

## HIGHLIGHTS

---

- Caswell v. Caswell, 255 Ariz. 356, ¶29, 532 P.3d 348, 354 (Ct. App. Div. 1). “All issues relative to the marital status and the termination thereof [must] be resolved prior to the entry of a decree of dissolution. Piecemeal litigation is not to be encouraged.” (internal citations omitted). § 7:3 n. 5
- Sowards v. Sowards, 255 Ariz. 527, ¶8, 14, 533 P.3d 959, 962-63 (2023). “Postnuptial agreements are a product of the right to contract.... Although we have not required specific language to create a valid postnuptial agreement, the agreement must clearly express the spouses’ intent to divide and delineate their separate property interests.”
- Stewart v. Stewart, 2022 WL 1553039 (Ariz. Ct. App. Div.1). As long as separate property can be identified as such, the mere fact that the property was commingled with community property does not cause it to lose its separate identity. § 8:6 n. 2
- Smith v. Smith, 2023 WL 7329094, ¶11 (Ariz. Ct. App. Div. 1). “The court is not bound by any per se rule of equality.” (internal quotations and citations omitted). § 16:3 n. 1