

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. THE STANDARD OF LEGALITY: *PER SE* OR *RULE OF REASON*?

- § 1:1 What does the law say about the standard of legality?
- § 1:2 —What is proscribed by § 1 of the Sherman Act?
- § 1:3 —What is proscribed by § 3 of the Clayton Act?
- § 1:4 —What is proscribed by the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 1:5 —What is proscribed by § 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act?
- § 1:6 —What are the purposes of the antitrust laws that affect distribution?
- § 1:7 —Why is some conduct illegal *per se* and other conduct illegal only if proven to be unreasonable?
- § 1:8 —What results are prohibited?
- § 1:9 —Does it matter whether the conduct is vertical or horizontal?
- § 1:10 What kind of conduct is illegal *per se*?
- § 1:11 —Is the effect of the conduct important?
- § 1:12 —Does the market matter?
- § 1:13 —Does the actor's size or market power matter?
- § 1:14 What distribution practices are illegal *per se*?
- § 1:15 —Is all vertical price-fixing illegal *per se*?
- § 1:16 —Is all horizontal price-fixing illegal *per se*?
- § 1:17 —Are all tying arrangements illegal *per se*?
- § 1:18 —Are all boycotts illegal *per se*?
- § 1:19 —Is horizontal market division illegal *per se*?
- § 1:20 —Is reciprocal dealing illegal *per se*?
- § 1:21 What kind of conduct is illegal only if unreasonable?
- § 1:22 —How is conduct that is illegal only if unreasonable distinguished from conduct that is illegal *per se*?
- § 1:23 —How is reasonableness determined?
- § 1:24 —Does the scope of the market affect the reasonableness of conduct?
- § 1:25 —How is the geographic market determined?
- § 1:26 —How is the product market determined?
- § 1:27 —What market effect is considered unreasonable?
- § 1:28 What kind of distribution practices are illegal only if unreasonable, and how are those practices analyzed under the *rule of reason*?
- § 1:29 —Are all exclusive dealing arrangements illegal only if unreasonable?
- § 1:30 —Are all requirements contracts illegal only if unreasonable?

- § 1:31 —Are all vertical customer restrictions illegal only if unreasonable?
- § 1:32 —Are all vertical territorial restrictions illegal only if unreasonable?
- § 1:33 —Are all vertical location restrictions illegal only if unreasonable?
- § 1:34 —What if vertical restrictions affect price?
- § 1:35 —Are all trademark licenses illegal only if unreasonable?
- § 1:36 Is there a middle ground between *per se* analysis and *rule of reason* analysis?

CHAPTER 2. MUST SELLER SELL TO BUYER?

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 2:1 Scope
- § 2:2 What statutes and legal principles govern refusals to sell?

II. SELLER ACTS ON ITS OWN—REFUSING TO SELL

- § 2:3 May Seller unilaterally refuse to sell to Buyer?
- § 2:4 Working hypothetical: initial unilateral refusal to deal
- § 2:5 —What if Seller unilaterally refuses to sell to Buyer because Seller believes that Buyer's store is of poor quality? Buyer is not creditworthy? Buyer will not purchase a specified minimum quantity of Seller's products?
- § 2:6 —What if Seller unilaterally refuses to sell to Buyer because Seller believes that Buyer's sales area is adequately represented? Buyer's business format is not compatible with Seller's products or image? Buyer will transship Seller's products to other retailers?
- § 2:7 —What if Seller unilaterally refuses to sell to Buyer because Seller believes that Buyer will discount Seller's products?
- § 2:8 —What if Seller unilaterally refuses to sell to Buyer because Seller does not like Buyer?
- § 2:9 —Must Seller base its refusal to deal on accurate information?
- § 2:10 —Must Seller notify Buyer of its reasons for refusing to sell?
- § 2:11 —Must Seller grant Buyer an opportunity to cure its faults?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:12 —Should Seller seek or accept assurances or commitments from Buyer?
- § 2:13 —Does it make any difference if Seller has previously sold to Buyer?

III. LAWFULNESS OF SELLER'S REFUSAL TO DEAL WITH BUYER WHO DOES NOT COMPLY (OR SELLER BELIEVES WILL NOT COMPLY)

- § 2:14 May Seller announce a sales policy and refuse to sell to Buyer if Seller believes Buyer will not, or if in fact Buyer does not, comply with that policy?
- § 2:15 —What does it mean to “*announce a sales policy*”?
- § 2:16 —What principles of law govern announced sales policies and subsequent refusals to deal?
- § 2:17 —May Seller announce a policy of refusing to sell to Buyer if Buyer does not maintain adequate quality? Purchase a specified quantity? Pay its bills on time?
- § 2:18 —May Seller include quality, volume, or credit terms in a dealer agreement without risking antitrust liability?
- § 2:19 —May Seller announce a policy of refusing to sell to Buyer if Buyer sells outside of its prescribed territory? Sells from an unauthorized location? Sells to unauthorized customers? Purchases products from Seller's competitors? Does not purchase Seller's full product line?
- § 2:20 —May Seller include territorial, location, customer, or product restrictions in a formal dealer agreement?
- § 2:21 —May Seller announce a policy of refusing to sell to Buyer if Buyer does not adhere to Seller's suggested prices?
- § 2:22 —May Seller solicit assurances from Buyer that Buyer will abide by Seller's pricing policies?
- § 2:23 —Does Buyer's unsolicited assurance that it will comply with Seller's pricing policy constitute an antitrust violation?
- § 2:24 —May Seller persuade Buyer to comply with Seller's sales policies?
- § 2:25 —What if Seller polices Buyer's prices?
- § 2:26 —Must Seller grant Buyer an opportunity to come into compliance with Seller's announced policy?

IV. SELLER'S COMMUNICATION WITH COMPETING BUYER(S)

- § 2:27 May Seller refuse to sell to Buyer as a result of Seller's communication with Competing Buyer(s)?

- § 2:28 —What are the relevant principles governing the legality of communication between Seller and Competing Buyer resulting in Seller's refusal to sell to Buyer?
- § 2:29 —Are dealer terminations resulting from communications between Seller and Competing Buyer subject to the same standard of law as initial refusals to sell?
- § 2:30 —When does communication between Seller and Competing Buyer constitute joint action?
- § 2:31 —What if the purpose of the refusal to sell is to protect Competing Buyer from Buyer's price competition? To enforce nonprice vertical restraints? To maintain quality standards? To enforce vertical price agreements?
- § 2:32 —Is joint action between Seller and more than one Competing Buyer, resulting in Seller's decision to refuse to deal with Buyer, unlawful?
- § 2:33 —What if Competing Buyer is a subsidiary of Seller?
- § 2:34 May Seller engage in joint action with Competing Seller(s) to refuse to sell to Buyer or to a class of Buyers?
- § 2:35 —What if the purpose of the joint action is to restrain competition among Buyers?
- § 2:36 —What if the purpose of the joint refusal to sell is to create an orderly market or course of dealing?
- § 2:37 —What if Seller and Competing Seller(s) agree to sell only to Buyers who meet certain standards?

V. RIGHT OF MONOPOLIST SELLER TO REFUSE TO DEAL

- § 2:38 May monopolist Seller refuse to deal with Buyer?
- § 2:39 —What statutes and principles govern monopolist Seller's right to refuse to sell?
- § 2:40 —How is the existence of monopoly power determined?
- § 2:41 —When does monopolist Seller unlawfully obtain or exercise monopoly power?
- § 2:42 —May monopolist Seller refuse to sell to Buyer for legitimate business reasons?
- § 2:43 —May monopolist Seller refuse to sell to Buyer if the purpose of such refusal is to maintain or enhance Seller's monopoly position?
- § 2:44 —May monopolist Seller refuse to sell to Buyer because it competes with Buyer?
- § 2:45 —When is monopolist Seller required to deal with competitors?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:46 —Does monopolist Seller have a duty to notify Buyer of its reasons for refusing to deal?
- § 2:47 —How do the antitrust laws treat the right of large, but not monopolistic, Sellers to refuse to sell?

CHAPTER 3. AT WHAT PRICE MAY SELLER SELL?

