Index

ACCOMPLICES Competence and compellability of, § 20:13 Corroboration warning, § 34:9, § 34:18 ACCUSED Admissions by as hearsay exception, see HEARSAY RULE Appeals by see APPEALS Character of see CHARACTER EVIDENCE Compellability of see COMPETENCE AND COMPEL-LABILITY; SELF-INCRIMINA-TION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST Competence of see COMPETENCE AND COMPEL-LABILITY Confessions by see CONFESSIONS Court interpreter, right to see COURT INTERPRETERS Cross-examination of see CROSS-EXAMINATION Evidential burden on, § 27:9 to § 27:11 Failure to testify see ADVERSE INFERENCES Innocence see INNOCENCE Persuasive burden on, § 28:24 to § 28:33 Previous convictions of see PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS Prior consistent statements by see PRIOR CONSISTENT STATE-MENTS Statements to sureties, § 35:22

ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

See also EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE Bail hearings, at, § 35:1, § 35:16, § 35:20 to § 35:27 Circumstantial evidence see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE -Cont'd Confession see CONFESSIONS Confidential communications see PRIVILEGE Documentary evidence see DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE Exculpatory statements, § 11:26 Expert opinion evidence see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE Lay witness opinion evidence, § 12:2 Relevance and, § 4:7 Supreme Court of Canada (SCC) approach to see SUPREME COURT OF CAN-ADA, evidentiary reforms Testimony in prior proceedings, § 7:59 to § 7:64 ADMISSIONS By accused see HEARSAY RULE Formal see FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS Informal see FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS Remand, § 35:1 **ADVERSE INFERENCES**

Accused's failure to act, from, § 33:1 Accused's failure to testify, from, § 33:1 Accused' silence, from, § 33:1 Alibi defence, re failure to testify, § 33:1 late disclosure, § 33:1 Alternatives to generally, § 33:7 to § 33:10 alternative language for instructions, § 33:9 Crown's case, § 33:10 defence case, § 33:9 instruction as last resort, § 33:9

ADVERSE INFERENCES—Cont'd

Alternatives to-Cont'd issue preclusion, § 33:8 reasonable doubt instruction, § 33:10 removing defence from jury, § 33:9 Best evidence principle and, § 33:7 to § 33:10 Brown v. Dunn, rule in, § 33:4 Canada Evidence Act, s. 4(6) prohibition, § 33:1 Comments respecting by Crown and trial judge, § 33:1, § 33:9 Confession, failure to record or investigate, from, § 33:2, § 33:10 Criminal Code, s. 258(3), under, § 33:1 Dangers of, § 33:8 Defence witnesses, § 33:5 Exculpatory evidence, § 33:6 Failure to confront witness with contradictory evidence, § 33:4, § 33:9 Failure to preserve or investigate evidence, from Charter s. 7 rights and, § 33:2 confession, § 33:2 electronic evidence, history of, § 33:7 to § 33:10 Inadequate investigation, and, § 33:9, § 33:10 Jury instructions re failure to confront witness, § 33:4, § 33:9 failure to preserve or investigate evidence, § 33:2 missing witness, § 33:3, § 33:9 reasonable doubt, § 33:10 "special" instruction, § 33:4, § 33:9 Limited use of to assess credibility, § 33:1, § 33:9 Lyttle case, § 21:77, § 33:4, § 33:7 to § 33:10 application to cross-examination of defence witness, § 21:77 "good faith" requirement, § 21:77, § 33:7 to § 33:10 Mandatory inference, § 33:7 to § 33:10 Mental disorder defence, re refusal to be examined, § 33:1 Nature of, § 33:7 to § 33:10 Permissive, inference, § 33:3, § 33:7 to § 33:10

ADVERSE INFERENCES—Cont'd Prohibited generally, § 33:1 Cleghorn exception, § 33:1 Marcoux exception, § 33:1 Noble exception, § 33:1, § 33:9 Sweeney exception, § 33:1 Purpose of, § 33:7 to § 33:10 Reverse onus danger, § 33:8 Right to silence and, § 33:1 Sexual assault cases delayed disclosure, § 33:6 **ADVERSE WITNESS** Generally, § 21:46 to § 21:51 ALIBI Disbelief of see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE Disproof of, **§ 21:166** Failure of accused to give proper notice of, § 33:1 Failure of accused to testify in support of, § 33:1 **APPEALS** Conviction appeals, § 37:3 Fresh evidence, admission of fact-based applications, § 37:4 admissibility, § 37:2, § 37:11 cogency requirement, § 37:2, § 37:5, § 37:11 credibility, § 37:5 impact on verdict, § 37:5 relevance, § 37:5 due diligence requirement, § 37:2, § 37:6, § 37:11 fairness-based applications, § 37:7 ineffective assistance of counsel, § 37:8 jury deliberations, § 37:9 jurisdiction-based fresh evidence applications, § 37:2, § 37:10 Palmer test, § 37:2 Role of modern appellate court, § 37:1 Sentence appeals, § 37:11 ARREST Confessions on see CONFESSIONS

AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDINGS See REAL EVIDENCE

BAIL HEARINGS

Generally, § 35:1 Admissibility of evidence issues, § 35:1 Charter bail rights s. 11(e), § 35:1, § 35:5 Evidence on review, § 35:30 Evidentiary requirements for release (CC s. 518(1)), § 35:3 to § 35:19 constitutional requirements, § 35:5 deemed admissible evidence, § 35:16 "evidence," nature of (s. 518(1)(e)), § 35:4 jurisdictional limits of inquiry (s. 518(1)(a)), § 35:6 "other relevant evidence," § 35:7 bad character evidence, § 35:7 Gladue principles, § 35:7 plan for release, evidence of, § 35:7 "public confidence," § 35:7 public fear and concern, evidence of, § 35:7 s. 515(10)(c) analysis, importance of four factors, § 35:7 spousal or partner violence, § 35:7 pre-trial delay, § 35:17 nature of evidentiary inquiry contemplated by s. 525, § 35:17 pre-trial detention conditions, § 35:15 sworn evidence, § 35:4 wiretap evidence (CC s. 518(d.2)), § 35:19 Inadmissible evidence, § 35:20 to § 35:27 circumstances of offence (CC s. 515(1)(b)), § 35:21 prior acquittals, § 35:27 statements made by accused to sureties, § 35:22 Charter s. 7 principle against selfincrimination, § 35:22 irrelevance, collateral fact issues and policy concerns, § 35:22 questioning of surety as unfair use of court process, § 35:22 Onus of proof, § 35:2 Reasons, duty to give, § 35:28, § 35:29 bail hearing, reasons for judgment in, § 35:29 general principles, § 35:28 Remand admissions, § 35:1

Standard of proof, § 35:2

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

BANKING RECORDS Generally, § 24:22, § 24:23 **BURDEN OF PROOF** See EVIDENTIAL BURDEN; PERSUASIVE BURDEN **BUSINESS RECORDS** Generally, § 24:18 to § 24:21 CHARACTER EVIDENCE Generally, § 9:1 to § 9:5 Bad character see character; exclusionary rule, exceptions to; statutory exceptions to exclusionary rule Character defined, § 9:6 habit vs, § 9:9 relevance of, § 9:7 subjective, assessment of as, § 9:8 Complainant in sexual offences see SEXUAL OFFENCES Credibility, relevance to, § 9:2 Credibility and character, § 9:11 to § 9:34 Credibility vs. conduct, § 9:8 Crown, limitation on, § 9:3 Defined, § 9:9 Exclusionary rule, exceptions to, see also statutory exceptions to exclusionary rule accused puts character in issue, § 9:22 character of other suspects, § 9:22 conduct of defence, § 9:22 Scopelletti defences, § 9:22 administration of justice issue, § 9:13 conduct, proof of, § 9:10 credibility, § 9:11 to § 9:34 drug offences, § 9:13 inadequate police investigations, allegations re, § 9:13 incidental to proper cross-examination, § 9:25 lifestyle establishing involvement/ means/opportunity, § 9:13 motive, and evidence of intent, § 9:13 narrative, context and background, § 9:13 probative vs. prejudicial value, § 9:12 to § 9:25 prosecution's theory of liability, direct relevance, § 9:13

CHARACTER EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Exclusionary rule, exceptions to, see also statutory exceptions to exclusionary rule—Cont'd relevance to issue, § 9:13 state of mind/conduct of witness, § 9:13 Good character of accused, § 9:35 to § 9:46 admissibility rules, § 9:36 expert opinion evidence, § 9:42 relevance, § 9:43 limitation of, § 9:41 proof, manner of, § 9:39 accused, testimony of, § 9:40 extrinsic proof, § 9:39 reputation rule, § 9:39 putting character in issue, § 9:36 examples, § 9:38 rebuttal by prosecution, § 9:44 cross-examination by, § 9:45 extrinsic evidence, § 9:46 timing and mode, § 9:37 improper cross-examination, examples of, § 9:11 to § 9:34 issue in question, § 9:2 limiting and use jury instructions, § 9:55 to § 9:61 bad character of accused, § 9:56 character re conduct, § 9:60 co-accused, § 9:61 third party suspects, § 9:60 criminal record of accused. § 9:58 good character of accused, § 9:59 others, character of, § 9:47 to § 9:54 co-accused, § 9:54 credibility, to assess/attack. § 9:48 peaceable disposition, for establishing, § 9:52 relevant conduct, for establishing, § 9:50 suspects, other, § 9:53 veracity, reputation for, § 9:49 violent disposition, for establishing, § 9:51 proof, methods of, § 9:4 reform re, § 9:1 to § 9:5 Rowton rule, § 9:4

CHARACTER EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Good character of accused, § 9:35 to § 9:46—Cont'd timing and mode, § 9:37-Cont'd limiting and use jury instructions, § 9:55 to § 9:61—Cont'd statutory exceptions to exclusionary rule, § 9:26 to § 9:34 Canada Evidence Act, s. 12. § 9:29 CC s. 666, § 9:27 Corbett applications, § 9:29 examples, successes and failures, § 9:29 factors re weight of prejudicial effect, § 9:29 scope of examination prmitted, § 9:27 scope of provision, § 9:29 target of, § 9:5 types of, § 9:4 Sentencing considerations, § 36:4 **CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS** Bail rights, § 35:1, § 35:5 Confessions and see CONFESSIONS Court interpreter, right to, § 22:4. Effect on law of evidence, § 2:3 Exclusion of evidence under s 24. see EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE Fair trial, right to see TRIAL FAIRNESS Non-compellability of accused, § 15:2 Presumptions and see PRESUMPTIONS Privilege and, § 13:7, § 13:16, § 13:37 Rights and values, § 5:34 Right to privacy see PRIVACY, RIGHT TO Right to silence under see SILENCE, RIGHT TO Self-incrimination see SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVI-LEGE AGAINST

CHILD

Collateral fact rule, credibility prohibition, § 6:7 Competence of, § 20:6 Corroboration warning, § 34:5, § 34:21

CHILD—Cont'd Videotaped statements of, § 7:65 CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE Generally, § 31:1, § 31:35 Admissibility stage, § 31:27 After-the-fact conduct, § 31:36 Appeals, § 31:34 Association, § 31:37 Burden(s) of proof, § 31:20 Charge to jury generally, § § 31:3 to § 31:33 assistance, more, case for, § 31:33 Bayes theorem, re, § 31:11 discretion, judicial, § 31:31 specimen instructions, § 31:32 Common sense approach, § 31:16 Consciousness of guilt, § 31:36 Consciousness of innocence, § 31:36 Dangers competing inferences not considered, § 31:26 competing interpretations not considered, § 31:26 a contextual assessment, § 31:24 inference not grounded in evidence, § 31:23 inference not reasonable, § 31:25 primary facts are wrong, § 31:22 Defining, § 31:3 Deliberation process, § 31:20 Demeanour evidence, § 31:38 Direct evidence, distinguished from, § 31:2 Discreditable conduct, other, evidence of, § 31:42 DNA evidence — Adams litigation, § 31:11 after-the-fact conduct, § 31:36 inference vs. speculation, § 31:17 R. v. T, § 31:12 trace evidence, § 31:45 Documents, possession of, § 31:43 Evaluation cumulative, § 31:19 individual fact, § 31:19, § 31:20 recent possession, evidence of, § 31:43 Experiential approach, § 31:16 Habit evidence, § 31:39 Hodge's Case -American position, § 31:6

