

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

§ 1:1 Our Approach

CHAPTER 2. *LAVENEER INC. V. SVENGALI LTD.*

§ 2:1 Introduction

§ 2:2 The Plaintiff

§ 2:3 The Defendants

§ 2:4 Laveneer's Claim is Commenced and the Proceeding is Expedited

§ 2:5 The Relevant Substantive Law Underlying Laveneer's Claim

CHAPTER 3. A "GOLDEN RULE" — BE READY TO QUESTION OFF THE LAST ANSWER

§ 3:1 What the Golden Rule Entails

CHAPTER 4. EXAMINATION FOR DISCOVERY

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 4:1 The Purpose of this Chapter

II. THE OBJECTIVES OF AN EXAMINATION FOR DISCOVERY

§ 4:2 Three Phases of Discovery

§ 4:3 The Objectives of an Oral Examination for Discovery

III. PREPARATION FOR AN EFFECTIVE EXAMINATION FOR DISCOVERY

§ 4:4 Introduction

§ 4:5 Discovery Plans and Planning

§ 4:6 Take in the View from Ten Thousand Feet — the Pleadings

§ 4:7 Reviewing the Productions and Documentary Record

SKILLFUL WITNESS EXAMINATIONS IN CIVIL AND ARBITRATION CASES

- § 4:8 Nora Pearce Conducts an Initial Document Production Meeting with Laveneer Representatives
- § 4:9 Natalie Gupta Discusses the Production of Documents with Svengali Representatives
- § 4:10 Reviewing Prior Affidavits and Examinations
- § 4:11 Meeting with your Client to Obtain their Input
- § 4:12 Social Media and Online Research
- § 4:13 Obtaining Input from your Expert
- § 4:14 Preparing and Organizing your Discovery Questions

IV. GETTING READY TO TACKLE THE CONDUCT OF THE EXAMINATION FOR DISCOVERY

- § 4:15 Introduction
- § 4:16 Avoiding Surprises at Trial or the Arbitration Hearing — Discovering your Opponent’s Evidence
- § 4:17 Pinning the Witness Down to their Story
- § 4:18 The Feckless Word “Recall”
- § 4:19 Admissions Made on an Examination for Discovery and their Use at Trial or the Arbitration Hearing
- § 4:20 Tactical Considerations in Planning for Admissions on Discovery
- § 4:21 Dealing with Admissions in Documents on Discovery
- § 4:22 Agreements Regarding Authenticity and Proof of Documents on Discovery
- § 4:23 Dealing with “Time Bombs” Lurking in Documents on Discovery

V. CONDUCTING THE EXAMINATION FOR DISCOVERY

- § 4:24 Examination for Discovery Techniques
- § 4:25 Pressing or Cross-Examining
- § 4:26 Digging Deep on Damaging Evidence
- § 4:27 The Necessary Inquiries
- § 4:28 Undertakings and Advisements
- § 4:29 First Excerpt from the Examination for Discovery of Anthony Watson by Natalie Gupta (Undertakings and Advisements)
- § 4:30 Excerpt from the Written Answers to Undertakings on Behalf of Laveneer
- § 4:31 The Role of Counsel at the Examination for Discovery
- § 4:32 Re-Examination on an Examination for Discovery
- § 4:33 Preparing Your Own Client for Discovery

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VI. EXAMINATION FOR DISCOVERY DEMONSTRATION

- § 4:34 Introduction
- § 4:35 Second Excerpt from the Examination for Discovery
of Anthony Watson on behalf of Laveneer by
Natalie Gupta
- § 4:36 Excerpt from the Examination for Discovery of
Gerald McGrady on behalf of Svengali by Nora
Pearce

CHAPTER 5. EXAMINATION IN CHIEF AT TRIAL OR AN ARBITRATION HEARING

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 5:1 Initial Considerations

II. THE RULE AGAINST ASKING LEADING QUESTIONS

- § 5:2 Introduction
- § 5:3 Statement of the Rule

III. THE ESSENTIAL TECHNIQUE FOR CONDUCTING AN EXAMINATION IN CHIEF: PROCEEDING BIT-BY-BIT

- § 5:4 An Illustrative Example
- § 5:5 First Excerpt from the Examination in Chief of
Ingrid Sherlock by Nate McManus
- § 5:6 A Related Example
- § 5:7 Second Excerpt from the Examination in Chief of
Ingrid Sherlock by Nate McManus
- § 5:8 Proceeding Bit-by-Bit Has its Limits
- § 5:9 Excerpt from the Examination in Chief of Steven
Svengali by Liz Livingstone

