# CAUSES OF ACTION®

118 COA 2d®

**Second** 

#### 2025



#### ©2025 Thomson Reuters

For authorization to photocopy, please contact the **Copyright Clearance Center** at 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA, http://www.copyright.com, Toll-Free US +1.855.239.3415; International +1.978.646.2600 or **Thomson Reuters Copyright Services** at 2900 Ames Crossing Rd, Suite 100, Eagan, MN 55121, USA or copyright.west@thomsonreuters.com. Please outline the specific material involved, the number of copies you wish to distribute and the purpose or format of the use.

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered; however, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Nothing contained herein is intended or written to be used for the purposes of 1) avoiding penalties imposed under the federal Internal Revenue Code, or 2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

#### Publisher

Katherine E. Freije, J.D.

 $Publication\ Editor$ 

Richard J. Arneson, J.D.

 $Legal\ Editors$ 

Hagop M. Ayvazian, J.D.

Oliver G. Hahn, J.D.

Megan M. Rauser, J.D.

#### **Preface**

Each volume of Thomson Reuters' Causes of Action Second (COA 2d) contains articles discussing causes of action of current interest to practicing lawyers. Each article leads the practitioner through the steps necessary to determine whether particular facts give rise to a cause of action. The article analyzes the elements of the cause of action and then explains how these elements can be proved. COA 2d also guides the attorney in getting the case into court, and points out how to pursue the case through trial and to a successful conclusion. Each article is organized so that a practitioner can quickly turn to an appropriate section for information on a particular question of substantive or procedural law.

COA Action Guide. The COA Action Guide begins each COA 2d article. It presents the critical points the attorney must be familiar with in order to successfully bring a particular action in state or federal court. The COA Action Guide is a concise overview of the cause of action with cross-references to sections in the article where specific points are discussed in detail.

Article Index. Preceding the text of each COA 2d article is an alphabetical, descriptive-word Index. The Index is based on key words or phrases appearing in the article. Together with the Table of Contents, the Index makes for easy access to points discussed in the article.

Research References. Each COA 2d article contains a list of research references referring the attorney to valuable sources for additional research. Leading law reviews and major works by leading authorities are included.

*Table of Cases.* A Table of Cases, arranged by jurisdiction, is included in each COA 2d article.

Substantive Law Overview. Each COA 2d article includes a Substantive Law Overview describing the elements of the prima facie case, defenses to the action, persons who may bring the action, and persons against whom the action may be brought. This overview presents the relevant substantive law from a practical point of view. It provides the attorney with guidance as to what is likely to be successful under a given set of circumstances.

*Practice and Procedure.* A Practice and Procedure division is included in each COA 2d article. It covers such procedural matters as jurisdiction, venue, limitations, pleadings, remedies, and recovery. All procedural points discussed are focused on the distinct requirements of the cause of action that is the subject of the article.

Practice Tips. Appearing frequently throughout each COA 2d article are special Practice Tips. They provide the attorney with

specific practical guidance or advice that is directly related to the particular cause of action discussed in the article. Practice Tips are distinctively set off from the text so they are easily recognizable.

Authority Features. In some articles dealing with topics with extensive case law, an Authority feature is included in some sections as appropriate as a device for collecting numerous cases supporting legal propositions discussed in the article. Cases are arranged by jurisdiction to make it easy to find cases of interest. Authority features are set off from the text to make them easily identifiable.

Practice Checklists. As appropriate, each COA 2d article includes Practice Checklists intended to assist the attorney in gathering and evaluating information in the preparation of the action. Information Checklists for the plaintiff and the defendant identify information to obtain from the client to begin to prepare the case. Discovery Checklists for the plaintiff and the defendant include pertinent deposition questions and interrogatories, as well as requests for admissions and production of documents.

*Primary Law.* When a cause of action has its basis in a federal statute or a uniform state law, the text of the statute is reprinted in the Appendix to the COA 2d article. When an action is based on a type of statute that may vary from state to state, statutory references are provided.

Sample Case. The Appendix to each COA 2d article includes the text of a recent judicial opinion, usually from an appellate court, that illustrates application of basic principles of the cause of action that is the subject of the article to an interesting set of facts.

Sample Pleadings. Each COA 2d article includes a sample complaint that can be used or adapted for use by an attorney bringing the type of action that is the subject of the article.

