
Table of Contents

Volume One

List of Contributors	<i>v</i>
About the Editor	<i>vii</i>
Foreword	<i>ix</i> <i>Norval Morris</i>
Foreword to the Original Edition	<i>xi</i> <i>Morris E. Lasker</i>
Preface	<i>xv</i>

SECTION I An Overview of Prison Law

CHAPTER 1 Introduction

Reflections of a Federal Judge	<i>Frank A. Kaufman</i>	<i>1-3</i>
Notes		<i>1-8</i>

Resolving Prisoners' Grievances Out of Court: 42 U.S.C. § 1997e *Harvard Law Review*

Introduction	<i>1-11</i>
Recommendations of the Federal Courts Study Committee	<i>1-12</i>
Elements of a Fair and Effective Prisoner Grievance System	<i>1-17</i>
Conclusion	<i>1-21</i>
Notes	<i>1-22</i>
Appendix	<i>1-31</i>

The Prisoners' Mail Box and the Evolution of Federal Inmate Rights *Ira P. Robbins*

Introduction	<i>1-33</i>
Federal Prisoners Before the Federal Prison System: 1789-1891	<i>1-34</i>

The Three Prisons Act and Its Immediate Aftermath	1-35
The Early Days of the Federal Prison System	1-36
Early Procedures for Prisoner Complaints	1-38
The Prisoners' Mail Box and the Evolution of Inmate Rights	1-40
Expanding Scope/Expanding Concerns	1-45
Transition to the Future	1-53
Conclusion	1-54
Notes	1-56

The Trauma of the Incarceration Experience *Mika'il DeVeaux*

Introduction	1-80
I. Psychological Effects of Incarceration	1-81
A. Trauma	1-84
B. A Concern Related to Public Safety	1-86
II. The Incarceration Experience	1-88
A. Sing Sing Prison	1-91
B. Clinton Dannamora	1-94
C. Special Housing Units	1-95
D. Happy Nap	1-97
III. Conclusion	1-99

CHAPTER 1A

Correctional Developments

The State of Corrections Today: A Triumph of Pluralistic Ignorance

Allen F. Breed

Introduction	1A-3
Public Attitudes Over Time	1A-4
The Emergence of Crime and Corrections as Major Issues	1A-6
The Politicization of Crime and Corrections	1A-8
The Public Attitude Today	1A-9
The Lessons Learned	1A-10

The Use of Incarceration in the United States: A Look at the Present and the Future *Lynn Sanders Branham*

Preface and Summary of Recommendations	1A-13
General Recommendations	1A-14
Recommendations to State and Local Bar Associations	1A-16
Introduction	1A-16
The Use of Incarceration—Where We Are Today	1A-18
How Many People Are Incarcerated in Prisons and Jails?	1A-18
Who Is Incarcerated in Prisons and Jails?	1A-20
How Long Are People Incarcerated in Prisons and Jails?	1A-26

What Happens During Incarceration in Prison or Jail?	1A-29
Why Are So Many More People Incarcerated in Prisons and Jails?	1A-32
More Prison and Jail Sentences	1A-36
Longer Sentences	1A-37
More Restrictive Parole and Other Release Policies	1A-37
Increased Probation and Parole Revocations	1A-38
Demographic Factors	1A-38
What Are the Costs, Benefits, and Efficacy of Present Incarceration Policies?	1A-39
Financial Costs	1A-39
Opportunity Costs	1A-40
Other Costs of Incarceration	1A-41
Crime-Control Benefits and Efficacy	1A-41
Recommendations for the Future	1A-46
The Role of State and Local Bar Associations in Sentencing and Corrections Reform	1A-66
Corrections and Sentencing Committees in Each State Bar Association	1A-67
Appointment of a Liaison to the American Bar Association Criminal Justice Section's Corrections and Sentencing Committee	1A-67
Working with Other Organizations	1A-67
Training	1A-68
Public Education	1A-69
Monitoring	1A-70
Conclusion	1A-71
Notes	1A-72
Appendix: Model Adult Community Corrections Act	1A-82

The Return of the Chain Gang *Harvard Law Review*

Introduction	1A-101
Problems with the Concept	1A-101
Conclusion	1A-104
Notes	1A-104

Designing a Prisoner Reentry System Hardwired to Manage Disputes *Harvard Law Review*

Introduction: The Reentry Problem	1A-110
I. Three Principles for an Effective Reentry System	1A-111
II. Past Solutions: Parole and the Rehabilitative Ideal	1A-113
A. The Rehabilitative Ideal Failed to Follow Three Principles of DSD	1A-114
B. Resulting Critiques of the Rehabilitative Ideal	1A-115
C. The Fall of the Rehabilitative Ideal	1A-118