- § 3:1 What laws restrict the price at which Seller may sell?
- § 3:2 What conditions led to the passage of the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 3:3 What practices are covered by the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 3:4 What are the elements of a violation of § 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 3:5 —What is a discrimination in price?
- § 3:6 —Is functional availability of the lower price to the plaintiff a defense to a charge of price discrimination?
- § 3:7 —What transactions are in interstate commerce?
- § 3:8 —Does the Robinson-Patman Act apply to export and import transactions?
- § 3:9 —What are transactions in commodities?
- § 3:10 —What is a sale?
- § 3:11 —Who is a purchaser?
- § 3:12 —Can there be a violation if the higher and lower priced sales were not contemporaneous?
- § 3:13 —What are commodities of like grade and quality?
- § 3:14 May Seller charge end-users lower prices than resellers?
- § 3:15 What kind of injury to competition will violate the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 3:16 What are the elements of competitive injury to competitors of the Seller?
- § 3:17 What are the elements of competitive injury to customers of the Seller?
- § 3:18 —How may the inference of competitive injury to Seller's customers be rebutted?
- § 3:19 May Seller grant functional discounts?
- § 3:20 What are the elements of unlawful brokerage payments?
- § 3:21 What are the elements of an unlawful discrimination involving promotional allowances and services?
- § 3:22 What are promotional allowances and services as distinguished from price concessions?
- § 3:23 When is a promotional allowance or service available to competing customers?

- § 3:24 —What form of notice must Seller provide?
- § 3:25 —When are promotional allowances or services functionally available to all competing customers?
- § 3:26 —What is meant by proportionally equal terms?
- § 3:27 What defenses exist to charges of price discrimination?
- § 3:28 How can Seller establish a meeting competition defense?
- § 3:29 How can Seller establish a cost justification defense?
- § 3:30 How can Seller establish a changed conditions defense?
- § 3:31 Are sales to nonprofit institutions and government entities exempt?
- § 3:32 Can Buyer violate the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 3:33 —When has Buyer knowingly induced or received an unlawful discrimination in price?
- § 3:34 —What liability does Buyer have for receiving an unlawful promotional allowance or service?
- § 3:35 How vigorously does the government enforce the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 3:36 May private plaintiffs enforce the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 3:37 When does a private plaintiff have standing to sue for a Robinson-Patman violation?
- § 3:38 What must a private plaintiff prove in order to recover damages for price discrimination?
- § 3:39 Will the Robinson-Patman Act continue to have a substantial impact on the distribution of goods?

CHAPTER 4. MAY SELLER RESTRICT WHAT BUYER BUYS AND RESELLS?

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 4:1 Do the antitrust laws prohibit Seller from restricting what Buyer buys?

II. TYING

- § 4:2 May Seller condition or tie its sale or lease of one item to the purchase or lease by Buyer of a second item offered by Seller or a related third party?
- § 4:3 Is tying a *per se* violation of the antitrust laws?
- § 4:4 Does it make any difference whether the items tied together are commodities as opposed to services, licenses, or other intangible items?
- § 4:5 —What is a commodity?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:6 —Is the definition of commodities in the tying context different than that used for analysis of exclusive dealing arrangements or under the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 4:7 —What are examples of items that have been held to be commodities?
- § 4:8 —What are examples of items that have been held not to be commodities?
- § 4:9 —Is a trademark a commodity?
- § 4:10 Is it tying to require the purchase of two items that are part of the same product or are related?
- § 4:11 —When are two items separate items for tying purposes?
- § 4:12 —Does it matter if the two items are normally sold as a unit or package in the industry?
- § 4:13 —What are examples of items that have been found to be separate products?
- § 4:14 —What are examples of items that have been found not to be separate products?
- § 4:15 —Is Seller's trademark a separate item from Seller's products?
- § 4:16 —Is a group of franchise-related items a single package or are they separate items?
- § 4:17 Does it matter that there was no actual sale or lease from Seller to Buyer?
- § 4:18 If two separate items are sold or leased by Seller to Buyer, when are those sales or leases considered to be tied?
- § 4:19 —If Seller does not expressly tie the purchase of item A to the purchase or lease of item B, but nevertheless induces Buyer to purchase or lease item B, is there tying?
- § 4:20 —When will a court find that Seller coerced rather than convinced Buyer to purchase or lease item B in order to get item A?
- § 4:21 —If Seller offers item A at a lower cost when item B is also purchased or leased, is there tying?
- § 4:22 —If Buyer purchases a tied package because it wants to, not because it is coerced to do so by Seller, is there a tie?
- § 4:23 Must Seller have economic power or leverage over the market in item A to have tying?
- § 4:24 —What level of power must Seller have in the market for item A in order to have tying power?
- § 4:25 —What are examples of market power?
- § 4:26 —What are examples of no market power?
- § 4:27 —Is there market power if item A is covered by a patent, copyright, or trademark?

- § 4:28 What effect on competition in the market for item B is necessary for tying to be found unlawful?
- § 4:29 Can tying be justified in certain cases?
- § 4:30 —Can tying be justified because use of item B is necessary to the proper functioning of item A, and use of another item might undermine Seller's reputation?
- § 4:31 —Can tying be justified because sale of another product in place of item B might undermine Seller's goodwill or reputation?
- § 4:32 —Can tying be justified because Seller is a new competitor trying to enter the market?
- § 4:33 —Can tying items be justified because Seller is providing an entire franchise or trademark package?
- § 4:34 Does it matter that all tie-in sales are in foreign countries, or within a single state?
- § 4:35 May Seller require Buyer to purchase Seller's full line of a certain type of product?
- § 4:36 May Seller require Buyer to purchase item B from a specified third party or list of third parties?
- § 4:37 May Seller require Buyer to purchase related items that meet certain quality specifications or are approved by Seller?
- § 4:38 Who can challenge a tying arrangement?
- § 4:39 What are the penalties for tying?

III. EXCLUSIVE DEALING

- § 4:40 May Seller condition its sale or lease of an item on Buyer's agreement not to obtain that item from anyone else or Buyer's agreement to purchase all its needs for the item from Seller?
- § 4:41 —If Buyer buys or leases the item only from Seller, when is this considered to be an exclusive dealing arrangement?
- § 4:42 —Is a requirements contract exclusive dealing?
- § 4:43 Does it make any difference whether the item is a commodity as opposed to a service or intangible item for exclusive dealing purposes?
- § 4:44 —What is a commodity?
- § 4:45 Is there exclusive dealing where Buyer refuses to accept the exclusive dealing agreement or Seller refuses to sell without it?
- § 4:46 If Seller does not expressly condition its sale of the item on Buyer's agreement to purchase the product only from Seller, can there be exclusive dealing?
- § 4:47 Is there exclusive dealing where Buyer is not forced to purchase exclusively from Seller, but agrees to do so voluntarily?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:48 Is there exclusive dealing if Seller offers a significantly better price to Buyer for making all or substantially all of its purchases from Seller?
- § 4:49 How do the courts determine if the exclusive dealing arrangement has substantially lessened competition?
- § 4:50 Must Seller have sufficient power over the market to impose the exclusive dealing condition in order to find exclusive dealing?
- § 4:51 Can exclusive dealing be justified where Seller is a new or small competitor seeking to obtain penetration or viability in the market?
- § 4:52 Can exclusive dealing be justified where the condition is necessary to assure proper service, promotion, or inventory of the product?
- § 4:53 Can exclusive dealing be justified where it does not include all sales or exclude all competitors?
- § 4:54 Does it matter that the sales are in foreign countries, or are only made within a single state?
- § 4:55 What are the penalties for exclusive dealing?

IV. RECIPROCAL DEALING

- § 4:56 Where Seller purchases item A from Buyer, may Seller condition those purchases on Buyer's agreement to purchase item B from Seller?

CHAPTER 5. MAY SELLER RESTRICT WHERE OR TO WHOM BUYER RESELLS?

I. WHAT ARE THE GENERAL RULES REGARDING RESTRICTIONS ON WHERE OR TO WHOM BUYER RESELLS?

- § 5:1 What are vertical nonprice resale restrictions?
- § 5:2 How do vertical nonprice resale restrictions fit together with an exclusive territory for Buyer?
- § 5:3 Are vertical nonprice resale restrictions *per se* illegal or are they tested by the *rule of reason*?
- § 5:4 How important is interbrand competition?
- § 5:5 How important is intrabrand competition?
- § 5:6 What factors does the court consider in judging the reasonableness of a vertical nonprice resale restriction?

