

# **Table of Contents**

## **Volume 1**

### **PART I. GENERAL PRINCIPLES**

#### **CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION**

##### **A. IN GENERAL**

- § 1:1 Statement of doctrine
- § 1:2 Origin and development of doctrine
- § 1:3 Theoretical basis of doctrine
- § 1:4 Nature of doctrine
- § 1:5 Types of actions in which doctrine applicable
- § 1:6 Dependency on relationship of parties
- § 1:6.50 Effect of death of party at fault

##### **B. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER DOCTRINES**

- § 1:7 Proving negligence by circumstantial evidence
- § 1:7.50 Doctrine of unavoidable accident
- § 1:8 Presuming negligence from statutory violation
- § 1:9 Doctrine of strict or absolute liability
- § 1:10 Effect on last clear chance doctrine

##### **C. CONFLICT OF LAWS**

- § 1:11 The law of the forum governs
- § 1:11.50 Lex loci delicti

##### **D. LAW APPLICABLE IN DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP ACTIONS IN FEDERAL COURT**

- § 1:12 Applicability of state law
- § 1:13 Conflict of laws

## **CHAPTER 2. PREREQUISITES FOR APPLICATION OF DOCTRINE**

### **A. IN GENERAL**

- § 2:1 The essential elements of the doctrine
- § 2:2 —Where injury caused by defect in an instrumentality
- § 2:3 Direct or circumstantial proof of elements

### **B. ACCIDENT OF A KIND NOT HAPPENING WITHOUT DEFENDANT'S NEGLIGENCE**

- § 2:4 Defendant's negligence as reasonable probability
- § 2:5 Occurrence due to causes other than negligence of defendant
- § 2:6 Circumstances negating defendant's negligence
- § 2:7 Applicability of presumptions of innocence and due care
- § 2:8 Expert testimony

### **C. CONTROL OF INSTRUMENTALITY CAUSING INJURY**

#### **1. IN GENERAL**

- § 2:9 Necessity of establishing the instrumentality causing injury
- § 2:10 Necessity of establishing defendant's control of injuring-instrumentality
- § 2:11 Divided control
- § 2:12 Time of control
- § 2:13 Actual or constructive control
- § 2:14 Right of inspection and user
- § 2:15 Ownership of instrumentality
- § 2:16 Two or more injuring instrumentalities involved
- § 2:17 Injuring-instrumentality under joint control of two or more persons
- § 2:18 Proof of control

#### **2. INJURED PERSON'S CONTROL OVER OR CONNECTION WITH INSTRUMENTALITY**

- § 2:19 Injured person's control over injuring-instrumentality
- § 2:20 Injured person's control not a causative factor
- § 2:21 What constitutes injured person's control

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:22 Several injuring-instrumentalities involved

### **D. ABSENCE OF VOLUNTARY ACTION ON PART OF PLAINTIFF**

§ 2:23 Plaintiff's contribution to injury or accident

§ 2:24 Use of instrumentality for unintended purpose

§ 2:25 Contributory negligence or assumption of risk

### **E. SUPERIOR KNOWLEDGE OF DEFENDANT; EVIDENCE MORE READILY AVAILABLE TO DEFENDANT**

§ 2:26 Generally

§ 2:27 Equality of knowledge and accessibility of evidence

§ 2:28 Extent of plaintiff's duty to obtain evidence

## **CHAPTER 3. OPERATION AND EFFECT OF DOCTRINE**

§ 3:1 Limitation of doctrine; Necessity of proving elements of negligence action

§ 3:2 —Applicability of doctrine in cases of gross, wilful, or wanton negligence

§ 3:3 Doctrine as creating presumption or warranting inference of negligence; Definitions

§ 3:4 View that doctrine warrants an inference of negligence

§ 3:5 View that doctrine creates a presumption of negligence

§ 3:6 Procedural effect of inference view; Nonsuit or dismissal at close of plaintiff's case

§ 3:7 —Directed verdict for plaintiff at close of plaintiff's case

§ 3:8 —Directed verdict for plaintiff at close of entire case

§ 3:9 —Directed verdict for defendant at close of entire case

§ 3:10 —Effect on appeal

§ 3:11 Procedural effect of presumption view; Nonsuit or dismissal at close of plaintiff's case

§ 3:12 —Plaintiff's right to a directed verdict

§ 3:13 —Defendant's right to a directed verdict

§ 3:13.50 Jury instructions

§ 3:14 Burden of proof and burden of evidence

THE NEGLIGENCE CASE: RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- § 3:15 —Overcoming or rebutting the presumption or inference
- § 3:16 —Defendant's failure to produce available evidence
- § 3:17 Summary judgment
- § 3:18 —Forms

## **CHAPTER 4. AGAINST WHOM DOCTRINE APPLIES; MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS**

### **A. IN GENERAL**

- § 4:1 Generally
- § 4:2 Municipal corporation; Public utility
- § 4:3 State

### **B. UNITED STATES; FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT**

- § 4:4 Generally
- § 4:5 What law governs
- § 4:6 Effect of pleading or proving specific allegedly wrongful conduct
- § 4:7 Applicability of doctrine to particular situations

