

Summary of Contents

Volume 1

PART I. OVERVIEW AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS ON THE LAW OF CRIMINAL EVIDENCE

- Chapter 1. Historical Development, Scholarship and the Boundaries
- Chapter 2. The Sources
- Chapter 3. The Modern Approach

PART II. THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF ADMISSIBILITY

- Chapter 4. Relevance
- Chapter 5. Probative Value, Prejudicial Effect and Fairness

PART III. THE EXCLUSIONARY RULES

- Chapter 6. The Collateral Fact Rule
- Chapter 7. The Hearsay Rule
- Chapter 8. The Voluntary Confessions Rule
- Chapter 9. Character Evidence
- Chapter 10. Similar Acts and Other Discreditable Conduct: the Similar Fact Rule
- Chapter 11. Prior Consistent Statements
- Chapter 12. Opinion Evidence

Volume 2

PART III. THE EXCLUSIONARY RULES (CONTINUED)

- Chapter 13. The Law of Privilege
- Chapter 14. Public Interest Immunity and Related Privileges
- Chapter 15. The Principle Against Self-Incrimination
- Chapter 16. Sexual Activity Evidence
- Chapter 17. Third Party Records
- Chapter 18. Issue Estoppel
- Chapter 19. The Exclusion of Evidence Under Section 24 of the Charter

PART IV. THE INSTRUMENTS OF EVIDENCE

- Chapter 20. Witnesses: Competence and Compellability
- Chapter 21. Presentation of Witnesses
- Chapter 22. Evidence Through An Intermediary: Court Interpretation
- Chapter 23. Real and Demonstrative Evidence
- Chapter 24. Documentary Evidence
- Chapter 25. Formal and Informal Admissions in Criminal Proceedings
- Chapter 26. Judicial Notice

PART V. THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF PROOF

- Chapter 27. The Evidential Burden
- Chapter 28. The Persuasive Burden
- Chapter 29. Presumptions

Volume 3

PART VI. THE EVALUATION OF EVIDENCE

- Chapter 30. Assessing Credibility
- Chapter 31. Circumstantial Evidence: Drawing Reasonable Inferences
- Chapter 32. Identification Evidence
- Chapter 33. Adverse Inferences
- Chapter 34. Confirmation and Corroboration

PART VII. PRE-TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

- Chapter 35. Bail Hearings

PART VIII. POST-TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

- Chapter 36. Evidence in Sentencing Proceedings
- Chapter 37. Fresh Evidence on Appeal

Appendices

Appendix A. Legislation

- Appendix A.1. Canada Evidence Act
- Appendix A.2. United States Federal Rules of Evidence
- Appendix B. Legal Literature

Table of Cases

Index

Table of Contents

Volume 1

PART I. OVERVIEW AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS ON THE LAW OF CRIMINAL EVIDENCE

CHAPTER 1. HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT, SCHOLARSHIP AND THE BOUNDARIES

- § 1:1 Introduction
- § 1:2 A Working Definition
- § 1:3 A Brief History—Attempting to Trace the Origins of the Common Law of Criminal Evidence
- § 1:4 —The Common Law Rules of the 18th Century
- § 1:5 — —The Corroboration Rule
- § 1:6 — —The Voluntary Confession Rule
- § 1:7 The Emergence of a Systematic Set of Common Law Rules in the 19th Century
- § 1:8 The Early Scholars—England
- § 1:9 —United States
- § 1:10 —Canada
- § 1:11 The Boundaries
- § 1:12 The Theoretical Underpinnings—The Rationalist Approach
- § 1:13 —The Role of the Trial Judge
- § 1:14 Codification

CHAPTER 2. THE SOURCES

- § 2:1 The Common Law
- § 2:2 Statutory Background
- § 2:3 The *Charter*
- § 2:4 Ethical Rules

CHAPTER 3. THE MODERN APPROACH

- § 3:1 The Criminal Trial: a Qualified Search for Truth
- § 3:2 The Supreme Court of Canada's Evidence Revolution
- § 3:3 —Ensuring Fairness in the Evidence-Gathering Process
- § 3:4 —Constitutionalizing the Adversarial Process
- § 3:5 —The Development of a Principled Approach to Admissibility
- § 3:6 —Cleansing the Law of Evidence of Gender and Age-Based Stereotypes

§ 3:7 —Factoring in the Social Context of Systemic Racism

§ 3:8 —Protecting Against Wrongful Convictions

PART II. THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF ADMISSIBILITY

CHAPTER 4. RELEVANCE

§ 4:1 Relevance—Meaning Of

§ 4:2 —Relationship to Materiality

§ 4:3 Determining Relevance

§ 4:4 —Inductive Reasoning

§ 4:5 Relevance and Social Context—The Dangers of Using Common Sense and Experience to Guide Relevance Assessments

§ 4:6 —The Need for Social Context

§ 4:7 Beyond Relevance: Prejudicial Effect, Fairness and the Exclusionary Rules

CHAPTER 5. PROBATIVE VALUE, PREJUDICIAL EFFECT AND FAIRNESS

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 5:1 Generally

II. *SEABOYER*: BALANCING PROBATIVE VALUE AND PREJUDICIAL EFFECT

A. IN GENERAL

§ 5:2 The Historical Roots

§ 5:3 The Threshold Test

§ 5:4 Defence Evidence

§ 5:5 Prior Sexual History and Section 276(2)(c)

B. THE MEANING OF PROBATIVE VALUE

§ 5:6 Introduction

§ 5:7 The Identification of the “Issue in Question”

§ 5:8 The Strength of the Inference

§ 5:9 The Reliability of the Evidence

§ 5:10 —The *Mezzo/Buric* Rule

§ 5:11 —Retreat from *Mezzo/Buric* in Identification Cases

§ 5:12 —Further Retreat

§ 5:13 —The Supreme Court Decision in *R. v. Hart*

§ 5:14 —Assessing Reliability in Extradition Cases

C. THE MEANING OF PREJUDICE

§ 5:15 Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:16 Moral Prejudice
- § 5:17 Reasoning Prejudice
- § 5:18 —Unduly Arousing the Jury’s Emotions
- § 5:19 —Undue Emphasis
- § 5:20 —Distorting the Truth-Seeking Function of the Trial
- § 5:21 —Creating a Distracting Side Issue
- § 5:22 —Usurping the Function of the Jury
- § 5:23 —Undue Consumption of Time
- § 5:24 Inability to Test
- § 5:25 Unfairness to the Witness
- § 5:26 Unfair Surprise
- § 5:27 Judge or Jury?

D. CUMULATIVE EVIDENCE

- § 5:28 Generally

III. *HARRER*: ASSESSING THE FAIRNESS OF THE TRIAL

- § 5:29 The Historical Roots
- § 5:30 The Threshold Test—Assessing Trial Fairness
- § 5:31 —Protecting the Repute of the Administration of Justice
- § 5:32 *Harrer*, the *Charter* and Redundancy
- § 5:33 Comparing the *Seaboyer* and *Harrer* Jurisdictions
- § 5:34 The Application of *Harrer*

PART III. THE EXCLUSIONARY RULES

CHAPTER 6. THE COLLATERAL FACT RULE

- § 6:1 Introduction
- § 6:2 The Traditional Collateral Fact Rule
- § 6:3 Influence of Judicial Discretion
- § 6:4 Collaterality and Cross-Examination
- § 6:5 Relation to An Essential Issue in the Trial
- § 6:6 Prosecution Rebuttal and Case-Splitting
- § 6:7 The Credibility Taboo
- § 6:8 The Exceptions—Overview
- § 6:9 —Partiality
- § 6:10 —Proof of Prior Conviction
- § 6:11 —Proof of Prior Inconsistent Statement
- § 6:12 —General Reputation for Untruthfulness
- § 6:13 —Medical Evidence as to Mental Disorder
- § 6:14 —Medical Evidence Respecting Physical Deficit of Witness
- § 6:15 —Proven Pattern of False Allegations
- § 6:16 —Rebuttal of Good Character Evidence of Accused
- § 6:17 —Other
- § 6:18 —United States’ Exceptions

- § 6:19 The Role of Surrebuttal
- § 6:20 Application of the Curative Proviso
- § 6:21 Toward a More Liberal Rule

CHAPTER 7. THE HEARSAY RULE

I. BACKGROUND AND THE PRINCIPLED APPROACH

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 7:1 Generally
- § 7:2 Application in Other Contexts—Preliminary Inquiry
- § 7:3 —Sentencing
- § 7:4 —Extradition

B. REASONS FOR THE RULE

- § 7:5 Introduction
- § 7:6 Inability to Test Reliability
- § 7:7 Jury Distrust
- § 7:8 Trial Fairness

C. SCOPE OF THE RULE: WHAT IS HEARSAY?

- § 7:9 Historical Reluctance to Define
- § 7:10 A Functional Approach
- § 7:11 —Adduced for Its Truth
- § 7:12 —Absence of Contemporaneous Cross-Examination
- § 7:13 Difficult Situations
- § 7:14 —Implied Assertions
- § 7:15 —Non-Assertive Conduct
- § 7:16 —Prior Identifications
- § 7:17 Conduct Intended to be Communicative
- § 7:18 Non-Hearsay - Original Evidence
- § 7:19 —Knowledge
- § 7:20 —Contract, Conspiracy or Consent
- § 7:21 —Narrative
- § 7:22 —Investigative Hearsay

D. ANALYZING HEARSAY

- § 7:23 Triumph of Principle over Pragmatism: Necessity and Reliability Govern
- § 7:24 Hearsay Methodology
- § 7:25 —Searching for a Categorical Exception
- § 7:26 —Should the Exception be Modified?
- § 7:27 —Is This a “Rare Case”?—The Fail-Safe Provision
- § 7:28 —Can’t Find an Exception: Is There Evidence of Necessity and Reliability?
- § 7:29 — —No New Pigeon Holes
- § 7:30 — —Procedure on Hearing

E. NECESSITY—GENERAL PRINCIPLES

- § 7:31 Introduction
- § 7:32 Deceased
- § 7:33 Cannot be Located, Unknown, Out of the Jurisdiction or
Failed to Respond to Subpoena
- § 7:34 Incompetent
- § 7:35 Incapable of Testifying (Trauma or Harm will be Occasioned
by Testifying)
- § 7:36 Illness
- § 7:37 Co-Accused
- § 7:38 Mentally Disabled or Child
- § 7:39 Recanted Prior Statement, No Memory
- § 7:40 Refuse to Testify, Contempt
- § 7:41 The Out-of-Court Statement is the Superior Evidence
- § 7:42 Use of Multiple Hearsay Statements
- § 7:43 Inconvenience in Producing Declarant (Cost/Benefit Analysis)
- § 7:44 Other

F. RELIABILITY—GENERAL PRINCIPLES

- § 7:45 A Framework for Assessing Reliability
- § 7:46 Factors Tending to Establish Inherent Trustworthiness or
Which Provide a Substitute Basis for Testing the Evidence
- § 7:47 —Sincerity
- § 7:48 —Perception
- § 7:49 —Memory
- § 7:50 —Narration
- § 7:51 Role of Corroborative Evidence

**G. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS; JURY INSTRUCTIONS;
NEED FOR REASONS**

- § 7:52 Other Considerations
- § 7:53 Jury Instructions
- § 7:54 Need for Reasons

II. THE CATEGORICAL EXCEPTIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 7:55 Generally

B. DYING DECLARATIONS

- § 7:56 The Common Law Rule
- § 7:57 Assessing the Rule After *Starr*

C. THE SHIBBOLETH *RES GESTAE*: SPONTANEOUS STATEMENTS AND STATEMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH CONDUCT (“VERBAL ACTS”)

