

Table of Contents

Volume 1

CHAPTER 1 UNDERSTANDING SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

- § 1:1 Introduction
- § 1:2 Survey of the literature
- § 1:3 Scope of the study and method for study
- § 1:4 Material for analysis
- § 1:5 The rhetorical problem: Common problems facing all trial lawyers in all jury trials
- § 1:6 The solution to the rhetorical problem: A structure for successful trial technique
- § 1:7 Conclusion

CHAPTER 2 THE PROCESS OF COMMUNICATION AND IMPRESSION FORMATION

- § 2:1 Introduction
- § 2:2 Communication and symbols
- § 2:3 Courtroom persuasion
- § 2:4 The meaning of symbols resides in people
- § 2:5 Meaning is open
- § 2:6 The sources of meaning
- § 2:7 Creating meaning for the trial
- § 2:8 —Juror purpose
- § 2:9 —Persona and credibility
- § 2:10 —The facts and the law
- § 2:11 Strategies for the creation of meaning
- § 2:12 —Storytelling or narrative structure
- § 2:13 —Themes
- § 2:14 —Use of words and labels
- § 2:15 —Use of figurative language
- § 2:16 —Common sense arguments
- § 2:17 —Importance of oral rhetoric
- § 2:18 —Ethical issues
- § 2:19 —Persuasion, coercion, and manipulation

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

- § 2:20 Nonverbal communication
- § 2:21 —Dress
- § 2:22 —Nonverbal behavior in and out of the courtroom
- § 2:23 —Eye contact
- § 2:24 Voir dire in a soft tissue automobile injury case
- § 2:25 —Combating the bias against soft tissue injuries
- § 2:26 —Using juror responses to reinforce the perception that soft tissue injuries result in legitimate permanent injuries
- § 2:27 —Conditioning jurors to the defendant's use of x-rays
- § 2:28 —Conditioning jurors to the fact that defendant's expert will testify that plaintiff is not injured
- § 2:29 —Conditioning jurors to the fact that defendant's expert is a more experienced testifier
- § 2:30 —Conditioning jurors to the fact that plaintiff appears uninjured
- § 2:31 —Conditioning jurors to the fact that the plaintiff engages in activities that cause pain
- § 2:32 The value of communication in trying soft tissue cases
- § 2:33 —Understand and deal with obvious weaknesses immediately
- § 2:34 —Overcoming the bias against soft tissue injuries
- § 2:35 Proving an injury when none is evident
- § 2:36 —Plaintiff appears uninjured
- § 2:37 —Plaintiff routinely engages in activities that are claimed to produce pain
- § 2:38 —Defendant's expert is a more experienced, cooperative, and forceful testifier
- § 2:39 How to cross-examine defendant's expert
- § 2:40 Establishing a product liability or strict liability case with communication evidence
- § 2:41 Prominence of warnings and instructions: Reaching the consumer
- § 2:42 —Placement within the label or data sheet
- § 2:43 Use of conspicuous words
- § 2:44 —Use of distinct print style
- § 2:45 —Use of color
- § 2:46 Warning language must be clear and unambiguous
- § 2:47 —Terms must be meaningful to users
- § 2:48 —Language must express specific danger
- § 2:49 —Nature and severity of damage should be expressed
- § 2:50 —Likelihood of damage must be clearly specified
- § 2:51 Warnings must describe how to avoid hazards
- § 2:52 Use of expert witnesses in failure to warn cases

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:53	Conclusion II
§ 2:54	Use of figurative language to shape juror impressions
§ 2:55	Understanding examples, analogies, and metaphors
§ 2:56	—Definitions of examples, analogies, and metaphors
§ 2:57	How examples, analogies, and metaphors work
§ 2:58	—Figures of speech mirror human thought
§ 2:59	—Figures of speech become symbols for experience
§ 2:60	—Figures of speech contain emotional components
§ 2:61	—Figures of speech contain compacted lines of reasoning
§ 2:62	—Figures of speech involve condensation
§ 2:63	—Some figures of speech are more powerful than others
§ 2:64	Identifying sources for examples, analogies, and metaphors
§ 2:65	—Great literature
§ 2:66	—The bible
§ 2:67	—Poetry
§ 2:68	—Fables
§ 2:69	—History
§ 2:70	—Folklore and mythology
§ 2:71	—Philosophical writings
§ 2:72	—Great speeches
§ 2:73	—Current events
§ 2:74	—Sports
§ 2:75	—Movies
§ 2:76	—Our families
§ 2:77	—Our friends
§ 2:78	—Drawing upon what is important in our lives
§ 2:79	How figurative language may be used throughout the trial
§ 2:80	The lawyer's view of the trial process
§ 2:81	The lawyer's internal guiding metaphors
§ 2:82	Describing the purpose of the trial
§ 2:83	—As an integral part of a greater whole
§ 2:84	—Lifting the case and jury above the facts
§ 2:85	—Giving the case importance—Creating dramatic impact
§ 2:86	Conceptualizing the facts of the case
§ 2:87	Checklist of techniques to narrow issues in complex litigation
§ 2:88	Describing the parties
§ 2:89	Describing witnesses
§ 2:90	Explaining issues and concepts
§ 2:91	Presenting arguments
§ 2:92	Proving damages
§ 2:93	Defining the role of the jurors
§ 2:94	The human aspect of trial work

