# Index

ethical questions for counsel,

ACCUSED	118-121
Alibi evidence, See ALIBI	jury trials, 121, 123-124, 127-128
DEFENCE Bail hearing	likelihood of perjury by accused,
cross-examination by Crown,	119-121
738-743	opportunity to address jury last, 125
examination by defence counsel,	serious charges with serious
735-738	consequences, 125
Character, See CHARACTER WITNESSES	unexpected perjury by accused, 121
Confessions	ADMISSIONS
audiotaped by police, 448-449	At trial, 343-344
cross-examination on, by Crown,	
131, 288-289	ADVERSE WITNESS, See HOSTILE
introduction by Crown, timing,	WITNESS
340-341	
videotaped by police, 448-449	ALIBI DEFENCE
Preliminary hearing, See	Accused's failure to testify, 150
PRELIMINARY INQUIRY	Burden of proof, 152
Self-incrimination, See	Collusion, 149
SELF- INCRIMINATION	Fabrication, issue of, 136-139
Unrepresented, role of trial Judge,	Identification evidence, 140-141
761-762	Interviewing client, 62
Voir dire, testimony at, 129-131	Notice of, 141-145
right against self-incrimination, 130 risks facing accused who	Rejection of, 152
testifies, 130	Sophonow Inquiry recommendations,
voluntariness of accused's	145-147
previous statements, 129-130	Trial, at, 147-149
Whether to testify at trial, 118-129	Witnesses
accused not following advice of	collusion, 149
counsel, 126	examination of, 148-149
accused's right to determine,	failure to call, 150-151 impartiality, 150
119, 126	interviewing, 135-136
alibi called by defence, 122	interviewing, 155-150
case against accused weak, 124	BAIL HEARING, CONTESTED
comment on failure to testify,	Examination of accused
127, 639-640	by defence counsel, 735-738
creating impression on jury, 128	cross-examination by Crown, 738-743
criminal record of accused,	Pre-trial detention
122-123, 125	The drai determion

582-583

BAIL HEARING, CONTESTED — Oath-helping defined, 601 continued example of, 602-605 effect upon defence, 733 exceptions to general rule, 602 reverse onus for indictable Psychiatric evidence offences, 734 disposition or propensity, to show, when justified, law, 733-734 590-591 Pre-trial release, effect upon distinctive, unusual character traits, Crown, 733 to show, 589-590 Proposed sureties third persons, re, 593 Third persons, psychiatric evidence cross-examination by Crown, 744-748 concerning culpability, to show, 593 examination by defence, 743-744 Victim, character evidence concerning complainant's sexual activity **BIASED WITNESS, 262** Criminal Code sections governing, 595-597 **BODILY SUBSTANCES** exceptions to general rule, 597 As evidence incriminating accused, procedure to determine whether 707-708 admissible, 598 exceptions to general rule **BUSINESS RECORDS** aggressor, to show deceased Hearsay rule, exception to, 658-660 victim was, 594-595 habit, to demonstrate person CHARACTER WITNESSES. See also acted in certain way, 594 CRIMINAL RECORDS provocation, to support defence Bad character evidence of, 594 exceptions to limited admissibility state of mind of accused, to discreditable associations of accused, show, 595 588-589 generally irrelevant, 593 habit or practice, to show, 587 peaceable disposition of victim, 595 motive, as evidence of, 586 Witness, character evidence concerning necessary as part of narrative, 588 cross-examination on prior in reply, 509 convictions, 593 limited admissibility, 585 impeaching character of Crown previous convictions of accused, witness, 592 586-587 oath-helping prohibited, 601 putting character in issue, 509, 584, rehabilitating credibility of 587-588 impeached witness, 592 Co-accused, evidence of character led by, 591-592 CHILD WITNESSES Cross-examination of bias, to show, 598 Allegations of sexual impropriety suggested questions, 600-601 against tenuous foundation, to show, 589-599 anatomical dolls, use of, 498-499 Good character evidence purpose, behaviour consistent with abuse, 579-580 485, 496-497 reputation in the community, child's knowledge of sexual 580-582 matters, 485 exceptions to general rule, 583-584 expert evidence, when necessary, suggested line of questioning,