## **Summary of Contents**

Cause of Action for Injury Caused by Gas Can or Other Device Lacking Flame Arrestor or Mitigation Device	1
Cause of Action Alleging Agreement Among Employers to Fix Wages as Conspiracy in Restraint of Trade in Violation of Sherman Act § 1, 15 U.S.C.A. § 1	109
Cause of Action by Lessee of Real Property to Enforce Purchase Option or Right of First Refusal	219
Cause of Action for Breach of Implied Warranty of Habitability in Residential Lease	327
Cause of Action Under Fair Housing Act for Discrimination on Basis of Sex, Sexual Orientation, or Transgender Status	423

# CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INJURY CAUSED BY GAS CAN OR OTHER DEVICE LACKING FLAME ARRESTOR OR MITIGATION DEVICE

Theodore Z. Wyman, J.D.\*

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

COA Action Guide Research References Index Table of Cases

#### ARTICLE OUTLINE

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background
- § 3 Related and alternative actions

#### II. SUBSTANTIVE LAW OVERVIEW

#### A. PRIMA FACIE CASES

- 1. Strict Products Liability
  - a. Manufacturing Defect
- § 4 Manufacturing defect actions, in general
- § 5 Establishing manufacturing defect—Product unit defective
- § 6 —Causation

#### b. Design Defect

- § 7 Design defect actions, in general
- § 8 Establishing design defect—Product as designed is dangerous
- § 9 —Reasonable alternatives
- § 10 —Causation

#### c. Defective Warning

§ 11 Defective warning/failure to warn actions, in general

<sup>\*</sup>Theodore Z. Wyman, J.D. is a graduate of Boston University School of Law and is admitted to practice in Massachusetts.

- § 12 Establishing defective warning—Knowledge of danger
- § 13 —Adequate warnings not provided
- § 14 —Omission of warnings caused injuries

#### 2. Negligence

- § 15 Negligence actions, in general
- § 16 Negligence claim element—Duty
- § 17 —Breach of duty
- § 18 —Causation
- § 19 —Damages

#### 3. Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability

- § 20 Breach of implied warranty of merchantability claims, in general
- § 21 Establishing ordinary purpose of product
- § 22 Product was not reasonably fit for ordinary purposes for which it was sold
- § 23 Breach of warranty was proximate cause of plaintiff's injuries

#### B. DEFENSES

#### 1. Refuting Prima Facie Case

- § 24 Refuting prima facie case—Manufacturing defect
- § 25 —Design defect
- § 26 —Defective warning
- § 27 —Negligence
- § 28 —Breach of implied warranty

#### 2. Other Defenses

- § 29 Defendant did not sell product at issue to plaintiff
- § 30 Assumption of risk

#### C. PARTIES

- § 31 Persons who can bring action
- § 32 Persons against whom action can be brought

#### D. REMEDIES

- § 33 Compensatory damages
- § 34 Punitive damages
- § 35 Loss of consortium

#### III. PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

#### A. IN GENERAL

§ 36 Jurisdiction

#### Gas Can Injury

- § 37 Venue
- § 38 Statute of limitations
- § 39 Motion to dismiss standard
- § 40 Summary judgment standard

#### B. PROOF

- § 41 Plaintiff's proof
- § 42 —Expert witnesses
- § 43 Defendant's proof

#### IV. PRACTICE CHECKLISTS

- § 44 Checklist—Information regarding client/plaintiff
- § 45 —Information regarding defendant
- § 46 —Information regarding product at issue
- § 47 —Information regarding the circumstances involving plaintiff's use of gas can or device at issue
- § 48 —Information regarding plaintiff's injuries

#### V. APPENDIX

- § 49 Sample opinion synopsis
- § 50 Sample complaint—Manufacturer liability
- § 51 —Retailer liability
- § 52 Sample interrogatories
- § 53 Sample requests for production
- § 54 Sample requests for admission
- § 55 50-state statutory survey

#### COA ACTION GUIDE

#### PRIMA FACIE CASE

- In a cause of action for injury caused by a gas can or other device lacking a flame arrestor or other mitigation feature, a plaintiff may proceed under one or more different theories of liability, each with their own prima facie elements. As to strict liability, in order to demonstrate a prima facie case of manufacturing defect, a plaintiff must demonstrate:
  - (1) the device does not conform to the design standards of the manufacturer [§ 5]; and
  - (2) the manufacturing defect caused the plaintiff's injuries [§ 6].
- In order to demonstrate a prima facie case of design defect, a plaintiff must demonstrate:
  - (1) the device as designed is dangerous [§ 8];