### **C. MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS**

- § 4:8 Generally
- § 4:9 Applicability of doctrine against multiple defendants
- § 4:10 Cases relaxing conventional requirements of doctrine
- § 4:11 Availability of doctrine as between concurrent tortfeasors; Basis for contribution

## **CHAPTER 5. PLEADING, PROOF, AND TRIAL**

### **A. EFFECT OF DOCTRINE ON PLEADING**

- § 5:1 Effect of doctrine on sufficiency of pleading
- § 5:2 —Where plaintiff seeks to invoke doctrine
- § 5:3 —Bill of particulars
- § 5:4 Necessity of expressly pleading doctrine
- § 5:5 Sufficiency of notice of invocation of doctrine where required
- § 5:5.50 Considering doctrine on motion to amend complaint
- § 5:5.60 Reliance on doctrine as exception to enhanced pleading requirements

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5:5.70 Statutes and rules

§ 5:5.80 Cases

### **B. EFFECT OF PLEADING ON DOCTRINE**

#### **1. IN GENERAL**

§ 5:6 Applicability of doctrine under general allegations of negligence

§ 5:7 Conflict of views as to applicability of doctrine under specific allegations of negligence

§ 5:8 Practical considerations

#### **2. ALLEGATIONS OF SPECIFIC ACTS OF NEGLIGENCE AS BARRING RELIANCE ON DOCTRINE**

§ 5:9 Where plaintiff alleges specific acts of negligence only

§ 5:10 Where plaintiff combines allegations of specific acts of negligence and general negligence

#### **3. ALLEGATIONS OF SPECIFIC ACTS OF NEGLIGENCE AS LIMITING RELIANCE ON DOCTRINE TO PROVING THOSE ALLEGATIONS**

§ 5:11 Where plaintiff alleges specific acts of negligence only

§ 5:12 Where plaintiff combines allegations of specific acts of negligence and general negligence

#### **4. ALLEGATIONS OF SPECIFIC ACTS OF NEGLIGENCE AS NOT RESTRICTING RELIANCE ON DOCTRINE**

§ 5:13 Where plaintiff alleges both specific and general negligence

§ 5:14 Where plaintiff alleges specific negligence but general negligence is in issue

#### **5. PARTICULAR ALLEGATIONS AS SPECIFIC OR GENERAL**

§ 5:15 Where reliance on doctrine barred by allegations of specific negligence only

§ 5:16 Where reliance on doctrine limited to proving allegations of specific negligence

## THE NEGLIGENCE CASE: RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- § 5:17 Where reliance on doctrine not restricted by  
allegations of specific negligence

### **C. EFFECT OF EVIDENCE ON DOCTRINE**

- § 5:18 Evidence showing specific physical cause of injury  
§ 5:19 Evidence not clearly showing specific physical cause of  
injury  
§ 5:20 —Specific evidence submissible with inference of res  
ipsa loquitur doctrine  
§ 5:21 Specific physical cause of accident as distinguished  
from legal cause of accident  
§ 5:22 Actions involving multiple defendants  
§ 5:23 Defendant's evidence; Evidence on cross-examination  
or in rebuttal  
§ 5:24 Practical considerations

### **D. PROVINCE OF COURT AND JURY**

- § 5:25 Determination of applicability of doctrine  
§ 5:26 Determination of liability when doctrine applicable

### **E. TRIAL TECHNIQUES**

- § 5:27 Form of trial brief  
§ 5:28 Form of opening statement  
§ 5:29 Form of prima facie case  
§ 5:30 Form of closing statement

### **F. INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY**

- § 5:31 Generally  
§ 5:32 Instruction as to mere proof of an accident  
§ 5:33 Instruction as to nature of doctrine as inference or  
presumption  
§ 5:34 Instruction as to burden of proof  
§ 5:35 Instruction as to presumption of due care  
§ 5:36 Instruction as to defense of contributory negligence  
§ 5:37 Instruction in action involving multiple defendants  
§ 5:38 Request for instructions; Refusal of instructions  
§ 5:39 Forms of instructions

### **G. OTHER MATTERS**

- § 5:40 Discovery

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 6. STATUS, APPLICATION, AND  
EFFECT OF DOCTRINE IN EACH  
JURISDICTION**

§ 6:1	Generally
§ 6:1.20	Statutory Res Ipsa Loquitur—In general
§ 6:1.40	—In specific types of cases—Medical malpractice
§ 6:1.60	—In other types of cases
§ 6:2	Alabama
§ 6:3	Alaska
§ 6:3.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:4	Arizona
§ 6:5	Arkansas
§ 6:6	California
§ 6:7	—Elements, generally
§ 6:8	—Probability
§ 6:9	—Doctrine as raising inference rather than presumption; Weight
§ 6:10	—Effect of doctrine on burden of proof
§ 6:11	—Effect of plaintiff's allegation of specific acts of negligence
§ 6:12	—Proof of specific acts of negligence
§ 6:12.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:13	Colorado
§ 6:14	—Pleading or proof of specific negligence
§ 6:15	Connecticut
§ 6:16	Delaware
§ 6:17	District of Columbia
§ 6:18	Florida
§ 6:19	—Effect of pleading or proving specific facts
§ 6:19.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:20	Georgia
§ 6:20.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:21	Hawaii
§ 6:22	Idaho
§ 6:23	Illinois
§ 6:24	Indiana
§ 6:24.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:25	Iowa
§ 6:26	—Operation and effect of doctrine
§ 6:27	—Effect of pleading or proof of specific negligence
§ 6:28	Kansas
§ 6:29	—Pleading or proof of specific negligence

