting counsel
- § 29:57 —Waiver
- § 29:58 —Withdrawal of waiver of right to counsel
- § 29:59 Appointed counsel’s duty to contact and interview client with dispatch
- § 29:60 Compensation of appointed counsel
- § 29:61 Investigatory and other assistance for appointed counsel—In general
- § 29:62 —Due process right to expert assistance—*Ake* in general
- § 29:63 — —Defendant is entitled to defense expert
- § 29:64 — —Types of assistance to which *Ake* applies

- § 29:65 — —Showing that matter will be significant trial factor—In general
- § 29:66 — — —State’s use of expert testimony
- § 29:67 — —Right to make ex parte motion
- § 29:68 — —Right to have experts able to function effectively
- § 29:69 —Expert assistance in implementing discovery
- § 29:70 Appointment of counsel in death penalty cases

E. EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION IN THE TRIAL COURT

- § 29:71 Standard for effective representation—In general
- § 29:72 —Retained or appointed counsel
- § 29:73 —Counsel’s deficient performance depriving the defendant of a right
- § 29:74 Effective representation—In general
- § 29:75 —Counsel’s decision beyond the bounds of acceptable strategy
- § 29:76 —Need to establish actual basis for challenged decisions of counsel
- § 29:77 —Counsel’s performance evaluation on the totality of the circumstances
- § 29:78 Prejudice required for relief—The general standard
- § 29:79 Demonstrating prejudice—Inadequate performance at trial generally
- § 29:80 —Failure to challenge admissibility of evidence
- § 29:81 Effective representation in specific contexts—Plea negotiations—In general
- § 29:82 — —Adequacy of counsel’s performance
- § 29:83 — —Prejudice
- § 29:84 Improper advice on punishment after plea as basis for ineffective assistance
- § 29:85 Effective representation in specific contexts—Investigation and preparation—In general
- § 29:86 — —Pleas of guilty
- § 29:87 —Trial performance
- § 29:88 —Consultation with defendant on critical decisions
- § 29:89 —defense counsel called as witness
- § 29:90 —Erroneous advice on waiver of jury trial
- § 29:91 —Counsel’s perception that defendant’s testimony will be perjurious
- § 29:92 —Counsel’s failure to implement since-changed law
- § 29:93 Representation in noncapital sentencing—The applicable standard
- § 29:94 —Effectiveness of representation
- § 29:95 —Prejudice

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 29:96 —Erroneous advice regarding election for jury sentencing
- § 29:97 Need to raise ineffective representation in trial court
- § 29:98 Raising ineffective assistance on direct appeal—In general
- § 29:99 —Risk of precluding more effective litigation of the matter in habeas

F. CONFLICT OF INTERESTS AS A BASIS FOR A CLAIM OF INEFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION

- § 29:100 Conflict of interests—In general
- § 29:101 Trial court’s duty to investigate conflict of interest claims raised in the trial court
- § 29:102 Posttrial claims of conflict of interests—In general
- § 29:103 —“Actual” conflict—In general
- § 29:104 — —“Necessary” or “inherent” need for counsel to make choice
- § 29:105 Special problems—Third-party payor
- § 29:106 —Conflict between interests of defendant and counsel
- § 29:107 —Conflicts arising from situations not involving multiple representation of codefendants
- § 29:108 —Defense counsel called as prosecution witness

G. PROCEDURAL INCIDENTS OF THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL

- § 29:109 Procedural incidents—In general
- § 29:110 Right to consult with counsel
- § 29:111 Right to have counsel participate in jury voir dire
- § 29:112 Right to make jury argument
- § 29:113 Right to confidentiality in the attorney-client relationship
- § 29:114 Other possible procedural “rights”

H. RIGHT TO COUNSEL IN THE APPELLATE PROCESS

- § 29:115 Right to appellate counsel—Appeal as of right to court of appeals
- § 29:116 —Trial court’s duty to address defendant’s indigency
- § 29:117 —Motion for new trial in the trial court
- § 29:118 Responsibility of trial counsel for appeal
- § 29:119 —Retained counsel
- § 29:120 —Admonishment by/in trial court
- § 29:121 Right to have trial counsel appointed on appeal
- § 29:122 Right to counsel—Discretionary review by Court of Criminal Appeals—In general
- § 29:123 — —Duty of counsel on direct appeal regarding discretionary review

- § 29:124 — —Obligations of courts and counsel under amended Rules of Appellate Procedure
- § 29:125 The *Anders* process for representation in meritless appeals—In general
- § 29:126 —Federal constitutional requirements
- § 29:127 The Texas *Anders* procedure—In general
- § 29:128 —Motion to withdraw and *Anders* brief
- § 29:129 —Defendant-appellant’s opportunity to respond
- § 29:130 —Appellate court’s duty to examine record
- § 29:131 —Determination by appellate court and appropriate action
- § 29:132 —Determining whether appeal is “wholly frivolous”
- § 29:133 Withdrawal of retained counsel because appeal lacks merit
- § 29:134 Challenging to counsel’s decision to submit appeal by *Anders* procedure rather than by a merits brief
- § 29:135 Failure of counsel to file appellate brief
- § 29:136 Effective representation on appeal—In general
- § 29:137 —Standard for determining effectiveness of representation—Sixth Amendment standard
- § 29:138 — —Application of the standard by Texas courts
- § 29:139 —“No assistance” situations
- § 29:140 —Failure to file notice of appeal
- § 29:141 Remedy for ineffective representation—Penalization and replacement of counsel
- § 29:142 —Out-of-time appeal
- § 29:143 Self-representation on appeal—In general
- § 29:144 —Timely assertion
- § 29:145 —No right to hybrid representation

I. RIGHT TO COUNSEL IN COLLATERAL ATTACK PROCEDURES

- § 29:146 No constitutional right to appointed representation in habeas corpus proceedings
- § 29:147 Availability of appointed counsel under state law
- § 29:148 Statutory right to effective representation

CHAPTER 30. CHANGE OF VENUE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 30:1 Change of venue—In general
- § 30:2 Movement of court distinguished from change of venue

B. CONSTITUTIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 30:3 Defendants’ federal constitutional right to change of venue—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 30:4 —“Inherent prejudice”
- § 30:5 —“Actual prejudice”
- § 30:6 —Significance of “inherent” versus “actual” prejudice
- § 30:7 Defendants’ state constitutional right to change of venue
- § 30:8 Defendants’ potential federal constitutional right to trial where crime was committed
- § 30:9 Defendants’ potential state constitutional right to trial where crime was committed

C. CHANGE OF VENUE ON DEFENDANT’S MOTION

- § 30:10 Statutory grounds—In general
- § 30:11 —Dangerous combination
- § 30:12 —Prejudice in the county
- § 30:13 Defendant’s motion—In general
- § 30:14 —Timeliness
- § 30:15 —Defendant’s supporting affidavit
- § 30:16 —Supporting affidavits of two “credible persons”
- § 30:17 Controversion by State’s affidavits
- § 30:18 Defendants’ right to change of venue “as a matter of law” on uncontroverted motions—In general
- § 30:19 —Waiver by proceeding to hearing
- § 30:20 Resolution of defendants’ motions—Right to hearing
- § 30:21 —Timing of hearing and resolution
- § 30:22 —Conduct of the hearing
- § 30:23 —Sufficiency of compurgators
- § 30:24 —Burden of proof
- § 30:25 —Matters at issue in the hearing
- § 30:26 Ability to obtain a fair trial—In general
- § 30:27 —Opinions of witnesses
- § 30:28 —Extent and nature of publicity or community sentiment
- § 30:29 —Selection of the trial jury
- § 30:30 —Potential and actual jurors’ knowledge of the case or impression of defendant’s guilt
- § 30:31 —Jurors’ assertions that they can and will be “fair”
- § 30:32 —Defendant’s use and exhaustion of jury challenges
- § 30:33 Appellate review of refusal to change venue

D. CHANGE OF VENUE ON STATE’S MOTION

- § 30:34 Statutory grounds
- § 30:35 State’s motion—In general
- § 30:36 —Timeliness
- § 30:37 Procedure on state’s motion

- § 30:38 Changing venue on State's motion as reversible error on defendant's appeal from conviction

E. CHANGE OF VENUE ON COURT'S OWN MOTION

- § 30:39 Grounds for change of venue on court's motion
- § 30:40 Procedure—In general
- § 30:41 —Notice
- § 30:42 —Hearing
- § 30:43 —Determination to change venue
- § 30:44 —Moving trial beyond adjoining district
- § 30:45 Change of venue on court's motion as reversible error on defendant's appeal from conviction
- § 30:46 Abuse of court's power to change venue

F. CHANGE OF VENUE BY CONSENT

- § 30:47 Guilty plea cases
- § 30:48 Transfer by agreement for convenience

G. JOINT DEFENDANTS

- § 30:49 Joint defendants—In general
- § 30:50 Change of venue generally applies to all joint defendants
- § 30:51 Failure to change venue as to codefendants

H. PROCEDURE ON CHANGE OF VENUE

- § 30:52 Place to which venue is changed—In general
- § 30:53 —State's motion
- § 30:54 —Defendant's motion
- § 30:55 —Court's motion
- § 30:56 —Preserving error
- § 30:57 Procedure in general
- § 30:58 Transmission of papers in the case
- § 30:59 Witnesses summoned
- § 30:60 Defendant's custody and bond status—In general
- § 30:61 —Defendant in custody
- § 30:62 —Defendant at large under pretrial release
- § 30:63 Posttrial procedures

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 31. COMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 31:1 Competency to stand trial—In general
- § 31:2 2003 revision of competency statutes
- § 31:3 Prosecutions to which competency requirements apply
- § 31:4 Waiver distinguished from competency

B. CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING COMPETENCY

- § 31:5 Criteria for determining competency—In general
- § 31:6 —Impairment required
- § 31:7 —Competency of defendant seeking to waive trial, right to counsel, or other rights
- § 31:8 Ability to consult with counsel
- § 31:9 Ability to understanding the proceedings
- § 31:10 Statutory “factors”
- § 31:11 Effect of medication on competency
- § 31:12 Amnesia as incompetency
- § 31:13 Amnesia and defendants’ right to fair trials

C. GENERAL PROCEDURAL CONCERNS

- § 31:14 Procedure for inquiring into competency—In general
- § 31:15 Competency in guilty plea situations
- § 31:16 Burden of proof—In general
- § 31:17 —Evidence includes prior unvacated adjudication of incompetency
- § 31:18 Jury trial on competency—In general
- § 31:19 —Jury other than guilt-innocence jury
- § 31:20 Dismissal of charges—2003 revision

D. RAISING AND DETERMINING COMPETENCY

- § 31:21 Raising and resolving competency concerns—In general
- § 31:22 Raising competency under the 2003 revisions
- § 31:23 Raising competency by motion for new trial
- § 31:24 Timing of the trial on competency
- § 31:25 Inquiry into competency over defendant’s objection
- § 31:26 Defendant’s right to representation

- § 31:27 Testimony by defense counsel
- § 31:28 Effect of defense counsel's satisfaction with defendant's competency
- § 31:29 Multiple inquiries into competency

E. THE INFORMAL INQUIRY INTO COMPETENCY

- § 31:30 The informal inquiry—In general
- § 31:31 Informal inquiry necessary upon suggestion that the defendant may be incompetent
- § 31:32 Informal inquiry on court's own motion necessary on evidence the defendant may be incompetent
- § 31:33 The "bona fide doubt" standard
- § 31:34 Trial court's decision to not conduct informal inquiry entitled to deference
- § 31:35 Procedural aspects of the informal inquiry
- § 31:36 Determination to be made at the end of the informal inquiry

F. EXAMINATION OF THE DEFENDANT

- § 31:37 Examination of the defendant—In general
- § 31:38 Appointment of examiner—Discretionary power to appoint on suggestion of incompetency
- § 31:39 —Mandatory appointment
- § 31:40 Appointment of examiner on defendant's request—In general
- § 31:41 —Possible constitutional requirement
- § 31:42 Defense expert's access to defendant
- § 31:43 Examination on sheriff's application to magistrate
- § 31:44 Qualifications of appointed examiner
- § 31:45 Independence of appointed examiner
- § 31:46 Examination conducted over defendant's objection
- § 31:47 Mechanics of the examination
- § 31:48 Warnings and right to counsel
- § 31:49 Evaluation of sanity
- § 31:50 Statements made to examiner
- § 31:51 Testimony on sanity by examiner

G. THE "TRIAL" ON COMPETENCY

- § 31:52 The trial on competency—In general
- § 31:53 Need for a trial—Evidence supporting a finding of incompetency
- § 31:54 Jury trial
- § 31:55 Procedure at a jury trial on competency
- § 31:56 Expert testimony on the statutory standard
- § 31:57 Jury submission

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 31:58 Nonjury determinations of competency—In general
- § 31:59 Determining competency without a trial
- § 31:60 Procedure at the nonjury trial

H. PROCEDURE UPON FINDING OF INCOMPETENCY

- § 31:61 Constitutional considerations regarding incompetent defendants
- § 31:62 Statutory framework under the 2003 revisions
- § 31:63 Release of incompetent defendant on bail
- § 31:64 Automatic commitment for restoration to competency
- § 31:65 Extension of automatic commitment order
- § 31:66 Return to court after automatic commitment
- § 31:67 Extended commitment

I. REDETERMINATION OF COMPETENCY

- § 31:68 Redetermination of competency—In general
- § 31:69 Initiating the procedure
- § 31:70 Appointment of examiners
- § 31:71 Redetermination of competency—Need for hearing
- § 31:72 —Jury trial
- § 31:73 —Burden of proof
- § 31:74 Summary determination of restoration to competency
- § 31:75 Repeated redeterminations of competency

J. IMPAIRMENT DEVELOPING AFTER SENTENCING

- § 31:76 Postsentencing impairment—In general
- § 31:77 Incompetency to be executed

K. APPELLATE PROCEDURES

- § 31:78 Appellate remedies—In general
- § 31:79 —2003 revisions
- § 31:80 Review of evidence sufficiency
- § 31:81 Retrospective competency determinations

L. POSTCONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

- § 31:82 Competency to participate in postconviction habeas corpus proceedings

CHAPTER 32. SECURING ATTENDANCE OF WITNESSES

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 32:1 Compelling attendance—In general
- § 32:2 Bench warrants
- § 32:3 No fee or charge for subpoena or attachment

B. IN-COUNTY WITNESSES—IN GENERAL

- § 32:4 The distinction between in-county and out-of-county witnesses

C. IN-COUNTY WITNESSES—THE SUBPOENA

- § 32:5 Application for the subpoena
- § 32:6 Issuance of the subpoena
- § 32:7 The subpoena itself
- § 32:8 Service of the subpoena—Generally
- § 32:9 —Service by mail
- § 32:10 —Electronic service
- § 32:11 Return of the subpoena
- § 32:12 “Quashing” the subpoena
- § 32:13 Enforcing a subpoena by a “motion to compel”
- § 32:14 Compliance with the subpoena
- § 32:15 Consequences of noncompliance—Fine
- § 32:16 —Commitment to jail
- § 32:17 —Contempt
- § 32:18 Witness “fees” for in-county witnesses

D. IN-COUNTY WITNESSES—THE ATTACHMENT

- § 32:19 Definition of attachment
- § 32:20 Requirements for an attachment of in-county witness—In general
- § 32:21 —Witness must have been properly served with subpoena
- § 32:22 —Witness subpoenaed by other party
- § 32:23 No subpoena necessary for attachment of witness reasonably believed about to move out of county
- § 32:24 Application for and issuance of attachment

E. IN-COUNTY WITNESSES—THE REQUIREMENT OF MATERIALITY

- § 32:25 Required showing of materiality
- § 32:26 Definition of materiality

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 32:27 No right to subpoena where no right to proceeding
- § 32:28 Reevaluation of the materiality requirement

F. OUT-OF-COUNTY WITNESSES

- § 32:29 Out-of-county witnesses—In general
- § 32:30 Subpoena for out-of-county witnesses
- § 32:31 Penalties for noncompliance with subpoena
- § 32:32 Attachment for out-of-county witnesses
- § 32:33 Witness “fees” or expenses for out-of-county witnesses

G. OTHER SITUATIONS

- § 32:34 Subpoena for items—Subpoena duces tecum
- § 32:35 Out-of-state witnesses
- § 32:36 Imprisoned witnesses—Texas prisoners
- § 32:37 —Testimony by electronic means
- § 32:38 —Out-of-state prisoners
- § 32:39 Child witnesses

H. SPECIAL PROBLEMS

- § 32:40 Bail and personal bond for witnesses
- § 32:41 Preserving compulsory process issues for appellate consideration—In general
- § 32:42 —Distinguishing continuance issues
- § 32:43 —Harmless error problems
- § 32:44 —A checklist
- § 32:45 Defendants’ right to immunity for defense witnesses
- § 32:46 State interference with availability of defense witnesses
- § 32:47 Recalling witnesses

CHAPTER 33. CONTINUANCES AND POSTPONEMENTS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 33:1 Terminology—Continuances, postponements, and recesses
- § 33:2 Significance of statutory provisions—In general
- § 33:3 —Nonstatutory motions addressed to trial court’s discretion
- § 33:4 Delay for state as reversible error on defendant’s appeal
- § 33:5 First and subsequent motions for delay
- § 33:6 Erroneous denial of motion for delay as grounds for new trial—In general
- § 33:7 —Expanded consideration permitted
- § 33:8 Preserving delay issues for appellate review—In general

§ 33:9 —Defendants’ need to move for new trial

B. DELAY “BY OPERATION OF LAW”

§ 33:10 Statutory provisions for delay “by operation of law”

C. DELAY BECAUSE OF RELIGIOUS HOLIDAY

§ 33:11 Court proceeding scheduled on religious day observed by defendant or counsel

§ 33:12 Jurors’ right to delay because of religious holy day

D. DELAY BY AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES

§ 33:13 Formal requirements of delay by agreement

§ 33:14 Agreement of parties not binding on court

E. PRETRIAL DELAY

§ 33:15 Pretrial delay—In general

§ 33:16 Pretrial delay to secure state witnesses

§ 33:17 Pretrial delay to secure defense witnesses

§ 33:18 Pretrial delay to avoid trial unfairness to accused

§ 33:19 Pretrial delay because of accused’s impairment

§ 33:20 Pretrial delay to permit further trial preparation

§ 33:21 Pretrial delay because of community inflammation

§ 33:22 Pretrial delay to permit representation by specific counsel

§ 33:23 Pretrial delay to secure transcription of prior testimony

F. DELAY AFTER TRIAL BEGINS

§ 33:24 Delay after trial begins—In general

§ 33:25 Statutory requirements for motion filed after trial begins

§ 33:26 Requirement of “surprise”