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

- § 2:95 —Key concepts for good human relations
- § 2:96 —Willingness to encounter
- § 2:97 —Being open and honest with feelings: Opening dialogue
- § 2:98 Being open and honest with feelings: Dealing with fear
- § 2:99 Being open and honest with feelings: Creating passion
- § 2:100 Willingness and ability to listen and understand
- § 2:101 Knowing who you are
- § 2:102 Accepting yourself
- § 2:103 —Being real
- § 2:104 —Willingness to get to know and understand others
- § 2:105 —Empathetic understanding
- § 2:106 —Respecting others
- § 2:107 —Being able to get and give feedback
- § 2:108 —Ability to challenge and be challenged
- § 2:109 —Talking and relating in the here and now
- § 2:110 —Talking like a human being
- § 2:111 —Human trial lawyers at work
- § 2:112 Voir dire—Victor bergman
- § 2:113 Voir dire—William Colson
- § 2:114 Voir dire—Phillip Corboy
- § 2:115 Voir dire—Gerry Spence
- § 2:116 Opening statement—Scott Baldwin
- § 2:117 Opening statement—Victor Bergman
- § 2:118 Opening statement—Melvin Block
- § 2:119 Opening statement—Phillip Corboy
- § 2:120 Opening statement—Bob Gibbins
- § 2:121 Opening statement—Herman Glaser
- § 2:122 Opening statement—Robert Habush
- § 2:123 Opening statement—Gerry Spence
- § 2:124 Direct examination
- § 2:125 Cross-examination
- § 2:126 Closing statement—Victor Bergman
- § 2:127 Closing statement—Melvin Block
- § 2:128 Closing statement—Robert Habush
- § 2:129 Closing statement—Peter Perlman
- § 2:130 Closing statement—Gerry Spence
- § 2:131 Conclusion III
- § 2:132 Judge background study
- § 2:133 Checklist
- § 2:134 Conclusion

CHAPTER 3 LAWYER CREDIBILITY

- § 3:1 Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 3:2	The constructivist nature of credibility assessments
§ 3:3	The general dimensions of credibility
§ 3:4	—Expertness—Lawyers
§ 3:5	—Expertness—Witnesses
§ 3:6	—Expertness—Choice
§ 3:7	—Trustworthiness—Lawyers
§ 3:8	—Trustworthiness—Loyalty to listeners
§ 3:9	—Trustworthiness—Witnesses
§ 3:10	Dynamism
§ 3:11	Individual dimensions of credibility
§ 3:12	Delivery and style
§ 3:13	Common sense arguments
§ 3:14	Jury studies on credibility
§ 3:15	—Method
§ 3:16	—Case one
§ 3:17	—Case two
§ 3:18	—Case three
§ 3:19	—Case four
§ 3:20	—Results of juror study
§ 3:21	—Juror studies in medical negligence cases
§ 3:22	—Method
§ 3:23	—Case 1—Description
§ 3:24	—Venue
§ 3:25	—Juror perceptions
§ 3:26	—Implications
§ 3:27	Case 2—Description
§ 3:28	Case 2—Venue
§ 3:29	—Juror perceptions
§ 3:30	—Implications
§ 3:31	—Case 3—Description
§ 3:32	—Venue
§ 3:33	—Juror perceptions
§ 3:34	—Implications
§ 3:35	—Case 4—Description
§ 3:36	—Venue
§ 3:37	—Juror perceptions
§ 3:38	—Implications
§ 3:39	—Case 5—Description
§ 3:40	—Venue
§ 3:41	—Juror perceptions
§ 3:42	—Implications
§ 3:43	—Overall implications
§ 3:44	General studies on credibility

