

---

AMERICAN LAW REPORTS

ALR  
*Federal 2d*  
*Annotations and Cases*

---

VOLUME 89

---

2014



THOMSON REUTERS™

© 2014 Thomson Reuters

Copyright is not claimed as to any part of the original work prepared by a United States Government officer or employee as part of the person's official duties.

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered; however, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

For authorization to photocopy, please contact the Copyright Clearance Center at 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA (978) 750-8400; fax (978) 646-8600 or West's Copyright Services at 610 Opperman Drive, Eagan, MN 55123, fax (651) 687-7551. Please outline the specific material involved, the number of copies you wish to distribute and the purpose or format of the use.

*Publisher*

JEAN E. MAESS, J.D.

*Publication Editors*

MOLLY McNULTY, J.D.

OLIVER HAHN, J.D.

*Legal Editors*

DOUGLAS A. BASS, J.D.

JASON B. BINIMOW, J.D.

DANIEL A. KLEIN, J.D.



## Guide to Using ALR Federal 2d

The second series of American Law Reports Federal (ALR Fed 2d) continues the long ALR tradition of providing focused research on a wide variety of topics. Now, for the first time, ALR Fed 2d expands its coverage to include both Federal and international topics, recognizing that the practice of law has evolved to meet the changing needs of the global community.

ALR Fed 2d does not replace ALR Fed but, rather, succeeds ALR Fed as the latest addition to the ALR series, which presently includes ALR Fed, ALR, ALR2d, ALR3d, ALR4th, ALR5th, ALR6th, and ALR International. For more information, please visit <http://legalsolutions.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Keycite-and-Citators/American-Law-Reports-Federal-2d-ALR-Series/p/100002171>.

### **The Best of the Old. . .**

To ALR Fed users, ALR Fed 2d will look familiar. Each annotation includes thorough case law coverage of the topic, analyzed and organized to make the most of your valuable research time. At the back of each volume, reported cases, one associated with each annotation, put the issue in context and illustrate the application of the legal principles discussed in the annotation.

Each annotation contains its own Index and jurisdictional Table of Cases, making it easy to find cases from your jurisdiction quickly. Each annotation also includes Research References to help you find additional material relevant to the topic, including analytical and forms references, West's Topic and Key Numbers, and suggested WestlawNext® search queries.

### **. . . and the Best of the New**

In addition to the same analytical, practice, and form references ALR Fed has always offered, ALR Fed 2d has been enhanced to provide expanded Research References for each annotation, including:

- references to West's ALR Digest, which is classified to correspond to the West Key Number System
- references to Westlaw topical databases to assist with targeted electronic research
- references to important government and private websites relevant to the topic
- references to appellate briefs and pleadings associated with the reported case
- an expanded list of Related Annotations, now with references to the entire ALR family, including the first series of ALR through ALR6th, ALR Fed, ALR Fed 2d, and ALR International, is located in the Research References portion of the annotation
- annual Supreme Court Update, available to subscribers, highlighting recent decisions of the Court and their effect on the law presented in existing annotations

## How to Use ALR Fed 2d

### Finding an Annotation

*There are many ways to find an annotation using various print and electronic methods:*

- **ALR Index.** Just look up the legal term or fact-descriptive word that most closely exemplifies your issue.
- **West's ALR Digest.** Classified according to the West Key Number System; just locate the appropriate digest topic and scan for the section or sections covering your issue.
- **ALR Alerts.** Keep apprised of new and upcoming annotation topics by reviewing the ALR Alerts for ALR6th and ALR Fed 2d, which can be viewed at <http://legalsolutions.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/westlawnext/litigator/alr/alerts>.
- **Research References.** Research References in Am Jur2d, CJS, and most other West analytical publications extensively cite all series of ALR, including the first series of ALR through ALR6th, ALR Fed, ALR Fed 2d, and ALR International.
- **Direct Citations to ALR.** All series of ALR, including the first series of ALR through ALR6th, ALR Fed, ALR Fed 2d, and ALR International, are frequently cited as authority in court opinions, analytical texts, law reviews, and other legal publications.
- **WestlawNext®.** The options for finding annotations online warrant a separate discussion, outlined below.

### Using an Annotation

*Each annotation offers several valuable features designed to facilitate your research:*

- **Detailed Case Analysis.** Summaries of every case on point for the topic, organized by point of law or factual variable and sorted by jurisdiction for quick and easy reference.
- **Summary and Comment.** A concise general overview of the topic, necessary background information, and cross-references to more detailed discussions within the body of the annotation.
- **Practice Pointers.** Practical information related to the topic, offering guidance on what to do and how to do it in the course of representing a client, tips on procedure, and potential pitfalls.
- **Scope Statement.** A concise statement of the subject matter included in the annotation, any exclusions, and any supersessions of earlier annotations.
- **Reported Case.** For each annotation, a full court opinion, including headnotes classified to the West Key Number System, illustrating the topic and providing a context for the discussion, is published in the Reported Cases part of each bound volume.

- **Jurisdictional Table of Cases.** A list of cases and statutes from each jurisdiction, with section references listing where they are discussed within the annotation.
- **Schematic Article Outline.** This article-level table of contents indicates where a particular legal concept or fact situation is treated.
- **Research References.** An on-topic list of references to West Key Numbers, the ALR Library, appropriate analytical and secondary law products, a suggested electronic search query, and references to West's ALR Digest.
- **Article Index.** An alphabetical index of important legal and factual terms, with references to the specific sections where each term is discussed within the annotation.

### Updating an Annotation

*Once you have identified the annotation for your issue, you can locate the most recent on-point authority for the topic:*

- **WestlawNext®.** Find the most current version of an annotation by entering ci("[Annotation Cite]") in the search box or enter the annotation citation in the "citation" box on the Advanced Search Screen. Material added since initial publication is located at the end of each section in the annotation.
- **Annual cumulative supplement.** Annual supplements, inserted into the back of each volume, contain:
  - relevant case headnotes from decisions issued after the annotation was written, allocated to the appropriate section of the annotation and sorted by jurisdiction;
  - new sections that accommodate new and developing case law; and
  - references to later annotations related to the topic.
- **Latest Case Service Hotline.** One toll-free phone call to **1-800-225-7488** or e-mail to [west.ALRLCS-Rochester@thomson.com](mailto:west.ALRLCS-Rochester@thomson.com) will provide you with the cites of the relevant cases decided since the last print supplement was issued.

### Using ALR Fed 2d on WestlawNext®

WestlawNext® provides access to the entire ALR library, including ALR, ALR2d, ALR3d, ALR4th, ALR5th, ALR6th, ALR Fed, ALR Fed 2d and ALR International. There are a number of ways to find annotation of interest on WestlawNext®.

You may choose to begin your research in West's ALR Digest to locate relevant key numbers and refer to the annotations and reported cases listed for each key number. To navigate to the Digest, type "ALR" into the WestlawNext® search box and choose "American Law Reports Digest" from the dropdown list.

You may choose to search across all of ALR or in ALR International. To search across ALR, type “ALR” into the WestlawNext® search box and choose “American Law Reports” from the dropdown list. To search ALR International, type “ALR” into the WestlawNext® search box and choose “American Law Reports - International” from the dropdown list.

You may view ALR results from any WestlawNext® search by filtering your results: choose “Secondary Sources” in the left View pane of the Search Results screen then scroll down to Publication Type and choose ALR.