485, 487

CHILD WITNESSES — continued	alibi defence and, 149
innocent explanations of child's	preparation of witnesses and, 69
symptoms, 496-497	re-examination re, 506
interviewing techniques to avoid	similar fact evidence and,
contamination, 487-496	713-714, 731
positive re-enforcement of child's	
disclosure by adults, 500-501	COMPETENCE AND COMPELL-
suggestibility of children, 488-496	ABILITY
Competence and compellability,	Affirmation, 637-638
497-499 See also COMPETENCE	Children
AND COMPELLABILITY	inquiry to determine ability to testify,
Cross-examination, 479-482	634-635
alternative explanations for child's	oaths, 634-635
symptoms, 496-497	promise to tell truth, 634-635
approach to, 479-480	statutory provisions governing, 634
credibility, assessing, 484	unresponsive to questioning,
demeanour, 484	501-5024
encouraging child's	Co-accused, 641, 642
imagination, 485	Corporations and corporate employees,
evidence learned by rote, 486	641-642
internal inconsistencies in	Failure to testify, by accused
testimony, 486	comment prohibited, 639-640
simple questions, 480	Mental incompetence
unresponsiveness of child to	effect upon ability to testify, 636
questions, 501-502	procedure for determining, 634-637
High status adults influencing child's	Spouses, 638-639
beliefs, 500-501	Victims Bill of Rights Act, 638-639
Interviewing techniques to avoid	, terming 2 in of Triginia Tier, eee ees
contamination, 487-496	CONFESSIONS, See ACCUSED;
Legislation governing children's	EVIDENCE, PRESENTATION
evidence, 89-90, 107, 111-112	OF
Motives to lie, 485	01
Peer pressure influencing	CONSCIOUSNESS OF GUILT,
testimony, 495	131-132, 213-224
Preparation of child witness, 88-90	131-132, 213-224
allaying child's fears, 89	COLIDED COM MANNED
excluding public, 89, 107	COURTROOM MANNER
method of testimony, 89-90	Civility, 196-197
oaths, 90	Conduct, 66-67
reviewing questions and answers, 90	Damaging answers, responding to, 196
sexual offences against, 485	Ethics, See PROFESSIONAL
support person, presence of, 90, 107	RESPONSIBILITY
Victims of abuse, 89	Interactions with client, 191
Vulnerabilities, inherent, 482-483	Juror interest, maintaining, 194-196
, ,	Objecting, 197-200
COLLATERAL FACT RULE, 509-511	Perjured witness
11011022,000011	approaches to, 191-193
COLLUSION	distinguished from honestly mistaken witness, 191
Witnesses, among	mistaken widless, 191

COURTROOM MANNER — contin-	Favourable answers on
ued	cross- examination, 167
Personal characteristics of counsel, 193-194	Motive to lie, 172 Objectives, defence,
Prior inconsistent statements, See	
PRIOR INCONSISTENT	argue case in form of cross-examina
	tion, 155-157, 158-165
STATEMENTS	avoidance of calling defence, 165
Procedure, explaining to client, 69	Order of, 186-187
Routine matters, 204	Phrasing of questions to avoid, 158
Showing witness was mistaken, not lying, 205-206, 208	Preliminary hearing as opportunity for 181-182, 519
Styles contrasted, 192-193	Preparation
Tactics to avoid, 189-191	anticipating the witness, 182
arguing with witness, 190	documentary evidence, 180
distortion of facts, 190	examination-in-chief, studying
pointless cross-examination, 189	body language, 183, 185
sarcasm, 189	note taking, 183-185
Sarcasin, 109	observing Judge, jury, 184-185
CRIMINAL RECORDS	unexpected evidence, 186
	expert witness, See EXPERT EVI
Accomplice guilty plea, 576	DENCE/WITNESSES
Bail hearings, at, 735-736	
Canada Evidence Act, s. 12,	flow charts, creating, 182
564-566, 587	physical evidence, 180-181
Corbett application, 574-576	preliminary inquiry as tool for
Criminal Code, s. 666, 566-567,	cross-examination, 181-182
587-588	private investigators, use of, 175
Cross-examination limits imposed on	questions, 169-171
Crown	research law, 183
accused's criminal record, 567-573	scene of incident, attending, 176
witness's criminal record, 564-566,	view, taking, 176-180
568-569	witnesses, interviewing
Discretion of Judge to exclude prejudicial evidence, 574-576	manner of obtaining statement 173-175
Factor in decision whether to testify, as,	prior statements to police, 174
122-123	refusal to be interviewed, 17:
Jury charge, 573-574	timing, 174
Pardon, 577	unhelpful witnesses, 175
,	who to interview, 173
CROSS-EXAMINATION (GENERAL)	Silent cross-examination, when
Aims generally, 153-154	appropriate, 165-166
Criminal records, on	Social media, 173
accused, 567-573	,
witnesses, 564-565, 568-569	CROSS-EXAMINATION,
Demeanour, 171-172	TECHNIQUES
Duty to cross-examine, 145-155,	Abusive, unfair cross-examination by
312-315	Crown, 323-240
Failure to put defence case to	Avoiding adjournments to maintain
prosecution witnesses, 155	momentum, 267
	Biased witness, 262