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

- § 3:45 —Dimensions of credibility
- § 3:46 — —How credibility assessments are formed
- § 3:47 — —Attitudes based on direct behavioral experience
- § 3:48 — —Delayed increase in credibility
- § 3:49 — —The effect of repetition on credibility
- § 3:50 — —Two sided messages vs. number of positive arguments
- § 3:51 —Personal style of the attorney
- § 3:52 — —Lawyers who care about their cases
- § 3:53 — —Inspiring a shared vision and encouraging the heart
- § 3:54 — —Lawyers' use of "immediacy" talk
- § 3:55 — —Disclosing negative information
- § 3:56 —Background and demographics of jurors
- § 3:57 — —How "denial" affects attitudes
- § 3:58 — —Effect of ethnicity on juror attitudes
- § 3:59 — —Effect of gender on juror attitudes
- § 3:60 Witness credibility—Attention to detail
- § 3:61 Witness credibility—Attention to detail—Confidence of witness testimony as an indicator of credibility
- § 3:62 — —Confidence of expert witnesses
- § 3:63 — —Witness consistency
- § 3:64 — —Types of inconsistent statements that affect credibility
- § 3:65 — —Effect of post event interactions on witness recall
- § 3:66 — —Effect of expert testimony on jurors
- § 3:67 Witness credibility—Attention to detail—Credibility of evidence
- § 3:68 —Conclusion

CHAPTER 4 PROFILING JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC JURY SELECTION

- § 4:1 Introduction
- § 4:2 Identifying the issues upon which profiling jurors will be based
- § 4:3 Developing juror profiles prior to trial
- § 4:4 Profiling jurors on the basis of the similarity factor
- § 4:5 Profiling jurors on the basis of juror background
- § 4:6 —Use of background information to develop profiles prior to trial
- § 4:7 —Use of voir dire background information
- § 4:8 —Juror background—Pain and suffering
- § 4:9 Profiling jurors based on what is important or salient in their lives
- § 4:10 Profiling jurors on the basis of personality types
- § 4:11 The authoritarian personality

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:12	—Background of the authoritarian personality
§ 4:13	—Importance of identifying the authoritarian personality when profiling jurors
§ 4:14	—The authoritarian juror—Plaintiff versus defendant
§ 4:15	Profiling the authoritarian juror
§ 4:16	General juror profile information
§ 4:17	Use of trial consultants
§ 4:18	Jury services
§ 4:19	—Jury research and jury service duties checklist
§ 4:20	—Jury service hiring checklist
§ 4:21	Phantom juries
§ 4:22	Effectiveness of trial consultants
§ 4:23	Providing information
§ 4:24	—Surveys designed to determine pretrial prejudice
§ 4:25	—Surveys designed to determine juror attitudes about specific trial issues
§ 4:26	—Use of focus groups
§ 4:27	Assisting with jury selection
§ 4:28	Providing feedback to the trial attorney about the effectiveness of trial presentation options
§ 4:29	—Reframing evidence and testimony in understandable terms
§ 4:30	—Providing feedback to witness about courtroom demeanor and Appearance
§ 4:31	Preparing video evidence and visual aids
§ 4:32	—Use of mock trial presentations
§ 4:33	—Jury monitoring during the trial
§ 4:34	—Posttrial jury interviews
§ 4:35	Sample comprehensive analysis for jury selection in a medical malpractice case
§ 4:36	Lifestyle and personality indices
§ 4:37	—Urban as opposed to rural factors
§ 4:38	—Nonmanagement as opposed to management
§ 4:39	—Younger and better-educated jurors as opposed to older, less-educated jurors
§ 4:40	—Better-educated as opposed to less-educated jurors
§ 4:41	—Nonsmokers or ex-smokers
§ 4:42	—Persons who exercise regularly
§ 4:43	—Persons who deal with abstract concepts
§ 4:44	Persons who believe that people, as opposed to fate, control what happens in their lives
§ 4:45	—Nonauthoritarians
§ 4:46	—Use of the lifestyle and personality indices
§ 4:47	Juror experiences related to case issues