§ 33:27 Required showing of “diligence” regarding unavailable witnesses

§ 33:28 Delay sought because of unexpected testimony

§ 33:29 “Recesses” during trial

G. PROCEDURE

§ 33:30 Procedure for seeking delay—In general

§ 33:31 Federal constitutional enforceability of state law requirements

§ 33:32 No due process exception to requirements that motion be written and under oath

§ 33:33 Motion must be in writing

§ 33:34 Motion must be sworn

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 33:35	Time for motion—In general
§ 33:36	—Pretrial hearing set
§ 33:37	Required contents of motion for delay—In general
§ 33:38	State’s pretrial motion for delay to secure witness
§ 33:39	Defendant’s pretrial motion for delay to secure witness—In general
§ 33:40	Defendant’s pretrial motions for delay to secure witness— Stating facts to be proved by witness
§ 33:41	—Stating diligence used
§ 33:42	Defendant’s pretrial motion for delay to secure witness— Attaching process used to secure missing witness
§ 33:43	Defendant’s pretrial motions for delay to secure witness— Stating expectations of securing witness’s attendance
§ 33:44	Controverting motions for delay—In general
§ 33:45	Resolving motions for delay—In general
§ 33:46	—Relationship to disposition of motion for new trial
§ 33:47	Resolving motions to secure witnesses—Determination of materiality
§ 33:48	—“Cumulative” witness
§ 33:49	—Character or impeachment witness
§ 33:50	—Adequacy of diligence—In general
§ 33:51	— —Witness subpoenaed by other side
§ 33:52	—Likelihood of securing missing witness
§ 33:53	—Likelihood of witness testifying as stated
§ 33:54	—Probable truth of testimony, credibility, and likely impact on jury
§ 33:55	—State’s admission as avoiding need for delay to locate defense witness
§ 33:56	Bail status of defendant if motion granted
§ 33:57	Setting aside delay granted

CHAPTER 34. HABEAS CORPUS PROCEDURE—IN GENERAL

A. INTRODUCTION

§ 34:1	Habeas corpus in Texas Criminal Procedure
§ 34:2	Terminology—“Applicant” and “petition”
§ 34:3	—“Issuing the writ” distinguished from granting relief
§ 34:4	Prerequisite of “restraint”
§ 34:5	Habeas relief unavailable if remedy by appeal exists

B. JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY TO ISSUE THE WRIT AND GRANT RELIEF

§ 34:6	Jurisdiction and authority—In general
--------	---------------------------------------

- § 34:7 District courts
- § 34:8 County courts
- § 34:9 Court of Criminal Appeals—Appellate jurisdiction
- § 34:10 —Original jurisdiction
- § 34:11 Courts of appeals
- § 34:12 Justice and municipal courts
- § 34:13 Authority to grant relief—Court to which writ is returnable
- § 34:14 Jurisdiction over person of applicant

C. PROCEDURE IN HABEAS CORPUS MATTERS

- § 34:15 Procedure in general
- § 34:16 No filing fee
- § 34:17 Petition
- § 34:18 Decision whether to issue the writ
- § 34:19 Issuance, service, and “return”
- § 34:20 Substantive return on the writ by person restraining applicant
- § 34:21 Status of applicant during pendency of writ
- § 34:22 Decision whether to grant relief
- § 34:23 Hearing on whether to grant relief
- § 34:24 Grant or denial of relief
- § 34:25 Appellate review—Appeal by unsuccessful petitioner
- § 34:26 —Unsuccessful postconviction petitioner’s right to bail pending appeal
- § 34:27 —State appeal from grant of relief
- § 34:28 —Refusal to issue writ not appealable
- § 34:29 —Determining appealability of trial court action
- § 34:30 Extraordinary writ relief from refusal to issue the writ

CHAPTER 35. PRETRIAL HABEAS CORPUS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 35:1 Pretrial habeas corpus in Texas criminal procedure

B. PRETRIAL USE OF HABEAS CORPUS— PROCEDURAL ASPECTS

- § 35:2 Pretrial use of habeas corpus—In general
- § 35:3 —State’s ability to seek review of win below involving misuse of pretrial habeas
- § 35:4 Prerequisite of “restraint”
- § 35:5 Successive applications
- § 35:6 Pretrial use of habeas corpus—Delayed trial court ruling
- § 35:7 —Cognizability of issues in pretrial habeas distinguished from “jurisdiction”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 35:8 Denial of pretrial habeas relief as error on appeal from conviction
- § 35:9 Requirement of “ripeness”
- § 35:10 Mootness—In general
- § 35:11 —Availability of stay of trial court proceedings
- § 35:12 Forum for application and resolution of contentions—Felony cases
- § 35:13 —Misdemeanor cases
- § 35:14 Appellate review—Need for written order
- § 35:15 —Recharacterizing trial court rulings as denial of habeas corpus relief

C. BARS TO PROSECUTION OR CONVICTION RAISABLE BY HABEAS CORPUS

- § 35:16 Use of habeas corpus to raise bars to prosecution or conviction—In general
- § 35:17 Validity of penal law under which prosecution is brought—Facial validity
- § 35:18 —Validity as applied
- § 35:19 Validity of statute essential to the prosecution
- § 35:20 Double jeopardy—Generally
- § 35:21 —Bar to State’s relitigation of specific matters
- § 35:22 Whether facts of case constitute violation of law
- § 35:23 Facial validity of charging instrument
- § 35:24 Limitation matters
- § 35:25 Defects in grand jury procedure
- § 35:26 Constitutional and statutory speedy trial claims
- § 35:27 In pari material
- § 35:28 Violation of Interstate Agreement on Detainers
- § 35:29 Failure to hold examining trial after juvenile court waiver

CHAPTER 36. PRETRIAL HEARINGS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 36:1 Pretrial hearings—In general
- § 36:2 Requirements for defendants’ pleadings
- § 36:3 Pretrial motions in limine and motions to “anticipatorily” resolve evidentiary and procedural matters

B. THE “PRETRIAL STATUTE—ARTICLE 28.01

- § 36:4 Trial court’s discretionary right to schedule pretrial conference and hearing
- § 36:5 Issues at pretrial hearings

- § 36:6 Defendants' right to notice of pretrial hearing
- § 36:7 Defendants' right to testify on pretrial matters
- § 36:8 Conduct of the pretrial hearing
- § 36:9 Requirement of a pretrial ruling

C. DUTY TO RAISE MATTERS BEFORE OR AT SCHEDULED PRETRIAL HEARING

- § 36:10 Defendants' duty to raise pretrial matters before or at pretrial hearing—In general
- § 36:11 Duty applicable to all pleadings of the defendant and motions or pleadings permitted
- § 36:12 Responsive pleadings
- § 36:13 Duty to raise matters only at pretrial hearing
- § 36:14 Settings that trigger duty
- § 36:15 Defendants' ability to later raise matters not raised before or at pretrial hearing—In general
 - § 36:16 —Exception for showing of “good cause”
 - § 36:17 —Constitutional exceptions
 - § 36:18 —Appellate consideration of matters addressed on merits by trial judge
- § 36:19 State's duty to raise pretrial matters before or at pretrial hearing

D. DEFENDANTS' RIGHT TO BE PRESENT AT PRETRIAL “PROCEEDINGS”

- § 36:20 Defendants' right to be present at pretrial “proceedings”—In general
- § 36:21 Definition of “proceeding”
- § 36:22 Waiver
- § 36:23 Need for appellate relief if right violated

E. PRETRIAL CONSIDERATION OF ENTRAPMENT ISSUES

- § 36:24 Entrapment in Texas criminal law
- § 36:25 Entrapment as a pretrial hearing issue
- § 36:26 Defendants' claims asserted by motion to dismiss
- § 36:27 Resolution of pretrial entrapment claims—In general
 - § 36:28 —Need to hold hearing
 - § 36:29 —Addressing the merits
- § 36:30 Finality of pretrial ruling on entrapment

F. PRETRIAL DETERMINATION OF “MATERIALITY” IN AGGRAVATED PERJURY CASES

- § 36:31 Pretrial determination of materiality

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 36:32 Federal constitutional considerations

CHAPTER 37. DISMISSALS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 37:1 Dismissals—In general
- § 37:2 Terminology—Dismissal, setting aside, abandonment, discharge, and the like
- § 37:3 Distinguishing dismissals from acquittals
- § 37:4 General requirement of authority to dismiss
- § 37:5 Reprosecution—Dismissals with and without prejudice
- § 37:6 Magistrate’s authority to dismiss in felony prosecution
- § 37:7 Prospective dismissals—Agreements or promises not to prosecute
- § 37:8 Jeopardy bar to reprosecution following dismissal after jeopardy attaches

B. DISMISSALS ON MOTION OF THE STATE

- § 37:9 Dismissals on state’s motion—In general
- § 37:10 Article 32.02—In general
- § 37:11 Background of Article 32.02
- § 37:12 Substantial compliance is sufficient
- § 37:13 Need for written motion
- § 37:14 Need for statement of reasons
- § 37:15 Need for trial court’s consent
- § 37:16 —Dismissal pursuant to immunity agreement
- § 37:17 Trial court’s power to withhold consent
- § 37:18 Defendant’s right to have consent withheld
- § 37:19 Judgment of dismissal
- § 37:20 Effect of dismissal on reprosecution—In general
- § 37:21 —Promise not to reprosecute made in dismissal proceedings

C. DISMISSALS ON MOTIONS OF DEFENDANTS

- § 37:22 Dismissal on defendants’ motions—In general
- § 37:23 Trial courts lack general power to dismiss on defendants’ motions
- § 37:24 Dismissal for charging instrument defects
- § 37:25 Dismissal on defendants’ motions—“In pari material”
- § 37:26 Dismissal for precharge procedural mistakes
- § 37:27 Dismissal on entrapment grounds
- § 37:28 Dismissal as of constitutional right—In general
- § 37:29 —Double jeopardy
- § 37:30 Dismissal for failure to disclose informer

- § 37:31 Dismissal because offense taken into account in sentencing for another offense
- § 37:32 Dismissal for prosecutorial misbehavior
- § 37:33 Dismissal for evidence insufficiency
- § 37:34 Dismissal pursuant to judicial plea agreement
- § 37:35 Dismissal during community supervision
- § 37:36 Dismissal on defendant's motion—With or without prejudice

D. DISMISSALS ON COURT'S OWN MOTION

- § 37:37 Dismissal on court's motion—In general

E. EFFECT OF DISMISSALS

- § 37:38 Discharge of the accused upon dismissal
- § 37:39 Dismissal renders trial court without jurisdiction—In general
- § 37:40 —Time when dismissal becomes effective
- § 37:41 —Continuing jurisdiction over dismissed but amendable charging instrument
- § 37:42 —Appellate action
- § 37:43 —Dismissals beyond power of trial court
- § 37:44 —Application to abandonments and similar procedures
- § 37:45 Dismissal as to less than all defendants
- § 37:46 State's failure to appeal from dismissal

F. PRETRIAL MOTION IN BAR OF PROSECUTION

- § 37:47 Motion in bar of prosecution
- § 37:48 Bar on prosecution—Juvenile court has exclusive jurisdiction

CHAPTER 38. JOINDER, CONSOLIDATION, AND SEVERANCE OF CHARGES

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 38:1 In general
- § 38:2 Double jeopardy distinguished
- § 38:3 Alleging alternate theories distinguished from joinder
- § 38:4 Aggregation of offenses distinguished from joinder
- § 38:5 Statutory framework—In general under the former statute
- § 38:6 —Severance under the former statute
- § 38:7 —Charging instruments
- § 38:8 —Jury verdicts
- § 38:9 —1987 amendment

B. JOINDER OF CHARGES IN THE SAME CHARGING INSTRUMENT

- § 38:10 In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 38:11 Criminal episode—Pre-1987 interpretations
- § 38:12 1987 amendment—Criminal episode
- § 38:13 —Three joinder theories
- § 38:14 Alleging joinder theories and instructing the jury on them
- § 38:15 Offenses joined must be separate and distinct
- § 38:16 Effects of misjoinder—In general
- § 38:17 —Election and alternative submission
- § 38:18 —Plea of guilty
- § 38:19 —Trial objection
- § 38:20 —Appellate relief
- § 38:21 Which conviction should be affirmed?

C. CONSOLIDATION OF SEPARATE CHARGING INSTRUMENTS

- § 38:22 In general
- § 38:23 Consolidation—Written notice
- § 38:24 —Single criminal action
- § 38:25 —Defendant's options
- § 38:26 —Agreement for consecutive sentences
- § 38:27 —Right to severance
- § 38:28 Common-law consolidation—In general
- § 38:29 —Defendant's objection
- § 38:30 —No trial objection
- § 38:31 —Admonishments

D. CONSEQUENCES OF JOINDER OR CONSOLIDATION

- § 38:32 In general
- § 38:33 Concurrent sentences—In general
- § 38:34 —Intoxication manslaughter
- § 38:35 —Sex offenses against children
- § 38:36 —Gang related conduct
- § 38:37 —Fines
- § 38:38 —Community supervision
- § 38:39 —Jury instruction
- § 38:40 Separate verdicts and sentences
- § 38:41 Compartmentalizing the evidence
- § 38:42 New trial following reversal

E. SEVERANCE OF JOINED OR CONSOLIDATED CHARGES

- § 38:43 Severance—In general
- § 38:44 Timing of severance request

§ 38:45 Appellate remedy for severance denial

CHAPTER 39. JOINDER AND SEVERANCE OF DEFENDANTS

A. INTRODUCTION

§ 39:1 In general
§ 39:2 Scope of this chapter

B. JOINDER OF DEFENDANTS

§ 39:3 In general
§ 39:4 Notice of joinder
§ 39:5 Same offense or transaction
§ 39:6 Multiplicity of charges and defendants

C. PROCEDURES FOR SEVERANCE OF DEFENDANTS

§ 39:7 In general
§ 39:8 Severance on motion of the state
§ 39:9 The requirement of a motion to sever
§ 39:10 Motion to sever—Written or oral?
§ 39:11 The requirement of a timely motion for severance
§ 39:12 The requirement that evidence be produced

D. GROUND FOR MANDATORY SEVERANCE

§ 39:13 In general
§ 39:14 Admissible at guilt/innocence
§ 39:15 Admissible at penalty
§ 39:16 Resisting severance
§ 39:17 Discovery of prior record

E. GROUNDS FOR DISCRETIONARY SEVERANCE

§ 39:18 In general
§ 39:19 Heavy burden
§ 39:20 Antagonistic defenses
§ 39:21 Evidence admissible only against a codefendant
§ 39:22 Codefendant's confession implicates defendant
§ 39:23 Comment on codefendant's silence
§ 39:24 Codefendant would testify for defendant in separate trial

F. ORDER OF TRIAL AFTER SEVERANCE

§ 39:25 In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 39:26 Agreed-upon order of trial
- § 39:27 When there is no agreed-upon order of trial

CHAPTER 40. THE GUILTY PLEA PROCESS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 40:1 In general
- § 40:2 Pleas of guilty and nolo contendere distinguished
- § 40:3 Cross-references

B. WAIVER OF RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY

- § 40:4 In general
- § 40:5 Waiver by defendant—In general
- § 40:6 —The in-writing requirement and harmless error
- § 40:7 —In person
- § 40:8 —In open court
- § 40:9 —Rescinding prior waiver
- § 40:10 — —Change of plea
- § 40:11 —Appointment of counsel
- § 40:12 Consent and approval by prosecutor
- § 40:13 Consent and approval of the court

C. JUDICIAL ADMONITIONS

- § 40:14 Texas Code of Criminal Procedure
- § 40:15 Admonitions—Judicial responsibility
- § 40:16 —Written
- § 40:17 —Pleading guilty by television
- § 40:18 —Prior convictions and unadjudicated offenses
- § 40:19 —Range of punishment
- § 40:20 — —Total failure to admonish
- § 40:21 — —Incorrect range stated—Substantial compliance
- § 40:22 — — —Punishment greater than stated maximum
- § 40:23 — — —Penalty within stated and actual range
- § 40:24 — —Consecutive sentences
- § 40:25 — —Enhancement in subsequent case
- § 40:26 — —Fines
- § 40:27 — —Community supervision eligibility—No duty to admonish
- § 40:28 — — —No duty to correct manifest misunderstanding
- § 40:29 — — —Duty not to mislead defendant
- § 40:30 —Consequences of deferred adjudication
- § 40:31 —Recommendation of prosecutor not binding on court
- § 40:32 —Restrictions on right to appeal
- § 40:33 —Immigration consequences—In general

- § 40:34 — —Harm
- § 40:35 — —Not constitutionally required
- § 40:36 —Sex offender registration
- § 40:37 —Collateral consequences

D. VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEAS

- § 40:38 Voluntariness of plea—Federal requirement
- § 40:39 —The record
- § 40:40 —Mental competence
- § 40:41 —Free and voluntary—In general
- § 40:42 — —Coercion
- § 40:43 — —Misinformation
- § 40:44 — —Defense to community supervision revocation or proceeding to judgment
- § 40:45 —Conditional pleas

E. PLEA SUBSTANTIATION

- § 40:46 In general
- § 40:47 Three methods
- § 40:48 Stipulation requirements
- § 40:49 Written judicial confession requirements
- § 40:50 Oral judicial confession requirements
- § 40:51 No evidence to substantiate plea

F. PLEA WITHDRAWAL

- § 40:52 In general
- § 40:53 Sua sponte withdrawal—In general
- § 40:54 —Jury-waived pleas
- § 40:55 —Jury trial pleas—Evidence required
- § 40:56 — —Retracting evidence of innocence
- § 40:57 Withdrawal on defendant's motion—In general
- § 40:58 Withdrawal of defendant's motion—During jury trial
- § 40:59 Withdrawal on defendant's motion—During jury-waived proceedings
- § 40:60 —Harmless error
- § 40:61 —Consequences of withdrawal