- III. The Status Quo: Supervised Release and the Rise of the Reentry Court Model *1A-120*
 - A. Supervised Release *1A-120*
 - B. The Rise of Reentry Courts *1A-121*
- IV. Improving on the Status Quo: Designing a Sustainable System to Manage Reentry Disputes *1A-124*
 - A. Involving Stakeholders in the Design and Implementation of the System *1A-124*
 - B. Timing: Approaching a Mutually Hurting Stalemate *1A-128*
 - C. Sustainability: Revisiting and Reevaluating the System *1A-130*
- Conclusion *1A-131*

Second Looks & Second Chances *Shon Hopwood*

- Introduction *1A-134*
- I. Second Looks Have a Long Historical Pedigree and are a Worthwhile Policy Goal *1A-139*
 - A. There is a Long History of Second Look Provisions in the Federal Justice System *1A-139*
 - B. Providing a Second Chance to Those Who are Deserving Comes with a Number of Benefits *1A-141*
- II. Federal Judges Can Reduce Sentences for People Whose Cases Present “Extraordinary or Compelling Reasons” Under the Compassionate Release Statute in 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(I) *1A-147*
 - A. The History of Second Look Compassionate Release and Its Expanding Development *1A-147*
 - C. Circumstances Presenting “Extraordinary and Compelling Reasons” for a Sentence Reduction *1A-155*
- III. Congress Should Create a True Second Look Provision, Requiring a Federal Judge to Reevaluate a Sentence after a Prisoner has Served Ten Years *1A-157*
- IV. U.S. Attorneys Can Dismiss Charges Under Holloway Relief for Deserving Prisoners who were Over-Sentenced *1A-158*
- V. The President Should Commute the Sentences of Those Who Were Unable to Seek Relief Through the Judicial System, But Who Have a Demonstrated Record of Rehabilitation and Who Have Been Sentenced Too Harshly *1A-161*
- Conclusion *1A-164*

The Prisoner Trade *Emma Kaufman*

- Introduction *1A-166*
- I. Building the American Penal Estate *1A-171*
 - A. The Rise of Regional Prison Governance *1A-171*
 - B. The Law of Prison Transfers *1A-179*
- II. The Prison Network *1A-187*
 - A. State Sunshine Statutes *1A-188*
 - B. Interstate Prison Pathways *1A-191*

C. Rationales for Prisoner Transportation	1A-197
III. Regulating the Prisoner Trade	1A-204
A. The Harms	1A-205
B. The Benefits	1A-215
C. Outsourcing the Power to Punish	1A-218
D. Consent as a Cure	1A-222
Conclusion	1A-227
Appendix	1A-228

But Who Oversees the Overseers?: The Status of Prison and Jail Oversight in the United States *Michele Deitch*

Introduction	1A-234
I. The Value of Independent Correctional Oversight	1A-240
A. What is Oversight?	1A-240
B. Why is Oversight Important	1A-242
1. Benefits to Incarcerated People	1A-243
2. Benefits to Correctional Administrators	1A-243
3. Benefits to Judges, Prosecutors, Defense Lawyers, and Policymakers	1A-245
4. Benefits to the Media and the General Public	1A-246
C. The United States is an Anomaly on the World Stage	1A-247
II. America's Overreliance on Court Oversight and its Now-Diminished Role	1A-250
III. Increasing Calls for Independent Oversight	1A-254
IV. The Current Research Project: Assessing the State of Prison and Jail Oversight in the United States	1A-265
A. Project Purpose	1A-265
B. Research Methodology	1A-266
C. Defining the Criteria for Inclusion	1A-267
1. Independence	1A-267
2. Function	1A-268
3. Access	1A-269
D. Research Findings	1A-270
(a) Prison Oversight	1A-282
(b) Statewide Jail Oversight	1A-285
(c) Local Jail Oversight	1A-289
(1) Insufficient staffing and funding	1A-289
(2) Political pressures	1A-293
(3) Lack of unfettered access to correctional facilities	1A-294
Conclusion: Two Steps Forward, One Step Back	1A-295