THE NEGLIGENCE CASE: RES IPSA LOQUITUR

§ 6:30	Kentucky
§ 6:31	Louisiana
§ 6:32	—Operation and effect of doctrine
§ 6:33	—Pleading or proof of specific negligence
§ 6:34	Maine
§ 6:35	Maryland
§ 6:35.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:36	Massachusetts
§ 6:37	—Pleading or proof of specific negligence
§ 6:38	Michigan
§ 6:38.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:39	Minnesota
§ 6:40	—Pleading or proof of specific negligence
§ 6:41	Mississippi
§ 6:42	Missouri
§ 6:43	—Requirements or conditions for invocation of doctrine
§ 6:44	—Pleading or proof of specific negligence
§ 6:44.50	—Jury Instructions
§ 6:45	Montana
§ 6:46	Nebraska
§ 6:47	—Pleading or proof of specific negligence
§ 6:48	Nevada
§ 6:49	New Hampshire
§ 6:50	New Jersey
§ 6:51	—Pleading or proof of specific negligence
§ 6:51.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:52	New Mexico
§ 6:52.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:53	New York
§ 6:54	—Doctrine as rule of evidence; Evidentiary effect
§ 6:55	—Negligence as reasonable probability
§ 6:56	—Control of instrumentality causing injury
§ 6:57	—Effect of pleading or proving specific acts of negligence
§ 6:58	North Carolina
§ 6:59	North Dakota
§ 6:60	Ohio
§ 6:61	—Doctrine as rule of evidence
§ 6:62	—Evidentiary effect of doctrine; Effect on burden of proof
§ 6:63	—Negligence as reasonable probability
§ 6:64	—Control of instrumentality causing injury



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 6:65	—Effect of pleading or proving specific acts of negligence
§ 6:66	Oklahoma
§ 6:67	—Effect of pleading or proof of specific acts of negligence
§ 6:67.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:68	Oregon
§ 6:69	Pennsylvania
§ 6:70	Rhode Island
§ 6:71	South Carolina
§ 6:72	South Dakota
§ 6:73	Tennessee
§ 6:74	—Pleading or proof of specific acts of negligence
§ 6:75	Texas
§ 6:76	—Requisites for application of doctrine
§ 6:77	—Effect of application
§ 6:78	—Effect of alleging or proving specific acts of negligence
§ 6:79	Utah
§ 6:79.50	—Jury instructions
§ 6:80	Vermont
§ 6:81	Virginia
§ 6:82	Washington
§ 6:83	—Conditions or requirements for invocation of doctrine
§ 6:84	—Pleading or proof of specific acts of negligence
§ 6:84.50	—Jury instruction
§ 6:85	West Virginia
§ 6:86	Wisconsin
§ 6:87	—Pleading or proof of specific acts of negligence
§ 6:88	Wyoming
§ 6:89	Puerto Rico

## PART II. SPECIFIC APPLICATIONS AND SITUATIONS

### CHAPTER 7. IN GENERAL

§ 7:1	Generally
-------	-----------

## **CHAPTER 8. AMUSEMENTS AND EXHIBITIONS**

### **A. IN GENERAL**

- § 8:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 8:2 Effect of doctrine
- § 8:3 Effect of pleading or evidence of specific acts of negligence
- § 8:4 Form of instruction to jury

### **B. PARTICULAR APPLICATIONS**

#### **1. AMUSEMENT RIDES AND DEVICES**

- § 8:5 Applicability of doctrine
- § 8:6 Roller coaster or scenic railway
- § 8:7 Merry-go-round
- § 8:8 Slide or chute
- § 8:9 Miscellaneous amusement rides or devices

#### **2. FALLS AND FALLING, COLLAPSING OR THROWN OBJECT**

- § 8:10 Applicability of doctrine

#### **3. OTHER APPLICATIONS**

- § 8:11 Injury in swimming pool or at bathing resort
- § 8:12 Pushing, crowding or jostling of other patrons
- § 8:13 Parks, playgrounds, and recreation centers
- § 8:14 Miscellaneous applications

## **CHAPTER 9. ANIMALS**

- § 9:1 Injury from animal on highway
- § 9:2 Collision between automobile and animal
- § 9:2.50 Injury to animals
- § 9:3 Injury by or to hired animals
- § 9:3.50 Miscellaneous situations

