

# Table of Contents

## Volume 26

### PART I. INTRODUCTION

#### CHAPTER 1. OVERVIEW

- § 1:1 In general
- § 1:2 Introduction to law of damages
- § 1:3 Introduction to securities laws
- § 1:3.10 Jurisdiction under the securities laws
- § 1:4 Introduction to law of damages in securities litigation
- § 1:5 An introduction to the definition of a security
- § 1:6 Condominiums as “investment contracts” under the securities laws

#### CHAPTER 2. REGULATION OF LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES AND OTHER INTERESTS UNDER THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

- § 2:1 Scope of chapter
- § 2:2 The Supreme Court’s standards for defining a security
- § 2:3 Attributes of limited liability companies
- § 2:4 Integrating the basic attributes of limited liability companies with the Supreme Court’s definition of a security
- § 2:5 A place for predictability
- § 2:6 Cryptocurrencies and initial coin offerings

#### CHAPTER 3. THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

##### I. INTRODUCTION

- § 3:1 Generally

##### II. CLASS ACTION REFORM

- § 3:2 The professional plaintiff and lead plaintiff problem
- § 3:2.10 Reforms aimed at correcting problems associated with professional plaintiffs
- § 3:2.20 Reforms aimed at curbing the race to the courthouse
- § 3:2.30 Judicial Interpretation of the lead-plaintiff and notice provisions of the PSLRA
- § 3:3 The Reform Act’s impact on institutional investors
- § 3:4 Selecting a class representative
- § 3:5 Experience with PSLRA in the first 18 months
- § 3:5.10 —Moving securities fraud class actions to state court and congress’s response
- § 3:5.20 —Institutional shareholders’ involvement under the PSLRA
- § 3:5.30 —Institutional shareholders in non-PSLRA litigation

- § 3:6 Does the Reform Act impose new duties on institutional investors?
- § 3:7 The settlement process
- § 3:8 Limits on abusive discovery
- § 3:8.10 —Must the defendant file a motion to dismiss?
- § 3:8.20 —Mandatory disclosures under the federal rules
- § 3:8.30 —Codefendants and potential defendants
- § 3:8.40 —Discovery in state proceedings
- § 3:8.50 —Public record laws
- § 3:8.60 —Exceptions to the stay of discovery
- § 3:8.70 —Preservation of evidence
- § 3:8.80 —Prevent undue prejudice
- § 3:8.90 —Third-party production exception

### III. PLEADING REQUIREMENTS FOR PRIVATE SECURITIES FRAUD LITIGATION

#### A. PSLRA PLEADING REQUIREMENTS; GENERALLY

- § 3:9 Pleading material misstatement or omissions
- § 3:10 Pleading state of mind
- § 3:11 Pleading standards under the PSLRA

#### B. *TELLABS* DECISION

- § 3:11.05 *Tellabs*; Supreme Court’s guidance on pleading scienter under the PSLRA
- § 3:11.10 The *Tellabs* allegations
- § 3:11.20 Seventh Circuit’s opinion in *Tellabs*
- § 3:11.30 Seventh Circuit places the PSLRA in its historic context
- § 3:11.40 Seventh Circuit approves of confidential sources
- § 3:11.50 Seventh Circuit’s application
- § 3:11.60 Supreme Court’s opinion in *Tellabs*
- § 3:11.70 Supreme Court’s new competing inferences and the “at least as likely” standard
- § 3:11.80 Likely impact of the Supreme Court’s “at least as likely” standard for pleading scienter
- § 3:11.90 Seventh Circuit on remand in *Tellabs*

#### C. PLEADING SCIENTER AFTER *TELLABS*

- § 3:11.100 Generally
- § 3:11.110 *Tellabs* Awards the Draw to Plaintiffs
- § 3:11.120 Motive and Opportunity, or Recklessness As Independent Methods of Establishing Scienter May No Longer Suffice
- § 3:11.130 Ninth Circuit’s Dual Inquiry Test
- § 3:11.140 *Tellabs* does not extend PSLRA’s strong inference standard to SEC enforcement actions
- § 3:11.145 Holistic evaluation of scienter
- § 3:11.148 Auditor’s scienter
- § 3:11.150 Amending securities fraud pleadings
- § 3:11.152 Judicial notice and incorporation by reference after *Tellabs*

### IV. LOSS CAUSATION AND THE LIMITATION OF DAMAGES

- § 3:12 Loss causation

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 3:12.10 Pleading and proving loss causation
- § 3:12.20 Pleading securities fraud with particularity and loss causation
- § 3:12.30 Loss causation and summary judgment
- § 3:13 Damages
- § 3:14 The “fair share” rule of proportionate liability
- § 3:14.10 Joint and several liability for knowing wrongdoing
- § 3:14.20 Proportionate liability and trial practice
- § 3:14.30 The PSLRA’s damages formula and settlement reduction
- § 3:14.40 The PSLRA’s damages formula and classwide relief
- § 3:14.50 The PSLRA’s damages formula and experts
- § 3:14.60 The PSLRA’s proportionate liability provisions apply to controlling persons, and the three step process to determine a liable defendant’s share of responsibility
- § 3:14.70 Mutual funds

## **V. SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS**

- § 3:15 Generally
- § 3:16 Who and what is protected by the safe harbor
- § 3:17 Application of the safe harbor for oral and written statements
- § 3:18 The safe harbor and subsequent case law

## **VI. SANCTIONS FOR ABUSIVE LITIGATION**

- § 3:19 Attorney sanctions for pursuing meritless litigation

## **VII. THE MODEL COMPLAINT UNDER THE PSLRA**

- § 3:20 Introduction to Model Complaint
- § 3:21 The Model PSLRA Complaint

## **CHAPTER 4. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF CLASS ACTION SECURITIES LITIGATION UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT**

- § 4:1 Introduction
- § 4:2 Grundfest and Perino study
- § 4:3 NERA and Cornerstone Research securities litigation statistics
- § 4:4 The PSLRA, Enron and Laxity

