CHAPTER 1. THE PRIMA FACIE CASE

I. WHO MAY SUE

- A. THE PERSONAL NATURE OF THE ACTION IN DEFAMATION
- § 1:1 Libel of the dead
- § 1:2 The non-relational nature of a defamation action
- § 1:3 Survival of defamation actions

B. CORPORATIONS

- § 1:4 Profit-making corporations
- § 1:5 Non-profit corporations

C. DEFAMATION OF A PARTNERSHIP OR UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION

§ 1:6 Generally

II. WHAT IS DEFAMATORY—AN OVERVIEW

- § 1:7 Defamation: General considerations
- § 1:8 Libel v Slander: Criteria underlying the dichotomy
- § 1:9 Defamation: An intentional tort?

III. LIBEL

- § 1:10 Libelous imputations of criminality
- § 1:11 Libelous imputations affecting business, trade, office or profession
- § 1:12 Other libelous imputations of untruthfulness or dishonesty or lack of integrity
- § 1:13 Libelous imputation of immorality
- § 1:14 The broad residual coverage of the libel action

IV. SLANDER

§ 1:15 Slanderous imputations as to business, trade, office or profession

- § 1:16 Slanderous imputation of crime
- § 1:17 Slanderous charges of sexual misconduct
- § 1:18 Slanderous imputations of loathsome disease

V. NAME-CALLING

§ 1:19 Generally

VI. PUBLICATION

- § 1:20 In general
- § 1:21 Intracorporate and comparable publications
- § 1:22 Publication to a stenographer/secretary/typist
- § 1:23 Publication to plaintiff's agent
- § 1:24 Publication by failure to remove defamatory matter
- § 1:25 Compelled self-publication
- § 1:26 Liability of a republisher
- § 1:27 Liability of original publisher for subsequent republications
- § 1:28 Internet service providers as publishers
- § 1:28.1 Suits against anonymous internet posters or bloggers and other anonymous defamers
- § 1:29 Retraction by internet libelers

VII. "OF AND CONCERNING"—REFERENCE TO THE PLAINTIFF

A. THE "OF AND CONCERNING"-COLLOQUIUM REQUIREMENT

§ 1:30 In general

B. GROUP DEFAMATION

- § 1:31 Defamation of a large group
- § 1:32 Defamation of a small group
- § 1:33 Disparagement of less than all of a small group
- § 1:34 New York Times and the issue of group defamation
- § 1:35 Libels of government and governmental entities
- § 1:36 "Of and concerning" and works of fiction

CHAPTER 2. COMMON LAW PRIVILEGES AND DEFENSES

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 2:1 Generally

II. ABSOLUTE

§ 2:2	Consent
§ 2:3	The affirmative defense of truth—Truth and the First Amendment
§ 2:4	—Truth and the common law
§ 2:5	Judicial proceedings—In general
§ 2:6	—Judges
§ 2:7	—Jurors
§ 2:8	—Witnesses
§ 2:9	—Parties
§ 2:10	
§ 2:11	-
§ 2:12	
§ 2:13	State and federal officials—Absolute or qualified immunity?—Immunity of federal executive officials—The <i>Barr v. Matteo Doctrine</i>
§ 2:14	—The states and executive official immunity
§ 2:15	—The "Nexus" Requirement
§ 2:16	Legislative immunity—Legislators
§ 2:17	—Witness or testimonial privilege
§ 2:18	Communications required by law
§ 2:19	United States sovereign immunity as to libel and slander
§ 2:20	Interspousal privilege
§ 2:21	Insanity
§ 2:22	Survival statutes
III.	QUALIFIED PRIVILEGES
§ 2:23	Protection of publisher's interest
§ 2:24	Common interest
§ 2:25	Protection of interest of recipient or a third person
§ 2:26	
§ 2:27	Family relationships
§ 2:28	
§ 2:29	The special privilege applicable to defendants providing the means of publication

Publication of defamatory rumor

Abuse of qualified privilege—In general

§ 2:30

 $\S 2:31$

- § 2:32 —Publications for an improper motive or purpose
- -Knowledge of falsity or reckless disregard of Truth v. § 2:33 the Absence of reasonable grounds for belief in truth
- § 2:34
- —Excessive publication—Matter unnecessary to implement the privilege § 2:35

CHAPTER 3. FAIR REPORT AND NEUTRAL **REPORTAGE**

§ 3:1	Introduction to fair report
§ 3:2	Source reliance
§ 3:3	Source attribution
§ 3:4	The panoply of fair report coverage—judicial proceedings
§ 3:5	—Federal, state, and local legislative proceedings
§ 3:6	—Official proceedings, reports and acts of executive and administrative agencies and officers and municipal corporations
§ 3:7	—Informal official reports, press conferences and statements of important executive officers
§ 3:8	—Arrests
§ 3:9	—Informal official authorized police records and reports
§ 3:10	—Informal police and criminal investigations
§ 3:11	—Reports of public and non-public meetings of non- official bodies
§ 3:12	-Non-public proceedings, actions and reports
§ 3:13	 —Non-local and foreign legal proceedings and official reports—Foreign governmental reports and proceedings
§ 3:14	— — Non-local governmental reports and proceedings
§ 3:15	—Party defendants privileged to claim fair report status
§ 3:16	Fair report and the constitution—Fair report and truth
§ 3:17	—Fair report—A qualified or absolute privilege?
§ 3:18	—Nonverbatim reports—General standards
§ 3:19	—Constitutional fault and inaccurate reportage
§ 3:20	—Reliance on official sources and documents
§ 3:21	Non-privileged reports—Misidentification
§ 3:22	—Slanted and distorted reports
§ 3:23	—Fragmentary reports
§ 3:23.	