1. Introduction

§ 7:58 Generally

2. Excited or Spontaneous Utterances: Preconditions to Admission

§ 7:59 Introduction

§ 7:60 Contemporaneity

§ 7:61 Duration of the Event

§ 7:62 Overpowering Nature of Event

§ 7:63 Declarations by the Accused

§ 7:64 After *Starr*

3. Statements Associated with Conduct (“Verbal Acts”)

§ 7:65 Generally

4. Statements of Current Subjective Perception, Emotion or Intent

§ 7:66 Introduction

§ 7:67 State of Mind: Declarations of Knowledge, Belief or Emotion—Relevance

§ 7:68 —Preconditions to Admission

§ 7:69 —Quasi-Hearsay State of Mind Evidence

§ 7:70 Bodily Sensation

§ 7:71 Present Intention

D. RECORDS

1. Public Documents

§ 7:72 Generally

2. Statements Made Pursuant to a Duty (Business and Other Similar Records)

§ 7:73 Common Law—Preconditions to Admission

§ 7:74 —Contents and Use of Common Law Records

§ 7:75 Oral Statements Made in the Course of Business

§ 7:76 Statutory Provisions Related to Admission of Business Records—Business Records

§ 7:77 —“Where Oral Evidence in Respect of a Matter would be Admissible”

§ 7:78 —“A Record Made in the Usual and Ordinary Course of Business”

§ 7:79 —“Information in Respect of that Matter”

§ 7:80 —Supporting Evidence

§ 7:81 —Records Not Included—Section 30(10)

§ 7:82 —Other Procedural Issues

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:83 —Records of “Financial Institutions”
- § 7:84 —Other Statutory Provisions
- § 7:85 Principled Analysis (Necessity and Reliability) and Records
- § 7:86 Use of Business Records

E. DECLARATIONS OF TESTIFYING WITNESSES MADE OUTSIDE THE PRESENT PROCEEDINGS

1. Prior Statements Generally

- § 7:87 Overview

2. Prior Inconsistent Statements: The “*K.G.B.* Exception”

- § 7:88 The Debate Respecting the Use of Prior Inconsistent Statements Generally
- § 7:89 The Decision in *K.G.B.*
- § 7:90 The Preconditions to Admissibility
- § 7:91 —Otherwise Admissible
- § 7:92 —Reliability
- § 7:93 — —Oath/Affirmation and Warning Before Taking Statement—The Substitute for Trial Oath
- § 7:94 — —Video/Audio Recording—The Substitute for the Trier of Fact Not Being Present When Statement Made
- § 7:95 — —Cross-Examination at Trial—The Substitute for Contemporaneous Cross-Examination
- § 7:96 —Discretion
- § 7:97 —Necessity
- § 7:98 —Voluntariness
- § 7:99 Application of the Rule from *K.G.B.* (the “*K.G.B.* Exception”)

3. Past Recollections

- § 7:100 Generally
- § 7:101 Past Recollections Recorded
- § 7:102 Past Recollection Recorded After *Starr*
- § 7:103 Past Recollections Revived

F. TESTIMONY GIVEN NOT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE TRIER OF FACT

1. Introduction; Testimony in Previous Related Proceedings

- § 7:104 Introduction
- § 7:105 Testimony in Previous Related Proceedings: Section 715

2. Preconditions to Admissibility

- § 7:106 Introduction
- § 7:107 What Testimony?
- § 7:108 Necessity
- § 7:109 Reliability

§ 7:110 Discretion and Section 715

§ 7:111 Special Instruction

3. Videotaped Statements of Children and Vulnerable Witnesses: Sections 715.1 and 715.2

§ 7:112 Purpose of the Section

§ 7:113 Preconditions to Admission

§ 7:114 —Made within a “Reasonable” Time after the Transaction

§ 7:115 —Adoption of the Statement

§ 7:116 —Discretion to Exclude

§ 7:117 —Other Considerations

4. Commission Evidence; Depositions

§ 7:118 Commission Evidence

§ 7:119 Depositions

G. STATEMENTS BY ACCUSED (ADMISSIONS)

§ 7:120 Statements by the Accused Generally

§ 7:121 Basis of Knowledge

§ 7:122 Operating Mind

§ 7:123 Context (Completeness of the Statement)

§ 7:124 Adoption of Statements by Others

§ 7:125 Vicarious Statements or Statements by Agents

§ 7:126 Jury Instruction

H. CO-CONSPIRATOR OR COMMON ENTERPRISE RULE

1. Scope and Rationale of the Rule

§ 7:127 Introduction

§ 7:128 Agency

§ 7:129 Admissions

§ 7:130 *Res Gestae*

§ 7:131 A Functional Approach

§ 7:132 Practical Concerns

2. Operation of the Rule

§ 7:133 The Three-Stage Analysis

§ 7:134 Stage One: Proof of the Conspiracy or Common Enterprise

§ 7:135 Stage Two: Proof of the Involvement of the Particular Accused

§ 7:136 Stage Three: Use of Acts and Declarations “In Furtherance”

3. Jury Direction in Case where Common Enterprise Evidence Led

§ 7:137 Generally

4. Specific Issues

§ 7:138 Two-Person Conspiracies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 7:139	Non-Hearsay Uses
§ 7:140	—The Rule in Operation
§ 7:141	—“Failsafe” Exclusion
	5. The Co-Conspirator/Common Criminal Enterprise Rule after <i>Starr</i>
§ 7:142	Generally
	I. HISTORICAL OR ANCIENT EVENTS; OPINION EVIDENCE AND HEARSAY
§ 7:143	Historical or Ancient Events
§ 7:144	Opinion Evidence and Hearsay
	J. DECLARATIONS AGAINST INTEREST
	1. Declarations against Pecuniary Interest
§ 7:145	Development and Operation of the Exception
§ 7:146	Declarations against Pecuniary Interest after <i>Starr</i>
	2. Declarations Against Penal Interest
§ 7:147	The English Cases
§ 7:148	The Modern Canadian Approach
§ 7:149	Declarations against Penal Interest where Declarant Available
§ 7:150	After <i>Starr</i>
	K. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS EXCEPTIONS
§ 7:151	Introduction
§ 7:152	Age
§ 7:153	“Pedigree” (Marriage and Other Family History)
§ 7:154	Self-Identification
§ 7:155	General and Public Rights

CHAPTER 8. THE VOLUNTARY CONFESSIONS RULE

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 8:1	Generally
§ 8:2	The Constituent Elements
§ 8:3	The Identified Concerns
§ 8:4	—The Rule in <i>St. Lawrence</i>
§ 8:5	The Practical Impact of the <i>Charter</i>
§ 8:6	Statement as <i>Actus Reus</i>
§ 8:7	Use on <i>Charter</i> Application

II. THE TRIGGER: PERSON IN AUTHORITY

§ 8:8	Legal Test
-------	------------

- § 8:9 —Onus
- § 8:10 —Examples
- § 8:11 —*Voir Dire* Requirement

III. A SUFFICIENT RECORD

- § 8:12 Weight or Admissibility
- § 8:13 Video/Audiotaping

IV. THE LEGAL STANDARD: VOLUNTARINESS

- § 8:14 A Principled Approach
- § 8:15 The Relevant Factors—Threats or Promises
- § 8:16 —Atmosphere of Oppression
- § 8:17 — —Deprivation
- § 8:18 — —Police Trickery
- § 8:19 — —Use of Polygraph
- § 8:20 — —Other
- § 8:21 —Operating Mind
- § 8:22 —Police Trickery
- § 8:23 —Police Caution and Right to Silence
- § 8:24 —Irrelevant Factors—Truth of Statement
- § 8:25 — —Consular Access
- § 8:26 Derived Confessions Rule

V. SPECIAL TOPICS

- § 8:27 Mr. Big Confessions
- § 8:28 Youth
- § 8:29 Language Comprehension
- § 8:30 Indigenous Accused
- § 8:31 Reid Technique
- § 8:32 Expert Evidence and False Confessions
- § 8:33 Jury Instructions—Mixed Statements
- § 8:34 —*Hodgson* Statements
- § 8:35 —Inconsistent Statements—*MacKenzie* Instruction
- § 8:36 —Putative False Confessions
- § 8:37 —Other

CHAPTER 9. CHARACTER EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION: CHARACTER AND ITS ANIMATING PRINCIPLES

- § 9:1 Generally
- § 9:2 The Issue in Question
- § 9:3 The Proponent
- § 9:4 The Method of Proof
- § 9:5 The Target

II. DEFINITION: CHARACTER AND ITS RELEVANCE

- § 9:6 Character Defined

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:7 What Constitutes Character Evidence
- § 9:8 Relevance of Character
- § 9:9 Normative Considerations

III. THE EXCLUSIONARY RULE AND ITS EXCEPTIONS

A. CHARACTER TO PROVE CONDUCT

- § 9:10 Generally

B. CHARACTER AND CREDIBILITY

1. Introduction

- § 9:11 Generally

2. The Exceptions

- § 9:12 Introduction
- § 9:13 When Relevant to an Issue
- § 9:14 —Prosecution's Theory of Liability
- § 9:15 —Motive and Evidence of Intent
- § 9:16 —State of Mind or Conduct of a Witness
- § 9:17 —Conduct Attempting to Affect or Affecting the Administration of Justice
- § 9:18 —Lifestyle Establishing Involvement, Means or Opportunity
- § 9:19 —Drug-Related Offences
- § 9:20 —Allegations of Inadequate Police Investigation
- § 9:21 —Narrative, Context and Background
- § 9:22 The Accused Puts their Character in Issue
- § 9:23 —The Conduct of the Defence
- § 9:24 —The Scopelliti Defence
- § 9:25 Incidental to Proper Cross-Examination

3. The Statutory Exceptions

- § 9:26 Introduction
- § 9:27 Section 666 of the *Criminal Code*—Evidence of Character
- § 9:28 —The Scope of Cross-Examination Permitted Under Section 666
- § 9:29 Section 12 of the *Canada Evidence Act*
- § 9:30 —Interpretation and Scope of Section 12
- § 9:31 —*Corbett* Applications
- § 9:32 —The Factors
- § 9:33 —*Corbett* Applications Allowed
- § 9:34 —*Corbett* Applications Denied

IV. GOOD CHARACTER OF THE ACCUSED

- § 9:35 Introduction
- § 9:36 Putting Character in Issue
- § 9:37 The Threshold Issue—When Does an Accused Put Their Character in Issue?

- § 9:38 — —Miscellaneous Examples
- § 9:39 The Manner of Proof of Good Character—Extrinsic Proof of Character—The Reputation Rule: *R. v. Rowton*
- § 9:40 —The Accused Testifying as to Good Character
- § 9:41 —The Limitations of Good Character Evidence
- § 9:42 Expert Opinion Evidence
- § 9:43 —Relevance—The Distinctive Group Requirement
- § 9:44 Rebuttal by the Prosecution
- § 9:45 —Cross-Examination
- § 9:46 —By Extrinsic Evidence

V. THE CHARACTER OF OTHERS

- § 9:47 Introduction
- § 9:48 To Assess/Attack Credibility
- § 9:49 —Reputation for Veracity
- § 9:50 To Establish Relevant Conduct
- § 9:51 —Violent Disposition
- § 9:52 —Rebuttal by the Prosecution—Evidence of Peaceable Disposition
- § 9:53 Other Suspects
- § 9:54 —Co-Accused

VI. LIMITING AND USE INSTRUCTIONS

- § 9:55 Introduction
- § 9:56 Bad Character of the Accused
- § 9:57 —Application of the *Proviso*—The Failure to Provide the Limited Use Instruction
- § 9:58 —The Criminal Record of the Accused
- § 9:59 Good Character of the Accused
- § 9:60 Character as it Relates to Conduct—Third Party Suspects
- § 9:61 —Co-Accused

CHAPTER 10. SIMILAR ACTS AND OTHER DISCREDITABLE CONDUCT: THE SIMILAR FACT RULE

I. INTRODUCTION—THE EXCLUSIONARY RULE STATED

- § 10:1 Generally
- § 10:2 Policy Rationale for the Exclusionary Rule
- § 10:3 The Principled Approach and the Test for Admissibility
- § 10:4 —I. Does the evidence satisfy the threshold issues?
- § 10:5 —II. What is the probative value of the evidence?
- § 10:6 —III. What is the prejudicial effect of the evidence?
- § 10:7 —IV. Does the Probative Value of the Evidence Exceed its Prejudicial Effect?

II. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE RULE IN ENGLISH COMMON LAW

- § 10:8 Introduction
- § 10:9 Similar Facts Not Similar Allegations
- § 10:10 Extension of the Rule from Facts to Allegations—The “Improbability of Similar Lies”
- § 10:11 *Boardman v. D.P.P.*: The Demise of the Category Approach and the Importance of the Gatekeeper Function
- § 10:12 The House of Lords’ Abandonment of the Gatekeeper Function
- § 10:13 —Blind Faith in Juries
- § 10:14 Relaxation of the Similar Act Rule and Miscarriages of Justice
- § 10:15 Conclusion

III. THE RULE IN CANADA

- § 10:16 Introduction
- § 10:17 The Adoption of English Authority in Canada—*Makin v. New South Wales*
- § 10:18 Canadian Development of the Rule
- § 10:19 —Relevance
- § 10:20 — —Behavioural Science
- § 10:21 — —Trait Theory
- § 10:22 — —Situationalism
- § 10:23 —Probative Value, Propensity and the Improbability of Coincidence
- § 10:24 —Relevance—The Debate Over Propensity Reasoning
- § 10:25 — —The Need for Definition: Propensity and Disposition versus Character and Misconduct
- § 10:26 — —Specific Propensity Reasoning

IV. THE PRINCIPLED APPROACH

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 10:27 Generally

B. THE THRESHOLD ISSUES

- § 10:28 Introduction
- § 10:29 Is the Evidence Discreditable to the Accused?
- § 10:30 Is the Evidence Caught by the Exclusionary Rule?
- § 10:31 —Evidence of Conduct Forming the Subject-Matter of the Charge
- § 10:32 —Evidence of Habit and Physical State
- § 10:33 —Where There is No Likelihood That the Evidence Would Engage Impermissible Propensity Reasoning
- § 10:34 — —Prior Discreditable Conduct Evidence Tendered for Other Purposes Should be Caught by the Exclusionary Rule

- § 10:35 — —*R. v. Litchfield*: Did the Supreme Court Hint at a Context Exception to the Application of the Exclusionary Rule?
- § 10:36 — —L'Heureux-Dube J.'s Dissent in *R. v. D. (L.E.)*: The High Water Mark for a Context Exception to the Exclusionary Rule
- § 10:37 Is the Evidence Linked to the Accused?

C. WHAT IS THE PROBATIVE VALUE OF THE EVIDENCE?

1. Introduction

- § 10:38 Generally

2. The Issue to Which the Evidence is Directed

- § 10:39 General Comments on Framing the Issue
- § 10:40 —To Prove the *Mens Rea* or Rebut a Defence
- § 10:41 —To Prove the *Actus Reus*
- § 10:42 —To Support Credibility
- § 10:43 —To Prove Identity

3. The Reasoning Process Used to Assess the Probative Value of the Evidence

a. Probative Value and the Improbability of Coincidence

- § 10:44 Introduction
- § 10:45 Probative Value and Propensity Reasoning
- § 10:46 From General to Specific Propensity: Improbability of Coincidence Remains the Guide

b. Factors Informing the Improbability of Coincidence Inquiry

- § 10:47 Introduction
- § 10:48 Similarities and Dissimilarities
- § 10:49 —The Extent to Which the Other Acts are Similar in Detail to the Charged Conduct
- § 10:50 —Proximity in Time of the Similar Act(s)
- § 10:51 —The Number of Occurrences
- § 10:52 —Circumstances Surrounding, or Context of, the Similar Acts
- § 10:53 —Distinctive Features Unifying the Incidents
- § 10:54 —Intervening Events
- § 10:55 The Strength of the Evidence that the Similar Acts Actually Occurred
- § 10:56 —Collusion
- § 10:57 —Credibility
- § 10:58 —Other Considerations Regarding the Veracity of the Evidence

c. The Special Case of Using Similar Act Evidence to Prove Identity

- § 10:59 Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 10:60 Preliminary Inquiries When Identity is at Issue
- § 10:61 First Inquiry: Did the Same Person Likely Commit the Acts?
- § 10:62 Second Inquiry: Is There Evidence Linking the Accused to the Similar Acts?
- § 10:63 Is it Appropriate to Consider Evidence Linking the Accused to the Similar Acts in the First Inquiry?
- § 10:64 What is the Relevance of Other Circumstantial Evidence Tying the Accused to the Charged Offence?

D. WHAT IS THE PREJUDICIAL EFFECT OF THE EVIDENCE?

- § 10:65 Introduction
- § 10:66 Moral Prejudice
- § 10:67 Reasoning Prejudice

E. DOES THE PROBATIVE VALUE OF THE EVIDENCE EXCEED ITS PREJUDICIAL EFFECT; SIMILAR ACTS OF OTHERS INTRODUCED BY THE DEFENCE

- § 10:68 Does the Probative Value of the Evidence Exceed its Prejudicial Effect?
- § 10:69 Similar Acts of Others Introduced by the Defence

V. THE STANDARD OF PROOF TO BE APPLIED WHEN ADMITTED; SIMILAR ACTS AND DISCREDITABLE CONDUCT OF CO-ACCUSED

- § 10:70 The Standard of Proof to Be Applied When Admitted
- § 10:71 Similar Acts and Discreditable Conduct of Co-Accused
- § 10:72 —Limiting Instructions When Introduced by a Co-Accused

VI. GROUP IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE

- § 10:73 Introduction
- § 10:74 Option I: the Static Gang
- § 10:75 Option II: the Rotating Gang

VII. LIMITING INSTRUCTIONS

- § 10:76 Introduction
- § 10:77 The Positive Instruction
- § 10:78 The Negative Instruction

VIII. APPELLATE REVIEW

- § 10:79 Generally

IX. SIMILAR ACT EVIDENCE AND THE MULTIPLE COUNT INDICTMENT

- § 10:80 The Multiple Count Indictment and the Evidence on Each Count

- § 10:81 The Multi-Count Indictment and the Cross-Admissibility of Similar Act Evidence
- § 10:82 Similar Act Evidence and the Need to Sever the Counts

X. PROCEDURAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 10:83 Generally

CHAPTER 11. PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 11:1 Generally

II. THE ANIMATING PRINCIPLES

- § 11:2 Lack of Probative Value—Irrelevance
- § 11:3 Hearsay
- § 11:4 Fabrication
- § 11:5 Trial Efficiency
- § 11:6 Oath-helping
- § 11:7 —The Scope of the Prohibition
- § 11:8 —Texts and Instant Messages Proximate to the Events in Question—A Unique Problem for the Rule Against Prior Consistent Statements

III. A PRINCIPLED APPROACH

- § 11:9 Introduction
- § 11:10 Simplicity
- § 11:11 Rational Decision-Making
- § 11:12 The Exceptions are Better Understood
- § 11:13 Fairness

IV. THE EXCEPTIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 11:14 Generally

B. RECENT FABRICATION

- § 11:15 Introduction
- § 11:16 The Meaning of “Recent” Fabrication
- § 11:17 How the Exception is Triggered
- § 11:18 Recent Fabrication is Not Recent Complaint
- § 11:19 Summary

C. THE PRIOR IDENTIFICATION EXCEPTION

- § 11:20 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D. RECENT COMPLAINT

- § 11:21 Introduction
- § 11:22 The Common Law and Recent Complaint
- § 11:23 Statutory Repeal and Summary of Principles

E. STATEMENTS, REACTION AND CONDUCT OF AN ACCUSED NOT TENDERED BY THE CROWN

- § 11:24 Introduction
- § 11:25 The Fact that the Accused Made an Exculpatory Post-Arrest Statement
- § 11:26 Exculpatory Statements Made By Accused Upon Arrest or When First Confronted With Allegation of Criminal Conduct
- § 11:27 Exculpatory Statements Made to Persons Not In Authority—The Application of *Edgar*
- § 11:28 Reactions and Conduct of an Accused: Consciousness of Innocence Evidence

F. RES GESTAE STATEMENTS; NARRATIVE

- § 11:29 *Res Gestae* Statements
- § 11:30 Narrative

G. RE-EXAMINATION BY THE CROWN ON PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS

- § 11:31 Generally

V. LIMITING INSTRUCTIONS

- § 11:32 Introduction
- § 11:33 When Absence of Limiting Instruction is Fatal
- § 11:34 When Absence of Limiting Instruction is Not Fatal

CHAPTER 12. OPINION EVIDENCE

I. THE EXCLUSIONARY RULE

- § 12:1 Generally

II. LAY WITNESS OPINION EVIDENCE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 12:2 Generally

B. SUBJECT-MATTER FOR LAY WITNESS OPINION EVIDENCE

- § 12:3 Identity of Persons, Places, Things
- § 12:4 Handwriting
- § 12:5 Substance Intake; Impairment

- § 12:6 Mental, Physical, Emotional Condition
- § 12:7 Age
- § 12:8 Shoeprints
- § 12:9 Speed, Distance, Other Physical Properties
- § 12:10 Credibility of Another Witness
- § 12:11 Additional Examples of Lay Witness Opinion Evidence

C. IMPERMISSIBLE INSTANCES OF LAY OPINION EVIDENCE

- § 12:12 Generally

D. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

- § 12:13 Generally

III. EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 12:14 Generally

B. PROVISIONAL ADMISSIBILITY CRITERIA

1. The Mohan Factors; Logical Relevance

- § 12:15 The *Mohan* Factors

- § 12:16 Logical Relevance

2. Reliability

a. Introduction

- § 12:17 Generally

b. The Opinion Process

- § 12:18 Generally

c. The Reliability Inquiry

- § 12:19 General Approach

- § 12:20 Novel Science

- § 12:21 The *J. (J.L.)/Daubert* Approach

- § 12:22 Testability

- § 12:23 Error Rate/Existence of Standards

- § 12:24 Peer Review/Publication

- § 12:25 General Acceptance

- § 12:26 Additional Factors

- § 12:27 Behavioural Science

3. Necessity

- § 12:28 Generally

4. A Properly Qualified Expert

- § 12:29 Expertise Qualification

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 12:30 Impartiality/Independence Qualification

5. No Exclusionary Rule

§ 12:31 Generally

6. Residual Discretion to Exclude

§ 12:32 Introduction

§ 12:33 Benefits

§ 12:34 Costs

§ 12:35 Alternatives to Exclusion

C. CONFIRMED ADMISSIBILITY—THE FOUNDATION CRITERION

§ 12:36 Introduction

§ 12:37 The *Abbey/Lavallee* Debate

§ 12:38 Hearsay or Not?