For each of these search locations, you can search using either a Terms & Connectors search or a Natural Language search. To narrow your results, you may find it helpful to use a title restriction in your search, which will look for the search terms only in the titles of the annotations.

To find an annotation on point for your issue or topic, you may also begin by searching the ALR data, using either a Natural Language or Terms and Connectors search. To narrow your results, you may find it helpful to use a title restriction in your Terms and Connectors search, which will look for your search terms only in the titles of annotations. For example, if you need to find an annotation discussing a federal statute that permits naturalization through military service under certain conditions, you might try one of the following searches in the ALR data:

NATURALIZ! /S MILITARY

(brings up any annotation that has some form of the word “naturalize” *in the same sentence* as the word “military”)

TI(NATURALIZ! & MILITARY)

(brings up only those annotations that contain some form of the word “naturalize” and the word “military” *in the title*)

If you know which federal statute governs the issue, you might try searching for the U.S.C.A. title and section number in the format illustrated below:

8 /3 1440

(looks for the number 8 within 3 words of the number 1440 *anywhere in an annotation*)

A combination of the above search techniques might also be useful, such as:

TI(8 /3 1440)

(looks for the number 8 within 3 words of the number 1440 *only in the titles of annotations*)

Any of the above searches would reveal an annotation on point for the issue of naturalization through military service, Construction and Application of 8 U.S.C.A. § 1440 Permitting Naturalization Through Active Duty Service in Armed Forces During Certain Periods of Military Hostilities, 196 A.L.R. Fed. 365.

If you know of a case that discusses your issue and wish to find out if the case has been discussed in an annotation, you may use the KeyCite feature

or Citing References tab to check for any annotations that have cited that particular case. In addition, you may use KeyCite or Citing References tab to check for later history concerning any annotation—to find out if the annotation has been superceded in whole or part, or whether the annotation has been cited in case opinions.

**KeyCite®:** Cases and other legal materials listed in KeyCite Scope can be researched through the KeyCite service on Westlaw®. Use KeyCite to check citations for form, parallel references, prior and later history, and comprehensive citator information, including citations to other decisions and secondary materials.

### **Finding additional information on your topic**

Once you find a relevant annotation for your issue or topic, WestlawNext® offers other tools to help you with your research. You may use links from the Research References portion of the annotation to take you to related material in analytical or forms products, you may use the suggested WestlawNext® query, or one of your own, to conduct further searches, or you may conduct a Key Number search using the Key Numbers identified as relevant to the annotation. The list of Related Annotations will point you to other helpful annotations, and the cited Law Review articles may provide additional insight on the topic. In addition, links from the reported case will take you to pertinent briefs, pleadings, and motions. References to select websites may lead you to important information, such as changes in agency policies or new official forms available from government web pages.

If you have any further questions about ALR, please email us at [west.ALRLCS-Rochester@thomson.com](mailto:west.ALRLCS-Rochester@thomson.com)



## Summary of Contents

	Page
Eligibility of Aliens for Food Assistance Benefits Under Federal Constitutional or Statutory Provisions .....	1
Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens.....	19
What Constitutes “Possession” of Firearm for Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1), Providing Penalty for Possession of Firearm in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking Crime or Crime of Violence .	37
Entrapment to Commit Federal Crimes of Terrorism .....	215
Application of Transfer of Offenders Treaty Between the United States of America and Canada on Execution of Penal Sentences, U.S.-Can., Mar. 2, 1977, 30 U.S.T. 6263.....	261
Issues Arising in Chapter 20 Bankruptcy Proceedings.....	281
Construction and Application of Federal Credit Union Act of 1934 (FCUA) (12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1751 to 1795k).....	357
Construction and Application of Rule 4(f)(2)(C) of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Authorizing Foreign Service on Individual by Method Calculated to Give Notice Unless Prohibited by Foreign Country’s Law.....	475
Preemptive Effect of Civil Service Reform Act.....	515
Who Constitutes “Owner” for Purposes of Limitation of Liability Act, 46 U.S.C.A. § 30505(a).....	583
<b>Reported Cases</b> .....	<b>601</b>



# SUBJECTS ANNOTATED

## In this Volume

---

These annotations, with others in this series, are classified in the ALR INDEX

### **Agriculture Department**

Eligibility of Aliens for Food Assistance Benefits Under Federal Constitutional or Statutory Provisions, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1

### **Aliens**

Eligibility of Aliens for Food Assistance Benefits Under Federal Constitutional or Statutory Provisions, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1

Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 19

### **Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005**

Issues Arising in Chapter 20 Bankruptcy Proceedings, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 281

### **Bankruptcy and Insolvency**

Issues Arising in Chapter 20 Bankruptcy Proceedings, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 281

### **Banks**

Construction and Application of Federal Credit Union Act of 1934 (FCUA) (12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1751 to 1795k), 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 357

### **Certificates and Certification**

Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 19

### **Civil Service**

Preemptive Effect of Civil Service Reform Act, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 515

### **Constructive or Substituted Service**

Construction and Application of Rule 4(f)(2)(C) of Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure Authorizing Foreign Service on Individual by Method Calculated to Give Notice Unless Prohibited by Foreign Country's Law, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 475

### **Credit Unions**

Construction and Application of Federal Credit Union Act of 1934 (FCUA) (12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1751 to 1795k), 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 357

### **Debtors and Creditors**

Construction and Application of Federal Credit Union Act of 1934 (FCUA) (12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1751 to 1795k), 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 357

### **Deportation**

Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 19

### **Discharge from Employment or Office**

Preemptive Effect of Civil Service Reform Act, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 515

### **Drugs and Narcotics**

What Constitutes "Possession" of Firearm for Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1), Providing Penalty for Possession of Firearm in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking Crime or Crime of Violence, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 37

### **Due Process**

Entrapment to Commit Federal Crimes of Terrorism, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 215

### **Entrapment**

Entrapment to Commit Federal Crimes of Terrorism, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 215

### **Food Stamps**

Eligibility of Aliens for Food Assistance Benefits Under Federal Constitu-

SUBJECTS ANNOTATED

- tional or Statutory Provisions, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1
- Gun Control Laws**  
What Constitutes “Possession” of Firearm for Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1), Providing Penalty for Possession of Firearm in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking Crime or Crime of Violence, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 37
- Immigration and Naturalization**  
Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 19
- Labor and Employment**  
Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 19
- Mitigation or Aggravation of Punishment**  
What Constitutes “Possession” of Firearm for Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1), Providing Penalty for Possession of Firearm in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking Crime or Crime of Violence, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 37
- National Firearms Act**  
What Constitutes “Possession” of Firearm for Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1), Providing Penalty for Possession of Firearm in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking Crime or Crime of Violence, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 37
- Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act**  
What Constitutes “Possession” of Firearm for Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1), Providing Penalty for Possession of Firearm in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking Crime or Crime of Violence, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 37
- Passports**  
Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 19
- Possession**  
What Constitutes “Possession” of Firearm for Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1), Providing Penalty for Possession of Firearm in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking Crime or Crime of Violence, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 37
- Priorities and Preferences**  
Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 19
- Prisons, Treaties**  
Application of Transfer of Offenders Treaty Between the United States of America and Canada on Execution of Penal Sentences, U.S.-Can., Mar. 2, 1977, 30 U.S.T. 6263, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 261
- Process and Service of Process**  
Construction and Application of Rule 4(f)(2)(C) of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Authorizing Foreign Service on Individual by Method Calculated to Give Notice Unless Prohibited by Foreign Country’s Law, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 475
- Public Officers and Employees**  
Preemptive Effect of Civil Service Reform Act, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 515
- Qualifications Clauses**  
Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 19
- Reorganization**  
Issues Arising in Chapter 20 Bankruptcy Proceedings, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 281
- Sentence and Punishment**  
What Constitutes “Possession” of Firearm for Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1), Providing Penalty for Possession of Firearm in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking Crime or Crime of Violence, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 37
- Share Draft Business**  
Construction and Application of Federal