Climate Change and the Threat to U.S. Jails and Prisons *1A-299*

Laurie Levinson

I. Introduction	1A-300
-----------------	--------

II. The Tragedy in Surfside	1A-304
III. Climate Change and Its Impact on Correctional Facilities	1A-308
A. Step #1: Recognize There Is a Problem with U.S. Jails and Prisons	1A-313
B. Step #2: Conduct a Comprehensive Study of the Physical Condition of U.S. Jails and Prisons	1A-314
C. Step #3: Prepare a Climate Change Impact Report for Vulnerable Institutions	1A-315
D. Step #4: Assess and Allocate Money for Rebuilding Prisons	1A-316
E. Step #5: Address Political Resistance to Rebuilding Prisons	1A-317
F. Step #6: Create a Transparent Process for Groups to Monitor Prison Conditions	1A-317
G. Step #7: Let Science Guide the Design	1A-318
H. Step #8: Collaborate with International Efforts	1A-319
I. Step #9: Engage Detainees in Efforts to Protect Prisons and Prisoners	1A-321
J. Step #10: Address How Mass Incarceration Contributes to Climate Change	1A-322
IV. Ten Steps for Coping with Climate Change and Its Impact on Correctional Institutions	1A-311
V. Add Repair and Reconstruction of Correctional Facilities to Subsequent Infrastructure Bills	1A-324
VI. Conclusion	1A-326
Appendix	1A-329

CHAPTER 1B

Overview of the Law of Prisoners' Rights and Remedies**Prisoners' Rights and Procedural Means of Enforcement Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983** *Georgetown Law Journal*

Introduction	1B-4
Prisoners' Rights	1B-4
Right of Access to Courts	1B-7
Freedoms of Speech, Association, and Religion	1B-15
Rights Related to Searches, Seizures, and Personal Privacy	1B-24
Rights Related to Conditions of Confinement and The Use of Force Against Prisoners	1B-27
Rights to Procedural Due Process	1B-40
Right to Equal Treatment	1B-51
Right to Assistance of Counsel	1B-56
Rights of Pretrial Detainees	1B-57
Procedural Means of Enforcement Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983	1B-63
Scope of § 1983	1B-64

Available Remedies Under § 1983	1B-78
Filing a § 1983 Action Pro Se and Proceeding In Forma Pauperis	1B-86
Other Restrictions Imposed by the PLRA	1B-91
Persons Liable Under § 1983	1B-96
Absolute and Qualified Immunity from Suit Under § 1983	1B-102
Other Affirmative Defenses	1B-113
Appointment of Counsel	1B-118
Other Procedural Issues Governing § 1983 Actions	1B-119

CHAPTER 2

Legal Developments**The Legacy of *Wolfish* and *Chapman*: Some Thoughts About “Big Prison Case” Litigation in the 1980s** *David J. Gottlieb*

Introduction	2-3
Conditions Litigation Prior to <i>Wolfish</i>	2-4
The Rise and Fall of the “Hands Off” Doctrine	2-4
Early Prison-Conditions Litigation	2-5
Early Jail-Conditions Litigation	2-6
The Road to <i>Wolfish</i>	2-8
<i>Bell v. Wolfish</i>	2-9
Post- <i>Wolfish</i> Litigation	2-11
<i>Rhodes v. Chapman</i>	2-15
Post- <i>Chapman</i> Litigation	2-17
Totality of Conditions vs. Core Conditions	2-17
Experts and Proof	2-19
Equitable Relief	2-21
Conclusion	2-24
Notes	2-25

***Wilson v. Seiter*: Less Than Meets the Eye** *David J. Gottlieb*

Introduction	2-33
<i>Wilson v. Seiter</i>	2-34
The Relevance of Intent	2-36
The Meaning of Deliberate Indifference	2-39
<i>Estelle v. Gamble</i>	2-39
Deliberate Indifference in Class-Action Cases	2-41
Deliberate Indifference in Prison-Conditions Cases	2-42
The Question of Cost	2-42
Litigation After <i>Wilson</i>	2-44
Conclusion	2-46
Notes	2-47

Modification of Consent Decrees *Harvard Law Review*

Introduction	2-53
<i>Rufo v. Inmates of Suffolk County Jail</i>	2-53
Analysis	2-55
Conclusion	2-58
Notes	2-59

Farmer v. Brennan: A Subjective Standard for Deliberate Indifference *Harvard Law Review*

Introduction	2-63
<i>Farmer v. Brennan</i>	2-63
Analysis	2-66
Conclusion	2-68
Notes	2-69

Symbolic Statutes and Real Laws: The Pathologies of the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act and the Prison Litigation Reform Act *Mark Tushnet and Larry Yackle*

