

Index

ABSTRACT CONCEPTS

Jury selection, § 4:73

ACCEPTING YOURSELF

Human aspect of trial work, impression formation, § 2:102

ACCIDENTS CAUSED BY USE OF PRODUCT

Jury selection, § 4:98

ACCOUNTABILITY

Credibility, § 3:38, 3:43

Manufacturer responsibility, § 4:121

ADVERSE WITNESSES

Cross-examination. See CROSS-EXAMINATION

ALEXANDER, RICHARD

Case studied, description of, § 10:14 et seq.

ALTERNATIVE MEDICAL TREATMENT

Direct examination, expenses, § 7:41

ANALYSIS

Examples, analysis and metaphors.
See IMPRESSION FORMATION

ANECDOTAL EVIDENCE

Impression formation, § 2:26

ANECDOTES

Closing statement, § 9:27

APPEARANCE OF NONINJURY

Impression formation, § 2:30

ARGUMENT PRESENTATION

Impression formation, § 2:91

ATTITUDES

Cause of product-related accident, § 4:93

Defective product responsibility, sellers and distributors, § 4:123

Factual evidence of plaintiff, § 4:126

Foreseeable uses prediction, § 4:119

Juror attitudes concerning specific aspects of case, § 5:11

Ordinary care, § 4:114

Product liability claims, § 4:110

Reasonable safe products design, § 4:115

Role of manufacturing corporations, § 4:122

Safety feature cost, § 4:124

Standing behind products, § 4:121

Testing and inspection, § 4:116

Warnings, § 4:117, 4:118

See also CREDIBILITY

ATTORNEYS

See TRIAL ATTORNEYS

BACKGROUND

Judge background study, impression formation, § 2:132

Jurors, credibility, § 3:37, 3:38, 3:42, 3:56

Witnesses, credibility, § 3:30

BALDWIN, SCOTT

Opening statement, impression formation, § 2:116

BEING HUMAN

Talking, impression formation, § 2:110

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

BEING REAL

Human aspects of trial work,
impression formation, § 2:103

BENCH TRIAL

See OPENING STATEMENT

BERGMAN, VICTOR

Closing statement, impression
formation, § 2:126

Opening statement, impression
formation, § 2:117

Voir dire, impression formation,
§ 2:112

BETTER-EDUCATED VERSUS LESS-EDUCATED

Jury selection, § 4:71

BIAS AGAINST SOFT TISSUE INJURY

Defendant's expert witness, impres-
sion formation, § 2:28

Impression formation, § 2:24

BIBLE

Examples, analysis and metaphors
identification, § 2:66

BLOCK, MELVIN

Closing statement, impression
formation, § 2:127

Opening statement, impression
formation, § 2:118

CASE ISSUES, JURORS' EXPERIENCES OF

Direct and indirect, § 3:47

Jury selection, § 4:47

CHALLENGING AND BEING CHALLENGED

Human aspect of trial work, impres-
sion formation, § 2:108

CHARACTER, ASSAULT ON DEFENDANT'S

Closing statement, § 10:5

CHARTS AND GRAPHS

Cross-examination of person
responsible for summary of
chart, § 8:20

Direct examination, § 7:29, 7:30

CHILD INJURY/DEATH CASE

Closing argument in child death
case, § 9:33

Jury selection. See Jury selection,
profiling jurors for systematic
selection

CHOICES BY LAWYERS

Credibility, § 3:34

CHRONIC ILLNESS

Jury selection, § 4:58, 4:59

CIVIL RIGHTS GROUP MEMBERS

Jury selection, § 4:103

CLARITY OF EVIDENCE

Credibility, § 3:43

CLOSING ARGUMENT

Generally, § 9:1 et seq., 10:1 et
seq.

Affirmative persuasion, § 9:29

Anecdotes, § 9:27

Credibility, enhancing of
comparing parties' character,
§ 10:19

comparing parties' witnesses,
§ 10:20

Damages, time line example,
§ 10:27

Dental malpractice case, App 9-2

Discrimination case, App 9-5

Errors and oversights to avoid,
§ 9:25

Exhibits, use of, § 9:26

Eye contact, maintaining, § 9:31

Impression formation. See
IMPRESSION FORMATION

Jury purpose

judgment of parties and their
actions, § 10:9

INDEX

CLOSING ARGUMENT—Cont'd

- Jury purpose—Cont'd
 - plaintiff's only chance to ask for damages, § 10:10
 - shaping, § 10:22 et seq.
 - voir dire commitments, § 10:11
- Length of argument, § 9:32
- Mistakes
 - errors and oversights to avoid, § 9:25
 - opposing counsel's mistakes, using, § 9:30
- Obstetrical malpractice case, App 10-1
- Oklahoma City bombing trial, App 9-3
- Points saved for closing argument, § 9:28
- Quotations, § 9:27
- Strategic use of language
 - creating dramatic impact with words and labels, § 10:12
 - examples and figurative language to create meaning, § 10:13
 - figurative language, § 10:27
 - words and labels to create dramatic impact, § 10:12
- Themes. See THEMES
- Tobacco litigation, closing argument of defendant, App 9-6
- Tone, § 10:2
- US v. Microsoft case, App 9-4

COERCION

- Strategies for creation of meaning, § 2:19

COLOR

- Warnings and instructions, § 2:45

COLSON, WILLIAM

- Voir dire, impression formation, § 2:113

COMBATING BIAS

- Soft tissue injuries, impression formation, § 2:25

COMPETENT JURY

- Themes, closing statement, § 10:7

COMPREHENSION OF EXAMPLES, ANALYSIS AND METAPHORS

- Impression formation. See IMPRESSION FORMATION

COMPUTER PIRACY CASE

- Opening statement. See OPENING STATEMENT

COMPUTER SOFTWARE INFRINGEMENT CASE

- Direct examination of programmer, § 7:66

CONCEPTUALIZATION OF CASE FACTS

- Impression formation, § 2:86

CONCLUSION

- Voir dire, § 5:26

CONCLUSION II

- Impression formation, § 2:53

CONCLUSION III

- Impression formation, § 2:131
- Jury selection, § 4:129

CONDENSATION

- Examples, analysis and metaphors processes, § 2:62

CONFOUNDING VARIABLES

- Credibility, § 3:42

CONSPICUOUS WORDS

- Warnings and instructions, § 2:43

CONSULTANTS

- Jury selection. See JURY SELECTION, PROFILING JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC SELECTION

CONSUMER PUBLICATIONS

- Jury selection, § 4:102

CONSUMER REPORT READERS

Jury selection, § 4:105

CONSUMERS

Advocacy and testing groups, credibility, § 3:67

Experience. See JURY SELECTION, PROFILING JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC SELECTION

Loyalty, § 4:78

Orientation, § 4:72

CONTROVERSIAL DRUGS OR TREATMENT

Credibility, § 3:43

CONTROVERSY

Credibility, § 3:38

COPYRIGHT ACTION

Opening statement, App 6-5

CORBOY, PHILLIP

Opening statement, impression formation, § 2:119

Voir dire, impression formation, § 2:114

COST OF SAFETY FEATURES

Jury selections, § 4:124

CREDIBILITY

Generally, § 3:1 et seq.