CAUSE OF ACTION ALLEGING AGREEMENT AMONG EMPLOYERS TO FIX WAGES AS CONSPIRACY IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE IN VIOLATION OF SHERMAN ACT § 1, 15 U.S.C.A. § 1

James L. Buchwalter, J.D.\*

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

COA Action Guide Research References Index Table of Cases

#### ARTICLE OUTLINE

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background
- § 3 Related and alternative actions

#### II. SUBSTANTIVE LAW OVERVIEW

#### A. PRIMA FACIE CASE

- § 4 Elements of claim for conspiracy among employers to fix wages as restraint of trade, generally
- § 5 Applicable standard: per se versus rule-of-reason analysis
- § 6 Agreement to retrain trade versus merely independent parallel action
- § 7 Wage violations as "horizontal" restraint of trade

<sup>\*</sup>Mr. Buchwalter contributes to several legal reference publications, including Corpus Juris Secundum, various state law encyclopedias, American Law Reports, Causes of Action, American Jurisprudence Proof of Facts, and American Jurisprudence Trials. He served as a judicial law clerk to Bankruptcy Judge John J. Hargrove, Southern District of California. As a law student, Mr. Buchwalter interned as a judicial law clerk to federal district judge Charles S. Haight, Jr., of the Southern District of New York, and with the Staff Attorney's office in that district, working on prisoners' pro se civil rights claims, for example. Mr. Buchwalter holds a J.D. from Hofstra University School of Law, as well as an M.A, with an emphasis in the philosophy of law, from the Program in Philosophy at the City University of New York Graduate Center. He is admitted to practice law in the state of New York.

#### § 8 Causation

#### B. DEFENSES

- § 9 Defenses, in general
- § 10 Statute of limitations

#### C. PARTIES

- § 11 Who may recover
- § 12 Who may be held liable

#### III. PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

#### A. IN GENERAL

#### 1. General Litigation Matters

- § 13 Procedural matters, generally
- § 14 Pleading
- § 15 Jurisdiction and venue
- § 16 Discovery

#### 2. Class Action Issues

- § 17 Antitrust class actions, generally
- § 18 Numerosity
- § 19 Commonality
- § 20 Typicality
- § 21 Adequacy
- § 22 Implicit requirements under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)
- § 23 Additional express requirements: Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)

#### B. PROOF

- § 24 Proof, generally
- § 25 Burden of proof

#### C. RECOVERY

- § 26 Remedies, generally
- § 27 Damages
- § 28 Injunctive relief

#### IV. PRACTICE CHECKLISTS

- § 29 Checklist—General Considerations
- § 30 —Class Actions

#### V. APPENDIX

§ 31 Sample opinion synopsis

- § 32 Illustrative class action complaint alleging agreement among employers to fix wages as conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of Sherman Act § 1, 15 U.S.C.A. § 1
- § 33 Illustrative plaintiff's motion to compel discovery in action alleging agreement among employers to fix wages as conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of Sherman Act § 1, 15 U.S.C.A. § 1

#### COA ACTION GUIDE

#### PRIMA FACIE CASE

- To state a prima facie case alleging agreement among employers to fix wages as a conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act, the plaintiff generally is required to establish:
  - (1) a violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act, meaning (a) an agreement, conspiracy, or combination between two or more entities to restrain wages (b) that unreasonably restrained trade under either a per se or rule-of-reason analysis, and (c) that affected interstate commerce [§§ 4 to 7];
  - (2) harm suffered as a result of the violation [§ 8]; and
  - (3) an estimated measure of damages [§ 27].

#### **DEFENSES**

- A defense to a claim alleging an agreement among employers to fix wages as a conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act may be established by:
  - (1) rebutting the elements of the prima facie case [§§ 5 to 9]; or
  - (2) raising an affirmative defense, such as the statute of limitations [§ 10].

#### **PARTIES**

- The plaintiff seeking relief in a case alleging an agreement among employers to fix wages as a conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act is an employee; often the plaintiff seeks appointment as a class representative [§ 11].
- The defendants are the employers [§ 12].

#### PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

 A claim alleging an agreement among employers to fix wages as a conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of

# CAUSE OF ACTION BY LESSEE OF REAL PROPERTY TO ENFORCE PURCHASE OPTION OR RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL

Lisa A. Zakolski, J.D., M.A.\*

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

COA Action Guide Research References Index Table of Cases

#### ARTICLE OUTLINE

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background
- § 3 Related and alternative actions

#### II. SUBSTANTIVE LAW OVERVIEW

#### A. PRIMA FACIE CASE

- 1. Existence and Terms of Option
- § 4 Generally
- § 5 Price and terms of payment
- § 6 Time for exercise of option
- § 7 —Under fixed-price option or right of first refusal

#### 2. Exercise of Option

#### a. In General

- § 8 Generally
- § 9 Timeliness of exercise
- § 10 Manner of exercise

<sup>\*</sup>Ms. Zakolski received her J.D. from the University of Michigan Law School in 1992. She has worked in legal publishing for over 30 years and has written for various publications in addition to Causes of Action, including the A.L.R. State series, American Jurisprudence 2d, Corpus Juris Secundum, Federal Procedure, Lawyers Edition, Cyclopedia of Federal Procedure, and numerous state jurisprudences.

- § 11 Notification of exercise of option
- § 12 Tender of purchase price; proof of ability to purchase
- § 13 Repudiation, waiver, or modification of terms of exercise

#### b. Exercise of Right of First Refusal

## (1) Enforcement of Right to Purchase Property

- § 14 Generally
- § 15 Third-party offer or determination by lessor to sell
- § 16 —Sale intended to circumvent first refusal rights
- § 17 Acceptance of third party's terms of sale

#### (2) Enforcement of Right to Notice of Terms of Sale

#### § 18 Generally

#### B. DEFENSES

- § 19 Invalid option
- § 20 Uncertainty of terms
- § 21 Expiration of lease
- § 22 Expiration of option
- § 23 Relinquishment of option
- § 24 Lessee's breach of lease terms
- § 25 —Option conditioned on fulfillment of lease terms
- § 26 —Option terminated due to termination of lease
- § 27 —Waiver of breach
- § 28 Improper exercise of right of first refusal
- § 29 Absence of event triggering right of first refusal
- § 30 Lessee's financial inability to exercise right

#### C. PARTIES

- § 31 Persons who may bring action
- § 32 Persons against whom action may be brought

#### III. PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

#### A. PROCEDURAL MATTERS

- § 33 Generally
- § 34 Jurisdiction
- § 35 Plaintiff's response to defendant's plea to jurisdiction
- § 36 Time for enforcement of option

#### B. PROOF

§ 37 Generally

PURCHASE OPTION; FIRST REFUSAL

#### § 38 Expert report

#### C. REMEDIES

- § 39 Generally
- § 40 Specific performance
- § 41 Damages
- § 42 Lessor's remedies
- § 43 Computation of sale price
- § 44 —Offsets and adjustments to price

#### IV. PRACTICE CHECKLISTS

- § 45 Checklist—Information from plaintiff
- § 46 —Information from defendant
- § 47 Discovery checklist—Plaintiff
- § 48 —Defendant

#### V. APPENDIX

- § 49 Sample opinion synopsis
- § 50 Sample complaint for option to purchase action
- § 51 Sample complaint for right of first refusal action
- § 52 Sample complaint for specific performance; vendee's exercise of option to purchase
- § 53 Sample complaint for specific performance; lessee's exercise of option to purchase
- § 54 Petition for specific performance
- § 55 Sample brief for injunction

#### COA ACTION GUIDE

#### PRIMA FACIE CASE

- To establish a prima facie case, the lessee must bring an action against the lessor for fulfillment of the option to purchase or right of first refusal. He or she must prove the existence and terms of the option or right [§ 4], specifically the price and terms of payment [§ 5], and the time for exercise of the option [§§ 6, 7].
- The lessee must also show that they exercised the option to purchase [§ 8]. The lessee must specifically show the timeliness of exercise of the option [§ 9] as well as the manner of exercise [§ 10]. Notification of the exercise of the option must also be shown [§ 11]. As well, the lessee must show the tender of the purchase price and proof of their ability to pay [§ 12]. If the lessee is claiming any repudiation, waiver, or modification of terms of the

## CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF HABITABILITY IN RESIDENTIAL LEASE

Alys E. Masek, J.D.\*

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

COA Action Guide Research References Index Table of Cases

#### ARTICLE OUTLINE

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background
- § 3 Related and alternative actions

#### II. SUBSTANTIVE LAW OVERVIEW

#### A. PRIMA FACIE CASE

- § 4 Elements of an implied warranty of habitability action, generally
- § 5 Substantial defect affecting habitability
- § 6 Notice to landlord of defect
- § 7 Particular defects constituting breach of implied warranty of habitability, generally
- § 8 Particular defects constituting breach, generally—Insect and rodent infestation
- § 9 Particular defects constituting breach of implied warranty of habitability, generally—Water leakage through roofs, ceilings, and walls
- § 10 —Faulty plumbing
- § 11 Particular defects constituting breach, generally—Presence of lead-based paint hazard

<sup>\*</sup>Alys Masek has contributed to numerous practice guides on a wide range of topics including various tort actions, criminal law and procedure and constitutional law. She is an attorney in private practice with a focus on legal writing and research including successful appellate appeals. She is a graduate of University of California College of the Law, San Francisco and is admitted to practice in California.

- § 12 Particular defects constituting breach of implied warranty of habitability, generally—Renovation or construction activity by landlord
- § 13 —Noise by other tenants

#### B. DEFENSES

- § 14 Defenses, generally
- § 15 Lack of notice of defect
- § 16 Condition caused by tenant
- § 17 No damages to tenant

#### C. PARTIES

§ 18 Parties to action

#### III. PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

#### A. PROCEDURAL MATTERS

- § 19 Posture of action
- § 20 Statute of limitations

#### B. PROOF

- § 21 Tenant's proof
- § 22 Landlord's proof

#### C. REMEDIES

- § 23 Remedies for breach of implied warranty, generally
- § 24 Rent abatement
- § 25 Cost of tenant's repairs
- § 26 Personal injury damages
- § 27 —Emotional distress and mental anguish
- § 28 Punitive damages
- § 29 Damages for breach of implied warranty; checklist
- § 30 Damages survey

#### IV. PRACTICE CHECKLISTS

#### A. CLIENT INFORMATION

- § 31 Checklist—Information from client—Tenant
- § 32 ——Landlord

#### B. DISCOVERY

- § 33 Tenant's discovery
- § 34 Landlord's discovery

#### V. APPENDIX

§ 35 Sample opinion synopsis

§ 36 Illustrative complaint of tenant seeking damages for landlord's breach of implied warranty

#### **COA ACTION GUIDE**

#### PRIMA FACIE CASE

- The elements of the tenant's prima facie case for breach of the implied warranty of habitability requires proof of:
  - (1) the existence of a substantial defect affecting habitability [§ 5]; and
  - (2) notice to the landlord of the defect [§ 6].
- In determining whether there has been a material breach of implied warranty, courts are frequently called on to evaluate particular types of conditions, including insect and rodent infestation; water leakage through roofs, ceilings, and walls; faulty plumbing; lead-based paint hazards; renovation and construction activities undertaken by the landlord; and noise by other tenants [§§ 7 to 13].

#### **DEFENSES**

- A landlord may assert the following primary defenses in response to the tenant's claim of breach of the implied warranty of habitability:
  - (1) the alleged defect is not substantial enough to affect habitability [§ 14];
  - (2) the landlord lacked knowledge of the alleged defective condition [§ 15];
  - (3) the condition was caused by the actions of the tenant [§ 16]; and
  - (4) the tenant did not incur any damages [§ 17].

#### **PARTIES**

• The landlord and tenant are, in virtually all cases, the only parties to an action involving a claim of breach of the implied warranty of habitability [§ 18].

#### INITIATION OF ACTION

• A tenant may bring an action for breach of the implied warranty of habitability either directly as a first-party plaintiff or as a counterclaim to the landlord's action for rent or possession [§ 19].