## **CHAPTER 5. THE SCOPE OF FEDERAL SECURITIES REGULATION**

- § 5:1 The new pre-emptive course of federal regulation
- § 5:2 The Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998
- § 5:3 —The language of the Uniform Act
- § 5:4 —The scope of preclusion
- § 5:5 — —Claims in connection with the purchase or sale of a covered security
- § 5:6 — —Defined class actions
- § 5:6.50 — — —Actions that are joined, consolidated, or otherwise proceed as a single action
- § 5:7 — —Covered securities
- § 5:8 — —Direct rather than derivative actions
- § 5:8.30 — —“Best execution” claims

- § 5:8.70 — —Federal securities class actions under the 1933 Act in state court
- § 5:9 —The scope of dismissal
- § 5:10 —Plaintiffs’ ability to amend
- § 5:11 —Procedural devices
- § 5:12 — —Removal
- § 5:13 — —The discovery stay
- § 5:14 The Internet and electronic delivery
- § 5:15 Securities fraud on the Internet

## **CHAPTER 5A. THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

- § 5A:1 The Act’s political and economic environment
- § 5A:2 An overview of the Act’s provisions
- § 5A:3 CEO and CFO certification requirements
- § 5A:4 Section 906 Certification
- § 5A:5 Shorter Section 16 reporting deadline
- § 5A:6 Prohibition on loans to executive officers and directors
- § 5A:7 Audit Committees—§ 301
- § 5A:8 Improper influence on audits—§ 303
- § 5A:9 Forfeiture of executive compensation upon restatements—§ 304
- § 5A:10 Officer and director bars—§ 305
- § 5A:11 Insider trades during pension blackout periods—§ 306
- § 5A:12 Conduct rules for attorneys—§ 307
- § 5A:13 Disclosure report—§ 401
- § 5A:14 Management assessment of internal accounting controls—§ 404
- § 5A:15 Ethics code for financial officers—§ 406
- § 5A:16 Audit committee financial experts—§ 407
- § 5A:17 SEC review of disclosures—§ 408
- § 5A:18 “Real Time” disclosures—§ 409
- § 5A:19 Statute of limitations for securities fraud—§ 804
- § 5A:20 Whistleblower protection—§ 806
- § 5A:21 No retaliation against informants—§ 1107
- § 5A:22 Auditor related provisions
- § 5A:23 The Act’s significance
- § 5A:24 The Act’s Omissions
- § 5A:25 The Act’s reliance on criminal law

## **CHAPTER 5B. THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT’S UNNOTICED ENHANCEMENT OF PRIVATE CIVIL LIABILITY UNDER THE SECURITIES LAW**

- § 5B:1 Introduction
- § 5B:2 Section 804 and the extension of statute of limitations for fraud
- § 5B:3 New, private causes of action?
- § 5B:4 Corporate responsibility with regard to CEO/CFO certifications of financial statements under Section 302 and enhanced § 10(b) Liability
- § 5B:5 A private action for certain bonuses and profits Section 304
- § 5B:6 A private action against an insider who trades during pension fund blackouts—Section 306
- § 5B:7 Private actions based on disclosures in periodic reports—Section 401
- § 5B:8 Disclosure on a rapid and current basis—Section 409
- § 5B:9 Damages not dischargeable—Section 803

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5B:10 Private actions against attorneys for breach of new withdrawal and disclosure obligations

## **CHAPTER 5C. ATTORNEY EXPOSURE TO DAMAGES FOR SECURITIES FRAUD AFTER SARBANES-OXLEY**

- § 5C:1 Overview
- § 5C:2 The attorney's federal common law duty to blow the whistle
- § 5C:3 The new federal statutory duty to disclose client confidences
- § 5C:4 The nationalization of securities regulation
- § 5C:5 State attorney confidentiality standards in conflict with the SEC's regime
- § 5C:6 The legitimacy of the preemptive force of the SEC's professional standards
- § 5C:7 The trend toward national securities law standards
- § 5C:8 Private rights of action against attorneys for failing to blow the whistle
- § 5C:9 The nationalization consequences

## **CHAPTER 5D. SARBANES-OXLEY ACT (SOX), SECURITIES FRAUD, AND BANKRUPTCY**

- § 5D:1 Bankruptcy and securities fraud
- § 5D:2 Reorganization proceedings as a forced sale in violation of the securities laws
- § 5D:3 Bankruptcy's automatic stay and securities fraud claims against the debtor-company while in bankruptcy
- § 5D:4 Securities fraud class actions in bankruptcy: class proofs of claim
- § 5D:5 Excepting securities fraud claims from bankruptcy discharge
- § 5D:6 Bankruptcy trustees
- § 5D:7 Bankruptcy examiners

## **CHAPTER 5E. THE DODD-FRANK WALL STREET REFORM AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT**

- § 5E:1 Introduction
- § 5E:2 Extending antifraud measures to security-based swaps
- § 5E:3 Whistleblowers
- § 5E:4 Consumer financial protection bureau
- § 5E:5 Extraterritorial reach of private securities fraud litigation

## **PART II. DAMAGES UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933**

### **CHAPTER 6. SECTION 11, SECURITIES ACT OF 1933**

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

- § 6:1 In general

#### **II. SECTION 11 LIABILITY**

- § 6:2 Overview of violations
- § 6:3 Section 11 Liability—Elements of Liability
  - § 6:3.10 Actions against underwriters
  - § 6:3.20 Pleading false statements of opinion under Section 11

- § 6:4 Defenses
- § 6:4.10 Section 11 actions for fraud against mutual funds

### **III. PURPOSES OF SECTION 11 CIVIL REMEDIES**

- § 6:5 Overview of Section 11
- § 6:6 Legislative history of 1933 Act civil remedies
- § 6:7 Inadequacy of common law remedies
- § 6:8 Design as supplement to common law