G. PLEA BARGAINING

- § 40:62 In general
- § 40:63 Statutory regulation of plea bargaining—In general
- § 40:64 —Failure to inquire
- § 40:65 —Ambiguous agreements
- § 40:66 —Judicial acceptance of deal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 40:67 —Community supervision revocation
- § 40:68 Judicial participation in plea bargaining
- § 40:69 Negotiating the agreement—No duty to bargain or permit bargaining
- § 40:70 —Deadlines, counteroffers, and withdrawals
- § 40:71 —Defense counsel’s responsibilities
- § 40:72 Negotiating with a pro se defendant
- § 40:73 Waiving appeal as a plea agreement term
- § 40:74 Erroneous advice of counsel
- § 40:75 Erroneous advice of counsel and impossible promises
- § 40:76 Failure of prosecutor to keep plea-bargained promise—In general
- § 40:77 —Sentencing comments
- § 40:78 —Dismissal of other charges
- § 40:79 Failure of the defendant to keep plea-bargained promise
- § 40:80 Remedies for broken or impossible promises

CHAPTER 41. SELECTION OF THE JURY

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 41:1 In general

B. ASSEMBLING THE TRIAL PANEL

- § 41:2 Sources of jurors—In general
- § 41:3 Disclosing the juror list to the parties
- § 41:4 Sources of jurors—The fair cross-section requirement
- § 41:5 Assembling the general jury panel
- § 41:6 Qualifying members of the general jury panel—In general
- § 41:7 —Excuses
- § 41:8 Raising challenges to the process of summoning juror
- § 41:9 The jury shuffle—In general
- § 41:10 The shuffle—Timing requirements
- § 41:11 —Juror information cards
- § 41:12 —Number permitted
- § 41:13 —Harm
- § 41:14 —*Batson* inquiry

C. PROCEDURAL ASPECTS OF VOIR DIRE OF THE TRIAL PANEL

- § 41:15 In general
- § 41:16 Defendant’s right to be present
- § 41:17 Time restrictions
- § 41:18 Questions that may be asked—In general

CRIMINAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- § 41:19 —Relevancy to peremptory challenges—In general
- § 41:20 — —Race
- § 41:21 — —Police officer credibility
- § 41:22 — —Victim characteristics
- § 41:23 — —Defenses
- § 41:24 — —Definitions of legal terms
- § 41:25 — —Punishment
- § 41:26 —Examining the peremptory challenge rationale
- § 41:27 —Duplication
- § 41:28 —Seeking commitment
- § 41:29 —Harm
- § 41:30 Juror information forms
- § 41:31 Multiple trial panels
- § 41:32 Confidentiality of personal information about jurors

D. CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE

- § 41:33 In general
- § 41:34 When challenges must be made
- § 41:35 Absolute disqualification—In general
- § 41:36 —Convicted of felony or theft
- § 41:37 —Charged with felony or theft
- § 41:38 —Insane
- § 41:39 —Preserving error
- § 41:40 —Harm
- § 41:41 Ground for challenge—Not a qualified voter
- § 41:42 —Disabilities—In general
- § 41:43 — —Mental defect or disease
- § 41:44 — —Deafness
- § 41:45 — —Other physical disabilities
- § 41:46 —Witness in the case
- § 41:47 —Served on the grand jury
- § 41:48 —Served as panelist or juror—In general
- § 41:49 — —Recent jury service
- § 41:50 — —Recent panel service
- § 41:51 —Interim juror
- § 41:52 —Bias or prejudice in favor of or against defendant—In general
- § 41:53 — —Police officer credibility
- § 41:54 — —Crime victim or accused
- § 41:55 — —Acquainted with trial participants
- § 41:56 — —Bias against category in which defendant fits
- § 41:57 — —Nondisclosure of material information by panelist
- § 41:58 —Conclusion as to guilt or innocence—In general
- § 41:59 — —The opinion requirement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 41:60	— —The influence verdict requirement
§ 41:61	— —Evidence to prove innocence
§ 41:62	— —Right to question regarding publicity
§ 41:63	— —Termination procedure
§ 41:64	—Cannot read or write
§ 41:65	—Bias or prejudice against the law—In general
§ 41:66	— —Proof beyond a reasonable doubt
§ 41:67	— —Facts that are not elements
§ 41:68	— —Law of parties
§ 41:69	— —Accomplice testimony
§ 41:70	— —Circumstantial evidence
§ 41:71	— —Confessions
§ 41:72	— —Bias against the offense charged
§ 41:73	— —Presumption of innocence
§ 41:74	— —Right to remain silent
§ 41:75	— —Punishment—In general
§ 41:76	— — —Death penalty
§ 41:77	— — —Maximum
§ 41:78	— — —Minimum
§ 41:79	— — —Community supervision
§ 41:80	—Harm
§ 41:81	Appellate review of challenges for cause

E. PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES (INCLUDING *BATSON*)

§ 41:82	In general
§ 41:83	Supreme Court framework— <i>Swain v. Alabama</i>
§ 41:84	— <i>Batson v. Kentucky</i>
§ 41:85	—Defendant's race
§ 41:86	—Defendant's strikes
§ 41:87	—Gender-based strikes
§ 41:88	Religion-based strikes
§ 41:89	Abolish peremptory challenges?
§ 41:90	The <i>Batson</i> statute—In general
§ 41:91	—Race of defendant
§ 41:92	—Remedy for violation
§ 41:93	Procedural requirements for making a <i>Batson</i> claim— Objection
§ 41:94	—Timing of objection
§ 41:95	Making a prima facie showing of a <i>Batson</i> violation
§ 41:96	The <i>Batson</i> hearing
§ 41:97	Explanations for strikes—In general
§ 41:98	—Duty to explain
§ 41:99	—Duty to provide neutral explanation

- § 41:100 —Circumstantial evidence of nondiscrimination
- § 41:101 —Death penalty
- § 41:102 —Proof requirements
- § 41:103 —Prior criminal record
- § 41:104 —Association with defendant
- § 41:105 —Stereotyping
- § 41:106 —Demeanor and body language
- § 41:107 —Racial identity with defendant
- § 41:108 —Language, place of birth, and other factors that often correlate with improper reasons to strike
- § 41:109 —Panelist's track record as juror
- § 41:110 Challenging explanations for strikes—In general
- § 41:111 —Strike notes
- § 41:112 —Patterns of questioning panelists
- § 41:113 —Comparative analysis—In general
- § 41:114 —Appellate record
- § 41:115 —Complexity of the explanation
- § 41:116 Appellate review of *Batson* challenges

F. ALLOWING LESS THAN THE USUAL NUMBER OF JURORS

- § 41:117 Waiver of full jury
- § 41:118 Replacement of disabled jurors

CHAPTER 42. ELECTION AMONG ACTS SHOWN BY THE EVIDENCE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 42:1 Election
- § 42:2 Election and abandonment—In general
- § 42:3 Election, abandonment, charging instrument law, and jury submission
- § 42:4 Application of Article 32.02 to election and abandonment

B. ELECTION GENERALLY

- § 42:5 Requirement of election
- § 42:6 Rationale for election requirement
- § 42:7 Double jeopardy implications of election

C. SITUATIONS REQUIRING ELECTION

- § 42:8 Situations requiring election—In general
- § 42:9 State's adoption of defense evidence that could constitute the charged offense

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 42:10 Evidence showing only one incident that could constitute the charged offense
- § 42:11 “Continuing” offenses
- § 42:12 Proof showing commission of noncontinuing offenses in a “continuing” manner
- § 42:13 Proof showing a divisible single incident

D. PROCEDURE FOR ELECTION

- § 42:14 Defendant’s duty to seek election—In general
- § 42:15 —Manner of seeking election
- § 42:16 —Timeliness of demand for election
- § 42:17 —“Premature” effort to seek election
- § 42:18 Election on court’s own motion
- § 42:19 When in the proceeding the State must be required to elect—
In general
- § 42:20 —Pretrial election
- § 42:21 The election—In general
- § 42:22 —Jury submission following election
- § 42:23 Error in failing to require election as constitutional error
- § 42:24 Harm analysis when election error is constitutional

E. PRESERVATION OF ABANDONED CHARGES

- § 42:25 Double jeopardy bar to reprosecution on abandoned charges—In general
- § 42:26 —Distinguishable charges
- § 42:27 Reactivation of abandoned charges while jeopardy continues
- § 42:28 Preservation of charges by pretrial abandonment on the record

CHAPTER 43. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 43:1 In general
- § 43:2 Assembling the charge
- § 43:3 Objections and fundamental error
- § 43:4 Scope of chapter

B. ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE CHARGED

- § 43:5 In general
- § 43:6 Culpable mental state—Basic requirement
- § 43:7 —Specified result offenses
- § 43:8 —Definition and application paragraphs
- § 43:9 —Showing harm

CRIMINAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- § 43:10 —Showing egregious harm
- § 43:11 Law of parties—In general
- § 43:12 —When instruction should be given
- § 43:13 —Requirement of a full application paragraph
- § 43:14 —Insufficient evidence defendant was primary actor
- § 43:15 —Evidence defendant was primary actor but insufficient evidence of guilt as a party
- § 43:16 —Sufficient evidence of both theories
- § 43:17 Law of transferred intent—In general
- § 43:18 —Failure to apply to facts
- § 43:19 —Insufficient evidence
- § 43:20 Multiple theories of liability—Jury agreement on a single theory not required
- § 43:21 Multiple theories of liability and the requirement of jury unanimity

C. BURDEN OF PROOF

- § 43:22 In general
- § 43:23 Definition of proof beyond a reasonable doubt—*Geesa v. State*
- § 43:24 —*Geesa* and *Reyes* overruled
- § 43:25 Burden of proof on defendant
- § 43:26 Comment on the weight of the evidence—In general
- § 43:27 —Reason for the prohibition
- § 43:28 —Witness credibility
- § 43:29 —Inferring intent from conduct

D. DEFENSES AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- § 43:30 In general
- § 43:31 Instructions on all defensive theories
- § 43:32 “Defenses” that negate elements
- § 43:33 Misidentification and alibi
- § 43:34 Accident
- § 43:35 Causation
- § 43:36 Mistake of fact
- § 43:37 Necessity and duress
- § 43:38 Self-defense and defense of others
- § 43:39 Use of force for law enforcement
- § 43:40 Special relationship use of force
- § 43:41 Use of force in defense of property
- § 43:42 Mistake of law and public duty
- § 43:43 Entrapment
- § 43:44 Insanity
- § 43:45 Intoxication
- § 43:46 Consent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

E. LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE JURY INSTRUCTIONS

- § 43:47 Lesser included offenses—Request for instruction
- § 43:48 Lesser included offense definition
- § 43:49 Lesser included offense—Evidentiary support
- § 43:50 —Harmless error
- § 43:51 —Effect on appellate evidence sufficiency claims

F. PENALTY PHASE INSTRUCTIONS

- § 43:52 In general
- § 43:53 Range of punishment—In general
- § 43:54 —Harm to defendant
- § 43:55 —Erroneous maximum sentence
- § 43:56 —Erroneous minimum sentence
- § 43:57 —Fine authorized
- § 43:58 —Penalty category reductions
- § 43:59 —Penalty category increases
- § 43:60 Community supervision
- § 43:61 Parole and good conduct—In general
- § 43:62 —The original jury instruction
- § 43:63 —The constitutional amendment
- § 43:64 —Capital cases
- § 43:65 —Deadly-weapon finding
- § 43:66 —Mandatory release
- § 43:67 —Failure to give instruction—Harm
- § 43:68 — —Waiver
- § 43:69 Penalty phase mitigation of murder for reasonably provoked defendant

CHAPTER 44. JURY SUBMISSION OF LEGALITY OF OBTAINING EVIDENCE AND CONFESSION MATTERS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 44:1 Legality of obtaining evidence and confession matters as jury issues
- § 44:2 Distinction among issues
- § 44:3 Jury submission's effect on judge's ruling
- § 44:4 Failure to submit issues as fundamental error—In general
- § 44:5 —Legality of obtaining evidence under Article 38.23(a)
- § 44:6 —Article 38.22, section 6
- § 44:7 —Article 38.22, section 7

**B. SUBMISSION OF CONFESSION ISSUES UNDER
ARTICLE 38.22**

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 44:8 Jury submission of confession issues—In general
- § 44:9 Effect of defendant’s denial that statement was made

**2. ISSUES ON WHICH SUBMISSION MAY BE
REQUIRED**

- § 44:10 Issues on which jury issue may be raised—In general
- § 44:11 General voluntariness under Article 38.22, section 6
- § 44:12 Warnings under Article 38.22, section 7
- § 44:13 Waivers under Article 38.22, section 7
- § 44:14 Invocation of right to counsel and other issues related to
objective confession law requirements
- § 44:15 Sufficient corroboration of oral statement and other
exceptions to the oral statement prohibition
- § 44:16 Accuracy and nonalteration of recorded oral statement

3. RAISING THE ISSUE FOR JURY SUBMISSION

- § 44:17 Issues “raised by the evidence”—In general
- § 44:18 General voluntariness under Article 38.22, section 6
- § 44:19 Warnings and related matters under Article 38.22, section 7

4. INSTRUCTING THE JURY

- § 44:20 Jury charge—In general
- § 44:21 General voluntariness under section 6 of Article 38.22
- § 44:22 Particular possible sources of involuntariness
- § 44:23 Warnings, waiver, and related matters under section 7 of
Article 38.22—In general
- § 44:24 —Need for required warnings
- § 44:25 —Waivers and other related matters

**C. SUBMISSION OF CONFESSION
VOLUNTARINESS UNDER ARTICLE 38.23**

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 44:26 Submission of confession issues under Article 38.23
- § 44:27 Failure to submit Article 38.23 confession issues as
fundamental error

**2. ISSUES ON WHICH SUBMISSION MAY BE
REQUIRED**

- § 44:28 Specific federal constitutional voluntariness issues

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 44:29 *Miranda* and other federal constitutional limits on law enforcement interrogation

3. *RAISING THE ISSUE FOR JURY SUBMISSION*

- § 44:30 Raising an Article 38.23 federal constitutional voluntariness issue

4. INSTRUCTING THE JURY

- § 44:31 Instructing the jury on an Article 38.23 federal constitutional voluntariness issue

D. SUBMISSION OF LEGALITY OF OBTAINING EVIDENCE UNDER ARTICLE 38.23

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 44:32 Defendants' right to jury submission—In general
§ 44:33 Cost of seeking jury submission—State's right to meet defense evidence
§ 44:34 Argument to jury

2. ISSUES ON WHICH SUBMISSION MAY BE REQUIRED

- § 44:35 Issues on which submission may be required—In general
§ 44:36 Specific involuntariness of statement
§ 44:37 Claim that evidence was obtained in violation of law
§ 44:38 Lineup and identification issues
§ 44:39 Scope of issues subject to jury determination
§ 44:40 —“Application” issues contrasted with issues of historical fact

3. RAISING THE ISSUE FOR JURY SUBMISSION

- § 44:41 Raising a right to jury submission
§ 44:42 Loss of right to submission by other evidence

4. INSTRUCTING THE JURY

- § 44:43 Instructing the jury—In general
§ 44:44 Issues of specific involuntariness of statement
§ 44:45 Limiting jury consideration to contested questions of fact
§ 44:46 Defining legal standards

E. APPELLATE REVIEW

- § 44:47 Appellate review—In general
§ 44:48 Failure to instruct jury as reversible error

- § 44:49 Appellate review of evidence supporting legal acquisition of evidence

CHAPTER 45. CLOSING ARGUMENT

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 45:1 In general
§ 45:2 Constitutional right to argue
§ 45:3 Scope of chapter

B. PROCEDURES FOR CLOSING ARGUMENT

- § 45:4 In general
§ 45:5 Time restrictions
§ 45:6 Full opening argument by prosecutor not required
§ 45:7 State has unrestricted right to final argument
§ 45:8 Right to make two addresses in felony cases

C. ARGUING OUTSIDE THE RECORD

- § 45:9 In general
§ 45:10 Determination of harm
§ 45:11 Extraneous offenses not admitted into evidence
§ 45:12 Extraneous offenses admitted into evidence
§ 45:13 Failure to call defense witnesses
§ 45:14 Explaining the absence of state's witnesses
§ 45:15 Characterizing the defendant
§ 45:16 Community messages and expectations
§ 45:17 Personal opinion
§ 45:18 Invited argument

D. COMMENT ON FAILURE OF DEFENDANT TO TESTIFY

- § 45:19 Federal constitutional prohibition
§ 45:20 Texas prohibition
§ 45:21 Resolve ambiguities in favor of state
§ 45:22 Unambiguous comment
§ 45:23 Comment by aid of nonverbal conduct
§ 45:24 Commenting on defendant's courtroom demeanor
§ 45:25 Penalty stage comments
§ 45:26 No contradictory evidence
§ 45:27 Invited argument
§ 45:28 Harmless error

E. ARGUING CONTRARY TO THE LAW

- § 45:29 Arguing contrary to the court's charge

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 45:30 Erroneously arguing law not in the charge
- § 45:31 Correctly arguing law not in the charge
- § 45:32 “Golden Rule” arguments asking the jurors to put themselves
in the shoes of the victim
- § 45:33 Striking at defendant through counsel

F. URGING THE JURY TO CONSIDER PAROLE IN SELECTING PUNISHMENT

- § 45:34 In general
- § 45:35 Parole is common knowledge
- § 45:36 Directly urging that parole be considered
- § 45:37 Time served on prior prison sentences
- § 45:38 Arguing rehabilitation
- § 45:39 Arguing parole eligibility

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 46. PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 46:1 In general
- § 46:2 Cross-references

B. BIFURCATION AND JURY PUNISHMENT

- § 46:3 In general
- § 46:4 Bifurcation—In general
- § 46:5 —Due process concerns
- § 46:6 —Statutory structure
- § 46:7 —Self-incrimination
- § 46:8 —Constitutional proof requirements
- § 46:9 When jury punishment must be elected
- § 46:10 Election when there is a pretrial hearing
- § 46:11 Election when there was no pretrial hearing
- § 46:12 Changing the election of punishment
- § 46:13 When the jury fails to agree on punishment
- § 46:14 When there is punishment error
- § 46:15 Waiver of punishment phase claims by defendant's admission of guilt