SUBJECTS ANNOTATED

- Credit Union Act of 1934 (FCUA)  
(12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1751 to 1795k), 89  
A.L.R. Fed. 2d 357
- Shipping**  
Who Constitutes “Owner” for Purposes  
of Limitation of Liability Act, 46  
U.S.C.A. § 30505(a), 89 A.L.R. Fed.  
2d 583
- Terrorism**  
Entrapment to Commit Federal Crimes  
of Terrorism, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 215
- Usury**  
Construction and Application of Federal  
Credit Union Act of 1934 (FCUA)  
(12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1751 to 1795k), 89  
A.L.R. Fed. 2d 357
- Visas**  
Validity, Construction, and Application  
of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 (2007), Pro-  
viding for Validity of and Invalida-  
tion of Labor Certifications of  
Aliens, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 19
- Weapons and Firearms**  
What Constitutes “Possession” of Fire-  
arm for Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A.  
§ 924(c)(1), Providing Penalty for  
Possession of Firearm in Further-  
ance of Drug Trafficking Crime or  
Crime of Violence, 89 A.L.R. Fed.  
2d 37



Eligibility of Aliens for Food Assistance Benefits  
Under Federal Constitutional or Statutory  
Provisions

by  
*Mark T. Roohk, J.D.*

In 1996, Congress passed the Welfare Reform Act (or Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA), 8 U.S.C.A. §§ 1601 et seq.), which significantly altered alien-eligibility requirements for federal public benefits, including the state-administered federal food assistance program, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP (7 U.S.C.A. §§ 2011 et seq.)). PRWORA classifies aliens into two general categories: “qualified aliens” and “non-qualified aliens.” The great majority of aliens are deemed nonqualified under PRWORA and are, with some exceptions, ineligible for federal food stamps. A year after enactment, Congress extended the states’ discretionary authority to cover any legal aliens rendered ineligible for federal food stamps by PRWORA’s restrictions (7 U.S.C.A. § 2016(i)). In *Pimentel v. Dreyfus*, 670 F.3d 1096, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 603 (9th Cir. 2012), the court held that a state had no constitutional duty to provide federally ineligible aliens with state benefits and rejected an equal protection challenge to the State of Washington’s termination of its own state-funded food assistance program which it had created following the enactment of PWORA. This annotation collects and discusses those cases addressing the eligibility of aliens for food assistance benefits under federal constitutional or statutory provisions.

**Pimentel v. Dreyfus is fully reported at page 603, infra.**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Research References**

### **Index**

### **Table of Cases**

## **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background and summary
- § 3 Practice pointers

### **II. STANDARD OF REVIEW**

#### **A. FEDERAL LAW**

- § 4 Rational basis is appropriate standard for challenges to federal alienage laws
- § 5 The government's lack of affirmative obligation to provide legislative purpose in support of rational basis
- § 6 Existence of legitimate government interest will satisfy rational basis requirement
- § 7 Exceptions allowed for certain classes of aliens in and of themselves do not render entire law irrational

#### **B. STATE LAW**

- § 8 Strict scrutiny is appropriate standard for challenges to state alienage laws
- § 9 Strict scrutiny is not applicable when state is merely implementing federal law
- § 10 Termination of state food assistance program

### **III. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF THE WELFARE REFORM ACT**

- § 11 "Qualifying quarters" provision
- § 12 Exception for noncitizen veterans
- § 13 Exception for battered aliens

**IV. RETROACTIVITY**

§ 14 Retroactivity, generally

§ 15 Interpretation by rule or policy

---

---

**Research References**

The following references may be of related or collateral interest to a user of this annotation.

**WEST'S KEY NUMBER DIGEST**

Constitutional Law ☞3041, 3072, 3115, 4118, 4437; Injunction ☞1288;  
Public Assistance ☞152, 154

**WESTLAW DATABASES**

Federal Immigration Administrative Decisions Combined (FIM-ADMIN)

Federal Immigration Briefs (FIM-BRIEF)

Federal Immigration—Code of Federal Regulations (FIM-CFR)

Federal Immigration—Cases (FIM-CS)

Immigration Cases—Courts of Appeals (FIM-CTA)

Immigration Cases—District Courts (FIM-DCT)

Federal Immigration—Federal Register (FIM-FR)

Immigration Cases—Supreme Court (FIM-SCT)

Federal Immigration—U.S. Code Annotated (FIM-USCA)

Immigration Civil Trial Filings (IM-FILING)

Immigration Legislation Handbook (IMLH)

WestlawNext® Search Query: ((ALIEN IMMIGRANT) /P (ELIGIB! QUA-  
LIF!) /P (SNAP “FOOD STAMPS” “FOOD ASSISTANCE” “NUTRI-  
TION ASSISTANCE”) & DA(AFT 08/11/2014))

**PRIMARY AUTHORITY**

7 U.S.C.A. § 2016(i)

8 U.S.C.A. §§ 1601, 1612, 1641, 1645

**A.L.R. LIBRARY**

A.L.R. Index, Agriculture Department; Aliens; Food Stamps

West's A.L.R. Digest, Constitutional Law ☞3072; Injunction ☞1288;  
Public Assistance ☞154

Construction and Application of Special Agricultural Worker (SAW)  
Program, 8 U.S.C.A. § 1160, 37 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 341

Selection and suspension or disqualification of participating stores under  
Food Stamp Act of 1964 (7 U.S.C.A. secs. 2011 et seq.), 121 A.L.R.  
Fed. 653

Validity, Construction, and Application of 20 C.F.R.  
§ 656.30 (2007), Providing for Validity of and  
Invalidation of Labor Certifications of Aliens

by  
*George L. Blum, J.D.*

Under the labor certification statute, any alien who seeks to enter the United States for the purpose of performing skilled or unskilled labor is inadmissible unless the Secretary of Labor has determined and certified to the Secretary of State and the Attorney General that: (1) there are not sufficient workers who are able, willing, qualified, and available at the time of application for a visa and admission to the United States and at the place where the alien is to perform such skilled or unskilled labor; and (2) the employment of such aliens will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of workers in the United States similarly employed. The courts in a number of cases have addressed the validity, construction, and application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30, as promulgated in 2007. In *Elim Church of God v. Harris*, 722 F.3d 1137, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 625 (9th Cir. 2013), for example, the court held that a regulation to provide that labor certifications previously issued in connection with applications for employment-based immigrant visas, where the text of the statute did not foreclose the establishment of the expiration date for labor certifications provided sufficient notice to the employer where the amendment was announced in two public notices that focused extensively on the establishment of a new expiration date, and where the regulation was promulgated before the litigation. This annotation collects and discusses the cases that have addressed the validity, construction, and application of 20 C.F.R. § 656.30 as promulgated in 2007.