### **IV. CURRENT SECTION 11 DAMAGE FORMULA**

- § 6:9 Criticism
- § 6:10 Original Section 11 damage formula
- § 6:11 Amended damage formula
- § 6:12 If plaintiff keeps security
- § 6:13 If plaintiff sells security before suit
- § 6:14 If plaintiff sells security during suit
- § 6:15 Summary of current Section 11 damage alternatives
- § 6:16 —As of time suit was brought
- § 6:17 —Value under Section 11(e)
- § 6:18 —Value determination factors

### **V. SECTION 11 DAMAGE THEORY**

- § 6:19 Contrast with traditional damage formula
- § 6:20 Make plaintiff whole
- § 6:21 Declining market
- § 6:22 Rising market
- § 6:23 Influenced market
- § 6:24 Plaintiff's damage alternatives

### **VI. SECTION 11(E) DAMAGE PROVISIO**

- § 6:25 Lack of causation defense
- § 6:26 Value problem
- § 6:27 Judicial guidance on proviso
- § 6:28 Proving negative causation
- § 6:29 Judicial restraints
- § 6:30 Conclusion
- § 6:31 Negative causation under Section 11 of Securities Act of 1933
- § 6:32 Section 11(e) does not limit the liability of an underwriter to the shares that particular underwriter distributed to the public

## **CHAPTER 7. DAMAGES FOR VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 12, SECURITIES ACT OF 1933**

- § 7:1 Introduction to express remedies under Section 12
- § 7:2 “Sellers” under Section 12 and persons liable
- § 7:3 —Those who pass title
- § 7:4 —Those who successfully solicit
- § 7:5 Conduct prohibited by Section 12(a)(1)
- § 7:6 Conduct prohibited by Section 12(a)(2)
- § 7:7 —A “Prospectus” and the “Public Offering” limitation

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 7:8	Timeliness bars applicable to Section 12 claims
§ 7:9	Introduction to damages under Section 12
§ 7:10	—Section 12 damages compared to rescission
§ 7:11	—Consideration
§ 7:12	—Measured in money or property
§ 7:13	—Tax benefits
§ 7:14	Introduction to damages under Section 12 Contribution and indemnification under Section 12
§ 7:15	Contribution and indemnification under Section 12(2)
§ 7:16	Statutes of limitations for Section 12(a)(2)

## **PART III. DAMAGES FROM EXPRESS REMEDIES UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

### **CHAPTER 8. SECTIONS 9(C), 16(B) AND 18 DAMAGES**

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

§ 8:1	In general
§ 8:2	Overview of Exchange Act

#### **II. SECTION 9(E)**

§ 8:3	Liability for listed stock manipulations
§ 8:4	Section 9(e) causation
§ 8:5	Damages

#### **III. SECTION 16**

§ 8:6	Section 16(b) generally
§ 8:7	Section 16(a) generally
§ 8:8	Damage measure
§ 8:9	Matching, Tracing, and Pairing
§ 8:10	Employee stock options
§ 8:11	Dividends
§ 8:12	Interest
§ 8:13	Expenses
§ 8:14	Purchase or sale price in noncash transactions
§ 8:14.50	The statute of limitations under section 16(b)

#### **IV. SECTION 18**

§ 8:15	Section 18 liability for misstatement in filed reports
§ 8:16	Causation and damages

## **PART IV. IMPLIED REMEDIES FOR SECURITIES FRAUD**

### **CHAPTER 9. NATURE OF IMPLIED REMEDIES**

§ 9:1	Scope of chapter
-------	------------------



- § 9:2 Implication test
- § 9:3 Implication of private right of action under Rule 10b-5
- § 9:4 —Constitutionality of § 10(b) implied rights of action—Uncertain origins of § 10(b)'s implied remedy
- § 9:5 — —Unconstitutionality of the judicial creation and perpetuation of the § 10(b) private right of action
- § 9:6 — —Unfortunate consequences of the unconstitutional exercise of judicial power
- § 9:7 — —*Musick, Peeler* and the implied right to contribution
- § 9:8 — —*Central Bank* and the rejection of an implied aiding and abetting remedy
- § 9:9 — —Coping with the absence of the judicially created § 10(b) private remedy
- § 9:10 Damages under tort model
- § 9:11 Damages under contract model
- § 9:12 Damages under jurisdictional model
- § 9:13 Elements of a Rule 10b-5 action
- § 9:14 The limitations periods for Rule 10b-5 actions
- § 9:15 —The purposes of limitations periods for Rule 10b-5 actions
- § 9:16 —The historical limitations period for Rule 10b-5 actions
- § 9:16.10 — —The limited and short-lived congressional response to *Lampf*
- § 9:17 —The Congressional two-and five-year limitations period for Rule 10b-5 actions
- § 9:17.10 — —The retroactive application of the Congressional two-and five-year limitations periods
- § 9:18 —The two-year statute of limitations applicable to Rule 10b-5 actions
- § 9:18.10 — —The impact of SEC investigations on the statute of limitations
- § 9:19 —The five-year statute of repose applicable to Rule 10b-5 actions
- § 9:20 —Tolling the limitations periods for Rule 10b-5 actions
- § 9:20.10 — —Equitable tolling
- § 9:20.20 — —Equitable estoppel
- § 9:20.30 — —Forfeiture and waiver
- § 9:20.40 — —*American Pipe* tolling for Rule 10b-5 class actions

## CHAPTER 10. RULE 10b-5

- § 10:1 Threshold elements of Rule 10b-5 liability
- § 10:2 —Jurisdictional means
- § 10:2.50 Supreme Court's test in *Morrison* for extraterritorial application of Rule 10b-5 to foreign cubed cases
- § 10:2.60 Congressional response to *Morrison*
- § 10:2.70 Evolution of *Morrison* and the extraterritorial reach of Rule 10b-5
- § 10:3 Threshold elements of Rule 10b-5 liability—In connection with purchase or sale
- § 10:3.50 Standing and holder suits
- § 10:4 Security
- § 10:5 Conduct rendered unlawful by Rule 10b-5—In general
- § 10:5.10 —Statement "Makers" and Disclosure Committees
- § 10:6 —Scienter
- § 10:7 —Breach of fiduciary duty
- § 10:8 —Tipper liability
- § 10:9 —Tippee liability
- § 10:10 —Relationship of tippee liability to scienter