Age of speaker, effect, § 3:63

Case 1

description, § 3:23

implications, § 3:26

juror perceptions, § 3:25

venue, § 3:24

Case 2

description, § 3:27

implications, § 3:30

juror perceptions, § 3:29

venue, § 3:28

Case 3

description, § 3:31

implications, § 3:34

juror perceptions, § 3:33

CREDIBILITY—Cont'd

Case 3—Cont'd

venue, § 3:32

Case 4

description, § 3:35

implications, § 3:38

juror perceptions, § 3:37

venue, § 3:36

Case 5

description, § 3:39

implications, § 3:42

juror perceptions, § 3:41

venue, § 3:40

Closing statement, brain injury case example, § 10:18 et seq.

Common sense arguments, § 3:13

Constructivist nature, § 3:2

Consumer groups, § 3:67

Cross-examination destroying, illustrative cross-examination diminishing credibility, § 8:3

Delivery and style, § 3:12

Dimensions of credibility

generally, § 3:3-3:9

choice, expertness, § 3:6

expertness, § 3:4-3:6

lawyers, expertness, § 3:4

lawyers, trustworthiness, § 3:7

listeners, loyalty to, § 3:8

trustworthiness, § 3:7-3:9

witnesses, expertness, § 3:5

witnesses, trustworthiness, § 3:9

Disclosure of negative information, § 3:55

Dynamism, § 3:10

General studies, § 3:44 et seq.

Immediacy talk, § 3:54

Impression formation, creating meaning for trial, § 2:9

Individual dimensions of credibility, § 3:11

Introduction to chapter, § 3:1

Juror variables

background and demographics, § 3:56

INDEX

CREDIBILITY—Cont'd

Juror variables—Cont'd

denial behavior, § 3:57

ethnicity, § 3:58

gender, § 3:59

Jury studies

generally, § 3:14-3:26

enumerated cases, § 3:16-3:19

implications, § 3:26

medical negligence cases, generally, § 3:21

method, juror studies in medical negligence cases, § 3:22

perceptions of juror, § 3:25

results of juror study, § 3:20

venue, § 3:24

Measurement scales, § 3:45

Opinions, how formed, § 3:46, 3:68

delayed increases in credibility, § 3:48

direct/indirect behavioral experiences, § 3:47

repetition, effect, § 3:49

Overall implications, § 3:43

Passive voice, effect, § 3:62

Personal style of lawyer, § 3:51 et seq.

care about case expressed, § 3:52

encouraging juror's hearts, § 3:53

formality, effect, § 3:52

personal disclosure, effect, § 3:52

shared vision, inspiring, § 3:53

Sexual abuse issues, gender of factfinder, effect, § 3:59

Theme. See THEME

Two-sided arguments, effectiveness, § 3:50

Witnesses

confidence level, § 3:61, 3:62

consistency, § 3:63, 3:64

detail in testimony, § 3:60

experts, § 3:62, 3:66

recall of events, factors affecting, § 3:65

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Generally, § 8:1 et seq.

Adherence to laws of primacy and recency, use of transcripts, § 8:19

Admonition of witness, strategies, § 8:14

Adverse party, party called as witness by

generally, § 8:24

trade dress infringement litigation, testimony of defendant's executive office adverse witness, § 8:25

Adverse witness

generally, § 8:23

adverse party, party called as witness by, above

Attacking expertness, § 8:4-8:7

Chart, cross-examination of person responsible for summary of chart, § 8:20

Controverting defensive arguments and positions, § 8:8

Credibility, illustrative cross-examination diminishing, § 8:3

Defendant's expert, § 2:39

Depositions and exhibits, strategies, § 8:15

Destroying credibility, § 8:2, 8:3

Development of few strong points, use of transcripts, § 8:18

Eliciting facts in support of plaintiff's case, § 8:9

Eyewitness, § 8:22

Illustrative cross-examination, § 8:27

Impression formation, § 2:125

Leading questions, strategies, § 8:13

Rehabilitation of witness, § 8:10, 8:11

Strategic language use, § 8:21

Strategies, § 8:12-8:16

Three rules, § 8:26

CROSS-EXAMINATION—Cont'd

- Training and experience, attacking expertness, § 8:5
- Transcripts, use of, § 8:17-8:20
- Trustworthiness, attacking expertness, § 8:7
- Validity of assumptions, attacking expertness, § 8:6

CURRENT EVENTS

- Examples, analysis and metaphors identification, § 2:73
- Timeline example, damages argument, § 10:27

DAMAGE

- Likelihood, § 2:50
- Nature and severity, § 2:49
- Warning language, § 2:48

DAMAGE PROOF

- Impression formation, § 2:92

DATA SHEETS

- Warnings and instructions, § 2:42

DAY IN THE LIFE OF FILM

- Credibility, § 3:37

DEATH CASES

- Wrongful death. See WRONGFUL DEATH

DECEDENTS CONDITION

- Credibility, § 3:33

DEFECTIVE PRODUCTS

- Distributor liability, § 4:123
- Manufacturer liability, § 4:112

DEFENDANT AS NEGLIGENT

- Themes, closing statement, § 10:3

**DEFENDANT'S EXPERT
WITNESS AS MORE
EXPERIENCED TESTIFIER**

- Impression formation, § 2:29

DEFINITIONS

- Examples, analysis and metaphors comprehension, § 2:56

DENTAL MALPRACTICE CASE

- Closing argument, App 9-2

DESCRIPTION

- Cases 1-5, credibility, § 3:23, 3:27, 3:31, 3:35, 3:39

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- Generally, § 7:1 et seq.
- Alternative or nonstandard treatment expenses, § 7:41
- Charts and graphs, § 7:29, 7:30
- Concluding questions, § 7:21
- Cooperation agreement between witness and government, § 7:27
- Damages nature and extent, § 7:14-7:16
- Expert witnesses
 - checklist
 - deposition preparation, § 7:57
 - qualifications of expert, § 7:53
 - selection process, § 7:49
 - cigarette manufacturer, direct examination of plaintiff's expert in action against, § 7:50
 - deposition preparation, checklist, § 7:57
 - eyewitness identification, challenge, § 7:24
 - loss of earning capacity
 - office manager, § 7:20
 - physician, § 7:19
 - other expert witnesses, § 7:46
 - qualifications of expert, checklist, § 7:53
 - sample medical testimony as to permanent physical injury and pain, § 7:59, 7:60, 7:61
 - selection process
 - checklist, § 7:49
 - relevant issues, § 7:48
- Fact questions with respect to injuries and damages
 - generally, § 7:37-7:45
 - background, § 7:39