**Elim Church of God v. Harris is fully reported at page 625, infra.**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Research References**

### **Index**

### **Table of Cases**

## **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Summary and comment
- § 3 Practice pointers

### **II. VALIDITY, CONSTRUCTION, AND APPLICATION OF 20 C.F.R. § 656.30**

#### **A. IN GENERAL**

- § 4 Notice of expiration date of labor certification pursuant to regulatory scheme amendments
- § 5 Fraud or willful misrepresentation in labor certification application
- § 6 Applicability of “notification” requirement
- § 7 Jurisdiction of court to rule on validity of labor certification

#### **B. EXPIRATION, SUBSTITUTION, AND TIMELINESS**

- § 8 Expiration of labor certification
- § 9 Substitution of labor certification
- § 10 Timeliness of filing—Held labor certification valid
- § 11 —Held labor certification not valid

---

---

## **Research References**

The following references may be of related or collateral interest to a user of this annotation.

### **WEST’S KEY NUMBER DIGEST**

Aliens, Immigration, and Citizenship ☞154, 178(5)

### **WESTLAW DATABASES**

Federal Immigration—Affirmative Asylum Procedures Manual (FIM-

What Constitutes “Possession” of Firearm for  
Purposes of 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1), Providing  
Penalty for Possession of Firearm in Furtherance of  
Drug Trafficking Crime or Crime of Violence

by  
*Darian B. Taylor, LL.M.*

Any person who possesses a firearm in furtherance of a federal crime of violence or drug trafficking offense shall, in addition to the sentence imposed for the underlying offense, be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of not less than five years, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.A. § 924(c)(1). This increases to 10 years if the firearm is a short-barreled rifle or shotgun, or if it is a semi-automatic assault weapon and rises to 30 years if the weapon is a machine gun or destructive device, or if it is equipped with a silencer or muffler. The sentence may not run concurrently with sentences for other crimes and repeat offenders receive a minimum of 25 years. As a result, the question of what constitutes “possession” under this statute is frequently presented. In *U.S. v. Howard*, 687 F.3d 13, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 635 (1st Cir. 2012), for example, the court held that there was sufficient notice given to the employer under a regulation covering labor certifications previously issued in connection with applications for employment-based immigrant visas, where the text of the statute did not foreclose the establishment of the expiration date for labor certifications, where the amendment was announced in two public notices that focused extensively on the establishment of a new expiration date, and where the regulation was promulgated before the litigation. This article collects and analyzes those federal cases in which courts determined what constitutes “possession” of a firearm under section 924(c)(1).

**U.S. v. Howard is fully reported at page 635, *infra*.**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Research References**

### **Index**

### **Table of Cases**

## **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background and summary
- § 3 Practice pointers

### **II. DEFENDANT'S OWNERSHIP, DOMINION, OR CONTROL OVER FIREARM**

- § 4 Firearm discovered in defendant's full or part-time residence—Possession found
- § 5 —Possession rejected
- § 6 Firearm discovered in third-party residence—Possession found
- § 7 —Possession not found
- § 8 Firearm discovered in defendant's vehicle
- § 9 Firearm discovered in third-party vehicle—Possession found
- § 10 —Possession not found
- § 11 Firearm discovered in open or public area
- § 12 Erroneous or implausible information given to law enforcement

### **III. NEXUS BETWEEN FIREARM POSSESSION AND FURTHERANCE OF UNDERLYING CRIME**

#### **A. IN GENERAL**

- § 13 Sufficient relationship to overall criminal activity—Nexus found
- § 14 —Nexus not found

#### **B. SPECIFIC FACTORS REGARDING FIREARM**

- § 15 Type of firearm—Nexus found
- § 16 —Nexus not found
- § 17 Firearm stolen

- § 18 Firearm with obliterated serial number
- § 19 Firearm could not be legally owned or carried by defendant
- § 20 Firearm loaded or accompanied by ammunition
- § 21 Firearm not loaded or accompanied by ammunition
- § 22 Quantity of firearms

### C. FACTORS REGARDING CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH FIREARM IS FOUND

- § 23 Proximity of firearm to drugs or criminal profits—Nexus found
- § 24 —Nexus not found
- § 25 Accessibility of firearm—Nexus found
- § 26 —Nexus not found
- § 27 Firearm openly displayed or used
- § 28 Firearm exchanged for drugs—Nexus found
- § 29 —Nexus not found
- § 30 Defendant's own statements
- § 31 Use of surveillance equipment
- § 32 Overall circumstances and type of drug trafficking—Nexus found
- § 33 —Nexus not found
- § 34 Overall circumstances of federal crime of violence

### IV. VICARIOUS LIABILITY

- § 35 Vicarious liability—Liability found
- § 36 —Liability not found

---



---

## Research References

The following references may be of related or collateral interest to a user of this annotation.

#### WEST'S KEY NUMBER DIGEST

Weapons ☞ 166, 167, 189, 190, 191, 192, 289, 291(1), 291(2), 293(3), 294(3), 294(6), 296, 308, 328

#### WESTLAW DATABASES

Criminal Justice—Law Reviews, Texts & Bar Journals (CJ-TP)  
 Criminal Law—Wharton (CRIMLAW)  
 Criminal Law News (CRIMLWNEWS)  
 Criminal Practice Guides (CRPGUIDE)  
 Criminal Practice Report (CRPREPORT)

## Entrapment to Commit Federal Crimes of Terrorism

by  
*Sarah L. Harrington, J.D.*

A valid entrapment defense has two related elements: government inducement of the crime, and a lack of predisposition on the part of the defendant to engage in criminal conduct. A defendant raising the entrapment defense has the burden of showing inducement, and, if inducement is shown, the prosecution has the burden of proving predisposition beyond a reasonable doubt. Predisposition, the principal element in the defense of entrapment, focuses upon whether the defendant was an unwary innocent or, instead, an unwary criminal who readily availed himself of the opportunity to perpetrate the crime. In *U.S. v. Cromitie*, 727 F.3d 194, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 647 (2d Cir. 2013), petition for certiorari filed, 2014 WL 1458188 (U.S. 2014) and petition for certiorari filed, 2014 WL 1484922 (U.S. 2014) and petition for certiorari filed, 2014 WL 1485159 (U.S. 2014) and petition for certiorari filed, 2014 WL 1515124 (U.S. 2014), the court held that, although the confidential informant's efforts to persuade the defendant to commit domestic terrorism offenses constituted inducement for purposes of entrapment defense, the defendant's initial statements to the confidential informant revealed a preexisting design to commit terrorist acts against the interests of the United States sufficient to establish predisposition to commit charged acts of terrorism, thereby defeating the entrapment defense, even though government officers afforded him the opportunity and the pseudo weapons for striking at specific targets. This annotation collects and analyzes those cases which have discussed the defense of entrapment in a federal prosecution for a crime of terrorism.