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE -Cont'd Hodge's Case ----Cont'd Australian position, § 31:6 British position, § 31:6 Cooper decision, § 31:6 Griffin and Harris decision, § 31:6 Lewin report, § 31:4 rule in, § 31:5 summary of case, § 31:4 survival of, § 31:6 Wills report, § 31:4 Ineligible, § 31:47 Inference competing. § 31:26 drawing, process of, § 31:6, § 31:17, § 31:18 to § 31:21 analogies for, § 31:18 to § 31:21 burden(s) of proof, § 31:20 cumulative evaluation preferred, § 31:19 Morin case, § 31:20 "proven/proved facts," § 31:20 strength of, § 31:21 ungrounded, § 31:23 unreasonable, § 31:25 vs. speculation, § 31:17 Inferential chain, § 31:21 Intermediate facts, § 31:20 Knowledge, specialized as proof of identity, § 31:46 Logic and, § 31:16 Mathematical approaches ---generally, § 31:8 Adams litigation, § 31:11 alternatives to, § 31:13 Bayes theorem, § 31:8 Cella case, § 31:10 Collins case, § 31:8 likelihood ratios, § 31:12 other examples, § 31:14 R. v. Nicholson, § 31:13 R. v. T, § 31:12 Means evidence, § 31:46 Motive evidence, § 31:40 Opportunity evidence, § 31:41 Physical characteristic as proof of identity, § 31:46 Possession, to prove, § 31:43 constructive possession, § 31:43

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

-Cont'd Possession, to prove, § 31:43-Cont'd permissive presumption, § 31:43 weight of evidence, § 31:43 Post-offence conduct, § 31:20, § 31:36 Preliminary inquiry committal, § 31:28 Presumption of innocence and, § 31:5, § 31:20, § 31:36, § 31:40 "Proven/proved facts," § 31:20 Recent possession, evidence of, § 31:43 Skill, specialized as proof of identity, § 31:46 Speculation, mere, § 31:17 Standard of proof Australian jurisprudence, § 31:20 Morin case, § 31:20 White case, § 31:20 Tools of the trade, § 31:44 Trace evidence, § 31:45 Translations, § 31:20 Verdict and directed verdict, § 31:28 final, arriving at, § 31:29 Voice identification evidence, § 31:20, § 32:30 to § 32:36

CO-ACCUSED

Character of, § 9:61 Competence and compellability of, § 15:3, § 20:13 Joint trial, cross-examination of, § 21:140 to § 21:142 Similar acts of, § 10:71

CO-CONSPIRATOR See HEARSAY RULE

COLLATERAL FACT RULE

Generally, § 6:1 Case-splitting prohibition and, § 6:6 fair trial issues and, § 6:6 test re, § 6:6 "Collateral fact," meaning of, § 6:1 Credibility prohibition and, § 6:7 child sexual assault cases, in, § 6:7 credibility as ultimate collateral issue, § 6:7 Cross-examination and, § 6:4 scope of, § 6:4 Curative proviso, application of, § 6:20

COLLATERAL FACT RULE—Cont'd

Essential issue and contradictory evidence, § 6:5 Exceptions to, § 6:8 generally, § 6:8 American, § 6:18 bias, § 6:9 corruption, § 6:9 general reputation for untruthfulness, § 6:12 good character evidence of accused, rebuttal of, § 6:16 mental disorder, medical evidence re, § 6:13 partiality, § 6:9 physical deficit of witness, medical evidence re, § 6:14 prior conviction, proof of, § 6:10 prior inconsistent statement, proof of, § 6:11 proven pattern of false allegations, § 6:15 Wigmore list re, § 6:17 witness interest, § 6:9 Flexible approach to, § 6:21 Judge's discretion re, § 6:3 appellate deference to, § 6:3 Liberal approach to rule, § 6:21 Prosecution rebuttal and, § 6:6 Surrebuttal, role of, § 6:19 Traditional rule, § 6:2 application of, § 6:2 exclusionary rule, as, § 6:2 policy rationale, § 6:2

COMMISSION EVIDENCE Generally, § 7:66 to § 7:71

COMPELLABILITY See COMPETENCE AND COMPEL-LABILITY

COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY See also SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIV-ILEGE AGAINST; SILENCE, RIGHT TO Compellability, § 20:12 to § 20:21 generally, § 20:12 to § 20:21

accused, of, § 20:13 complainants, of, § 20:17 corporate officers, § 20:18

COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd Compellability, § 20:12 to § 20:21 -Cont'd defined, § 20:1 history of, § 20:2 judges, of, § 20:19 jurors, of, § 20:20 lawyers, of, § 20:21 spouses, of, § 20:14 to § 20:16 marital communications, § 20:16 witness for defence, as, § 20:14 witness for prosecution, as, § 20:15 CEA, s. 4(2), § 20:15 Competence, § 20:3 to § 20:11 accused, of, § 20:3 children and witnesses under 14, of. § 20:6 presumption of competence, § 20:6 defined, § 20:1 history of, § 20:2 mental incapacity, § 20:7 to § 20:11 nature and scope of inquiry, § 20:8 ability to communicate evidence, § 20:10 promise to tell truth, § 20:11 relevance of capacity test of person under 14, § 20:6 understanding oath/affirmation, § 20:9 procedure for challenge, § 20:7 spouses, of, § 20:4, § 20:5 CEA s. 4(1) exception: witness for defence, § 20:5 CEA ss. 4(2) exception: witness for prosecution, § 20:5 general rule re, § 20:4 statutory exceptions re, § 20:5 Oath, § 20:22 Solemn affirmation, § 20:23 COMPLAINANT

Character evidence and see CHARACTER EVIDENCE Compellability of, § 20:17 Medical, psychiatric and counselling communications, § 13:40 Therapeutic records, production of see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CC. s. 278.2)

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

COMPUTER EVIDENCE Generally, § 24:24 to § 24:26 CONFESSIONS Aboriginal interrogations, § 8:29 Common law voluntary confessions rule, § 8:1 to § 8:7 Charter of Rights ---applications, use on, § 8:7 practical impact of, § 8:5 elements of, § 8:2 rationale for, § 8:3 rule in St. Lawrence, § 8:4 Expert evidence and false confessions, § 8:31 Jury instructions, § 8:32 Hodgson statements, § 8:32 mixed statements, § 8:32 Mr. Big, § 8:27 Person in authority, § 8:8 to § 8:11 legal test for, § 8:8 examples, § 8:10 onus, § 8:9 voir dire requirement, § 8:11 Record, sufficiency of, § 8:12, § 8:13 audiotaping, § 8:13 videotaping, § 8:13 weight or admissibility, § 8:12 Reid technique of interrogation, § 8:30 Voluntariness, § 8:14 to § 8:26 derived confessions rule, § 8:26 irrelevant factors, § 8:24 consular access, § 8:24 truth of statement, § 8:24 principled approach, § 8:14 relevant factors, § 8:15 operating mind, § 8:21 oppression, § 8:16 deprivation, § 8:16 police trickery, § 8:16 polygraph use, § 8:16 physical harm, § 8:15 police caution and right to silence, § 8:23 police trickery, § 8:22 promises, § 8:15 threats, § 8:15 Young persons, § 8:28

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATIONS See PRIVILEGE

CONFIRMATION See CORROBORATION **CONVICTIONS** See PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS **CORROBORATION** Accomplices, § 34:9, § 34:18 Appeals, § 34:39 to § 34:42 basis of, § 34:39 curative proviso of Code, § 34:42 defence failure to request warning, § 34:41 deference to trial judge, scope of, § 34:40 Bias, § 34:20 CC s. 274 requirements, § 34:35 Child witnesses, § 34:5, § 34:21 Common law, at, see also modern rule, issues re accomplices, modern rule re, § 34:9 Baskerville rule, § 34:8 history of, § 34:2 18th century rule re, § 1:5 Vetrovec, effect of, § 34:9 Contradictory statements, § 34:22 Criminal history, § 34:23 Crown, favourable witness relationship with, § 34:25 Defence evidence, need to corroborate, § 34:33 Disreputable/unsavoury witnesses, § 34:24 General rule re. § 34:1 Immunity agreements, § 34:25 Improper bolstering, § 34:34 Indicia of unreliability, list of, § 34:26 Jailhouse informants, § 34:26 Jury instructions, § 34:36 to § 34:38 content warning, discretionary nature of, § 34:37 general considerations, § 34:36 model instructions, § 34:38 Modern rule, issues re, § 34:10 to § 34:16 common sense, application of, § 34:11 confirmation, nature and scope, § 34:14 confirmatory evidence requisite qualities, § 34:16 types of, § 34:16 discretion to warn obligatory circumstances, § 34:12

CORROBORATION—Cont'd Modern rule, issues re, § 34:10 to § 34:16 -Cont'd discretion to warn-Cont'd scope of, § 34:10 independence from suspect's witness's testimony, **§ 34:13** judicial experience, application of, § 34:11 prejudice to accused's case, § 34:15 Multiple accused, § 34:32 Mutual corroboration, § 34:31 Perjurers, § 34:6, § 34:19 Psychiatric history, § 34:28 Resentencing, witness subject to, § 34:30 Statutorily required corroboration, § 34:3 to § 34:7 generally, § 34:3 abrogation initiatives, § 34:4 child witnesses, § 34:5 perjury, § 34:6 sexual offence cases, complainants in, § 34:4 treason, § 34:7 Suspect witnesses, categories of, § 34:17 Unsentenced witness, § 34:29 Vetrovec warning, § 34:9 to § 34:30 **COURT INTERPRETERS** Appeals and review, § 22:31 to § 22:34

establishing actual unreliability, § 22:33 failure to make timely objection re: interpretation, § 22:31 misinterpretation fallacy, § 22:32 remedy, § 22:34 Bilingual trier-of-fact, § 22:7 Constitutional framework. § 22:4. Forms of interpretation, § 22:2. Interpreted evidence admissibility of, § 22:6 credibility of, § 22:8 reliability of, § 22:15 to § 22:29 constitutional assurance of, § 22:15 to § 22:19 competence, precision and completeness, § 22:18 impartiality, § 22:19 minimum standards, § 22:15 qualified interpreter, § 22:16

COURT INTERPRETERS—Cont'd

Interpreted evidence ---Cont'd reliability of, § 22:15 to § 22:29 -Cont'd constitutional assurance of, § 22:15 to § 22:19—Cont'd requirements beyond bilingualism, § 22:17 impediments to securing and monitoring of, § 22:20 to § 22:28 continuity, lack of, § 22:26 fatigue of interpreter, § 22:25 frailties, generally, § 22:20 iudicial failure to control process. § 22:28 oath or affirmation, failure to administer, § 22:24 shortage of qualified interpreters, § 22:21 simultaneous vs. consecutive interpretation, § 22:23 source language interpretation, no record of, § 22:27 uncertified or unqualified interpreters, use of, § 22:22 working conditions of interpreter, § 22:25 qualification voir dire, § 22:29 Judicial instructions re, § 22:30 Means to make evidence intelligible, § 22:1. Need for, assessing, § 22:9 to § 22:14 adverse inference, § 22:13 in-trial notice of interpretation issues, § 22:14 limited proficiency in target language, § 22:10 standby interpreter model, § 22:12 threshold question, § 22:9 waiver, § 22:11 Party interpreter, right to, § 22:5 CREDIBILITY Collateral fact rule and

see COLLATERAL FACT RULE Cross-examination re see CROSS-EXAMINATION Interpreted evidence, of, § 22:8 Lay witness opinion of, § 12:10 Prior consistent statements see PRIOR CONSISTENT STATE-**MENTS**

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

CREDIBILITY—Cont'd SCC reforms re assessments, § 3:7 Unreasonable verdict and, § 37:5 CREDIBILITY ASSESSMENT Credibility, meaning, § 30:1 Methodology of assessment, § 30:2, § 30:3 common law approach, § 30:3 soft science, § 30:2 Particular concerns, § 30:4 to § 30:16 defence evidence, scrutiny standard, § 30:9 demeanour, § 30:4 disbelieved testimony, prior trial, § 30:12 evidence, scrutiny standard, § 30:9 interest in outcome, § 30:11 motive to lie, § 30:10 reasonable doubt application, § 28:9 to § 28:22, § 30:13 belief, focus on, § 30:13 disbelieved testimony use, § 30:13 social context, § 30:8 stereotypes, § 30:5 children, evidence, § 30:6 racialized witnesses, § 30:7 women, evidence, § 30:6 trial judge, personal opinion, § 30:15