§ 12:39 The Hypothetical Question

D. PROCEDURAL ISSUES

§ 12:40 Defence Failure to Retain Expert

§ 12:41 The Expert Report

§ 12:42 Disclosure Obligations—Prosecution

§ 12:43 —Defence

§ 12:44 —Section 657.3(3)-(7) of the *Criminal Code*

§ 12:45 —Pre-trial Consultations

§ 12:46 —Instructions to the Expert

§ 12:47 Subpoena for Expert Witness

§ 12:48 Number of Experts

§ 12:49 Voir Dire

§ 12:50 Exclusion of Expert Witness from Courtroom

§ 12:51 Examination of the Expert Witness—In-Chief Examination

§ 12:52 —Cross-Examination

§ 12:53 —Re-Examination

§ 12:54 —Questions by the Court

§ 12:55 Reply Evidence

§ 12:56 The Court-Appointed Expert

§ 12:57 Costs against Prosecution Expert

E. REASONS IN JUDGE-ALONE TRIAL

§ 12:58 Generally

F. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

§ 12:59 De-Specializing the Expert Evidence

§ 12:60 Weighing Expert Evidence

§ 12:61 Burden of Proof

§ 12:62 Review of Expert Testimony in Relation to Facts in Issue

§ 12:63 Limiting Instructions

G. APPEALS

- § 12:64 Scope of Review
- § 12:65 Fresh Evidence

Volume 2

CHAPTER 13. THE LAW OF PRIVILEGE

I. INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL PRINCIPLES

- § 13:1 Overview
- § 13:2 The Purpose of Privileges
- § 13:3 Privileges v. Competence/Compellability and Confidences
- § 13:4 The Forms of Privilege: Class and Case-by-Case
- § 13:5 Non-Privileged Confidences: Discretion and Moral Suasion
- § 13:6 Enjoyment, Invocation and Waiver
- § 13:7 The Constitution and the Law of Privilege
- § 13:8 Future Directions in the Law of Privilege

II. SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

- § 13:9 Nature and Rationale
- § 13:10 Conditions Precedent and Enjoyment
- § 13:11 Duration
- § 13:12 Informational Scope
- § 13:13 Exceptions
- § 13:14 —Communications in Furtherance of Crime/Unlawful Conduct
- § 13:15 —Public Safety
- § 13:16 —Innocence at Stake
- § 13:17 Loss and Waiver
- § 13:18 Special Issues and Legislative Incursions
- § 13:19 —Searches of Lawyers' Offices
- § 13:20 —Legislative Obligations to Produce Documents and Information
- § 13:21 —Relationship to Freedom of Information Legislation

III. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE

- § 13:22 Nature and Rationale
- § 13:23 Conditions Precedent and Enjoyment
- § 13:24 Duration
- § 13:25 Informational Scope
- § 13:26 Exceptions, Loss and Waiver
- § 13:27 Legislative Incursions

IV. SPOUSAL PRIVILEGE

- § 13:28 Nature and Rationale

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:29 Conditions Precedent and Enjoyment
- § 13:30 Duration
- § 13:31 Informational Scope
- § 13:32 Exceptions, Loss and Waiver

V. SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATION PRIVILEGE

- § 13:33 Nature and Rationale
- § 13:34 Conditions Precedent, Scope and Exceptions
- § 13:35 Application to the Criminal Law Setting

VI. CASE-BY-CASE PRIVILEGE

- § 13:36 Nature and Rationale
- § 13:37 Criteria and Process for Establishing a Case-by-Case Privilege
- § 13:38 Application to Various Settings
- § 13:39 —Religious Communications
- § 13:40 —Medical, Psychiatric and Counselling Communications
- § 13:41 —Journalist-Informant Communications
- § 13:42 — —Canada Evidence Act Amendments
- § 13:43 — —Criminal Code Amendments
- § 13:44 —Other Claims of Case-by-Case Privilege

CHAPTER 14. PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY AND RELATED PRIVILEGES

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 14:1 Generally

II. THE COMMON LAW OF PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY

- § 14:2 Introduction
- § 14:3 An Historically “Absolute” Privilege
- § 14:4 The Current State of the Common Law
- § 14:5 —Test and Process
- § 14:6 —Types of Claim
- § 14:7 —Inspection of the Documents
- § 14:8 —Extent and Effects of Disclosure
- § 14:9 The Continued Relevance of the Common Law

III. FEDERAL STATUTORY PROTECTIONS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 14:10 Generally

B. SECTION 37 OF THE *CEA*: GENERAL CLAIMS OF PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY

- § 14:11 Introduction

- § 14:12 Jurisdiction
- § 14:13 General Operation of the Provision
- § 14:14 Appellate Review

C. SECTION 38 OF THE *CEA*: INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS, NATIONAL DEFENCE, AND NATIONAL SECURITY

- § 14:15 Introduction
- § 14:16 Jurisdiction
- § 14:17 General Operation of the Scheme
- § 14:18 Appellate Review
- § 14:19 Secrecy Provisions
- § 14:20 Attorney General's Override

D. SECTION 39 OF THE *CEA*: CABINET SECRECY

- § 14:21 Introduction
- § 14:22 Scope of Material Covered
- § 14:23 General Operation of the Provision
- § 14:24 The Effect of Cabinet Confidentiality

E. SECTION 18.1 OF THE CSIS ACT: HUMAN SOURCE PRIVILEGE

- § 14:25 Generally

IV. PROVINCIAL AND TERRITORIAL STATUTORY PROTECTIONS

- § 14:26 Generally

V. PRIVILEGES AND CONFIDENCES RELATED TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 14:27 Generally

B. INFORMER PRIVILEGE

- § 14:28 Nature and Rationale
- § 14:29 Conditions Precedent and Enjoyment
- § 14:30 Establishing Informer Privilege: In Camera and Ex Parte Proceedings
- § 14:31 Scope
- § 14:32 Duration
- § 14:33 Waiver and Breach
- § 14:34 Exception: Innocence at Stake

**C. SURVEILLANCE-POST AND INVESTIGATIVE
TECHNIQUE PRIVILEGE**

§ 14:35 Generally

D. JURY DELIBERATIONS

§ 14:36 Introduction

§ 14:37 Rationale

§ 14:38 Scope of the Common Law Rule

§ 14:39 Section 649 of the *Criminal Code*

§ 14:40 Relationship Between the Common Law Rule and Section
649

§ 14:41 Constitutionality of the Jury Deliberation Secrecy Rules

**CHAPTER 15. THE PRINCIPLE AGAINST SELF-
INCRIMINATION**

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 15:1 Generally

II. TESTIMONIAL COMPULSION

§ 15:2 Accused's Non-compellability

§ 15:3 Application of Section 11(c) of the *Charter*

§ 15:4 Inferences from Failing to Testify

§ 15:5 Use Immunity: Section 13 of the *Charter*

§ 15:6 —Introduction and History

§ 15:7 —The Contemporary Rule: *R. v. Henry* and *R. v. Nedelcu*

§ 15:8 —Cross-examination on Knowledge of Protection

§ 15:9 Use and Derivative Use Immunity: Section 7 of the *Charter*

§ 15:10 —Rationale for Evidentiary Immunity

§ 15:11 —Proving that Evidence is Derivative

§ 15:12 Constitutional Exemption: Section 7 of the *Charter*—
Introduction and History

§ 15:13 —The Contemporary Rule

§ 15:14 —Timing of Application

**III. NON-TESTIMONIAL COMPULSION: SECTION 7 OF
THE *CHARTER***

§ 15:15 Linguistic Evidence

§ 15:16 Non-linguistic Evidence

**IV. THE RIGHT TO SILENCE AT THE INVESTIGATIVE
STAGE: SECTION 7 OF THE *CHARTER***

§ 15:17 The *Hebert* Rule

§ 15:18 —Statements to Persons in Authority not Included

§ 15:19 —Right Applies only During Detention

- § 15:20 —State Agency
- § 15:21 —Active Elicitation
- § 15:22 Inferences from Pre-trial Silence—The General Prohibition
- § 15:23 —Permissible Uses of Silence
- § 15:24 —Alibi

CHAPTER 16. SEXUAL ACTIVITY EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 16:1 Generally

II. SECTION 276 AND PRIOR SEXUAL HISTORY

A. CHARACTERIZATION; HISTORY

- § 16:2 Characterization—Circumstantial not Character Evidence
- § 16:3 History

B. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

- § 16:4 Overview
- § 16:5 The Purpose: Preventing Discrimination
- § 16:6 Section 276 is an Exclusionary Rule
- § 16:7 Application to Crown

C. THE MEANING OF SEXUAL ACTIVITY

- § 16:8 Sexual Activity
- § 16:9 Virginity
- § 16:10 Childhood Sexual Exploration
- § 16:11 Post-Allegation Consensual Sexual Activity
- § 16:12 Prior Non-consensual Acts

D. SECTION 276(2): CATEGORIES OF RELEVANCE

- § 16:13 Overview
- § 16:14 Consent
- § 16:15 Mistaken Belief in Consent
- § 16:16 HIV Non-Disclosure
- § 16:17 Credibility
 - Prior Inconsistent Statements
- § 16:19 —Motive to Fabricate
- § 16:20 —Reliability
- § 16:21 Narrative or Context

E. SECTION 276(3): FACTORS TO BE BALANCED WITH PROBATIVE VALUE

- § 16:22 Generally

F. PROCEDURE

- § 16:23 Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 16:24 The Affidavit
- § 16:25 The *Voir Dire*
- § 16:26 Timing
- § 16:27 The Preliminary Inquiry
- § 16:28 Historical Offences

III. SECTION 277: EVIDENCE OF SEXUAL REPUTATION

- § 16:29 Generally

CHAPTER 17. THIRD PARTY RECORDS

I. SECTION 278.2: THE *MILLS* REGIME

A. INTRODUCTION: THE RELEVANT CONSTITUTIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 17:1 Generally
- § 17:2 Bill C-51

B. THE PROVISIONS

- § 17:3 Generally
- § 17:4 Overview

C. WHAT RECORDS FALL UNDER SECTION 278.2?

- § 17:5 Section 278.1
- § 17:6 Reasonable Expectation of Privacy
- § 17:7 Information in the Hands of the Police
- § 17:8 Joint Sessions

D. PROCEDURE

- § 17:9 Historical Offences
- § 17:10 The Preliminary Inquiry
- § 17:11 Notice/Service: ss. 278.2(3) and 278.3(5)

E. SECTION 278.3(4)—INSUFFICIENT GROUNDS

- § 17:12 Generally

F. SECTIONS 278.4 AND 278.5—PRODUCTION TO THE COURT AND TO THE ACCUSED

- § 17:13 Generally

G. LIKELY RELEVANCE

- § 17:14 Introduction
- § 17:15 Diaries
- § 17:16 Credibility and Prior Inconsistent Statements
- § 17:17 Lack of Complaint

- § 17:18 Disclosure of Abuse in Therapy
- § 17:19 Behavioural Issues
- § 17:20 Mental Health Diagnosis
- § 17:21 False Allegations
- § 17:22 Narrative or Context
- § 17:23 False Memory Syndrome

II. THE COMMON LAW REGIME

A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

- § 17:24 Introduction
- § 17:25 Likely Relevance
- § 17:26 Credibility
- § 17:27 Reasonable Expectation of Privacy
- § 17:28 Balancing the Relevant Factors to Consider
- § 17:29 Alternatives to Production

B. DISCLOSURE VS. PRODUCTION; JURISDICTION

- § 17:30 Disclosure vs. Production
- § 17:31 Jurisdiction

C. PROCEDURE

- § 17:32 Introduction
- § 17:33 Notice/Service
- § 17:34 The *Voir Dire*
- § 17:35 Timing

CHAPTER 18. ISSUE ESTOPPEL

- § 18:1 Origin and Definition
- § 18:2 Prerequisites
- § 18:3 —First Prerequisite: The Issue Has Previously Been Decided
- § 18:4 —Second Prerequisite: Earlier Decision Was Final
- § 18:5 —Third Prerequisite: Mutuality
- § 18:6 Issue Estoppel and Similar Act Evidence
- § 18:7 Procedural Issues
- § 18:8 The Onus and Burden of Proof
- § 18:9 Relationship With the Special Pleas and Abuse of Process Doctrine

CHAPTER 19. THE EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE CHARTER

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 19:1 Generally
- § 19:2 History of the Exclusion of Evidence in Canada—The Common Law Approach Pre-*Charter*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 19:3 —The Enactment of Section 24 of the *Charter*
- § 19:4 The Common Law Discretion to Exclude Evidence
- § 19:5 Policies and Principles Animating the Exclusion of Evidence