CROSS-EXAMINATION,	collateral matters, questioning on,
TECHNIQUES — continued	260
Child witness, See CHILD WITNESSES	contradictions, seeking, 261-262
	enlarging details, 260
Character witness	exclusion of witnesses, order, 261
bias, to show, 598	impressing witness with
suggested questions, 600-601	foreknowledge, 247
tenuous foundation, to show,	motive to lie, unearthing, 172
598-599	rapid-fire questions, 249
Controlling witness	Mistaken witness, 250-254
answering question with question,	Neutralizing damaging effect of
241	examination-in-chief, 266
closing off avenues of escape for	Opening with contradiction, 234-238
witness, 242-244	Opening with memorable question,
knowing answer in advance,	233-234
245-247	Police officers, 439-453, See also
disclosure, effect of, 247	POLICE OFFICERS
exceptions, 245	Seeking contradictions between
"feeling out" process, 246	witnesses, 261-262
safe answers, obtaining, 246	Skip-around technique, 248-249
step-by-step approach, 246	Suggesting facts in cross-examination
knowing when to stop, 268-270	that cannot be proven, 307
open-ended questions, 267-268	Summarizing points made in
Deliberately posing inadmissible	cross-examination, 264-467
questions, 308	Threatening witness with charge of
Documentary evidence as ammunition	perjury, 323
for, 180	Unnerving witness at beginning, 233
Ending with strong favourable answer,	Vain witness, 255-256
263-264	
Enlarging on details, 260	CROWN PRIVILEGE, See
Evasive witness, 239-242	PRIVILEGE
Exaggerating witness, 263	
Expert witness, See EXPERT	DECLARATIONS AGAINST
WITNESS	PECUNIARY AND
Honest witness	PROPRIETARY INTEREST
approaches suggested, 250-251	Hearsay rule, as exception to, 656-657
factors unconsciously colouring	Ticarsay fulc, as exception to, 050-057
testimony, 252	DECLARATIONS AGAINST PENAL
identifying truthful witness, 250	
Hostile witness, on prior inconsistent	INTEREST
statement, 295-306	Hearsay rule, as exception to, 657-658
Interpreting evidence in more than one	DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE C
way, 256-259	DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE, See
Jailhouse informant, See JAILHOUSE	EVIDENCE, PRESENTATION
INFORMANTS	OF
Lulling into false sense of security, 171	
Lying witness	DISCLOSURE, See also JAIL-
approaches suggested, 169-171	HOUSE INFORMANTS
approaches suggested, 107 171	Alibi defence, See ALIBI DEFENCE
	Burden of proof, 17

DISCLOSURE — continued	Undertaking by defence not to use for
Conduct of defence, effect upon, 10	collateral purpose, 24
Criminal records, 565	Witness contact information, 23-24
Crown cross-examination upon, 24-25	
Crown's continuing obligation	DYING DECLARATIONS, 652-653
duty to inquire, 16	•
generally, 15-16	ETHICS, See PROFESSIONAL
police misconduct materials, 11	Elines, see litel Essiel al
Defence counsel's responsibility, 23	RESPONSIBILITY
Electronic disclosure, 21-23	KESI ONSIBILIT I
Expert evidence, 376-378	EVACINE WITNESS 220 242
In-custody informers, 15, 413-414	EVASIVE WITNESS, 239-242
Martin Committee Report, 12-15	ENTERVICE ELECTRONIC
Mills Regime	EVIDENCE, ELECTRONIC
duty to give notice, 32	Electronic documents
likely relevant standard, 32	authentication, 51
procedure, 30-31	best evidence rule, 51
purpose, 29-30	Facebook, 52-53
record, definition of, 31-32	presumption of integrity, 52
O'Connor production regime	Photographs
balancing competing interests, 27	authentication by experts, 54
Ferguson Report, 28	Police body cams, 56-57
generally, 25-26	
likely relevant records, 26-27	EVIDENCE, PRESENTATION OF,
police misconduct records, 28-29	See also WITNESSES
Pre-trial disclosure generally	Admissions at trial, 343-344
copies of videotapes, 19	Advising Crown if client will
electronic disclosure, 21-23	testify, 129
	Basic rules, 95-100
failure to disclose, remedies, 19	Co-accused's statements, use of,
failure to pursue, effect, 23	288-289
late disclosure, effect upon	Confessions
proceedings, 18-19	cross-examination on, 340-341
lost or destroyed disclosure, 20-21	introduction by Crown, timing
material non-disclosure, 19	generally, 340-341
prior inconsistent statement, 19	voluntariness essential, 341
will-say statements, 87	Consciousness of guilt evidence,
Preparation for trial, use in, 181-182	132, 213-224
Relevance, 10	Demonstrative evidence advantages
Remedies	generally, 543
late disclosure, for, 18-19	charts, 553-554
lost or destroyed disclosure, for,	chronologies, 553-554
20-21	computer simulation models,
Requirement of Crown, as, 9, 15-16,	552-553
413-414	diagrams, 552
Stinchcombe Regime	experiments, 548-551
effect upon disclosure generally,	graphs, 553-554
9-10	in-court demonstrations, 548-551
materials to be disclosed, 10-12	maps, 552
propositions arising from, 12-15	models, 552
	,