**U.S. v. Cromitie is fully reported at page 647, *infra*.**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Research References**

### **Index**

### **Table of Cases**

## **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background and summary
- § 3 Practice pointers

### **II. CONSTRUCTION AND APPLICATION**

#### **A. INDUCEMENT ELEMENT OF DEFENSE OF ENTRAPMENT**

- § 4 Government inducement of crime—Inducement found
- § 5 —Inducement not found

#### **B. PREDISPOSITION ELEMENT OF DEFENSE OF ENTRAPMENT**

- § 6 Preexisting design—Predisposition found
- § 7 —Predisposition not found
- § 8 Ready compliance
- § 9 Prior similar conduct—Predisposition found
- § 10 —Predisposition not found

#### **C. DEFENSES RELATED TO ENTRAPMENT**

- § 11 Outrageous government conduct

### **III. EVIDENCE OF INDUCEMENT OR PREDISPOSITION**

- § 12 Evidence of defendants' statements or conduct
- § 13 Evidence regarding investigation
- § 14 Hearsay evidence—Properly admitted
- § 15 —Improperly admitted
- § 16 Probative value versus prejudicial effect of evidence
- § 17 Expert testimony

#### IV. PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- § 18 Jury instructions
- § 19 Sentencing considerations

---

---

### Research References

The following references may be of related or collateral interest to a user of this annotation.

#### WEST'S KEY NUMBER DIGEST

Conspiracy ⚡51; Constitutional Law ⚡522, 1414, 3896, 4511, 4521, 4522, 4523, 4524; Criminal Law ⚡36.5, 36.6, 37(2), 37(2.1), 37(3), 37(4), 37(6), 37(6.1), 330, 569, 739.1(1), 772(6), 1916; Sentencing and Punishment ⚡89; War and National Emergency ⚡1128, 1131, 1338

#### WESTLAW DATABASES

Criminal Procedure (CRIMPROC)  
Criminal Practice Manual (CRPMAN)  
Trial Motions (MOTIONS)  
Trial Pleadings (PLEADINGS)  
WestlawNext® Search Query: ENTRAP! /P (TERRORIS! (“18 U.S.C.!” +3 233!)) & DA(AFT 9/3/2014)

#### PRIMARY AUTHORITY

18 U.S.C.A. §§ 2331 to 2339B

#### A.L.R. LIBRARY

A.L.R. Index, Due Process; Entrapment; Terrorism  
West's A.L.R. Digest, Constitutional Law ⚡4523; Criminal Law ⚡36.6, 37(6.1), 569  
Actions by state official involving defendant as constituting “outrageous” conduct violating due process guaranties, 18 A.L.R.5th 1  
Burden of proof as to entrapment defense—state cases, 52 A.L.R.4th 775  
Entrapment to commit crime with view to prosecution therefor, 18 A.L.R. 146  
Adequacy of Defense Counsel's Representation of Criminal Client Regarding Entrapment Defense—Federal Cases, 42 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 145  
Validity, Construction, and Application of 18 U.S.C.A. sec. 2332a Prohibiting Use or Threat, Attempt, or Conspiracy to Use Certain Weapons of Mass Destruction, 199 A.L.R. Fed. 1  
Validity, Construction, and Application of 18 U.S.C.A. sec. 2339A, Proscribing Providing Material Support to Terrorists, 197 A.L.R. Fed. 1

Application of Transfer of Offenders Treaty  
Between the United States of America and Canada  
on Execution of Penal Sentences, U.S.-Can., Mar. 2,  
1977, 30 U.S.T. 6263

by  
*Mark T. Roohk, J.D.*

The Transfer of Offenders Treaty Between the United States of America and Canada on Execution of Penal Sentences, Art. I, III, March 2, 1977, 1978 WL 182456, 30 U.S.T. 6263, also known as the Prisoner Transfer Treaty, outlines the process of transferring prisoners between the two countries. The preamble of the Treaty states that its purpose is to enable offenders, with their consent, to serve sentences of imprisonment or parole or supervision in the country of which they are citizens, thereby facilitating their successful reintegration into society. The Treaty outlines certain requirements which must be met by the offenders to be eligible for transfer, as well as procedures to be followed by both countries. In *Gandy v. Colorado Dept. of Corrections*, 2012 COA 100, 284 P.3d 898, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 695 (Colo. App. 2012), a Colorado state court discussed several of these requirements and procedures in a case in which a Canadian offender was denied a transfer request based on application of a Colorado regulation. The court held that application of the regulation both abrogated the Treaty and violated the Supremacy Clause. This annotation collects and discusses all the cases in which courts have considered the Transfer of Offenders Treaty.

***Gandy v. Colorado Dept. of Corrections* is fully reported at page 695, *infra*.**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Research References**

### **Index**

### **Table of Cases**

## **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Summary and comment
- § 3 Practice pointers

### **II. APPLICATION**

#### **A. TRANSFER REQUESTS**

- § 4 Transfer requests, generally
- § 5 No protected liberty interest or private right of action under a treaty
- § 6 Standing
- § 7 Equal protection
- § 8 Federal Administrative Procedure Act
- § 9 Form of petition for habeas corpus

#### **B. STATE LAW**

- § 10 State approval of transfer request
- § 11 Application of state law would abrogate Treaty
- § 12 Application of state law does not conflict with Treaty
- § 13 State not bound by Treaty

### **III. POSTTRANSFER CHALLENGES**

- § 14 Jurisdiction over challenges to the sentence—Credits
- § 15 —Parole
- § 16 Double jeopardy

Issues Arising in Chapter 20 Bankruptcy Proceedings

by  
*James L. Buchwalter, J.D.*

Bankruptcy debtors who have recently filed a Chapter 7 petition often also file for relief under Chapter 13, with the hope of skirting the restrictions that Chapter 7 imposes on avoiding certain liens and discharging certain types of unsecured debts. Thus, these so-called “Chapter 20” cases may allow for “stripping off” junior mortgage liens under certain circumstances, an issue that has recently become prominent with many homeowners currently “underwater” on their residential loans. In *In re Waterman*, 469 B.R. 334, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 707 (D. Colo. 2012), the court ruled that although a “Chapter 20” debtor’s ineligibility for discharge in his latest Chapter 13 case did not render him per se ineligible to strip a creditor’s lien, the bankruptcy court still had an independent duty to determine whether the debtor’s plan, which provided for “stripping off” of a junior deed-of-trust lender’s wholly unsecured lien upon his residence, satisfied all the requirements for plan confirmation, including the Bankruptcy Code’s “good faith” requirement.

**In re Waterman is fully reported at page 707, *infra*.**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Research References**

### **Index**

### **Table of Cases**

## **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Summary and comment
- § 3 Practice pointers

### **II. ISSUES ARISING IN “CHAPTER 20”**

#### **A. JURISDICTIONAL AND PROCEDURAL MATTERS**

- § 4 Issue or claim preclusion
- § 5 Injunction against further bankruptcy filings

#### **B. PERMISSIBILITY OF “CHAPTER 20” FILINGS**

- § 6 View that simultaneous Chapter 7 and Chapter 13 cases are per se barred
- § 7 View that simultaneous Chapter 7 and Chapter 13 cases are not per se barred
- § 8 Sequential Chapter 7 and Chapter 13 filings—Held impermissible under circumstances
- § 9 —Held permissible under circumstances

#### **C. PROPERTY OF ESTATE AND BANKRUPTCY CLAIMS**

- § 10 Property includable in bankruptcy estate
- § 11 Judicial lien as “claim” in Chapter 13 case
- § 12 Mortgage lien as “claim” in Chapter 13 case
- § 13 Omitted claim in Chapter 7 case as allowable “claim” in later Chapter 13 case
- § 14 “Stripping” off wholly unsecured lien—Held impermissible
- § 15 —Held permissible
- § 16 —Held permissible if made in good faith
- § 17 —Approved with condition of no res judicata effect