II. APPLYING SECTION 24

- § 19:6 Standing to Bring a Section 24(2) Application
- § 19:7 The State And State Agents
- § 19:8 “Court of Competent Jurisdiction”
- § 19:9 —Criminal Courts
- § 19:10 —Provincial Offences Courts
- § 19:11 —Administrative Tribunals
- § 19:12 The Onus of Proof in Section 24 Applications
- § 19:13 Procedural Considerations
- § 19:14 Total or Partial Exclusion of Evidence

III. SECTION 24(1)

- § 19:15 Introduction
- § 19:16 The Exclusion of Evidence Under Section 24(1)
- § 19:17 —Where the Admission of the Evidence Would Result in an Unfair Trial
- § 19:18 —Where Exclusion is Necessary to Protect the Integrity of the Justice System
- § 19:19 —Summary of the *Bjelland* Approach
- § 19:20 Critique of the *Bjelland* Test

IV. SECTION 24(2)

A. GENERAL

- § 19:21 Introduction
- § 19:22 The Threshold Question: The Nexus Between a Breach and the Evidence
- § 19:23 What May “Bring the Administration of Justice into Disrepute”

B. THE HISTORY OF SECTION 24(2)

- § 19:24 Introduction
- § 19:25 The *Collins/Stillman* Test—*R. v. Collins*: The Development of a Framework of Analysis for Section 24(2)
- § 19:26 —*R. v. Stillman*: Refining the *Collins* Test
- § 19:27 —The *Collins/Stillman* Test
- § 19:28 Judicial and Academic Critique of *Collins/Stillman*

C. *R. V. GRANT*—WHEN EVIDENCE OBTAINED IN A MANNER THAT BREACHED *CHARTER* RIGHTS MUST BE EXCLUDED

- § 19:29 Generally

D. THREE LINES OF INQUIRY UNDER *GRANT*

- § 19:30 Inquiry One: Seriousness of the *Charter*-Infringing State Conduct
- § 19:31 —The state actor's degree of fault
- § 19:32 —Whether the breach was part of a systemic pattern of disregard of *Charter* rights as opposed to an isolated incident
- § 19:33 —The presence of extenuating circumstances, if any
- § 19:34 —Whether the state of the law was well-established or uncertain
- § 19:35 —Other circumstances, such as whether the police sought to comply with a legal process and whether the breach was also a violation of other laws
- § 19:36 Inquiry Two: The Impact of the Breach on the *Charter*-Protected Interests of the Accused
- § 19:37 Inquiry Three: Society's Interest in an Adjudication on the Merits
- § 19:38 Balancing the Factors

E. APPLICATION TO DIFFERENT TYPES OF EVIDENCE

- § 19:39 Introduction
- § 19:40 Non-Bodily Physical Evidence
- § 19:41 Bodily Evidence
- § 19:42 Statements
- § 19:43 Derivative Evidence

F. DISCUSSION OF SECTION 24(2) UNDER *R. V. GRANT*

- § 19:44 Introduction
- § 19:45 No More "Categories" of Evidence—Abandoning the Conscriptive/Non-Conscriptive Distinction
- § 19:46 Considering all Three Lines of Inquiry As Compared to a Hierarchical Analysis
- § 19:47 Protection against Self-Incrimination
- § 19:48 The Seriousness of the Offence
- § 19:49 Discoverability

V. APPELLATE REVIEW

- § 19:50 Appellate Review of Decisions under Section 24(1)
- § 19:51 Appellate Review of Decisions under Section 24(2)
- § 19:52 —Standard of Review—Findings of Fact
- § 19:53 — —Underlying *Charter* Breach
- § 19:54 — —Section 24(2) Decision to Admit or Exclude Evidence
- § 19:55 —Applying Section 24(2) on Appeal
- § 19:56 —Where an Appeal is Not Necessary

PART IV. THE INSTRUMENTS OF EVIDENCE

CHAPTER 20. WITNESSES: COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 20:1 Competence and Compellability Defined
- § 20:2 A History of Using Competence and Compellability to Exclude

II. COMPETENCE

- § 20:3 Accused
- § 20:4 Spouses—The Common Law Rule
- § 20:5 —The Statutory Abrogation of Spousal Incompetence
- § 20:6 Children and Witnesses under 14—A Presumption of Competence
- § 20:7 Mental Incapacity—Procedure
- § 20:8 —The Nature and Scope of the Inquiry
- § 20:9 — —Understanding the Oath/Affirmation
- § 20:10 — —Ability to Communicate the Evidence
- § 20:11 — —Promise to Tell the Truth

III. COMPELLABILITY

- § 20:12 Introduction
- § 20:13 Accused
- § 20:14 Spouses—For the Defence
- § 20:15 —For the Prosecution—Section 4(2) of the *Canada Evidence Act*
- § 20:16 —Marital Communications
- § 20:17 Complainants
- § 20:18 Corporate Officers
- § 20:19 Judges
- § 20:20 Jurors
- § 20:21 Lawyers

IV. THE OATH; SOLEMN AFFIRMATION

- § 20:22 The Oath
- § 20:23 Solemn Affirmation

CHAPTER 21. PRESENTATION OF WITNESSES

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 21:1 Overview
- § 21:2 Evidence Elicited by Questions by Counsel for Each Party
- § 21:3 Questions Posed to a Witness are not Evidence

- § 21:4 The Three Phases of Questioning: Examination-in-Chief,
Cross-examination and Re-examination

II. EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 21:5 Generally

B. LEADING QUESTIONS: GENERAL PROHIBITION

- § 21:6 Introduction
§ 21:7 Rationale for Prohibiting Leading Questions
§ 21:8 Exceptions to the General Rule Prohibiting Leading
Questions
§ 21:9 Objection to Leading Questions by Opposing Counsel
§ 21:10 Leading Questions May Impact Weight of Evidence

C. MEMORY AND THE FORGETFUL WITNESS

- § 21:11 Introduction
§ 21:12 Present Memory Revived Distinguished from Past
Recollection Recorded
§ 21:13 Refreshing Memory Prior to Trial
§ 21:14 Refreshing Memory Prior to Trial by Hypnosis
§ 21:15 Refreshing Memory at Trial
§ 21:16 —Material that Can Be Used to Refresh Memory
§ 21:17 —Material that Cannot be Used to Refresh Memory
§ 21:18 —Procedure for Refreshing Memory at Trial
§ 21:19 —Refreshing Memory and Impeaching Credibility
§ 21:20 —Disclosure of and Cross-examination on Materials Used to
Refresh Memory
§ 21:21 —Material Used to Revive Memory Does Not Ordinarily
Become an Exhibit
§ 21:22 —Jury Instructions
§ 21:23 Admissibility of Past Recollection Recorded
§ 21:24 —Past Recollection Recorded as Hearsay Exception
§ 21:25 —Preconditions for Admissibility of Past Recollection
Recorded
§ 21:26 —Memory Must be Exhausted
§ 21:27 —Recollection Recorded in Reliable Way
§ 21:28 —Record Made When Witness's Memory Sufficiently Fresh
and Vivid to be Probably Accurate
§ 21:29 —Witness Must Assert that Record Accurately Represented
Knowledge and Recollection at the Time
§ 21:30 —Evidence Must be Otherwise Admissible
§ 21:31 —Entering Record's Contents into Evidence
§ 21:32 —Jury Instructions
§ 21:33 Refreshed and Recorded Memory: Materials Obtained by
Unconstitutional Means

D. EXAMINATION OF UNFAVOURABLE WITNESSES

1. General

- § 21:34 Introduction
- § 21:35 The Rule Against Impeaching One's Own Witness and Its Rationale
- § 21:36 Eliciting Own Witness's Criminal Record
- § 21:37 Asking Own Witness to Explain a Prior Inconsistent Statement
- § 21:38 Calling Other Evidence that Contradicts Own Witness

2. Exceptions to the Rule Against Impeachment: Overview and Preliminary Points

- § 21:39 Introduction
- § 21:40 Leave to Cross-Examine Required
- § 21:41 Refreshing Memory

3. Cross-examining the Hostile Witness at Common Law

- § 21:42 Introduction
- § 21:43 Definition of Hostile and Manner of Proof
- § 21:44 Scope of Cross-examination for the Hostile Witness
- § 21:45 Future of the Common Law Exception: Fading Relevance?

4. Section 9(1) of the *Canada Evidence Act*: The Adverse Witness

- § 21:46 Introduction
- § 21:47 Prohibition Against Impeachment by General Evidence of Bad Character
- § 21:48 Calling Evidence that Contradicts Own Witness's Testimony
- § 21:49 Proof of Prior Inconsistent Statement and Definition of "Adverse"
- § 21:50 Cross-examining the Adverse Witness
- § 21:51 Procedure on a Section 9(1) Application

5. Section 9(2) of the *Canada Evidence Act*: Cross-examination on a Written or Recorded Prior Inconsistent Statement

- § 21:52 Introduction
- § 21:53 Cross-examination in Front of the Jury
- § 21:54 Proving the Statement on the *Voir Dire* and before the Jury
- § 21:55 Statement must be in Specified Format
- § 21:56 Statement must be Inconsistent
- § 21:57 Procedure on a Section 9(2) Application

6. Trial Judge's Discretion to Refuse Leave under Section 9

- § 21:58 Introduction
- § 21:59 Discretion under Section 9(2)

§ 21:60 Discretion under Section 9(1)

7. Re-examination; Permissible Use of a Prior Inconsistent Statement

§ 21:61 Re-examination

§ 21:62 Permissible Use of a Prior Inconsistent Statement

8. Relationship between Section 9 and the Principled Exception to the Hearsay Rule

§ 21:63 Generally

III. CROSS-EXAMINATION

A. GENERAL

§ 21:64 Fundamental Importance of Cross-examination

§ 21:65 Leading Questions Generally Permitted

§ 21:66 Not Restricted to Matters Touched Upon in Chief

B. LIMITS ON CROSS-EXAMINATION: GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND THE ROLE OF THE TRIAL JUDGE

§ 21:67 Introduction

§ 21:68 Cross-examination that is Irrelevant

§ 21:69 Cross-examination that is Abusive, Harassing, Insulting, Prolix or Repetitive

§ 21:70 Cross-examination that Elicits Inadmissible Evidence

§ 21:71 Cross-examination that Seeks to Restrict Witness's Answers

§ 21:72 Cross-examination Requires "Good Faith Basis"

§ 21:73 —Conflict in the Law: The Decisions in *Bencardino* and *Howard*

§ 21:74 —Conflict Resolved: The Good Faith Basis Test in *R. v. Lyttle*

§ 21:75 —Assessing Whether Counsel Has a Good Faith Basis

§ 21:76 —Counsel's Knowledge that the Witness Will Deny a Suggestion

§ 21:77 —Application of *Lyttle* to the Cross-examination of Defence Witnesses and Witnesses Called by the Court

§ 21:78 —Jury Instruction Where Counsel's Suggestion Denied by Witness

§ 21:79 Inviting Comment on the Credibility of Another Witness

§ 21:80 Cross-examination on a Witness's Testimony or an Allegation Made by the Witness in an Unrelated Matter

§ 21:81 Crown Cross-examination of Defence Witness as to Knowledge of Section 13 *Charter* Protections

§ 21:82 Rape Shield Legislation

C. IMPEACHING CREDIBILITY THROUGH CROSS-EXAMINATION

1. Introduction

§ 21:83 Generally

2. Cross-examination on and Proof of Prior Inconsistent Statements

§ 21:84 Introduction and General Principles

§ 21:85 The Common Law and the Origins of Sections 10 and 11 of the *Canada Evidence Act*

§ 21:86 Current Relationship between the Common Law and Sections 10 and 11: Oral and Written (or Otherwise Recorded) Statements