## **CHAPTER 9A. ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS, OR SURVEYORS**

- § 9A:1 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 10. AVIATION**

**A. IN GENERAL**

- § 10:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 10:2 —Actions under Federal Tort Claims Act
- § 10:3 —Actions under Death on the High Seas Act
- § 10:4 Effect of application
- § 10:5 Forms of complaint; Generally
- § 10:6 —Fall or crash of airplane
- § 10:7 Forms of instructions

**B. MATTERS AFFECTING APPLICABILITY OF DOCTRINE**

- § 10:8 Exclusive control and management of aircraft
- § 10:9 Comparative knowledge or means of knowledge of parties as to cause of accident
- § 10:10 Effect of pleading or proof of specific acts of negligence
- § 10:11 Trial tactics

**C. PARTICULAR TYPES, CLASSES, AND INSTANCES OF ACCIDENTS**

- § 10:12 Falling or crash of plane in flight
- § 10:13 Collision with other aircraft in flight
- § 10:14 Collision with mountain or other elevation
- § 10:15 Collision with structure or object on surface
- § 10:16 Accident in take-off
- § 10:17 Accident in landing
- § 10:18 —Emergency or forced landing
- § 10:19 Miscellaneous accidents

**CHAPTER 11. BEAUTY PARLORS**

- § 11:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 11:2 Inapplicability of doctrine

**CHAPTER 12. CARRIERS**

**A. IN GENERAL**

- § 12:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 12:2 —Connecting carriers

THE NEGLIGENCE CASE: RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- § 12:3 —Prospective passengers; Employees; Licensees or trespassers
- § 12:4 Inapplicability of doctrine
- § 12:5 —Lack of control of carrier
- § 12:6 —Act of god
- § 12:7 Rebutting or overcoming the presumption or inference
- § 12:8 Effect of pleading or proof of specific acts of negligence

**B. PARTICULAR APPLICATIONS OF DOCTRINE**

- § 12:9 Collisions
- § 12:10 —Lack of control as a factor
- § 12:11 —Effect of evidence of specific negligence
- § 12:12 Carrier's vehicle leaving road or overturning
- § 12:13 —Effect of allegations or evidence of specific acts of negligence
- § 12:14 Hitting of pedestrian
- § 12:15 Derailments
- § 12:16 —Effect of pleading or evidence of specific acts of negligence
- § 12:17 Skidding vehicle
- § 12:18 Tire failure
- § 12:19 Jerks or jolts, sudden starting or stopping
- § 12:20 —Pleading as affecting application of doctrine
- § 12:21 —Evidence as affecting application of doctrine
- § 12:22 —Evidence not establishing precise cause of stopping
- § 12:23 —Evidence establishing precise cause of stopping
- § 12:24 Injury sustained while boarding or alighting
- § 12:25 Injury by fall of baggage or similar objects; Generally
- § 12:26 —Effect of pleading or proving specific acts of negligence
- § 12:27 Loss of baggage
- § 12:28 Breakdown or defect in a conveyance or its equipment; Generally
- § 12:29 Defective car seats
- § 12:30 Defect in, or objects on, floor of conveyance
- § 12:31 Operation of doors and windows
- § 12:31.50 Forms of complaints
- § 12:32 Falling out of door
- § 12:33 Fall of part of equipment or load
- § 12:34 Injury caused by projecting equipment or load

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 12:35 Injury or death of passenger occasioned by inhalation of exhaust gases or fumes
- § 12:36 Electric shock; Escape of electricity
- § 12:37 Railroad crossing signaling device
- § 12:38 Missiles from outside conveyance
- § 12:39 Misconduct of fellow passengers or employees of carrier
- § 12:40 Injury to intoxicated passenger
- § 12:41 Miscellaneous applications

## **CHAPTER 13. CONSTRUCTION WORK AND EQUIPMENT**

- § 13:1 Operation of crane or derrick
- § 13:2 Miscellaneous applications
- § 13:3 Third person's suit against contractor for injury or damage occurring after completion and acceptance of work

## **CHAPTER 14. ELECTRICITY, GAS, OIL, STEAM AND HOT WATER**

### **A. INJURY OR DAMAGE FROM ELECTRICAL CURRENT, SERVICE, EQUIPMENT OR APPLIANCES**

#### **1. IN GENERAL**

- § 14:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 14:2 Requirement of control
- § 14:3 Effect of pleading or evidence of negligence

#### **2. PARTICULAR APPLICATIONS**

- § 14:4 Electrical wires, current or system
- § 14:5 Fall of pole or attachments thereto
- § 14:6 Lightning transmitted over wires
- § 14:7 Electrified guy wires
- § 14:8 Electric stoves, fans, or other appliances
- § 14:8.50 Miscellaneous applications

### **B. INJURY OR DAMAGE FROM GAS OR OIL**

- § 14:9 Gas leakage or explosion
- § 14:10 —Effect of pleading or evidence of specific acts of negligence

THE NEGLIGENCE CASE: RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- § 14:11 Gas escaping from mains on street
- § 14:12 Gas furnaces or appliances
- § 14:13 Escaping refrigerating gas
- § 14:14 Gas or oil drilling
- § 14:15 Oil or kerosene heating systems
- § 14:15.50 Other situations involving injury or damage from gas or oil