Introduction	2-75
The AEDPA and the PLRA: Studies in Interpretation	2-76
General Background	2-77
Habeas Corpus: Reform Proposals	2-77
Prisoner Litigation: Developments and Reform Proposals	2-82
Limiting Relief to Identifiable Violations	2-83
Limiting "Micromanagement"	2-84
Easing Standards for Modifying and Lifting Decrees	2-84
Adoption of the Proposals	2-87
The Habeas Corpus Revisions in the AEDPA	2-87
<i>Felker v. Turpin</i>	2-87
Revising Habeas Corpus: Some Case Studies	2-89
Delays and Timetables	2-90
Filing Deadlines for Prisoners	2-90
Timetables for Federal Court Action	2-94
Procedural Bar Rules	2-95
Default in Successive Petition Cases	2-95
Default with Respect to Fact Development	2-96
Default in Death Penalty Cases	2-99
Previous State Court Judgments on the Merits	2-99
The Prison Litigation Reform Act	2-102
Restricting Institutional Litigation: The Statute	2-103
Introduction	2-103
General Considerations	2-103
Prospective Relief Generally, Including Modification	2-105
Modifying Decrees Now in Force	2-109

Limiting Frivolous Litigation	2-111
The AEDPA and the PLRA as Case Studies in Legislative and Judicial Process	2-115
Accounting for the Judicial Responses	2-115
Two Positive Accounts	2-115
Methods of Interpreting the Statutes as Stabilizers of the Law	2-116
The “Constitutional” Lens	2-116
The “Administrative” Lens	2-116
The “Policy” Lens	2-117
The “Realistic” Lens	2-117
Instrumental, Expressive, and Symbolic Statutes	2-117
First-Order Instrumental Statutes	2-118
Direct Effects on Incentives	2-118
Indirect Effects on Incentives: Reconstitutive Law	2-119
Expressive or Second-Order Instrumental Statutes	2-120
Searching for “Loopholes” in the Details	2-121
Obscuring the Message Through the Details	2-121
Symbolic Statutes	2-122
Conclusion	2-122
Notes	2-123

The PLRA and AEDPA: Implications for Federal District Judges

<i>Harvard Law Review</i>	2-149
Introduction	2-149
Historical Background	2-150
The Warren Court	2-150
The Expansion of Rights for Prisoners and Criminal Defendants	2-151
The Rehnquist Court	2-152
The Statutes	2-153
The Prison Litigation Reform Act	2-153
Legislative History	2-153
Provisions	2-155
Prospective Relief Restrictions	2-155
Three-Judge Court Requirement for Population Caps	2-155
Termination of Prospective Relief	2-156
The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act	2-157
Legislative History	2-157
Provisions	2-158
“Clearly established law”	2-158
Circuit Court Certification Requirement	2-159
The Importance of Federal District Judges	2-159
The Impact of the PLRA’s and AEDPA’s Procedural Changes on Prisoners’ Rights	2-160

The Virtues of Federal, Rather Than State, Courts	2-160
The Virtues of Trial, Rather Than Appellate, Federal Courts	2-160
Implications That Should Concern Even Those Who Do Not Support Prisoners' Rights	2-161
Conclusion	2-162
Notes	2-162

The Essential but Inherently Limited Role of the Courts in Prison Reform *Erwin Chemerinsky* 2-173

Introduction	2-174
I. The Crisis	2-175
II. The Causes of the Crisis	2-176
III. The Courts' Essential but Limited Role	2-178
Conclusion	2-183

Prisoners' Rights Strategies for Preserving the Role of the Courts

<i>Margo Schlanger</i>	2-185
I. Introduction	2-186
II. Trends in Prisoner Litigation	2-188
III. Entry of Relief, Including Settlement	2-192
A. What the PLRA Requires	2-192
B. How Parties Continue to Settle Cases	2-194
1. Acceptable Stipulations	2-194
2. Conditional Dismissal	2-200
3. State-Court Enforcement	2-205
IV. Termination of Prospective Relief	2-207
A. What the PLRA Requires	2-207
B. How the Prisoners' Rights Bar has Responded, and Should Respond	2-209
1. Lengthening the Remedial Period	2-210
2. Gathering Information	2-212
V. Conclusion	2-216
Appendix	2-218
A. Federal Court Filings (Table 1 & Figure A)	2-218
B. Prison Population (Table 1 & Figure A)	2-219
C. Jail Population (Table 1 & Figure A)	2-220
D. Prison Censuses (Table 2)	2-222
E. Jail Censuses (Table 2)	2-222

"When Mercy Seasons Justice": Interstate Recognition of Ex-Offender Rights *Wayne A. Logan* 2-225