INDEX

DIRECT EXAMINATION—Cont'd

- Fact questions with respect to
 - injuries and damages—Cont'd
 - form of questions, § 7:45
 - home care nursing expenses, § 7:42
 - language use, § 7:44
 - nature and extent of damages, § 7:40
 - nonstandard or alternative treatment expenses, § 7:41
 - rehabilitative physical therapy, § 7:43
 - structure, § 7:38
- Fact witnesses with respect to
 - occurrence, § 7:25, 7:26
- Form of questions, § 7:23
- Home care nursing services, § 7:42
- Illustrative direct examination
 - generally, § 7:3
 - cooperation agreement between witness and government, § 7:27, 7:28
- Impression formation, § 2:124
- Initial injuries, damages nature and extent, § 7:15
- Judge interrupting
 - generally, § 7:66
 - regaining control of questioning, § 7:67
- Language use, § 7:22
- Loss of earning capacity
 - generally, § 7:17
 - expert witnesses
 - office manager, § 7:20
 - physician, § 7:19
 - model proof of loss of earnings, § 7:18
 - physician's testimony, § 7:19
- Permanent physical injury and pain, expert witnesses, § 7:59, 7:60, 7:61
- Photogrammetry, § 7:4
- Plaintiffs or their representatives
 - generally, § 7:5-7:12

DIRECT EXAMINATION—Cont'd

- Plaintiffs or their representatives
 - Cont'd
 - background information, § 7:7-7:12
 - credibility of plaintiff, background information, § 7:9
 - disclosure of prior health problems, background information, § 7:11
 - good health of plaintiff prior to injury, background information, § 7:10
 - how injury occurred, background information, § 7:13
 - instrumentalities or things involved in incident causing injury, background information, § 7:12
 - personalizing plaintiff, background information, § 7:8
 - structure, § 7:6
- Progression of injuries after accident, damages nature and extent, § 7:16
- Proximate cause of injuries, opinion on, § 7:33
 - generally, § 7:33-7:36
 - concluding questions, § 7:34
 - form of questions, § 7:36
 - language use, § 7:35
- Rehabilitative physical therapy, § 7:43
- Software infringement case, examination of programmer, § 7:68
- Summaries, proving method of preparation, § 7:31
- Technology, influence of, § 7:2

DISCRIMINATION

- Closing argument, App 9-5

DISTINCT PRINT STYLES

- Warnings and instructions, § 2:44

**DISTRIBUTOR LIABILITY FOR
DEFECTIVE PRODUCTS**

Jury selection, § 4:123

DRAMATIC IMPACT CREATION

Trial purpose description, § 2:85

DUTY TO PROVIDE WARNINGS

Manufacturer responsibility,
§ 4:117, 4:118

EARNING CAPACITY

See DIRECT EXAMINATION

EDUCATED JURORS

Jury selection, § 4:40-4:43

EMERGENCE OF PERCEPTION

Credibility, § 3:30

**EMERGENCY OR
LIFE-THREATENING
MEDICAL SITUATIONS**

Credibility, § 3:38, 3:43

EMOTIONAL COMPONENTS

Examples, analysis and metaphors
processes, § 2:60

EMPATHY

Human aspect of trial work, impres-
sion formation, § 2:105

Lawyer's, effect on credibility,
§ 3:52

**ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP
MEMBERS**

Jury selection, § 4:103

ETHICAL ISSUES

Strategies for creation of meaning,
§ 2:18

**EXAMPLES, ANALYSIS AND
METAPHORS**

Impression formation. See
IMPRESSION FORMATION

EXAMPLES, USE OF

Creating meaning, closing state-
ment, § 10:13

EXHIBITS

Closing statement, § 9:26

**EXPERIENCE WITH
DEFENDANT AND
PRODUCT IN QUESTION**

See JURY SELECTION, PROFIL-
ING JURORS FOR SYSTEM-
ATIC SELECTION

EXPERTNESS

Credibility, closing statement,
§ 10:4

EXPERT WITNESSES

Credibility, § 3:25, 3:29, 3:33,
3:37, 3:41, 3:43, 3:62, 3:66

Defendant's expert as more
experienced, cooperative, and
forceful testifier, § 2:38, 2:39

Direct examination. See DIRECT
EXAMINATION

Failure to warn cases, § 2:52

Impression formation, § 2:28

EYE CONTACT

Closing statement, § 9:31

EYEWITNESS

Cross-examination, § 8:22

Direct examination, § 7:24

FABLES

Examples, analysis and metaphors
identification, § 2:68

**FACTUAL EVIDENCE OF
PLAINTIFF, ATTITUDES
TOWARD**

Jury selection, § 4:126

FAILURE TO WARN CASES

Impression formation, § 2:52

FAMILIES

Examples, analysis and metaphors
identification, § 2:76

FAVORABLE JURORS

Defendant, § 4:128

Plaintiff, § 4:127

INDEX

FEEDBACK

Human aspect of trial work, impression formation, § 2:107

FEELINGS

Openness and honesty, impression formation, § 2:97-2:99

FEES

Experts, credibility, § 3:43

FIGURATIVE LANGUAGE

Closing argument
brain injury case, § 10:27
Creating meaning, closing statement, § 10:13
Impression formation. See
IMPRESSION FORMATION

FOLKLORE AND MYTHOLOGY

Examples, analysis and metaphors identification, § 2:70

FORESEEABLE USES PREDICTION

Manufacturer responsibility,
§ 4:119

FRIENDS

Examples, analysis and metaphors identification, § 2:77

GAMESMANSHIP

Credibility, § 3:33, 3:37

GETTING TO KNOW OTHERS

Human aspect of trial work, impression formation, § 2:104

GIBBINS, BOB

Opening statement, impression formation, § 2:120

GLASER, HERMAN

Opening statement, impression formation, § 2:121

GRAPHS

See CHARTS AND GRAPHS

HABUSH, ROBERT

Closing statement, impression formation, § 2:128
Opening statement, impression formation, § 2:122

HEALTH HABITS, PERSONAL

Jury selection, § 4:62

HEPARIN, PROPER USAGE OF

Credibility, § 3:37

HE SAID, SHE SAID ISSUES

Credibility, § 3:26, 3:41, 3:42

HISTORY

Examples, analysis and metaphors identification, § 2:69

HOME CARE NURSING SERVICES

Direct examination, § 7:42

HUMAN ASPECT OF TRIAL WORK

See IMPRESSION FORMATION

HUMAN TRIAL LAWYERS AT WORK

See IMPRESSION FORMATION

IDENTIFICATION BY EYEWITNESS

Direct examination, challenge,
§ 7:24

IDENTIFICATION OF EXAMPLES, ANALYSIS AND METAPHORS

Impression formation. See
IMPRESSION FORMATION

IMPLICATIONS

Cases 1-5, credibility, § 3:26, 3:30,
3:34, 3:38, 3:42
Overall implications, § 3:43