II. HOW HAVE THE RULES CHANGED WITH REGARD TO VERTICAL NONPRICE RESALE RESTRICTIONS?

- § 5:7 What rule for analyzing vertical nonprice resale

restrictions was first articulated by the Supreme Court?

§ 5:8 How was this rule changed in 1967?

§ 5:9 What exceptions to the *Schwinn* rule did the lower courts carve out?

§ 5:10 Why did the Supreme Court return to the rule of reason in *Sylvania*?

III. MAY SELLER RESTRICT THE TERRITORIES IN WHICH BUYER MAY RESELL?

§ 5:11 What are vertical territorial resale restrictions?

§ 5:12 What valid business purposes can vertical territorial resale restrictions serve?

§ 5:13 What anticompetitive purposes can vertical territorial resale restrictions serve?

§ 5:14 How is the legality of vertical territorial resale restrictions determined?

§ 5:15 May Seller restrict the right of Buyer to resell outside a designated territory except at specified prices?

IV. MAY SELLER RESTRICT THE CUSTOMERS TO WHOM BUYER MAY RESELL?

§ 5:16 What are vertical customer resale restrictions?

§ 5:17 What valid business purposes can vertical customer resale restrictions serve?

§ 5:18 What anticompetitive purposes can vertical customer resale restrictions serve?

§ 5:19 How is the legality of vertical customer resale restrictions determined?

V. DOES IT MATTER WHETHER THE GOODS ARE DISTRIBUTED ON AN AGENCY OR CONSIGNMENT BASIS?

§ 5:20 What is an agency?

§ 5:21 What is a consignment?

§ 5:22 Have territorial and customer restrictions in agency and consignment arrangements been treated differently from outright sales under the antitrust laws?

VI. DOES IT MATTER WHETHER THE PARTY IMPOSING THE RESTRICTIONS IS A LICENSOR?

§ 5:23 How are territorial and customer restrictions in

TABLE OF CONTENTS

licensing arrangements treated under the antitrust laws?

- § 5:24 Is the rule any different with regard to restraints imposed on the resale of patented articles?

VII. DOES IT MATTER WHETHER A NONPRICE RESALE RESTRICTION IS MOTIVATED BY SELLER OR BUYER?

- § 5:25 Does the source of the restraint affect its legality?
§ 5:26 What factors do the courts consider in determining whether a restraint is vertical or horizontal?

VIII. MAY SELLER ARBITRATE OR SETTLE DISPUTES AMONG BUYERS CONCERNING TERRITORIES OR CUSTOMERS?

- § 5:27 Will Seller's participation in an arrangement among Buyers be treated as a horizontal or vertical resale restriction?
§ 5:28 Will Seller's involvement in a dispute among Buyers automatically implicate the Seller in an unlawful horizontal conspiracy?
§ 5:29 Is it significant that Seller is controlled or owned by Buyers?

IX. WHAT ROLE DOES MARKET SHARE PLAY?

- § 5:30 Does Seller's market share make a difference under the rule of reason?
§ 5:31 Does the market share of Seller's competitors make a difference under the rule of reason?

X. WHAT ROLE DOES PURPOSE PLAY?

- § 5:32 In its rule of reason analysis, does the court consider Seller's purpose in imposing the resale restrictions?
§ 5:33 Can a valid business purpose save an otherwise unreasonable resale restriction?
§ 5:34 Is Seller's attempt to introduce a new product a valid business purpose?
§ 5:35 Is Seller's attempt to enter a new territory or sell to a new class of customers a valid business purpose?
§ 5:36 Is Seller's attempt to stop an erosion of its market share a valid business purpose?
§ 5:37 Is Seller's attempt to encourage Buyers to perform promotional or postsale services, or to discourage free riding, a valid business purpose?

- § 5:38 Is Seller's attempt to promote consumer safety, avoid product liability suits, maintain quality control, or fulfill warranty obligations a valid business purpose?
- § 5:39 Is Seller's attempt to promote distribution of its goods a valid business purpose?

XI. MUST SELLER ADOPT THE LEAST RESTRICTIVE TERRITORIAL OR CUSTOMER RESTRAINT THAT WILL ACHIEVE SELLER'S LEGITIMATE PURPOSE?

- § 5:40 What role does the existence of less restrictive means to achieve the desired end play under the rule of reason?
- § 5:41 What less restrictive alternatives have been upheld by the courts?

XII. WILL A PRIMARY AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY CLAUSE LESSEN SELLER'S ANTITRUST RISK?

- § 5:42 What is a primary area of responsibility clause?
- § 5:43 How is the legality of such a restriction tested?
- § 5:44 Under what circumstances have primary area of responsibility clauses been held unlawful?

XIII. WILL A PROFIT PASS-OVER CLAUSE LESSEN SELLER'S ANTITRUST RISK?

- § 5:45 What is a profit pass-over clause?
- § 5:46 How is the legality of such a restriction tested?
- § 5:47 Under what circumstances have profit pass-over clauses been held unlawful?

XIV. WILL A LOCATION CLAUSE REDUCE SELLER'S ANTITRUST RISK?

- § 5:48 What is a location clause?
- § 5:49 How is the legality of such a restriction tested?
- § 5:50 Under what circumstances have location clauses been held unlawful?

XV. MAY SELLER WHO ALSO DISTRIBUTES IN COMPETITION WITH BUYERS PLACE RESALE RESTRICTIONS ON BUYERS?

- § 5:51 What is a dual distributor?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:52 Are nonprice resale restrictions imposed by dual distributors deemed vertical or horizontal?

CHAPTER 6. MAY SELLER RESTRICT THE PRICES AT WHICH BUYER RESELLS?

- § 6:1 May Seller control the prices at which Buyer resells Seller's products?
- § 6:2 —When is a resale price fixed?
- § 6:3 —Does it make a difference if the prices imposed by Seller are maximum prices, rather than minimum prices?
- § 6:4 —Does it make a difference if the product is an alcoholic beverage?
- § 6:5 —Under what circumstances does Seller's control over Buyer's prices have an adverse impact on competition sufficient to render the conduct unlawful?
- § 6:6 —May Seller control the prices charged for servicing its products?
- § 6:7 —May Seller suggest the prices at which Buyer should resell its products?
- § 6:8 Is there any general rule for distinguishing between lawful price suggestion and unlawful price-fixing?
- § 6:9 —When does Seller go beyond the outer limits of its right to suggest prices?
- § 6:10 Under what circumstances may Seller refuse to deal with Buyers that do not adhere to its suggested retail prices?
- § 6:11 —May Seller terminate a discounting Buyer in response to complaints from other Buyers?
- § 6:12 —What evidence, other than complaints from other Buyers, will support an inference that Buyer was terminated as the result of an unlawful conspiracy between Seller and other Buyers?
- § 6:13 Aside from suggesting prices, what may Seller do to influence the prices charged by Buyer?
- § 6:14 —May Seller employ persuasion, argument, or pressure?
- § 6:15 —May Seller condition its prices to Buyer on Buyer's adherence to Seller's suggested resale prices?
- § 6:16 —May Seller use a competitive allowance or other form of price support to influence resale prices?
- § 6:17 —May Seller use price promotions?
- § 6:18 —May Seller use preticketing or price lists?
- § 6:19 —To what extent may Seller control or influence price advertising by Buyer?
- § 6:20 —May Seller advertise its suggested resale prices directly to consumers?

- § 6:21 —To what degree may Seller police Buyer's resale prices?
- § 6:22 May Seller give rebates to the customers of Buyer?
- § 6:23 May Seller control prices by utilizing agents or consignees?
- § 6:24 —What factors are used to determine whether a consignment or an agency program is an improper attempt to control resale prices?
- § 6:25 Under what circumstances may Seller control the prices charged to national accounts?
- § 6:26 May Seller eliminate the intermediate Buyer and shift to a direct distribution system in order to control the price to the end-user?
- § 6:27 Does the Seller of a patented product have any greater rights to influence Buyer's resale prices?
- § 6:28 What control does Seller have over copyrighted or trademarked products?
- § 6:29 What are the penalties for unlawful resale price maintenance?