Introduction	2-226
I. Restoration and Recognition	2-232
A. Restoration	2-233

B. Recognition	2-238
1. Statute or Regulation	2-238
2. Caselaw	2-240
II. Potential Constitutional Arguments	2-244
A. Full Faith and Credit	2-244
B. Privileges and Immunities	2-251
C. Right to Travel	2-255
D. Equal Protection	2-258
III. Legislative Options	2-262
A. States	2-262
1. The Case for Recognition	2-262
2. The Case Against Recognition	2-265
3. The Case for a More Conditional Approach	2-267
B. Congress	2-271
1. Interstate Compact	2-271
2. Spending Clause	2-273
3. Commerce Clause	2-275
4. Effects Clause	2-277
 Wayne A. Logan	2-225
Conclusion	2-279

The Constitutional Law of Incarceration, Reconfigured	<i>Margo</i>
<i>Schlanger</i>	2-281
Introduction	2-282
I. Constitutional Regulation of Jail and Prison Conditions: Doctrinal Developments	2-286
A. Stage 1: Before the 1970s	2-289
B. Stage 2: <i>Estelle</i> Through <i>Rhodes</i> , 1976-1981	2-293
C. Stage 3: <i>Whitley</i> Through <i>Farmer</i> , 1986-1994	2-301
D. The Problem with "Punishment"	2-309
II. The Normative Case for a Constitutional Ban on Objectively Unreasonable Force	2-312
A. Officers Sometimes Inflict Obvious Summary Corporal Punishment	2-313
B. Officers Sometimes Escalate a Situation that Could Reasonably Be Managed with Minor Force, to Cover Summary Corporal Punishment	2-316
C. Officers Sometimes Use Excessive Force to Accomplish Legitimate Purposes, Indifferent to the Pain or Injury the Force Inflicts	2-317
D. Officers Sometimes Use Force that Is Unreasonable Under the Circumstances	2-323
III. <i>Kingsley v. Hendrickson</i>	2-326
A. The <i>Kingsley</i> Majority	2-327

B. The <i>Kingsley</i> Dissent	2-333
C. <i>Kingsley</i> Requires an Objective Reasonableness Conditions-of-Confinement Standard, Too	2-334
IV. The Organizational Normative Case for a Constitutional Ban on Objectively Unreasonable Force or Conditions	2-341
A. Solving the Problem of Culpable Ignorance	2-342
B. Solving the Problem of a Cost Defense	2-346
V. The Eighth Amendment "Malicious and Sadistic" and Deliberate Indifference Standards are Wrong, Too	2-349
Conclusion	2-357

150% Wrong: The Prison Litigation Reform Act and Attorney's Fees *Eleanor Umphres* 2-361

Introduction	2-362
A. Attorney's Fees in America	2-363
B. The Prison Litigation Reform Act: 42 U.S.C. § 1997e	2-365
I. The Statute: 42 U.S.C. § 1997e	2-366
A. Legislative Purpose and History	2-366
B. The Text in Question: 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(d)—Attorney's Fees	2-369
II. How 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(d)(2) Has Been Interpreted	2-371
A. The Prevailing Interpretation	2-371
B. The Problem with the Prevailing Interpretation	2-374
1. Fallacy of the Inverse	2-374
2. Unnecessarily Absurd Results That Deter Meritorious Litigation	2-375
C. Why Such a Bad Interpretation Has Persisted	2-377
D. "The Reminder Theory"	2-380
III. How 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(d) Should Be Interpreted	2-381
A. Section 1988-Authorized Attorney's Fees in Non-Prisoner Suits	2-381
B. Section 1988-Authorized Attorney's Fees Under the PLRA: A Reasonable Interpretation	2-382
C. "Proportionately Related"	2-384
IV. Benefits of a Correct Reading	2-388
Conclusion	2-393

Completely Exhausted: Evaluating the Impact of *Woodford v. Ngo* on Prisoner Litigation in Federal Courts *University of Pennsylvania Law*

Review

Introduction	2-396
I. <i>Woodford v. Ngo</i> : A Primer	2-397
A. The Administrative Law Exhaustion Doctrine	2-397
B. The PLRA: The End of Prisoner Litigation in Federal Court?	2-398