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:11	—Misappropriation
§ 10:12	Objectification of culpability in 10b-5
§ 10:13	Rule 10b-5 liability in lower federal courts
§ 10:14	—First Circuit
§ 10:15	—Second Circuit
§ 10:16	—Third Circuit
§ 10:17	—Fourth Circuit
§ 10:18	—Fifth Circuit
§ 10:19	—Sixth Circuit
§ 10:20	—Seventh Circuit
§ 10:21	—Eighth Circuit
§ 10:22	—Ninth Circuit
§ 10:23	—Tenth Circuit
§ 10:24	—Eleventh Circuit
§ 10:25	—District of Columbia Circuit
§ 10:26	—Summary
§ 10:27	Materiality and the “bespeaks caution” doctrine
§ 10:27.50	Materiality: the “statistically significant” test
§ 10:27.60	Materiality: Duty to disclose
§ 10:27.70	Puffery
§ 10:27.80	Materiality: shareholder proposals
§ 10:28	The PSLRA’s forward-looking incentives
§ 10:29	Judicial interpretations of the PSLRA’s forward looking statement provisions
§ 10:30	Presumptions of reliance and the novel “fraud created the market” theory
§ 10:30.10	Fraud-on-the-market theory applies to actions against analysts
§ 10:30.15	The presumption of reliance under the fraud-on-the-market theory
§ 10:31	Fraud-created-the-market theory ripens into a circuit split
§ 10:31.10	Circuits endorsing the fraud-created-the-market theory
§ 10:31.20	—Fifth Circuit
§ 10:31.30	—Tenth Circuit
§ 10:31.35	—Reliance on the integrity of the regulatory process
§ 10:31.40	—Eleventh Circuit
§ 10:31.50	Circuits rejecting the fraud-created-the-market theory
§ 10:31.55	—Seventh Circuit
§ 10:31.60	—Third Circuit
§ 10:31.70	—Sixth Circuit
§ 10:31.80	—Reconciling the circuit split
§ 10:31.90	Market manipulation and auction rate securities

## CHAPTER 11. LOSS CAUSATION IN RULE 10B-5 CASES

§ 11:1	Introduction to loss causation
§ 11:2	Evolution of loss causation
§ 11:3	After <i>Huddleston</i> : Loss causation used to deny all recovery
§ 11:4	Arguments supporting loss causation
§ 11:5	—Analogies to regulatory scheme
§ 11:6	—Supreme Court declarations on causation in securities cases
§ 11:7	—Causation in common-law fraud cases
§ 11:8	—Potential for unlimited exposure
§ 11:9	Toward abandonment of loss causation

- § 11:10 Life after loss causation
- § 11:11 Loss causation, fraud on the market, and artificial inflation
- § 11:11.10 *Dura's* impact on securities fraud sentences
- § 11:12 Conclusion

## **CHAPTER 11A. THE SUPREME COURT'S INTERPRETATION OF LOSS CAUSATION UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995**

### **A. OVERVIEW**

- § 11A:1 Introduction to Supreme Court's *Dura Pharmaceuticals* decision
- § 11A:2 Supreme Court's interpretation of PSLRA's loss causation language
- § 11A:3 Legislative history of PSLRA's loss causation provision
- § 11A:4 The Congressional intent supporting PSLRA's loss causation provision
- § 11A:5 Congress's carefully designed structure of the PSLRA and the federal securities laws
- § 11A:6 Supreme Court's policy concerns in *Dura Pharmaceuticals*

### **B. SUPREME COURT'S NEW CONCEPT OF ECONOMIC LOSS IN PRIVATE SECURITIES FRAUD LITIGATION**

- § 11A:7 Supreme Court's use of economic loss
- § 11A:8 Economic loss and corporate finance theory

### **C. CONSEQUENCES OF SUPREME COURT'S *DURA PHARMACEUTICALS* OPINION**

- § 11A:9 Supreme Court's holding in *Dura Pharmaceuticals* is limited to private actions by securities purchasers pursuing the fraud on the market theory
- § 11A:10 Satisfying the Supreme Court's standards in the limited cases in which those standards apply
- § 11A:11 Court in *Dura Pharmaceuticals* declined to reach other uncertain loss causation issues

### **D. *DURA PHARMACEUTICALS V. BROUDO* AND THE EVOLVING SCOPE OF LOSS CAUSATION**

- § 11A:12.10 Loss Causation: Circuit Survey
- § 11A:12.20 —First Circuit
- § 11A:12.30 —Second Circuit
- § 11A:12.40 —Third Circuit
- § 11A:12.50 —Fourth Circuit
- § 11A:12.60 —Fifth Circuit
- § 11A:12.70 —Sixth Circuit
- § 11A:12.80 —Seventh Circuit
- § 11A:12.90 —Eighth Circuit
- § 11A:12.100 —Ninth Circuit
- § 11A:12.110 —Tenth Circuit
- § 11A:12.120 —Eleventh Circuit
- § 11A:13 Pleading loss causation under the PSLRA

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 11A:14	Post- <i>Dura</i> Developments
§ 11A:15	Pleading an adequate theory of loss causation
§ 11A:15.10	—Fraud-on-the-market theory
§ 11A:15.20	—“Materialization of risk” theory
§ 11A:15.30	—“Risk free assurances” theory
§ 11A:16	Proving loss causation: event studies, regression analyses, and expert testimony
§ 11A:17	Loss causation’s effect on class certification
§ 11A:17.10	—Rebutting the fraud-on-the-market theory at class certification
§ 11A:17.20	—Loss causation as a prerequisite to invoking the fraud-on-the-market theory
§ 11A:17.30	—Supreme Court in <i>Halliburton</i> rejects requiring proof of loss causation at class certification
§ 11A:17.40	“Plausible” allegation of materiality and rebutting the presumption of reliance at class certification
§ 11A:18	Loss causation’s effect on certifying a class alleging Section 11 claims
§ 11A:19	<i>Dura</i> ’s effect on Section 11 and Section 12 claims
§ 11A:20	Extending the law of loss causation to common law fraud and SEC actions