§ 21:87 Cross-examination on the Prior Inconsistent Statement

§ 21:88 —Proof of Inconsistency Not a Precondition

§ 21:89 —Written or Otherwise Recorded Statement Need not be Shown to Witness Unless Cross-examiner Intends to Contradict or Court Orders Otherwise

§ 21:90 —Putting a Written or Otherwise Recorded Statement to a Witness: Format Required by Section 10(1)

§ 21:91 —Witness Entitled to Explain Alleged Inconsistency

§ 21:92 —Cross-examination on Statements Made by Counsel for the Witness

§ 21:93 —Section 10(1) as a Basis for Obtaining Production of a Statement

§ 21:94 Proving the Prior Inconsistent Statement

§ 21:95 —Statement Can be Proven by Extrinsic Evidence if not Distinctly Admitted

§ 21:96 —Statement Must Be Inconsistent

§ 21:97 —Notice Requirement

§ 21:98 —Statement must be “Relative to the Subject Matter of the Case”

§ 21:99 Crown Compliance with Sections 10 and 11

§ 21:100 Discretion to Allow Proof of Statement Despite Non-Compliance with Sections 10 and 11

§ 21:101 Notice Requirement and the Rule in *Browne v. Dunn*: A Comparison

§ 21:102 Trial Judge’s Discretion to Make Statements Exhibits

§ 21:103 Limited Use of Prior Inconsistent Statement

3. Cross-examination on Prior Misconduct

§ 21:104 Introduction

§ 21:105 Cross-examination on Previous Convictions

§ 21:106 —Offences Falling within Section 12

§ 21:107 —Scope of Cross-examination Under Section 12 and Use of Evidence by Fact Finder

§ 21:108 —Trial Judge’s Discretion to Prevent Cross-examination on Convictions Falling within Section 12

§ 21:109 Cross-examination on Bad Acts not Resulting in Conviction

4. Cross-examination on Partiality; Attacking Credibility Where Witness Tendered Solely for Cross-examination and No Evidence Elicited in Chief

§ 21:110 Cross-examination on Partiality

§ 21:111 Attacking Credibility Where Witness Tendered Solely for Cross-examination and No Evidence Elicited in Chief

D. FAILURE TO CROSS-EXAMINE: THE CONFRONTATION PRINCIPLE (RULE IN *BROWNE V. DUNN*)

1. General

§ 21:112 Introduction

§ 21:113 The Confrontation Principle and its Justification

2. Factors Affecting Whether Confrontation Principle Has Been Breached

§ 21:114 Introduction

§ 21:115 Significance of Matter Not Cross-examined Upon

§ 21:116 Whether Attack on Witness's Credibility Plainly Evident

3. Consequences of Breach (Possible Remedies)

§ 21:117 Introduction

§ 21:118 Precluding Offending Party from Calling Contradictory Evidence

§ 21:119 Limiting Offending Party's Closing Submissions

§ 21:120 Recalling the Witness

§ 21:121 Affording Evidence More or Less Weight

§ 21:122 Affording Evidence More or Less Weight: Possible Future Trends

§ 21:123 Importance and Timing of Objection to Failure to Cross-examine

E. SPECIAL LIMITATIONS ON CROWN COUNSEL CROSS-EXAMINING THE ACCUSED

§ 21:124 Introduction

§ 21:125 Abusive Cross-examination

§ 21:126 Cross-examination on Crown Witness's Veracity or Motive to Lie

§ 21:127 Cross-examination on Receipt or Review of Disclosure

§ 21:128 Cross-examination on Exercise of Right to Silence

§ 21:129 —Exception to General Prohibition: "Real Relevance and Proper Basis" for Cross-examination

§ 21:130 —Cross-examination on Late Disclosure of Alibi or Failure to Disclose Pertinent Facts to Third Parties

§ 21:131 Cross-examination on Ability to Fabricate Evidence by Virtue of Having Heard Other Witnesses Testify

§ 21:132 Cross-examination on Opposition to Admissibility of Evidence

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 21:133 Cross-examination on Evidence that has been Excluded or is *Prima Facie* Inadmissible
- § 21:134 Cross-examination on Failure to Put Questions to Crown Witnesses
- § 21:135 Cross-examination on Why Other Defence Witnesses Not Called
- § 21:136 Cross-examination on Defence Theory of the Case
- § 21:137 Cross-examination on View Regarding Matters of Law
- § 21:138 Cross-examination on Prior Compelled Statements
- § 21:139 Cross-examination on Bad Character Including Prior Convictions

F. CROSS-EXAMINATION AT JOINT TRIALS

- § 21:140 Introduction
- § 21:141 Cross-examination of Crown Witnesses by Multiple Accused
- § 21:142 Cross-examination of Co-accused's Witness by Accused

G. ADMISSIBILITY OF TESTIMONY WHERE CROSS-EXAMINATION NOT COMPLETED

- § 21:143 Introduction
- § 21:144 Trial Judge's Discretion and Question of When Remedy Should Be Addressed
- § 21:145 Factors Bearing on Proper Exercise of Trial Judge's Discretion
- § 21:146 —Reason Cross-examination Not Completed
- § 21:147 —Extent of Prejudice
- § 21:148 —Available Ameliorative Remedies
- § 21:149 Incomplete Cross-examination of Accused

IV. RE-EXAMINATION

- § 21:150 Introduction
- § 21:151 Parameters of the Right to Re-examine
- § 21:152 The Trial Judge's Discretion to Permit Re-Examination
- § 21:153 General Prohibition Against Leading Questions
- § 21:154 Re-examination on Inadmissible Matters
- § 21:155 Re-cross-examination in Response to New Matters Arising in Re-examination

V. REPLY EVIDENCE AND THE RULE AGAINST CASE-SPLITTING

- § 21:156 The General Parameters of the Rule Against Case-splitting and its Rationale
- § 21:157 Rule Against Case-splitting and Other Rules Governing the Admissibility of Reply Evidence
- § 21:158 Refining the Rule Against Case-splitting: Marginally Relevant Evidence Acquiring Real Significance Only During the Defence Case

- § 21:159 Trial Judge's Discretion to Allow Reply Evidence
- § 21:160 *Aalders* Does Not Change the Law Regarding Case-splitting
- § 21:161 Countering Prospective Defences
- § 21:162 Irrelevant Whether Evidence Elicited from Defence Witness
in Chief or Cross-examination
- § 21:163 Lately Discovered Evidence
- § 21:164 Common Examples of Permissible Reply Evidence
- § 21:165 —Expert Evidence
- § 21:166 —Disproving an Alibi
- § 21:167 —Character Evidence
- § 21:168 —NCRMD Defence
- § 21:169 —Prior Inconsistent Statements
- § 21:170 Surreply

VI. RE-OPENING THE CROWN CASE

- § 21:171 Introduction
- § 21:172 Re-opening at the First Stage: Crown Case Not Yet Closed
- § 21:173 Re-opening at the Second Stage: Crown Case Closed but
Defence Has Not Elected
- § 21:174 Re-opening at the Third Stage: Defence Has Elected
- § 21:175 —Rationale for Severely Restricting Crown's Ability to
Re-open
- § 21:176 —Examples of When Crown Will Be Allowed to Re-open
- § 21:177 —*Ex Improviso* Test No Longer Valid
- § 21:178 —Allowing Defence to Re-open Its Case or Recall Crown
Witnesses Does Not Alleviate Prejudice
- § 21:179 —Whether Defence Would Have Been Different Not a
Relevant Factor
- § 21:180 Crown Applications to Reply and Re-open: A Comparison
- § 21:181 —Application to Re-open Brought at First or Second Stage
- § 21:182 —Application to Re-open Brought at Third Stage

VII. RE-OPENING THE DEFENCE CASE

- § 21:183 Introduction
- § 21:184 Re-opening Defence Case Before Conviction
- § 21:185 Re-opening Defence Case After Conviction

VIII. CROWN DISCRETION TO CALL WITNESSES; TRIAL JUDGE'S POWER TO CALL WITNESSES

- § 21:186 Crown Discretion to Call Witnesses
- § 21:187 Trial Judge's Power to Call Witnesses

IX. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES BY JUDGES AND JURORS

- § 21:188 Introduction
- § 21:189 Examination of Witnesses by the Trial Judge
- § 21:190 Examination of Witnesses by the Jury

CHAPTER 22. EVIDENCE THROUGH AN INTERMEDIARY: COURT INTERPRETATION

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 22:1 The Means to Make Evidence Intelligible
- § 22:2 Forms of Interpretation
- § 22:3 Interpreter/Translator as Witness
- § 22:4 The Constitutional Framework
- § 22:5 What is the Admissible Evidence?
- § 22:6 The Bilingual Trier
- § 22:7 Determining Credibility of Interpreted Evidence

II. ASSESSING NEED FOR INTERPRETER

- § 22:8 The Threshold Question
- § 22:9 Limited Proficiency in Target Language
- § 22:10 Waiver
- § 22:11 The Standby Interpreter Model
- § 22:12 Adverse Inference Where Interpreter Used
- § 22:13 In-Trial Notice of Interpretation Issues

III. RELIABILITY OF INTERPRETED EVIDENCE

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ASSURANCE OF INTERPRETATION RELIABILITY

- § 22:14 The *Tran* Standards
- § 22:15 A Qualified Interpreter
- § 22:16 Court Interpretation - More than Bilingualism
- § 22:17 Competence/Precision/Completeness
- § 22:18 Impartiality

B. INSTITUTIONAL IMPEDIMENTS TO SECURING/ MONITORING INTERPRETATION RELIABILITY

- § 22:19 Introduction
- § 22:20 Shortage of Qualified Interpreters
- § 22:21 Use of Uncertified/Unqualified Interpreters
- § 22:22 Simultaneous v. Consecutive Interpretation
- § 22:23 Routine Failure to Administer Oath/Affirmation
- § 22:24 Working Conditions/Interpreter Fatigue
- § 22:25 Lack of Interpreter Continuity
- § 22:26 No Record of Source Language Interpretation
- § 22:27 Judicial Failure to Control the Process

C. THE QUALIFICATION *VOIR DIRE*

- § 22:28 Introduction

IV. JUDICIAL INSTRUCTIONS

- § 22:29 Generally

V. APPEALS AND REVIEW

- § 22:30 Failure to Make Timely Objection
- § 22:31 The Misinterpretation Fallacy
- § 22:32 Establishing Actual Unreliability
- § 22:33 Remedy

CHAPTER 23. REAL AND DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 23:1 Generally

II. REAL EVIDENCE

- § 23:2 Defined
- § 23:3 Prosecution Disclosure/Preservation
- § 23:4 Authentication—General Rule
- § 23:5 —Standard of Proof
- § 23:6 —Manner Of
- § 23:7 — —Crime Scene Videotapes
- § 23:8 — —Evidence Originating from Computers, Internet, etc.
- § 23:9 Discretion to Exclude
- § 23:10 Testing of Real Evidence
- § 23:11 Editing/Altering Exhibits
- § 23:12 Handling/Processing Trial Exhibits
- § 23:13 The Witness/Accused as Real Evidence
- § 23:14 Interpreting Exhibits
- § 23:15 Recording Evidence
- § 23:16 The Juryroom

III. DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE

- § 23:17 Assisting the Trier of Fact
- § 23:18 Experiments
- § 23:19 Demonstrations
- § 23:20 Re-enactments
- § 23:21 Computer Simulations
- § 23:22 Views
- § 23:23 Summaries/Schedules/Charts/Spreadsheets
- § 23:24 Other Jury Aids

CHAPTER 24. DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION; DEFINITION

- § 24:1 Introduction
- § 24:2 Definition

II. RELEVANCE

- § 24:3 Purpose

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 24:4 Document in Possession Doctrine

III. PROVING DOCUMENTS

§ 24:5 Authentication

§ 24:6 Documentary Originals Rule—Historical Context

§ 24:7 —Modern Day Relevance

§ 24:8 Copies

§ 24:9 Notice Requirements

IV. PUBLIC DOCUMENTS

§ 24:10 Overview

§ 24:11 Government Documents—Section 24 and Certified Copies

§ 24:12 —Section 25—Is It Still Relevant?