IMPRESSION FORMATION

Argument presentation, § 2:91
Checklists, § 2:87, 2:133

IMPRESSION FORMATION

—Cont'd

- Closing statement
 - Gerry Spence, § 2:130
 - Melvin Block, § 2:127
 - Peter Perlman, § 2:129
 - Robert Habush, § 2:128
 - Victor Bergman, § 2:126
- Conceptualization of case facts, § 2:86
- Conclusion III, § 2:131
- Courtroom persuasion, § 2:3
- Creating meaning for trial
 - generally, § 2:7-2:10
 - facts and law, § 2:10
 - juror purpose, § 2:8
 - personna and credibility, § 2:9
- Credibility
 - general studies, § 3:44-3:68
- Cross-examination, § 2:125
- Damage proof, § 2:92
- Defendant's expert, more
 - experienced, cooperative, and forceful testifier
 - generally, § 2:38
 - cross-examination, § 2:39
- Direct examination, § 2:124
- Examples, analysis and metaphors
 - comprehension, § 2:55
 - generally, § 2:55
 - definitions, § 2:56
- Examples, analysis and metaphors
 - identification
 - generally, § 2:64
 - Bible, § 2:66
 - current events, § 2:73
 - fables, § 2:68
 - families, § 2:76
 - folklore and mythology, § 2:70
 - friends, § 2:77
 - great speeches, § 2:72
 - history, § 2:69
 - life events, important, § 2:78
 - literature, § 2:65
 - movies, § 2:75

IMPRESSION FORMATION

—Cont'd

- Examples, analysis and metaphors
 - identification—Cont'd
 - philosophical writings, § 2:71
 - poetry, § 2:67
 - sports, § 2:74
- Examples, analysis and metaphors
 - processes
 - generally, § 2:57
 - condensation, § 2:62
 - emotional components, § 2:60
 - lines of reasoning, § 2:61
 - mirror of human thought, § 2:58
 - persuasive power, § 2:63
 - symbols for experience, § 2:59
- Examples, analysis and metaphors
 - trial use
 - generally, § 2:79
 - lawyer's internal guidance, § 2:81
 - lawyer's view, § 2:80
- Expert witnesses in failure to warn cases, § 2:52
- Figurative language to shape juror impressions, § 2:54
- Hazards, warnings concerning
 - avoidance of, § 2:51
- Human aspect of trial work
 - generally, § 2:94
 - accepting yourself, § 2:102
 - being open and honest with feelings, creating passion, § 2:99
 - being open and honest with feelings, dealing with fear, § 2:98
 - being open and honest with feelings, opening dialogue, § 2:97
 - being real, § 2:103
 - caring about case, § 3:52
 - challenging and being challenged, § 2:108
 - empathetic understanding, § 2:105

INDEX

IMPRESSION FORMATION

—Cont'd

- Human aspect of trial work
 - Cont'd
 - getting and giving feedback, § 2:107
 - key concepts for good human relations, § 2:95
 - knowing who you are, § 2:101
 - respecting others, § 2:106
 - talking and relating here and now, § 2:109
 - talking like a human being, § 2:110
 - willingness and ability to listen and understand, § 2:100
 - willingness to encounter, § 2:96
 - willingness to get to know and understand others, § 2:104
- Human trial lawyers at work
 - generally, § 2:111
 - voir dire. See Voir dire, human trial lawyers at work, this heading
- Introduction to chapter, § 2:1
- Issue and concept explanation, § 2:90
- Judge background study, § 2:132
- Juror role definition, § 2:93
- Language. See Warning language, this heading
- Opening statement
 - Bob Gibbins, § 2:120
 - Gerry Spence, § 2:123
 - Herman Glaser, § 2:121
 - Melvin Block, § 2:118
 - Phillip Corboy, § 2:119
 - Robert Habush, § 2:122
 - Scott Baldwin, § 2:116
 - Victor Bergman, § 2:117
- Openness of meaning, § 2:5
- Party description, § 2:88
- Persuasion and persuasive power
 - courtroom persuasion, § 2:3
 - examples, analysis and metaphors processes, § 2:63

IMPRESSION FORMATION

—Cont'd

- Product liability or strict liability cases, establishing with communication evidence, § 2:40
- Proving injury when none is evident
 - generally, § 2:35
 - plaintiff appears uninjured, § 2:36
 - plaintiff routinely engages in activities that are claimed to produce pain, § 2:37
- Soft tissue cases, value of communication in
 - generally, § 2:32
 - bias, overcoming of, § 2:34
 - weaknesses, understanding and dealing with, § 2:33
- Sources of meaning, § 2:6
- Strategies for creating meaning
 - generally, § 2:11-2:19
 - common sense arguments, § 2:16
 - ethical issues, § 2:18
 - figurative language use, § 2:15
 - oral rhetoric importance, § 2:17
 - persuasion, coercion, and manipulation, § 2:19
 - storytelling or narrative structure, § 2:12
 - themes, § 2:13
 - words and labels, use of, § 2:14
- Symbols, § 2:2, 2:4
- Trial purpose description
 - generally, § 2:82
 - brain injury case, § 10:22 et seq.
 - dramatic impact creation, § 2:85
 - integral part of greater whole, § 2:83
 - lifting case and jury above facts, § 2:84
- Voir dire, human trial lawyers at work
 - Gerry Spence, § 2:115
 - Phillip Corboy, § 2:114
 - Victor Bergman, § 2:112
 - William Colson, § 2:113

IMPRESSION FORMATION

—Cont'd

- Voir dire in soft tissue automobile injury case
 - generally, § 2:24
 - combating bias, § 2:25
 - defendant's expert as more experienced testifier, § 2:29
 - expert testimony that plaintiff is not injured, § 2:28
 - perception that soft tissue injuries result in legitimate permanent injuries, § 2:26
 - plaintiff's activities that cause pain, § 2:31
 - uninjured appearance of plaintiff, § 2:30
 - use of x-rays, § 2:27
- Warning language
 - generally, § 2:46
 - damages, nature and severity, § 2:49
 - likelihood of damage, § 2:51
 - meaningfulness to users, § 2:47
 - specific dangers, § 2:47
- Warnings and instructions, prominence of
 - generally, § 2:41
 - color, § 2:45
 - conspicuous words, § 2:43
 - distinct print style, § 2:44
 - placement within label or data sheet, § 2:42
- Warnings. See Hazards, this heading
- Witness description, § 2:89

INFLUENCE OF PEOPLE ON OTHERS

- Jury selection, § 4:44-4:46

INFORMED CONSENT

- Credibility, § 3:42

INITIAL PROMISE IN OPENING STATEMENTS

- Credibility, § 3:37

INJURIES

- Proof of nonevident injury. See PROOF OF NONEVIDENT INJURY
- Use of product in question, § 4:92

INSPECTION

- Manufacturer responsibility, § 4:116

INSTRUCTIONS

- See WARNINGS AND INSTRUCTIONS, PROMINENCE OF

INTEGRAL PART OF GREATER WHOLE

- Trial purpose description, § 2:83

INTERRUPTION

- Direct examination, judge interrupting. See DIRECT EXAMINATION

ISSUE AND CONCEPT EXPLANATION

- Impression formation, § 2:90

JUDGES

- Background study, impression formation, § 2:132
- Direct examination, interrupting. See DIRECT EXAMINATION

“JUDGMENT” ISSUE

- Closing statement, § 10:5

JUDGMENT OF PARTIES AND THEIR ACTIONS

- Jury purpose, closing statement, § 10:9

JUROR ATTITUDES AND BELIEFS

- Voir dire, specific aspects of case, § 5:11

JUROR IMPRESSIONS, SHAPING

- Impression formation, § 2:54

INDEX

JUROR PERCEPTIONS

Cases 1-5, credibility, § 3:25, 3:29, 3:33, 3:37, 3:41

Credibility of speakers

background and demographics of jurors, § 3:56

denial behavior of jurors, § 3:57

ethnicity of jurors, § 3:58

gender of jurors, § 3:59

JUROR PURPOSE

Closing argument, creating juror purpose during

brain injury case, § 10:22 et seq.