CRIMINAL EVIDENCE, RULES OF

Generally, § 1:1 Boundaries of, § 1:11 CEA, provisions of, § 2:2 Charter, effect of, § 2:3 common law exclusionary rule, § 2:3 disclosure and production, § 2:3 evidence gathering, § 2:3 proof. burden of. § 2:3 self-incrimination, principle against, § 2:3 silence, right to, § 2:3 witnesses, examination of, § 2:3 Codification, § 1:14 Common law origins of, § 2:1 Ethical rules, § 2:4 "Evidence" defined, § 1:2 History of, § 1:3 common law origins, § 1:3 exclusionary rules, development of, § 1:3

CRIMINAL EVIDENCE, RULES OF -Cont'd History of. § 1:3-Cont'd 18th century common law rules, § 1:4 corroboration rule, § 1:5 voluntary confession rule, § 1:6 19th century common law rules, § 1:7 Judge-made, as, § 2:1 Judges normative and technical expertise of, § 2:1 reform by, § 2:1 trial judges, role of, § 1:13 Rationalist approach, § 1:12 Scholarship re generally, § 1:1 American, § 1:9 Bentham, Jeremy, § 1:8 Boyle, MacCrimmon and Martin, § 1:10 Canadian, § 1:10 Cross, Sir Rupert, § 1:8 Delisle, R.J., § 1:10 England, in, § 1:8 Gilbert, Lord Chief Baron, § 1:8 Greenleaf, Simon, § 1:9 MacRae, D.A., § 1:10 McCormick, Charles, § 1:9 McWilliams, P.K., § 1:10 Paciocco and Stuesser, § 1:10 Popple, A.E., § 1:10 SCC citations, § 2:4 Sopinka, Lederman and Bryant, § 1:10 Starkie, Thomas, § 1:8 Stephen, Sir James Fitzjames, § 1:8 Thayer, James, § 1:9 Wigmore, John Henry, § 1:9 Statutory background, § 2:2 Theoretical underpinnings, § 1:12

CRIMINAL RECORD

Character evidence and see CHARACTER EVIDENCE Cross-examination of witness on, § 21:105

CRIMINAL TRIAL

Adversarial process of, § **3:1** Fair process vs. search for truth, § **3:1** Purpose of, § **3:1** Qualified search for truth, as, § **3:1**

CRIMINAL TRIAL—Cont'd "Truth" defined, § 3:1 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** Accused, of, § 21:124 to § 21:139 ability to fabricate evidence having heard other witnesses testify, on, § 21:131 abusive, § 21:125 bad character evidence including prior convictions, § 21:139 Crown witness's veracity or motive to lie, § 21:126 defence theory of case, on, § 21:136 excluded or prima facie inadmissible evidence, § 21:133 exercise of right to silence, § 21:128 exception to general prohibition, § 21:129 failure to disclose pertinent facts to third parties, § 21:130 late disclosure of alibi, § 21:130 failure to put questions to Crown witnesses, § 21:134 opposition to admissibility of evidence, § 21:132 prior compelled statements, § 21:138 receipt or review of disclosure, § 21:127 view re matters of law, § 21:137 why other defence witnesses not called, § 21:135 Adverse witness, of, § 21:50, § 21:52 to § 21:57 Confrontation principle, § 21:112 to § 21:123 breach of, consequences of, § 21:117 to § 21:123 affording evidence more or less weight, § 21:121 possible future trends re, § 21:122 importance and timing of objection to breach, § 21:123 limiting offending party's closing submissions, § 21:119 precluding offending party from calling contradictory evidence, § 21:118 recalling witness, § 21:120 breach of, factors indicating, § 21:114 to § 21:116 significance of matter, § 21:115

CROSS-EXAMINATION—Cont'd

Confrontation principle, § 21:112 to § 21:123—Cont'd breach of, factors indicating, § 21:114 to § 21:116-Cont'd whether attack on witness's credibility plainly evident, § 21:116 justification for, § 21:113 Failure to conduct see confrontation principle, supra Hostile witness, of, § 21:42 to § 21:45 Impeaching credibility through, § 21:83 to § 21:111 no evidence elicited from witness in chief, § 21:111 partiality, § 21:110 prior inconsistent statements, § 21:84 to § 21:103 common law and CEA ss. 10 and 11, current relationship between, § 21:86 common law and origins of CEA ss. 10 and 11, § 21:85 cross-examination on, § 21:87 production of statement, § 21:93 proof of inconsistency not a precondition, § 21:88 statements made by counsel for witness, § 21:92 witness entitled to explain alleged inconsistency, § 21:91 written statement, format for putting to witness, § 21:90 written statement generally need not be shown to witness. § 21:89 Crown compliance with CEA ss. 10 and 11, § 21:99 exhibits, trial judge's discretion to make, § 21:102 general principles, § 21:84 limited use of, § 21:103 non-compliance with CEA ss. 10 and 11, § 21:100 notice requirement vs. rule in Brown v. Dunne, § 21:101 proving, § 21:94 extrinsic evidence, § 21:95 notice requirement, § 21:97 statement must be inconsistent, § 21:96

CROSS-EXAMINATION—Cont'd Impeaching credibility through, § 21:83 to § 21:111—Cont'd prior inconsistent statements, § 21:84 to § 21:103—Cont'd proving, § 21:94-Cont'd statement must be relative to subject matter of case, § 21:98 prior misconduct, § 21:104 to § 21:109 bad acts not resulting in conviction, § 21:109 previous convictions, § 21:105 discretion to prevent cross-examination on convictions falling within CEA s. 12, § 21:108 offences falling within CEA s. 12, § 21:106 scope of cross-examination under CEA s. 12, § 21:107 use of evidence by fact finder. § 21:107 Importance of, § 21:64 Incomplete, § 21:143 to § 21:149 accused, of, § 21:149 discretion of trial judge re remedy, § 21:145 available ameliorative remedies, § 21:148 extent of prejudice, § 21:147 reasons for incomplete cross-examination, § 21:146 when remedy should be addressed, § 21:144 Joint trials, at, § 21:140 to § 21:142 of co-accused's witness by accused, § 21:142 of Crown witnesses by multiple accused, § 21:141 Leading questions Generally permitted on, § 21:65 Limits on, § 21:67 to § 21:82 abusive, harassing, insulting, prolix or repetitive, § 21:69 Charter s. 13, Crown cross-examination of defence witness as to knowledge of, § 21:81 credibility of another witness, inviting comment on, § 21:79 good faith basis requirement, § 21:72 applicability to cross-examination of defence witnesses, § 21:77

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

CROSS-EXAMINATION—Cont'd

Limits on, § 21:67 to § 21:82-Cont'd good faith basis requirement, § 21:72 -Cont'd assessing, § 21:75 conflict in law: Bencardino and Howard decisions, § 21:73 counsel's knowledge that witness will deny suggestion, § 21:76 Crown counsel, application to, § 21:77 jury instruction where counsel's suggestion denied by witness, § 21:78 test in R. v. Lyttle, § 21:74 inadmissible evidence elicited, § 21:70 irrelevant matters, § 21:68 rape shield legislation, § 21:82 restricting witness's answers, § 21:71 unrelated matter, cross-examination on witness's testimony or allegation in, § 21:80 Rebuttal evidence see REPLY EVIDENCE Re-cross-examination, § 21:155 Reply evidence see REPLY EVIDENCE Rule in Browne v Dunn. see confrontation principle, supra Scope of not restricted to matters touched upon in chief, § 21:66

CROWN

Appeal by see APPEALS Compellability, of, § 20:21 Discretion to call witnesses, § 21:186 Evidential burden on, § 27:2 to § 27:8 Failure to call witnesses, § 33:3, § 33:10 Informer privilege, assertion of by see INFORMER PRIVILEGE Persuasive burden on, § 28:2 to § 28:23 Privilege see PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY AND RELATED PRIVILEGES DECLARATIONS AGAINST INTEREST

See HEARSAY RULE

DEFENCE

Cross-examination see CROSS-EXAMINATION Disclosure obligations re expert witness, § 12:42 to § 12:46 Evidence, approach to, § 3:8 Failure of accused to testify, § 33:1 Failure to call witnesses, § 33:3, § 33:9 Failure to retain expert, § 12:40 Putting character in issue, § 9:50 Spousal witness, § 20:5, § 20:14

DEFENCES

Alibi see ALIBI Full answer and defence see FULL ANSWER AND DEFENCE Not criminally responsible see MENTAL CONDITION Persuasive burden re, § 28:28 Raising, evidentiary burden on defence, § 27:9 to § 27:11

DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE

Generally, § 23:1 Assisting trier of fact, § 23:18 Charts, § 23:24 Computer simulations, § 23:21 Demonstrations, § 23:20 Experiments, § 23:20 Experiments, § 23:21 Other jury aids, § 23:25 Re-enactments, § 23:21 Schedules, § 23:24 Summaries, § 23:24 Views, § 23:23

DERIVATIVE USE IMMUNITY Generally, § 15:9

DISCLOSURE AND PRODUCTION Charter effect on, § 2:3 Complainant's therapeutic records see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CC s. 278.2) Confidential communications and see PRIVILEGE Expert opinion evidence and see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE

Prior consistent statements and, § 11:30 Third party records see THIRD PARTY RECORDS

DISCREDITABLE CONDUCT See SIMILAR FACT RULE

DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE Generally, § 24:1 Banking records, § 24:22, § 24:23 authentication of, § 24:23 scope of, § 24:22 Business records, § 24:18 to § 24:21 CEA, s. 30(3) and authentication of, § 24:20 CEA, s. 30(1) and inherent reliability, § 24:18 definition of, § 24:19 exclusions, § 24:21 Definition of "document," § 24:2 Electronic evidence, § 24:24 to § 24:26 generally, § 24:24 authentication and best evidence rule, § 24:25 secure electronic signatures regulation, § 24:26 Judicial documents, § 24:16, § 24:17 alternative means of proof, § 24:17 definition and authentication, § 24:16 Proving documents, § 24:5 to § 24:9 authentication, § 24:5 copies, § 24:8 documentary originals rule, § 24:6, § 24:7 historical context of, § 24:6 modern day relevance of, § 24:7 notice requirements, § 24:9 Public documents, § 24:10 to § 24:15 generally, § 24:10 government documents, § 24:11 to § 24:14 CEA, s. 25, relevance of, § 24:12 CEA, s. 24 and certified copies, § 24:11 CEA, s. 26 and government books, § 24:13 statutory instruments, § 24:15 Relevance of, § 24:3, § 24:4 document in possession doctrine, § 24:4 purpose of document, § 24:3

DYING DECLARATIONS Generally, § 7:56, § 7:57

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

EVIDENTIAL BURDEN

Accused, on, § 27:9 to § 27:11 affirmative defences, § 27:9 air of reality threshold, § 27:10 reverse onus defences, § 27:11 Burdens and standards of proof distinguished, § 27:1 Crown, on, § 27:2 to § 27:8 procedures for review, § 27:2 scope of review, § 27:3 circumstantial evidence, § 27:4 direct evidence, § 27:3 extradition hearings, § 27:8

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF See EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES See also CROSS-EXAMINATION; RE-**EXAMINATION** Generally, § 21:1 to § 21:4 evidence elicited by questions by counsel for each party, § 21:2 questions are not evidence, § 21:3 three phases of questioning, § 21:4 Cross-examination see CROSS-EXAMINATION Examination-in-chief, § 21:5 to § 21:63 leading questions, § 21:6 to § 21:10 general prohibition on. § 21:6 to § 21:10 exceptions to, § 21:8 rationale for. § 21:7 objections to by opposing counsel, § 21:9 weight of evidence, impact on, § 21:10 memory problems, § 21:11 to § 21:33 materials obtained by unconstitutional means, use of, § 21:33 past recollection recorded, admissibility of, § 21:23 entering record's contents into evidence, § 21:31 exhibit, as, § 21:31 reading aloud, § 21:31 evidence must be otherwise admissible, § 21:30 hearsay exception, as, § 21:24 jury instructions, § 21:32 memory must be exhausted, § 21:26

EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

-Cont'd Examination-in-chief, § 21:5 to § 21:63 -Cont'd memory problems, § 21:11 to § 21:33 -Cont'd past recollection recorded, admissibility of, § 21:23-Cont'd preconditions for. § 21:25 recollection must be recorded in reliable way, § 21:27 timing of making of record, § 21:28 witness must assert that record accurately represented knowledge and recollection at time, § 21:29 present memory revived vs. past recollection recorded, § 21:12 refreshing memory at trial, § 21:15 impeaching credibility, § 21:19 materials obtained by unconstitutional means, § 21:33 material that can be used, § 21:16 cross-examination on, § 21:20 disclosure of, § 21:20 jury instructions, § 21:22 material does not ordinarily become exhibit, § 21:21 material that cannot be used, § 21:17 procedure for, § 21:18 refreshing memory prior to trial, § 21:13 hypnosis, by, § 21:14 Jury, by, § 21:190 **Re-examination** see RE-EXAMINATION Trial judge, by, § 21:189 Unfavourable witnesses, § 21:34 to § 21:63 adverse witness (CEA s. 9(1)), § 21:46 to § 21:51 "adverse," definition of, § 21:49 calling evidence that contradicts own witness, § 21:48 cross-examination of, § 21:50 impeaching by general evidence of bad character, prohibition against, § 21:47

EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES -Cont'd Unfavourable witnesses. § 21:34 to § 21:63—Cont'd adverse witness (CEA s. 9(1)), § 21:46 to § 21:51-Cont'd prior inconsistent statement, proof of, § 21:49 procedure on application, § 21:51 discretion of trial judge to refuse leave under CEA s. 9, § 21:58 to § 21:60 CEA s. 9(1), § 21:60 CEA s. 9(2), § 21:59 hearsay rule, relationship between CEA s. 9 and principled exception to, § 21:63 hostile witness, § 21:42 to § 21:45 cross-examination of at common law, § 21:42 to § 21:45 future of common law exception re, § 21:45 "hostile," definition of and manner of proof, § 21:43 scope of cross-examination of, § 21:44 impeaching own witness, rule against, § 21:35 asking own witness to explain prior inconsistent statement, § 21:37 calling other evidence that contradicts own witness. § 21:38 eliciting own witness's criminal record, § 21:36 exceptions to rule against impeachment, § 21:39 to § 21:41 leave to cross-examine required, § 21:40 refreshing memory, § 21:41 prior inconsistent statement, cross-examination on (CEA s. 9(2)), § 21:52 to § 21:57 in front of jury, § 21:53 procedure on application, § 21:57 proving statement on voir dire and before jury, § 21:54 statement must be inconsistent, § 21:56 statement must be in specified format, § 21:55

EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

--Cont'd Unfavourable witnesses, § 21:34 to § 21:63-Cont'd prior inconsistent statement, permissible uses of, § 21:62 re-examination of, § 21:61

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

See also PREJUDICIAL EFFECT; TRIAL FAIRNESS Generally, § 19:1 to § 19:5 Appellate review, § 19:50 to § 19:56 decisions under s. 24(1), § 19:50 decisions under s. 24(2), § 19:51 applying section on appeal, § 19:55 standard of review, § 19:52 to § 19:54 decision to admit or exclude. § 19:54 factual circumstances, § 19:52 underlying breach, § 19:53 where appeal not necessary, § 19:56 Applying under s. 24, § 19:6 to § 19:14 onus of proof, § 19:12 procedural considerations, § 19:13 standing. § 19:6 state and state agents, § 19:7 total or partial, § 19:14 Charter effect on, § 2:3 Collateral fact rule, § 6:2 Common law discretion, § 19:4 Court of competent jurisdiction, § 19:8 administrative tribunals, § 19:11 criminal, § 19:9 provincial offences, § 19:10 Expert opinion evidence see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE Fresh evidence on appeal, § 37:1 to § 37:11 Hearsav see HEARSAY RULE History of, § 1:3, § 19:2, § 19:3 enactment of s. 24 of Charter, § 19:3 pre-Charter, common law approach, § 19:2 Onus of proof, § 19:12 Opinion evidence, § 12:1 Policies and principles, § 19:5

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE—Cont'd Prior consistent statements see PRIOR CONSISTENT STATE-MENTS Procedural considerations, § 19:13 Similar facts see SIMILAR FACT RULE s. 24(1) of Charter, § 19:15 to § 19:20 generally, § 19:15 Bjelland test, critique of, § 19:20 exclusion under, § 19:16 summary of Bjelland Approach, § 19:19 where admission would result in unfair trial, § 19:17 where necessary to protect integrity of justice system, § 19:18 s. 24(2) of Charter, § 19:21 to § 19:49 bringing administration of justice into disrepute, considerations, § 19:23 different types of evidence, application to, § 19:39 to § 19:43 bodily evidence, § 19:41 derivative evidence, § 19:43 non-bodily physical evidence, § 19:40 statements, § 19:42 history of, § 19:24 to § 19:28 Collins/Stillman test, § 19:25 to § 19:27 judicial and academic critique of, § 19:28 R. v. Grant, § 19:29, § 19:44 to § 19:49 discussion of s. 24(2) under, § 19:44 to § 19:49 conscriptive/non-conscriptive distinction, abandoning, § 19:45 discoverability, § 19:49 protection against self-incrimination. § 19:47 seriousness of offence, § 19:48 inquiry, three lines of, § § 19:15 to § 19:20 to § 19:38 balancing the factors, § 19:38 hierarchical analysis, comparison to, § 19:46 impact of breach on protected interests, § 19:36 seriousness of infringing state conduct, § 19:30

@ 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE—Cont'd

s. 24(2) of Charter, § 19:21 to § 19:49 —Cont'd
R. v. Grant, § 19:29, § 19:44 to § 19:49 —Cont'd inquiry, three lines of, § § 19:15 to § 19:20 to § 19:38—Cont'd society's interest in adjudication on merits, § 19:37 threshold question, § 19:52 Standard of review, § 19:52 to § 19:54 decision to admit or exclude, § 19:54 factual circumstances, § 19:52 underlying breach, § 19:53

EXCULPATORY STATEMENTS Post arrest, § 11:25

EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE

Admissibility of, confirmed, see also provisional admissibility criteria generally, § 12:14 Abbey/Lavallee debate, § 12:37 fact-based requirement, § 12:36 foundation criterion, § 12:36 hearsay issues, § 12:37, § 12:38 hypothetical question, § 12:39 Mohan admissibility criteria, § 12:14, § 12:36 second-hand information/sources, § 12:38 Appeals, § 12:59 to § 12:63 fresh evidence on appeal, § 12:60 scope of review re admissibility, § 12:59 Assessing need for, § 12:28 Australian guidelines re, § 12:14 Character evidence, § 9:2 Dangers of, § 12:14 Disclosure obligations, § 12:42 to § 12:46 CC s. 657.3(3)-(7) requirements, § 12:42 to § 12:46 defence, of, § 12:42 to § 12:46 instructions to expert, § 12:42 to § 12:46 pre-trial consultations, § 12:42 to § 12:46 prosecution, of, § 12:42 to § 12:46 Exclusion of, residual discretion re, § 12:32 to § 12:35 generally, § 12:32 to § 12:35 ability to respond, § 12:32 to § 12:35

EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE

-Cont'd Exclusion of, residual discretion re. § 12:32 to § 12:35—Cont'd alternatives to exclusion, § 12:32 to § 12:35 benefits of admission, § 12:32 to § 12:35 confusion, risk of, § 12:32 to § 12:35 costs, danger of, § 12:32 to § 12:35 inaccessibility, § 12:32 to § 12:35 overwhelming of triers, § 12:32 to § 12:35 threat to right to silence, § 12:32 to § 12:35 undue time consumption, § 12:32 to § 12:35 Expert report, contents of, § 12:41 Expert witness costs against prosecution expert, § 12:57 court-appointed, § 12:56 defence failure to retain, § 12:40 examination of, § 12:51 to § 12:54 cross-examination, § 12:51 to § 12:54 in-chief, § 12:51 to § 12:54 re-examination, § 12:51 to § 12:54 exclusion from courtroom, § 12:50 qualification, § 12:29, § 12:30 subpeona for, § 12:47 Handwriting, § 32:38 Judge's gatekeeper function, § 12:14 Judicial notice and, § 26:1, § 26:34 Jury instructions, § 12:58 burden of proof, § 12:61 de-specializing expert evidence, § 12:59 limiting instructions, § 12:63 review of expert testimony, § 12:62 weighing expert evidence, § 12:60 Number of experts, § 12:48 Probative value and, § 5:9 Proliferation of, § 12:14 Provisional admissibility criteria, § 12:15 to § 12:35 Bayes Rule Theorem, use of, § 12:16 exclusionary rule and, § 12:31 exclusion discretion, § 12:32 to § 12:35

EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE -Cont'd Provisional admissibility criteria. § 12:15 to § 12:35-Cont'd expertise qualification, § 12:29, § 12:30 logical relevance, § 12:16 Mohan factors, § 12:15 necessity, § 12:28 reliability factor see reliability factor, infra Qualification of expert, § 12:29, § 12:30 expertise qualification, § 12:29, § 12:30 impartiality/independence qualification, § 12:29, § 12:30 Reliability factor, § 12:15 generally, § 12:17 to § 12:27 additional factors re, § 12:17 to § 12:27 behavioural/social science, § 12:17 to § 12:27 error rate/existence of standards, § 12:17 to § 12:27 general acceptance, § 12:17 to § 12:27 J.(J.L.)/Daubert approach, § 12:17 to § 12:27 mental health professionals, § 12:17 to § 12:27 novel science, § 12:17 to § 12:27 peer review/publication, § 12:17 to § 12:27 reliability inquiry, § 12:17 to § 12:27 scientific opinion process, § 12:17 to § 12:27 testability, § 12:17 to § 12:27 Reply evidence, § 12:55 Sentencing hearing, § 36:2 Test re admissibility, § 12:14 U.s. Federal Rules of Evidence re, § 12:14, § 12:16, § 12:32 to § 12:35 U.s. position re, § 12:14 Voice identification, re, § 32:31 Voir dire determination of admissibility, § 12:49 EXPERT WITNESS See also EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE Cross-examination of, § 12:51 to § 12:54 False confessions, § 8:31 **EXTRADITION HEARINGS**

Evidential burden and, § 27:8

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

EXTRADITION HEARINGS—Cont'd Hearsay rule and, § 7:4 Reliability of evidence and, § 5:15 FAILURE TO TESTIFY Generally see ADVERSE INFERENCES Circumstantial evidence, ineligible, § 31:47 Self-incrimination and see SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVI-LEGE AGAINST **FAIRNESS** See TRIAL FAIRNESS FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS Generally, § 25:1 Conclusivity of, § 25:3 Distinction between, § 25:3 Judicial admissions, § 25:3 Jury instructions re, § 7:126, § 25:9 Law, admissions of, § 25:8 Medium for factual admission, § 25:2 Procedural issues re, § 25:5 Statute, admissions by, § 25:4 Survivorship of, § 25:7 Withdrawal of, § 25:6 **FULL ANSWER AND DEFENCE** Complainant's therapeutic records see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CC s. 278.2) Silence, right to see SILENCE, RIGHT TO Solicitor-client privilege vs., § 13:13, § 13:16 **GUILT** Consciousness of see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE Third party, evidence of, § 31:41

GUILTY PLEA See FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS

HEARSAY RULE Generally, § 7:1 to § 7:4 Admissions see categorical exceptions Age, § 7:152

MCWILLIAMS' CANADIAN CRIMINAL EVIDENCE, 5TH EDITION

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd Analyzing hearsay, § 7:23 to § 7:30 methodology see methodology principle vs. pragmatism, § 7:23 Categorical exceptions generally, § 7:55 admissions, § 7:120 to § 7:126 generally, § 7:120 adoption of statement by others, § 7:124 agents, statements by, § 7:125 basis of knowledge, § 7:121 completeness of statement, § 7:123 context. § 7:123 jury instruction, § 7:126 operating mind, § 7:122 vicarious statements, § 7:125 co-conspirators, § 7:127 to § 7:142 admissions, § 7:127 to § 7:132 agency, § 7:127 to § 7:132 Carter process, § 7:137, § 7:138 to § 7:141 co-venturers vs., § 7:138 "failsafe" exclusion, § 7:139 functional approach, § 7:127 to § 7:132 jury direction, § 7:137 non-hearsay uses, § 7:139 operation of rule, § 7:133 to § 7:136, § 7:139 proof of conspiracy, § 7:134 proof of involvement, § 7:135 three-stage analysis, § 7:133 use of acts/declarations "in furtherance," § 7:136 practical considerations, § 7:132 principled approach analysis, § 7:142 res gestae, § 7:127 to § 7:132 scope of rule re, § 7:127 to § 7:132 common enterprise rule see co-conspirators declarations made outside present proceedings, § 7:87 to § 7:103 past recollections, § § 7:55 to § 7:103 post-Starr analysis, § 7:102 recorded past recollections, § 21:23

