

# Table of Contents

## CHAPTER 1. INITIAL INTERVIEWS AND THE CLIENT

- § 1:1 Introduction
- § 1:2 Initial contact
- § 1:3 Initial interview—Quality time
- § 1:4 —Monitor your manner
- § 1:5 —Listen to the potential client
- § 1:6 —Observe the potential client
- § 1:7 —Control yourself
- § 1:8 —Establish a client profile
- § 1:9 —Inquire into special damages
- § 1:10 —Inquire into general damages
- § 1:11 —Explain liability issues
- § 1:12 Evaluation tools
- § 1:13 Evaluate the legal problems
- § 1:14 Analyze costs
- § 1:15 Analyze your time
- § 1:16 Spot the problem client
- § 1:17 —The lawyer client
- § 1:18 —The shopper
- § 1:19 —The know-it-all
- § 1:20 —The lawyer-changer
- § 1:21 —The exaggerator
- § 1:22 —The yellow-pager
- § 1:23 —The I-can't-explain-over-the-phone client
- § 1:24 —The revenge client
- § 1:25 —The unlikable client
- § 1:26 Rely on your gut reaction and decide
- § 1:27 Turning the case down
- § 1:28 Accepting the case: special discussion points
- § 1:29 Goals

## CHAPTER 2. STORYTELLING

- § 2:1 Introduction
- § 2:2 Storytelling through the ages
- § 2:3 Storytelling in the courtroom

- § 2:4 Why storytelling?
- § 2:5 Rules and recommendations regarding courtroom stories
- § 2:6 The advocate's tools of persuasion

### **CHAPTER 3. ANALYSIS OF THE STORY LINE**

- § 3:1 Introduction
- § 3:2 Narrative of the case
- § 3:3 Weaknesses of the case—Jurors expectations
- § 3:4 Case strengths
- § 3:5 Reverse defense themes—Plaintiff themes
- § 3:6 Pivotal points
- § 3:7 Conclusion

### **CHAPTER 4. STORYBOARDING**

- § 4:1 Introduction
- § 4:2 The written outline storyboard
- § 4:3 A written outline storyboard example: Howard Johnson's Honeymoon Deathtrap Slay case
- § 4:4 An opening/closing statement based upon the written storyboard outline: Howard Johnson's Honeymoon Deathtrap Slay case
- § 4:5 The pictorial storyboard
- § 4:6 A pictorial storyboard example: *Brown* medical negligence infant brain damage case

### **CHAPTER 5. THEMING**

- § 5:1 Introduction
- § 5:2 Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr., on themes
- § 5:3 Judge Charles Becton on themes
- § 5:4 Peter Perlman on themes
- § 5:5 Dr. Amy Singer on themes
- § 5:6 Successfully developed and used themes
- § 5:7 Themes developed in the litigation process
- § 5:8 Five basic concepts that act as guides for theme development
- § 5:9 Case capsule telegram-type theme
- § 5:10 Analogy-type theme
- § 5:11 Possible theme weaknesses
- § 5:12 Sample themes
- § 5:13 Additional resources

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 6. STORY STRUCTURE**

- § 6:1 Introduction
- § 6:2 The five Ws story structure
- § 6:3 Yesterday, today, and tomorrow story structure
- § 6:4 Introduction, body, and conclusion story structure
- § 6:5 The narrative force of storytelling
- § 6:6 Double-tracking, foreshadowing, and use of the present tense

**CHAPTER 7. RHETORICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL TECHNIQUES**

- § 7:1 Introduction
- § 7:2 Alphabetical index of rhetorical and psychological techniques
- § 7:3 Definitions of rhetorical and oratorical devices from A to Z

**CHAPTER 8. THE DYNAMIC DEVELOPMENT OF RHETORIC: THE “ART OF PERSUASION” IN ANCIENT GREECE AND ROME**

- § 8:1 Introduction

**I. ANCIENT GREECE**

- § 8:2 Corax of Syracuse (fifth century BC)
- § 8:3 Pericles of Athens (495-429 BC)
- § 8:4 Socrates (399 BC)
- § 8:5 Isocrates (436-338 BC)
- § 8:6 Aristotle (384-322 BC)
- § 8:7 Demosthenes (346-322 BC)

**II. ANCIENT ROME**

- § 8:8 Marcus Tullius Cicero (106-43 BC)
- § 8:9 Quintilian (35-99 AD)
- § 8:10 Tacitus (55-117 AD)