CHAPTER 7. MAY SELLER CEASE SELLING TO BUYER?

- § 7:1 What are the sources of law regarding termination of Buyer by Seller?
- § 7:2 —What is termination?
- § 7:3 —May Seller and Buyer agree on the grounds and procedures for termination?
- § 7:4 —Suppose Seller and Buyer have no explicit agreement regarding termination?
- § 7:5 —When and how does the U.C.C. govern termination?
- § 7:6 —When do special industry laws govern termination?
- § 7:7 —What if Buyer is a motor vehicle dealer?
- § 7:8 —What if Buyer is a petroleum dealer?
- § 7:9 —What if Buyer is a franchisee?
- § 7:10 —When does antitrust law apply in termination situations?
- § 7:11 May Seller terminate Buyer without cause?
- § 7:12 —Suppose the agreement provides for termination without cause?
- § 7:13 —Suppose the agreement is silent about termination?
- § 7:14 —Suppose the agreement is silent about termination, but has a definite duration?
- § 7:15 —Suppose the agreement has no definite duration, but permits termination in specific circumstances?
- § 7:16 If Seller may not terminate Buyer without cause, what reasons are sufficient to justify termination?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:17 —What statutes require *good cause* for termination?
- § 7:18 —What is *good cause* under the statutes?
- § 7:19 —Suppose Buyer fails to pay its debts to Seller?
- § 7:20 —Suppose Seller is apprehensive about Buyer's ability to pay?
- § 7:21 —Suppose Buyer fails to meet sales quotas?
- § 7:22 —Suppose Buyer fails to exercise its best efforts on behalf of Seller?
- § 7:23 —Suppose Buyer establishes relationships with other Sellers?
- § 7:24 —Suppose Buyer transfers part or all of its interest in its own business?
- § 7:25 —Suppose Buyer fails to meet standards of operation set by Seller?
- § 7:26 —Suppose Buyer violates the law?
- § 7:27 —Suppose Buyer and Seller are continually at odds with each other?
- § 7:28 May Seller terminate Buyer for reasons unrelated to Buyer's performance?
- § 7:29 —Suppose Seller is losing money in its dealings with Buyer?
- § 7:30 —Suppose Seller wants to change its marketing strategy?
- § 7:31 —Suppose Seller wants to discontinue a product line or withdraw from the market?
- § 7:32 —Suppose Seller wants to enter the market itself?
- § 7:33 —Suppose a third party is involved in Seller's decision to terminate Buyer?
- § 7:34 Must Seller give Buyer notice and an opportunity to cure before termination?
- § 7:35 —What is a reasonable notice period before termination?
- § 7:36 —Must formal notice be given?
- § 7:37 —If afforded the opportunity, what must Buyer do in order to cure?
- § 7:38 —Suppose Buyer has been in default before?
- § 7:39 May Seller refuse to renew its agreement with Buyer?
- § 7:40 What circumstances may be deemed the equivalent of a termination or a nonrenewal by Seller even though they are not described as such?
- § 7:41 Is there any limitation on the right of Seller to enforce the terms of the agreement strictly?
- § 7:42 May Seller increase competition in Buyer's market by adding other outlets or dealers?
- § 7:43 What issues should Seller consider when deciding whether to terminate Buyer?

- § 7:44 May Buyer cease purchasing from Seller?
- § 7:45 —May Buyer assign its contractual rights and obligations to another party?
- § 7:46 —May Buyer act in concert with other Buyers in ceasing to purchase from Seller?
- § 7:47 Do Seller and Buyer owe obligations to each other after termination?
- § 7:48 —What post-term obligations may be imposed on Buyer?
- § 7:49 —What post-term obligations may be imposed on Seller?
- § 7:50 What remedies are available to a wrongfully terminated Buyer?

CHAPTER 8. WILL THE ANSWERS BE DIFFERENT IF SELLER IS ALSO COMPETING WITH BUYER?

- § 8:1 What is dual distribution?
- § 8:2 Why should dual distribution be a matter for antitrust concern?
- § 8:3 How has dual distribution analysis evolved?
- § 8:4 Can dual distribution lead to an intra-enterprise conspiracy?
- § 8:5 Can a parent and its wholly owned subsidiary conspire?
- § 8:6 Can sister corporations conspire with one another?
- § 8:7 Can a parent and its partially owned subsidiary conspire?
- § 8:8 Can a corporation and its agent conspire?
- § 8:9 Are dual distribution restraints classified as horizontal or vertical; *per se* or *rule of reason*?
- § 8:10 Is the source of the restraint important?
- § 8:11 Are economic factors important?
- § 8:12 Is the motive for the restraint important?
- § 8:13 Should dual distribution be viewed as a distinct analytical category?
- § 8:14 Why aren't all dual distribution restraint cases resolved by summary judgment?
- § 8:15 How is market power considered in analyzing a dual distribution restraint?
- § 8:16 Is a dual distributor subject to different antitrust rules when setting its own wholesale or retail prices?
- § 8:17 Is a dual distributor subject to different antitrust rules when recommending resale prices?
- § 8:18 Is a dual distributor subject to different antitrust rules

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- when terminating a dealer or deciding to refuse to deal?
- § 8:19 What if a dual distributor integrates vertically?
- § 8:20 Do dual distributors have additional concerns in a horizontal merger?
- § 8:21 What potential price discrimination problems do dual distributors face as sellers of products?
- § 8:22 Is a sale to a subsidiary or affiliate a “sale” for Robinson-Patman Act purposes?
- § 8:23 How is injury to competition evaluated in the dual distribution context?
- § 8:24 How does the law treat functional discounts in the dual distribution context?
- § 8:25 Do dual distributors have potential price discrimination problems as buyers of products?
- § 8:26 What other Robinson-Patman Act issues may arise in the dual distribution context?

CHAPTER 9. WILL THE ANSWERS BE DIFFERENT IF THE SELLER-BUYER RELATIONSHIP IS A FRANCHISE?

I. WHAT IS A FRANCHISE?

- § 9:1 What kind of relationships are franchises?
- § 9:2 —What laws define the term franchise?
- § 9:3 —Where can guidance be obtained regarding the applicability of state law or the Federal Trade Commission rule?
- § 9:4 What is the Federal Trade Commission rule definition of franchise?
- § 9:5 —What is a package franchise under the Federal Trade Commission rule definition?
- § 9:6 —What is a product franchise under the Federal Trade Commission rule definition?
- § 9:7 —What is necessary to satisfy the trademark element in the Federal Trade Commission rule definition?
- § 9:8 —What is necessary to satisfy the element of significant control/assistance in the Federal Trade Commission rule definition?
- § 9:9 —What types of assistance or control will be considered significant?
- § 9:10 —What is a required payment for purposes of the Federal Trade Commission rule definition?
- § 9:11 What are the principal means of avoiding the definition of a franchise under the Federal Trade Commission rule?