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd Categorical exceptions-Cont'd declarations made outside present proceedings, § 7:87 to § 7:103 -Cont'd past recollections, § § 7:55 to § 7:103—Cont'd revived past recollections, § 7:103, § 21:11 to § 21:33 prior inconsistent statements, § 7:88 to § 7:99 application of K.G.B. exception, § 7:99 debate re, § 7:88 K.G.B. statements exception, § 7:89 "orthodox rule," § 7:88 preconditions to admissibility, § 7:90 prior statements, generally, § 7:87 dying declarations, § 7:56, § 7:57 assessment of rule re, § 7:57 common law rule re, § 7:56 excited/spontaneous statements, § 7:59 to § 7:64 accused making declaration, § 7:63 contemporaneity, § 7:60 duration of event, § 7:61 limitations on relaxed rule, § 7:59 to § 7:64 overpowering nature of event, § 7:65 preconditions to admission, § 7:59 to § 7:64 principled approach and, § 7:64 historical/ancient events, § 7:143 perception/emotion/intent, statements re current, § 7:66 to § 7:71 bodily sensations, § 7:70 present intention, § 7:71 state of mind, § 7:67 prior testimony see testimony given not in presence of trier of fact records, § 7:72 to § 7:86 business records, § 7:73 to § 7:86 Canada Evidence Act rules, § 7:76 common law re, § 7:73 oral statements made in course of business, § 7:75 principled analysis re, § 7:85 statutory provisions, § 7:76

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd Categorical exceptions-Cont'd records, § 7:72 to § 7:86-Cont'd business records, § 7:73 to § 7:86 -Cont'd use of, § 7:86 public documents, § 7:72 statements made pursuant to duty see business records res gestae, § 7:58 to § 7:71 generally, § 7:58 conduct see verbal acts excited/spontaneous statements see excited/spontaneous statements perception/emotion/intent see perception/emotion/intent, statements re current statements by accused see admissions testimony given not in presence of trier of fact, § 7:104 to § 7:119 CC s. 715 provision, § 7:105 discretion element, § 7:110 instruction to jury, § 7:111 necessity requirement, § 7:108 preconditions to admissibility, § 7:106 to § 7:111 reliability requirement, § 7:109 testimony, types of, § 7:107 Co-conspirators see categorical exceptions Commission evidence, § 7:118 depositions, § 7:119 videotaped statement of children/ vulnerable witnesses (CC ss. 715.1, 715.2), § 7:112 to § 7:117 preconditions to admission, § 7:113 purpose of provisions, § 7:112 verbal acts, § 7:65 Declarations against interest, § 7:145 to § 7:150 pecuniary interest, § 7:145, § 7:146 development and operation of exception, § 7:145 post-Starr analysis, § 7:146 penal interest, § 7:147 to § 7:150 dead declarant, where, § 7:149

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd Declarations against interest, § 7:145 to § 7:150—Cont'd penal interest, § 7:147 to § 7:150 -Cont'd English cases, § 7:147 modern Canadian approach, § 7:148 post-Starr, § 7:150 Definition of hearsay, § 7:9 Dying declarations see categorical exceptions Exceptions to see categorical exceptions Expert opinion evidence and, § 7:144 Extradition proceedings and, § 7:4 Fair trial considerations, § 7:52 Family history, § 7:153 General principles necessity see necessity principle reliability see reliability principle Historical/ancient events, § 7:143 Jury instructions, § 7:53 Marriage, § 7:153 Methodology, § 7:24 categorical exception inability to find, where, § 7:28 modifying, § 7:26 searching for, § 7:25 evidence of necessity and reliability, § 7:28 fail-safe provision, § 7:27 pigeon holes, no new, § 7:28 "rare case" approach, § 7:27 voir dire, procedure on, § 7:28 Necessity principle, § 7:31 to § 7:44 children. § 7:38 co-accused, § 7:37 contempt, § 7:40 cost/benefit analysis, § 7:43 deceased declarant, § 7:32 illness, § 7:36 incapacity, § 7:35 incompetence, § 7:34 inconvenience of producing declarant, § 7:43 memory, lack of, § 7:39 mental disability, § 7:38

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

MCWILLIAMS' CANADIAN CRIMINAL EVIDENCE, 5TH EDITION

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd

Necessity principle, § 7:31 to § 7:44 -Cont'd multiple hearsay statements, use of, § 7:42 out-of-court evidence as superior evidence, § 7:41 recanted prior statement, § 7:39 refusal to testify, § 7:40 unavailability of witness, § 7:33 Origins of, § 7:5 to § 7:8 Past recollections see categorical exceptions, declarations made outside present proceedings Pedigree, § 7:153 Preliminary inquiries, at, § 7:2 Prior inconsistent statements see categorical exceptions, declarations made outside present proceedings Public rights, § 7:155 Reasons for, § 7:5 to § 7:8 jury distrust, § 7:7 reliability, inability to test, § 7:6 trial fairness, § 7:8 Reasons for admitting/excluding evidence, § 7:54 Records see categorical exceptions Reliability principle, § 7:45 to § 7:51 corroborative evidence, § 7:51 factors, § 7:46 framework for assessing reliability, § 7:45 memory, § 7:46 narration. § 7:46 perception, § 7:46 sincerity, § 7:46 Res gestae see categorical exceptions Scope of, § 7:9 to § 7:22 conduct intended to be communicative, § 7:17 functional approach to identifying hearsay, § 7:10 cross-examination, absence of contemporaneous opportunity re, § 7:12 statement adduced for truth of content, § 7:11 "implied assertions" problem, § 7:14

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd Scope of, § 7:9 to § 7:22—Cont'd implied vs. unintended assertions. § 7:14 non-assertive conduct, § 7:15 non-hearsay uses of evidence see original evidence situations original evidence situations, § 7:18 contract/conspiracy/consent, § 7:20 investigative hearsay, § 7:22 knowledge, § 7:19 narrative, § 7:21 reluctance to define hearsay, § 7:9 self-identification, § 7:154 testimony, prior see categorical exceptions videotaped statements see categorical exceptions Sentencing hearings, at, § 7:3 **HOSTILE WITNESS** Generally, § 21:42 to § 21:45 **IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE** Generally, § 32:1 Admissibility, § 32:3 to § 32:9 generally, § 32:3 classification debate, hearsay or not, § 32:4 current law, § 32:5 Appellate review of, § 32:42 to § 32:47 errors of law, § 32:43 factors to consider, § 32:46 standard of review under s. 686(1)(a)(i), § 32:45 sufficiency of charge, § 32:43 factors to consider, § 32:44 unreasonableness under s. 686, § 32:44 factors to consider. § 32:44 Cognitive science, lessons of, § 32:11 to § 32:23 generally, § 32:11 memory, § 32:12 system variables, factors affecting reliability, § 32:13 blind administration, § 32:14 composites, § 32:18 cross-racial identifications, § 32:20 estimator variables, § 32:19 feedback, § 32:17 line-up construction, § 32:16

IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE

-Cont'd Cognitive science, lessons of, § 32:11 to § 32:23—Cont'd system variables, factors affecting reliability, § 32:13-Cont'd pre-identification instructions, § 32:15 show-ups, § 32:18 stress, § 32:21 successive arrays, § 32:18 witness attention, § 32:22 Defined, § 32:2 Discretion to exclude, § 32:25 to § 32:28 Canada, § 32:27 probative value/prejudicial effect assessment, § 32:25 to § 32:28 United States, § 32:26 Exculpatory evidence, presence of, § 32:8 Expert evidence, § 32:24 handwriting, § 32:38 voice identification, § 32:31 Eyewitness identity opinion assessment of, § 32:10 to § 32:23 defined, § 32:2 Handwriting, § 32:37 to § 32:41 analytical approach, § 32:41 assessment of, § 32:40 by the trier, § 32:39 expert evidence, § 32:38 Judicially developed factors, § 32:10 Jury instructions, § 32:29 Mezzo/Buric rule and, § 5:12 Out of court identifications re-consideration of prior, § 32:6 use of, § 32:4 Recognition evidence, § 32:9 Resemblance evidence, Chartier rule, § 32:7 Voice identification, § 32:30 to § 32:36 assessment of, § 32:33 Australia, in, § 32:35 cognitive psychology, § 32:36 expert evidence tendered to prove, § 32:31 general principles, § 32:30 jury instructions, § 32:34 lay opinion evidence, § 32:32 United States, in, § 32:35

INFERENCES

See ADVERSE INFERENCES; CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

INFORMER PRIVILEGE

Described, § 14:28 to § 14:34 Importance of, § 14:28 to § 14:34 Innocence at stake exception to, § 13:16, § 14:30 Invocation and waiver of, § 14:28 Scope of, § 14:29

INNOCENCE

Consciousness of, § 11:28, § 31:36 Innocence at stake, § 13:16 Presumption of see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE; PERSUASIVE BURDEN; PRESUMPTIONS

INTERMEDIARIES

Generally, § 22:35 Adoption of, in Canada, § 22:39 External intermediary, § 22:37 role of in English model, § 22:38 Jury charge, § 22:41 Process issues, § 22:40 Trial judge as intermediary, § 22:36 authority to set communication ground rules, § 22:36

ISSUE ESTOPPEL

Abuse of process, relationship with, § 18:9 Burden of proof, § 18:8 Definition, § 18:1 Onus, § 18:8 Origin, § 18:1 Prerequisites, § 18:2 earlier decision final, § 18:4 issue previously decided, § 18:3 mutuality, § 18:5 Procedural issues, § 18:7 Similar act evidence, and, § 18:6 Special pleas, relationship with, § 18:9

ISSUE IN QUESTION Identification of, § 5:8 Similar fact probative value analysis, § 10:68

JUDGES

Competency and compellability, § 20:19

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

JUDGES—Cont'd Criminal evidence rules, as authors of, **§ 2:1** Discretion re collateral fact rule, § 6:3 examintion of witnesses by, § 21:189 re-examination, § 21:152 reply evidence, § 21:159 subpeona for expert witness, issuance of, § 12:47 Expert opinion evidence, function re, § 12:14 Failure to call witnesses, comment by defence, § 33:3 Informer privilege, assertion of see INFORMER PRIVILEGE Jury instruction by --generally see JURY INSTRUCTION duty see APPEALS Power to call witnesses, § 21:187 Role of trial judge, § 1:13 Sentencing role, § 36:13 Unfavourable witnesses, re, § 21:58 to § 21:60 JUDICIAL NOTICE Generally, § 26:1 Authoritative sources, § 26:12 Business/commerce, of, § 26:17 Common knowledge, § 26:3, § 26:10 Common sense, § 26:3 Conclusivity of fact judicially noticed, § 26:15 Contextual facts, § 26:33 to § 26:38 generally, § 26:33 contextual law vs., § 26:38 directions to jurors re, § 26:38 expert evidence and, § 26:34 Hamilton/Mason cases, § 26:37 judicial activism and, § 26:36 legislative vs. adjudicative facts, § 26:33 nature of proceeding and, § 26:35 notice obligation and, § 26:36 sentencing hearing, § 26:35 social framework analysis, § 26:34 Crime, of, § 26:18 Custom, of, § 26:19 Definition of, § 26:1