**C. INJURY OR DAMAGE FROM STEAM AND HOT WATER**

- § 14:16 Steam or steam apparatus and hot water

**Volume 2**

**CHAPTER 15. ELEVATORS AND ESCALATORS**

**A. IN GENERAL**

- § 15:1 Practical considerations
- § 15:2 Forms of complaints
- § 15:3 Form of instruction to jury

**B. NONAUTOMATIC ELEVATORS**

- § 15:4 Generally
- § 15:5 Control
- § 15:6 —Injured person, or third party, operating elevator
- § 15:7 —Proprietor of building housing elevator
- § 15:8 —Lessor of building
- § 15:9 —Elevator maintenance or repair contractor
- § 15:10 Relationship between injured person and defendant;  
Carrier and passenger
- § 15:11 —Employer and employee
- § 15:12 Equality of knowledge and accessibility of evidence
- § 15:13 Effect of pleading or evidence of specific acts of  
negligence
- § 15:14 Voluntary action by person injured
- § 15:15 Doctrine held applicable
- § 15:16 Accident explainable on theory other than defendant's  
lack of care; Doctrine inapplicable

**C. AUTOMATIC ELEVATORS**

- § 15:17 Applicability of doctrine

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**D. ESCALATORS**

- § 15:18 Applicability of doctrine
- § 15:19 Inapplicability of doctrine
- § 15:20 Effect of pleading or evidence of specific acts of negligence

**CHAPTER 16. EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE**

- § 16:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 16:2 Factors affecting application
- § 16:3 Effect of assumption of risk and fellow employee rules
- § 16:4 Application of doctrine in particular situations

**CHAPTER 17. EXPLOSIONS**

**A. IN GENERAL**

- § 17:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 17:2 Effect of pleading and evidence
- § 17:3 Action by employee against employer

**B. PARTICULAR APPLICATIONS OF DOCTRINE**

- § 17:4 Blasting
- § 17:5 Discharge of fireworks
- § 17:6 Actions against manufacturers or sellers of defective articles
- § 17:7 Actions against manufacturers or sellers of explosive products
- § 17:8 Boilers
- § 17:9 Transportation of explosives
- § 17:10 Garages, repair shops, and service and filling stations
- § 17:11 Miscellaneous applications

**CHAPTER 18. FALLING, COLLAPSING OR THROWN OBJECTS**

**A. IN GENERAL**

- § 18:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 18:2 —In actions by employee against employer
- § 18:3 Effect of pleading or evidence of specific acts of negligence

**B. COLLAPSE, FAILURE OR FALLING OF SCAFFOLD**

- § 18:4 Applicability of doctrine
- § 18:5 Effect of pleading or evidence of specific acts of negligence
- § 18:6 Joint control over scaffold
- § 18:7 Injury to employee
- § 18:8 Possible negligence of fellow employee
- § 18:9 Possible negligence of employee himself
- § 18:10 Injury to person, other than employee, working on scaffold
- § 18:11 Injury to passers-by

**C. FALL OF OBJECT OR SUBSTANCE FROM CEILING OF PLACE OF PUBLIC RESORT**

- § 18:12 Generally
- § 18:13 Character of premises
- § 18:14 Control of premises
- § 18:15 Effect of proof of inspection
- § 18:16 Illustrative applications

**D. INJURY TO CUSTOMER OR PATRON FROM DEFECT IN OR FALL OF SEAT**

- § 18:17 Theaters
- § 18:18 Boxing, wrestling, and other athletic exhibitions
- § 18:19 Stores, shops, or places in which goods are sold or services rendered
- § 18:20 Miscellaneous situations

**E. ACTIONS AGAINST OWNER OR OCCUPANT OF BUILDING FOR INJURY FROM OBJECT FALLING OR THROWN FROM BUILDING**

- § 18:21 Applicability of doctrine
- § 18:22 Glass falling from window, door or wall
- § 18:23 —Effect of landlord and tenant relationship
- § 18:24 Falling of awning

**F. ACTION AGAINST BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTORS FOR FALL OF OBJECT FROM BUILDING IN PROCESS OF CONSTRUCTION**

- § 18:25 Applicability of doctrine



TABLE OF CONTENTS

**G. FALL OF BUILDING OR PART THEREOF**

§ 18:26 Applicability of doctrine

**H. MISCELLANEOUS FALLING, COLLAPSING OR  
THROWN OBJECTS**

§ 18:27 Applicability of doctrine

**CHAPTER 19. FIRES**

**A. IN GENERAL**

- § 19:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 19:2 Unusual fire
- § 19:3 Fire of unknown origin
- § 19:4 Defendant's control
- § 19:5 Superior knowledge of party charged
- § 19:6 Forms of complaints
- § 19:7 Effect of pleading
- § 19:8 Effect of evidence
- § 19:9 Effect of doctrine when applied
- § 19:10 Relationship of parties as affecting application of doctrine
- § 19:11 —Bailor-bailee relationship
- § 19:12 —Employer-employee relationship