JUROR ROLE DEFINITION

Impression formation, § 2:93

JURORS' EXPERIENCES OF CASE ISSUES

Jury selection, § 4:47

JUROR STUDIES

Medical negligence cases, credibility, § 3:21, 3:22

JURY CONDITIONING

Impression formation, § 2:26-2:31

JURY PURPOSE

See CLOSING STATEMENT

JURY SELECTION, PROFILING JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC SELECTION

Generally, § 4:01 et seq.

Assistance with jury selection, § 4:27

Authoritarian personality

generally, § 4:11-4:14

background, § 4:12

importance of identifying, § 4:13

plaintiff versus defendant, § 4:14

profiling authoritarian juror, § 4:15

Background of juror as basis of profiling, § 4:5-4:8

JURY SELECTION, PROFILING JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC SELECTION—Cont'd

Beliefs about physicians, their role in society, and motivations, § 4:61

Better-educated as opposed to less-educated jurors

generally, § 4:40

nonsmokers or ex-smokers, § 4:41

persons who deal with abstract concepts, § 4:43

persons who exercise regularly, § 4:42

Cancer, experiences and beliefs, § 4:63

Child injury/death case, use of professional jury consultants

generally, § 4:130

checklist, § 4:131

Chronic illness

generally, § 4:58

treatment of illness on jurors or members of jurors' families, § 4:59

Conclusion III, § 4:129

Consultants

child injury case. See Child injury/death case, this heading

trial consultants, § 4:17, 4:22

Consumer experience

generally, § 4:101

attitudes toward product liability claims, § 4:110

consumer publications, members or subscribers, § 4:102

consumer report readers, § 4:105

environmental, or personal, or civil rights group members, § 4:103

product choice on basis of safety, § 4:107

product label readers, § 4:108

**JURY SELECTION, PROFILING
JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC
SELECTION—Cont'd**

Consumer experience—Cont'd
product liability claims as
detrimental, § 4:111
product rejection on basis of
label, § 4:109
product safety research, § 4:104
refusal to use a product, § 4:106
Cost of safety features, § 4:124
Developing juror profiles before
trial, § 4:3
Experience with defendant and
product in question
generally, § 4:83
long-time users of defendant's
other products, § 4:89
long-time users of product,
§ 4:88
persons who have had or heard of
bad experiences with prod-
uct, § 4:87
persons who have purchased or
used product, § 4:85
persons who have worked for
defendant, § 4:84
persons who refuse to use prod-
uct, § 4:86
similar products manufactured by
others, § 4:90
Factual evidence of plaintiff,
attitudes toward, § 4:126
Favorable jurors
defendant, § 4:128
plaintiff, § 4:127
General juror profile information,
§ 4:16
Health habits, personal, § 4:62
Identifying issues on which profil-
ing based, § 4:2
Juror experiences related to case
issues, § 4:47
Jurors referred by their physicians
to other specialists
generally, § 4:55

**JURY SELECTION, PROFILING
JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC
SELECTION—Cont'd**

Jurors referred by their physicians
to other specialists—Cont'd
favorable indicators for jurors,
§ 4:57
jurors' experiences with own
medical records, § 4:56
Jury services
generally, § 4:18
jury research and jury service
duties checklist, § 4:19
jury service hiring checklist,
§ 4:20
Lifestyle and personality indices
generally, § 4:36, 4:66
better-educated versus less-
educated, § 4:71
consumer orientation, § 4:72
nonmanagement as opposed to
management, § 4:38, 4:68
personal rights versus preserva-
tion of the system, § 4:76
persons who deal with abstract
concepts, § 4:73
predestination, § 4:74
urban as opposed to rural factors,
§ 4:37, 4:67
workers, laborers, and union
members versus manage-
ment, § 4:69
younger and better-educated
jurors as opposed to older,
less-educated jurors, § 4:39,
4:40, 4:70, 4:71
Manufacturer responsibility
generally, § 4:113
accountability, § 4:121
duty to provide adequate warn-
ings, § 4:118
duty to warn, § 4:117
foreseeable uses prediction,
§ 4:119
ordinary care, duty to exercise,
§ 4:114

INDEX

JURY SELECTION, PROFILING JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC SELECTION—Cont'd

- Manufacturer responsibility
 - Cont'd
 - safe products, duty to design,
§ 4:115
 - standing behind products,
§ 4:120
 - testing and inspection, § 4:116
- Manufacturing industry relationship
 - generally, § 4:77
 - consumer loyalty, § 4:78
 - stock ownership in defendant
corporation, § 4:79
 - stock ownership in other
manufacturing, retail, or
wholesale corporations,
§ 4:80
 - supervisors in manufacturing
industries, § 4:81
 - supervisors in retail or distribut-
ing businesses, § 4:82
- Medical costs related to medical
care, § 4:60
- Pain and suffering, background of
juror as basis of profiling, § 4:8
- Personality types, background of
juror as basis of profiling,
§ 4:10
- Persons who believe people, as
opposed to fate, control their
lives
 - generally, § 4:44, 4:74
 - nonauthoritarians, § 4:45, 4:75
 - use of the lifestyle and personal-
ity indices, § 4:46
- Phantom juries, § 4:21
- Primary care physicians
 - generally, § 4:48
 - frequency of jurors' contact with
physician, § 4:51
 - jurors who can identify physi-
cian, § 4:49
 - question if and why jurors have
changed physicians, § 4:54

JURY SELECTION, PROFILING JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC SELECTION—Cont'd

- Primary care physicians—Cont'd
 - questioning physician's advice,
§ 4:53
 - relationship described between
juror and physician, § 4:52
 - selection process of physician,
§ 4:50
- Product liability cases, § 4:65
- Product liability claims, experience
with
 - generally, § 4:91
 - injuries through use of product,
§ 4:92
 - involvement in defense of prod-
uct liability claim, § 4:97
 - other involvement in past claims,
§ 4:100
 - persons who have filed, § 4:95
 - persons who have worked for a
company sued in claim,
§ 4:96
 - product complaints, § 4:94
 - product-related accidents,
attitudes toward cause of,
§ 4:93
 - witnesses in past product liability
claims, § 4:99
 - witnesses of accidents caused by
use of product, § 4:98
- Providing information
 - generally, § 4:23
 - focus groups, use of, § 4:26
 - surveys determining juror
attitudes about specific trial
issues, § 4:25
 - surveys determining pretrial prej-
udice, § 4:24
- Reading and following instructions,
attitudes towards, § 4:12
- Role of manufacturing corporations,
§ 4:122
- Salient or important matters in
jurors' lives, background of
juror as basis of profiling, § 4:9