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

- § 4:48 Relationship with primary care physician
- § 4:49 —Do the jurors have a personal physician they can identify?
- § 4:50 —How the doctor was selected
- § 4:51 —Have they seen their doctor lately?
- § 4:52 —Ask jurors to describe their relationships with their doctors
- § 4:53 —Have any of the jurors ever questioned the advice that their doctor has given?
- § 4:54 —Have any of the jurors ever changed doctors and why?
- § 4:55 —Have any of the jurors ever been referred by their doctor to another specialist?
- § 4:56 —What are juror experiences with their own medical records?
- § 4:57 —Favorable indicators for jurors
- § 4:58 Experience with chronic illness
- § 4:59 —Are jurors or members of their families being treated for chronic medical problems?
- § 4:60 Perceptions of medical costs and how they should relate to medical care
- § 4:61 Beliefs about doctors, their role in society, and their motivations
- § 4:62 Personal health habits
- § 4:63 Experiences with, and beliefs about, cancer
- § 4:64 Conclusion II
- § 4:65 Jury selection in a product liability case
- § 4:66 Lifestyle and personality indices
- § 4:67 —Urban versus rural
- § 4:68 —Nonmanagement versus management
- § 4:69 —Workers, laborers, and union members versus management
- § 4:70 —Younger, better-educated versus older, less-educated
- § 4:71 —Better-educated versus less-educated
- § 4:72 —Consumer oriented
- § 4:73 —Persons who deal with abstract concepts
- § 4:74 —Persons who discount predestination
- § 4:75 —Nonauthoritarians
- § 4:76 —Personal rights versus preservation of the system
- § 4:77 Relationship with the manufacturing industry
- § 4:78 —Consumer loyalty
- § 4:79 —Persons who own stock in the defendant corporation
- § 4:80 —Persons who own stock in other manufacturing, retail, or wholesale corporations
- § 4:81 —Persons who have supervised in the manufacturing industry

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:82	—Persons who have supervised in retail or distributing businesses
§ 4:83	Experience with the defendant and product in question
§ 4:84	—Persons who have worked for the defendant
§ 4:85	—Persons who have purchased or used the product in question
§ 4:86	—Persons who refuse to use the product in question
§ 4:87	—Persons who have had or heard of bad experiences with the product in question
§ 4:88	—Long-time users of the product in question
§ 4:89	—Long-time users of defendant's other products
§ 4:90	—Similar products manufactured by others
§ 4:91	Experiences with product liability claims
§ 4:92	—Persons who have been injured through use of a product
§ 4:93	—Attitudes toward cause of product-related accident
§ 4:94	—Persons who have made a complaint about a product
§ 4:95	—Persons who have filed a product liability claim
§ 4:96	—Persons who have worked for a company that has been sued in a product liability claim
§ 4:97	—Persons who have been involved in the defense of a product liability claim
§ 4:98	—Persons who have witnessed an accident caused by use of a product
§ 4:99	—Persons who have been a witness in a product liability claim
§ 4:100	—Persons who have been otherwise involved in a product liability claim
§ 4:101	Experiences as consumers
§ 4:102	—Persons who belong to consumer groups or subscribe to consumer publications
§ 4:103	—Persons who belong to environmental, or personal or civil rights groups
§ 4:104	—Persons who research product safety
§ 4:105	—Persons who read Consumer Reports
§ 4:106	—Persons who have refused to use a product
§ 4:107	—Persons who choose products on basis of safety
§ 4:108	—Persons who read product labels
§ 4:109	—Persons who reject a product on basis of a label
§ 4:110	—Attitudes toward product liability claims
§ 4:111	—Persons who feel that product liability claims are detrimental
§ 4:112	—Persons who feel that manufacturers should not be held liable for defective products
§ 4:113	Attitudes toward manufacturer responsibility

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

- § 4:114 —Attitudes toward manufacturer's duty to exercise ordinary care
- § 4:115 —Attitudes toward manufacturer's duty to design reasonably safe products
- § 4:116 —Attitudes toward manufacturer's duty to test and inspect
- § 4:117 —Attitudes toward duty to warn
- § 4:118 —Attitudes toward duty to provide adequate warnings
- § 4:119 —Attitudes toward manufacturer's duty to predict foreseeable uses
- § 4:120 —Attitudes toward manufacturer's duty to stand behind its products
- § 4:121 —Attitudes toward manufacturer's accountability
- § 4:122 Attitudes about the role of manufacturing corporations
- § 4:123 Attitudes toward holding a seller or distributor liable for a defective product
- § 4:124 Attitudes toward the cost of safety features
- § 4:125 Persons with technical experience
- § 4:126 Attitudes toward factual evidence of plaintiff
- § 4:127 Favorable jurors for the plaintiff
- § 4:128 Favorable jurors for the defendant
- § 4:129 Conclusion III
- § 4:130 Use of professional jury consultants in a child injury case
- § 4:131 —Jury selection checklist in child injury/death case
- § 4:132 Jury questionnaire in high-technology case
- § 4:133 Conclusion