**B. PARTICULAR APPLICATIONS OF DOCTRINE**

**1. GARAGE, FILLING STATIONS OR REPAIR  
SHOPS**

- § 19:13 Destruction or damage of motor vehicle
- § 19:14 Injury or damage incident to filling gasoline tank

**2. HOTEL, BOARDINGHOUSE, OR RESTAURANT**

- § 19:15 Applicability of doctrine

**3. OIL REFINERY, OIL STORAGE PLANT OR THE  
LIKE**

- § 19:16 Applicability of doctrine

4. WAREHOUSE

§ 19:17 Applicability of doctrine

5. ELECTRIC POWER PLANT

§ 19:18 Applicability of doctrine

6. LANDLORD AND TENANT

§ 19:19 Applicability of doctrine; Landlord as defendant

§ 19:20 —Tenant as defendant

7. OTHER APPLICATIONS

§ 19:21 Doctrine held applicable in fire situation

§ 19:22 Doctrine held inapplicable in fire situation

**CHAPTER 20. GARAGES AND SERVICE STATIONS**

§ 20:1 Defective repairs to motor vehicle

§ 20:2 Improper use of tools or equipment

§ 20:3 Loss of or damage to motor vehicle

§ 20:4 Form of complaint

§ 20:5 Miscellaneous service station situations

**CHAPTER 21. HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES**

§ 21:1 Applicability of doctrine against municipality or public authority

§ 21:2 Applicability of doctrine against private persons or corporations

**CHAPTER 22. HOSPITALS**

**A. IN GENERAL**

§ 22:1 Practical considerations

§ 22:2 Form of complaint

**B. MATTERS AFFECTING APPLICABILITY OF DOCTRINE**

§ 22:3 Control of instrumentality

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 22:4 —Control in hospital
- § 22:5 —Control in others
- § 22:6 Voluntary action of injured person; Unconsciousness
- § 22:7 Unusualness of occurrence in absence of negligence
- § 22:8 Superior knowledge of party charged;  
Unconsciousness
- § 22:9 Number and relationship of defendants
- § 22:10 Doctrine of charitable immunity
- § 22:11 Pleading
- § 22:12 —Specific negligence
- § 22:13 Evidence
- § 22:14 —Several possible causes of injury
- § 22:15 —Precise cause established

### C. OPERATION OF DOCTRINE

- § 22:16 Generally
- § 22:17 Inference of negligence overcome
- § 22:18 Inference of negligence not overcome

### D. PARTICULAR APPLICATIONS OF DOCTRINE

- § 22:19 Burns, doctrine applicable
- § 22:20 —Doctrine inapplicable
- § 22:21 Surgical operations and procedures
- § 22:22 Injections and transfusions
- § 22:23 Childbirth
- § 22:24 Falls or leaps
- § 22:25 Miscellaneous

## CHAPTER 23. HOTELS, MOTELS AND RESTAURANTS

- § 23:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 23:2 Falling objects
- § 23:3 Chairs or seats
- § 23:4 Bathroom or plumbing fixtures
- § 23:5 Miscellaneous applications

## CHAPTER 24. MEDICAL MALPRACTICE

### A. APPLICABILITY OF DOCTRINE

- § 24:1 Generally

THE NEGLIGENCE CASE: RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- § 24:2 Observations as to applicability or limitations of doctrine
- § 24:3 View that doctrine is inapplicable
- § 24:3.50 Instructions to jury in medical malpractice case
- § 24:3.70 Forms of jury instructions

**B. MATTERS AFFECTING APPLICABILITY OF DOCTRINE**

- § 24:4 Generally
- § 24:5 Control over patient or instrumentality
- § 24:6 Effect of presence of multiple defendants or of several possible instrumentalities
- § 24:7 Pleading or proof of specific acts of negligence
- § 24:8 Requirement that consequences of treatment are a matter of common knowledge
- § 24:8.50 Forms—Memoranda regarding applicability of doctrine

**C. UNSUCCESSFUL TREATMENT OR ADVERSE RESULT AS BASIS OF ACTION**

- § 24:9 Applicability of doctrine

**D. SPECIFIC RESULT AS BASIS OF ACTION**

- § 24:10 Death
- § 24:11 Infection
- § 24:12 Pain or suffering
- § 24:13 Results of treatment of injured limb
- § 24:14 Results of treatment of eyes or ears
- § 24:15 Results of nose and throat surgery
- § 24:16 Miscellaneous poor or bad results

**E. SPECIFIC ACTS OR OMISSIONS AS BASIS OF ACTION**

- § 24:17 Generally
- § 24:18 Erroneous diagnosis
- § 24:19 Failure to X-ray
- § 24:20 Choice of wrong method of treatment
- § 24:21 Failure to remove foreign objects from body of patient
- § 24:22 —Specific objects
- § 24:23 Injuries to unaffected areas or organs during medical care; Generally