- § 9:12 —How may the required payment element of the Federal Trade Commission rule definition be avoided?
- § 9:13 —If the relationship is a franchise as defined by the Federal Trade Commission rule, what exemptions are available from coverage by the rule?
- § 9:14 —What is a fractional franchise?
- § 9:15 —What is a leased department?
- § 9:16 —What is the minimal investment exemption?
- § 9:17 —Which oral agreements are exempt?
- § 9:18 —What is the petroleum marketers and resellers exemption?
- § 9:19 —What is the large investment exemption?
- § 9:20 —What is the large franchisee exemption?
- § 9:21 —What is the insiders exemption?
- § 9:22 —Are there other possible exemptions from the Federal Trade Commission rule?
- § 9:23 What is necessary to satisfy the business opportunity definition in the Federal Trade Commission rule?
- § 9:24 —What is necessary to satisfy the solicitation of purchaser to enter into a new business element of the Federal Trade Commission rule definition of a business opportunity?
- § 9:25 —What is necessary to satisfy the business assistance through providing outlets/accounts/locations/buy-back element of the Federal Trade Commission rule definition of a business opportunity?
- § 9:26 —What is necessary to satisfy the required payment element of the Federal Trade Commission rule definition of a business opportunity?
- § 9:27 —How do state business opportunity laws relate to franchises?
- § 9:28 What changes occurred in the definition of a business opportunity under the final Business Opportunity Rule enacted in March 2012?
- § 9:29 What impact does the final Business Opportunity Rule have on current franchisors, product distributors, and business opportunity sellers?
- § 9:30 What are the seller's disclosure obligations under the final Business Opportunity Rule?
- § 9:31 What actions are prohibited by the final Business Opportunity Rule?
- § 9:32 What are other notable features of the final Business Opportunity Rule?
- § 9:33 How do state laws define the term franchise?
- § 9:34 —What is meant by a marketing plan or system in state law definitions?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 9:35	—What is meant by community of interest in state law definitions?
§ 9:36	—What is necessary to satisfy the trademark element in state law definitions?
§ 9:37	—What is meant by the franchise fee in state law definitions?
§ 9:38	Are there variations on state franchise registration/disclosure statute definitions?
§ 9:39	—Are there other variations in state law definitions of a franchise?
§ 9:40	What is the principal means of avoiding state franchise definitions?
§ 9:41	—Besides the bona fide wholesale price exemption, are there other ways of avoiding the franchise fee element of state law definitions?
§ 9:42	—What exemptions from state statutes are available?
§ 9:43	— —Large franchisor/experience exemption
§ 9:44	— —Transactions with an existing franchisee
§ 9:45	— —Franchisee's sale for its own account
§ 9:46	— —Sales by judicial officers and financial institutions, and sales of registered securities
§ 9:47	— —Miscellaneous exemptions
§ 9:48	—Is it possible to obtain an exemption which does not fit into any of these categories?
§ 9:49	Does the franchise relationship enjoy any special or distinctive treatment under antitrust principles?
§ 9:50	Must the franchisor sell a franchise to a prospective franchisee?
§ 9:51	—What if other franchisees urge the franchisor to refuse a proposed sale?
§ 9:52	—What if other franchisees veto the franchisor's decision to sell the franchise?
§ 9:53	—When and under what circumstances may a franchisor refuse to deal with a proposed transferee of an existing franchisee?
§ 9:54	—What grounds for disapproval have been upheld or rejected by the courts?
§ 9:55	How do the state franchise laws regulate transfers?
§ 9:56	May the franchisor restrict where or to whom the franchisee resells?
§ 9:57	—What if the franchisor is also competing with the franchisee?
§ 9:58	May the franchisor grant a franchise within the market area of an existing franchisee?
§ 9:59	—May the franchisor distribute products through other channels within a franchisee's market area?

- § 9:60 —What if the franchise agreement grants exclusive territorial rights?
- § 9:61 May the franchisee be required to purchase products from the franchisor?
- § 9:62 —May the franchise itself be considered the tying item in a tie-in arrangement?
- § 9:63 —When is a franchisor's trademark considered a separate tying item?
- § 9:64 —Is the franchisor's trademark presumed to confer market power?
- § 9:65 —When is the market power element satisfied?
- § 9:66 —When is the element of coercion satisfied?
- § 9:67 —What justifications for tying arrangements have been asserted by franchisors?
- § 9:68 —May the franchisee be required to purchase products from approved suppliers?
- § 9:69 —What if the franchisor has a financial interest in its approved suppliers?
- § 9:70 May the franchisor discriminate among its franchisees?
- § 9:71 —May the franchisor, engaged in the sale of products, discriminate in favor of its franchisees?
- § 9:72 When may the franchisor terminate or fail to renew the franchise relationship?
- § 9:73 —What constitutes "good cause" for termination of a franchise?
- § 9:74 —What remedies are available if the franchisor terminates without good cause?
- § 9:75 —Might termination of a franchise violate the antitrust laws?
- § 9:76 May the franchisor impose covenants against competition?
- § 9:77 —What franchisor interests will support enforcement of a franchise covenant?
- § 9:78 —What is reasonable duration for a franchise covenant?
- § 9:79 —What is reasonable geographic scope for a franchise covenant?
- § 9:80 May the franchisor impose covenants against competition?
- § 9:81 —What other post-term obligations may the franchisor impose?
- § 9:82 Does the franchisor owe any special duty to its franchisee?
- § 9:83 —Is there a fiduciary duty owed by a franchisor to its franchisee?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:84 —Does the franchisor owe the franchisee a duty of good faith and fair dealing?
- § 9:85 Is a franchisor vicariously liable for the acts of its franchisees?
- § 9:86 What does the Federal Trade Commission rule require?
- § 9:87 What does the Federal Trade Commission rule require? How current must disclosures be?
- § 9:88 When do state statutes apply to the offer or sale of a franchise?
- § 9:89 What do state registration/disclosure laws require?
- § 9:90 What disclosures are required by the Federal Trade Commission rule format?
- § 9:91 What are financial performance representations/earnings claims?
- § 9:92 Who must make disclosure?
- § 9:93 Who must receive disclosure?
- § 9:94 When must disclosure be provided?
- § 9:95 Are there state law provisions, which are not preempted by the Federal Trade Commission rule?
- § 9:96 What do the state substantive laws require?
- § 9:97 What are the consequences of failing to comply with the franchise laws?
- § 9:98 How has Congress attempted to regulate franchising activities?
- § 9:99 Does the FTC Rule include special prohibitions on franchisor activities?
- § 9:100 What are the implications of state and federal securities laws in the sale of a franchise?

CHAPTER 10. WILL THE ANSWERS BE DIFFERENT UNDER STATE ANTITRUST LAWS?

- § 10:1 Introduction
- § 10:2 Are state antitrust laws preempted by federal laws?
- § 10:3 Do state antitrust laws unduly burden interstate commerce?
- § 10:4 Can a plaintiff file antitrust suits concurrently in federal and state courts?
- § 10:5 Are state antitrust laws required to follow comparable federal laws?
- § 10:6 What sales are covered by state antitrust laws?
- § 10:7 —Are state-regulated sales exempt from state antitrust laws?
- § 10:8 —Are municipal- or county-regulated sales exempt from state antitrust laws?

LEGAL ASPECTS OF SELLING AND BUYING

- § 10:9 —Does Seller's effort to persuade the government to limit competition raise state antitrust concerns?
- § 10:10 —Do state antitrust laws apply to agreements and sales between Seller and its subsidiaries?
- § 10:11 —Do state antitrust laws apply to sales involving foreign firms?
- § 10:12 What are the standards of illegality under state antitrust laws?
- § 10:13 How are horizontal agreements to fix prices treated under state antitrust laws?
- § 10:14 —May Sellers exchange price information without such practice being deemed to violate state antitrust laws?
- § 10:15 —What if Sellers agree to rig bids on sales to government buyers?
- § 10:16 —What if Seller sells to its competitors?
- § 10:17 May Seller agree with competitors to allocate territories and customers?
- § 10:18 May Sellers agree not to sell to others?
- § 10:19 How are agreements not to compete in employment contracts treated under state antitrust laws?
- § 10:20 How are agreements not to compete with the purchaser of Seller's business treated under state antitrust laws?
- § 10:21 What are the legal standards for arrangements imposed on Buyer by Seller in the distribution of goods and services?
- § 10:22 —How is market power defined?
- § 10:23 —When does a Seller-imposed restraint offend the public interest?
- § 10:24 —When is Seller deemed to have monopoly power or monopolistic intent under state antitrust laws?
- § 10:25 —How is reasonableness determined under state antitrust laws?
- § 10:26 —When does a Seller-imposed restraint substantially lessen competition?
- § 10:27 —What if the vertical restraint has an effect on price?
- § 10:28 —What if the vertical restraint produces horizontal effects?
- § 10:29 —When may the states challenge a firm's vertical practices?
- § 10:30 May Seller enter into an exclusive contract with Buyer or grant Buyer an exclusive territory?
- § 10:31 May Seller control the price at which Buyer resells?
- § 10:32 —To what extent do courts follow the Supreme

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Court's <i>Sharp</i> and <i>Monsanto</i> decisions in evaluating resale price maintenance claims under state laws?
§ 10:33	—Are there any defenses to a resale price maintenance claim under state laws?
§ 10:34	Are the rules any different under state antitrust laws for dual distributors?
§ 10:35	May Seller condition the sale of one item on Buyer's purchase of a second item?
§ 10:36	—When are products considered separate?
§ 10:37	—What if Seller does not have market power?
§ 10:38	—Do the tie-in rules apply for an isolated sale?
§ 10:39	—What types of sales have been found to be illegal tie-ins?
§ 10:40	—What types of sales have been found not to be illegal tie-ins?
§ 10:41	—Are there any defenses to a tie-in claim?
§ 10:42	Does Seller have a duty of good faith in dealing with Buyer?
§ 10:43	Does Seller engage in predatory pricing under state antitrust laws by selling below cost?
§ 10:44	—Are there statutory definitions of sales below cost?
§ 10:45	—If the state statute does not define sales below cost, how is a legal selling price determined?
§ 10:46	—Is there a difference between federal and state laws on illegal sales below cost?
§ 10:47	—When have prices been found to result in illegal sales below cost under state laws?
§ 10:48	—When have sales below cost been permitted under state laws?
§ 10:49	—Are there any defenses to state sales below cost claims?
§ 10:50	Must Seller sell to all Buyers at the same price?
§ 10:51	—What are the elements of illegal price discrimination among Buyers under state laws?
§ 10:52	—Are discounts or special prices permitted under state laws?
§ 10:53	—Are there any defenses to state price discrimination claims?