**JURY SELECTION, PROFILING
JURORS FOR SYSTEMATIC
SELECTION—Cont'd**

Sample comprehensive analysis for jury selection in a medical malpractice case, § 4:35

Similarity factor as profiling basis, § 4:4

Technical experience, § 4:125

Trial attorney feedback on effectiveness of trial presentation options

generally, § 4:28

feedback to witness about courtroom demeanor and appearance, § 4:30

reframing evidence and testimony in understandable terms, § 4:29

Trial consultants

effectiveness of, § 4:22

use of, § 4:17

Trial consultants, use of, § 4:17

Use of background information, § 4:6

Video evidence and visual aid preparation

generally, § 4:31

jury monitoring during trial, § 4:33

mock trial presentations, § 4:32

posttrial jury interviews, § 4:34

Voir dire information, background of juror as basis of profiling, § 4:7

KEY CONCEPTS

Human relations, impression formation, § 2:95

KNOWING WHO YOU ARE

Human aspects of trial work, impression formation, § 2:101

LABELS

Creating dramatic impact, closing statement, § 10:12

Jury selection, § 4:108, 4:109

LABELS—Cont'd

Strategic use of language, § 10:27

Warnings and instructions, § 2:42

**LABORERS VERSUS
MANAGEMENT**

Jury selection, § 4:69

LANGUAGE

Strategic use of language, § 10:27

**LAWYER'S INTERNAL
GUIDANCE**

Examples, analysis and metaphors trial use, § 2:81

LAWYER'S VIEW

Examples, analysis and metaphors trial use, § 2:80

**LEGITIMATE PERMANENT
SOFT TISSUE INJURIES**

Impression formation, § 2:26

LIFE EVENTS, IMPORTANT

Examples, analysis and metaphors identification, § 2:78

**LIFESTYLES AND
PERSONALITY INDICES**

Jury selection, § 4:36-4:39

Medical malpractice cases, § 4:35-4:63

Product liability cases, § 4:65-4:76

**LIFTING CASE AND JURY
ABOVE FACTS**

Trial purpose description, § 2:84

LINES OF REASONING

Examples, analysis and metaphors processes, § 2:61

LISTENING

Human aspects of trial work, impression formation, § 2:100

LITERATURE

Examples, analysis and metaphors identification, § 2:65

INDEX

LOGIC

Credibility, § 3:41

LONG-TIME USERS

Defendant's other products, § 4:89

Product in question, § 4:88

LOSS OF EARNING CAPACITY

See DIRECT EXAMINATION

MANIPULATION

Strategies for creation of meaning,
§ 2:19

MANUFACTURER LIABILITY

Juror selection, § 4:112

MANUFACTURER

RESPONSIBILITY

See JURY SELECTION, PROFIL-
ING JURORS FOR SYSTEM-
ATIC SELECTION

MANUFACTURING

CORPORATIONS, ROLE OF

Jury selection, § 4:122

MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY RELATIONSHIP

See JURY SELECTION, PROFIL-
ING JURORS FOR SYSTEM-
ATIC SELECTION

MEANINGFUL TERMS

Warning language, § 2:47

MECHANISM OF INJURY, DOCTOR AS

Credibility, § 3:43

MEDICAL COSTS VERSUS MEDICAL CARE

Jury selection, § 4:60

MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASES

Jury selection, § 4:35

MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE CASES

Juror studies, credibility, § 3:21,
3:22

Opening statement, App 6-4

MEDICAL TERMINOLOGY, USE OF

Credibility, § 3:42

METAPHORS

Examples, analysis and metaphors.

See IMPRESSION FORMA-
TION

METHOD

Juror studies in medical negligence
cases, § 3:22

MIRROR OF HUMAN THOUGHT

Examples, analysis and metaphors
processes, § 2:58

MISTAKES

Closing argument. See CLOSING
STATEMENT

MONEY ISSUES

Credibility, § 3:43

MOTIVES

Credibility, § 3:33

MOVIES

Examples, analysis and metaphors
identification, § 2:75

MYTHOLOGY

See FOLKLORE AND MYTHOL-
OGY

NONAUTHORITARIANS

Jury selection, § 4:45, 4:75

NONINJURY OF PLAINTIFF, APPEARANCE OF

Expert testimony, impression
formation, § 2:28

NONMANAGEMENT VERSUS MANAGEMENT

Jury selection, § 4:38, 4:68

NUMEROUS DOCTORS, VISITS TO

Credibility, § 3:42

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE

Credibility, § 3:33, 3:38

OBSTETRICAL NEGLIGENCE CASE

Closing argument, App 10-1

Opening statement, App 6-4

OFFICE MANAGER

Direct examination, testimony concerning loss of earning capacity, § 7:20

OKLAHOMA CITY BOMBING TRIAL

Closing argument, App 9-3

OPENING DIALOGUE

Feelings, impression formation, § 2:97

OPENING STATEMENT

Generally, § 6:1 et seq.

Authority bases, § 6:16

Bench trial

generally, § 6:28

defendant's opening statement, § 6:30

plaintiff's attorney, opening statement by, § 6:29

presidential election litigation by plaintiff, App 6-6

Conditioning jury for things to come, § 6:17

Copyright action, App 6-5

Creating jury purpose, § 6:15

Damages proof, strategic use of language, § 6:8

Enhancing credibility, § 6:18

Experience of clients and witnesses, presenting, § 6:22

Goals of opening statement, § 6:2

Goodness and nobility of plaintiff, themes, § 6:12

High-technology computer piracy case

generally, § 6:25

illustrative beginning, § 6:26

OPENING STATEMENT—Cont'd

Impression formation. See

IMPRESSION FORMATION

Improper behavior of defendant, themes, § 6:12

Introduction, § 6:1

Juxtaposing good acts of plaintiff with bad acts of defendant, § 6:19

Linking selves with jurors, § 6:21

Medical negligence case, App 6-3

Narrative structure, § 6:3-6:5

Negligence of defendant and liability claims, themes, § 6:10

Obstetrical negligence case, App 6-4

Personal injury case, § 6:27

Personal injury case, App 6-2

Personalizing parties, strategic use of language, § 6:7

Presenting selves as champions of justice and defendants as subverters of justice, § 6:20