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 24:24 —“Field of operation” and “remote area” injuries distinguished
- § 24:25 —Effect of unconsciousness of patient at time of injury
- § 24:26 —Injuries outside field of operation or region of treatment
- § 24:27 —Injuries within actual field of surgery or treatment, or caused by activities therein
- § 24:28 —Injuries from administration of anesthetics or drugs
- § 24:29 Breaking of needles or other instruments
- § 24:30 Injuries from use of mechanical devices
- § 24:31 Explosions
- § 24:32 Failure to sterilize instruments or field of operation
- § 24:33 Violation of law; Practice without a license
- § 24:34 Miscellaneous

## CHAPTER 25. MOTORBOATS AND SMALL CRAFT

- § 25:1 Explosion
- § 25:2 Carbon monoxide poisoning
- § 25:3 Miscellaneous circumstances

## CHAPTER 26. MOTOR VEHICLES

### A. IN GENERAL

- § 26:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 26:2 —Where action is brought against more than one defendant
- § 26:3 Relationship between parties as affecting application of doctrine
- § 26:4 Applicability of doctrine under guest statutes
- § 26:5 Applicability of doctrine to bailments
- § 26:6 Forms of complaints
- § 26:6.50 Forms of jury instructions

### B. PARTICULAR APPLICATIONS OF DOCTRINE

#### 1. VEHICLE LEAVES ROAD OR ROADWAY

- § 26:7 Applicability of doctrine
- § 26:8 —Injury to occupants of vehicle
- § 26:9 —Injuries to pedestrians or damage to property near roadway

THE NEGLIGENCE CASE: RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- § 26:10 Control of instrumentality
- § 26:11 Superior knowledge of party charged
- § 26:12 Pleading as affecting application of doctrine
- § 26:13 Evidence as affecting application of doctrine
- § 26:14 Illustrative cases; Generally
- § 26:15 —Occupant of car
- § 26:16 —Occupant of truck or jeep
- § 26:17 —Occupants of miscellaneous vehicles
- § 26:18 —Pedestrian struck by vehicle
- § 26:19 —Damage to property near roadway

2. VEHICLE TURNS OVER ON HIGHWAY

- § 26:20 Applicability of doctrine
- § 26:21 —Injury to occupants of vehicle
- § 26:22 Control of instrumentality
- § 26:23 Superior knowledge of party charged
- § 26:24 Pleading as affecting application of doctrine
- § 26:25 Evidence as affecting application of doctrine
- § 26:26 Illustrative cases

3. COLLISION BETWEEN VEHICLES

- § 26:27 Applicability of doctrine
- § 26:28 Evidence as affecting application of doctrine

4. COLLISION OF MOVING VEHICLE WITH  
PARKED OR STANDING VEHICLE

- § 26:29 Applicability of doctrine

5. SKIDDING VEHICLE

- § 26:30 Applicability of doctrine
- § 26:31 Illustrative cases; Doctrine held applicable
- § 26:32 —Doctrine held not applicable

6. ACCIDENTAL STARTING OF PARKED VEHICLE

- § 26:33 Applicability of doctrine
- § 26:34 Control of vehicle
- § 26:35 Pleading as affecting application of doctrine

7. TIRE BLOWOUT OR FAILURE

- § 26:36 Applicability of doctrine

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### 8. WHEEL BECOMING DETACHED FROM VEHICLE

§ 26:37 Applicability of doctrine

§ 26:38 Illustrative cases

### 9. GUEST FALLING THROUGH DOOR OF MOVING VEHICLE

§ 26:39 Applicability of doctrine

### 10. OBJECT THROWN FROM SURFACE OF HIGHWAY BY PASSING VEHICLE

§ 26:40 Applicability of doctrine

### 11. TOWING VEHICLES

§ 26:41 Applicability of doctrine

### 12. TRANSPORTED OBJECTS FALLING FROM VEHICLE

§ 26:42 Applicability of doctrine

### 13. INJURY OR DAMAGE RESULTING FROM CONTACT WITH OPEN DOOR OR TAILGATE OF MOTOR VEHICLE

§ 26:43 Applicability of doctrine

### 14. MISCELLANEOUS CIRCUMSTANCES

§ 26:44 Applicability of doctrine

§ 26:44.30 Brake failure

§ 26:44.50 Airbag failure

## CHAPTER 27. PREMISES

§ 27:1 Injuries on premises

§ 27:2 Action against landlord

§ 27:3 Defects in floors or in covering

§ 27:4 Obstacles on floor

§ 27:5 Debris on floor

§ 27:6 Water, oil, mud, snow, etc., on floors

§ 27:7 Waxed, oiled or similarly treated floors

THE NEGLIGENCE CASE: RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- § 27:8 Objects projecting into aisle or passageway
- § 27:9 —Merchandise or equipment moved into the passageway by another customer
- § 27:10 Falling objects
- § 27:11 Stairways, generally
- § 27:12 Debris on stairway
- § 27:13 Doors
- § 27:14 Miscellaneous applications

## **CHAPTER 28. PRODUCTS LIABILITY**

### **A. IN GENERAL**

- § 28:1 Generally
- § 28:2 Breach of warranty
- § 28:3 Forms of complaints
- § 28:3.30 Form of jury instructions
- § 28:3.50 General considerations in products liability case; Control; Evidence of specific acts of negligence