JUDICIAL NOTICE—Cont'd Drugs, of, § 26:20 Examples, § 26:16 Experience, § 26:3 Expert evidence vs., § 26:1 Express notice of facts, § 26:7 to § 26:32 common law concept of, § 26:9 scope of, § 26:7 Geography, of, § 26:21 Historical facts, of, § 26:22 Human behaviour, of, § 26:23 Indisputable sources option, § 26:12 Informal notice of facts, § 26:2 to § 26:6 judges and, § 26:5 jurors and, § 26:4 Judicial role, § 26:13 Jury charging, § 26:32 directions to, § 26:38 informal notice of facts, § 26:8 Law, of, § 26:39 to § 26:41 common law, § 26:39 domestic legislation, § 26:40 foreign law, § 26:41 Legal community facts, of, § 26:24 Miscarriage, risk of, § 26:6 Miscellaneous facts, of, § 26:25 Notice, § 26:14, § 26:36 Notoriety/indisputable approach, § 26:10, § 26:11 character of notoriety, § 26:10 indisputability element, § 26:11 Personal knowledge prohibition, § 26:2 Physiology, of, § 26:27 Publicity, of, § 26:28 Racism, of, § 26:30 Rejection, examples of, § 26:31 Science, physical/natural, § 26:26 Social context theory see contextual facts, supra Statute-authorized, § 26:8 Technology, of, § 26:30

JURY

Compellability of jurors, **§ 20:20** Distrust for as rationale for hearsay rule, **§ 7:7** Examination of witnesses by, **§ 21:190** Exclusion of jury deliberation, **§ 14:36 to § 14:41**

JURY-Cont'd Failure of accused to testify, adverse conclusions by, § 33:1 Judicial notice and see JUDICIAL NOTICE Prejudice and jury's emotions, § 5:18 Real evidence, delivery to see REAL EVIDENCE Undue evidence given by, § 5:1 Unreasonable verdict by, § 37:9 JURY INSTRUCTION Admissions see FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS Adverse inferences, re see ADVERSE INFERENCES Character evidence see CHARACTER EVIDENCE, limiting and use jury instructions Circumstantial evidence generally, § § 31:3 to § 31:33 assistance, more, case for, § 31:33 Bayes theorem, re, § 31:11 discretion, judicial, § 31:31 specimen instructions, § 31:32 Co-accused, similar acts of, § 10:72 Co-conspirators, rule re, § § 7:55 to § 7:103 Comment on failure of accused to testify, § 8:32, § 15:4 Comment on failure to call witness. § 33:3, § 33:9 Confession, § 8:32 Corroboration warning see CORROBORATION Court interpreter, re, § 22:30 Expert opinion evidence see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE Hodge's case, rule in, § 31:5 Identification evidence, re, § 32:29 voice, re, § 32:34 Lay witness opinion evidence, § 12:13 Material used to refresh witness's memory, § 21:22 Reasonable doubt, § 28:4 to § 28:6 Similar facts see SIMILAR FACT RULE Testimony in prior proceedings, § 7:63

@ 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

JUSTICE, RIGHT TO

See TRIAL FAIRNESS

LAWYERS

Competency and compellability, § 20:21 Solicitor-client privilege see SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVI-LEGE

LEADING QUESTIONS See CROSS-EXAMINATION; EXAMI-

NATION OF WITNESSES; RE-EXAMINATION

MEMORY

Refreshing Generally see EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES Reliability, **§ 7:6**

MENTAL CONDITION

Lay opinion witness re mental condition, § 12:6 Mental disorder, medical evidence re, § 6:13 Mental health professionals, § 12:17 to § 12:27 Mental incapacity and competence, § 20:7 to § 20:11 Operating mind, § 8:21 MR. BIG CONFESSIONS See CONFESSIONS OATH-HELPING

See PRIOR CONSISTENT STATE-MENTS

OATHS Generally, § 20:22

Understanding, § 20:22

ONUS OF PROOF See EVIDENTIAL BURDEN;

PERSUASIVE BURDEN

OPINION EVIDENCE

Exclusionary rule, § 12:1 Expert see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE Historical perspective, § 12:1 Lay witness opinion evidence, § 12:2 to § 12:13 admissibility rules, § 12:2 age, re, § 12:7 credibility of another witness, § 12:10

OPINION EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Lay witness opinion evidence, § 12:2 to § 12:13—Cont'd handwriting, re, § 12:4 historical perspective, § 12:2 impairment, re, § 12:5 impermissible, § 12:12 jury instructions re, § 12:13 mental, physical emotional condition, re, § 12:6 modern statement re, § 12:2 persons, places things, identity of, § 12:3 physical properties, re, § 12:9 shoeprints, re, § 12:8 speed, distance, etc., re, § 12:9 subject matter of, § 12:3 to § 12:11 substance intake, re, § 12:5 voice identification, re, § 32:32 weather, re. § 12:11 "Opinion" defined, § 12:1 Opinion vs. fact, § 12:1, § 12:2

See CONFESSIONS PERSON IN AUTHORITY

OPPRESSION

See CONFESSIONS

PERSUASIVE BURDEN Generally, § 28:1 Balance of probabilities, § 28:24 to § 28:33 generally, § 28:24 Crown's case, application to, § 28:27 defences, § 28:28 automatism, § 28:30 due diligence, § 28:31 entrapment, § 28:29 exceptions, exemptions, excuses, § 28:32 extreme intoxication, § 28:30 mental disorder, § 28:30 officially induced error, § 28:29 meaning of, § 28:26 policy considerations, § 28:25 Preliminary findings of fact, § 28:33 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, § 28:2 to § 28:23 adversarial context, § 28:2 circumstantial evidence, § 28:7, § 31:4 to § 31:6

PERSUASIVE BURDEN—Cont'd Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, § 28:2 to § 28:23—Cont'd constitutional imperative, § 28:3 credibility, assessment of, § 28:9 to § 28:22 W.(D.), lower court application of, § 28:13 "acceptance" and "rejection" of evidence, § 28:19 additional instruction: acquittal follows if unable to decide whom to believe, § 28:14 Alberta Court of Appeal modification of (2019), § 28:21 application to judge alone trials, § 28:12 comparing evidence of accused with that of complainant, § 28:20 consequence of belief in both accused and complainant, § 28:18 corroboration and sexual assault cases, § 28:22 improper isolation of accused's testimony, § 28:16 leaping from belief in Crown witness to guilt, § 28:17 process recommendation: order of assessment of evidence, § 28:15 W.D's Supreme Court progeny, § 28:13 W.(D.) and s.(W.D.), § 28:9 application to assessments of objective liability, § 28:11 application to defence evidence, § 28:10 application to reverse onus defences, § 28:11 individual items of evidence, § 28:8 jury charge on, § 28:4 to § 28:6 R. v. Lifchus, § 28:4 R. v. Starr, § 28:5 substantial compliance, § 28:6 meaning of, § 28:4 to § 28:6 "might reasonably be true" test, avoiding, § 28:23 presumption of innocence, § 28:3

POLICE

Interrogation by on arrest see CONFESSIONS Investigative techniques, § 14:35 Solicitor-client privilege between Crown and, § 13:10

POLICE INFORMER PRIVILEGE

See INFORMER PRIVILEGE

POSSESSION

Generally see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE Documents, of, § 24:4

PREJUDICIAL EFFECT See also HEARSAY RULE Judicial discretion to exclude relevant evidence —

historical roots, § 5:2 Wray formula, § 5:2 Prior consistent statements, § 11:9 to § 11:13, § 11:26 Seaboyer case, § 5:2 to § 5:30 balancing approach, § 5:2 to § 5:30 defence evidence, principled approach to, § 5:4 jury instructions, § 5:5 prior sexual history and, § 5:6 cumulative evidence, § 5:30 prejudice, meaning of, § 5:16 to § 5:29 creating distracting side issue, § 5:18 distorting truth-seeking, § 5:18 fairness to witness, § 5:27 limiting instructions, § 5:25 moral prejudice, § 5:17 reasoning prejudice, § 5:18 undue consumption of time, § 5:18 unduly arousing jury's emotions, § 5:18 unfair surprise, § 5:28 usurping jury function, § 5:18 probative value, meaning of, § 5:7 to § 5:15 expert opinion evidence and, § 5:9 "issue in question," identification of, § 5:8 reliability of evidence, § 5:10 extradition cases, § 5:15 identification cases, § 5:12 Mezzo/Buric rule, § 5:11

PREJUDICIAL EFFECT—Cont'd

Seaboyer case, § 5:2 to § 5:30—Cont'd probative value, meaning of, § 5:7 to § 5:15—Cont'd reliability of evidence, § 5:10 —Cont'd retreat from Mezzo/Buric rule, § 5:13 R. v. Hart decision, § 5:14 strength of inference, § 5:9 Sweitzer formulation, § 5:3 threshold test, § 5:3 Similar facts and see SIMILAR FACT RULE

PRELIMINARY INQUIRY

Evidential burden and, § 27:2 Hearsay rule and, § 7:2

PRESUMPTIONS

Generally, § 29:1 to § 29:13 Analytical checklist, § 29:24 Case law analysis, § 29:14 to § 29:23 Defined not true, § 29:4 "irrebuttable presumption of law," § 29:6 permissive inferences, § 29:5 "presumptions of fact," § 29:5 rule of substantive law, § 29:6 true, usual structure of, § 29:7 presumptions abolished by Bill C-51, § 29:9 with basic and presumed facts. § 29:10 without basic facts, § 29:8, § 29:16 Evidentiary burden, § 29:10, § 29:11, § 29:18 Free and democratic society, justifying in, § 29:19 minimal impairment, § 29:22 pressing and substantial objective, § 29:20 proportionality, § 29:23 rational connection, § 29:21 Innocence, of, § 28:3, § 29:8 see also mandatory, reverse onus, infra circumstantial evidence and see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVI-DENCE Key concepts, § 29:3

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

PRESUMPTIONS—Cont'd

Mandatory evidentiary burden, § 29:18 with and without basic facts, § 29:15 Recent possession, re, § 31:43 Reverse onus, § 29:10, § 29:12, § 29:14, § 29:15, § 29:19 reverse onuses abolished by Bill C-51, § 29:13 Sanity, § 29:8, § 29:16 Standards of proof, § 29:14 Strict liability offences, § 29:17 Terminology, § 29:2 Voluntariness, § 29:16

PRETRIAL DETENTION Generally, § 35:15, § 35:17

PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS Generally, § 6:10, § 9:58, § 21:105, § 21:108

PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS Generally, § 11:1 Accused, testimony of, § 11:3 Admission of, see also exceptions to exclusionary rule absence of instruction, when fatal, § 11:33 absence of instruction, when not fatal, § 11:34 limiting instructions requirement, § 11:32 to § 11:34 Declarant, testimony of, § 11:3 Exceptions to exclusionary rule, § 7:57, § 11:14 to § 11:31 disclosure narrative, § 11:30 exculpatory post-arrest statement, § 11:25 admissibility of contents, § 11:26 consciousness of innocence, § 11:28 fact made, § 11:25 probative value vs. prejudicial effect, § 11:26 recent fabrication, § 11:26 tailoring of evidence, § 11:26 recent complaint, § 11:21 to § 11:23 CC provision re, § 11:23 common law approach, § 11:22 human nature assumption, § 11:21 to § 11:23 summary of principles re, § 11:23

PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS ---Cont'd

Exceptions to exclusionary rule, § 7:57. § 11:14 to § 11:31-Cont'd recent fabrication, § 11:15 to § 11:19 invocation of, § 11:15 to § 11:19 "recent," meaning of, § 11:16 recent complaint vs., § 11:18 summary of application, § 11:19 triggering of exception, § 11:17 res gestae statements, § 11:29 statements/conduct of accused not tendered by Crown, § 11:24 to § 11:28 Exclusionary rule discussed, § 11:1 Fabrication principle, § 11:4 Hearsay principle, § 11:3 Irrelevance principle, § 11:2 Oath-helping, rule against, § 11:6 scope of prohibition, § 11:7 Pigeonhole approach, § 11:10 Principled approach to, § 11:9 to § 11:13 fairness, § 11:13 probative vs. prejudicial balance, § 11:9 to § 11:13 rational decision-making, § 11:11 simplicity, § 11:10 truth-finding, enhancement of, § 11:12 Principled reasons for exclusion. § 11:2 to § 11:8 Purposes of exclusion, § 11:1, § 11:2 to § 11:8 Self-serving evidence, as, § 11:4 Trial efficiency principle, § 11:5

PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENT

Generally see CROSS-EXAMINATION; EXAM-INATION OF WITNESSES Proof of, **§ 6:11**

PRIVACY, RIGHT TO

Complainant's therapeutic records in sex assault cases see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CCs. 278.2) Confidential communications and see PRIVILEGE Rape shield provisions see SEXUAL OFFENCES, prior sexual history evidence