**III. HISTORICAL TIMELINE**

- § 8:11 An historical timeline: sources of the art and science of persuasion

#### **IV. RULES OF PERSUASION: FROM ARISTOTLE TO CICERO**

- § 8:12 Aristotle: principles of persuasion
- § 8:13 Cicero's eight maxims of persuasion
- § 8:14 Quintilian's elements of delivery
- § 8:15 Rules of persuasion: Aristotle to Cicero

#### **CHAPTER 9. THE ORATORY AND RHETORIC OF THE NINETEENTH CENTURY NATIVE AMERICAN CHIEFS**

- § 9:1 Introduction
- § 9:2 Chief Seattle (circa 1854–1855)
- § 9:3 Chief Red Jacket—Chief of the Seneca and 6  
Nations (circa 1758–1808)
- § 9:4 Chief Tecumseh (circa 1768–1813)
- § 9:5 Conclusion
- § 9:6 References

#### **CHAPTER 10. GREAT SPEECHES**

- § 10:1 Introduction
- § 10:2 Demosthenes attacks his accuser
- § 10:3 Cicero's defense of Sextus Roscius
- § 10:4 Cicero rails against Catiline and his conspiracies
- § 10:5 Mark Antony's funeral oration in William  
Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar*
- § 10:6 Patrick Henry's "Give me liberty or give me  
death" speech
- § 10:7 Abraham Lincoln's "Gettysburg Address"
- § 10:8 Sojourner Truth speaks for women's rights
- § 10:9 Sir Winston Churchill's "We Shall Fight On The  
Beaches" speech
- § 10:10 Franklin D. Roosevelt's declaration of war  
against the Japanese
- § 10:11 Representative Barbara Jordan's call for the  
impeachment of President Nixon
- § 10:12 John F. Kennedy's inaugural address (1961)
- § 10:13 Martin Luther King, Jr.'s "I Have A Dream"  
Speech
- § 10:14 President Barack Obama's "A More Perfect  
Union" speech

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 11. COURTROOM STRATEGY  
AND TACTICS: GENERAL SUN TZU**

- § 11:1 Introduction
- § 11:2 History
- § 11:3 Essential tactics for success drawn from Sun Tzu
- § 11:4 Conclusion

**CHAPTER 12. COURTROOM  
ENVIRONMENT**

- § 12:1 Courtroom environment: Art, drama, and science
- § 12:2 Configuration
- § 12:3 Critical space
- § 12:4 —Self awareness
- § 12:5 —Court personnel
- § 12:6 —The judge
- § 12:7 Pre-trial depositions and courtroom demeanor
- § 12:8 Goals

**CHAPTER 13. JURYMETRIC SURVEYS,  
FOCUS GROUPS, MOCK TRIALS, AND  
JURY QUESTIONNAIRES AS MODELS  
FOR CASE STRATEGIES**

- § 13:1 Introduction
- § 13:2 The jurymetric survey
- § 13:3 The Scott case—Testing who's to blame
- § 13:4 The Scott jurymetric survey—Testing plaintiffs' case themes
- § 13:5 The Scott case—Ranking of plaintiff's arguments
- § 13:6 —Focus groups
- § 13:7 —Mock trials
- § 13:8 —Developing and testing case themes
- § 13:9 —Evaluation of plaintiffs' and defendants' arguments
- § 13:10 —Mock trial review

**CHAPTER 14. JURY SELECTION**

- § 14:1 Jury selection
- § 14:2 Study the judge
- § 14:3 Examine local court rules
- § 14:4 Make motions in limine

- § 14:5 Examine the juror list
- § 14:6 Imagine the optimal juror
- § 14:7 Draft the voir dire
- § 14:8 Voir dire checklist
- § 14:9 Explore special problems
- § 14:10 The effect of tort reform propaganda
- § 14:11 The influence of the media
- § 14:12 The medical doctor as defendant
- § 14:13 Other problems to expose
- § 14:14 Get an early start
- § 14:15 Juror keys
- § 14:16 Example voir dire—Products liability failure to warn case
- § 14:17 —Medical negligence case voir dire
- § 14:18 —Serious disability and permanent injury case
- § 14:19 —Very, very serious injury case
- § 14:20 —Tort reform—Dealing with the horror story
- § 14:21 Make a good impression
- § 14:22 Voir dire in civil trials in federal district court
- § 14:23 Suggested judge conducted voir dire
- § 14:24 Alternate jury questionnaire
- § 14:25 Goals