Previewing arguments, § 6:23

Refuting defendant's arguments, § 6:24

Serious injury and damage of plaintiff, themes, § 6:11

Simplicity of issues in case, § 6:13

Strategic use of language, § 6:6-6:8

Themes, § 6:9-6:12

Tobacco litigation defendant, App 6-8

Tobacco litigation plaintiff, App 6-7

Tone, § 6:14

ORAL RHETORIC

Strategies for creation of meaning, § 2:17

ORDINARY CARE

Manufacturer responsibility, § 4:11

ORGANIZATION

Credibility, § 3:30

OUTDATED TECHNIQUES

Credibility, § 3:26

INDEX

OVERALL IMPLICATIONS

Credibility, § 3:43

PARTY DESCRIPTION

Impression formation, § 2:88

PASSION

Openness and honesty in feelings,
impression formation, § 2:99

PERLMAN, PETER

Closing statement, impression
formation, § 2:129

PERSONAL INVOLVEMENT

Bad experiences with product in
question, § 4:87
Defense witnesses in a product
liability claim, § 4:97
Employment by company sued in
product liability claim, § 4:96
Employment by defendant, § 4:84
Jurors,' direct and indirect experi-
ences, § 3:47
Other involvement in past product
liability claims, § 4:100
Purchase or use of product in ques-
tion, § 4:85
Refusal to use product in question,
§ 4:86, 4:106
Witnesses in past product liability
claims, § 4:99
Witnesses of accidents caused by
use of product, § 4:98

PERSONALITY INDICES

Jury selection, § 4:65-4:76

PERSONAL RIGHTS GROUP MEMBERS

Jury selection, § 4:103

PERSONAL RIGHTS VERSUS PRESERVATION OF THE SYSTEM

Jury selection, § 4:76

PERSUASION

Closing statement, affirmative
persuasion, § 9:29

PERSUASION—Cont'd

Impression formation. See
IMPRESSION FORMATION
Strategies for creation of meaning,
§ 2:19

PHILOSOPHICAL WRITINGS

Examples, analysis and metaphors
identification, § 2:71

PHOTOGRAMMETRY

Direct examination, § 7:4

PHYSICAL THERAPY

Direct examination, § 7:43

PLACEMENT

Warnings and instructions, § 2:42

PLAINTIFF

Routinely engaging in activities
claimed to produce pain,
§ 2:37
Uninjured appearance, § 2:36

PLAINTIFF ACTIVITY

RESULTING IN PAIN

Impression formation, § 2:31

PLAINTIFF'S V DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES

Themes, closing statement, § 10:4,
10:20

PLAINTIFF V DEFENDANT

Themes, closing statement, § 10:5

POETRY

Examples, analysis and metaphors
identification, § 2:67

PREDESTINATION

Jury selection, § 4:74

PREDISPOSITION

Credibility, § 3:34

PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIANS

Jury selection, § 4:48-4:63

**PROCESSES OF EXAMPLES,
ANALYSIS AND
METAPHORS**
Impression formation. See
IMPRESSION FORMATION

PRODUCT COMPLAINTS
Jury selection, § 4:95

PRODUCT LABELS
Readers, § 4:108

PRODUCT LIABILITY CASES
Impression formation, § 2:40
Jury selection, § 4:65
Lifestyle and personality indices,
§ 4:65-4:76

**PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS,
EXPERIENCE WITH**
Attitudes, § 4:110
Feelings that claims are detrimental,
§ 4:111
Jury selection. See JURY SELEC-
TION, PROFILING JURORS
FOR
Manufacturer liability for defective
products, § 4:112
Persons who have filed, § 4:95

**PRODUCT REJECTION ON
BASIS OF LABEL**
Jury selection, § 4:109

**PRODUCT-RELATED
ACCIDENTS, ATTITUDES
TOWARDS CAUSE OF**
Jury selection, § 4:93

PRODUCT SAFETY
Researchers, § 4:104
Safety as basis for product choice,
§ 4:107

**PROOF OF NONEVIDENT
INJURY**
Impression formation, § 2:35

PROVIDING INFORMATION
Jury selection, § 4:23-4:26

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

PROXIMATE CAUSE
Direct examination, opinion on
proximate cause of injuries,
§ 7:33

PURSUIT OF JUSTICE
Themes, closing statement, § 10:6

QUOTATIONS
Closing statement, § 9:27

RARITY OF CONDITION
Credibility, § 3:37, 3:42

**READERS OF PRODUCT
LABELS**
Jury selection, § 4:108

RECORD KEEPING
Credibility, § 3:41, 3:42

RECTIFYING WRONGS
Themes, closing statement, § 10:8,
10:21

**REFERRALS TO OTHER
SPECIALISTS BY JURORS'
PHYSICIANS**
Jury selection, § 4:55-4:57

REFUSAL OF TREATMENT
Credibility, § 3:42

REFUSAL TO USE A PRODUCT
Jury selection, § 4:86, 4:106

REHABILITATION OF WITNESS
Generally, § 8:10
Ineffective assistance of counsel,
§ 8:11

**REHABILITATIVE PHYSICAL
THERAPY**
Direct examination, § 7:43

REPUTATION OF DOCTOR
Credibility, § 3:38

RESPECTING OTHERS
Human aspect of trial work, impres-
sion formation, § 2:106

INDEX

ROLE EXPECTATIONS

Credibility, § 3:34, 3:43

SAFETY

As basis for product choice, § 4:107

Cost of safety features, § 4:124

Products design, § 4:115

SELLER LIABILITY FOR DEFECTIVE PRODUCTS

Jury selection, § 4:123

SIMILAR PRODUCTS MANUFACTURED BY OTHERS

Jury selection, § 4:90

SIMPLE ISSUES

Themes, closing statement, § 10:7

SOFT TISSUE AUTOMOBILE INJURY CASE

Voir dire. See IMPRESSION
FORMATION

SOFT TISSUE CASES, VALUE OF COMMUNICATION IN

Impression formation, § 2:32-2:34

SOFTWARE INFRINGEMENT CASE

Direct examination of programmer,
§ 7:66

SPECIFIC DANGERS

Warning language, § 2:48

SPEECHES

Examples, analysis and metaphors
identification, § 2:72

SPENCE, GERRY

Closing statement, impression
formation, § 2:130

Opening statement, impression
formation, § 2:123

Voir dire, impression formation,
§ 2:115

SPORTS

Examples, analysis and metaphors
identification, § 2:74

STANDARD OF CARE

Credibility, § 3:27, 3:43

STANDING BEHIND PRODUCTS

Manufacturer responsibility,
§ 4:120

STOCK OWNERSHIP

Defendant corporations, § 4:79

Other manufacturing, retail, or
wholesale corporations, § 4:80

STRATEGIC USE OF LANGUAGE

Closing statement. See CLOSING
STATEMENT

STRATEGIES FOR CREATION OF MEANING

Coercion, § 2:19

Ethical issues, § 2:18

Manipulation, § 2:19

Oral rhetoric, importance, § 2:17

Persuasion, § 2:19

STRESS

Credibility, § 3:38

STRICT LIABILITY CASES

Impression formation, § 2:40

STRUCTURE FOR SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

Generally, § 11:1-11:3

Bad form in trial and its conse-
quences, § 11:3

Implications, § 11:2

STYLE

Credibility, § 3:38

SUMMARIES

Direct examination, proving method
of preparation of summaries,
§ 7:31

SUPERVISORS

Manufacturing industries, § 4:81
Retail and distributing businesses,
§ 4:82

SYMBOLS FOR EXPERIENCE

Examples, analysis and metaphors
processes, § 2:59

**SYMPATHETIC REFLEX
DYSTROPHY**

Credibility, § 3:41, 3:42

SYMPATHY

Credibility, § 3:26, 3:33, 3:41, 3:43

TALKING

Being a human being, human aspect
of trial work, impression
formation, § 2:110
Here and now, human aspect of trial
work, impression formation,
§ 2:109