C. CHARACTER EVIDENCE

- § 46:16 In general
- § 46:17 Reputation and opinion
- § 46:18 Different predicates for reputation and opinion
- § 46:19 Character trait must be relevant
- § 46:20 Race or ethnicity of the defendant
- § 46:21 Good character—In general
- § 46:22 —Have you heard questions
- § 46:23 Bad character—In general
- § 46:24 —Reputation
- § 46:25 —Opinion
- § 46:26 When knowledge must be acquired
- § 46:27 Right to voir dire witness

D. PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD

- § 46:28 In general

- § 46:29 Notice of intent to introduce
- § 46:30 Deferred adjudication
- § 46:31 Juvenile adjudications
- § 46:32 Conviction finality and offense details

E. UNADJUDICATED OFFENSES

- § 46:33 Before the 1989 amendment
- § 46:34 The 1989 amendment
- § 46:35 *Grunsfeld v. State*
- § 46:36 The 1993 amendment
- § 46:37 Notice—In general
- § 46:38 —Scope
- § 46:39 —Specificity
- § 46:40 —Sufficiency of request
- § 46:41 Balancing probative value with prejudicial effect
- § 46:42 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt—In general
- § 46:43 —Admissibility or sufficiency?
- § 46:44 —Instruction requiring
- § 46:45 —Definitional instruction
- § 46:46 —Accomplice corroboration
- § 46:47 —County of commission of the offense

F. AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING EVIDENCE

- § 46:48 In general
- § 46:49 Opinion regarding proper punishment
- § 46:50 Victim impact written statement
- § 46:51 Victim impact oral statement
- § 46:52 Victim impact evidence
- § 46:53 Victim character evidence
- § 46:54 Gang membership
- § 46:55 Hate crimes punishment
- § 46:56 Placing the offense in context

G. DEADLY-WEAPON FINDINGS

- § 46:57 In general
- § 46:58 Equal protection
- § 46:59 Notice of intent—In general
- § 46:60 —Timing
- § 46:61 —In indictment
- § 46:62 —Outside indictment
- § 46:63 —Waiver
- § 46:64 Three steps
- § 46:65 Proof—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 46:66	—Party liability
§ 46:67	—Use
§ 46:68	—What can be a deadly weapon?
§ 46:69	—Relation of weapon to felony
§ 46:70	Affirmative finding—In general
§ 46:71	—Who makes?
§ 46:72	—When offense already is 3g
§ 46:73	Entry of affirmative finding in judgment—In general
§ 46:74	Entry of affirmative weapon finding in judgment—Judge finding
§ 46:75	Entry of affirmative finding in judgment—Jury finding
§ 46:76	—Community supervision
§ 46:77	—Content of entry

H. ENHANCEMENT OF PUNISHMENT AND HABITUAL OFFENDER TREATMENT

§ 46:78	In general
§ 46:79	Enhancement under the Controlled Substances Act
§ 46:80	DWI enhancement provisions—In general
§ 46:81	—Stipulation to prior convictions
§ 46:82	—Prior community supervision
§ 46:83	—Sequence of prior convictions
§ 46:84	—Number of prior convictions
§ 46:85	—Remoteness of prior convictions
§ 46:86	Family violence enhancement to felony
§ 46:87	Stalking enhancement
§ 46:88	Sex offender mandatory life provision
§ 46:89	Enhancement under hate crimes legislation
§ 46:90	Enhancement for offenses committed in disaster area
§ 46:91	Finality of prior conviction—Community supervision
§ 46:92	—Shock community supervision
§ 46:93	—Sister state community supervision
§ 46:94	—Appeal
§ 46:95	Convictions for offenses outside the Penal Code
§ 46:96	Prior convictions that are elements of the primary offense
§ 46:97	Enhancement in felony DWI cases
§ 46:98	Enhancement in felony theft cases
§ 46:99	Multiple use of prior convictions for enhancement
§ 46:100	Enhancement with prior juvenile adjudications
§ 46:101	Habitual offender—Proof of sequence
§ 46:102	—State jail felony
§ 46:103	Pleading requirements—Amendments and grand jury approval
§ 46:104	—Multiple convictions

- § 46:105 —Sequence allegations
- § 46:106 —Particularity
- § 46:107 Variances in proof from pleading
- § 46:108 Proof of identity
- § 46:109 Pen packet authentication requirements
- § 46:110 Defenses—Void convictions based on charging instrument defects
- § 46:111 —Other void convictions

I. PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION AND REPORT TO COURT

- § 46:112 In general
- § 46:113 Confrontation of witnesses
- § 46:114 Hearsay
- § 46:115 Contents of the report—In general
- § 46:116 —Alcohol or drug abuse evaluation
- § 46:117 —Mental impairment evaluation in felony cases
- § 46:118 —Arrests and unadjudicated offenses
- § 46:119 —Juvenile record
- § 46:120 Presentence investigation interview of defendant—Privilege against self-incrimination considerations
- § 46:121 —Right to counsel considerations
- § 46:122 Disclosure of the presentence report
- § 46:123 Admitting the report into evidence
- § 46:124 Jury sentencing
- § 46:125 Considering the presentence report before conviction
- § 46:126 Use for deferred adjudication
- § 46:127 Use of presentence report to assess punishment
- § 46:128 Mandatory presentence investigations—Felonies
- § 46:129 —Misdemeanors

J. RESTITUTION

- § 46:130 In general
- § 46:131 Debt discharged in bankruptcy
- § 46:132 Imprisonment for debt
- § 46:133 Specificity of restitution community supervision condition
- § 46:134 Ability to pay
- § 46:135 Requirement of a factual basis for restitution order
- § 46:136 What losses can be considered
- § 46:137 No criminal responsibility for injury
- § 46:138 Injury caused by element of offense
- § 46:139 Victims not named in the indictment
- § 46:140 Multiple defendants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 46:141 Restitution to insurance companies
- § 46:142 Interest
- § 46:143 Restitution as a condition of parole
- § 46:144 Restitution liens
- § 46:145 Mandatory restitution

K. CONCURRENT AND CONSECUTIVE SENTENCES

- § 46:146 In general
- § 46:147 Proof defendant was previously convicted
- § 46:148 Community supervision terms
- § 46:149 When consecutive sentences are mandatory
- § 46:150 When concurrent sentences are mandatory
- § 46:151 Stacking Texas sentence upon a federal or sister state sentence
- § 46:152 Specificity in the cumulation order
- § 46:153 When the cumulation order can be entered
- § 46:154 Shock community supervision when consecutive sentences are imposed
- § 46:155 Intent to impose consecutive sentences
- § 46:156 Revocation of community supervision
- § 46:157 Revocation of shock community supervision
- § 46:158 Release under consecutive sentences
- § 46:159 Effect of a void conviction on subsequent sentences

L. CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS ON THE POWER TO SENTENCE

- § 46:160 Constitutional limitations on the power to sentence—In general
- § 46:161 Constitutional limitation—Due process protections against judicial vindictiveness
- § 46:162 Eighth Amendment Cruel and Unusual Punishment Clause
- § 46:163 Right to jury and proof beyond a reasonable doubt
- § 46:164 Ex Post Facto Clause

CHAPTER 47. COMMUNITY SUPERVISION—ELIGIBILITY AND CONDITIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 47:1 In general
- § 47:2 Cross-references

B. TYPES OF COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

- § 47:3 In general
- § 47:4 Basic differences
- § 47:5 Regular community supervision
- § 47:6 Deferred adjudication community supervision
- § 47:7 Shock community supervision
- § 47:8 State jail felony community supervision
- § 47:9 Different eligibility requirements

C. REGULAR COMMUNITY SUPERVISION FROM JURY

- § 47:10 Felony community supervision from jury
- § 47:11 —10-year rule
- § 47:12 —Prior felony conviction—In general
- § 47:13 — —Regular community supervision
- § 47:14 — —Deferred adjudication
- § 47:15 — —Appeal
- § 47:16 —Proving no prior felony conviction
- § 47:17 —Sworn motion—In general
- § 47:18 — —Timely filed
- § 47:19 — —Contents
- § 47:20 —Excluded offenses
- § 47:21 Misdemeanor community supervision from jury—In general
- § 47:22 —Prior felony conviction
- § 47:23 —Sworn statement

D. REGULAR COMMUNITY SUPERVISION FROM JUDGE

- § 47:24 Regular felony community supervision from judge—In general
- § 47:25 —10-year rule
- § 47:26 —Excluded offenses
- § 47:27 —Deadly weapon—In general
- § 47:28 — —Three steps
- § 47:29 Regular misdemeanor community supervision from judge

E. DEFERRED ADJUDICATION COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

- § 47:30 Deferred adjudication community supervision—In general
- § 47:31 —Finding of guilt
- § 47:32 —Judge only
- § 47:33 —Guilty or nolo plea only

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 47:34 —Excluded offenses
- § 47:35 —Sex offense requirements

F. SHOCK COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

- § 47:36 Shock community supervision—In general
- § 47:37 —Felony—In general
- § 47:38 — —Eligible for judge-ordered community supervision
- § 47:39 — —Prior penitentiary experience
- § 47:40 — —Time restrictions—In general
- § 47:41 — — —When sentence begins
- § 47:42 — — —Noncompliance
- § 47:43 — — —Parole
- § 47:44 — —From jail
- § 47:45 —Misdemeanor
- § 47:46 —Felony—“Boot camp”

G. STATE JAIL FELONY COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

- § 47:47 State jail felony community supervision—In general
- § 47:48 —Regular
- § 47:49 —Shock
- § 47:50 —Deferred adjudication

H. COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CONDITIONS

- § 47:51 In general
- § 47:52 Appellate review
- § 47:53 Statutory habeas corpus to challenge community supervision conditions
- § 47:54 Requirements for validity
- § 47:55 Must be related to treatment and protection
- § 47:56 Must not violate a constitutional or statutory right
- § 47:57 Must not be vague
- § 47:58 Must not delegate authority to others
- § 47:59 Commit no offense
- § 47:60 Avoid injurious or vicious habits
- § 47:61 Avoid disreputable or harmful places
- § 47:62 Avoid disreputable or harmful persons
- § 47:63 Report to community supervision officer
- § 47:64 Permit community supervision officer to visit
- § 47:65 Work faithfully at suitable employment
- § 47:66 Remain within a specified place
- § 47:67 Support dependents
- § 47:68 Confinement in jail, prison, or community corrections facility

- § 47:69 Monetary conditions of community supervision
- § 47:70 Installation of deep lung device
- § 47:71 Submit a DNA sample to DPS
- § 47:72 Provide public notice of the offense
- § 47:73 Alcohol or drug testing and counseling
- § 47:74 Attain educational skills
- § 47:75 Mental illness or retardation treatment
- § 47:76 Sex offender registration
- § 47:77 No contact with victim

CHAPTER 48. COMMUNITY SUPERVISION—REVOCATION AND DISCHARGE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 48:1 In general
- § 48:2 Related topics

B. INITIATING THE PROCEEDINGS

- § 48:3 In general
- § 48:4 Taking a defendant into custody for a violation
- § 48:5 Community supervision “hold”
- § 48:6 Probable cause
- § 48:7 Bail—Felony community supervision
- § 48:8 —Misdemeanor community supervision
- § 48:9 The 20-day rule—In general
- § 48:10 —When time begins
- § 48:11 —Motion to revoke need not be filed first
- § 48:12 —Waiver by defendant
- § 48:13 —Remedy for violation
- § 48:14 —Violation not reversible error
- § 48:15 Right to a speedy revocation hearing—In general
- § 48:16 —*Barker v. Wingo* factors
- § 48:17 —When right begins
- § 48:18 —Remedies
- § 48:19 —Defendants imprisoned on other charges

C. MOTION TO REVOKE OR TO PROCEED TO JUDGMENT

- § 48:20 In general
- § 48:21 The requirement of a written motion
- § 48:22 Deadline for filing motion

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 48:23	Amendments—In general
§ 48:24	—Misdemeanors
§ 48:25	—Felonies
§ 48:26	Pleading—Indictment rules inapplicable
§ 48:27	—Facts constituting violation
§ 48:28	—Elements of criminal offense
§ 48:29	—Date of violation
§ 48:30	—Place of violation
§ 48:31	—Community supervision conditions
§ 48:32	Motion to quash—In general
§ 48:33	—Necessity
§ 48:34	Service of State’s motion on defendant
§ 48:35	Reading the motion to the defendant
§ 48:36	Defendant’s pleas to the motion

D. THE REVOCATION OR PROCEED TO JUDGMENT HEARING—PROCEDURES

§ 48:37	In general
§ 48:38	Jurisdiction to conduct hearing
§ 48:39	Hearing after community supervision expires—In general
§ 48:40	—Motion filed
§ 48:41	—Capias issued
§ 48:42	—Prompt hearing
§ 48:43	Hearing delay—Due diligence
§ 48:44	Hearing after community supervision expires—Deferred adjudication
§ 48:45	Lack of due diligence—Harmless error
§ 48:46	Due Diligence—Trial objection
§ 48:47	Legislative modification of due diligence
§ 48:48	Jury trial
§ 48:49	Right to counsel
§ 48:50	Evidentiary restrictions—In general
§ 48:51	—Fourth and Fifth Amendment violations
§ 48:52	—Confessions
§ 48:53	—Hearsay
§ 48:54	—Expert opinions
§ 48:55	Supervision officer’s presence during testimony
§ 48:56	Appeal from revocation order

E. THE REVOCATION HEARING—PROOF

§ 48:57	Burden of persuasion—In general
§ 48:58	Corroboration
§ 48:59	Burden of persuasion—Nonpayment of monies

- § 48:60 Proving notice of community supervision conditions
- § 48:61 Proof the person in court is the defendant
- § 48:62 Proving criminal conduct—In general
- § 48:63 —Direct proof in revocation hearing—In general
- § 48:64 — —Double jeopardy
- § 48:65 — —Collateral estoppel
- § 48:66 —Using a conviction
- § 48:67 —Using evidence from trial

F. DEFENSES

- § 48:68 In general
- § 48:69 Exceptions, defenses, and affirmative defenses
- § 48:70 No evidence to support conviction
- § 48:71 Competency to stand trial
- § 48:72 Validity of proceedings leading to community supervision
- § 48:73 Defect in charging instrument
- § 48:74 Defects in guilty plea proceedings
- § 48:75 Defendant was not eligible for community supervision
- § 48:76 Ineffective assistance of counsel

G. SENTENCING FOLLOWING REVOCATION OR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OF GUILT

- § 48:77 In general
- § 48:78 Proceed to judgment—Separate penalty hearing
- § 48:79 Sentencing discretion—Regular community supervision
- § 48:80 —Deferred adjudication community supervision
- § 48:81 —Shock community supervision
- § 48:82 —Concurrent or consecutive sentences
- § 48:83 —Refusal to consider mitigating circumstances
- § 48:84 Credit on the sentence

H. THE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION TERM

- § 48:85 In general
- § 48:86 Stacking community supervision terms
- § 48:87 Effect of unauthorized community supervision term
- § 48:88 Relationship between community supervision term and punishment assessed
- § 48:89 Beginning the community supervision term
- § 48:90 Ending the community supervision term
- § 48:91 Extending the community supervision term
- § 48:92 Extending the community supervision term for sex offenders

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. EARLY DISCHARGE FROM COMMUNITY SUPERVISION AND DISMISSAL OF THE ACCUSATION

- § 48:93 In general
- § 48:94 Early discharge—Regular or shock
- § 48:95 —Deferred adjudication
- § 48:96 Dismissal of accusation—Regular or shock community supervision
- § 48:97 —Deferred adjudication
- § 48:98 —Consequences for defendant

CHAPTER 49. PENALTY PHASE OF CAPITAL CASES

A. INTRODUCTION: *FURMAN* AND THE CONSTITUTIONALIZATION OF THE DEATH PENALTY IN AMERICA

- § 49:1 Scope of this chapter
- § 49:2 The historical tradition of capital punishment in America
- § 49:3 *McGautha v. California* rejects the need for standards to guide the jury in capital cases
- § 49:4 The enigma of *Furman v. Georgia*

B. THE TEXAS LEGISLATIVE RESPONSE TO *FURMAN* AND THE 1976 CASES

- § 49:5 The legislative responses to *Furman* and the 1976 cases
- § 49:6 *Gregg v. Georgia* and the need for structured discretion
- § 49:7 The rejection of mandatory death in the 1976 cases
- § 49:8 Procedures recommended in the 1976 cases: bifurcated trials and appellate review
- § 49:9 *Jurek v. Texas* and the facial validity of the Texas system in 1976
- § 49:10 Capital murder in Texas, legislative narrowing at the first stage of trial
- § 49:11 The Texas special issues and the duplicative consideration of intent and provocation
- § 49:12 The future dangerousness special issue, as construed in *Jurek v. Texas*
- § 49:13 Lingering doubts about the Texas special issues and the tension between guided discretion and full consideration of mitigating evidence

C. THE DECLINE OF STRUCTURED DISCRETION AND THE RISE OF THE IMPORTANCE OF MITIGATING EVIDENCE CULMINATING IN *PENRY*

- § 49:14 The decline of structured discretion: vague aggravating factors
- § 49:15 The decline of structured discretion: nonstatutory aggravating factors
- § 49:16 The decline of structured discretion: nonstatutory and duplicative aggravators
- § 49:17 The rise of required individualization and the tension with the goal of consistency
- § 49:18 The right to present evidence of nonstatutory mitigating factors: *Lockett v. Ohio*
- § 49:19 The sentencer must be willing to consider mitigating evidence: *Eddings* and *Skipper*
- § 49:20 The jury must be able to give meaningful consideration to mitigating evidence: *Penry*

D. THE TEXAS RESPONSE TO *PENRY*

- § 49:21 *Penry* prompts reconsideration of prior death sentences
- § 49:22 The Texas response to challenges by death-row inmates convicted before *Penry*: procedural default
- § 49:23 The Texas response to challenges by death-row inmates convicted before *Penry*: *Franklin* or *Penry*?
- § 49:24 Procedural responses to cases tried after *Penry* but before the legislative revision of the Texas death penalty statutes
- § 49:25 The legislative response to *Penry*. Offenses committed prior to September 1, 1999
- § 49:26 The legislative response to *Penry*. Offenses committed after September 1, 1999
- § 49:27 Evaluating the legislative response to *Penry*
- § 49:28 Legislative expansion of the substantive scope of the offense of capital murder

E. CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS FROM THE DEATH PENALTY

- § 49:29 Categorical exclusion from the death penalty under the Eighth Amendment
- § 49:30 Limitations on the death penalty for minor role in the offense
- § 49:31 Limiting the limited role limitation—*Tison v. Arizona*
- § 49:32 Is a crime resulting in death required for a death penalty?
- § 49:33 Revival of categorical exclusions from the death penalty
- § 49:34 *Atkins* and the categorical exclusion of the mentally retarded

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 49:35 Implementing *Atkins*
- § 49:36 Categorical exclusion of juveniles from the death penalty

F. PROCEDURAL ISSUES IN CAPITAL CASES

- § 49:37 Procedural issues at the penalty stage of a capital case: double jeopardy
- § 49:38 Procedural issues at the penalty stage of a capital case: burden of proof
- § 49:39 Procedural issues at the penalty stage of a capital case: expert witnesses
- § 49:40 Procedural issues at the penalty stage of a capital case: providing effective assistance of counsel
- § 49:41 Procedural issues at the penalty stage of a capital case: right to the assistance of experts
- § 49:42 Procedural issues at the penalty stage of a capital case: the scope of the right to expert defense assistance under *Ake*
- § 49:43 Procedural issues at the penalty stage of a capital case: victim impact evidence
- § 49:44 Procedural issues at the penalty stage of a capital case: closing argument and jury instructions
- § 49:45 Procedural issues at the penalty stage of a capital case: lesser included offenses
- § 49:46 Statutory elimination of provocation as a separate mitigating factor
- § 49:47 Procedural issues after trial. *Ford v. Wainwright* and incompetency to be executed
- § 49:48 Implementing *Ford*

CHAPTER 50. MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PRACTICE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 50:1 In general
- § 50:2 Required judgment in order to file motion
- § 50:3 Court may grant new trial only on motion of defendant

B. GROUNDS FOR NEW TRIAL

- § 50:4 In general
- § 50:5 Grounds stated in rule not exhaustive list
- § 50:6 Limited grant of new punishment hearing

C. REQUIREMENTS FOR THE MOTION

- § 50:7 Title

- § 50:8 Written statement alleging grounds
- § 50:9 Verification and supporting affidavit
- § 50:10 Content of affidavit
- § 50:11 Affidavit before attorney
- § 50:12 Inmate statement in lieu of affidavit

D. PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

- § 50:13 Filing requirements—Time limits
- § 50:14 —Exceptions to time limits
- § 50:15 —Time limits and ineffective assistance of counsel
- § 50:16 Presentation requirement
- § 50:17 Possible hearing on new trial motion
- § 50:18 Hearing on motion and the scope of review
- § 50:19 Ruling on the motion—In general
- § 50:20 —Rescinding the ruling
- § 50:21 —Resetting the hearing beyond 75 days

E. NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE

- § 50:22 The basic requirements
- § 50:23 Unknown to movant before trial
- § 50:24 Due diligence
- § 50:25 Importance requirements
- § 50:26 Newly available evidence

F. JURY DELIBERATIONS

- § 50:27 In general
- § 50:28 Rule 606(b)
- § 50:29 Receipt of other evidence
- § 50:30 Considering defendant's failure to testify
- § 50:31 Talking to unauthorized persons about case
- § 50:32 Quotient verdicts
- § 50:33 Punishment considerations in guilt/innocence deliberations
- § 50:34 Discussion of parole

CHAPTER 51. EVIDENCE SUFFICIENCY

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 51:1 Evidence sufficiency—In general
- § 51:2 Rules for evidence sufficiency review versus rules for trier of fact application
- § 51:3 Federal constitutional considerations—In general
- § 51:4 —Defendants' right to acquittal upon judicial determination that evidence is "insufficient"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 51:5 Review after plea of guilty or nolo contendere
- § 51:6 Review of evidence establishing venue
- § 51:7 Review of evidence establishing compliance with limitation requirements
- § 51:8 Review of evidence establishing territorial jurisdiction
- § 51:9 Matters of “law” not subject to evidence sufficiency review

B. PROCEDURE FOR EVIDENCE SUFFICIENCY REVIEW

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 51:10 Procedure for evidence sufficiency review—In general
- § 51:11 —Determining the elements of the crime
- § 51:12 Evidence considered in conducting review
- § 51:13 Testimony as to which posttestimony objection was sustained
- § 51:14 Evidence irrelevant under substantive law—Voluntary intoxication

2. TRIAL REVIEW—MOTION FOR INSTRUCTED VERDICT

- § 51:15 Instructing a verdict of acquittal—In general
- § 51:16 —Acquittal on some but not all theories pleaded
- § 51:17 Procedure for triggering court’s trial review of evidence
- § 51:18 Conducting trial review of the evidence—In general
- § 51:19 —Passing on evidence sufficiency before the parties rest
- § 51:20 —Reconsideration of earlier ruling that evidence was insufficient

3. POSTVERDICT REVIEW BY TRIAL COURT

- § 51:21 Postverdict evidence review—In general
- § 51:22 Conducting postverdict review of the evidence

4. APPELLATE REVIEW

- § 51:23 Appellate review of evidence insufficiency
- § 51:24 Preserving evidence sufficiency matters for appellate review

C. BASIS FOR EVIDENCE REVIEW— HYPOTHETICALLY CORRECT JURY CHARGE

- § 51:25 Traditional approach measuring evidence sufficiency against jury charge actually given
- § 51:26 Adoption of the hypothetically correct jury charge for evidence sufficiency review
- § 51:27 Application of the hypothetically correct jury charge analysis—In general

- § 51:28 —Specificity pleaded in the charging instrument—In general
- § 51:29 — —Construing pleadings alleging specifics
- § 51:30 —Matters required for enhanced punishment
- § 51:31 —Matters not related to guilt or punishment but submitted to the jury
- § 51:32 —Reliance on theory of guilt not submitted to jury
- § 51:33 —Theories of liability not included in application paragraph

D. EVIDENCE REVIEW ON MATTERS SUBMITTED TO THE JURY NOT RELATING TO GUILT

- § 51:34 Evidence review and jury submission regarding matters not elements of crime—In general
- § 51:35 Nonelemental matters not within guilt-innocence evidence review
- § 51:36 Review of jury decisions on nonelemental matters

E. CRITERIA FOR EVIDENCE SUFFICIENCY—IN GENERAL

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 51:37 Criteria for review of evidence—In general
- § 51:38 Evidence sufficiency review when alternative theories are submitted to jury
- § 51:39 Evidence admitted for limited purpose
- § 51:40 Sufficiency of evidence where state proved partially exculpatory confession
- § 51:41 Evidence of “sudden passion” in murder and manslaughter prosecutions
- § 51:42 Other situations in which charged offense is mitigated greater offense
- § 51:43 Evidence supporting conviction on lesser included offense
- § 51:44 —State abandonment of charged offense
- § 51:45 Evidence supporting culpable mental state
- § 51:46 —Proof of “higher” culpable mental state
- § 51:47 Need for contraband in drug cases
- § 51:48 Eyewitness, “ear witness,” and “dog-scent” identification testimony
- § 51:49 Need for corroboration in “offer to sell” drug delivery cases
- § 51:50 Effect of stipulations

2. “LEGAL” SUFFICIENCY REVIEW

- § 51:51 Development of the basic criterion
- § 51:52 Application of the basic criterion
- § 51:53 Consideration of only evidence supporting guilt

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 51:54 Viewing evidence in light most favorable to verdict
- § 51:55 No bar to stacking inferences
- § 51:56 Evidence supporting rejection of defenses
- § 51:57 Evidence supporting rejection of affirmative defenses
- § 51:58 Evidence supporting inapplicability of exceptions
- § 51:59 Legal sufficiency of evidence in circumstantial evidence cases—In general
- § 51:60 —Lack of reasonable hypotheses consistent with innocence under general sufficiency analysis
- § 51:61 —“Affirmative link” in drug cases

3. “FACTUAL SUFFICIENCY” REVIEW

- § 51:62 Development of the factual sufficiency review power
- § 51:63 Rejection of the factual sufficiency of review power
- § 51:64 Factual sufficiency of review on defenses and affirmative defenses
- § 51:65 Court of appeals determination is final

F. ACCOMPLICE WITNESS RULE

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 51:66 The accomplice witness rule—In general
- § 51:67 No corroboration required of accomplice testimony exonerating accused
- § 51:68 Corroboration can be evidence introduced by defendant

2. APPLICABILITY OF THE RULE

- § 51:69 Statutory exceptions to accomplice witness rule
- § 51:70 In-court testimony of accomplice on issues other than guilt—In general
- § 51:71 —Extraneous offenses
- § 51:72 —Guilt of primary actor when accused prosecuted as party
- § 51:73 Out-of-court statements of accomplices
- § 51:74 Deadly-weapon finding

3. DEFINITION OF ACCOMPLICE WITNESS

- § 51:75 Definition of accomplice witness—In general
- § 51:76 Need to show participation before or during offense
- § 51:77 Participation after commission of the offense not sufficient
- § 51:78 Receiver of stolen property as accomplice
- § 51:79 Participant in offense with defense to liability is not accomplice witness—In general
- § 51:80 Child participants in offenses—In general

- § 51:81 “Statutory rape” victim
- § 51:82 Incest victim
- § 51:83 Undercover peace officer or person investigating crime not accomplice witness
- § 51:84 Undercover peace officer or investigator engaging in entrapment is accomplice witness
- § 51:85 Witness must be called by State—Defense witness cannot be accomplice witness
- § 51:86 —Testifying codefendant not accomplice witness

4. JURY SUBMISSION

- § 51:87 Jury submission—In general
- § 51:88 Submission of witness’s status to jury versus instructing jury that witness is accomplice “as a matter of law”—In general
- § 51:89 —Witness sharing gang membership with defendant
- § 51:90 Failure to instruct jury that witness is accomplice as reversible error
- § 51:91 Jury instruction—In general
- § 51:92 —Status of witness
- § 51:93 —Sufficiency of corroboration

5. EVIDENCE SUFFICIENCY REVIEW

- § 51:94 Evidence sufficiency review—In general
- § 51:95 Jury not instructed on accomplice witness testimony
- § 51:96 Jury instructed to determine whether witness is accomplice
- § 51:97 Jury instructed that state witness is accomplice witness as a matter of law

6. SUFFICIENCY OF CORROBORATION

- § 51:98 Sufficiency of corroboration—In general
- § 51:99 —Relationship to criteria for evidence sufficiency review
- § 51:100 Evidence tending to connect the defendant to commission of the crime
- § 51:101 Motive, opportunity, or presence and other “suspicious” circumstances—Cumulative circumstantial evidence
- § 51:102 Flight
- § 51:103 Possession of items stolen in offense
- § 51:104 Accused’s own statement
- § 51:105 Testimony of another accomplice
- § 51:106 Testimony of informer whose testimony must be corroborated
- § 51:107 Out-of-court statements of accomplice
- § 51:108 Evidence showing commission of offense

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7. SPECIAL SITUATIONS

- § 51:109 Accomplice testimony in theft and receiving stolen property prosecutions
- § 51:110 Corroboration in solicitation prosecutions

G. CORROBORATION OF CONFESSIONS

- § 51:111 Corroboration requirement—In general
- § 51:112 —Rationale and wisdom
- § 51:113 Definition of extrajudicial confessions to which rule applies
- § 51:114 No corroboration required for “judicial confessions”
- § 51:115 Corroboration required—Independent proof of the corpus delicti
- § 51:116 Required corroboration—Need for corroborating evidence to address all elements of the crime
- § 51:117 —Quantum of proof necessary
- § 51:118 Jury instructions on need for corroboration

H. VICTIM TESTIMONY IN SEXUAL ASSAULT PROSECUTIONS

- § 51:119 The corroboration requirement—In general
- § 51:120 Offenses to which requirement is applicable
- § 51:121 Necessary corroboration where requirement applies
- § 51:122 Exceptions—Corroboration not required where victim reports offense within one year
- § 51:123 —Corroboration not required where victim was under 18
- § 51:124 —Corroboration not required if victim 65 or older
- § 51:125 —Corroboration not required if victim impaired
- § 51:126 —Corroboration not required if consent not “in issue”
- § 51:127 —Corroboration not required if lack of “outcry” explained
- § 51:128 Jury submission of corroboration issues
- § 51:129 Jury instruction on delay in reporting as affecting credibility of victim
- § 51:130 Admissibility of “outcry” testimony

I. UNDERCOVER “SPECIAL INVESTIGATOR”

- § 51:131 Corroboration requirement—In general
- § 51:132 Situations requiring corroborating evidence
- § 51:133 Required relationship between witness and law enforcement
- § 51:134 Corroboration required

J. JAILHOUSE “SNITCH” TESTIMONY

- § 51:135 Corroboration requirement—In general

CHAPTER 52. VARIANCE BETWEEN CHARGING INSTRUMENT AND THE PROOF

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 52:1 Variances
- § 52:2 Definition of a variance
- § 52:3 Distinguishing charging instrument defects
- § 52:4 Proof of “more” facts than alleged not variance
- § 52:5 Proof of sufficient but less than all alleged facts not variance

B. TRADITIONAL VARIANCE LAW

- § 52:6 Traditional rule—Variance requires acquittal
- § 52:7 Purpose of traditional variance law
- § 52:8 “Surplusage” does not give rise to variance
- § 52:9 Requirement that variance be “material”
- § 52:10 Appellate Rule 44.2, harmless error, and traditional variance law
- § 52:11 Defendants’ need to raise claims of variance in trial court—
In general
- § 52:12 —Need for a pretrial “objection” to a misdrafted charging instrument
- § 52:13 Retrial after acquittal on variance grounds—Traditional law
- § 52:14 —Limit on and questionable status of traditional rule
- § 52:15 Retrial after mistrial declared on variance grounds

C. VARIANCE ANALYSIS UNDER *GOLLIHAR V. STATE*

- § 52:16 *Gollihar’s* revision of surplusage and variance law
- § 52:17 Remedy for a variance
- § 52:18 Materiality of variances—In general
- § 52:19 —Applying the materiality standard
- § 52:20 Variances regarding pleaded statutory alternative elements and other required allegations
- § 52:21 Trial practice and jury submission—In general
- § 52:22 —Materiality as a jury issue
- § 52:23 “Lack of notice” claims not tied to evidence sufficiency
- § 52:24 Evaluation of *Gollihar’s* revision of surplusage and variance law

D. MEANS OF AVOIDING VARIANCE PROBLEMS

- § 52:25 Avoiding variances—In general
- § 52:26 Alternative pleading

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 52:27 Liberal construction of the crime, the charging instrument, or the State's evidence

E. VARIANCES REGARDING NAMES

- § 52:28 Variances regarding names
- § 52:29 Name variances after *Gollihar*

F. SPELLING VARIANCES AND IDEM SONANS

- § 52:30 Spelling variances and idem sonans—In general
- § 52:31 Jury submission and appellate review
- § 52:32 Spelling variances and idem sonans after *Gollihar*

G. VARIANCE AND OTHER PROBLEMS CREATED BY PLEADING MATTERS “UNKNOWN”

- § 52:33 Effect of pleading matters as unknown—In general
- § 52:34 Traditional “unknown” law
- § 52:35 Pleading matters as unknown after Sanchez
- § 52:36 Known, unknown, and unknowable
- § 52:37 Pretrial challenge to propriety of unknown allegation
- § 52:38 Post-evidence trial challenge to propriety of unknown allegation
- § 52:39 Jury submission of known, unknown and unknowable matters
- § 52:40 Remedy for error in the procedure
- § 52:41 Evidence sufficiency challenges

H. OTHER PROBLEMS IN VARIANCE ANALYSIS

- § 52:42 State not bound to date alleged
- § 52:43 State not bound to value of property alleged
- § 52:44 Variances regarding place of offense—Generally
- § 52:45 —Venue situations under the general rule
- § 52:46 —Venue situations under specific special rules
- § 52:47 —Location pleaded more specifically than required for venue
- § 52:48 Variances caused by proof that crime was committed by another for whose conduct accused is responsible
- § 52:49 Variances regarding offenses used for enhancement
- § 52:50 Variances regarding name of accused

CHAPTER 53. PRESERVING ERROR FOR APPEAL

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 53:1 The requirement of preservation—In general

- § 53:2 —Legal basis for the requirement
- § 53:3 —Policies served by and implicated in the preservation requirement
- § 53:4 Preserving evidence sufficiency matters for appellate review
- § 53:5 Federal constitutional limits on enforcing state preservation of error and other procedural requirements
- § 53:6 Preservation of error aspects of certification of defendant's right of appeal

B. CONCEPTUAL CONSIDERATIONS RELATING TO TRIAL COURT ERROR AND PRESERVATION OF SUCH ERROR

- § 53:7 Conceptualizing, identifying, and formulating claims of error
- § 53:8 Procedural requirements that cannot give rise to “error”
- § 53:9 Appellate courts’ authority to overturn convictions in the absence of trial court “error”
- § 53:10 Errors distinguished from purely factual issues—Accuracy of translations
- § 53:11 “Party responsibility” for preserving error
- § 53:12 Fundamental error—In general
- § 53:13 Preservation of error and the *Marin v. State* analyses
- § 53:14 “Futility” exception to general requirement that error be preserved

C. ESTOPPEL AND INVITED ERROR

- § 53:15 Estoppel, invited error, and preservation of error
- § 53:16 Invited error—In general
- § 53:17 —Inapplicable if party has no choice but to invite error
- § 53:18 —Relationship to nonwaivability of rights
- § 53:19 —Inviting error by nonmeritorious objection
- § 53:20 —Error by mutual invitation
- § 53:21 Estoppel by trial court action
- § 53:22 Estoppel by action in appellate court
- § 53:23 Basis for invited error or estoppel must be shown in the record

D. GENERAL PRESERVATION CONSIDERATIONS

- § 53:24 Duty of State to preserve error when State appeals
- § 53:25 Matters in fact considered by trial court
- § 53:26 Preserving error on retrial
- § 53:27 Raising nonpreservation of error
- § 53:28 Standing in exclusionary rule situations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