PRIVACY, RIGHT TO—Cont'd Third party records, disclosure of see THIRD PARTY RECORDS PRIVILEGE Case-by-case, § 13:4, § 13:36 to § 13:44 application to various settings, § 13:38 criteria and process for establishing. § 13:37 journalist-informant communications, § 13:41 medical, psychiatric and counselling communications. § 13:40 nature and rationale, § 13:36 other claims, § 13:44 religious communications, § 13:39 Charter and, § 13:7, § 13:16, § 13:37 Class privileges, § 13:4 Competency and compellability vs., § 13:3 Confidences non-privileged, § 13:5 privileges vs., § 13:3 Confidential communications see case-by-case and confidences Crown see PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY AND RELATED PRIVILEGES Enjoyment, § 13:6 Forms of, § 13:4 Future directions in law of, § 13:8 General principles of, § 13:1 to § 13:8 Investigative technique, § 14:35 Invocation, § 13:6 Jury deliberations, § 14:36 to § 14:41 Litigation, § 13:22 to § 13:27 conditions precedent, § 13:23 duration, § 13:24 enjoyment, § 13:23 exceptions, loss and waiver, § 13:26 nature and rational, § 13:22 scope, informational, § 13:25 solicitor-client vs., § 13:22 Public interest privileges, § 14:27 to § 14:41 Purpose of privileges, § 13:2 Self-incrimination see SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVI-LEGE AGAINST

PRIVILEGE—Cont'd Settlement negotiation, § 13:33 to § 13:35 application to criminal law setting, § 13:35 conditions precedent, § 13:34 exceptions, § 13:34 nature and rationale, § 13:33 scope, § 13:34 Solicitor-client see SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVI-LEGE Spousal, § 13:28 to § 13:32 competency rule, vs., § 13:28 conditions precedent, § 13:29 duration, § 13:30 enjoyment, § 13:29 exceptions, loss and waiver, § 13:32 invocation, § 13:29 modern source, CEA s. 4(3), § 13:28 nature and rationale, § 13:28 same-sex, common-law spouses, application to, § 13:29 scope, informational, § 13:31 wiretap interception, and, § 13:32 Surveillance-post, § 14:35 Waiver, § 13:6 Wiretap interception, and, § 13:6, § 13:32 Work product see litigation **PROBATIVE VALUE**

See PREJUDICIAL EFFECT PROOF Burden of see EVIDENTIAL BURDEN: PERSUASIVE BURDEN Character trait, § 9:4 Conspiracy, of, § 7:89 Criminal record, of see CHARACTER EVIDENCE Documentary evidence see DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE Onus of see EVIDENTIAL BURDEN; PERSUASIVE BURDEN Previous convictions, § 6:10, § 9:58 Prior inconsistent statement, § 6:11

@ 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

PROOF—Cont'd

Standards of see EVIDENTIAL BURDEN; PERSUASIVE BURDEN

PSYCHIATRIC EVIDENCE

Bad character, re, § 9:36
Complainant's therapeutic records see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CC 278.2)
Confidential communications see PRIVILEGE
Good character, re, § 9:35 to § 9:46

PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY AND RELATED PRIVILEGES

See also PRIVILEGE Generally, § 14:1 Common law of public interest immunity, § 14:2 to § 14:9 continued relevance of, § 14:9 current state of, § 14:4 extent and effects of disclosure, § 14:8 inspection of documents by court, § 14:7 test and process, § 14:5 types of claim, § 14:6 historically "absolute" privilege, § 14:3 Confidences related to public interest see privileges related to public interest, infra Federal statutory protections, § 14:10 to § 14:25 Canada Evidence Act, s. 39 re cabinet secrecy, § 14:21 to § 14:24 cabinet confidentiality, effect of, §14:24 general operation of, § 14:23 scope of material covered, § 14:22 Canada Evidence Act, s. 37 re general claims, § 14:11 to § 14:14 appellate review, § 14:14 general operation of, § 14:13 jurisdiction, § 14:12 Canada Evidence Act, s. 38 re international relations, national defence and national security, § 14:15 to § 14:20 appellate review, § 14:18 Attorney General's override, § 14:20

PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY AND RELATED PRIVILEGES—Cont'd

Federal statutory protections, § 14:10 to § 14:25—Cont'd Canada Evidence Act, s. 38 re international relations, national defence and national security, § 14:15 to § 14:20-Cont'd general operation of, § 14:17 jurisdiction, § 14:16 secrecy provisions, § 14:19 Canadian Security Intelligence Service. s. 18.1 re human source privilege, § 14:25 Law of privilege, relationship to, § 14:1 Privileges related to public interest, § 14:27 to § 14:41 informer privilege see INFORMER PRIVILEGE investigative technique privilege, § 14:35 jury deliberations, § 14:36 to § 14:41 constitutionality of, § 14:41 Criminal Code, s. 6492018-2, § 14:39 rationale for, § 14:37 relationship between common law rule and, § 14:40 scope of common law rule re, § 14:38 surveillance-post privilege, § 14:35 Provincial and territorial statutory protections, § 14:26 **OUESTIONING OF DETAINED**

PERSONS See CONFESSIONS

RAPE SHIELD PROVISIONS See SEXUAL OFFENCES

REAL EVIDENCE

Generally, § 23:1 Accused as, § 23:13 Authentication, § 23:4 to § 23:8 general rule, § 23:4 manner of, § 23:6 computers, internet, evidence originating from, § 23:8 crime scene video tapes, § 23:7 standard of proof, § 23:5 Defined, § 23:2

REAL EVIDENCE—Cont'd Exclusion of discretion of court, § 23:9 Exhibits editing/altering, § 23:11 handling/processing of, § 23:12 interpreting, § 23:14 Jury deliberations, introduced during, § 23:17 Juryroom, § 23:16 Prosecution disclosure/preservation, § 23:3 Recording evidence, § 23:15 Testing of, § 23:10 Witness as, § 23:13

REASONABLE DOUBT See PERSUASIVE BURDEN

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

Bail hearing, reasons for judgment in, § 35:29 General principles, § 35:28

REBUTTAL EVIDENCE See REPLY EVIDENCE

RECORDINGS See REAL EVIDENCE

RECORDS

Hearsay rule and see HEARSAY RULE
Medical, psychiatric or counselling communications, § 13:40
Public, § 24:10 to § 24:15
Refreshing memory from written records, § 21:11 to § 21:33
Therapeutic, applications for and disclosure of, § 17:1 to § 17:23

RE-EXAMINATION

Generally, § 21:150 to § 21:155 Discretion to permit, § 21:152 Inadmissible matters, on, § 21:154 Leading questions, general prohibition against, § 21:153 Parameters of, § 21:151 Re-cross-examination in response to new matters arising in, § 21:155

RELEVANCE

Admissibility and, **§ 4:7** Context, importance of, **§ 4:3**

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

RELEVANCE—Cont'd

Determining, § 4:3 Equivocal statements, § 4:1 Legal principles as determinant of, § 4:3 Logical connection as determinant of, § 4:4 Logic and experience as determinant of, § 4:3, § 4:5 Materiality, relationship to, § 4:2 Meaning of, § 4:1 Similar facts and see SIMILAR FACT RULE Social context and, § 4:6 Threshold re, § 4:1

RE-OPENING

Crown case, § 21:171 to § 21:182 application to reply, comparison to, § 21:180 application to re-open brought at first or second stage, § 21:181 application to re-open brought at third stage, § 21:182 when closed but defence has not elected, § 21:173 when defence has elected, § 21:174 examples of when Crown allowed to re-open, § 21:176 ex improviso test no longer valid, § 21:177 prejudice not alleviated by allowing defence to re-open or recall Crown witnesses, § 21:178 rationale for severely restricting Crown's ability to re-open, § 21:175 whether defence would have been different not relevant factor, § 21:179 when not yet closed, § 21:172 Defence case, § 21:183 to § 21:185 after conviction, § 21:185 before conviction, § 21:184

REPLY EVIDENCE

Case-splitting, rule against — Aalders decision does not change law re, § 21:160 general parameters of, § 21:156 other rules governing admissibility of reply evidence and, § 21:157 rationale for, § 21:156

REPLY EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Case-splitting, rule against ----Cont'd refining, § 21:158 Countering prospective defences, § 21:161 Discretion of trial judge to allow, § 21:159 Irrelevant whether elicited from defence witness in chief or cross-examination. § 21:162 Lately discovered evidence, § 21:163 Permissible - examples of, § 21:164 character evidence, § 21:167 disproving alibi, § 21:166 expert evidence, § 21:165 NCRMD defence, § 21:168 prior inconsistent statements, § 21:169 Surreply, § 21:170

REPUTATION

Character and, § 9:39 Untruthfulness, for, § 6:12

RES GESTAE

Hearsay exception, as see HEARSAY RULE

SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST See also SILENCE, RIGHT TO Generally, § 15:1 Non-testimonial compulsion: s. 7 of Charter, § 15:15, § 15:16 linguistic evidence, § 15:15 non-linguistic evidence. § 15:16 Testimonial compulsion, § 15:2 to § 15:14 accused's non-compellability, § 15:2 adverse inferences from accused failing to testify, § 15:4 Charter s. 11(c), application of, § 15:3 administrative proceedings, § 15:3 corporate defendants, § 15:3 extradition proceedings, § 15:3 forfeiture proceedings under Customs Act, § 15:3 post-conviction proceedings, § 15:3

constitutional exemption from testifying: s. 7 of Charter, § 15:12 to § 15:14 contemporary rule, § 15:13 history of, § 15:12

SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE

AGAINST—Cont'd Testimonial compulsion. § 15:2 to § 15:14—Cont'd constitutional exemption from testifying: s. 7 of Charter, § 15:12 to § 15:14—Cont'd timing of application re, § 15:14 derivative use immunity: s. 7 of Charter, § 15:9 proving that evidence is derivative, § 15:11 rationale for, § 15:10 transactional immunity, § 15:9 use immunity: s. 13 of Charter, § 15:5 contemporary rule: R. v. Henry and R. v. Nedelcu, § 15:7 cross-examination on knowledge of protection, § 15:8 history of, § 15:6 SENTENCING CC provisions, § 36:1 Character evidence, § 36:4 Community impact statements, § 36:17 Dangerous offender hearings, § 36:10 Defence conduct, relevance of, § 36:12 Discretion to exclude evidence, § 36:2 Distinctive evidentiary contexts. § 36:1 Extrinsic criminal conduct, § 36:5 Factual findings, § 36:6 Fresh evidence. § 36:10 Hearsay evidence, § 36:8 Judge judicial role re Gladue requirements, § 36:14 role in adducing evidence, § 36:13 Minimal reliability threshold, § 36:3 Offender statements, § 36:18 Pre-sentence reports, § 36:15 Sentencing circles, factual differences, § 36:7 Trial evidence, use of, § 36:9 Victim impact statements, § 36:16 SEXUAL OFFENCES Evidentiary rules re, Generally, § 16:1 Historical offences, § 16:27, § 17:9 Prior sexual history evidence (CC s. 276), § 16:2 to § 16:28 categories of relevance (CC s. 276(2)), § 16:13 to § 16:21 generally, § 16:13

SEXUAL OFFENCES—Cont'd

Prior sexual history evidence (CC s. 276), § 16:2 to § 16:28—Cont'd categories of relevance (CC s. 276(2)), § 16:13 to § 16:21-Cont'd consent defence, § 16:14 credibility of complainant, § 16:17 motive to fabricate, § 16:17 prior inconsistent statements, § 16:17 reliability, § 16:17 mistaken belief in consent defence, § 16:15 narrative or context, § 16:21 characterization as circumstantial not character evidence, § 16:2 factors to be balanced with probative value (CC s. 276(3)), § 16:22 general principles, § 16:4 to § 16:7 Crown, application of provision to, § 16:7 exclusionary rule, § 16:6 purpose of rape shied provision: preventing discrimination, § 16:5 test for admissibility, § 16:4 history of rape shield provision, § 16:3 HIV non-disclosure, § 16:16 procedure, § 16:23 to § 16:28 affidavit, § 16:24 historical offences, § 16:27 timing of application, § 16:26 voir dire, § 16:25 sexual activity, meaning of, § 16:8 to § 16:12 childhood sexual exploration, **§ 16:10** post-allegation consensual sexual activity, § 16:11 prior non-consensual acts, § 16:12 virginity, § 16:9 Sexual reputation evidence (CC s. 277), § 16:29 Therapeutic records (CC s. 278.2), § 17:1 to § 17:23 constitutional considerations, § 17:1, § 17:2 insufficient grounds for production (CC s. 278.3(4)), § 17:12 likely relevance threshold, § 17:14 to § 17:23 behavioural issues, § 17:19