evidence, Presentation of — continued	EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF, See also EVIDENCE, PRESENTATION
photographs, 543-546	OF; WITNESSES
reconstructed scenes, 548-551	Advising Crown if client will testify,
replicas, 552	129
schedules, 553-554	Explaining inconsistencies in
sketches, 552	statements, 94
summaries, 553-554	Lessening damaging impact of
tests, 548-551	anticipated cross-examination, 93
videotapes, 546-548	Observing Judge, jury during, 184-185
witness in-court sketches, 546	Primary objective, 93
Exhibits, 554-556	Revealing weaknesses in testimony of
Formal admissions, 343-344	own witness, 93-94
Humanizing client, 108-110	Setting trap for opposing counsel, 95
demeanour of counsel, 100	setting trap for opposing counsel, 75
positive interaction with counsel,	EXCLUSION OF WITNESSES,
110	ORDER FOR, 261, 452-453
refer to client by name, 108	ORDER FOR, 201, 432-433
sit with counsel instead of in	EVDEDT EVIDENCE WITNEGGEG
prisoner's dock, 108	EXPERT EVIDENCE/WITNESSES
supportive family and friends in	Admissibility of expert evidence
court, 109	complainant, supporting veracity of,
Interpreters	352-356
ineffective, 135	credibility of witness, bolstering 355
use of, 132-134	criteria for admissibility, 347-350
Objectives, 93-94	delayed disclosure of child sexual
Post-offence conduct, 131-132	abuse, 353-354
Verbal evidence	novel scientific theories, 348
credibility, enhancing, 105-106	protected statements, 378
eliciting for maximum impact,	subject-matter not within common
103-105	stock of knowledge, 348
order of calling witnesses, 100-103	symptoms consistent with sexual
order of carring witnesses, 100-103	abuse, 353-355
EMBENCE DITLECOE	Auditors, forensic, 357
EVIDENCE, RULES OF	Assessment, psychiatric
Hearsay testimony, See HEARSAY	protected statements, 378
EVIDENCE	Basis of opinion
Leading questions of own witness	hearsay as, 362-363
examples of leading questions, 81-83	reasons for conclusions, 362
exceptions to general rule, 80-81	Bias, 384-386
generally not permitted, 72-73	Cross-examination
privileged communications, See	admitting honest differences of
PRIVILEGE	opinion, 386
Rebuttal evidence, 507-511	authoritative works, used to contra-
Report of the Federal/Provincial Task	dict testimony, 388-398, 393-396
Force on Uniform Rules of	bias of expert, suggesting, 384-386
Evidence, 607, 658, 679	casting doubt on opponent's
	expert, 380-381
	confirming own expert's testimony,
	use in, 388

### EXPERT EVIDENCE/WITNESSES — Cross-examination and, 677 Defined, 644 continued Disguising hearsay, 678 consulting own expert, 379 contradicting with expert's own writ-Defence, use by, 674-676 ings, 389-393 Exclusion of, discretionary, 673-674 disputed facts, expert relying upon, Exceptions to Rule, Traditional, 645 401-402 accused's own statements, 660 educating self on subject-matter, 378 business records, 658-660 expert at counsel table, 361 co-conspirators' acts and interviewing opponent's expert, 379 declarations, 660-661 lack of all relevant facts, 387-388 declaration against pecuniary or posing different interpretation of proprietary interest, 656-657 facts, 396-401 declaration against penal interest, 657-658 preliminary hearing as testing ground, 381-382, 527-528 dying declarations, 652-653 prior rejection of testimony, 404 fact statement was made, to putting your case to opponent's prove, 646-650 expert, 259-260, 401-404 implied assertions arising from qualifications of expert, challenging, unintended conduct, 650-652 382-384 res gestae, 653-656 researching opponent's expert, 380 statement of adoption by unreliability of interview on which accused, 661-662 opinion based, 387 statement of bodily or mental Disclosure of expert evidence, mutual feelings, 658 obligation, 376-378 state of mind, 646 Goudge Inquiry, 386 Expert opinion and, 362-363, 677 Hypothetical questions, 364-376 Eyewitness identification and, 474-475 Leave of court, where required, 361-362 Failure to object, effect, 678 Police as, 404-405 K.G.B. statements, See PRIOR INCONSISTENT Preparation of own expert, 357-358 Non-expert opinion, See **STATEMENTS NON-EXPERT WITNESSES** Necessity, see Principled approach Qualification of expert Negative inquiry results, 678 Newspaper articles, 679 curriculum vitae as exhibit, 360 deficiencies going to weight, 359 Preliminary inquiry, at, 677 details important, 360 Principled approach Social media evidence, 35-36 discretionary exclusion of Sources of expert witness, 356-357 hearsay, 673-674 Ultimate issue to be decided, opinion evidence otherwise inadmissible on, 363-364 and, 672 generally, 643-645, 662-663 Khelawon, impact of, 671 FLOW CHARTS, 182 motive to lie and, 671-672 narrative, 673 HEARSAY EVIDENCE necessity precondition, 644-647 Advising witness about, 73 reliability precondition, 667-670 Agreements to admit hearsay, 679 traditional hearsay exceptions Bail hearings, admissibility at, 678 and, 673 Basis for expert opinion, See EXPERT Rationale for rule, 645 EVIDENCE/WITNESSES

HEARSAY EVIDENCE — continued Reliability, see Principled approach Sentencing, 676 Spousal incompetency and, 677