§ 18 "Stripping" down lien that is partly supported by equity

D. CONTENTS AND APPROVAL OF CHAPTER 13  
PLAN

§ 19 Good faith filing of plan—Held established under circumstances

§ 20 —Held not established under circumstances

§ 21 —No payment to unsecured creditors under plan—Held good faith demonstrated

§ 22 ——Held good faith not demonstrated

§ 23 —Dealing with debts nondischargeable in Chapter 7

§ 24 Curing arrearages in plan

§ 25 Effect of plan confirmation

E. ISSUES CONCERNING BANKRUPTCY  
DISCHARGE

§ 26 Bar on discharge refers to chapter under which discharge was actually entered, not necessarily chapter under which earlier case was initiated

§ 27 Bar to discharge in Chapter 13 where Chapter 7 case was converted from previous Chapter 13

§ 28 Bar to discharge in Chapter 13 where previous Chapter 7 case converted to earlier Chapter 13—Held ineligible for discharge

§ 29 —Held eligible for discharge

§ 30 Bar to discharge—Limitations "look-back" period runs from date of filing petition

§ 31 —Retroactivity of limitations period

§ 32 Tax debts as dischargeable in Chapter 13

## Research References

The following references may be of related or collateral interest to a user of this annotation.

### WEST'S KEY NUMBER DIGEST

Bankruptcy Ⓒ3718(4)

### WESTLAW DATABASES

2005 Bankruptcy Reform Legislation with Analysis (BAPCPA)

Chapter 13 Practice and Procedure (CHAP13PP)

Consumer Bankruptcy Manual (CONSBKRML)

Construction and Application of Federal Credit  
Union Act of 1934 (FCUA) (12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1751 to  
1795k)

by  
*Elizabeth D. Lauzon, J.D.*

In 1934, the Federal Credit Union Act (FCUA) (15 U.S.C.A. §§ 1751 to 1795k) was enacted to establish a system of credit unions to facilitate the stabilization of the nation's credit structure and to achieve an increased availability of loans. Courts have construed and applied the FCUA to ensure that the credit union system is fair to the banking public. Thus, in *In re Perez*, 440 B.R. 634, 73 U.C.C. Rep. Serv. 2d 257, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 717 (Bankr. D. N.J. 2010), the court held that because a federal credit union's power to make loans as granted under the Federal Credit Union Act of 1934 (FCUA) (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(5)), preempts state law, including any state Uniform Commercial Code (U.C.C.) provision that conflicts with the Act, the deposit account held by the debtor at the credit union qualified as "member account" as defined by the FCUA (12 U.S.C.A. § 1752(5)), allowing the credit union, pursuant to (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(11)), to automatically perfect a statutory lien on the account the moment that the credit union's loan to the debtor originated. This annotation collects and analyzes cases construing and applying the Federal Credit Union Act (FCUA) of 1934 (15 U.S.C.A. §§ 1751 to 1795k).

**In re Perez is fully reported at page 717, *infra*.**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Research References**

**Index**

**Table of Cases**

### **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

#### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Summary and comment

#### **II. CONSTRUCTION OF FEDERAL CREDIT UNION ACT OF 1934 (FCUA)**

##### **A. IN GENERAL**

- § 3 History and purpose (12 U.S.C.A. 1751)

##### **B. NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRA- TION (NCUA)**

- § 4 Agency of federal government (12 U.S.C.A. § 1752a(a))
- § 5 Management under National Credit Union Administration Board (NCUAB) (12 U.S.C.A. § 1752a(a))
- § 6 Term of office—Removal of NCUAB members (12 U.S.C.A. § 1752a(c))

##### **C. NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRA- TION BOARD (NCUAB) POWERS**

- § 7 Power to issue federal credit union membership rules and regulations—Preemptive power (12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1766(a), 1789(a)(11))
- § 8 Power to approve federal credit union organization certificate—Standard of review (12 U.S.C.A. § 1754)
- § 9 Power to examine federal credit union records and receive reports—Does not preempt state law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1756)
- § 10 Power to suspend federal credit union charter and place in liquidation (12 U.S.C.A. § 1766(b))
- § 11 Power to appoint and terminate NCUA personnel (12 U.S.C.A. § 1766(d), (i))

## D. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION REQUIREMENTS

- § 12 Display of insurance logo—Insured share accounts backed by full faith and credit of United States government (12 U.S.C.A. § 1785(a))
- § 13 Conversion from federal to state credit union—Does not preempt state law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1771(a))
- § 14 Conversion from federally insured credit union to federal mutual savings bank—Does not preempt state law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1785(b)(2)(A))
- § 15 Setting of interest rates—Preempts state usury law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1785(g))
- § 16 —Does not preempt state nonusury law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1785(g))

## E. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION POWERS

- § 17 Power to sue and be sued—Punitive damages allowed (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(2))
- § 18 Power to make loans—Preempts state usury law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(5))
- § 19 —Does not preempt state nonusury law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(5))
- § 20 Power to invest its funds—Standby commitments permitted (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(7))
- § 21 Power to impose and enforce a lien on shares—Statutory lien (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(11))
- § 22 —Not a statutory lien (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(11))

## F. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION MEMBERSHIP

- § 23 In general (12 U.S.C.A. § 1759(a))
- § 24 Membership field—Common bond provision (12 U.S.C.A. § 1759(b))

## G. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION MANAGEMENT

- § 25 Supervisory committee (12 U.S.C.A. § 1761d)

## H. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION TAXATION

## 1. Federal Credit Unions Exempted

- § 26 Income taxes (12 U.S.C.A. § 1768)
- § 27 State personal property tax on member property (12 U.S.C.A. § 1768)

- § 28 State sales tax (12 U.S.C.A. § 1768)
- § 29 State fees imposed on loans (12 U.S.C.A. § 1768)
- § 30 Local transient occupancy tax (12 U.S.C.A. § 1768)

## 2. Federal Credit Unions Not Exempted

- § 31 State personal property tax on credit union property (12 U.S.C.A. § 1768)
- § 32 State real property tax (12 U.S.C.A. § 1768)
- § 33 State mortgage recording tax (12 U.S.C.A. § 1768)

### I. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION TERMINATION OF INSURANCE, SUSPENSION, REMOVAL, CEASE AND DESIST, AND CONSERVATORSHIP

- § 34 Termination of insurance—Does not preempt state law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1786(a))
- § 35 Removal of or prohibition order to credit union officials (12 U.S.C.A. § 1786(g))
- § 36 Conservatorship (12 U.S.C.A. § 1786(h))

### J. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION LIQUIDATION

- § 37 Authority to involuntarily liquidate (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(a)(1))
- § 38 Power to succeed to all rights, titles, and powers of credit union (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(b)(2)(A))
- § 39 Authority to determine claims (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(b))
- § 40 Stay of legal actions (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(b)(12))
- § 41 Statute of limitations for NCUAB claims (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(b)(14))
- § 42 Application of *D'Oench* doctrine (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(p)(2))

### K. WHISTLEBLOWER PROVISION

- § 43 Remedies—Punitive damages available (12 U.S.C.A. § 1790b(c))
- § 44 Remedies—No punitive damages (12 U.S.C.A. § 1790b(c))

### L. JUDICIAL REVIEW

#### 1. Private Right of Action

- § 45 Statutory private right of action does not exist (12 U.S.C.A. § 1751)
- § 46 Federal common law private right of action exists (12 U.S.C.A. § 1751)