CHAPTER 5 VOIR DIRE

- § 5:1 Introduction
- § 5:2 Lawyers' introductions
- § 5:3 Explanation of the purpose of voir dire
- § 5:4 Gathering demographic and background information about jurors
- § 5:5 —General background information
- § 5:6 —Information relevant to participants in the case or specific issues in the case
- § 5:7 —Strategies for getting at background information
- § 5:8 Discovering juror attitudes and beliefs
- § 5:9 Transcript of voir dire of juror "over invested" in case
- § 5:10 —Attitudes about general aspects of the case
- § 5:11 —Attitudes about specific aspects of the case
- § 5:12 —Strategies for getting at juror attitudes and beliefs
- § 5:13 Setting the tone for the trial
- § 5:14 Introducing concepts and evidence: Conditioning the jury

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5:15	Obtaining juror commitment on relevant issues
§ 5:16	Strategic use of language to create connotations favorable to their clients and witnesses
§ 5:17	Presenting arguments
§ 5:18	Refuting arguments made by the defendant
§ 5:19	Enhancing credibility
§ 5:20	—Linking themselves with the common values of the jurors
§ 5:21	—Aligning themselves with truth, justice, the court, and the law
§ 5:22	—Presenting themselves in an honest personal manner
§ 5:23	Establishing jury purpose
§ 5:24	Voir dire management guidelines
§ 5:25	Pretrial publicity and prejudice
§ 5:26	Conclusion
Appendix 5-1 Voir Dire	

CHAPTER 6 OPENING STATEMENT

§ 6:1	Introduction
§ 6:2	Goals of opening statement
§ 6:3	Narrative structure—Introduction
§ 6:4	Narrative structure—Body of the opening statement
§ 6:5	—Conclusion of statement
§ 6:6	Strategic use of language
§ 6:7	—Personalizing the parties
§ 6:8	—Proving damages
§ 6:9	Themes
§ 6:10	—The defendant was negligent—Claims of liability
§ 6:11	—That due to defendant's negligence plaintiff suffered serious permanent injuries and damages
§ 6:12	—That plaintiff was a good or noble person and defendant behaved improperly
§ 6:13	That the issues in the case are simple
§ 6:14	Tone
§ 6:15	Creating jury purpose
§ 6:16	Bases of authority
§ 6:17	Introducing evidence and concepts—Conditioning the jury for things to come
§ 6:18	Enhancing credibility
§ 6:19	Juxtaposing the good acts of the plaintiff with the bad acts of defendant
§ 6:20	Presenting themselves as champions of justice and defendants as subverters of justice
§ 6:21	Linking themselves and their clients with the jurors

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

- § 6:22 Presenting their clients and witnesses as experienced
- § 6:23 Previewing arguments
- § 6:24 Refuting defendant's arguments
- § 6:25 Opening statement in high-technology/computer piracy case
- § 6:26 —Illustrative beginning for high-technology/computer piracy opening statement
- § 6:27 Opening statement of plaintiff in personal injury case
- § 6:28 Bench trial and opening statements
- § 6:29 —Opening statement by plaintiff's attorney
- § 6:30 —Defendant's opening statement
- § 6:31 Conclusion
- Appendix 6-1 Opening Statement
- Appendix 6-2 Opening Statement in Personal Injury Case
- Appendix 6-3 Opening Statement in a Medical Negligence Case
- Appendix 6-4 Opening Statement in Obstetrical Negligence Case
- Appendix 6-5 Opening Statement in Copyright Action
- Appendix 6-6 Opening Statement in Bench Trial on Presidential Election Litigation by Plaintiff
- Appendix 6-7 Plaintiff's Opening Statement in Tobacco Litigation - Excerpt
- Appendix 6-8 Defendant's Opening Statement in Tobacco Litigation