### HOSTILE WITNESS

Canada Evidence Act, under definition of "adverse", 292 procedure under 9(1), 293-294 procedure under 9(2), 295-296 section 9 generally, 290-291 section 9(1), 291-294 section 9(2), 295-306 Common law, at, 289-290 Milgaard procedure, 295-296

# HUSBAND AND WIFE PRIVILEGE, See PRIVILEGE

# HYPOTHETICAL QUESTIONS Posed to expert witness, 364-376

### IDENTIFICATION OF ACCUSED

Alibi evidence raising reasonable doubt, 140
Crown examination-in-chief preparation checklist, 473-474
Discretion to exclude, 476
Expert testimony, when admissible, 456-457
Eyewitness identification charge to jury, 458, 476-477 credibility, 455 expert evidence re, 456-457 hearsay and, 474-475 hypnotically induced, 475 police deception concerning, 79 reliability, 455-456

Factors affecting generally, 458-460
Hypnotically induced identification, 475
Judge sitting alone, by, 475-476
Jury charge on identification
evidence, 476-477
Mistaken eyewitness identification
leading to conviction, 455-456
Past identification, evidence of, 474-475

recommendations, 455, 461-464,

Sophonow Inquiry

468-469

Photographic displays, 461-466
Physical characteristics, particularity required, 457-458
Police line-ups
live line-ups, 466-470
minor-league line-up, 470
photo-pack line-ups, 461-466
right not to participate in, 466, 479
Prisoner dock identification, 470-473
Recognition evidence, 460-461
Voice identification, 475

# INFORMER PRIVILEGE, See PRIVILEGE

# INTERPRETERS Ineffective, 135 Use of, 132-134

# INTERVIEWING CLIENT, See also STATEMENTS

Advising client of relevant law, timing, 62-63 Assessing personal traits, 64 Basic witness rules, 64-66 Belief in accused's guilt or innocence, 73-74 Conduct in prisoner's dock, 66 Courtroom conduct generally, 66 Courtroom procedure, explanation, 69 Cross-examination, preparation for, 67-68 Demeanour on witness stand, 65 Detained clients, advising, 78-80 Devil's advocate, playing, 63 Dress rehearsal, 69 First interview, 34-35, 61-62

advisability, 75-80
Grooming for court, 70-71
Hearsay testimony, advising client about, 73
Instructions not to discuss matter, 71-72
Issues, explanation of, 66
Plea of guilty where not, 74-75
Preparing for witness stand, 62-72
Questioning, explanation of, 66-68
Witness discussing case with accused, 70

Giving statement to police.

# JAILHOUSE INFORMANTS Assessing reliability of informant, 414-416 Awaiting sentencing, 417-418 Confirming evidence of informant's testimony, 419-420 Cross-examination of informant. 418-437 How informant might gain information about accused, 417-417 Motives for co-operation, 413, 417 Motives for lying, 410-413, 417-418 Onus on Crown to make complete disclosure, 413-414 Painting unflattering picture of informant by defence, 421-421 Twisting information by informants, 417 Vetrovec warning, 407-410, 420 analogous persons, 410 defence witness, not to be given re, 419 dual purpose, 408 in-custody informers defined, 410 reasons underlying need for, 408, 411 when required, factors

# JOURNALIST AND SOURCE PRIVILEGE, See PRIVILEGE

considered, 409

# JUDICIAL INTERFERENCE Assuming mantle of prosecutor, 750-751 Bias, giving impression of, 755 Comments during witness's evidence, 755 Convictions quashed as result of, 756-757 Counsel's response to, 757-760 Cross-examination, interrupting, 753 Examination-in-chief, interrupting, 753 Role of trial Judge in adversary system, 749-756 Unrepresented accused, 761-762 Witnesses

called by judge, 764-765

permitting questions from jury, 765-766 when judge may question, 763-764

JURY TRIALS Decision-making studies of juries, 121, 154 Deliberation aids, 556-561 Evidentiary matters prior to jury selection, 344-345 Injudicial conduct, impact upon jury, 659 Juror interest, maintaining during cross-examination, 194-196, 248 Jury charge character evidence, 580 co-accused's plea of guilty, 340 criminal record of accused, 573-574 identification evidence, 476-477 unsavoury witness, 407-410 Observing jury during examination-inchief, 185

# MENTAL INCOMPETENCE Affecting ability to testify, 645-637

Presenting defence theory to jury

through cross-examination, 155-156

# MISTAKEN WITNESS

Cross-examination approaches to, 250-257

## NON-EXPERT WITNESSES

Opinion evidence by, 681-682

"compendious statement of facts"
exception, 682
police officer opinions, 681
subject-matter on which
permitted, 681