CHAPTER 11. HOW WILL THE ANSWERS BE DIFFERENT IN EUROPE?

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 11:1	Overview
--------	----------

II. THE STANDARD OF LEGALITY: *PER SE* OR *RULE OF REASON*?

§ 11:2 Overview

III. MUST SELLER SELL TO BUYER?

A. INTRODUCTION

§ 11:3 Scope

§ 11:4 What statutes and legal principles govern refusals to sell?

B. SELLER ACTS ON ITS OWN—REFUSING TO SELL

§ 11:5 May Seller unilaterally refuse to sell to Buyer?

1. Working hypothetical: Initial unilateral refusal to deal

§ 11:6 What if seller unilaterally refuses to sell to buyer because seller believes buyer's store is of poor quality? Buyer is not creditworthy? Buyer will not purchase a specified minimum quantity of Seller's products?

§ 11:7 What if Seller unilaterally refuses to sell to Buyer because Seller believes that Buyer's sales area is adequately represented? Buyer's business format is not compatible with Seller's products or image? Buyer will tranship Seller's products to other retailers?

§ 11:8 What if Seller unilaterally refuses to sell to Buyer because Seller believes that Buyer will discount Seller's products?

§ 11:9 What if Seller unilaterally refuses to sell to Buyer because Seller does not like Buyer?

§ 11:10 Must Seller base its refusal to deal on accurate information?

§ 11:11 Must Seller notify Buyer of its reasons for refusing to sell?

§ 11:12 Must Seller grant Buyer an opportunity to cure its faults?

§ 11:13 Should Seller seek or accept assurances or commitments from Buyer?

§ 11:14 Does it make any difference if Seller has previously sold to Buyer?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

C. LAWFULNESS OF SELLER'S REFUSAL TO DEAL WITH BUYER WHO DOES NOT COMPLY (OR SELLER BELIEVES WILL NOT COMPLY)

- § 11:15 May Seller announce a sales policy and refuse to sell to Buyer if Seller believes Buyer will not, or if in fact Buyer does not, comply with that policy?
- § 11:16 —What does it mean to “*announce a sales policy*”?
- § 11:17 —What principles of law govern announced sales policies and subsequent refusals to deal?
- § 11:18 —May Seller announce a policy of refusing to sell to Buyer if Buyer does not maintain adequate quality? Purchase a specified quantity? Pay its bills on time?
- § 11:19 —May Seller include quality, volume, or credit terms in a dealer agreement without risking antitrust liability?
- § 11:20 —May Seller announce a policy of refusing to sell to Buyer if Buyer sells outside of its prescribed territory? Sells from an unauthorized location? Sells to unauthorized customers? Purchases products from Seller's competitors? Does not purchase Seller's full product line?
- § 11:21 —May Seller include territorial, location, customer, or product restrictions in a formal dealer agreement?
- § 11:22 —May Seller announce a policy of refusing to sell to Buyer if Buyer does not adhere to Seller's suggested prices?
- § 11:23 —May Seller solicit assurances from Buyer that Buyer will abide by Seller's pricing policies?
- § 11:24 —Does Buyer's unsolicited assurance that it will comply with Seller's pricing policy constitute an antitrust violation?
- § 11:25 —May Seller persuade Buyer to comply with Seller's sales policies?
- § 11:26 —What if Seller polices Buyer's selling prices?
- § 11:27 —Must Seller grant Buyer an opportunity to come into compliance with Seller's announced policy?

D. SELLER'S COMMUNICATION WITH COMPETING BUYER(S)

- § 11:28 May Seller refuse to sell to Buyer as a result of Seller's communication with Competing Buyer(s)?
- § 11:29 —What are the relevant principles governing the legality of communication between Seller and Competing Buyer resulting in Seller's refusal to sell to Buyer?

LEGAL ASPECTS OF SELLING AND BUYING

- § 11:30 —Are dealer terminations resulting from communications between Seller and Competing Buyer subject to the same standard of laws as initial refusals to sell?
- § 11:31 —When does communication between Seller and Competing Buyer constitute joint action?
- § 11:32 —What if the purpose of the refusal to sell is to protect Competing Buyer from Buyer's price competition? To enforce nonprice vertical restraints? To maintain quality standards? To enforce vertical price agreements?
- § 11:33 —Is joint action between Seller and more than one Competing Buyer, resulting in Seller's decision to refuse to deal with Buyer, unlawful?
- § 11:34 —What if Competing Buyer is a subsidiary of Seller?
- § 11:35 May Seller engage in joint action with Competing Seller(s) to refuse to sell to Buyer or to a class of Buyers?
- § 11:36 —What if the purpose of the joint action is to restrain competition among Buyers?
- § 11:37 —What if the purpose of the joint refusal to sell is to create an orderly market or course of dealing?
- § 11:38 May Seller engage in joint action with Competing Seller(s) to refuse to sell to Buyer or to a class of Buyer?—What if Seller and competing Seller(s) agree to sell only to buyers who meet certain standards?
- § 11:39 May monopolist Seller refuse to deal with Buyer?

E. RIGHT OF MONOPOLIST SELLER TO REFUSE TO DEAL

- § 11:40 May monopolist Seller refuse to deal with Buyer?—What statutes and principles govern monopolist Seller's right to refuse to sell?
- § 11:41 —How is the existence of monopoly power determined?
- § 11:42 —When does monopolist Seller unlawfully obtain or exercise monopoly power?
- § 11:43 —May monopolist Seller refuse to sell to Buyer for legitimate business reasons?
- § 11:44 —May monopolist Seller refuse to sell to Buyer if the purpose of such refusal is to maintain or enhance Seller's monopoly position?
- § 11:45 —May monopolist Seller refuse to sell to Buyer because it competes with Buyer?
- § 11:46 —When is monopolist Seller required to deal with competitors?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 11:47 —Does monopolist Seller have a duty to notify Buyer of its reasons for refusing to deal?
- § 11:48 —How do the antitrust laws treat the right of large, but not monopolistic, Sellers to refuse to sell?

IV. AT WHAT PRICE MAY SELLER SELL?

- § 11:49 What laws restrict the price at which Seller may sell?
- § 11:50 What conditions led to the passage of the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 11:51 What practices are covered by the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 11:52 What are the elements of a violation of § 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 11:53 What are the elements of a violation of TFEU?—What is a discrimination in price?
- § 11:54 What are the elements of a violation of TFEU—Is functional availability of the lower price to the plaintiff a defense to a charge of price discrimination?
- § 11:55 —What transactions are in interstate commerce?
- § 11:56 What are the elements of a violation of TFEU?—Does TFEU apply to export and import transactions?
- § 11:57 —What are transactions in commodities?
- § 11:58 —What is a sale?
- § 11:59 —Who is a purchaser?
- § 11:60 —Can there be a violation if the higher and lower priced sales were not contemporaneous?
- § 11:61 —What are commodities of like grade and quality?
- § 11:62 May Seller charge end-users lower prices than resellers?
- § 11:63 What are the elements of competitive injury to competitors of the Seller?
- § 11:64 May Seller grant functional discounts?
- § 11:65 What are the elements of unlawful brokerage payments?
- § 11:66 What are the elements of an unlawful discrimination involving promotional allowances and services?
- § 11:67 What defenses exist to charges of price discrimination?
- § 11:68 How can Seller establish a meeting competition defense?
- § 11:69 How can Seller establish a cost justification defense?
- § 11:70 Are sales to nonprofit institutions and government entities exempt?
- § 11:71 Can Buyer violate TFEU?