E. MOTIONS FOR NEW TRIAL AND PRESERVATION OF ERROR

- § 53:29 Role of motion for new trial—In general
- § 53:30 Motion for new trial not needed where error otherwise preserved
- § 53:31 Motion may be appropriate vehicle for preserving error
- § 53:32 Motion for new trial cannot be used to cure earlier failure to preserve error
- § 53:33 Motion for new trial to adduce facts not in record—In general
- § 53:34 —Jury misconduct
- § 53:35 —Improper jury separation

F. RAISING COMPLAINTS IN THE TRIAL COURT

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 53:36 Rationale for requiring trial objection
- § 53:37 General requirement of presentation to trial court by request, objection, or motion
- § 53:38 Grounds of trial objection must coincide with issue urged on appeal
- § 53:39 Pretrial and other “anticipatory” rulings as sufficient to preserve error—In general
- § 53:40 —A proposed approach

2. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 53:41 Preserving error in ruling on opponent’s request or motion
- § 53:42 Exceptions and other “objections” to adverse rulings—In general
- § 53:43 —Need to object to ruling sustaining an objection to “form” of requested action
- § 53:44 Need to challenge trial judge’s misperception of facts
- § 53:45 Objection to trial court’s failure to act or act timely
- § 53:46 Party seeking trial court action must respond to successful objection to “form” of request
- § 53:47 Objection or offer of proof by another party or attorney not sufficient
- § 53:48 No objection necessary to matters occurring “after trial”
- § 53:49 Remedies ordered by appellate courts
- § 53:50 Preserving error in cross-examination or impeachment of possible witnesses
- § 53:51 Preserving error in precluding or limiting argument—Showing argument prevented
- § 53:52 Pretrial motions to suppress evidence

3. TIMELINESS OF REQUEST, OBJECTION, OR MOTION

- § 53:53 Timeliness of request, objection, or motion—In general
- § 53:54 —Right to information on which to decide whether to seek relief
- § 53:55 —Trial judge’s authorization of delayed objection
- § 53:56 Trial court action with later consequences
- § 53:57 Timely objection to testimony by witness
- § 53:58 Timely objection to item or object
- § 53:59 Objection that evidence is admissible for only limited purpose
- § 53:60 Basis for relief not yet apparent
- § 53:61 Premature objection
- § 53:62 Need to raise matters pretrial

4. NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBJECT

- § 53:63 Objection unnecessary where no opportunity to object presented—In general
- § 53:64 *Issa* rule and error in refusing to permit defense evidence on punishment

5. SPECIFICITY OF TRIAL REQUEST, OBJECTION, OR MOTION

- § 53:65 Specificity of trial request, objection, or motion—In general
- § 53:66 Identifying the event on which request, objection, or motion is based
- § 53:67 Specifying the timing of relief sought
- § 53:68 Legal basis for relief sought
- § 53:69 Legal Basis for Relief Sought—Complaint capable of expressing multiple legal arguments
- § 53:70 Legal basis for relief sought—Analytical tools supporting legal theory
- § 53:71 —“Intertwined” issues
- § 53:72 —State law as different than federal counterpart
- § 53:73 “Shotgun” objections
- § 53:74 Evidence with admissible and inadmissible components
- § 53:75 Evidence offered for limited purpose
- § 53:76 Grounds apparent from the context
- § 53:77 Matters actually litigated in the trial court
- § 53:78 Error in sustaining a general objection
- § 53:79 Need to explicitly request inquiry or hearing

6. NEED TO SEEK RELIEF “EACH TIME”

- § 53:80 Need to object “each time”—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 53:81 —Distinguishable but related errors
- § 53:82 Other evidence proving same facts
- § 53:83 “Running objection” exception
- § 53:84 Exception for situations in which objection would be “futile”

7. NEED TO REQUEST ALL RELIEF

- § 53:85 General rule requiring request for all possibly appropriate relief—Objection, motion for instruction to disregard, and motion for mistrial
- § 53:86 Situations where instructions to disregard would not be effective
- § 53:87 Objection to testimony sustained after witness answers

8. TRIAL COURT RULING

- § 53:88 Need to obtain trial court ruling—In general
- § 53:89 What constitutes a ruling
- § 53:90 Scope of a ruling
- § 53:91 Finding and conclusions
- § 53:92 Ruling reconsidering earlier ruling

9. TRIAL COURT REMEDIAL ACTION— INSTRUCTIONS TO DISREGARD

- § 53:93 Significance of instructions to disregard
- § 53:94 Effectiveness of instructions to disregard—In general
- § 53:95 —Testimony improperly produced
- § 53:96 —Improper question
- § 53:97 —Prosecutorial argument or comment
- § 53:98 —Improper comment of trial judge

G. MOTIONS IN LIMINE AND RELATED MATTERS

- § 53:99 Motions in limine—In general
- § 53:100 Rulings on motions in limine do not preserve error
- § 53:101 Rulings granting State’s motion
- § 53:102 Pretrial rulings analogous to rulings on motions in limine
- § 53:103 Rulings on jury voir dire, opening statements, and admissibility

H. MOTIONS TO “SUPPRESS” EVIDENCE

- § 53:104 Motions to suppress as means of preserving error

I. RULINGS OUT OF JURY’S PRESENCE

- § 53:105 Rulings made out of the presence of the jury

- § 53:106 Rulings during trial—In general
- § 53:107 —Need for Rule 103(a)(1) objection and ruling to avoid prematurity
- § 53:108 —Dispensing with need to object “each time”
- § 53:109 Pretrial rulings

J. APPELLEE’S BURDEN TO RAISE ISSUES IN THE TRIAL COURT

- § 53:110 Appellee’s status—In general
- § 53:111 *Calloway* rule—Trial court decision to be upheld on any theory of law applicable to the case
- § 53:112 Appellee’s possible duty under Rule 33.1
- § 53:113 *Callaway* rule inapplicable—In general
- § 53:114 —Trial court did not exercise discretion
- § 53:115 —Appellant deprived of opportunity to meet theory
- § 53:116 Appellee’s forfeiture of right to make arguments
- § 53:117 Appellee’s obligations when opposing party “invites” error
- § 53:118 State’s ability to raise search and seizure “standing” for first time on appeal
- § 53:119 Hearsay proponent’s duty to support admissibility

K. PRESERVING ERROR—EVIDENCE ADMITTED AGAINST A PARTY

- § 53:120 Preserving error in general—The contemporaneous objection rule
- § 53:121 Evidence admitted conditioned upon party “connecting up”
- § 53:122 Undesired testimony of a party’s own witness

L. PRESERVING ERROR—OFFERED EVIDENCE EXCLUDED

- § 53:123 The offer of proof—In general
- § 53:124 —Specifying the legal basis for admissibility
- § 53:125 —Specificity required
- § 53:126 “Absolute right” to make offer of proof
- § 53:127 Manner of making an offer of proof
- § 53:128 —Timing
- § 53:129 Refusals to permit questions on direct and cross-examination of witnesses and voir dire of prospective jurors
- § 53:130 Evidence partly admissible
- § 53:131 Evidence offered for a limited purpose
- § 53:132 Evidence admissible only upon fulfillment of condition
- § 53:133 Arguments or demonstrations to which objections are sustained

TABLE OF CONTENTS

M. FUNDAMENTAL ERRORS

- § 53:134 Fundamental errors—In general
- § 53:135 Conceptual basis for and rationale of fundamental error
- § 53:136 Unconstitutionality of statute upon which conviction is based—In general
 - § 53:137 —Traditional rule permitting “facial” challenges
 - § 53:138 —Even facial challenges cannot be raised for first time on appeal
- § 53:139 Constitutional (and perhaps other) rights not recognized at time of trial
- § 53:140 Former jeopardy
- § 53:141 Violations of certain unqualified or mandatory legislative requirements
- § 53:142 Lack of “jurisdiction” in trial court
- § 53:143 Lack of authority in presiding officer
- § 53:144 Lack of “authority” to convict—Misjoinder of offenses
- § 53:145 Errors rendering trial court action “void”
- § 53:146 “Uncurable” errors in jury arguments
- § 53:147 Ex post facto contentions
- § 53:148 Unauthorized sentence

N. LOSS OF PRESERVED ERROR BY EXPLICIT WAIVER, ABANDONMENT, OR ACQUIESCENCE

- § 53:149 Waiver—In general
- § 53:150 The “no objection” rule
- § 53:151 Avoiding waiver—“No *further* objections”
- § 53:152 Acquiescence in trial court ruling
- § 53:153 Abandonment of earlier assertion

O. LOSS OF PRESERVED ERROR—THE HARMLESS ERROR/WAIVER DOCTRINE PREVIOUSLY KNOWN AS “CURATIVE ADMISSIBILITY”

- § 53:154 Curative admissibility—In general
- § 53:155 Curative admissibility terminology abandoned
- § 53:156 Exceptions—Extraneous offense evidence and other evidence not tied to elements of the case
 - § 53:157 —Defendant’s trial testimony given because of improper admission of evidence subject to exclusionary rule
 - § 53:158 —Action taken to meet, destroy, or explain evidence at issue
- § 53:159 Cross-examination of witness giving contested testimony

P. THE FORMER *DEGARMO* DOCTRINE

- § 53:160 *DeGarmo* doctrine—Waiver by judicial confession at punishment

CRIMINAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- § 53:161 —Judicial confessions other than at punishment stage of
bifurcated trial
- § 53:162 —Errors covered
- § 53:163 Restriction of the *DeGarmo* doctrine
- § 53:164 Former *DeGarmo* doctrine as unfairly discouraging
sentencing testimony
- § 53:165 Full judicial confession is required
- § 53:166 Testimony admitting lesser included offense
- § 53:167 No waiver of errors at or affecting punishment stage
- § 53:168 Application of curative admissibility exceptions

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 54. APPELLATE REVIEW OF CLAIMS OF ERROR IN THE JURY CHARGE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 54:1 Errors in jury instructions—In general
- § 54:2 Relevant legal requirements—Fundamental, systemic, absolute matters

B. PRESERVING ERROR IN THE JURY CHARGE

- § 54:3 Preserving error by trial court action—In general
- § 54:4 Objections
- § 54:5 Requests for “special charges”—In general
- § 54:6 —Need for application paragraph and requests for mistake of fact charges
- § 54:7 Request for incorrect “special charges” sufficient as objection to charge given
- § 54:8 Requirement that objection or request for charge be “in writing”
- § 54:9 Requirement that objection or request for charge be made before charge is read to jury

C. FUNDAMENTAL ERROR IN THE JURY CHARGE—THE *ALMANZA* DOCTRINE

- § 54:10 “Fundamental” errors in jury charges—In general
- § 54:11 Submission of uncharged theories
- § 54:12 Statement of “no objection” to proposed jury instructions
- § 54:13 Invited error in the charge, estoppel, and waiver of jury charge error
- § 54:14 When *Almanza* applies—In general
- § 54:15 —Defects in the verdict form
- § 54:16 —Failure to instruct on defensive matters
- § 54:17 —Failure to accurately instruct on defensive matters
- § 54:18 —Failure to instruct on lesser included offenses
- § 54:19 —Erroneous submission of lesser offense

D. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERRORS

- § 54:20 Federal and state law related to appellate review for federal constitutional error in jury instructions
- § 54:21 Federal constitutional errors “fundamental” as a matter of federal constitutional law

- § 54:22 Federal constitutional errors immune from harmless error analysis
- § 54:23 Federal constitutional errors preserved and subject to federal constitutional harmless error analysis
- § 54:24 Federal constitutional error subject to state law forfeiture rules and unpreserved under Texas law

E. HARMLESS ERROR WHERE STATE LAW ERROR IS PRESERVED

- § 54:25 Preserved error in jury charges—*Almanza*'s harmless error standard
- § 54:26 —Failure to instruct on all elements of the charged offense
- § 54:27 —Failure to instruct on defensive theory
- § 54:28 Failure to submit lesser included offenses—In general
- § 54:29 —Lesser included offenses of lesser included offenses
- § 54:30 Submission of lesser offenses

F. HARMLESS ERROR WHERE STATE LAW ERROR IS UNPRESERVED AND THUS FUNDAMENTAL

- § 54:31 *Almanza*'s "egregious harm" standard
- § 54:32 Burden of persuasion
- § 54:33 Likelihood of conviction on untainted reasoning and evidence
- § 54:34 Stress on the tainted matter
- § 54:35 Precluding jury consideration of a critical matter
- § 54:36 Other considerations in assessing harm
- § 54:37 Applying *Almanza* to failure to require proof of elements of offense
- § 54:38 Applying *Almanza* to errors regarding range of punishment

CHAPTER 55. DIRECT APPEAL—THE MECHANICS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 55:1 Introduction
- § 55:2 Rules of Appellate Procedure
- § 55:3 2002 amendments to Rules of Appellate Procedure

B. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 55:4 Suspension of appellate rules
- § 55:5 Retroactive application of new appellate rules
- § 55:6 Need for written order

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 55:7 Direct appeals to the Court of Criminal Appeals
- § 55:8 Representation of the State before the courts of appeals

C. PERFECTING APPEALS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 55:9 Perfecting appeals—In general
- § 55:10 —2002 revisions of Rules of Appellate Procedure
- § 55:11 Jurisdictional nature of timely notice of appeal
- § 55:12 Notice of appeal must be in writing
- § 55:13 Notice must be filed with the clerk of the trial court
- § 55:14 Timeliness of notice of appeal—In general
- § 55:15 —Calculating timeliness
- § 55:16 —Expanding the time for filing—In general
- § 55:17 — —Contents of the motion to extend time
- § 55:18 — —Filing of motion to extend time is “jurisdictional”
- § 55:19 —Prematurely filed notice of appeal
- § 55:20 Trial court must accept notice of appeal
- § 55:21 Clerk of appellate court may question whether notice of appeal is “defective”
- § 55:22 Curing defects in notice of appeal by invoking Rules 2 and 44.3
- § 55:23 Amendment of notice of appeal

2. DEFENDANT’S APPEAL

- § 55:24 Perfecting an appeal by the defendant—In general
- § 55:25 Timeliness of notice—Appeals from conviction and sentence
- § 55:26 —Motion for new trial or arrest of judgment filed
- § 55:27 —Appeal from order
- § 55:28 Required form and contents of a defendant’s notice of appeal—In general

3. DEFENDANT’S APPEAL—CERTIFICATION OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

- § 55:29 Certification of the defendant’s right of appeal—In general
- § 55:30 —Negotiated plea or “plea-bargain” cases
- § 55:31 Lack of certification or “defective” certification
- § 55:32 Need for record support for trial court’s characterization in certification
- § 55:33 Challenging the trial court’s certification
- § 55:34 Amending certification of the defendant’s right of appeal

4. STATE APPEAL

- § 55:35 Perfecting an appeal by the State—In general

- § 55:36 —Cross-appeals
- § 55:37 Timeliness of State’s notice of appeal—In general
- § 55:38 —Extensions of time to file notice
- § 55:39 Contents of notice of appeal—In general
- § 55:40 Contents of the notice of appeal—Authorization of the
“prosecuting attorney”
- § 55:41 Appeal from suppression orders—State’s certification

D. RECORD ON APPEAL

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 55:42 Trial court record—In general
- § 55:43 —Appellants’ obligation to assure trial court record is made
- § 55:44 —Appellees’ obligation to assure trial court record is made
- § 55:45 —Proceeding that record may include
- § 55:46 —Docket sheets
- § 55:47 Appellate record—In general
- § 55:48 —Limited to trial court record
- § 55:49 —Exhibits
- § 55:50 Appellants’ duty to provide sufficient record
- § 55:51 Trial court lacks power to act after appellate record filed
- § 55:52 Going beyond the record—Judicial notice
- § 55:53 — —Ordinances
- § 55:54 Sealed portions of trial court record

2. MECHANICS OF THE RECORD

- § 55:55 Mechanics of providing the record—Timeliness
- § 55:56 —Clerk’s record
- § 55:57 —The reporter’s record
- § 55:58 Free appellate record if appellant is indigent—In general
- § 55:59 —Inability to pay
- § 55:60 Extensions of time and late filing
- § 55:61 Docketing statement

3. ADDING TO AND CORRECTING THE RECORD

- § 55:62 Amendment, supplementation, and correction of the record—
In general
- § 55:63 Supplementation of the record—In general
- § 55:64 —Supplementation of the clerk’s record with findings and
conclusions
- § 55:65 —Abatement and remand distinguished
- § 55:66 —Supplementation of the clerk’s record with omitted
relevant items
- § 55:67 Correction of the record—The clerk’s record

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 55:68 —The reporter’s record

4. SPECIAL PROBLEMS CONCERNING CONTENTS OF THE RECORD

- § 55:69 Testimony from a different proceeding—In general
- § 55:70 —Testimony judicially noticed
- § 55:71 Documents from a different proceeding
- § 55:72 Exhibits and the appellate record
- § 55:73 Reliance upon “invalid” but “curable” record
- § 55:74 Counsel’s unsworn statement made “for the record”—
Nonverbal behavior of trial participants
- § 55:75 —Use to establish other matters
- § 55:76 Nonverbal responses of witnesses
- § 55:77 Material treated as if in record
- § 55:78 Trial judge’s narration “on the record” of facts
- § 55:79 Record of jury view
- § 55:80 Conflict between written portions of the record and trial
court’s oral pronouncements
- § 55:81 Reporter’s record—“Sic” notations

5. RECORD NECESSARY FOR APPELLATE REVIEW

- § 55:82 Record necessary for appellate review determined by points
of error
- § 55:83 Burden of providing appellate record—In general
- § 55:84 —Record necessary for harm analysis
- § 55:85 144 Record required—Jury argument points of error
- § 55:86 Record required—Evidence sufficiency points of error
- § 55:87 —Statement or document not produced

6. BILLS OF EXCEPTION

- § 55:88 Bills of exception—In general
- § 55:89 Formal bills of exception
- § 55:90 Informal bill of exception
- § 55:91 Bystander’s bill of exception

7. LOST OR DESTROYED MATERIAL

- § 55:92 Reversal because of lost or destroyed material—In general
- § 55:93 Failure to make trial record distinguished from lost or
destroyed material
- § 55:94 Lost or destroyed material under the 1997 rules—In general
- § 55:95 Lost or destroyed material related to the reporter’s record—
In general