TALKING DOWN TO JURORS

Credibility, § 3:33, 3:37, 3:41

TECHNICAL EXPERIENCE

Jury selection, § 4:125

TECHNOLOGY

Direct examination, influence of
technology, § 7:2
High-technology computer piracy
case, opening statement,
§ 6:25, 6:26

TESTING

Manufacturer responsibility,
§ 4:116

THEATRICALITY

Credibility, § 3:41

THEMES

Credibility
plaintiff's v defendant's wit-
nesses, § 10:4
plaintiff v defendant, § 10:5
pursuit of justice, § 10:6
Defendant as negligent, § 10:3

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES**THEMES—Cont'd**

Rectifying wrongs, § 10:8, 10:21
Simple issues and competent jury,
§ 10:7

TIMING

Credibility, § 3:41

TOBACCO LITIGATION

Closing argument of defendant,
App 9-6
Expert witnesses,
direct examination of plaintiff's
expert in action against ciga-
rette manufacturer, § 7:50
Opening statement of defendant,
App 6-8
Opening statement of plaintiff, App
6-7

TOPE

Closing statement, § 10:2

TRADE DRESS INFRINGEMENT

Cross-examination of adverse wit-
ness, § 8:25

TRANSCRIPTS

Cross-examination, use in, § 8:17
Voir dire of juror "over invested"
in case,
transcript of, § 5:9

TRIAL ATTORNEYS

Jury selection, § 4:28-4:30
Rehabilitation of witness and inef-
fective assistance of counsel,
§ 8:11

TRIAL CONSULTANTS

Jury selection, § 4:17, 4:22

TRIAL PURPOSE DESCRIPTION

Impression formation. See
IMPRESSION FORMATION

**TRIAL USE OF EXAMPLES,
ANALYSIS AND
METAPHORS**

Impression formation. See
IMPRESSION FORMATION

INDEX

TRUSTWORTHINESS

Credibility, § 3:30

UNDERSTANDING

Human aspects of trial work,
impression formation, § 2:100,
2:104, 2:105

UNDERSTANDING SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES

Generally, § 1:1 *et seq.*
Common problems in all trials,
§ 1:5
Conclusion, § 1:7
Introduction, § 1:1
Material for analysis, § 1:4
Rhetorical problem, § 1:5, 1:6
Solution for rhetorical problem,
§ 1:6
Study scope and method, § 1:3
Survey of literature on subject,
§ 1:2

UNINJURED CONDITION OF PLAINTIFF

Appearance, impression, § 2:30
Expert witness testimony, impres-
sion formation, § 2:28

UNION MEMBERS VERSUS MANAGEMENT

Jury selection, § 4:69

URBAN VERSUS RURAL LIFESTYLES

Jury selection, § 4:67

U.S. v. MICROSOFT

Closing argument, App 9-4

VENUE

Cases 1-5, credibility, § 3:24, 3:26,
3:28, 3:32, 3:40

VIDEO EVIDENCE AND VISUAL AID PREPARATION

Jury selection, § 4:31-4:34

VOIR DIRE

Generally, § 5:1 *et seq.*

VOIR DIRE—Cont'd

Aligning selves with truth, justice,
court, and law, enhancing
credibility, § 5:21
Arguments, presenting, § 5:17
Attitudes and beliefs of jurors
generally, § 5:8-5:12
Commitment of jury on relevant
issues, obtaining, § 5:15
Conclusion, § 5:26
Conditioning jury, § 5:14
Connotations favorable to client,
strategic use of language,
§ 5:16
Enhancing credibility
generally, § 5:19-5:22
Explanation of purpose, § 5:3
Gathering demographic and
background information,
§ 5:4-5:7
General information, gathering
demographic and background
information, § 5:5
Introducing concepts and evidence,
§ 5:14
Juror attitudes and beliefs concern-
ing specific aspects of case,
§ 5:11
Jury purpose, closing statement,
§ 10:11
Lawyers' introduction, § 5:2
Linking selves with common values
of jurors, enhancing cred-
ibility, § 5:20
Management guidelines, § 5:24
Particular case or participants,
gathering demographic and
background information, § 5:6
Presenting arguments, § 5:17
Presenting selves in honest personal
manner, enhancing credibility,
§ 5:22
Pretrial publicity and prejudice,
§ 5:25
Purpose of jury, establishing, § 5:23
Refuting arguments made by defen-
dant, § 5:18

VOIR DIRE—Cont'd

- Setting tone for trial, § 5:13
- Soft tissue automobile injury case.
See IMPRESSION FORMATION
- Strategies, gathering demographic and background information, § 5:7
- Transcript of voir dire of juror
“over invested” in case,
§ 5:9-5:12

**VOIR DIRE, HUMAN TRIAL
LAWYERS AT WORK**

- Gerry Spence, impression formation, § 2:115
- Phillip Corboy, impression formation, § 2:113
- Victor Bergman, § 2:112
- William Colson, impression formation, § 2:113

**VOIR DIRE, OPENING, AND
CLOSING**

- Credibility, § 3:38, 3:43

WARNINGS

- Language, § 2:46-2:49
- Manufacturer responsibility,
§ 4:117, 4:118
- Prominence on labels, § 2:41-2:45

WEAKNESSES

- Disclosure, effect on credibility,
§ 3:55

SUCCESSFUL TRIAL TECHNIQUES**WEAKNESSES—Cont'd**

- Understanding and dealing with in
soft tissue cases, § 2:24, 2:33

WHIPLASH

- Impression formation, § 2:24

WILLINGNESS TO ENCOUNTER

- Human aspect of trial work, § 2:96

WITNESS DESCRIPTION

- Impression formation, § 2:89

WORDS AND LABELS

- Creating dramatic impact, closing
statement, § 10:12, 10:27

WORKERS' COMPENSATION

- Credibility, § 3:41, 3:42

**WORKERS VERSUS
MANAGEMENT**

- Jury selection, § 4:69

WRONGFUL DEATH

- Child death case. See CHILD
INJURY/DEATH CASE
- Credibility, § 3:31

X-RAYS, USE OF

- Impression formation, § 2:27

**YOUNGER,
BETTER-EDUCATED
VERSUS OLDER,
LESS-EDUCATED**

- Jury selection, § 4:70