C. <i>Woodford v. Ngo</i> : Parsing the Opinion	2-401
D. Post- <i>Woodford</i> Developments	2-405
II. "Proper" Exhaustion and Prisoner Litigation: What Result?	2-405
A. Methodology	2-406
B. Summary: Key Findings	2-412
III. Analyzing Trends: <i>Woodford</i> 's Failure to Block Prisoners' Unexhausted Civil Claims from Entering Federal Court	2-413
A. Explaining the Outliers	2-413
B. Outliers vs. The Median	2-417
C. Knowledge Gaps: Setting Prisoners up to Fail	2-418
D. Longer Sentences, More Time to File	2-420
E. <i>Woodford</i> 's Impact on the Courts and on Court Proceedings	2-421
IV. Recommendations	2-422
Conclusion	2-425
Appendix	2-426

SECTION II

Litigating and Enforcing the Rights of Prisoners

CHAPTER 3

The First Amendment**Prisons as Censors** *Clair A. Cripe and Ira Kirschbaum*

Introduction	3-3
The Constitutional Context	3-4
The Need for Professional Decisionmaking Deference	3-6
Publications That Pose a Definite Threat	3-9
To Reject or Not to Reject Other Publications: It Depends on the Particular Situation	3-10
Sexually Explicit Material	3-13
What Process Is Due?	3-15
Conclusion	3-19
Notes	3-19

Prisoners' Religious Free Exercise Rights *American University Law**Review*

Introduction	3-25
Background	3-26
Prisoners' First Amendment Rights	3-26
Federal Appellate Court Decisions	3-29
<i>Shabazz v. O'Lone</i> : Facts and Procedural History	3-31
The District Court's Analysis	3-31

The Third Circuit's Analysis	3-32
The Supreme Court's Analysis	3-32
Analysis	3-33
Conclusion	3-37
Notes	3-37

Restrictions on Prisoners' Rights to Receive Publications

Harvard Law Review

Introduction	3-59
<i>Thornburgh v. Abbott</i>	3-59
Analysis	3-62
Conclusion	3-65
Notes	3-65

In the Belly of the Whale: Religious Practice in Prison

Harvard Law Review

Introduction	3-71
The Legal Standard for Inmate Religious Claims	3-72
Correctional Approaches to Religious Accommodation	3-73
Religious Accommodations in Practice	3-75
Classification	3-75
Worship and Pastoral Services	3-77
Diet	3-78
Grooming	3-80
Personal Property	3-81
Conclusion	3-82
Notes	3-83

The Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000

Harvard Law Review 3-95

Inmate Access to Print Media

Harvard Law Review 3-107

Gods Behind Bars: Prison Gangs, Due Process, and the First Amendment

Brooklyn Law Review

Introduction	3-120
I. Due Process: Prisoners' Claims of Deprivation of Constitutional Rights	3-125
A. The Turner Test	3-125
B. Turner and Security Threat Group Designation	3-128
C. Other Legal Approaches to STGs	3-130
II. First Amendment	3-132

A. Five Percenters	3-132
1. Background	3-132
2. Legal Analysis	3-134
B. Black Guerilla Family	3-139
1. Background	3-139
2. Legal Analysis	3-142
III. Consequences of STG Designation	3-145
A. Lost Privileges	3-147
B. Segregation	3-148
C. Reporting to Local Law Enforcement on Release	3-150
D. “Debriefing”	3-150
IV. Proposals for Change	3-152
A. The Future of Turner	3-152
B. Proposed Approaches	3-155
Conclusion	3-157

Ineffective Assistance of Library: The Failings and the Future of Prison Law Libraries

Jonathan Abel

Introduction	3-160
I. The History of Prison Law Libraries	3-166
A. Prison Law Libraries: 1900 to 1960	3-166
1. General-Interest Libraries in Prisons	3-166
2. Law Libraries in Prisons: The Early History	3-168
3. Propagating the Prison Law Library Myth	3-171
B. Prison Law Libraries in the 1960s	3-172
1. The Courts’ Views on Prison Law Libraries	3-173
2. Prison Officials’ Views on Prison Law Libraries	3-174
II. The Wobbly Path to a Law Library Doctrine	3-178
A. Nonlibrary Access-to-Courts Cases: <i>Ex Parte Hull and Johnson v. Avery</i>	3-179
B. <i>Younger v. Gilmore</i> : The First Law Library Case	3-180
C. <i>Bounds v. Smith</i> : The Second Law Library Case	3-183
D. The Law Library Debate Flipped on its Head	3-185
1. Inmate Advocates Opposed Law Libraries Insofar as Libraries Became an Impediment to a Postconviction Right to Counsel	3-185
2. The States’ Noninterference Principle	3-190
III. The Internal Contradictions of the Law Library Doctrine	3-193
A. <i>Lewis v. Casey</i> : The Standing Paradox and the Absence of a Right to “Discover” Claims or to “Litigate Effectively”	3-193
B. The Mysterious Origins of the Constitutional Right	3-194
C. The Correct Amount of Access: Which Claims? What Resources? How Effective?	3-196
D. Circuit Split: Do Pretrial, Pro Se Inmates have a Law Library Right?	3-197