- § 55:96 —Substitute record
- § 55:97 —Due diligence
- § 55:98 —Lack of fault for loss or destruction
- § 55:99 —Necessary to resolution of the appeal
- § 55:100 Lost or destroyed material related to the clerk's record

E. FORMULATING AND PRESENTING CLAIMS OF ERROR

- § 55:101 Identifying and formulating claims of error—In general
- § 55:102 Presenting claims of error and arguments—In general
- § 55:103 References to record
- § 55:104 Need for authority and argument
- § 55:105 Multifarious points of error—In general
- § 55:106 —Complaining of several erroneous actions of trial court
- § 55:107 —Complaining of several reasons why single action was erroneous
- § 55:108 Claims of “cumulative” error
- § 55:109 Matters first raised in supplemental brief or motion for rehearing—In general
- § 55:110 —Matters properly so raised in general
- § 55:111 —Contentions arising only because of court's action on original submission
- § 55:112 —Preservation of error
- § 55:113 Incorporation of contents of briefs in other cases
- § 55:114 Adoption by reference of other documents filed in same case
- § 55:115 “Multifarious” arguments
- § 55:116 Unassigned error—In general
- § 55:117 —Need to provide parties with opportunity to brief
- § 55:118 Assignment of error where general order grants motion

F. APPELLANT'S ESCAPE

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 55:119 Dismissal because of appellant's escape—In general
- § 55:120 Escape must be “pending the appeal”
- § 55:121 Definition of “escape”—In general
- § 55:122 —Flight from custody
- § 55:123 —Unauthorized absences from custody
- § 55:124 Voluntary return to custody
- § 55:125 Discretionary right of appellate court to retain jurisdiction in life imprisonment cases
- § 55:126 Procedure for raising and resolving escape issues

G. REHEARINGS

- § 55:127 Seeking rehearing in the court of appeals

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 55:128 Grounds for rehearing
- § 55:129 Need to raise by motion for rehearing issues affecting proceedings on remand
- § 55:130 Analysis if result changed on motion for rehearing
- § 55:131 Reconsideration en banc—In general
- § 55:132 Recall of the mandate
- § 55:133 Need for en banc action to overrule panel precedent

H. RECONSIDERATION ON PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

- § 55:134 Court of appeals's authority to act in response to petition for discretionary review

I. BAIL ON APPEAL

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 55:135 Bail pending appeal—In general
- § 55:136 Compliance with appeal bond—Effect on beginning of service of sentence
- § 55:137 Commencement of conditions of community supervision when defendant appeals

2. APPEAL TAKEN BY DEFENDANT

- § 55:138 Eligibility for bail pending appeal
- § 55:139 Defendants ineligible for bail pending appeal—Punishment equals or exceeds 10 years' imprisonment
- § 55:140 —Certain convictions
- § 55:141 Denying bail pending appeal—In general
- § 55:142 —Defendant not likely to appear
- § 55:143 —Defendant likely to commit another offense
- § 55:144 Procedure for setting and challenging bail pending appeal—
Trial court proceedings
- § 55:145 —Increasing or modifying bail pending appeal
- § 55:146 —Appellate recourse—In general
- § 55:147 — —Standard of review and appellate court relief
- § 55:148 —Bail after reversal of conviction becomes final
- § 55:149 Criterion for setting bail pending appeal
- § 55:150 Conditions on bail pending appeal—In general
- § 55:151 —Reasonableness and the criterion for determining it
- § 55:152 —Reasonableness of particular conditions
- § 55:153 Revocation of bail pending appeal

3. APPEAL TAKEN BY STATE

- § 55:154 Appeal by State from order or judgment of trial court—In general

- § 55:155 —Appeal from order that would terminate the prosecution
- § 55:156 —Appeal from other orders and judgments
- § 55:157 Petition for discretionary review by State from reversal of conviction

CHAPTER 56. SUBSTANTIVE LAW OF DIRECT APPEAL

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 56:1 Introduction
- § 56:2 Appellate review in defendants' appeals from bench trial convictions

B. AVAILABILITY OF APPEAL—DEFENDANTS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 56:3 Defendants' appeals—In general
- § 56:4 —Review of sentence severity
- § 56:5 General need for a conviction
- § 56:6 Appeal from insanity acquittal
- § 56:7 Decision to appeal is that of defendant

2. WAIVERS OF APPEAL

- § 56:8 Explicit waivers of right to appeal—In general
- § 56:9 Only waivers made after conviction and sentencing are effective
- § 56:10 Waivers must be knowing and intelligent
- § 56:11 Revocability of waivers
- § 56:12 Permission of the trial court to appeal
- § 56:13 Certification of defendant's right of appeal

3. INTERLOCUTORY APPEALS BY DEFENDANTS

- § 56:14 Interlocutory order appealable only if expressly authorized
- § 56:15 Trial court's grant of permission to appeal not effective
- § 56:16 Interlocutory review by habeas corpus and other extraordinary writs

4. CONVICTIONS IN JUSTICE AND NONRECORD MUNICIPAL COURTS

- § 56:17 Review—In general
- § 56:18 Perfecting the appeal
- § 56:19 Timeliness of appeal bond

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 56:20 Requirements of appeal bond
- § 56:21 Curing defects in the appeal bond
- § 56:22 Trial de novo in county court
- § 56:23 Appeal on record after conviction in county court
- § 56:24 Appeal where justice or municipal court lacked jurisdiction

5. CONVICTIONS IN MUNICIPAL COURTS OF RECORD

- § 56:25 Municipal courts of record—In general
- § 56:26 Perfecting an appeal—In general
- § 56:27 Motion for new trial
- § 56:28 Notice of appeal
- § 56:29 Appeal bond
- § 56:30 Further appeal after affirmance in county court

6. NONCAPITAL CONVICTIONS AFTER TRIAL IN COUNTY AND DISTRICT COURT

- § 56:31 Noncapital convictions—In general
- § 56:32 Appeals from convictions—Need for record to reflect imposition of sentence
- § 56:33 —Requirement of a proper “sentence”

7. NONCAPITAL CONVICTIONS AFTER PLEA OF GUILTY OR NOLO CONTENDERE

a. INTRODUCTION

- § 56:34 Appeals in guilty plea cases—In general
- § 56:35 Defendants’ right to appeal following plea of guilty or nolo contendere

b. Appeals from Convictions Based on “Open” Pleas—Waiver of Issues by Pleading Guilty

- § 56:36 The law governing appeals based on open pleas of guilty or nolo contendere
- § 56:37 The *Helms* rule—In general
- § 56:38 —Jurisdictional errors
- § 56:39 —Errors in the plea process—In general
- § 56:40 — —Sufficiency of the evidence
- § 56:41 — —Voluntariness of the plea
- § 56:42 — —Plea admonitions
- § 56:43 —Errors occurring after entry of plea
- § 56:44 Abrogation (or at least modification) of the *Helms* rule—*Young v. State*

- § 56:45 Jurisdictional and other matters appealable under *Helms*
- § 56:46 Judgment of guilt rendered independent of, and not supported by, error
- § 56:47 “Conditional” pleas
- c. Appeals from Convictions Based on Negotiated Pleas
- § 56:48 Negotiated plea situations—In general
- § 56:49 Effect of modification of *Helms* rule on negotiated plea situations
- § 56:50 The negotiated plea rule—Basis and overview
- § 56:51 Applicability of the negotiated plea rule—In general
- § 56:52 —Bargain covering punishment—In general
- § 56:53 — —“Charge” bargains
- § 56:54 — —Appeal from community supervision imposed pursuant to bargain
- § 56:55 — —Appeal after revocation of community supervision or adjudication of guilt after community supervision
- § 56:56 —Punishment assessed within terms of the bargain
- § 56:57 —Pleas before juries
- § 56:58 —Misdemeanor cases
- § 56:59 No exception for jurisdictional matters
- § 56:60 Permissible appeals in negotiated plea situations—In general
- § 56:61 —Appeals with permission of the trial court—In general
- § 56:62 — —Permission to appeal on limited issues
- § 56:63 —Appeals from pretrial rulings—In general
- § 56:64 — —Need to show use of evidence ruled admissible in pretrial ruling
- § 56:65 Special problems under the negotiated plea rule—In general
- § 56:66 —Sufficiency of the evidence
- § 56:67 —Voluntariness of the plea
- § 56:68 —Plea admonishment
- § 56:69 —Lost or destroyed record

8. NONCAPITAL CONVICTIONS—COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

a. Appeals After Conviction

- § 56:70 Appeal when community supervision imposed—In general
- § 56:71 Appeal from conviction
- § 56:72 Appeal from failure to modify terms and conditions of community supervision
- § 56:73 Appeal from “shock probation”

b. Appeals After Community Supervision Revoked

- § 56:74 Appeal when community supervision is revoked—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 56:75 Issues related to original conviction—In general
- § 56:76 Conditions of community supervision
- § 56:77 Challenges to original conviction cognizable in habeas corpus
- § 56:78 Challenges to original conviction as void
- § 56:79 Challenge to the sufficiency of the evidence

9. NONCAPITAL CONVICTIONS—DEFERRED ADJUDICATION

a. INTRODUCTION

- § 56:80 Appeal in deferred adjudication cases—In general

b. Appeals from Orders Deferring Adjudication

- § 56:81 Appeals from orders deferring adjudication—In general
- § 56:82 Defendant's option to move for adjudication
- § 56:83 Appeals from refusals to proceed to adjudication or orders modifying conditions of deferred adjudication

c. Appeals After Guilt Adjudicated

- § 56:84 Appeals from decisions to proceed with adjudication
- § 56:85 Appeals from conviction and sentence after adjudication—In general
- § 56:86 Issues relating to the original plea proceeding
- § 56:87 Issues concerning modification of the terms of community supervision
- § 56:88 Issues relating to adjudication of guilt
- § 56:89 Issues relating to punishment and proceedings after adjudication of guilt
- § 56:90 Challenges to trial court's authority or jurisdiction to adjudicate guilt
- § 56:91 Challenges to trial court's failure to hold "hearing" on proceeding to adjudication
- § 56:92 Constitutionality of insulating decision to adjudicate guilt from appellate review

10. CAPITAL MURDER CONVICTIONS WITH PENALTY OF DEATH

- § 56:93 Appeal in death cases—In general
- § 56:94 Waiver of appeal and specific issues

C. AVAILABILITY OF APPEAL—STATE

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 56:95 State appeals—In general

CRIMINAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- § 56:96 Construing the State's right to appeal
- § 56:97 State's duty to raise contentions in the trial court
- § 56:98 "Prosecuting attorney" must authorize state appeal
- § 56:99 Trial court action pending disposition of state appeals
- § 56:100 Defendants' right to cross-appeal when State appeals
- § 56:101 Standards of review on appeal by State

2. PRETRIAL RULINGS

- § 56:102 Appeals from dismissals of charging instruments—In general
- § 56:103 —Distinguishing dismissal of charging instrument from acquittals
- § 56:104 Appeal from dismissal as State's sole remedy
- § 56:105 Appeals from orders sustaining claims of former jeopardy
- § 56:106 Appeals from orders suppressing evidence—In general
- § 56:107 —Defining motion to suppress
- § 56:108 —Requirement that jeopardy has not attached
- § 56:109 —Certification required

3. RULINGS DURING TRIAL

- § 56:110 State appeal of dismissals during trial
- § 56:111 Identifying appealable dismissals made during trial

4. POSTTRIAL RULINGS

- § 56:112 Appeals from orders granting new trials—In general
- § 56:113 —Definition of order granting new trial
- § 56:114 Appeals from orders arresting or modifying judgments
- § 56:115 Appeals from illegal sentences
- § 56:116 Posttrial appeals by the State—Appeals from orders directing acquittals
- § 56:117 Appeal from county court action on defendant's appeal from municipal court of record

5. CROSS-APPEAL

- § 56:118 State's right to "cross-appeal" when convicted defendant appeals—In general
- § 56:119 —State's responsive arguments distinguished from cross appeal
- § 56:120 Appeal limited to "questions of law"
- § 56:121 State must be able to implement a decision in its favor
- § 56:122 Limits on cross-appeal imposed by double jeopardy

D. STANDARDS OF REVIEW

- § 56:123 Standard of review—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 56:124	Questions of law
§ 56:125	Determinations of historical fact
§ 56:126	Witness credibility assessment
§ 56:127	Mixed questions of law and fact—In general
§ 56:128	—Mixed questions turning on evaluations of credibility and demeanor
§ 56:129	—Mixed questions not turning on evaluations of credibility and demeanor—In general
§ 56:130	—Mandatory or discretionary nature of de novo review
§ 56:131	—Conducting de novo review
§ 56:132	—Reconsideration of the <i>Guzman</i> distinctions
§ 56:133	Evidence rulings
§ 56:134	Trial court reviewed on basis of circumstances existing when challenged action taken
§ 56:135	Trial court decision upheld on any theory applicable to the case

E. APPELLATE REVIEW OF EVIDENCE SUFFICIENCY

§ 56:136	Review of evidence sufficiency—In general
§ 56:137	Preserving evidence sufficiency for appellate review—In general
§ 56:138	—2002 amendments to Rules of Appellate Procedure
§ 56:139	—Elements of the crime—In general
§ 56:140	—Defendant’s possible “waiver” by introduction of evidence
§ 56:141	—Defenses
§ 56:142	Review of trial court’s denial of motion for instructed verdict of not guilty
§ 56:143	Distinguishing evidence sufficiency issues from trial error issues
§ 56:144	—Failure to object to manner of proving market value
§ 56:145	—Appeal from conviction on erroneously submitted lesser included offense
§ 56:146	Appellate evidence sufficiency review in light of trial errors—In general
§ 56:147	—Defendants’ right to evidence sufficiency review despite trial error
§ 56:148	—Appellate court to consider even improperly admitted evidence
§ 56:149	—Defensive matters not submitted to the jury
§ 56:150	Evidence sufficiency measured against jury charge
§ 56:151	Evidence considered in conducting appellate evidence review
§ 56:152	Criteria

F. THE “LAW OF THE CASE”

- § 56:153 The “law of the case”
- § 56:154 Courts whose determinations invoke “law of the case”
- § 56:155 Requirement that both appeals involve the same “case”
- § 56:156 Question must be one of “law”
- § 56:157 Appeals must involve same question of law
- § 56:158 Matter must have been “resolved” in prior appeal
- § 56:159 Exceptions—In general
- § 56:160 —Appellate court lacked “jurisdiction”
- § 56:161 —Compelling circumstances
- § 56:162 —Evidence sufficiency after retrial
- § 56:163 Review of prior decision by different court of appeals
- § 56:164 Inapplicable on reconsideration after remand

G. HARMLESS ERROR

- § 56:165 Harmless error—In general
- § 56:166 Federal constitutional considerations—In general
- § 56:167 —Errors constituting structural defects in the trial mechanism as not subject to harmless-error analysis
- § 56:168 Identifying the error for harmless-error analysis
- § 56:169 Development of Texas harmless-error law—Rule 44.2
- § 56:170 —Case law under prior rule
- § 56:171 Harmless error potentially inapplicable in certain procedural contexts
- § 56:172 Burden of providing record permitting harmless-error analysis
- § 56:173 Distinguishing between constitutional and other error—In general
- § 56:174 —Exclusion of defense evidence
- § 56:175 —Unpreserved constitutional error
- § 56:176 Constitutional error—No contribution to conviction or punishment
- § 56:177 —Immunity from harmless-error analysis
- § 56:178 —Burden of proof
- § 56:179 Nonconstitutional error—In general
- § 56:180 —Burden of persuasion on harm—In general
- § 56:181 — —“Technical” error
- § 56:182 —Immunity from harmless-error analysis—In general
- § 56:183 — —Violation of requirements that embody requirements of harm
- § 56:184 Nonconstitutional errors—Errors subject to special harm/harmlessness standards
- § 56:185 Nonconstitutional error—Criterion for assessing harm—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 56:186 — — *King v. State* and the general need for inquiry into substantial and injurious effect or influence in outcome below
- § 56:187 — — Need to inquire whether error frustrated purpose of underlying requirement—In general
- § 56:188 — — — Deviation from the *King* test
- § 56:189 — — — Conclusion and evaluation
- § 56:190 — Harm from error in applying remedial sanctions
- § 56:191 — Need to discourage errors of the sort at issue
- § 56:192 Evaluating the impact of an error on the outcome of the case—In general
- § 56:193 Evaluating the impact of error on the outcome of the case—Entire record to be considered
- § 56:194 Evaluating the impact of an error on the outcome of the case—Untainted evidence indicating guilt
- § 56:195 — Alternative theories of guilt submitted to jury
- § 56:196 — Error affecting only one of several counts submitted to jury
- § 56:197 — Collateral consequences potentially affecting outcome
- § 56:198 — Prosecution reliance on its erroneous advantage
- § 56:199 — Curative actions taken (or not taken)
- § 56:200 Determining whether error affects substantial rights—Evidence of the impact on the judge or jury

H. RESOLVING APPEALS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 56:201 Dispositional alternatives
- § 56:202 Resolving appeals—Considering appeals together
- § 56:203 Confessions of error and concessions by parties
- § 56:204 Disposition by agreement
- § 56:205 Panel or en banc consideration
- § 56:206 Review where jury authorized to convict on multiple theories

2. DISMISSAL

- § 56:207 Dismissal of appeal—In general
- § 56:208 Death of defendant—Abatement or dismissal of appeals
- § 56:209 Withdrawal of notice of appeal or “voluntary dismissal”

3. AFFIRMANCE OF CONVICTION AND PUNISHMENT

- § 56:210 Affirmance of conviction and punishment

4. REVERSAL OF CONVICTION

- § 56:211 Reversal of conviction—Evidence insufficient to support conviction
- § 56:212 —Reversible procedural error tainting finding of guilt

5. REFORMATION TO CONVICTION OF LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE

- § 56:213 Reformation to conviction of lesser included offense—In general
- § 56:214 Jury not instructed on lesser included offense
- § 56:215 Appeal from conviction in bench trial

6. OTHER REFORMATION OR MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- § 56:216 Reformation or modification of judgment—In general
- § 56:217 Correction of punishment assessed
- § 56:218 Reformation concerning finding of use of deadly weapon
- § 56:219 Reformation to omit unauthorized punishment
- § 56:220 Reformation as a remedy for misjoinder