## CHAPTER 12. STATUTORY GUIDANCE FOR RULE 10B-5 DAMAGES

### I. INTRODUCTION

§ 12:1	In general
--------	------------

### II. FEDERAL REMEDIAL POWERS

§ 12:2	Section 27(a) of the Exchange Act: District Court jurisdiction
§ 12:3	Section 28(a) limitations on damages
§ 12:4	—Relationship to Rule 10b(5)

### III. THE 1933 AND 1934 ACTS

§ 12:5	Limitations from express remedies
§ 12:5.50	Extending the Law of Loss Causation to Section 11 and Section 12 Claims
§ 12:6	Limitations from express remedies—Relationship to Rule 10b-5
§ 12:7	Section 12(2): Material misstatements or omissions by sellers of securities
§ 12:8	—Relationship to Rule 10b-5
§ 12:9	Material misstatements or omissions under Section 10(b)
§ 12:10	—Relationship to Rule 10b-5

### IV. COMMON LAW

§ 12:11	Tort law
---------	----------

### V. CONCLUSION

§ 12:12	Analysis of availability of damages
---------	-------------------------------------

## Volume 26A

### CHAPTER 13. SUPREME COURT RULE 10B-5 DAMAGES GUIDANCE

- § 13:1 Introduction
- § 13:2 Definition of theories
- § 13:3 Rejection of benefit of bargain measure
- § 13:4 Out-of-pocket—*Affiliated Ute Citizens v. United States*
- § 13:5 — —Supreme Court analysis
- § 13:6 —Formation of general rule
- § 13:7 Role of materiality in general rule
- § 13:8 Quantifying the materiality under Section 12(2)
- § 13:9 —Minimizing plain language definition
- § 13:10 —Minimizing legislative intent
- § 13:11 — —Analogy to Section 10(b)
- § 13:12 —Under rescissionary damages
- § 13:13 Uniformity of measure of damages under Sections 12(2) and 10(b)
- § 13:14 —Merger context
- § 13:15 — —Application of materiality standard to *Basic*
- § 13:16 — —Evaluating materiality
- § 13:17 Supreme Court endorses fraud on the market theory

### CHAPTER 14. RULE 10B-5 DAMAGES IN LOWER COURTS

- § 14:1 Introduction
- § 14:2 First Circuit—General rule
- § 14:3 —Exceptions to general rule
- § 14:4 —Unjust enrichment or engorgement
- § 14:5 Second Circuit—General rule
- § 14:6 —Exceptions to general rule
- § 14:7 —Materiality of misstatement or omissions
- § 14:8 —Resale price measure of damages
- § 14:9 —Recovery of defendant's profit
- § 14:10 —Materiality and public disclosure
- § 14:11 —Direct market repercussion theory
- § 14:12 —Disgorgement
- § 14:13 Third Circuit—General rule
- § 14:14 —Defendant's profits
- § 14:15 —Availability of rescission
- § 14:16 —Materiality
- § 14:17 Fourth Circuit—General rule
- § 14:18 —Factors in applying discretion
- § 14:19 —Rejection of rescission
- § 14:20 —Materiality of actions or omissions
- § 14:21 —Qualified profit measure of damages
- § 14:22 —Rescissionary measure of damages
- § 14:23 Fifth Circuit—General rule
- § 14:24 —Limitations of general rule applicability
- § 14:25 —Privity
- § 14:26 —Rescission

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 14:27	—Role of materiality in damage measurement
§ 14:28	—Special or consequential damages
§ 14:29	Sixth Circuit—General rule
§ 14:30	—Additional relief
§ 14:31	—Limitations upon recovery
§ 14:32	Seventh Circuit—General rule
§ 14:33	—Exceptions
§ 14:34	—Role of materiality in damages measurement
§ 14:35	—Rescission
§ 14:36	—Analogy to Uniform Commercial Code
§ 14:37	—Imperfections in analogy
§ 14:38	—Attempt to create congruence between rescissionary and market measure of damages
§ 14:39	—External market forces
§ 14:40	—Quantifying materiality of nondisclosure generally
§ 14:41	—Quantification of materiality in Rule 10b-5 actions
§ 14:42	Eighth Circuit—General rule
§ 14:43	—Calculating damages
§ 14:44	—Valuation date
§ 14:45	—Materiality of misstatement or omission
§ 14:46	Ninth Circuit—General rule
§ 14:47	—Rescission
§ 14:48	—Calculating value
§ 14:49	—Quantification of materiality
§ 14:50	Tenth Circuit—General rule
§ 14:51	—Election of rescission of rescissionary damages
§ 14:52	—“Cover” measure of damages
§ 14:53	Consequential damages
§ 14:54	Eleventh Circuit—General rule
§ 14:55	—Rescission
§ 14:56	—Limitations of damages upon rescission
§ 14:57	District of Columbia Circuit—General rule
§ 14:58	—Rescissionary relief
§ 14:59	—Valuation limits
§ 14:60	Summary—Out-of-pocket rule
§ 14:61	—Calculating value
§ 14:62	—Disgorgement of unjust enrichment
§ 14:63	—Profit-based measurement
§ 14:64	—Limits on rescissionary relief
§ 14:65	—Benefit of the bargain measure
§ 14:66	—Punitive damages
§ 14:67	—Materiality of nondisclosures or misstatements

## **CHAPTER 14A. PRIVATE ACTION UNDER SECTION 11 AND SECTION 12 OF THE 1933 ACT FOR MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATIONS IN REGISTRATION STATEMENTS AND PROSPECTUSES**