A Concluding Note: Technological Changes to the Prison Law
Library 3-198

Holding Federal Prison Officials Accountable: The Case for Recognizing a Damages Remedy for Federal Prisoners' Free Exercise Claims

Nicole B. Godfrey

- I. Introduction 3-204
- II. Development of Damages Remedy Against Government Officials for Constitutional Violations 3-209
 - A. Damages Against State and Local Officials Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 3-210
 - B. Damages Against Federal Officials Under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics* 3-211
 - C. The Future of *Bivens* 3-218
- III. Extending *Bivens* to Free Exercise Claims Brought by Federal Prisoners 3-226
 - A. Is a *Bivens* Remedy for Free Exercise Claims Truly an Extension of *Bivens*? 3-227
 - B. Do Special Factors Exist that Would Counsel Hesitation in Expanding the Availability of a *Bivens* Remedy for Free Exercise Claims Brought by Federal Prisoners? 3-231
 - C. Has Congress Created an Adequate Alternative Remedy to Address the Violation of Federal Prisoners' Free Exercise Rights? 3-235
- IV. Importance of a Damages Remedy for Substantial Burdens on the Free Exercise of Religion in Prisons 3-244
 - A. The Stanford Prison Experiment and the "Lucifer Effect": How Prisons Create an Environment Ripe for Abuse 3-245
 - B. The Importance of Religious Rights in Prison 3-248
 - C. The Historical Purpose of Punitive Damages 3-250
- V. Conclusion 3-251

Reading the Prisoner's Letter: Attorney-Client Confidentiality in Inmate Correspondence

Gregory Sisk, Michelle King, Joy Nissen Beitzel, Bridget Duffus, and Katherine Koehler

- Introduction 3-258
- I. Confidentiality in Attorney-Client Correspondence with Prisoners 3-262
 - A. Attorney-Client Confidentiality in General 3-263
 - B. Attorney-Client Confidentiality and the Inmate 3-265
 - 1. The Prisoner's Need for Confidentiality in Legal Communications 3-265
 - 2. The Impact of Invasive Prison Legal Mail Rules on the Availability of Legal Counsel for Prisoners 3-269
 - C. The Vital Importance of Legal Mail to the Attorney-Client Relationship 3-271

II. A Survey of Prison Policies on Legal Mail	3-274
A. Prison Regulations on Incoming Attorney Mail to Prisoners	3-275
B. Prison Regulations on Outgoing Prisoner Mail to Attorneys	3-276
III. Constitutional Protection for Prisoner-Attorney Correspondence	3-277
A. Prisoner Free Speech and Access to Court Rights to Confidentiality in Attorney Correspondence	3-280
1. Supreme Court Precedent	3-280
2. Circuit Precedent (Majority)	3-281
3. Circuit Precedent (Minority)	3-283
4. Whether Prison Intrusion Occurs Inside or Outside Presence of Prisoner as Being Irrelevant to Prohibition on Reading	3-284
B. Prisoner Right to Assistance of Counsel in Criminal Matters and Confidentiality in Attorney Correspondence	3-286
C. Protecting a Prison's Legitimate Penological Interests	3-288
1. Protecting Against Introduction of Contraband	3-289
2. Interrupting Illegal Communications	3-290
3. Upholding the Critical Need for Attorney-Client Confidentiality	3-292
D. Reading Versus Inspection Versus Scanning	3-293
1. Impermissibility of Reading Attorney-Client Letters	3-293
2. The Danger of Allowing Even Limited Access to the Substantive Contents of Attorney-Client Mail	3-296
a. Skimming of Legal Mail is a Form of Reading That Would Eviscerate Confidentiality	3-297
b. The Rare Prospect of the Rogue Lawyer Engaging in Criminal Misconduct	3-298
c. Nordstrom v. Ryan: Rejecting Skimming and Highlighting Absence of Evidence of Lawyer Abuse of Legal Mail	3-301
IV. Procedures for Asserting Right to Confidentiality in Prisoner Legal Mail	3-306
A. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies: The Prison Grievance Process and Legal Mail Cases	3-307
B. Liberal Construction of Pro Se Prisoner Complaints in Legal Mail Cases	3-311
C. Standing for Legal Mail Claims	3-313
1. Proving a Practice or a Policy of Reading or Opening Attorney-Client Mail	3-313
2. Standing for First Amendment Free Speech Claim	3-314
3. Standing for Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Claim	3-316
a. Showing of Prejudice When Seeking Retrospective Relief	3-316

b. No Need to Show Past Prejudice When Seeking Prospective Relief	3-318
c. The Potential Problem of Mootness	3-320
4. Standing for Right-of-Access-to-the-Courts Claim	3-322
D. Retrospective and Prospective Relief in Legal Mail Cases	3-324
E. Qualified Immunity for Prison Officers in Legal Mail Cases	3-327
Conclusion	3-329