7. ABATEMENT AND REMAND

- § 56:221 Abatements—In general
- § 56:222 Case for abatement must be made on record
- § 56:223 Abatement and remand to clarify trial court's "intention"
- § 56:224 Abatement and remand for modification of reporter's record
- § 56:225 Abatement and remand to complete or expand appellate record
- § 56:226 Abatement and remand for limited further proceedings—In general
- § 56:227 —Remand unavailable to permit party to do what the party failed to do in trial court
- § 56:228 —Point at which abatement and remand are best sought from appellate court
- § 56:229 —When appropriate in preference to reversal
- § 56:230 —Inquiries into competency to stand trial
- § 56:231 —Determinations of confessions' admissibility
- § 56:232 —Findings and conclusions regarding motions to suppress evidence
- § 56:233 —Protection of right to counsel on appeal
- § 56:234 —Resolution of motions for new trial
- § 56:235 —Abatement and remand for "out of time" motion for new trial
- § 56:236 Abatement and remand to permit appellee to "respond"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 56:237 Scope of the proceedings upon abatement and remand
- § 56:238 Subsequent appellate consideration

8. ERRORS AFFECTING ONLY PUNISHMENT

- § 56:239 Noncapital cases—In general
- § 56:240 —Trial court’s authority to determine whether only new punishment hearing required
- § 56:241 —Definition of error made in punishment stage
- § 56:242 —Restitution found unsupported by record
- § 56:243 Capital cases—In general
- § 56:244 —Procedural error
- § 56:245 —Evidence insufficient to support findings on which death sentence imposed

I. REQUIREMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE OPINION

- § 56:246 Appellate court must address all issues in written opinion
- § 56:247 Appellate court must adequately explain holdings

J. RETROACTIVITY

- § 56:248 Retroactivity—In general
- § 56:249 Judicial decisions announcing “new rules” of Texas law
- § 56:250 “New” rules
- § 56:251 Criterion for new rule situations
- § 56:252 Time of application for new rule of limited prospectivity

CHAPTER 57. DISCRETIONARY REVIEW BY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 57:1 Discretionary review—In general
- § 57:2 Review available only in “criminal cases”
- § 57:3 Roles of local prosecutor and state prosecuting attorney

B. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 57:4 Role of Court of Criminal Appeals in noncapital cases—In general
- § 57:5 —Deference to courts of appeals’s applications of the law
- § 57:6 Significance of refusal to review
- § 57:7 Significance of review on unreviewed aspects of court of appeals’s decision
- § 57:8 Significance of unpublished action
- § 57:9 Reviewability of matters on discretionary review—In general

§ 57:10 Supplementation of record on discretionary review

C. RIPENESS OF ISSUES FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

§ 57:11 Need for issue to have been decided by court of appeals—In general

§ 57:12 —Court of appeals decision on inaccurate law

§ 57:13 —Review of court of appeals's failure to address matter

§ 57:14 Claims not presented to court of appeals—In general

§ 57:15 —State's duty to raise in court of appeals appellant's procedural default—In general

§ 57:16 — —Inadequate opportunity to raise matter in court of appeals

§ 57:17 —Complaints regarding court of appeals's ultimate disposition

§ 57:18 —Preservation of error

§ 57:19 —Claims or arguments supporting trial court judgment not raised in court of appeals

§ 57:20 Issues not preserved in the trial court

§ 57:21 Need for "final" decision by court of appeals

§ 57:22 Issue must determine propriety of result in court of appeals—In general

§ 57:23 —Review where court of appeals relied on alternative grounds

§ 57:24 Motion for rehearing in court of appeals not necessary

D. THE DECISION TO GRANT DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

§ 57:25 Factors considered in deciding whether to review—In general

§ 57:26 Conflict with another court of appeals

§ 57:27 Conflict with other decision of the same court of appeals

§ 57:28 Important question of law that should be settled

§ 57:29 Important question of law decided in conflict with decisions of superior court

§ 57:30 Invalidation or misconstruction of statute, rule, regulation, or ordinance

§ 57:31 Disagreement among justices of court of appeals

§ 57:32 Departure from accepted course of judicial proceedings calling for supervisory power

§ 57:33 Applicability of stare decisis on discretionary review

§ 57:34 Discretionary review on Court of Criminal Appeals's own motion

TABLE OF CONTENTS

E. MATTERS BEFORE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS WHEN REVIEW GRANTED

- § 57:35 Grant of review generally defines issues before Court of Criminal Appeals
- § 57:36 Power to go beyond grant of review
- § 57:37 Review of grounds supporting decision of court of appeals but rejected by court of appeals
- § 57:38 Matters not raised in response to petition for discretionary review
- § 57:39 Claim raised for first time on discretionary review

F. MECHANICS OF DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

- § 57:40 Mechanics of seeking discretionary review
- § 57:41 Defendants' right to an opportunity to seek discretionary review
- § 57:42 Formulation of grounds or questions for review
- § 57:43 Cross-petitions
- § 57:44 Rehearing after grant of review
- § 57:45 Rehearing after denial of review
- § 57:46 Rehearing after decision and issuance of mandate
- § 57:47 Dismissal of review as improvidently granted
- § 57:48 Dismissal of ground of review "without prejudice"
- § 57:49 Disposition by Court of Criminal Appeals—In general
- § 57:50 —Disposition upon finding error subject to harmless error analysis
- § 57:51 —Disposition upon finding error in failing to properly apply a legal standard
- § 57:52 Rehearing
- § 57:53 Procedure in court of appeals on remand—In general
- § 57:54 —Defendants' right to rebrief
- § 57:55 Scope of authority of court of appeals on remand

CHAPTER 58. POSTCONVICTION HABEAS CORPUS PROCEDURE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 58:1 Postconviction habeas corpus—In general
- § 58:2 Habeas corpus attacks before conviction becomes final
- § 58:3 Use of Article 11.07 for purposes other than challenges to conviction or punishment
- § 58:4 Effects of limitations of the record in the original prosecution
- § 58:5 Habeas applicants' right to appointed counsel
- § 58:6 Habeas applicants' right to effective counsel

- § 58:7 Applicant's competency to consult with counsel
- § 58:8 Right to free trial record
- § 58:9 Waiver of the right to seek post conviction relief

B. TIMING OF POSTCONVICTION ATTACKS

- § 58:10 Timing of postconviction attacks upon convictions—In general
- § 58:11 —Federal writ pending
- § 58:12 Laches
- § 58:13 Effect of delay on applicant's credibility

C. FRAMEWORK FOR POSTCONVICTION ATTACKS

1. INTRODUCTION

- § 58:14 The procedural framework for collateral attack and Article 11.07
- § 58:15 Court of criminal appeals's original habeas corpus jurisdiction
- § 58:16 Article 11.07 procedure is exclusive where applicable
- § 58:17 Summary of issues: jurisdiction and cognizability of issues
- § 58:18 Summary of issues: restraint, confinement, final convictions
- § 58:19 General limit on habeas corpus relief—Applicant must be in "restraint"

2. REQUIREMENTS FOR APPLICABILITY OF ARTICLE 11.07

- § 58:20 Applicability of Article 11.07
- § 58:21 Article 11.07 requirement of "confinement"—In general
- § 58:22 —Statutory definition of "confinement"
- § 58:23 Article 11.07 requirement of a "final" felony conviction
- § 58:24 Application becoming final after application filed

3. NON-ARTICLE 11.07 SITUATIONS—GENERALLY

- § 58:25 Collateral attacks in situations not within Article 11.07—In general
- § 58:26 Situations not within Article 11.07—Convictions of felonies
- § 58:27 —Convictions of misdemeanors
- § 58:28 Procedure in situations not within Article 11.07—Generally
- § 58:29 —Availability of bail pending appeal

4. NON-ARTICLE 11.07 SITUATIONS—COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

- § 58:30 Pre-2003 situation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 58:31 Statutory procedure in community supervision cases—In general
- § 58:32 Defendants on, or previously on, deferred adjudication community supervision
- § 58:33 Filing and procedure
- § 58:34 Appeal

D. MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS FOR POSTCONVICTION RELIEF

- § 58:35 Multiple applications for postconviction habeas relief—In general
- § 58:36 —Abuse of the writ and sanctions for such abuse
- § 58:37 —1995 legislative provisions—Generally
- § 58:38 — —Applicability of the bar to subsequent applications
- § 58:39 — —Bar to subsequent applications triggered only by “final disposition” of initial application
- § 58:40 — —Exceptions generally
- § 58:41 — —Exception for claims not previously available—In general
- § 58:42 — — —Legal basis unavailable
- § 58:43 — — —Factual basis not available
- § 58:44 — —Exception for claims accompanied by assertion of innocence

E. PROCEDURE FOR POSTCONVICTION ATTACKS UNDER ARTICLE 11.07

- § 58:45 Application for the writ—In general
- § 58:46 —Factual allegations required
- § 58:47 Applications for the writ—Factual allegations required—Exceptions to bars to subsequent writ applications
- § 58:48 Petition for the writ—Legal theories
- § 58:49 Issuance of the writ
- § 58:50 Notice to State and State’s answer
- § 58:51 Court’s duty to determine whether fact issues exist
- § 58:52 Procedure when no issues of fact found
- § 58:53 Procedure when issues of fact exist—In general
- § 58:54 —Burden of proof
- § 58:55 —Sufficiency of “hearing” and trial judge’s fact inquiry
- § 58:56 Consideration by Court of Criminal Appeals—In general
- § 58:57 —Material that may be considered
- § 58:58 —Significance of trial judge’s findings and conclusions
- § 58:59 —Bail pending consideration by Court of Criminal Appeals
- § 58:60 —Disposition
- § 58:61 —Remedy for illegal sentence

- § 58:62 Rehearings before Court of Criminal Appeals
- § 58:63 Relief available and ordered

F. PROCEDURE FOR POSTCONVICTION ATTACKS—DEATH PENALTY CASES

- § 58:64 Postconviction attack in death penalty cases—In general
- § 58:65 Appointment of counsel
- § 58:66 Investigation and filing the application
- § 58:67 Timeliness of application
- § 58:68 Untimely applications
- § 58:69 Amendments and supplemental applications
- § 58:70 Procedure after application filed
- § 58:71 Submission to and consideration by Court of Criminal Appeals
- § 58:72 Subsequent applications for the writ
- § 58:73 —Ineffective representation in earlier writ proceedings

CHAPTER 59. COLLATERAL ATTACKS ON CONVICTIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 59:1 “Substantive” issues of collateral attack law

B. REACHING MERITS OF COLLATERAL CHALLENGES

- § 59:2 Distinction among issues
- § 59:3 Retroactivity of rights
- § 59:4 —State law retroactivity of federal rights not enforceable in federal habeas corpus
- § 59:5 “Harm” or “prejudice” and habeas corpus relief
- § 59:6 Nonwaivable rights, waiver, and forfeiture
- § 59:7 Failure to “preserve” issue at trial—In general
- § 59:8 —Habeas proceeding barred by reliance on matters beyond trial court record in original prosecution
- § 59:9 Failure to raise issue by direct appeal—In general
- § 59:10 —“Exceptional” claims not lost by nonassertion on appeal
- § 59:11 —Insufficient record on direct appeal does not excuse failure to raise issue
- § 59:12 Excusing failure to raise issues—The “right not recognized” rule
- § 59:13 Reconsideration of issues resolved on direct appeal
- § 59:14 Reconsideration of issues resolved in prior habeas corpus proceedings

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 59:15 Plea bargains and pleas of guilty

C. ISSUES COGNIZABLE ON COLLATERAL ATTACK

- § 59:16 General approach towards “cognizability”
- § 59:17 New rules
- § 59:18 “Jurisdictional” defects
- § 59:19 Violation of federal constitutional rights—In general
- § 59:20 Violations of state constitutional rights—In general
- § 59:21 Violations of state procedural requirements—In general
- § 59:22 Prosecution or conviction barred by double jeopardy
- § 59:23 Invalidity of penal law under which prosecution brought
- § 59:24 Disqualification of the trial judge
- § 59:25 Multiple convictions arising from misjoinder of offenses
- § 59:26 Charging instrument defects
- § 59:27 Evidence insufficiency—In general
- § 59:28 —“No evidence”
- § 59:29 Improper admission of evidence—In general
- § 59:30 —Evidence illegally obtained
- § 59:31 Newly discovered evidence, newly available evidence, and
claims of innocence—In general
- § 59:32 —Distinguishing claims of innocence related to procedural
violations (*Schlup*-type claims)
- § 59:33 —Rejection of the traditional approach
- § 59:34 —Reformulation of the criterion
- § 59:35 —Applying the criterion
- § 59:36 —Requirement that evidence of innocence be “new”
- § 59:37 —Challenges after a plea of guilty or nolo contendere
- § 59:38 —Nondeath penalty cases
- § 59:39 Improper venue
- § 59:40 Mental impairment—Insanity
- § 59:41 —Incompetency to stand trial
- § 59:42 Failure to afford appointed counsel statutory preparation
time
- § 59:43 Jury trial and waiver
- § 59:44 Age of accused—Accused below age of criminal responsibility
- § 59:45 —Defects related to waivers of juvenile court jurisdiction
- § 59:46 Error in jury charge
- § 59:47 Challenges to “use of deadly weapon” findings—In general
- § 59:48 —Evidence sufficiency
- § 59:49 Guilty pleas—In general
- § 59:50 —Involuntariness
- § 59:51 —Failure to comply with statutory procedures in felony cases
- § 59:52 —Sufficiency of evidence to support felony conviction

- § 59:53 —Attacks on misdemeanor convictions
- § 59:54 Challenges to convictions used for enhancement and related purposes—In general
- § 59:55 —Need for trial objection when offense offered for enhancement
- § 59:56 —Need for trial objection when offense offered as evidence on punishment
- § 59:57 Unauthorized punishment
- § 59:58 Defects in proceedings for postconviction DNA testing

CHAPTER 60. POSTCONVICTION DNA TESTING

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 60:1 Postconviction DNA testing—In general
- § 60:2 Federal constitutional considerations
- § 60:3 Right to relief from conviction
- § 60:4 “Convicted person”
- § 60:5 Convicted persons who did not contest guilt before conviction

B. PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE

- § 60:6 Preservation of evidence—In general
- § 60:7 Evidence that must be preserved
- § 60:8 Preservation required
- § 60:9 Authorized destruction of preserved evidence
- § 60:10 Effect of failure to preserve

C. RIGHT TO TESTING

- § 60:11 Right to forensic DNA testing—In general
- § 60:12 Existence of testable evidence
- § 60:13 Identity in issue—In general
- § 60:14 —Pleas of guilty or nolo contendere
- § 60:15 Defendant would not have been convicted—Generally
- § 60:16 —Posttrial evidence
- § 60:17 —Guilt of lesser included offense
- § 60:18 Analysis of effect of prior testing or lack of testing—Generally
- § 60:19 —Excusing lack of prior testing
- § 60:20 Newer techniques will produce more accurate and probative results
- § 60:21 No prior DNA testing through no fault of defendant
- § 60:22 No prior DNA testing because adequate techniques not available

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 60:23 Motion not made for delay

D. PROCEDURE

§ 60:24 Motion

§ 60:25 Court's duty on receipt of motion

§ 60:26 Procedure upon completion of forensic DNA testing

§ 60:27 Release of convicted person on bail

§ 60:28 Appeal

§ 60:29 Right to representation

CHAPTER 61. MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION

A. INTRODUCTION

§ 61:1 Extraordinary writs of mandamus and prohibition

§ 61:2 Distinction between mandamus and prohibition

§ 61:3 Discretionary nature of the writs

§ 61:4 Terminology

§ 61:5 Role of extraordinary writs in Texas Criminal Procedure

B. JURISDICTION

§ 61:6 Texas Supreme Court

§ 61:7 Court of criminal appeals—In general

§ 61:8 —Limitation to criminal law matters

§ 61:9 Courts of appeals

§ 61:10 District courts

§ 61:11 County courts

§ 61:12 Municipal courts of record

C. WRIT RELIEF TO ENFORCE JURISDICTION

§ 61:13 Writs to enforce jurisdiction—In general

§ 61:14 Writ relief implementing “actual” jurisdiction

§ 61:15 Writ relief protecting “potential” jurisdiction

D. REQUIREMENTS FOR RELIEF

1. INTRODUCTION

§ 61:16 Requirements for relief—In general

§ 61:17 Diligence in seeking relief

§ 61:18 Relationship of writ relief to limited right to appeal

2. ABSENCE OF AN ADEQUATE REMEDY “AT LAW”

- § 61:19 Absence of an adequate remedy at law—In general
- § 61:20 Failure to appeal or otherwise seek other remedies
- § 61:21 Only adequate and effective remedy at law will bar writ relief
- § 61:22 Other actions as adequate remedies at law
- § 61:23 Defendants’ postconviction right of appeal as adequate remedy at law—In general
- § 61:24 —Appeal inadequate remedy for void trial court action
- § 61:25 —Appeal remedy inadequate
- § 61:26 State’s ability to appeal as adequate remedy at law

3. REQUIREMENT OF A MINISTERIAL DUTY OR A “CLEAR RIGHT” TO RELIEF

- § 61:27 Ministerial duty or clear right to relief—In general
- § 61:28 Ministerial duty to consider matter properly before the court
- § 61:29 Action taken without jurisdiction or authority
- § 61:30 Abuse of discretion as basis for writ relief
- § 61:31 Requirement of lack of disputed facts
- § 61:32 Disputed law as barring writ relief

4. ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 61:33 Writ relief must be possible and likely to be effective
- § 61:34 Imminent threat of harm

E. PROCEDURE

- § 61:35 Writ procedure—In general
- § 61:36 —Writ relief against successor judge
- § 61:37 Choice of forum
- § 61:38 Motion for leave to file
- § 61:39 Petition or application
- § 61:40 Appendix
- § 61:41 Record
- § 61:42 Briefs
- § 61:43 Service
- § 61:44 Internal processing of the petition or application
- § 61:45 Replies and further submissions by relator
- § 61:46 Temporary relief pending disposition
- § 61:47 Hearing
- § 61:48 Relief
- § 61:49 Review of writ decisions by court of appeals—In general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 61:50 —Deference due courts of appeals
- § 61:51 —Denial of relief by court of appeals
- § 61:52 Review of writ decisions by district and county courts

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index