	Determinations in Criminal Proceedings: A Modest Set of
	Proposals
§ 3:24	Non-privileged reports—The substantial inaccuracy requirement
§ 3:25	—Unfair accounts implying guilt or culpability
§ 3:26	—Defamatory embellishments
§ 3:27	The doctrine of neutral reportage: a highly dubious, absolutist extension of <i>New York Times</i> and <i>St. Amant</i> —An overview
§ 3:28	—The parameters of neutral reportage— Requirements—The responsible source requirement
§ 3:29	— The "public person" requirement
§ 3:30	— — "Source attribution" and "identification"
§ 3:31	— —The "raging controversy" condition
§ 3:32	The doctrine of neutral reportage: a highly dubious, absolutist extension of New York Times and St. Amant—The parameters of neutral reportage—The neutrality requirement
§ 3:33	—Neutral reportage—an unjustified and illegitimate extension of the New York Times-St. Amant standards

CHAPTER 4. CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS—IN GENERAL

- § 4:1 The Supreme Court's jurisprudence, special procedural protections, and independent appellate review of "constitutional facts"
- § 4:2 Burden of proving status; Court and jury functions
- § 4:3 Burden of proof of fault and falsity—Public persons and the burden of proving fault and falsity
- § 4:4 —Private individuals and the burden of proving fault and falsity
- § 4:5 Criminal libel
- § 4:6 Libel and the petition clause
- § 4:7 Defamation and church disciplinary proceedings and personnel terminations
- § 4:8 Libel and labor disputes—A brief introduction to federal preemption

CHAPTER 5. PUBLIC STATUS

- § 5:1 The public official status—Definitional criteria
- § 5:2 The "Official Conduct"—"Fitness for Office" Requirement

§ 5:3	Candidates for public office
§ 5:4	Public official and candidate for public office statuses
	and the passage of time
§ 5:5	Non-identification of plaintiff's public position
§ 5:6	All purpose or general public figure status
§ 5:7	The Supreme Court and vortex or limited purpose public figuredom
§ 5:8	Involuntary public figuredom
§ 5:9	Vortex or limited purpose public figure—A detailed analysis—The preexistence requirement and rejection of media "bootstrapping"
§ 5:10	—Access to the means of rebuttal or response
§ 5:11	—The "Public Controversy" Requirement
§ 5:12	—The Waldbaum "central figure" and Rosanova "course of conduct" criteria—A critical analysis
§ 5:13	—The requirement of a reasonable nexus or "germaneness" to the "public controversy"
§ 5:14	Public figures and the passage of time
§ 5:15	Categories of public figures—Participants in legal proceedings or investigations
§ 5:16	—Professionals
§ 5:17	—Media entities, journalists and authors
§ 5:18	—Corporations
§ 5:19	—Businesspersons
§ 5:20	—Sports figures and other entertainers—Sports figures
§ 5:21	— —Entertainers
§ 5:22	—Contractors and recipients of governmental moneys or grants
§ 5:23	Officers or candidates for labor union office, company credit unions or corporate boards
§ 5:24	Categories of public figures—Public employees and appointees
§ 5:25	—Non-candidate participants in the public political process and political arena
§ 5:26	—Foreign political figures and officials
§ 5:27	—Churches, church employees and religious figures

CHAPTER 6. FAULT STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO PRIVATE PERSONS

- § 6:1 Private persons, Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc. and a repudiation of Rosenbloom v Metromedia, Inc.
- $\S~6:2$ Response of the states to Gertz and adoption of its minimal constitutional requirements

§ 6:3	Private individuals and the non-media-media dichotomy
§ 6:4	Application of the negligence standard—In general
§ 6:5	The "journalistic malpractice" minority view
§ 6:6	Negligence regarding the defamatory character of the actionable matter
§ 6:7	The quantum of evidence required in negligence cases
§ 6:8	"Wire service defense"
§ 6:9	The minority view—Adoption of the <i>Rosenbloom v. Metromedia, Inc.</i> standard in private person cases
§ 6:10	The New York "gross irresponsibility" standard—An introduction
§ 6:11	Private figure plaintiffs and matters of purely private concern