### **B. EXPLODING BOTTLES OR CONTAINERS**

- § 28:4 Generally
- § 28:5 Control or intervening force
- § 28:6 Proof of similar accidents
- § 28:7 Injury on premises of bottler or manufacturer
- § 28:8 Actions against manufacturer or seller of bottle or container
- § 28:9 Actions against retailer
- § 28:10 Multiple defendants

### **C. FOOD AND BEVERAGES**

- § 28:11 Applicability of doctrine

### **D. OTHER APPLICATIONS**

- § 28:12 Generally
- § 28:13 Motor vehicles and equipment
- § 28:14 Industrial, business, and farm machines, supplies, and equipment
- § 28:15 Building supplies
- § 28:16 Household and domestic furnishings, appliances, and supplies
- § 28:17 Firearms, explosives, and inflammables



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 28:18 Drugs, medicines and medical equipment
- § 28:19 Miscellaneous products

## CHAPTER 29. SHIPS

### A. IN GENERAL

- § 29:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 29:2 Effect of negligence of injured party
- § 29:3 Control of activity or instrumentality causing injury
- § 29:4 Persons to whom doctrine applicable

### B. PARTICULAR DEFECTS, OMISSIONS, AND CIRCUMSTANCES

- § 29:5 Generally
- § 29:6 Defective appliances and equipment
- § 29:7 Falls
- § 29:8 Collisions, sinkings, and the like
- § 29:9 Falling or moving objects
- § 29:10 Explosions, fires, steam, and hot water
- § 29:11 Miscellaneous defects or circumstances

## CHAPTER 30. TELEPHONES

- § 30:1 Electric shock
- § 30:2 Lightning transmitted on wires
- § 30:3 Fall of pole
- § 30:4 Excessive sound
- § 30:5 Fall of wire

## CHAPTER 31. WATER DAMAGE

- § 31:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 31:2 Seepage or inflow of water from adjacent apartment or building
- § 31:3 Inflow from break in water main or connection
- § 31:4 Flooding from sewers and drains
- § 31:5 Flooding from broken dam
- § 31:6 Flooding as result of management of gates in dam
- § 31:7 Miscellaneous situations
- § 31:8 Effect of pleading or evidence of specific negligence
- § 31:9 Form of complaint

## CHAPTER 32. WEAPONS

- § 32:1 Applicability of doctrine

THE NEGLIGENCE CASE: RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- § 32:2 Hunting accidents
- § 32:3 Target practice accidents
- § 32:4 Discharge of gun of police officer while attempting to  
make arrest
- § 32:5 Miscellaneous accidents

**CHAPTER 33. INJURY BY X-RAY OR HEAT  
TREATMENT DEVICES**

- § 33:1 Generally
- § 33:2 View that doctrine is inapplicable
- § 33:3 —Unusual susceptibility to injury
- § 33:4 View that doctrine is applicable
- § 33:5 —Distinction between injury from treatment and  
injury from examination
- § 33:6 —Injury to part of body not intentionally exposed
- § 33:7 Application of rules similar to res ipsa loquitur

**CHAPTER 33A. MISCELLANEOUS  
APPLICATIONS AND SITUATIONS**

- § 33A:1 Doctrine held inapplicable
- § 33A:2 Doctrine held applicable
- § 33A:3 Injury to child while under supervision of defendant

**PART III. APPLICATION OF  
DOCTRINE IN ACTIONS UNDER  
FEDERAL STATUTES**

**CHAPTER 34. RES IPSA LOQUITUR UNDER  
FEDERAL STATUTES**

**A. FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT (FELA)**

**1. IN GENERAL**

- § 34:1 Applicability of doctrine
- § 34:2 Factors adverse to application
- § 34:3 Construction governed by federal decisions
- § 34:3.50 Form of jury instructions

**2. APPLICATION OF DOCTRINE TO PARTICULAR  
INSTRUMENTALITIES OR CONDITIONS**

- § 34:4 Condition of premises

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 34:5	—Elevators
§ 34:6	—Electric wires
§ 34:7	Condition of rails or roadbed; Derailments
§ 34:8	Condition of switch and track control installations
§ 34:9	Condition of engine, tender, or parts thereof
§ 34:10	Condition of railroad cars or parts thereof
§ 34:11	—Coupling devices
§ 34:12	—Brakes
§ 34:13	Condition of various tools, appliances, and machinery
§ 34:14	Ordinary operation of train or parts thereof
§ 34:15	—Sudden starting or stopping
§ 34:16	Switching, shifting, and backing operations
§ 34:17	Use of tools, appliances, or machinery
§ 34:18	Handling of freight
§ 34:19	Miscellaneous

### **B. JONES ACT**

§ 34:20	Applicability of doctrine
---------	---------------------------

### **C. DEATH ON THE HIGH SEAS ACT**

§ 34:21	Applicability of doctrine
---------	---------------------------

### **D. FEDERAL SAFETY APPLIANCE ACT**

§ 34:22	Applicability of doctrine
---------	---------------------------

### **E. LONGSHOREMEN'S AND HARBOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT**

§ 34:23	Applicability of doctrine
---------	---------------------------