SEXUAL OFFENCES—Cont'd Therapeutic records (CC s. 278.2), § 17:1 to § 17:23—Cont'd likely relevance threshold, § 17:14 to § 17:23—Cont'd credibility and prior inconsistent statements, § 17:16 diaries, § 17:15 disclosure of abuse in therapy, §17:18 false allegations, § 17:21 false memory syndrome, § 17:23 lack of complaint in record, § 17:17 mental health diagnosis, § 17:20 narrative or context, § 17:22 procedure, § 17:9 to § 17:11 notice, § 17:11 preliminary inquiry, § 17:10 service, § 17:11 production to court and accused (CC ss. 278.4 and 278.5), § 17:13 provisions governing production, § 17:3, § 17:4 two-stage procedure, § 17:4 records falling under CC s. 278.2, § 17:5 to § 17:8 information in hands of police, § 17:7 joint sessions with complainant and accused, § 17:8 reasonable expectation of privacy, § 17:6 "record," definition of (CC s. 278.1), § 17:5 SILENCE, RIGHT TO See also SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIV-ILEGE AGAINST Hebert rule, § 15:17 active elicitation requirement, § 15:21 right applies only during detention, § 15:19 state agency requirement, § 15:20 statements to persons in authority not included, § 15:18 Inferences from pre-trial silence, § 15:22 to § 15:24 alibi, failure to give timely and suf-

ficient notice of, § 15:24 permissible adverse inferences, § 15:23 permissible uses of silence, § 15:23

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

SIMILAR FACT RULE

Admission of similar fact evidence, standard of proof, § 10:70 Appellate review, § 10:79 Canada, in, § 10:16 to § 10:26 generally, § 10:16 development of rule, § 10:18 English authority, adoption of, § 10:17 Makin v. New South Wales, influence of, § 10:17 principled approach see principled approach, infra relevance analysis see relevance, infra Co-accused, similar acts of, § 10:71 jury instructions, § 10:72 rules re, § 10:71 English common law, development of rule in, § 10:8 to § 10:15 generally, § 10:15 category approach, demise of, § 10:11 disputed allegations of similar acts, **§ 10:10** gatekeeper function, **§ 10:11, § 10:12** homosexual offences, § 10:10 "improbability of similar lies" analysis, § 10:10 juries, faith in, § 10:13 miscarriages of justice, § 10:14 propensity reasoning, prohibition of, § 10:9 relaxation of rule, § 10:14 similar facts vs. similar allegations, § 10:9 specific propensity, § 10:9 Exclusionary rule, see also principled approach, infra policy rationale for, § 10:2 investigative procedures issue. § 10:2 trial fairness issue, § 10:2 statement of, § 10:1 to § 10:7 Group identification evidence. § 10:73 to § 10:75 conclusiveness test, re, § 10:73 to § 10:75 rotating gang, § 10:73 to § 10:75 static gang, § 10:73 to § 10:75 Improbability of coincidence analysis, § 10:23, § 10:44 to § 10:64 Issues in question, § 10:28 to § 10:37

SIMILAR FACT RULE—Cont'd Jury instructions, limiting, § 10:76 to § 10:78 generally, § 10:76 to § 10:78 negative instruction, § 10:78 positive instruction, § 10:77 Multiple count indictments, § § 10:76 to § 10:78 to § 10:82 evidence on each count, § 10:80 multi-count indictments, cross-admissibility, § 10:81 need to sever counts, § 10:82 Prejudicial effect, § 10:65 to § 10:67 moral prejudice, § 10:66 reasoning prejudice, § 10:67 whether probative value exceeds, § 10:68 Principled approach, see also probative value, infra current rule stated, § 10:27 to § 10:69 prejudicial effect see prejudicial effect, supra probative value see probative value, infra threshold issues, § 10:28 to § 10:37 satisfaction of, § 10:28 to § 10:37 whether evidence caught by exclusionary rule, § 10:30 conduct framing subject-matter of charge, evidence of, § 10:31 conduct/narrative/relationship. evidence tendered re, § 10:33 context exception, § 10:33 habit and physical state, evidence of, § 10:32 motive/animus and post-offence conduct, evidence, § 10:33 other purposes, evidence tendered for, § 10:33 prior discreditable conduct evidence, § 10:33 whether evidence discreditable. § 10:29 whether evidence linked to accused, § 10:37 Probative value, § 10:3, § 10:18, § 10:23, § 10:38 to § 10:64, § 10:68 assessment, § 10:44 to § 10:64 factors informing inquiry, § 10:47 to § 10:58 context/circumstances, § 10:47 to § 10:58

SIMILAR FACT RULE—Cont'd Probative value, § 10:3, § 10:18, § 10:23, § 10:38 to § 10:64, § 10:68-Cont'd assessment, § 10:44 to § 10:64 -Cont'd factors informing inquiry, § 10:47 to § 10:58—Cont'd detail, similarities in, § 10:47 to § 10:58 intervening events, § 10:47 to § 10:58 number of occurrences, § 10:47 to § 10:58 proximity in time, § 10:47 to § 10:58 similarities and dissimilarities, § 10:47 to § 10:58 strength of evidence that similar acts occurred, § 10:47 to § 10:58 unifying distinctive features, § 10:47 to § 10:58 general to specific propensity, § 10:44 to § 10:46 improbability of coincidence, probative value and, § 10:44 to § 10:46 propensity reasoning, § 10:44 to § 10:46 identity proof of, § 10:39, § 10:59 to § 10:64 preliminary inquiries, § 10:60 issue to which evidence directed, § 10:39 to § 10:43 actus reus, to prove, § 10:39 credibility, to support, § 10:39 identity, to prove, § 10:39 mens rea, to prove, § 10:39 whether probative value exceeds, **§ 10:68** Procedural considerations, § 10:83 Propensity reasoning, § 10:9, § 10:23 specific propensity reasoning, § 10:9, § 10:23 Relevance, § 10:19 behavioural science, § 10:19 situationalism, § 10:19 trait theory, § 10:19 Standard of proof, § 10:70 SOCIAL CONTEXT EVIDENCE Generally see RELEVANCE

SCC approach to, § 3:6, § 3:7 **SOLEMN AFFIRMATION** Generally, § 20:23 Understanding, § 20:9 SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVILEGE Conditions precedent, § 13:10 Crown and police, between, § 13:10 Deceased client, § 13:11 Duration, § 13:11 Enjoyment, § 13:10 Exceptions, § 13:13 furtherance of crime/unlawful conduct, communications in, § 13:14 innocence at stake, § 13:16 public safety, § 13:15 Freedom of information legislation, relationship to, § 13:21 Identity of client, § 13:12 Joint consultation, § 13:10 Lawyers, in-house, salaried, government, § 13:10 Lawyer's fees, § 13:12 Lawyers' offices, search of, § 13:19 Legislative incursions, § 13:18 Legislative obligations to produce documents and information, § 13:20 Loss, § 13:17 McClure application, § 13:16 Nature and rationale, § 13:9 Scope, informational, § 13:12 Special issues, § 13:18 Third party, privy to, § 13:10 Waiver, § 13:17 SPOUSAL COMMUNICATIONS Compellability, § 20:16 Privilege and, § 13:28 to § 13:32 **SPOUSE** Competence and compellability see COMPETENCE AND COMPEL-LABILITY

SOCIAL CONTEXT EVIDENCE

Aboriginal offenders and, § 3:7

-Cont'd

Failure to testify see ADVERSE INFERENCES

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024

McWilliams' Canadian Criminal Evidence, 5th Edition

STANDARDS OF PROOF

See EVIDENTIAL BURDEN; PERSUASIVE BURDEN; PRESUMPTIONS

STATEMENTS See also HEARSAY RULE Confessions see CONFESSIONS Exculpatory statements see EXCULPATORY STATEMENTS Prior consistent statements see PRIOR CONSISTENT STATE-MENTS Prior inconsistent statements see PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATE-MENT Sureties, to, § 35:22 Victim, § 36:16 Videotaped statements of, § 7:65

STRICT LIABILITY Generally, § 28:31

SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

Evidentiary reforms of, § 3:2 Aboriginal offenders, social context evidence re, § 3:7 defence hearsay, § 3:8 principled approach to, § 3:5 constitutionalizing adversarial process, § 2:3, § 3:4 defence evidence, approach to, § 3:8 fairness in evidence-gathering process, § 3:3 gender and age-based stereotypes, elimination of, § 3:6 social context approach, § 3:6, § 3:7 credibility assessments and, § 3:7 stereotypes, sensitivity to gender and age-based, § 3:6 racial, § 3:7 systemic racism, factoring in, § 3:7 wrongful convictions, protection against, § 3:8

SURREPLY EVIDENCE Generally, § 21:170

TAPE RECORDINGS See REAL EVIDENCE

TESTIMONY

See also CROSS-EXAMINATION; **EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES:** FAILURE TO TESTIFY; FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS Co-accused, of, § 20:13 Declarant, of, § 11:3 In prior proceedings, § 7:58 to § 7:71 Prior proceedings, in, § 7:59 to § 7:64 Spousal. § 20:4. § 20:5. § 20:14 to § 20:16 THIRD PARTY RECORDS See also SEXUAL OFFENCES Disclosure vs. production, § 17:30 General principles, § 17:24 to § 17:29 alternatives to production, § 17:27 balancing relevant factors, § 17:25 credibility, § 17:26 likely relevance, § 17:25 reasonable expectation of privacy, § 17:26 Jurisdiction, § 17:31 Procedure, § 17:32 to § 17:35 notice, § 17:33 service, § 17:33 timing, § 17:35 voir dire, § 17:34 TRIAL See CRIMINAL TRIAL

TRIAL FAIRNESS

Administration of justice, bringing into disrepute, § 5:31, § 5:33 Case-splitting, § 6:6 Charter rights and values and, § 5:34 "Fairness," concept of, § 5:32 Harrer case, § 5:31 to § 5:36 application of, § 5:36 Seaboyer vs., § 5:35 Hearsay rules and, § 7:8 Historical roots, § 5:31 Jurisdiction: Harrer case vs. Seaboyer case, § 5:35 Similar fact rule, § 10:2 Threshold test, § 5:32 assessing trial fairness, § 5:32 repute of administration of justice, protecting, § 5:33

VERDICT

Directed see EVIDENTIAL BURDEN Unreasonable see APPEALS

VICTIM

Character of see CHARACTER EVIDENCE Statements, § 36:16

VIDEOTAPE See REAL EVIDENCE

VOIR DIRE

Confession, re see CONFESSIONS Expert opinion evidence, re, § 12:49 Rape shield provisions and, § 16:25 Third party records, re, § 17:34

VOLUNTARINESS See CONFESSIONS

WITNESSES

Accused as see ACCUSED Adverse, § 21:46 to § 21:51 Child see CHILD Compellability see COMPETENCE AND COMPEL-LABILITY Competency see COMPETENCE AND COMPEL-LABILITY

WITNESSES—Cont'd Corroboration see CORROBORATION Credibility of see CREDIBILITY Cross-examination of see CROSS-EXAMINATION Crown discretion to call witnesses, § 21:186 Examination of in-chief see EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES Expert see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE Failure to call, § 33:3, § 33:9 Hostile, § 21:42 to § 21:45 Impeaching see ADVERSE INFERENCES; **EXAMINATION OF WIT-**NESSES; CROSS-EXAMINA-TION; PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENT Interpreter for see COURT INTERPRETERS Lay witnesses see OPINION EVIDENCE Opinion evidence of see OPINION EVIDENCE Spouse as see SPOUSE Trial judge's power to call, § 21:187 Unfavourable see EXAMINATION OF WIT-NESSES, unfavourable witnesses **YOUNG PERSONS**

Confessions of, § 8:28

© 2024 Thomson Reuters, Rel. 5, 12/2024