§ 47 Federal common law private right of action does not exist  
(12 U.S.C.A. § 1751)

## 2. Standing and Jurisdiction

§ 48 Standing exists—Commercial banks are within FCUA’s “zone  
of interest” (12 U.S.C.A. § 1751)

§ 49 Concurrent jurisdiction exists (12 U.S.C.A. § 1789(a)(2))

§ 50 Federal jurisdiction—Removal (12 U.S.C.A. § 1789(a)(2))

### III. APPLICATION OF FEDERAL CREDIT UNION ACT OF 1934 (FCUA)

#### A. DEFINITIONS

§ 51 Credit union deposit account defined a “member account”  
(12 U.S.C.A. § 1752(5))

§ 52 Institution not defined an “insured credit union” (12  
U.S.C.A. § 1752(7))

#### B. NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRA- TION BOARD (NCUAB) POWERS

§ 53 Insurance of member accounts—Share insurance denied (12  
U.S.C.A. § 1781)

§ 54 Suspension or revocation of credit union charter upheld (12  
U.S.C.A. § 1766(b))

§ 55 Credit union liquidation upheld (12 U.S.C.A. § 1766(b))

§ 56 Subpoena enforced (12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1784(b), 1789(a)(8))

#### C. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION REQUIREMENTS

§ 57 Bylaws consistent with FCUA (12 U.S.C.A. § 1758)

§ 58 Allotment of space in federal buildings or federal land—  
Credit union use denied (12 U.S.C.A. § 1770)

#### D. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION POWERS

##### 1. Power to Make Loans

§ 59 Preempted state law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(5))

§ 60 Did not preempt state law (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(5))

§ 61 Loan held valid (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(5), (7)(A))

§ 62 Interest rate not usurious (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(5))

2. Power to Impose and Enforce Lien on Shares

- § 63 Lien enforced (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(11))
- § 64 Lien not enforced (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(11))

3. Other Powers

- § 65 Power to receive payments on shares, share certificates, and share draft accounts and to make deposits (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(6), (8))
- § 66 Power to purchase or dispose of members' obligations (12 U.S.C.A. § 1757(13))

E. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION MEMBERSHIP

1. In General

- § 67 Shares issued in joint tenancy not valid (12 U.S.C.A. § 1759(a))

2. Membership Field

- § 68 Held an associational common bond credit union (12 U.S.C.A. § 1759(b))
- § 69 Held not an associational common bond credit union (12 U.S.C.A. § 1759(b))
- § 70 Held not an occupational common bond credit union (12 U.S.C.A. § 1759(b))
- § 71 Held a community credit union (12 U.S.C.A. § 1759(b))
- § 72 Held not a community credit union (12 U.S.C.A. § 1759(b))

F. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION TERMINATION OF  
INSURANCE, SUSPENSION, REMOVAL,  
CEASE AND DESIST, AND  
CONSERVATORSHIP

- § 73 Special assistance to avoid liquidation—Claims barred by *D'Oench* doctrine (12 U.S.C.A. § 1788(a)(3))
- § 74 Temporary cease and desist order—Injunction against order time-barred (12 U.S.C.A. § 1786(f))
- § 75 Removal or prohibition order upheld (12 U.S.C.A. § 1786(g))
- § 76 Conservatorship upheld (12 U.S.C.A. § 1786(h))

G. FEDERAL CREDIT UNION LIQUIDATION

- § 77 Credit union involuntary liquidation upheld (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(a)(1)(A))

- § 78 Preliminary injunction denied (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(b)(2)(G))
- § 79 Statute of limitations for action brought by claimant—Claim was timely (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(b)(6))
- § 80 Statute of limitations for action brought by conservator or liquidating agent—Claim was timely (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(b)(14))
- § 81 —Claim was time-barred (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(b)(14))
- § 82 Asset transfer was not fraudulent (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(b)(16))
- § 83 Preexisting contract repudiation upheld (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(c)(1))
- § 84 Payment of insured deposits denied (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(d))
- § 85 Damages—Prejudgment interest denied (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(i))
- § 86 Agreement affecting assets interest of NCUAB—Claim was barred by *D'Oench* doctrine (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(p)(2))
- § 87 Agreement affecting assets interest of NCUAB—Claim was not barred by *D'Oench* doctrine (12 U.S.C.A. § 1787(p)(2))

#### H. WHISTLEBLOWER PROVISION

- § 88 Credit union employee protection remedy—Credit union violated or may have violated whistleblower provision (12 U.S.C.A. § 1790b(a))
- § 89 —Credit union did not violate whistleblower provision (12 U.S.C.A. § 1790b(a))

#### I. JUDICIAL REVIEW

- § 90 Federal jurisdiction existed (12 U.S.C.A. § 1789(a)(2))
- § 91 Subpoena enforced (12 U.S.C.A. §§ 1784(b), 1789(a)(8))

---



---

### Research References

The following references may be of related or collateral interest to a user of this annotation.

#### WEST'S KEY NUMBER DIGEST

Bankruptcy ☞2580.1, 2704; Building and Loan Associations ☞1, 2, 3.1, 5(1), 6(2), 7.1, 15, 23(1), 24, 26, 27(2), 28, 33(1), 40, 41(2), 42(6), 43, 45(1), 48; Consumer Credit ☞1, 2, 16; Deposits and Escrows ☞31; Federal Courts ☞232; Labor and Employment ☞1307; States ☞18, 19; Taxation ☞2218; United States ☞33, 41, 53, 57; Usury ☞1, 2(1), 5, 42

#### WESTLAW DATABASES

Regulatory Guidance—All Consumer Banking Summaries (BANK-CON-

Construction and Application of Rule 4(f)(2)(C) of  
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Authorizing  
Foreign Service on Individual by Method Calculated  
to Give Notice Unless Prohibited by Foreign  
Country's Law

by  
*Erin Forst, J.D.*

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f) sets forth the methods for serving process on individuals in a foreign country. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(2)(C)(i) provides for service by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the individual personally. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(2)(C)(ii) provides for service by having the clerk of court send service to the individual using any form of mail requiring a signed receipt. Both methods are only permitted where they are not prohibited by the foreign country's law. Courts have been divided over the interpretations of certain words in these provisions, such as what constitutes "mail" and what "prohibit" means. For example, in *SignalQuest, Inc. v. Tien-Ming Chou*, 284 F.R.D. 45, 82 Fed. R. Serv. 3d 1023, 2012 DNH 90, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 729 (D.N.H. 2012), the court rejected an interpretation of "unless prohibited by the foreign country's law" that would allow service only where the foreign country's law expressly provided for the method used by the plaintiff and said that a method of service was permitted unless the foreign country's law expressly barred that method. This annotation collects and analyzes those federal cases which have construed or applied Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(2)(C) authorizing foreign service on an individual by a method calculated to give notice unless prohibited by the foreign country's law.