- § 11:72 How vigorously does the government enforce the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 11:73 May private plaintiffs enforce the Robinson-Patman Act?
- § 11:74 When does a private plaintiff have standing to sue for a Robinson-Patman violation?
- § 11:75 What must a private plaintiff prove in order to recover damages for price discrimination?

V. MAY SELLER RESTRICT WHAT BUYER BUYS AND RESELLS?

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 11:76 Do the antitrust laws prohibit Seller from restricting what Buyer buys?

B. TYING

- § 11:77 May Seller condition or tie its sale or lease of one item to the purchase or lease by Buyer of a second item offered by Seller or a related third party?
- § 11:78 Is tying a *per se* violation of the antitrust laws?
- § 11:79 Is it tying to require the purchase of two items that are part of the same product or are related?
- § 11:80 —When are two items separate items for tying purposes?
- § 11:81 —Does it matter if the two items are normally sold as a unit or package in the industry?
- § 11:82 —What are examples of items that have been found to be separate products?
- § 11:83 —What are examples of items that have been found not to be separate products?
- § 11:84 Does it matter that there was no actual sale or lease from Seller to Buyer?
- § 11:85 If two separate items are sold or leased by Seller to Buyer, when are those sales or leases considered to be tied?
- § 11:86 —If Seller does not expressly tie the purchase of item A to the purchase or lease of item B, but nevertheless induces Buyer to purchase or lease item B, is there tying?
- § 11:87 —If Seller offers item A at a lower cost when item B is also purchased or leased, is there tying?
- § 11:88 —If Buyer purchases a tied package because it wants to, not because it is coerced to do so by Seller, is there a tie?
- § 11:89 Must Seller have economic power or leverage over the market in item A to have tying?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 11:90 —Is there market power if item A is covered by a patent, copyright, or trademark?
- § 11:91 What effect on competition in the market for item B is necessary for tying to be found unlawful?
- § 11:92 Can tying be justified in certain cases?
- § 11:93 —Can tying be justified because use of item B is necessary to the proper functioning of item A, and use of another item might undermine Seller's reputation?
- § 11:94 —Can tying be justified because Seller is a new competitor trying to enter the market?
- § 11:95 Does it matter that all tie-in sales are in foreign countries, or within a single state?
- § 11:96 May Seller require Buyer to purchase Seller's full line of a certain type of product?
- § 11:97 May Seller require Buyer to purchase item B from a specified third party or list of third parties?
- § 11:98 May Seller require Buyer to purchase related items that meet certain quality specifications or are approved by Seller?
- § 11:99 Who can challenge a tying arrangement?
- § 11:100 What are the penalties for tying?

C. EXCLUSIVE DEALING

- § 11:101 May Seller condition its sale or lease of an item on Buyer's agreement not to obtain that item from anyone else or Buyer's agreement to purchase all its needs for the item from Seller?
- § 11:102 —If Buyer buys or leases the item only from Seller, when is this considered to be an exclusive dealing arrangement?
- § 11:103 —Is a requirements contract exclusive dealing?
- § 11:104 Is there exclusive dealing where Buyer refuses to accept the exclusive dealing agreement or Seller refuses to sell without it?
- § 11:105 If Seller does not expressly condition its sale of the item on Buyer's agreement to purchase the product only from Seller, can there be exclusive dealing?
- § 11:106 Is there exclusive dealing where Buyer is not forced to purchase exclusively from Seller, but agrees to do so voluntarily?
- § 11:107 Is there exclusive dealing if Seller offers a significantly better price to Buyer for making all or substantially all of its purchases from Seller?
- § 11:108 How do the courts determine if the exclusive dealing arrangement has substantially lessened competition?

- § 11:109 Must Seller have sufficient power over the market to impose the exclusive dealing condition in order to find exclusive dealing?
- § 11:110 Can exclusive dealing be justified where Seller is a new or small competitor seeking to obtain penetration or viability in the market?
- § 11:111 Can exclusive dealing be justified where the condition is necessary to assure proper service, promotion, or inventory of the product?
- § 11:112 Can exclusive dealing be justified where it does not include all sales or exclude all competitors?
- § 11:113 Does it matter that the sales are in foreign countries, or are only made within a single state?
- § 11:114 What are the penalties for exclusive dealing?

D. RECIPROCAL DEALING

- § 11:115 Where Seller purchases item A from Buyer, may Seller condition those purchases on Buyer's agreement to purchase item B from Seller?

VI. MAY SELLER RESTRICT WHERE OR TO WHOM BUYER RESELLS?

A. WHAT ARE THE GENERAL RULES REGARDING RESTRICTIONS ON WHERE OR TO WHOM BUYER RESELLS?

- § 11:116 What are vertical nonprice resale restrictions?
- § 11:117 How do vertical nonprice resale restrictions fit together with an exclusive territory for Buyer?
- § 11:118 Are vertical nonprice resale restrictions *per se* illegal or are they tested by the *rule of reason*?
- § 11:119 How important is interbrand competition?
- § 11:120 How important is intrabrand competition?
- § 11:121 What factors does the court consider in judging the reasonableness of a vertical nonprice resale restriction?

B. HOW HAVE THE RULES CHANGED WITH REGARD TO VERTICAL NONPRICE RESALE RESTRICTIONS?

- § 11:122 What rule for analyzing vertical nonprice resale restrictions was first articulated by the Supreme Court?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

C. MAY SELLER RESTRICT THE TERRITORIES IN WHICH BUYER MAY RESELL?

- § 11:123 What are vertical territorial resale restrictions?
- § 11:124 What valid business purposes can vertical territorial resale restrictions serve?
- § 11:125 What anticompetitive purposes can vertical territorial resale restrictions serve?
- § 11:126 How is the legality of vertical territorial resale restrictions determined?
- § 11:127 May Seller restrict the right of Buyer to resell outside a designated territory except at specified prices?

D. MAY SELLER RESTRICT THE CUSTOMERS TO WHOM BUYER MAY RESELL?

- § 11:128 What are vertical customer resale restrictions?
- § 11:129 What valid business purposes can vertical customer resale restrictions serve?
- § 11:130 What anticompetitive purposes can vertical customer resale restrictions serve?
- § 11:131 How is the legality of vertical customer resale restrictions determined?

E. DOES IT MATTER WHETHER THE GOODS ARE DISTRIBUTED ON AN AGENCY OR CONSIGNMENT BASIS?

- § 11:132 What is an agency?
- § 11:133 What is a consignment?
- § 11:134 Have territorial and customer restrictions in agency and consignment arrangements been treated differently from outright sales under the antitrust laws?

F. DOES IT MATTER WHETHER THE PARTY IMPOSING THE RESTRICTIONS IS A LICENSOR?

- § 11:135 How are territorial and customer restrictions in licensing arrangements treated under the antitrust laws?
- § 11:136 Is the rule any different with regard to restraints imposed on the resale of patented articles?

**G. DOES IT MATTER WHETHER A NONPRICE
RESALE RESTRICTION IS MOTIVATED BY
SELLER OR BUYER?**

- § 11:137 Does the source of the restraint affect its legality?
- § 11:138 What factors do the courts consider in determining whether a restraint is vertical or horizontal?

**H. MAY SELLER ARBITRATE OR SETTLE
DISPUTES AMONG BUYERS CONCERNING
TERRITORIES OR CUSTOMERS?**

- § 11:139 Will Seller's participation in an arrangement among Buyers be treated as a horizontal or vertical resale restriction?
- § 11:140 Will Seller's involvement in a dispute among Buyers automatically implicate the Seller in an unlawful horizontal conspiracy?
- § 11:141 Is it significant that Seller is controlled or owned by Buyers?