# NOVEL SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE, 348-439

# OATH-HELPING Defined, 601 Examples of, 602-605 Exceptions to general rule, 602 General rule, 601 Polygraph, 605-606

OBJECTIONS, 197-200	Cross-examination, as opportunity for, 519
PERJURY	Crown reasons for holding, 534-535
Perjured witness	Defining and isolating issues, 519-520
approaches to cross-examination, 170-171	Determining reliability of Crown's evidence, 518
Threatening witness with charge of perjury, 323	Discovery, 520-530 Experts Crown not obliged to call, 627-528
PHYSICAL EVIDENCE, 180-181	questions defence should ask, 528-529
POLICE OFFICERS	Explaining proceedings to client, 537
Cross-examination of	Gaining feel for witnesses, 519
approaches generally, 439-440	Mining Crown witness for helpful
notebook, 440-441	information, 534
collaboration with other officers	Preparation tool for defence, as,
in making notes, 445-448	181-182, 517-519
impeachment using notebook,	Purpose of hearing, 517 Reading in evidence at trial, 532-534
442-445	Testing client's story against
refreshing memory from,	evidence, 518
441-442	Tying witness down to answers
verbal statements by accused recorded therein, 448-449	favourable to your case, 530-534
sources of information for cross-	PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS
examination, 450-452 undercover officers, 440	Exceptions to non-admissibility
Exclusion from courtroom, 452	emotions, state of mind, 316
Expert witness, as, 404-405	narrative, 316
Statements by accused persons	physical condition, 316
audiotaping statements of suspects, 448-449	post-arrest exculpatory statements, 318-320
videotaping statements of suspects,	recent fabrication, 316-318
448-449	res gestae, 285
Subverting right to silence, 79-80, 696	Oath-helping not permitted, 315
	Post-arrest exculpatory statements,
PREJUDICE, See SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE	318-320
	PRIOR INCONSISTENT
PRELIMINARY INQUIRY	STATEMENTS
Alibi evidence	Addressing during examination-in-
disclosure	chief, 288
at preliminary inquiry, 143-144 by mail, 143	Canada Evidence Act, s. 9, 290-306 Canada Evidence Act, s. 10(1),
Charter relief, no jurisdiction to grant, 519	273-275 Canada Evidence Act, s. 11, 287-288
Criminal Code provisions governing, 538-541	Charter right against self-incrimination, 130-131
Consenting to committal for trial, 536-537	Cross-examining accused on, 276-280 by co-accused, 288-289

PRIOR INCONSISTENT	Public interest immunity
STATEMENTS — continued	conditions for application, 629-630
by Crown, 288	investigative techniques, 625-630
Disclosure of, 19	section 37 of the Canada Evidence
Evidentiary significance, 275-276	Act, 624-625
Hostile or adverse witness, confronting	section 38 of the Canada Evidence
with, 289-290 See also HOSTILE	Act, 630
WITNESS	section 39 of the Canada Evidence
Impeaching witness with, 281-284	Act, 630
making prior inconsistent statement	undercover operational methods, 627
exhibit, 280-281	Wigmore criteria, 629-630
qualifying answer in another part of	Religious communications, applicability
transcript, 277	to, 608
technique to avoid witness wiggling	Report of the Federal/provincial Task
out of answer, 279-280	Force on the Uniform Rules of
K.G.B. statements admissibility	Evidence, 607
generally, 84, 276	Solicitor-client privilege
jailhouse informant, of, 416	burden of proof, 615
necessity, 291, 664-667	co-accused falling out, effect of, 612
plea discussions and, 309-310	Crown and police, between, 609
purpose of admission, 84	exceptions, See also waiver
refreshing memory, 227-232	allegations against former coun-
reliability, 291, 667-670	sel, 613-614
voir dire, 291	criminal purpose, furthering
Omission, impeachment by, 284-286	of, 612
Preliminary inquiry testimony, 286	involuntary disclosure, 614-615
Transcripts, cost of, 275	public safety, 615-617
Voluntariness, 288-289	physical evidence not covered,
	611-612
PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS, 175	police searches in lawyers' offices,
	616-617
PRIVILEGE	psychiatrist retained by defence
Applicability generally, 607-608	counsel, 610
Burden of proof, 608, 615	relationship, required, 608-609
Crown privilege, See Public interest	waiver (express)
immunity	nature of waiver, 610-611
Informer privilege	who may waive, 609-610
"innocence at stake" exception,	waiver (implied)
617-621	third parties present, 611
procedure to follow, 620	when applicable, 608-609
police agent distinguished from	Third parties, presence of, 611
informer, 618	Wigmore criteria, 608, 629-630
Journalist-informant privilege, 630	Work product privilege, 631-632
Judicial privilege, 632	
Litigation privilege, 631-632	PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
Marital privilege, 532-534	Asking witness to comment on
Mental assessment, statements made	credibility of another witness,
during, 632	307-308
Procedure for determining, 608, 615	

# PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY continued Confessions, introduction by Crown, 340-341 Communication with witnesses giving evidence, 342-343 Crown counsel abusive, unfair cross-examination, 323-340 confession by accused, timing of introduction, 340-341 contents of failed plea bargain, 309-310 giving unsworn evidence of personal knowledge, 310 role and obligations generally, 1-3 Cut-throat defence, 320-322 Defence counsel cut-throat defence, 320-322 duty of loyalty, 5-7 objection to Crown misconduct, 328 role where client admits guilt, 6-7 role and obligations generally, 1, 3-5 subpoena to Crown counsel, 309 unfair cross-examination by Crown and, 328 Defence role distinguished from prosecution, 4-5 Deliberately posing inadmissible questions, 308 Distorted view of evidence, creating, 341 Duty to cross-examine, 312-315 Lawyers as advocates, ethics, 1 Plea discussions, admissibility of, 309 Prior consistent statements accused, 318-320 witnesses, 315-318 Suggesting facts in cross-examination that cannot be proven, 307 Threatening witness with charge of perjury, 323 PSYCHIATRIC EVIDENCE Disposition or propensity to commit crime, to prove, 589-590

Distinctive, unusual character traits of

group, to show, 589-591

General behavioural and psychological conditions, to explain, 353-355 Identity, to prove, 590, 726

# PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY, See PRIVILEGE

REBUTTAL EVIDENCE, 507-511 Character evidence, 509 Collateral questions, 509-511 Surrebuttal, 509 When allowed, 507-508

### RE-EXAMINATION

Counsel speaking to witness before, 506 Relevant law, 503-504 Situations requiring, 504-506

REFRESHING MEMORY, 227-232 Past recollection recorded, 227-228 Present recollection revived, 228-232

RE-OPENING CASE Crown, by, 513-515 Defence, by, 515-516

# REPUTATION IN THE COMMUNITY, See CHARACTER EVIDENCE

RES GESTAE
Hearsay rule, as exception to, 653-656

SCREEN TESTIMONY, 89, 107

### **SELF-INCRIMINATION**

Bodily substances incriminating accused, 707-708

Canada Evidence Act, s. 5, 705-706

Charter of Rights and Freedoms, s. 7, 683-685, 687, 689-690

Charter of Rights and Freedoms, s. 13, 683

witness knowledge of, 705

Co-accused charged separately, 702-704

preliminary hearing, compellable witness at, 703-704
Compelled testimony, 687-690

SELF-INCRIMINATION — continued	non-similar extrinsic evidence, use
Cross-examination on co-accused's	of, 726-727
silence, 692-693	psychiatric evidence, 726
Derivative evidence, 704-705	Intent, to show
Judicial comment regarding failure to	defence, to rebut, 721-722, 723
testify, 122, 127, 639-640	continuing malice, 720-721
Mr. Big cases, 695-696	generally, 720
Operating mind, 699	motive, 720-721
Persistent questioning, 697-699	state of mind, 722-723
Police undercover agents, 694-697	Issues, controversial, 717
Protected statements, 707	Motive, to show, 720-721
Right to silence	Nature of, generally, 727-728
before and after arrest, 79, 683-687	Pattern of behaviour, to show, 718-720
common law right to, 683	Prejudice, 714-716
exceptions to, 690-691	Procedure respecting, 729
legal advice to client, when detained,	Similarity, degree of, 711-712
685-687	State of mind, to show, 722-723
scope of, 683	System, to show, 718-720
vetting Crown's questions, 693-694	
waiver of, 699-701	SOCIAL NETWORKING EVIDENCE
Roadside co-ordination tests, 707	alibi evidence, 136
Statements made for purpose of	cell phones, search incident to arrest,
assessment, 707	47-50
Statutorily compelled statements,	challenging potential jurors for cause,
701-702	57-59
Subterfuge by police after right	Charter, s. 24(2), 38
Subterfuge by police after right expressed, 79-80, 649-647	computers, 42-47
expressed, 79-80, 649-647	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173
expressed, 79-80, 649-647 SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717 Handy decision	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44 R. v. Fearon, 47-50
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717 Handy decision connecting factors, 711-712	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44 R. v. Fearon, 47-50 R. v. Morelli, 42-43
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717 Handy decision connecting factors, 711-712 countervailing factors, 712-713	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44 R. v. Fearon, 47-50 R. v. Morelli, 42-43 R. v. Sop, 45-46
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717 Handy decision connecting factors, 711-712 countervailing factors, 712-713 collusion, 713-714	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44 R. v. Fearon, 47-50 R. v. Morelli, 42-43 R. v. Sop, 45-46 R. v. Spencer, 46-47
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717 Handy decision connecting factors, 711-712 countervailing factors, 712-713 collusion, 713-714 generally, 709-711	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44 R. v. Fearon, 47-50 R. v. Morelli, 42-43 R. v. Sop, 45-46 R. v. Spencer, 46-47 R. v. Telus Communications Co., 45
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717 Handy decision connecting factors, 711-712 countervailing factors, 712-713 collusion, 713-714 generally, 709-711 prejudice, 714-716	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44 R. v. Fearon, 47-50 R. v. Morelli, 42-43 R. v. Sop, 45-46 R. v. Spencer, 46-47 R. v. Telus Communications Co., 45 R. v. Vu, 44-45
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717 Handy decision connecting factors, 711-712 countervailing factors, 712-713 collusion, 713-714 generally, 709-711 prejudice, 714-716 Identity, to establish	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44 R. v. Fearon, 47-50 R. v. Morelli, 42-43 R. v. Sop, 45-46 R. v. Spencer, 46-47 R. v. Telus Communications Co., 45 R. v. Vu, 44-45 reasonable expectation of privacy in, 40
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717 Handy decision connecting factors, 711-712 countervailing factors, 712-713 collusion, 713-714 generally, 709-711 prejudice, 714-716 Identity, to establish commission of crime not in issue,	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44 R. v. Fearon, 47-50 R. v. Morelli, 42-43 R. v. Sop, 45-46 R. v. Spencer, 46-47 R. v. Telus Communications Co., 45 R. v. Vu, 44-45 reasonable expectation of privacy in, 40 search warrants and warrantless
expressed, 79-80, 649-647  SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE Accident, to refute, 722 Admissibility, arguing, 729 Acquittal, effect of, 728-729 Appellate review, 732 Charge to jury re, 730-732 Coincidence and, 713, 724 Collusion, 713-714, 731 Complainant's actions, to explain, 727 Controversial issues, 717 Evidential link to accused, 716-717 Handy decision connecting factors, 711-712 countervailing factors, 712-713 collusion, 713-714 generally, 709-711 prejudice, 714-716 Identity, to establish	computers, 42-47 cross examination on, 173 deactivating, 62 defence access to, 38-39 experts, 35-36 Facebook, 36, 40-42 generally, 34-35 intrusion on reasonable expectation of privacy, 39-40 Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, 33-34 private investigators, 35 R. v. Cole, 43-44 R. v. Fearon, 47-50 R. v. Morelli, 42-43 R. v. Sop, 45-46 R. v. Spencer, 46-47 R. v. Telus Communications Co., 45 R. v. Vu, 44-45 reasonable expectation of privacy in, 40

# SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVILEGE, See PRIVILEGE

STATEMENTS, See also PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS; PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

Assessment, made for purpose of, 707 Attending scene of incident with witness, 69, 85

Compulsion of statute, made under, 701-702

Different statements to police and defence, 87

Handwritten statements by witness, 85 Interview of witness generally

Crown counsel, by, 86-87

in presence of witness, 88 screening for hearsay,

exaggerations, 86

separate taking from each witness, 86

videotaped statements, 20, 88 will-say statements, determining accuracy, 87-88

without signed statement, 85

Signed statements, 84-86 Sworn statements

disadvantages for defence, 84-85 when important, 84-85

Use and derivative use immunity, 704-705

# STATE OF MIND

Hearsay rule, as exception to, 646

# VETROVEC WARNING, 407-410

### **VIDEOTAPES**

Demonstrative evidence, as, 546-548 Pretrial disclosure, as part of, 20, 88 Videotaped testimony of witnesses, 110-113

Videotaping police statements of suspects, 448-449

VIEW, TAKING, 176-180 VOIR DIRE Accused testifying at, 129-131 Evidence not part of trial, 340

### WAIVER, See PRIVILEGE

### WITNESSES

Alibi, interviewing, 135-136 Accused, whether to testify, 118-129, See also ACCUSED

Asking witness to comment on credibility of another witness, 307-308

Character evidence concerning, See CHARACTER WITNESSES

Children, See CHILD WITNESSES Collusion among witnesses, 69, 149, 294, 506, 713-714, 731

Communication by counsel with witness giving evidence, 342-343

Crown duty to call, 116-118

Defence duty to call, 113-116

Demeanour

credibility and, 224-226 evidence, of the accused, 213-224 evidence, of the complainant, 224 when testifying, 224-225

Exclusion of witnesses, order, 261-262, 452-453

Humanizing client, witness, 108-110 Interviewing by Crown counsel, 86-87 Morin Inquiry

recommendations, 87

Observation and recollection details before and after event, 210 factors affecting, 201-203 imagination taking over, 210-211 probing to test accuracy, 202-203 routine matters, 204 showing witness was mistaken, not lying, 205-208, 212 statements given long after event,

204-205, 208-209

unconscious partisanship, 212-213 Order of calling, 100-103

Privileged communications, See PRIVILEGE

Putting witness at ease, 106-107 Refreshing memory, 272-232 past recollection recorded, 228-232 Wigmore criteria, 228

WITNESSES-- continuedpresent recollection revived, 228-232 Testimonial trustworthiness factors affecting, 201-204 statements given long after event, 204 Threatening witness with charge of perjury, 323 Types of witnesses, See also CROSS-EXAMINATION, **TECHNIQUES** biased witness, 262 character witness, See CHARACTER WITNESSES child witness, See CHILD WITNESS exaggerating witness, 263 expert witness, See EXPERT **EVIDENCE/WITNESSES** honest witness, 250-259 hostile witness, See HOSTILE WITNESS jailhouse informant, See JAILHOUSE INFORMANT mistaken witness, 250-259 non-expert witness, opinion evidence by, 681-682 vain witness, 255-256 Videotaped testimony, 110-113 adoption of statement in video, 112 necessity of voir dire to determine admissibility, 112 when permitted, 110-113 whether made within reasonable time, 112

WITNESS STATEMENTS, See STATEMENTS