#### PROOF

• Each case must turn on its own peculiar facts and thus a

#### CAUSE OF ACTION UNDER FAIR HOUSING ACT FOR DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, OR TRANSGENDER STATUS

Theodore Z. Wyman, J.D.\*

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

COA Action Guide Research References Index Table of Cases

#### ARTICLE OUTLINE

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- § 1 Scope
- § 2 Background
- § 3 Related and alternative actions

#### II. SUBSTANTIVE LAW OVERVIEW

- A. SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, AND TRANSGENDER STATUS UNDER THE FHA
- § 4 Bostock and Title VII employment context; President Biden's executive order

#### B. PRIMA FACIE CASES

#### 1. Housing Discrimination Actions, in General

- § 5 FHA housing discrimination actions, generally; statutory subsections
- § 6 Housing discrimination under 42 U.S.C.A. § 3604(a)
- § 7 —Testers
- § 8 Housing discrimination under 42 U.S.C.A. § 3604(b)
- § 9 —Disparate treatment
- § 10 —Disparate impact
- § 11 Housing discrimination under 42 U.S.C.A. § 3604(c)

<sup>\*</sup>Theodore Z. Wyman, J.D. is a graduate of Boston University School of Law, and is admitted to practice in Massachusetts.

#### § 12 Housing discrimination claims under 42 U.S.C.A. § 3605

#### 2. Discrimination Via Sexual Harassment

- § 13 Discrimination actions based on sexual harassment, in general
- § 14 Quid pro quo harassment claims
- § 15 Hostile environment harassment claims

#### C. DEFENSES

- § 16 Defendant has legitimate justification for rules or decision
- § 17 Defendant has legitimate justification for determination— Plaintiffs are not qualified for housing
- § 18 Allegedly discriminatory statement has alternate meaning
- § 19 Private owner exemptions
- § 20 Religious or private club exemptions

#### D. PARTIES

- § 21 Persons who can bring an action
- § 22 Persons against whom an action can be brought
- § 23 Federal administration and enforcement

#### III. PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

#### A. IN GENERAL

- § 24 Jurisdiction and venue
- § 25 Statute of limitations
- § 26 Standing; organizational standing and "testers"
- § 27 Motion to dismiss standard
- § 28 Summary judgment standard

#### B. PROOF

- § 29 Burden of proof; burden shifting under McDonnell Douglas
- § 30 Plaintiff's proof
- § 31 Defendant's proof

#### C. RECOVERY

- § 32 Injunctive relief
- § 33 Compensatory damages
- § 34 Punitive damages
- § 35 Attorney's fees and costs

#### IV. PRACTICE CHECKLISTS

- § 36 Checklist—Information regarding plaintiff/client
- § 37 —Information regarding defendant housing provider

- § 38 —Information regarding plaintiff's attempt to procure housing from defendant
- § 39 —Information from city, town, or other municipality

#### V. APPENDIX

- § 40 Sample case opinion
- § 41 Sample complaint
- § 42 Sample interrogatories
- § 43 Sample document requests
- § 44 Sample requests for admission
- § 45 50-state statutory survey

#### COA ACTION GUIDE

#### PRIMA FACIE CASE

- Plaintiffs alleging housing discrimination on the basis of sex, sexual orientation, or transgender status have several possible avenues under the Fair Housing Act. Plaintiffs typically bring suit under 42 U.S.C.A. § 3604(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 3604(b), and/or 42 U.S.C.A. § 3604(c) [§ 5].
- Under 42 U.S.C.A. § 3604(a), which prohibits a refusing to negotiate for the sale or rental of, or otherwise making unavailable or denying a dwelling to any person because of sex, a plaintiff must demonstrate that:
  - (1) the plaintiff is a member of a protected class;
  - (2) the plaintiff sought and was qualified for the dwelling at issue;
  - (3) the plaintiff was denied the right to procure the dwelling; and
  - (4) the dwelling remained available after being denied to the plaintiff [§§ 6, 7].
- Under 42 U.S.C.A. § 3604(b), which makes it unlawful to discriminate against any person in the terms, conditions, or privileges of sale or rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection therewith, because of sex, a plaintiff establishes a prima facie case of such discrimination on the basis of a rule or policy either through a showing of disparate treatment [§ 9], or disparate impact [§ 10].
- Under 42 U.S.C.A. § 3604(c), which unlawful to make, print, or publish any notice, statement, or advertisement, with respect to the sale or rental of a dwelling that indicates any preference, limitation, or discrimination based on sex, a plaintiff must demonstrate that the defendant made a statement with respect to the rental of