I. WHAT ROLE DOES MARKET SHARE PLAY?

- § 11:142 Does Seller's market share make a difference under the rule of reason?
- § 11:143 Does the market share of Seller's competitors make a difference under the rule of reason?

J. WHAT ROLE DOES PURPOSE PLAY?

- § 11:144 In its rule of reason analysis, does the court consider Seller's purpose in imposing the resale restrictions?
- § 11:145 Can a valid business purpose save an otherwise unreasonable resale restriction?
- § 11:146 Is Seller's attempt to introduce a new product a valid business purpose?
- § 11:147 Is Seller's attempt to stop an erosion of its market share a valid business purpose?
- § 11:148 Is Seller's attempt to encourage Buyers to perform promotional or post sale services, or to discourage free riding, a valid business purpose?
- § 11:149 Is Seller's attempt to promote consumer safety, avoid product liability suits, maintain quality control, or fulfill warranty obligations a valid business purpose?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

K. MUST SELLER ADOPT THE LEAST RESTRICTIVE TERRITORIAL OR CUSTOMER RESTRAINT THAT WILL ACHIEVE SELLER'S LEGITIMATE PURPOSE?

- § 11:150 What role does the existence of less restrictive means to achieve the desired end play under the rule of reason?
- § 11:151 What less restrictive alternatives have been upheld by the courts?

L. WILL A PRIMARY AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY CLAUSE LESSEN SELLER'S ANTITRUST RISK?

- § 11:152 What is a primary area of responsibility clause?
- § 11:153 How is the legality of such a restriction tested?

M. WILL A PROFIT PASS-OVER CLAUSE LESSEN SELLER'S ANTITRUST RISK?

- § 11:154 What is a profit pass-over clause?
- § 11:155 Under what circumstances have profit pass-over clauses been held unlawful?

N. WILL A LOCATION CLAUSE REDUCE SELLER'S ANTITRUST RISK?

- § 11:156 What is a location clause?
- § 11:157 How is the legality of such a restriction tested?
- § 11:158 Under what circumstances have location clauses been held unlawful?

O. MAY SELLER WHO ALSO DISTRIBUTES IN COMPETITION WITH BUYERS PLACE RESALE RESTRICTIONS ON BUYERS?

- § 11:159 What is a dual distributor?
- § 11:160 Are nonprice resale restrictions imposed by dual distributors deemed vertical or horizontal?

VII. MAY SELLER RESTRICT THE PRICES AT WHICH BUYER RESELLS?

- § 11:161 May Seller control the prices at which Buyer resells Seller's products?
- § 11:162 When is a resale price fixed?
- § 11:163 Does it make a difference if the prices imposed by

- Seller are maximum prices, rather than minimum prices?
- § 11:164 Does it make a difference if the product is an alcoholic beverage?
- § 11:165 Under what circumstance does Seller's control over Buyer's prices have an adverse impact on competition sufficiently to render the conduct unlawful?
- § 11:166 May Seller control the prices charged for servicing the product?
- § 11:167 Is there any general rule for distinguishing between lawful price suggestion and unlawful price-fixing?
- § 11:168 —When does Seller go beyond the outer limits of its right to suggest prices?
- § 11:169 Under what circumstances may Seller refuse to deal with Buyers who do not adhere to its suggested retail prices?
- § 11:170 May Seller terminate a discounting Buyer in response to complaints from other Buyers?
- § 11:171 What evidence other than complaints from other Buyers will support an inference that Buyer was terminated as the result of an unlawful conspiracy between Seller and other Buyers?
- § 11:172 Aside from suggesting prices, what may Seller do to influence the prices charged by Buyer?
- § 11:173 May Seller employ persuasion, argument or pressure?
- § 11:174 May Seller condition its prices to Buyer on Buyer's adherence to Seller's suggested resale prices?
- § 11:175 May Seller use preticketing or price lists?
- § 11:176 To what extent may Seller control or influence price advertising by Buyer?
- § 11:177 May Seller advertise the suggested resale prices directly to consumers?
- § 11:178 To what degree may Seller police Buyer's resale price?
- § 11:179 May Seller give rebates to customers of Buyer?
- § 11:180 May Seller control prices by utilizing agents or consignees?
- § 11:181 What factors are used to determine whether a consignment or an agency program is an improper attempt to control prices charged to national accounts?
- § 11:182 Under what circumstances may Seller control the prices charged to national accounts?
- § 11:183 May Seller eliminate the intermediate Buyer and shift to a direct distribution system in order to control the price to the end-user?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 11:184 Does Seller of a patented product have any greater rights to influence Buyer's resale price?
- § 11:185 What control does Seller have over copyrighted or trademarked products?
- § 11:186 What are the penalties for unlawful resale price maintenance?

VIII. MAY SELLER CEASE SELLING TO BUYER?

- § 11:187 What are the sources of law regarding termination of Buyer by Seller?

IX. WILL THE ANSWERS BE DIFFERENT IF SELLER IS ALSO COMPETING WITH BUYER?

- § 11:188 What is dual distribution?
- § 11:189 Why should dual distribution be a matter for antitrust concern?
- § 11:190 How has dual distribution analysis evolved?
- § 11:191 Can dual distribution lead to an intra-enterprise conspiracy?
- § 11:192 Can a parent and its wholly owned subsidiary conspire?
- § 11:193 Can sister corporations conspire with one another?
- § 11:194 Can a parent and its partially owned subsidiary conspire?
- § 11:195 Can a corporation and its agent conspire?
- § 11:196 Are dual distribution restraints classified as horizontal or vertical; *per se* or *rule of reason*?
- § 11:197 Is the source of the restraint important?
- § 11:198 Are economic factors important?
- § 11:199 Is the motive for the restraint important?
- § 11:200 Should dual distribution be viewed as a distinct analytical category?
- § 11:201 How is market power considered in analyzing a dual distribution restraint?
- § 11:202 Is a dual distributor subject to different antitrust rules when setting its own wholesale or retail prices?
- § 11:203 Is a dual distributor subject to different antitrust rules when recommending resale prices?
- § 11:204 Is a dual distributor subject to different antitrust rules when terminating a dealer or deciding to refuse to deal?
- § 11:205 What if a dual distributor integrates vertically?
- § 11:206 Do dual distributors have additional concerns in a horizontal merger?

- § 11:207 What potential price discrimination problems do dual distributors face as sellers of products?
- § 11:208 How is injury to competition evaluated in the dual distribution context?
- § 11:209 How does the law treat functional discounts in the dual distribution context?
- § 11:210 Do dual distributors have potential price discrimination problems as buyers of products?

X. WILL THE ANSWERS BE DIFFERENT IF THE SELLER-BUYER RELATIONSHIP IS A FRANCHISE?

- § 11:211 EU law treatment of franchise agreements

XI. WILL THE ANSWERS BE DIFFERENT UNDER STATE ANTITRUST LAWS?

- § 11:212 Antitrust law of the EU Member States?

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A. Sherman Antitrust Act 15 U.S.C.A. §§ 1, 2
- APPENDIX B. Selected Provisions of the Clayton Act as codified at 15 U.S.C.A. §§ 12 et seq.
- APPENDIX C. Robinson-Patman Act Amendment to § 2 of the Clayton Act 15 U.S.C.A. §§ 13–13b
- APPENDIX D. Selected Provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act 15 U.S.C.A. §§ 41 et seq.
- APPENDIX E. Federal Trade Commission’s Revised “Fred Meyer Guides” 16 C.F.R. Part 240
- APPENDIX F. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX G. Antitrust Guidelines for the Licensing of Intellectual Property
- APPENDIX H. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX I. Statement of Basis and Purpose, and Final Amended and Revised Trade Regulation Rule (16 C.F.R. Pts 436 and 437 (2007))
- APPENDIX J. State Franchise Laws Applicable to the Sale of Franchises
- APPENDIX K. State Franchise Termination Laws—Generally
- APPENDIX L. Business Opportunities Laws
- APPENDIX M. Outline of State Statutes on Antitrust

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX N.	State Motor Vehicle Dealer Laws
APPENDIX O.	State Petroleum Dealer Laws
APPENDIX P.	State Alcoholic Beverage Dealer Laws and Selected Regulations
APPENDIX Q.	State Farm Equipment Dealer Laws

Glossary

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index