CHAPTER 4

Fourth Amendment, Privacy, and Possessory Rights**Fourth Amendment, Privacy and Possessory Rights of Detainees and Prisoners: Recent Supreme Court Decisions** *Harvard Law**Review*

Introduction	4-3
<i>Block v. Rutherford</i>	4-3
<i>Hudson v. Palmer</i>	4-5
Analysis of the Decisions	4-7
Conclusion	4-10
Notes	4-10

CHAPTER 5

Procedural Due Process Rights**Prisoners and Due Process Litigation: An Invitation to the State Courts** *Susan N. Herman*

Introduction	5-3
Due Process Claims	5-4
Federal Due Process Claims	5-4
State Constitutions: The New Frontier	5-6
Perceived Flaws or Eccentricities in the Federal Doctrine	5-7
Institutional Differences Between State and Federal Courts	5-9
Distinctive State-Specific Factors	5-10
Alternative Approaches	5-11
Conclusion	5-12
Notes	5-13

The Supreme Court's Due Process Cases of the 1985 Term*Harvard Law Review*

Introduction	5-19
<i>Daniels v. Williams</i> and <i>Davidson v. Cannon</i>	5-19
Analysis of the Decisions	5-21

Conclusion	5-24
Notes	5-25

Procedural Due Process of Incarcerated Parents in Termination of Parental Rights Proceedings: A Fifty State Analysis *Philip M. Genty*

Introduction	5-29
Constitutional Requirements in the Determination of Parental Fitness	5-33
When a Hearing Is Something Less: Streamlined Hearing Procedures	5-37
Physical Presence at Hearings	5-38
Disposition of Proceeding Through Summary Judgment	5-39
Right to Counsel	5-40
Conclusion	5-40
Termination of Parental Rights on the Basis of Past Unfitness: “Backward-Looking” Statutes and Cases	5-41
Rehabilitation of Parents	5-42
Repeated Criminal Activity or Other Detrimental Conduct	5-43
Nature of the Parent’s Crime	5-44
Conclusions	5-46
Termination of Parental Rights on the Basis of Length of Prison Sentence: “Forward-Looking” Statutes and Cases	5-47
Statutes	5-48
Cases	5-49
Conclusions	5-52
Protecting the Procedural Due Process Rights of Incarcerated Parents: Toward a Constitutionally Acceptable Framework for Termination of Parental Rights	5-53
Illustrative State Systems	5-54
Model for Termination of Parental Rights Proceedings Involving Incarcerated Parents	5-61
Hearing Procedures	5-61
Duties of Childcare Agency	5-61
Requirements for Judicial Inquiry Into Parental Fitness	5-62
Conclusions	5-63
Notes	5-64

Due Process and Punishments Imposed by Administrative Proceedings *Harvard Law Review*

Introduction	5-109
<i>Sandin v. Conner</i>	5-110
Analysis	5-112
Conclusion	5-114
Notes	5-115

CHAPTER 6

Overcrowding

Prison Overcrowding: The Threat of the 1980s *Robert B. McKay*

Introduction	6-3	
Extent of Prison Overcrowding	6-5	
Prison Population Trends from 1960 to 1983		6-6
Jail Inmates	6-6	
Probation and Parole	6-7	
Rates of Crime	6-7	
Causes of Prison Overcrowding	6-9	
Demographic Factors	6-9	
Legislative Responsibility	6-9	
Judicial Responsibility	6-9	
Parole Officials' Responsibility	6-10	
Consequences of Prison Overcrowding	6-10	
Remedies for Prison Overcrowding	6-12	
New Construction or Conversion of Facilities		6-13
Decriminalization	6-14	
Reducing Mandatory Sentences	6-14	
Alternative Sanctions	6-15	
Restitution	6-15	
Fines	6-15	
Community Service	6-16	
Presumptive Parole	6-16	
Emergency Standby Release Power	6-16	
Conclusion	6-17	
Notes	6-17	