§ 24:13 —Section 26—Government Books

§ 24:14 —Section 31 and Prints

§ 24:15 Statutory Instruments

V. JUDICIAL DOCUMENTS

§ 24:16 Section 23—Definition and Authentication

§ 24:17 Alternative Means of Proof—Common Law, Section 24 and Section 12

VI. BUSINESS RECORDS

§ 24:18 Section 30(1) and Inherent Reliability

§ 24:19 What is a Business Record?

§ 24:20 Section 30(3)—Authentication

§ 24:21 Section 30(10)—Records Excluded

VII. BANKING RECORDS

§ 24:22 Section 29—Scope of

§ 24:23 Section 29(2)—Authentication

VIII. ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE

§ 24:24 Overview

§ 24:25 Sections 31.1 to 31.8—Authentication

§ 24:26 Secure Electronic Signatures Regulation

CHAPTER 25. FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

§ 25:1 Introduction

§ 25:2 Medium for Factual Admission

§ 25:3 Conclusivity

§ 25:4 Admissions by Statute

§ 25:5 Procedural Issues

§ 25:6 Withdrawal of Admission

- § 25:7 Survivorship of An Admission
- § 25:8 Admissions of Law
- § 25:9 Jury Instructions

CHAPTER 26. JUDICIAL NOTICE

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 26:1 Generally

II. INFORMAL JUDICIAL NOTICE OF FACTS

- § 26:2 The Personal Knowledge Prohibition
- § 26:3 Common Sense, Common Knowledge and Experience
- § 26:4 Jurors and Informal Notice
- § 26:5 Judges and Informal Notice
- § 26:6 The Risk of Miscarriage

III. EXPRESS JUDICIAL NOTICE OF FACTS

- § 26:7 Scope
- § 26:8 Statute-Authorized Judicial Notice of Facts
- § 26:9 The Two-Pronged Common Law Paradigm
- § 26:10 The Notoriety/Indisputable Approach—The Character of Notoriety
- § 26:11 —The Indisputability Element
- § 26:12 The Indisputable Sources Option
- § 26:13 The Judicial Role
- § 26:14 Notice
- § 26:15 Conclusivity of Fact Judicially Noticed
- § 26:16 Examples Where Judicial Notice Appropriate
- § 26:17 —Business/Commerce
- § 26:18 —Crime
- § 26:19 —Custom
- § 26:20 —Drugs
- § 26:21 —Geography
- § 26:22 —Historical Facts
- § 26:23 —Human Behaviour
- § 26:24 —Legal Community Facts
- § 26:25 —Miscellaneous
- § 26:26 —Physical/Natural Science
- § 26:27 —Physiology
- § 26:28 —Publicity
- § 26:29 —Racism
- § 26:30 —Technology
- § 26:31 Judicial Notice Rejected
- § 26:32 Charging the Jury

IV. CONTEXTUAL FACTS AND JUDICIAL NOTICE

- § 26:33 Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 26:34 The Emergence of Social Framework Analysis
- § 26:35 Nature of the Proceeding
- § 26:36 The Role of Judicial Activism and the Notice Obligation
- § 26:37 Struggling with Application—The *Hamilton / Mason* Litigation
- § 26:38 Contextual Fact or Contextual Law?

V. JUDICIAL NOTICE OF LAW

- § 26:39 Common Law
- § 26:40 Domestic Legislation
- § 26:41 Foreign Law

PART V. THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF PROOF

CHAPTER 27. THE EVIDENTIAL BURDEN

I. BURDENS AND STANDARDS OF PROOF DISTINGUISHED

- § 27:1 Generally

II. THE EVIDENTIAL BURDEN ON THE CROWN

- § 27:2 Statutory and Common Law Review Procedures
- § 27:3 The Scope of Review—Direct Evidence
- § 27:4 —Circumstantial Evidence—The Early Days:
Mezzo / Monteleone / Charemski
- § 27:5 — —An Unanimous Approach To The Question of Weighing:
Arcuri
- § 27:6 — —The Impact of *Ferras*?
- § 27:7 — —Post-*Arcuri* Cases
- § 27:8 Extradition Hearings

III. THE EVIDENTIAL BURDEN ON THE ACCUSED

- § 27:9 Affirmative Defences
- § 27:10 —Air of Reality Threshold
- § 27:11 —Application to Defences with Reverse Onus

CHAPTER 28. THE PERSUASIVE BURDEN

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 28:1 Generally

II. PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT

A. ADVERSARIAL CONTEXT; CONSTITUTIONAL IMPERATIVE

- § 28:2 Adversarial Context

§ 28:3 Constitutional Imperative

B. MEANING AND CHARGING THE JURY

§ 28:4 *R. v. Lifchus*

§ 28:5 *R. v. Starr*

§ 28:6 Substantial Compliance

C. CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE; INDIVIDUAL ITEMS OF EVIDENCE

§ 28:7 Circumstantial Evidence

§ 28:8 Individual Items of Evidence

D. *W. (D.)* AND ASSESSING CREDIBILITY

§ 28:9 *W. (D.)* and *S. (W.D.)*

§ 28:10 —Application to Defence Evidence

§ 28:11 —Application to Assessments of Objective Liability/Reverse Onus Defences/Partial Liability

§ 28:12 Application to Judge Alone Trials

§ 28:13 *W. (D.)*'s Supreme Court Progeny

§ 28:14 Provincial Appellate Court Application of *W. (D.)*—Additional Instruction: Acquittal Follows if Unable to Decide Whom to Believe

§ 28:15 —Process Recommendation: Does It Matter Whose Evidence Gets Assessed First?

§ 28:16 —Improper Isolation of Accused's Testimony

§ 28:17 —Leaping from Belief in the Crown Witness to Guilt

§ 28:18 —Consequence of Belief in Both Accused and Complainant

§ 28:19 —“Acceptance” and “Rejection” of Evidence

§ 28:20 —Comparing the Evidence of the Accused with that of the Complainant

§ 28:21 —The Alberta Court of Appeal Modifies *W. (D.)* (2019)

§ 28:22 Corroboration and Sexual Assault Cases

E. AVOIDING THE MIGHT REASONABLY BE TRUE TEST

§ 28:23 Generally

III. BALANCE OF PROBABILITIES

§ 28:24 Introduction

§ 28:25 Policy

§ 28:26 Meaning

§ 28:27 Application to the Crown's Case

§ 28:28 Defences

§ 28:29 —Entrapment and Officially Induced Error

§ 28:30 —Mental Disorder, Extreme Intoxication and Automatism

§ 28:31 —Due Diligence

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 28:32 —Section 794(2): Exceptions, Exemptions, Excuses
- § 28:33 Preliminary Findings of Fact

CHAPTER 29. PRESUMPTIONS

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 29:1 Generally
- § 29:2 Terminology
- § 29:3 Key Concepts: Roles, Reasoning, and Rights
- § 29:4 What is Not a True Presumption
- § 29:5 “Presumptions of Fact”: Permissive Inferences
- § 29:6 “Irrebuttable Presumption of Law”: Rule of Substantive Law
- § 29:7 Usual Structure of a True Presumption
- § 29:8 —Presumptions Without Basic Facts
- § 29:9 —Bill C-51 and Evidentiary Presumptions Abolished
- § 29:10 —Presumptions With Basic and Presumed Facts
- § 29:11 Evidentiary Burden
- § 29:12 Reverse Onus
- § 29:13 —Bill C-51 and Reverse Onuses Abolished

II. CASE LAW ANALYSIS

- § 29:14 Introduction
- § 29:15 Mandatory Presumptions With and Without Basic Facts:
Reverse Onuses
- § 29:16 —Presumptions Without Basic Facts: Sanity and
Voluntariness
- § 29:17 —Strict Liability Offences
- § 29:18 Mandatory Presumptions: Evidentiary Burden
- § 29:19 Is the Violation Justified in a Free and Democratic Society?
- § 29:20 —Pressing and Substantial Objective
- § 29:21 —Rational Connection
- § 29:22 —Minimal Impairment
- § 29:23 —Proportionality

III. CONCLUSION AND ANALYTICAL CHECKLIST

- § 29:24 Generally

Volume 3

PART VI. THE EVALUATION OF EVIDENCE

CHAPTER 30. ASSESSING CREDIBILITY

I. WHAT DO WE MEAN BY CREDIBILITY?

- § 30:1 Generally

II. THE METHODOLOGY OF ASSESSING CREDIBILITY

- § 30:2 Soft Science
- § 30:3 The Common Law Approach

III. PARTICULAR CONCERNS IN ASSESSING CREDIBILITY

- § 30:4 Demeanour
- § 30:5 Reliance on Stereotypes
- § 30:6 —The Evidence of Women and Children
- § 30:7 —The Evidence of Racialized/Aboriginal Witnesses
- § 30:8 Using Social Context
- § 30:9 Strict Scrutiny and Defence Evidence
- § 30:10 Motive to Lie
- § 30:11 Interest in the Outcome
- § 30:12 Disbelieved Prior Testimony
- § 30:13 Using Disbelieved Testimony
- § 30:14 Using Accused's Silence and Access to Disclosure
- § 30:15 Religious Beliefs
- § 30:16 Personal Opinion of the Trial Judge

CHAPTER 31. CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE: DRAWING REASONABLE INFERENCES

I. GENERAL

- § 31:1 Introduction
- § 31:2 The Direct Evidence Distinction
- § 31:3 Defining Circumstantial Evidence

II. THE INFLUENCE OF *HODGE'S CASE*

- § 31:4 *Hodge's Case*
- § 31:5 The Rule in *Hodge's Case*
- § 31:6 Survival of *Hodge's Case*?

III. THE PROCESS OF INFERENCE DRAWING

- § 31:7 Generally

IV. MATHEMATICAL APPROACHES TO CIRCUMSTANTIAL PROBABILITY

- § 31:8 Introduction
- § 31:9 The *Collins* Case
- § 31:10 *People v. Cella*
- § 31:11 The *Adams* Litigation
- § 31:12 *R. v. T.*
- § 31:13 *R. v. Nicholson*
- § 31:14 Other Examples

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 31:15 If Not Mathematics . . .

V. COMMON SENSE, HUMAN EXPERIENCE, LOGIC; INFERENCE V. SPECULATION

§ 31:16 Common Sense, Human Experience, Logic

§ 31:17 Inference v. Speculation

VI. INDIVIDUAL FACT V. CUMULATIVE EVIDENCE ANALYSIS

§ 31:18 Chains, Webs, Jigsaws . . .