CHAPTER 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- § 7:1 Introduction
- § 7:2 Influence of technology
- § 7:3 Illustrative direct examination
- § 7:4 Use of photogrammetry
- § 7:5 Plaintiffs or their representatives
- § 7:6 —Structure
- § 7:7 —Background information
- § 7:8 —Use of background information to personalize the plaintiff
- § 7:9 —Use of background information to establish the plaintiff's credibility
- § 7:10 —Use of background information to establish the plaintiff's good health prior to the injury
- § 7:11 —Background information about health—Establishing credibility—Disclosing any prior health problems to the jury
- § 7:12 —Background information about the instrumentalities or things involved in the incident causing injury

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 7:13	How the injury occurred
§ 7:14	Nature and extent of damages
§ 7:15	—Initial injuries
§ 7:16	—Progression of the injuries after the accident
§ 7:17	Loss of earning capacity
§ 7:18	—Model proof of loss of earnings
§ 7:19	—Treating physician’s testimony
§ 7:20	—Sample office manager testimony to establish plaintiff’s loss of earnings
§ 7:21	Concluding questions
§ 7:22	Use of language
§ 7:23	Form of questions
§ 7:24	Direct examination of expert witness challenging eyewitness identification
§ 7:25	Fact witnesses with respect to the occurrence—Structure and background
§ 7:26	Fact witnesses with respect to the occurrence—Credibility
§ 7:27	Illustrative testimony establishing cooperation agreement between witness and government
§ 7:28	—Descriptions of the instrumentalities or scene involved in the accident
§ 7:29	Use of charts and graphs
§ 7:30	Illustrative testimony using chart
§ 7:31	—Proving method of preparation of summaries
§ 7:32	—How the injury occurred
§ 7:33	Opinion on proximate cause of the injuries
§ 7:34	—Concluding questions
§ 7:35	—Use of language
§ 7:36	—Form of questions
§ 7:37	Fact witnesses with respect to injuries and damages
§ 7:38	—Structure
§ 7:39	—Background
§ 7:40	—Nature and extent of damages
§ 7:41	—Nonstandard or alternative treatment expenses
§ 7:42	—Home care nursing services
§ 7:43	—Rehabilitative physical therapy
§ 7:44	—Use of language
§ 7:45	—Form of questions
§ 7:46	Testimony of other expert witnesses
§ 7:47	Expert witnesses
§ 7:48	Relevant issues in expert witness selection
§ 7:49	Checklist for selecting experts
§ 7:50	Direct examination of plaintiff’s expert in personal injury suit against [cigarette manufacturer]

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

- § 7:51 —Structure
- § 7:52 —Background
- § 7:53 —Checklist for qualifications of expert witnesses
- § 7:54 —Background information used to establish expertness
- § 7:55 —Background information used to establish trustworthiness
- § 7:56 —Preparation
- § 7:57 Checklist for preparation of experts for deposition
- § 7:58 Checklist for preparation of experts for deposition—Exclusion of expert testimony
- § 7:59 Checklist for preparation of experts for deposition—Errors in admission and exclusion of expert testimony
- § 7:60 Cause of injury, negligence, nature and extent of damages
- § 7:61 Sample medical testimony as to permanent physical injury and pain
- § 7:62 —Lack of malingering and lack of need for financial gain
- § 7:63 —Testimony of physician as to record entry concerning cause of injury as relevant to medical diagnosis and treatment
- § 7:64 Use of language
- § 7:65 Form of questions
- § 7:66 Judge interrupts direct examination
- § 7:67 —Regaining control of questioning
- § 7:68 Illustrative direct examination of programmer in software infringement case
- § 7:69 Conclusion

CHAPTER 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- § 8:1 Introduction
- § 8:2 Destroying credibility
- § 8:3 —Illustrative cross-examination diminishing credibility
- § 8:4 Attacking expertness
- § 8:5 —Training and experience
- § 8:6 —Validity of assumptions
- § 8:7 —Trustworthiness
- § 8:8 Controverting defense arguments or positions
- § 8:9 Eliciting facts in support of plaintiff's case
- § 8:10 Rehabilitation of a witness including the defendant
- § 8:11 Witness rehabilitation and ineffective assistance of counsel
- § 8:12 Strategies for questioning
- § 8:13 —Leading questions
- § 8:14 —Admonition of witnesses
- § 8:15 —Narrow questions
- § 8:16 —Depositions and exhibits