CHAPTER 7. CONSTITUTIONAL ACTUAL MALICE

§ 7:1	Constitutional actual malice—Introduction
§ 7:2	A subjective standard
§ 7:3	Common law malice and constitutional "actual malice"
§ 7:4	Applicability of the New York Times standard in public person-non-media defendant cases
§ 7:5	The requirement of "clear and convincing" evidence
§ 7:6	Constitutional actual malice and summary judgment/ Extention to declaratory judgment actions
§ 7:7	Constitutional actual malice—The temporal requirement
§ 7:8	—Constitutional malice and the common law foreseeable republication rule
§ 7:9	Vicarious liability and constitutional actual malice
§ 7:10	Duties of a syndicator regarding columns of a syndicated columnist
§ 7:11	Liability of book publishers
§ 7:12	Publication in the face of known contradictory information
§ 7:13	Distorted accounts—In general
§ 7:14	—Fabricated quotations and Masson v New Yorker Magazine
§ 7:15	Distorted headlines as evidence of "actual malice"
§ 7:16	Denials by the source(s) relied on
§ 7:17	
§ 7:18	Failure to contact plaintiff, allow review by plaintiff, or

Defamation: A Lawyer's Guide

pose questions to plaintiff as the "most obvious source" § 7:19 Provision of or failure to provide a retraction or correction Constitutional actual malice and the failure to have a § 7:20 delayed broadcast apparatus § 7:21 The "wire service defense" The "Rational Interpretation" Rule of Time, Inc. v Pape § 7:22 § 7:23 Constitutional actual malice and "neutral reportage" § 7:24 The nature of the defamatory matter itself as evidence of constitutional actual malice § 7:25 Constitutional actual malice in cases involving libel by implication, ambiguous terminology, satire, humor or fiction, or ambiguous words capable of construction as fact or opinion

CHAPTER 8. FACT VERSUS OPINION

- § 8:1 Introduction
- § 8:2 "Pure" v "Mixed" Opinion
- § 8:3 Ollman v. Evans: Development and expansion—Judge Starr's Opinion
- § 8:4 Ollman v Evans: Development and expansion—Judge Bork's Opinion
- § 8:5 —Judge Mackinnon's Opinion
- § 8:6 —Chief Judge Robinson's Opinion
- § 8:7 —Judge Wald's Opinion
- § 8:8 —Judge Edwards's Opinion
- § 8:9 —Judge Scalia's Opinion
- § 8:10 —Dissents from denial of certiorari
- § 8:11 Pre-Milkovich Supreme Court precedent
- § 8:12 Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co.—The Ohio Supreme Court Decisions
- § 8:13 —The Supreme Court Decision
- § 8:14 —Analysis of *Milkovich*—A prefatory comment
- § 8:15 ——Responses to and interpretations of *Milkovich*
- § 8:16 ——Actionable factual implications under *Milkovich*
- § 8:17 ——Suggested implications for existing law—Court and jury functions
- § 8:18 ———The "Political Arena" Doctrine
- § 8:19 — Criterion for provability-as-factually-false
- § 8:20 ———Imputations of criminality
- § 8:21 ———Imputations of incompetence
- § 8:22 ——Aspersions on motivation, honesty, or integrity

§ 8:23	—Analysis of Milkovich—Suggested implications for existing law—Milkovich and state constitutions
§ 8:24	Republication and the "Opinion" Rule
§ 8:25	Opinion v. Fact and the "purely private" arena
§ 8:26	Opinion-fact: Illustrative categories—The public and
0 -1	political arena
§ 8:27	—Imputations of criminality
§ 8:28	—Statements impugning motivation and imputing prevarication, psychiatric disturbance or insanity, and discriminatory animus—Impugning motivation
§ 8:29	— —Imputing prevarication
§ 8:30	——Imputations of psychiatric disturbance or insanity
§ 8:31	 — Imputations of discriminatory animus
§ 8:32	—Imputations of unprofessionalism, unethical
	behavior, and incompetence—Introduction
§ 8:33	——Attorneys
§ 8:34	——Physicians and other medical service personnel
§ 8:35	——Educators and educational institutions
§ 8:36	——Businesspersons and businesses
§ 8:37	——Sports figures
§ 8:38	— Other employee cases
§ 8:39	——Parents and those acting <i>in loco parentis</i> :
§ 8:40	—Aesthetic and other criticism of publishers, producers journalists, authors, inventors and developers and landowners
§ 8:41	—Satire, humor, irony, parody, fantasy, and ridicule
§ 8:42	—Restaurant reviews
§ 8:43	—Labor controversies
§ 8:44	—Evaluative comments concerning pending or completed legal proceedings
§ 8:45	—Cartoons
§ 8:46	—Religions, religious figures, and religious controversies
§ 8:47	—Verbal abuse
§ 8:48	—Entertainers

CHAPTER 9. REMEDIES

§ 9:1	Damages—Nominal damages
§ 9:2	—General damages
§ 9:3	—Special damages
§ 9:4	—Presumed damages and the First Amendment
§ 9:5	—Punitive damages—The First Amendment
§ 9:6	— The states and punitive damages

Defamation: A Lawyer's Guide

§ 9:7	— — Other constitutional limitations on punitive
	damages
§ 9:8	Libel Proof Plaintiff Doctrine
§ 9:9	Injunctive relief
§ 9:10	Mandatory right of reply and retraction