§ 14A:1	Misrepresenting good will and loan loss reserves in registration statements
§ 14A:2	“Forced Seller” Doctrine and Section 11 and Section 12 claims

## PART V. SPECIFIC SOURCES OF DAMAGES

### CHAPTER 15. DAMAGES IN INSIDER TRADING, CHURNING AND SECONDARY LIABILITY LITIGATION

- § 15:1 Introduction
- § 15:2 Insider trading damages
- § 15:2.50 Insider trading damages—Rule 10b5-1 plans
- § 15:3 Insider trading damages—Where no duty to disclose exists
- § 15:4 —Churning damages
- § 15:5 Secondary liability damages
- § 15:6 Professional liability under Sarbanes-Oxley
- § 15:7 Supreme Court’s *Stoneridge* Decision
- § 15:8 —Factual Background
- § 15:9 —Reaffirming the Bar on Aiding and Abetting Liability, But Allowing for Secondary Actor Liability where the Secondary Actor is a Primary Violator.
- § 15:10 —Reliance; Fraud on the Market
- § 15:11 Fraud-on-the-market theory applies to actions against analysts
- § 15:12 Supreme Court’s *Stoneridge* Decision—Restricting Implied Private Rights of Action under § 10(b)
- § 15:13 —Examining Policy Implications in a Securities Fraud Action
- § 15:14 *Stoneridge* under the Microscope; Analyzing its Impact
- § 15:15 —The Polarized Debate
- § 15:16 What’s the Rule: A Role in the Deceptive Conduct Plus Plaintiff Awareness
- § 15:17 —*Stoneridge*’s Effect on SEC Aiding and Abetting Enforcement Actions
- § 15:18 —*Stoneridge*’s Impact for Common Secondary Actors
- § 15:19 —Shifting the Litigation Landscape to State Court
- § 15:20 —*Stoneridge*’s Impact on the Enron Litigation
- § 15:21 Liability for secondary actors in light of *Stoneridge*
- § 15:22 Primary liability after *Stoneridge*
- § 15:23 Accountant liability: accountant liability requires a misrepresentation or omission in audit opinions
- § 15:24 Attorney liability: outside counsel is not liable for drafting, reviewing, or revising materials which are used to effect fraudulent transactions
- § 15:25 Corporate officer liability
- § 15:26 Background to *Stoneridge*—The Supreme Court’s *Central Bank* decision
- § 15:27 — —The Eighth Circuit’s *In Re Charter Communications* decision: *Stoneridge* is born
- § 15:28 — —The Ninth Circuit’s *AOL-Simpson* decision: expanding secondary actor liability
- § 15:29 — —The Fifth Circuit weighs in on the debate: score one for the Eighth Circuit
- § 15:30 Congressional reinstatement of scheme/secondary liability
- § 15:31 Circuits reject the “creationism” test for secondary liability
- § 15:32 Secondary Liability After *Central Bank*, *Stoneridge*, *Janus*, and *Lorenzo*

### CHAPTER 16. PRIVATE REMEDIES FOR INTERFERENCE WITH THE MARKET FOR CORPORATE CONTROL

- § 16:1 Introduction

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 16:2 Proxy solicitation relief
- § 16:3 Remedies in tender offer context
- § 16:4 —Injunctive relief
- § 16:5 Rescission
- § 16:6 Minority shareholders' remedies
- § 16:7 Relationship between federal and state law remedies
- § 16:8 State law appraisal remedies

## CHAPTER 17. CAUSATION IN PROXY FRAUD LITIGATION

- § 17:1 Introduction to proxy fraud
- § 17:2 History of private Section 14(a) actions
- § 17:3 *Virginia Bankshares* and Section 14(a) causation
- § 17:4 Aftermath of *Virginia Bankshares*
- § 17:5 Hidden agenda in *Virginia Bankshares*
- § 17:6 Loss causation and rescissory remedies

## CHAPTER 18. DEFINING MATERIAL FACTS IN SECURITIES FRAUD DAMAGES ACTIONS

- § 18:1 Introduction to materiality
- § 18:2 Evolving Supreme Court definition of material facts
- § 18:3 *Virginia Bankshares* and the materiality of soft information
- § 18:4 Ramifications of the Supreme Court's distinction between subjective and objective falsehoods
- § 18:5 The Supreme Court and statistical significance

## CHAPTER 19. DAMAGES IN ADDITIONAL IMPLIED SECURITIES ACTIONS

- § 19:1 Implied Section 17(a) remedy
- § 19:2 Section 17(a) liability and damages
- § 19:3 Section 6(b) of the 1934 Act: Discipline of members
- § 19:4 Section 7(c) of the 1934 Act: Extension of credit limits
- § 19:5 Sections 11(d)(2) and 17(a) of the 1934 Act: Disclosure requirements
- § 19:6 Sections 12(b) and 12(f) of the 1934 Act: Reporting requirements
- § 19:7 Section 13(a) of the 1934 Act: Filing requirements
- § 19:8 Section 15 of the 1934 Act: Regulation of brokers and dealers
- § 19:9 Section 20(c) of the 1934 Act: Obstruction of filings
- § 19:10 Rule 10b-16: Procedures governing the extension of credit

## PART VI. ANCILLARY SOURCES OF DAMAGES

## CHAPTER 20. CONTRIBUTION, INDEMNIFICATION, AND INSURANCE

- § 20:1 Contribution—In general
- § 20:2 —Under federal securities laws
- § 20:3 —Judicial power to create
- § 20:4 —Joint tortfeasors
- § 20:5 The effect of settlement on contribution
- § 20:6 Indemnification—In general