## **CHAPTER 15. THE OPENING STATEMENT**

- § 15:1 Introduction
- § 15:2 Goals for the opening statement
- § 15:3 Preparation
- § 15:4 Constructing the opening statement
- § 15:5 Developing the theme in the opening statement
- § 15:6 Capturing and holding attention with the opening
- § 15:7 Opening statement outline
- § 15:8 Checklist—Dos and don'ts for opening statement
- § 15:9 Illustrative opening statement: Oil platform—Premises liability—Product defect—Quadriplegia
- § 15:10 Illustrative opening statement: Product liability—Machine guarding case—Wrongful death
- § 15:11 Illustrative opening statement: Medical negligence—Wrongful death

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 15:12 Illustrative opening statement: Truck-pedestrian knockdown—Permanent disability
- § 15:13 Illustrative opening statement: Workshop weaknesses in an 18-wheeler-crash case
- § 15:14 Illustrative opening statement: Auto-collision case—Managing weaknesses in the case

## **CHAPTER 16. STORYTELLING IN DIRECT EXAMINATION OF FACT WITNESS**

- § 16:1 Direct examination of fact witnesses
- § 16:2 Purposes of direct examination
- § 16:3 Types of direct witnesses
- § 16:4 General rules of direct examination
- § 16:5 Witness preparation
- § 16:6 Additional general guidelines for the witness
- § 16:7 The direct examination and narration
- § 16:8 Direct examination
- § 16:9 Witness evaluation
- § 16:10 Types of questions on direct
- § 16:11 Outlining the case on direct
- § 16:12 General guidelines for the lawyer on direct
- § 16:13 Order of witnesses
- § 16:14 Structure of the direct examination
- § 16:15 Example 1: The plaintiff in a medical negligence case
- § 16:16 Example 2: Maritime plaintiff in a personal injury case
- § 16:17 Example 3: Direct testimony of plaintiff's daughter in a wrongful death case
- § 16:18 Conclusion

## **CHAPTER 17. STORYTELLING DURING THE CROSS-EXAMINATION OF FACT AND EXPERT WITNESSES**

- § 17:1 Introduction: The story of Mitch Serio—Construction failure case

### **A. PURPOSES OF CROSS-EXAMINATION**

- § 17:2 Cross-examine to educate
- § 17:3 Cross-examine for collateral attack

- § 17:4 Cross-examine to corroborate
- § 17:5 Cross-examine to challenge witness credibility or testimony
- § 17:6 Types of impeachment
- § 17:7 Attacking credibility
- § 17:8 Attack the foundation
- § 17:9 Direct impeachment
- § 17:10 The federal rules
- § 17:11 Method of preparation
- § 17:12 Techniques of cross-examination checklist
- § 17:13 Purposes of cross-examination checklist
- § 17:14 General advices on cross-examination

## **B. GUIDELINES FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF FACT AND EXPERT WITNESSES**

- § 17:15 Make the technical simple
- § 17:16 Jury awareness
- § 17:17 Commit to logic or common sense
- § 17:18 Commonsense cross-examination
- § 17:19 General cross-examination materials developed in discovery or research
- § 17:20 Non-exclusive list of materials for cross-examination in medical cases
- § 17:21 Non-exclusive list of materials for cross-examination in product cases, explosions & fires
- § 17:22 Non-exclusive list of materials for cross-examination in maritime cases
- § 17:23 Non-exclusive list of materials for cross-examination in road & highway design & defect cases
- § 17:24 Non-exclusive list of materials for cross-examination in railroad & highway crossing cases
- § 17:25 Example cross-examination of economist on hedonic damage issues
- § 17:26 Example of attacking credibility
- § 17:27 Gaining admission: Defective palletizer case—Product defect—Cross-examination of defense engineer and human factors expert
- § 17:28 Example: Foundation in cross-examination for use of standards by your own expert on engineering and warnings—Cross of engineer expert