**SignalQuest, Inc. v. Tien-Ming Chou** is fully reported at page 729, *infra*.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Research References**

### **Index**

### **Table of Cases**

## **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Summary and comment
- § 3 Practice pointers

### **II. APPLICATION OF FED. R. CIV. P. 4(f)(2)(C)(i)**

- § 4 Serving agent
- § 5 Defendant interrupts or evades service

### **III. APPLICATION OF FED. R. CIV. P. 4(f)(2)(C)(ii)**

#### **A. METHOD OF MAILING**

- § 6 Sent via private carrier—Found to be proper
- § 7 —Found to be improper
- § 8 Sent without return receipt

#### **B. PERSON PERFORMING MAILING**

- § 9 Plaintiff
- § 10 Plaintiff's attorney
- § 11 Not specified
- § 12 Clerk of court
- § 13 Both plaintiff and clerk of court—Found to be proper
- § 14 —Found to be improper

#### **C. DELIVERY**

- § 15 Not delivered

### **IV. APPLICATION OF “UNLESS PROHIBITED BY THE FOREIGN COUNTRY’S LAW”**

- § 16 No showing that foreign country's law permitted service

- § 17 Foreign country's law did not prohibit service—Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(2)(C)(i)  
 § 18 —Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(2)(C)(ii)  
 § 19 Foreign country's law prohibited service—Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(2)(C)(i)  
 § 20 —Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(2)(C)(ii)

## Research References

The following references may be of related or collateral interest to a user of this annotation.

### WEST'S KEY NUMBER DIGEST

Patents ⚡291; Process ⚡62, 76, 83

### WESTLAW DATABASES

Federal International Law—Rules (FINT-RULES)  
 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules and Commentary (FRCP-RC)  
 Federal Rules Decisions Multibase (FRD)  
 Federal Rules Decisions Articles (FRD-ART)  
 Federal Rules Decisions Cases (FRD-CS)  
 Federal Rules Decisions Rules (FRD-RULES)  
 Litigation of International Disputes in U.S. Courts (LOID)  
 Federal Rules (US-RULES)  
 WestlawNext® Search Query: 4(f)(2)(C) & DA(AFT 09/04/2014)

### PRIMARY AUTHORITY

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(2)(C)

### A.L.R. LIBRARY

A.L.R. Index, Constructive or Substituted Service; Process and Service of Process  
 West's A.L.R. Digest, Process ⚡62, 76, 83  
 Service of Process Via Computer or Fax, 30 A.L.R.6th 413  
 Comment Note.—Federal or state law as controlling, in diversity action, whether foreign corporation is amenable to service of process in state, 6 A.L.R.3d 1103  
 Manner of service of process upon foreign corporation which has withdrawn from state, 86 A.L.R.2d 1000  
 Rule 4(d)(5), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, relating to service upon an officer or agency of the United States, 73 A.L.R.2d 1008  
 Construction and Application of Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) Nationwide Service of Process Provision, 18 U.S.C.A. § 1965, 65 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 21

Preemptive Effect of Civil Service Reform Act

by  
*Deborah F. Buckman, J.D.*

The Civil Service Reform Act (CSRA) was enacted in 1978 to comprehensively overhaul the outdated and disorganized civil service system by creating a new framework for evaluating adverse employment actions against federal employees and presenting an integrated scheme of both administrative and judicial review of challenged personnel practices. It forbids “prohibited personnel practices,” defined as any “personnel action” taken for an improper motive by someone who has authority to take personnel actions. Because it is comprehensive and intended to provide the exclusive procedure for challenging federal civil service personnel decisions, the CSRA generally will preclude and preempt any actions brought outside its parameters in the federal courts. There are, however, some decisions which hold that civil service employees’ actions were not preempted. In *Murphree v. American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO*, 850 F. Supp. 2d 1256, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 739 (N.D. Ala. 2012), for example, the court held that the CSRA did not preempt claims of defamation, invasion of privacy, and intentional infliction of emotional distress. This annotation collects and discusses all the cases which address the question of the CSRA’s preemptive effect.

**Murphree v. American Federation of Government Employees is fully reported at page 739, *infra*.**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Research References**

**Index**

**Table of Cases**

### **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

#### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background and summary

#### **II. CSRA PREEMPTION OF CLAIMS FOUND**

- § 3 Tort claims
- § 4 Intentional infliction of emotional distress claims
- § 5 Wrongful discharge claims
- § 6 Retaliation claims
- § 7 Discrimination claims
- § 8 Breach of contract claims
- § 9 Privacy Act violations
- § 10 Fair Housing Act claims
- § 11 Family and Medical Leave Act claims
- § 12 Constitutional violations claims
- § 13 Claims against union for breach of duty of representation

#### **III. CSRA PREEMPTION OF CLAIMS NOT FOUND**

- § 14 Tort claims
  - § 15 Discrimination claims
  - § 16 Breach of collective bargaining agreement claim
  - § 17 Privacy Act violations
  - § 18 Criminal claims
  - § 19 Constitutional violations claims
  - § 20 Claims against union for breach of duty of representation
- 
-

Who Constitutes “Owner” for Purposes of  
Limitation of Liability Act, 46 U.S.C.A. § 30505(a)

by  
*Sarah L. Harrington, J.D.*

Under the Limitation of Liability Act, 46 U.S.C.A. § 30505 (formerly codified at 46 App. U.S.C.A. § 183), a vessel owner’s liability for certain claims is limited to the value of the vessel and pending freight, in the absence of the owner having privity or knowledge. The owner of a vessel can petition under the Limitation of Liability Act to be exonerated from liability, or have liability limited, for any damages arising from accidents involving the owner’s vessels. In determining who is an “owner” within the meaning of the Limitation of Liability Act, courts have considered who pays for storage of the vessel and who skippers the vessel, as well as who has possession and control of the vessel. In *In re Aloha Jetski, LLC*, 920 F. Supp. 2d 1143, 2013 A.M.C. 53, 89 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 757 (D. Haw. 2013), the court found that the sole member of the limited liability company (LLC) in question, which was the title-holder of the vessels, was the “owner” within the meaning of the Limitation of Liability Act. He was the person ultimately responsible for the vessels’ maintenance and operation, and the only person with the authority to act on behalf of the LLC. As such, the owner and LLC were entitled to invoke the protection of the Act. This annotation collects and discusses all the cases in which the courts determined who is an “owner” within the meaning of 46 U.S.C.A. § 30505(a).

**In re Aloha Jetski is fully reported at page 757, *infra*.**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Research References**

### **Index**

### **Table of Cases**

## **ARTICLE OUTLINE**

### **I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background and summary
- § 3 Practice pointers

### **II. CONSTRUCTION AND APPLICATIONS**

- § 4 Charterer
- § 5 Dominion and control over vessel—Found sufficient to qualify as owner
- § 6 —Found insufficient to qualify as owner
- § 7 Member, officer, or shareholder of corporate entity
- § 8 No stay of action for parties who are not owners

---

---

## **Research References**

The following references may be of related or collateral interest to a user of this annotation.

### **WEST'S KEY NUMBER DIGEST**

Shipping ⚓205

### **WESTLAW DATABASES**

Admiralty and Maritime Law (ADMMARL)  
American Maritime Cases (AMC)  
Trial Motions (MOTIONS)  
National Admiralty and Maritime Briefs (MRT-BRIEF)  
Admiralty and Maritime Civil Trial Filings (MRT-FILING)  
Admiralty and Maritime Specialist Multibase (MRT-SPECIALIST)  
Trial Pleadings (PLEADINGS)  
West's Legal Forms—Admiralty and Maritime (WESTLF-MRT)  
WestlawNext® Search Query: (46 /5 30501 30505) "LIMITATION OF LIABILITY ACT" /P (OWNER /S QUALIF! ENTITLE! CONSTI-