- § 20:7 —Exceptions to general rule
- § 20:8 Claim procedure
- § 20:9 The Supreme Court’s implied right to contribution in § 10(b) cases
- § 20:10 —The facts
- § 20:11 —The SEC’s and the parties’ strongest arguments—The SEC’s position: A right of contribution should be recognized in private actions under Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5
- § 20:12 — —Securities Exchange Act manifests a clear congressional intent to permit contribution
- § 20:13 — —Policy considerations support recognition of contribution
- § 20:14 — —Respondents’ additional arguments—Contribution is a well-established right under Securities Exchange Act Section 10(b)
- § 20:15 — — —Congressional action
- § 20:16 — — —The legislative scheme under the Exchange Act, including the express contribution provisions therein, implies a right of contribution under Section 10(b)
- § 20:17 — — —Statutory authorization of contribution “as in cases of contract” and contractual underpinnings of the Section 10(b) action support a right of contribution
- § 20:18 — — —Congress intended to create an independent federal regulatory scheme under the securities laws, so the existence of state remedies does not limit federal contribution
- § 20:19 — — —*Northwest Airlines and Texas Industries* are not controlling: The securities laws, unlike the civil rights and antitrust laws considered in those cases, require implied contribution in their statutory scheme
- § 20:20 —The strongest arguments against the implied right of contribution
- § 20:21 — —Federal courts may not imply a right of contribution in Section 10(b)
- § 20:22 — —Federal common law cannot supply any basis to grant contribution to a person held liable under Section 10(b)
- § 20:23 — — —If federal common law power existed to create a right of contribution under Section 10(b), the court should not exercise it
- § 20:24 — — —The court should reject expansion of federal common law in the guise of “fleshing out” the victim’s claim
- § 20:25 — —No principled distinction exists between implied and express victims’ remedies for the purpose of analyzing whether to imply contribution
- § 20:26 The Supreme Court’s holding and analysis
- § 20:27 Contribution and settlement
- § 20:28 Insurance
- § 20:29 Director and Officer (D&O) insurance and securities fraud-basics of D&O insurance
- § 20:30 Common provisions in a D&O policy
- § 20:31 D&O insurance “tower”
- § 20:32 Settlement and D&O insurance

## CHAPTER 21. ATTORNEY FEES, COSTS AND INTEREST

- § 21:1 In general
- § 21:2 Attorney fees and costs
- § 21:3 Prejudgment and postjudgment interest

## CHAPTER 22. RICO AND STATE LAW

- § 22:1 RICO securities fraud
- § 22:2 The historical use of RICO securities fraud

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 22:3 —RICO securities fraud’s historic lack of a purchaser-seller requirement
- § 22:4 —RICO securities fraud’s historic causation requirement
- § 22:5 —Measuring damages for historic RICO securities fraud
- § 22:6 The PSLRA’s RICO bar
- § 22:7 —The “Criminal Conviction” exception
- § 22:8 —State-Law RICO equivalents
- § 22:9 Equitable relief, fees and costs
- § 22:10 State common law damages
- § 22:11 State statutory damages
- § 22:12 Securities arbitration
- § 22:13 —The status of securities arbitration
- § 22:14 —Punitive damages in securities arbitration

## CHAPTER 23. EXTRAORDINARY PRIVATE REMEDIES

- § 23:1 Introduction
- § 23:2 Injunctive relief
- § 23:3 Abstention
- § 23:4 Receiverships
- § 23:5 Provisional remedies
- § 23:6 Contract avoidance

## PART VII. LITIGATION AND PRACTICE

### CHAPTER 24. LITIGATING SECURITIES LAW DAMAGES ISSUES

- § 24:1 Scope of chapter
- § 24:1.10 Investigating and preparing for securities litigation
- § 24:1.20 —Examining publicly available material
- § 24:1.30 —Accessing internal company information
- § 24:2 Pleading stage
- § 24:2.50 —Experts in complaints
- § 24:3 Pleading adequate theories of “loss”
- § 24:3.10 Artificially inflating the value of a security
- § 24:3.20 The dissipation of that artificial inflation
- § 24:3.30 —Inflationary loss upon a corrective disclosure and a drop in stock value
- § 24:3.40 —Inflationary loss through market forces
- § 24:3.50 —Inflationary loss as a result of the company’s collapse
- § 24:4 Pleading scienter after *Tellabs*
- § 24:5 Pleading scienter for outside auditors
- § 24:6 Scienter red flags
- § 24:7 *Tellabs Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd* and pleading scienter
- § 24:8 —First circuit
- § 24:9 —Third circuit
- § 24:10 —Fifth circuit
- § 24:11 —Seventh circuit
- § 24:12 Pleading and proving loss causation
- § 24:12.10 —Short selling and securities litigation
- § 24:13 Class certification
- § 24:13.10 Materiality and Class Certification

- § 24:13.20 Rebutting reliance at class certification
- § 24:13.30 —The “mismatch” defense and price-maintenance cases
- § 24:14 Loss causation and class certification
- § 24:15 Loss causation will not act as a class certification barrier
- § 24:16 Damages discovery
- § 24:17 Summary judgment
- § 24:18 Motions in limine
- § 24:19 Expert testimony
- § 24:20 The expert witness
- § 24:21 Types of experts
- § 24:22 Disclosure regime for expert testimony
- § 24:23 Deposing the expert
- § 24:24 Defending the expert deposition
- § 24:25 Jury instructions
- § 24:26 —Charts and summary exhibits admitted in evidence
- § 24:27 —Charts and summary exhibits not admitted
- § 24:28 —Depositions
- § 24:29 —Expert witnesses
- § 24:30 —Conflicting expert testimony
- § 24:31 —Damages—Caution against inference from instruction
- § 24:32 —Caution against multiple recovery
- § 24:33 —Caution regarding multiple defendants
- § 24:34 —Joint and several liability
- § 24:35 —Section 11 of the 1933 Act—Generally
- § 24:36 — —Where plaintiff retains stock
- § 24:37 — —Where plaintiff sells stock before suit
- § 24:38 — —Where plaintiff sells securities during litigation
- § 24:39 — —Where defendant is an underwriter
- § 24:40 — —Limit on recovery
- § 24:41 — —Act special interrogatories
- § 24:42 —Section 12 of the 1933 Act—Where plaintiff has tendered securities
- § 24:43 — —Where plaintiff no longer owns securities
- § 24:44 —Alternative Section 12 instructions
- § 24:45 —Causation—Section 10(b) of the 1934 Act
- § 24:46 —General Section 10(b) measure
- § 24:47 —Section 10(b)’s benefit of the bargain measure
- § 24:48 —Section 10(b)’s rescissionary measure
- § 24:49 —Section 10(b)’s uniform instruction
- § 24:50 —Materiality
- § 24:51 Multidistrict transfers for pretrial
- § 24:52 Analysis of *Tellabs* and recent circuit court decisions: establishing scienter
- § 24:52.50 Pleading scienter: motive, opportunity, and recklessness
- § 24:53 Use of confidential witnesses in securities fraud pleading
- § 24:53.10 —Circuit survey and effect of *Tellabs*
- § 24:53.20 —Documents from confidential witnesses
- § 24:53.30 —Private investigators
- § 24:54 Discovery of confidential witnesses
- § 24:54.10 The discoverability of confidential witnesses’ identities under Rule 26
- § 24:54.20 The availability of work-product protection
- § 24:54.30 Obtaining an order of protection