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 17:29 Control: Knowing the answer
- § 17:30 Example: Questions to adverse witness which support part of your case where answer is limited by records or writings in exhibits—Cross-exam of hospital nurse
- § 17:31 Example: Partially open/followed by closed-leading questions and finishing with a summary question—Cross-exam defendant driver—Highway collision
- § 17:32 Preambles to asking questions which call for “yes” or “no”
- § 17:33 Example: Control pinning the witness to a specific document, exhibit, or demonstrative aid
- § 17:34 General reminders about cross-examination
- § 17:35 Samples of cross-examinations of fact witnesses
- § 17:36 Cross-examination of the president of hotel—Hotel security case—Fact witness
- § 17:37 Cross-examination of Annie McCoy—Fact witness—Hourly employee
- § 17:38 Cross-examination of Eva Mae Washington—Hourly employee—Fact witness
- § 17:39 Cross-examination of Delores Arnold—Hourly employee—Fact witness
- § 17:40 Example cross-examination of defendant—Hotel’s security expert
- § 17:41 Cross-examination of fact witness in maritime case—Bias
- § 17:42 Impeachment of hostile witnesses and collateral attack of fact witness—Captain David Jones and his wife, Diane: Maritime case
- § 17:43 Example of cross-examination of a physician—Exposing bias and lack of credibility in a medical case
- § 17:44 The truth of “Big Train”
- § 17:45 Conclusion

## **CHAPTER 18. STORYTELLING DURING THE DIRECT AND CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MEDICAL EXPERTS FROM WRITTEN OR PUBLISHED MATERIALS**

- § 18:1 Introduction
- § 18:2 Case history
- § 18:3 Preliminary research

- § 18:4 Hospital records
- § 18:5 In-depth research and a checklist of medical records
- § 18:6 Medical publications
- § 18:7 Medical history
- § 18:8 Medical consultant
- § 18:9 Treating physician and preparation checklist for direct examination
- § 18:10 Deposition of opposing medical witness
- § 18:11 Detection of bias
- § 18:12 Questioning of medical experts with reference to authoritative medicals on direct examination
- § 18:13 Deposition commitment by adverse medical witness to authoritative text
- § 18:14 Cross-examination of adverse medical expert at trial from authoritative text
- § 18:15 Example of cross-examination of adverse medical expert at trial from a teaching text
- § 18:16 Conclusion

## **CHAPTER 19. CLOSING ARGUMENT (SUMMATION)**

- § 19:1 Introduction
- § 19:2 The goals of final argument (summation)
- § 19:3 Preparing the closing argument
- § 19:4 Begin from the beginning
- § 19:5 Employ a consistent theme
- § 19:6 Return to the focus of the case
- § 19:7 Relate the closing arguments to the opening and voir dire: cross-match in summation
- § 19:8 Use revelation and reversal where possible
- § 19:9 Impress the jury with the finality of its decision
- § 19:10 To thank or not thank the jury
- § 19:11 Concluding thoughts
- § 19:12 The dos of closing argument
- § 19:13 The don'ts of closing arguments
- § 19:14 Illustrative closing argument—Professional engineering litigation
- § 19:15 —Surgical malpractice
- § 19:16 —Business and fraud case
- § 19:17 —Cancer misdiagnosis
- § 19:18 —Truck-trailer/auto crash case: Preexisting condition

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 19:19 —Products liability explosion
- § 19:20 —Two accidents produce soft tissue damages
- § 19:21 —Drug overdose/ medical malpractice

## CHAPTER 20. PLAINTIFFS VS. BIG TOBACCO

- § 20:1 Scott v. American Tobacco
- § 20:2 Scott v. American Tobacco: Rhetorical and psychological tools used in the plaintiffs' opening and closing arguments
- § 20:3 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase I plaintiffs' opening statement—Russ Herman
- § 20:4 —Steve Murray
- § 20:5 —Mike Gertler
- § 20:6 —Ken Carter
- § 20:7 —Russ Herman
- § 20:8 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase I plaintiffs' closing argument—Steve Murray
- § 20:9 —Russ Herman
- § 20:10 —Mike Gertler
- § 20:11 —Sidney Cates
- § 20:12 —Joe Bruno
- § 20:13 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase I plaintiffs' rebuttal statement—Joe Bruno
- § 20:14 —Ken Carter
- § 20:15 —Russ Herman
- § 20:16 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase I special verdict form
- § 20:17 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase II plaintiffs' opening statement—Russ Herman
- § 20:18 —Sidney Cates
- § 20:19 —Walter Leger
- § 20:20 —Joseph Bruno
- § 20:21 —Russ Herman
- § 20:22 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase II plaintiffs' closing argument—Russ Herman
- § 20:23 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase II plaintiffs' rebuttal argument—Russ Herman
- § 20:24 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase II Verdict
- § 20:25 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase II Judgment
- § 20:26 Scott v. American Tobacco: Phase II Trial Court Findings of Fact and Reasons for Judgment

**Index**