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:17 Use of transcripts
- § 8:18 —Development of a few strong points
- § 8:19 —Adherence to the laws of primacy and recency
- § 8:20 —Cross-examination of person who prepared summary of chart
- § 8:21 Strategic use of language
- § 8:22 Cross-examination of eyewitness
- § 8:23 Adverse witnesses and cross-examination
- § 8:24 Party called as witness by adverse party
- § 8:25 —Testimony of defendant's executive office [adverse witness] in trade dress infringement litigation
- § 8:26 Three rules of cross-examination
- § 8:27 Illustrative cross-examination
- § 8:28 Cross-examination of plaintiff's expert witness
- § 8:29 Conclusion

CHAPTER 9 CLOSING STATEMENT

- § 9:1 Introduction
- § 9:2 Structure
- § 9:3 Tone
- § 9:4 Themes
- § 9:5 —The defendant was negligent
- § 9:6 —Credibility: Plaintiff's v defendant's witnesses
- § 9:7 —Credibility: Plaintiff v defendant
- § 9:8 —Credibility: The pursuit of justice
- § 9:9 —The plaintiff is not looking for sympathy
- § 9:10 —The issues are simple and the jury is competent
- § 9:11 —Rectifying wrongs
- § 9:12 Jury purpose
- § 9:13 —To judge the evidence
- § 9:14 —To judge the parties and their actions
- § 9:15 —To send a message to the defendant: Deter future actions
- § 9:16 —To render justice
- § 9:17 —To return money damages
- § 9:18 —Itemization of the various elements
- § 9:19 —You cannot restore the plaintiff's health
- § 9:20 —This is the plaintiff's only chance to ask for damages
- § 9:21 Reminding jurors of their voir dire commitments
- § 9:22 Strategic use of language
- § 9:23 —Use of words and labels to describe and to create dramatic impact
- § 9:24 —Use of examples and figurative language to create meaning

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

- § 9:25 Communication errors and oversights to avoid
- § 9:26 Principles of summation; use of exhibits
- § 9:27 —Use of quotations and anecdotes
- § 9:28 —Points saved for closing argument
- § 9:29 —Affirmative persuasion
- § 9:30 —Using opposing counsel's mistakes
- § 9:31 —Maintain eye contact
- § 9:32 —Length of argument
- § 9:33 Illustrative closing argument for plaintiff in child death case
- § 9:34 Conclusion
- Appendix 9-1 Closing Argument to the Jury
- Appendix 9-2 Closing statement in dental malpractice case
- Appendix 9-3 Closing argument in Oklahoma City bombing trial
- Appendix 9-4 Closing argument in US v. Microsoft
- Appendix 9-5 Closing argument in Discrimination Case
- Appendix 9-6 Closing Statement of Defendant in Tobacco Litigation

CHAPTER 10 CLOSING STATEMENT

- § 10:1 Introduction
- § 10:2 Tone

I. THEMES

- § 10:3 The defendant was negligent
- § 10:4 Credibility: Plaintiff's v. defendant's witnesses
- § 10:5 Credibility: Plaintiff v. Defendant
- § 10:6 Credibility: The pursuit of justice
- § 10:7 The issues are simple and the jury is competent
- § 10:8 Rectifying wrongs

II. JURY PURPOSE

- § 10:9 To judge the parties and their actions
- § 10:10 This is the plaintiff's only chance to ask for damages
- § 10:11 Reminding jurors of their voir dire commitments

III. STRATEGIC USE OF LANGUAGE

- § 10:12 Use of words and labels to describe and create dramatic impact
- § 10:13 Use of examples and figurative language to create meaning

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IV. BRAIN INJURY CASE

- § 10:14 Introduction
- § 10:15 Tone
- § 10:16 Themes
- § 10:17 —Common sense
- § 10:18 —Credibility
- § 10:19 —Credibility: Plaintiff v. Defendant
- § 10:20 —Plaintiff's witnesses v. defendant's witnesses
- § 10:21 —Rectifying wrongs
- § 10:22 Shaping juror purpose
- § 10:23 —Judging the evidence
- § 10:24 —Extent of the injuries and the amount of the damages
- § 10:25 Strategic use of language
- § 10:26 —Use of words and labels to describe and to create dramatic impact
- § 10:27 —Use of examples and figurative language to create meaning

Appendix 10-1 Closing Statement in Obstetrical Negligence Case

CHAPTER 11 A STRUCTURE FOR SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUE

- § 11:1 The structure for successful trial technique
- § 11:2 Implications
- § 11:3 Bad form in trial and its consequences