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 24:55	Group pleading or “collective scienter” theory
§ 24:56	“Core operations” inference of scienter
§ 24:56.10	Core operations inference alone as sufficient to impute scienter
§ 24:56.20	Core operations inference to bolster existing allegations
§ 24:56.30	Core operations inference as one factor among many
§ 24:56.40	Misconstruing the core operations inference
§ 24:56.50	Reconciling the approaches
§ 24:57	Effect of <i>Tellabs</i> on fraud by hindsight
§ 24:58	Expanding <i>Tellabs</i> beyond § 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 actions

## CHAPTER 25. LITIGATING A CLASS ACTION UNDER THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

§ 25:1	The selection of an interim lead plaintiff
§ 25:1.10	—Securing the most capable interim lead plaintiff
§ 25:1.20	—“Pay to play” arrangements
§ 25:1.30	—Monitoring arrangements with institutional investors
§ 25:1.40	—Advertising “investigations” to solicit lead plaintiffs
§ 25:1.50	—Investment advisers securing assignments on behalf of investors
§ 25:1.60	—Courting foreign institutional investors
§ 25:2	The class action settlement’s publication
§ 25:3	Judicial approval of the securities class action settlements
§ 25:3.10	Independent approval of securities settlements
§ 25:3.20	<i>Cy Pres</i> awards and securities class actions
§ 25:4	Attorneys’ fees in securities class actions
§ 25:4.10	Lodestar vs. percentage-of-fund recovery
§ 25:4.20	Objecting to attorneys’ fees
§ 25:4.30	Presumption of reasonableness to agreed-upon fees
§ 25:4.40	Issues with non-lead counsel and other actors seeking payment from the common fund
§ 25:5	Security for costs in a securities class action
§ 25:6	An introduction to the selection of class counsel and auctioning
§ 25:7	The Third Circuit Task Force and its recommendations
§ 25:8	The PSLRA and auctions
§ 25:9	<i>Morrison’s</i> effect on class certification

## CHAPTER 25A. SECURITIES FRAUD CLASS ACTIONS AFTER THE CLASS ACTION FAIRNESS ACT

§ 25A:1	Overview of Class Action Fairness Act
---------	---------------------------------------

## CHAPTER 25B. EVENT STUDIES IN SECURITIES FRAUD LITIGATION

§ 25B:1	Event studies and securities fraud claims
§ 25B:2	Potential Seventh Amendment problems with the dispositive role of event studies
§ 25B:3	Proper methodology for event study analysis
§ 25B:4	Proper event study must account for a “tangle of factors”
§ 25B:5	Challenging the reliability of an event study
§ 25B:6	Event studies and class certification—Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 claims
§ 25B:7	Questions of market efficiency and market impact
§ 25B:8	Section 11 claims

§ 25B:9 Event studies and summary judgment

## **CHAPTER 26. SUBROGATION AND ASSIGNMENT OF SECURITIES FRAUD CLAIMS**

§ 26:1 Overview

§ 26:2 Assignment of Section 10(b) Claims

§ 26:3 Subrogation

## **CHAPTER 26A. CLAIMS INVOLVING FINRA MEMBERS**

§ 26A:1 Credit default swaps and “customers” under FINRA rules

§ 26A:2 FINRA and the role of SROs in enforcing the securities laws

## **CHAPTER 27. THE INTERNATIONAL SCOPE OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

§ 27:1 Introduction to international securities regulation

§ 27:2 Criticisms of extraterritorial jurisdiction

§ 27:3 —Statutory construction and legislative intent

§ 27:4 —Reliance on international law

§ 27:5 —Unreasonableness of the balance of interests test

§ 27:6 —Injury to the United States

§ 27:7 Responding to criticisms of transnational jurisdiction—Statutory construction and legislative intent

§ 27:8 —Reliance on international law

§ 27:9 A direction for transnational securities fraud regulation

§ 27:10 Second Circuit’s extraterritorial application of Rule 10b-5 to foreign cubed cases

## **CHAPTER 28. TRANSACTIONAL JURISDICTION AND THE INTERNET**

§ 28:1 Introduction to jurisdictional issues in cyberspace

§ 28:2 Extraterritorial subject matter jurisdiction

§ 28:3 —The “conduct” test

§ 28:4 —The “effects” test

§ 28:5 Personal jurisdiction and transnational securities transactions

§ 28:6 Jurisdiction over cyberspace securities transactions

§ 28:7 The “conduct” and “effects” tests lack clarity

§ 28:8 —What is a sufficient minimum contact in cyberspace?

## **CHAPTER 29. CONCLUSION**

§ 29:1 Summary

§ 29:2 Prognosis

## **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX 1. Securities Act of 1933: Relevant Sections

APPENDIX 2. Securities Exchange Act of 1934: Relevant Sections and Regulations

APPENDIX 3. Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act (RICO): Relevant Sections

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX 4. Glossary

**Table of Laws and Rules**

**Table of Cases**

**Index**