§ 31:19 The Preference for Cumulative Evaluation of Circumstantial
Evidence

§ 31:20 The Concept of “Proven/Proved” Facts; Burden(s) of Proof

§ 31:21 The Strength of the Circumstantial Inference

VII. CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE DANGERS

§ 31:22 The Primary Facts Are Wrong

§ 31:23 The Circumstantial Inference is Not Grounded in the
Evidence

§ 31:24 The Probative Value of Individual Pieces of Circumstantial
Evidence Has Not Been Assessed in the Context of the
Evidence as a Whole

§ 31:25 The Inference Drawn is Not a Reasonable One

§ 31:26 Competing Interpretations and Inferences Were Not
Considered

VIII. ADMISSIBILITY STAGE; PRELIMINARY INQUIRY COMMITTAL/DIRECTED VERDICTS; ARRIVING AT A FINAL VERDICT

§ 31:27 The Admissibility Stage

§ 31:28 Preliminary Inquiry Committal/Directed Verdicts

§ 31:29 Arriving at a Final Verdict

IX. JURY CHARGES

§ 31:30 Introduction

§ 31:31 Judicial Discretion

§ 31:32 Specimen Instructions for Circumstantial Evidence

§ 31:33 The Case for More Assistance

X. APPEALS

§ 31:34 Generally

XI. COMMON EXAMPLES OF CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

§ 31:35 Introduction

- § 31:36 After-the-Fact Conduct
- § 31:37 Association
- § 31:38 Demeanour
- § 31:39 Habit
- § 31:40 Motive
- § 31:41 Opportunity
- § 31:42 Other Discreditable Conduct
- § 31:43 Possession
- § 31:44 Tools of the Trade
- § 31:45 Trace Evidence
- § 31:46 Miscellaneous
- § 31:47 Ineligible Circumstantial Evidence

CHAPTER 32. IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION; DEFINITION—EYEWITNESS IDENTITY OPINION

- § 32:1 Introduction
- § 32:2 Definition — Eyewitness Identity Opinion

II. ADMISSIBILITY

- § 32:3 Generally
- § 32:4 The Classification Debate: The Admissibility and Use of Out-of-Court Identifications: Hearsay or Not
- § 32:5 The Current Law
- § 32:6 Re-Consideration of Prior Out-of-Court Identifications
- § 32:7 Resemblance Evidence—The *Chartier* Rule
- § 32:8 The Presence of Exculpatory Evidence
- § 32:9 Recognition Evidence

III. ASSESSMENT OF EYEWITNESS IDENTITY OPINION EVIDENCE

A. THE JUDICIALLY DEVELOPED FACTORS

- § 32:10 Generally

B. THE LESSONS OF COGNITIVE SCIENCE

- § 32:11 Generally
- § 32:12 Memory
- § 32:13 System Variables—The Factors Affecting Reliability
- § 32:14 — —Blind Administration
- § 32:15 — —Pre-identification Instructions
- § 32:16 — —Lineup Construction
- § 32:17 — —Identification Feedback and Reinforcement
- § 32:18 — —Other Factors Affecting Reliability: Successive Arrays, Composites and Show-ups
- § 32:19 — —Estimator Variables

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 32:20 — —Cross-Racial Identifications
- § 32:21 — —Stress
- § 32:22 — —Witness Attention
- § 32:23 — —Changes in Appearance

IV. EXPERT EVIDENCE

- § 32:24 Generally

V. DISCRETION TO EXCLUDE UNRELIABLE IDENTITY OPINION—THE PROBATIVE VALUE/PREJUDICIAL EFFECT ASSESSMENT

- § 32:25 Introduction
- § 32:26 Lessons Learned—The United States
- § 32:27 The Discretion to Exclude in Canada
- § 32:28 —Motions for a Directed Verdict—Delineating between Reliability and Sufficiency of Eyewitness Identification Evidence

VI. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

- § 32:29 Generally

VII. VOICE IDENTIFICATION

- § 32:30 General Principles
- § 32:31 Expert Evidence Tendered to Prove Voice Identification
- § 32:32 Lay Opinion Evidence
- § 32:33 The Assessment of Voice Identification
- § 32:34 Jury Instructions
- § 32:35 The Law in Other Jurisdictions—The United States and Australia
- § 32:36 Cognitive Psychology

VIII. HANDWRITING

- § 32:37 Introduction
- § 32:38 Expert Evidence
- § 32:39 By the Trier
- § 32:40 The Assessment of Hand Writing Identification
- § 32:41 Reaching a Finding—An Analytical Approach

IX. APPELLATE REVIEW

- § 32:42 Generally
- § 32:43 The Sufficiency of the Charge and Errors of Law
- § 32:44 Appellate Review for Unreasonableness Under Section 686 of the *Criminal Code* and the Sufficiency of the Charge—The Factors to Consider
- § 32:45 The Standard of Review under Section 686(1)(a)(i)
- § 32:46 The Factors to Consider on Appellate Review

- § 32:47 Conviction Based on One Eyewitness in the Absence of
Confirmatory Evidence

CHAPTER 33. ADVERSE INFERENCES

I. CIRCUMSTANCES GIVING RISE TO

- § 33:1 Accused's Silence or Failure to Act
- § 33:2 Failure to Investigate or Preserve Evidence
- § 33:3 Failure to Call a Witness
- § 33:4 Failure to Confront Witness With Contradictory Evidence
- § 33:5 Defence Witnesses and Exculpatory Evidence
- § 33:6 Delayed Disclosure in Sexual Assault Cases

II. RETHINKING ADVERSE INFERENCES

- § 33:7 Introduction
- § 33:8 The Dangers
- § 33:9 Limiting Use of and Alternatives to—Case for the Defence
- § 33:10 —Case for the Crown

CHAPTER 34. CONFIRMATION AND CORROBORATION

I. INTRODUCTION; COMMON LAW HISTORY

- § 34:1 Introduction
- § 34:2 Common Law History

II. STATUTORY CORROBORATION

- § 34:3 Introduction
- § 34:4 Abrogation Initiatives—Complainants in Sexual Offence Cases
- § 34:5 —Child Witnesses
- § 34:6 Perjury
- § 34:7 Treason

III. THE BASKERVILLE RULE; REFORMATION OF COMMON LAW CORROBORATION-VETROVEC

- § 34:8 The *Baskerville* Rule
- § 34:9 Reformation of Common Law Corroboration — *Vetrovec*

IV. REFORM, YES — BUT OLD AND NEW PROBLEMS

- § 34:10 Scope of Discretion
- § 34:11 Common Sense/Judicial Experience
- § 34:12 A Paradigm for Principled Exercise of Discretion to Warn
- § 34:13 The Independence Requirement
- § 34:14 Confirmative of What?
- § 34:15 To Refer to Confirmatory Evidence or Not?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 34:16 What Evidence is Confirmatory?

V. SUSPECT WITNESS TESTIMONY — CATEGORIES STILL COUNT

- § 34:17 Introduction
- § 34:18 Accomplices and . . .
- § 34:19 Perjurers
- § 34:20 Bias
- § 34:21 Child Witnesses
- § 34:22 Contradictory Statements
- § 34:23 Criminal History
- § 34:24 Disreputable/Unsavoury Witnesses
- § 34:25 Immunity Agreements, Other Prosecution Favours
- § 34:26 Jailhouse Informants
- § 34:27 Other Signals for Caution
- § 34:28 Psychiatric History
- § 34:29 Unsented Witness
- § 34:30 Witness Subject to Resentencing

VI. ADDITIONAL CONFIRMATION ISSUES

- § 34:31 Mutual Corroboration
- § 34:32 Multiple Accused
- § 34:33 Need to Corroborate Defence Evidence
- § 34:34 Improper Bolstering
- § 34:35 Section 274 of the *Code*

VII. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

- § 34:36 Introduction
- § 34:37 Warning Content is Discretionary Too
- § 34:38 Model Instructions

VIII. APPEALS

- § 34:39 Introduction
- § 34:40 Scope of Deference to Trial Court
- § 34:41 Failure of Defence to Request Warning/Object
- § 34:42 Application of Curative *Proviso*

PART VII. PRE-TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

CHAPTER 35. BAIL HEARINGS

I. INTRODUCTION; THE ONUS AND STANDARD OF PROOF

- § 35:1 Introduction
- § 35:2 The Onus and Standard of Proof

II. SECTION 518(1) OF THE CRIMINAL CODE

A. GENERAL

- § 35:3 Introduction
- § 35:4 Section 518(1)(e)—The Evidentiary Requirement
- § 35:5 — —The Constitutional Requirement for a Fair, Balanced and Accurate Evidential Record
- § 35:6 Section 518(1)(a)—Jurisdictional Limits of the Evidentiary Inquiry by a Justice

B. SECTION 518(1)(C)—OTHER AND DEEMED RELEVANT EVIDENCE

- § 35:7 “Other Relevant Evidence”
- § 35:8 —Bad Character Evidence
- § 35:9 —Spousal or Intimate Partner Violence
- § 35:10 —Confidence in the Administration of Justice
- § 35:11 —Evidence of Public Fear and Public Concern
- § 35:12 —The Importance of the Four Factors in the Section 515(10)(c) Analysis
- § 35:13 —Section 515(10)(c) and Evidence of a Plan for Release
- § 35:14 —Gladue Principles and Bail - The Unsatisfactory State of the Law
- § 35:15 Pre-Trial Detention Conditions
- § 35:16 Section 518(1)(c)(i) to (iv)—Evidence Deemed Admissible
- § 35:17 Pre-Trial Delay
- § 35:18 —The Nature of the Evidentiary Inquiry Contemplated by Section 525

C. SECTION 518(D.1)—WIRETAP EVIDENCE

- § 35:19 Generally

III. INADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE

- § 35:20 Introduction
- § 35:21 The Circumstances of the Offence: Section 518(1)(b)
- § 35:22 Statements Made by Accused to Sureties
- § 35:23 —Irrelevance, Collateral Fact Issues, and Policy Concerns
- § 35:24 —Charter Principles
- § 35:25 —Compulsion of Accused to Lead Evidence at Bail Hearing May Trigger Section 7 Principle against Self-Incrimination
- § 35:26 —Questioning the Surety about Accused's Statements May be Unfair Use of the Court Process
- § 35:27 Prior Conduct Not Resulting in Conviction and Occurrence Reports

IV. THE DUTY TO GIVE REASONS

- § 35:28 General Principles
- § 35:29 Reasons for Judgment in a Bail Hearing

V. EVIDENCE ON REVIEW

§ 35:30 Generally

PART VIII. POST-TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

CHAPTER 36. EVIDENCE IN SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS

- § 36:1 Distinctive Evidentiary Contexts for Sentencing Hearings
- § 36:2 Narrow Discretion to Exclude Evidence
- § 36:3 Specific Evidentiary Issues—Minimal Reliability Threshold
- § 36:4 —Character Evidence
- § 36:5 —Extrinsic Criminal Conduct
- § 36:6 —Factual Disputes and Findings
- § 36:7 —Factual Differences in the Context of Sentencing Circles
- § 36:8 —Hearsay Evidence
- § 36:9 —Use of Trial Evidence
- § 36:10 —Evidentiary Principles in Dangerous Offender Hearings
- § 36:11 —Fresh Evidence in Sentencing Proceedings
- § 36:12 —Relevance of How Defence Conducted
- § 36:13 Role of the Sentencing Judge in Adducing Evidence
- § 36:14 —Judicial Role in *Gladue* Evidentiary Requirements
- § 36:15 Presentence Reports
- § 36:15.50 —Taking Evidence of Anti-Black Racism into Account on Sentencing
- § 36:16 Victim Impact Statements
- § 36:17 Community Impact Statements
- § 36:18 Statements From the Offender at Sentencing

CHAPTER 37. FRESH EVIDENCE ON APPEAL

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 37:1 Role of the Modern Appellate Court
- § 37:2 The *Palmer* Test

II. FRESH EVIDENCE ON CONVICTION APPEALS

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 37:3 Generally

B. FACT-BASED FRESH EVIDENCE APPLICATIONS

- § 37:4 Introduction
- § 37:5 The Cogency Requirement
- § 37:6 The Due Diligence Requirement

C. FAIRNESS-BASED FRESH EVIDENCE APPLICATIONS

- § 37:7 Introduction
- § 37:8 Ineffective Assistance of Counsel
- § 37:9 Jury Deliberations

D. JURISDICTION-BASED FRESH EVIDENCE APPLICATIONS

- § 37:10 Generally

III. FRESH EVIDENCE ON SENTENCE APPEALS

- § 37:11 Generally

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Legislation

- Appendix A.1. Canada Evidence Act
- Appendix A.2. United States Federal Rules of Evidence
- Appendix B. Legal Literature

Table of Cases

Index