IV. FRAMING NON-LEADING QUESTIONS

- § 5:10 Introduction
- § 5:11 Indicating a Line of Inquiry
- § 5:12 “Yes” or “No” is not the Test
- § 5:13 Asking a Question One Step Removed
- § 5:14 Asking a Question Two Steps Removed
- § 5:15 “What . . . if any”
- § 5:16 Giving the Witness a Range of Possibilities

- § 5:17 Eliciting a Denial
- § 5:18 The Improper Leading Question Is Asked and the Damage Is Done: Now What?
- § 5:19 One Specific Exception to the General Rule that Is Worthy of Note

V. PREPARING YOUR WITNESSES FOR EXAMINATION IN CHIEF

- § 5:20 Generally
- § 5:21 Preparing a Party-Witness Who Was Examined for Discovery
- § 5:22 Preparing your Experts for Examination in Chief

CHAPTER 6. CROSS-EXAMINATION AT TRIAL OR AN ARBITRATION HEARING

I. OVERVIEW

- § 6:1 Introduction
- § 6:2 Three Foundational Concepts: Credibility, Control and Confrontation
- § 6:3 Cross-Examination in a Nutshell
- § 6:4 The Principal Objectives of Cross-Examination
- § 6:5 Testing the Witness' Evidence through Close Questioning
- § 6:6 An Audience of One
- § 6:7 Realizing the Objectives

II. CREDIBILITY

- § 6:8 The Ultimate Determination on Credibility
- § 6:9 Impeachment
- § 6:10 It Is not about Confessions; It Is about Credibility

III. CONTROL

A. OVERVIEW

- § 6:11 Introduction
- § 6:12 The Meaning of Control
- § 6:13 Developing a Cross-Examination "Brief" for each Witness
- § 6:14 Your Cross-Examination "Brief"—A Deck of Cards Analogy
- § 6:15 Organizing and Refining your Cross-Examination Brief

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:16 Preparing Verbatim Questions Should Be the Exception and not the Rule

B. *MASTERING THE SKILL OF CONTROL*

- § 6:17 Use Leading Questions
§ 6:18 Your Leading Questions Should Be Clear and Direct
§ 6:19 Be Ready to Question Off the Last Answer (the “Golden Rule”)
§ 6:20 “Do not Ask the Question If You Don’t Know the Answer.”
§ 6:21 “Don’t Gild the Lily.” (Or ask one Question too many)

C. *BUILDING BLOCKS AND CONTROL PIECES*

- § 6:22 Arguing with the Witness is Never Effective
§ 6:23 Making an Argument *Through* the Witness Can Be Very Effective
§ 6:24 Structure and Foundation of the Cross-Examination
§ 6:25 Building Blocks on a Cross-Examination
§ 6:26 Control Pieces on a Cross-Examination
§ 6:27 Putting Building Blocks and Control Pieces in Place
§ 6:28 Climbing the Rungs to an Admission or an Incredible Denial — Cross with your “T”s
§ 6:29 Giving the Witness One Last Chance at the Top of a “T”
§ 6:30 First Excerpt from the Cross-Examination of Anthony Watson by Liz Livingstone
§ 6:31 Some Building Blocks May Be Disconnected from the Ultimate Point
§ 6:32 Firming up Building Blocks with Cement
§ 6:33 First Excerpt from the Cross-Examination of Steven Svengali by Nate McManus
§ 6:34 Provocative Generalities
§ 6:35 Second Excerpt from the Cross-Examination of Anthony Watson by Liz Livingstone
§ 6:36 Leading a Witness Down the Garden Path
§ 6:37 Second Excerpt from the Cross-Examination of Steven Svengali by Nate McManus

IV. CONFRONTATION

A. *OVERVIEW*

- § 6:38 Introduction
§ 6:39 “I suggest to you . . .”

B. *CONTROLLED CONFRONTATION: CROSS-EXAMINING ON PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS (PARTICULARLY FROM ORAL DISCOVERY)*

- § 6:40 Introduction
- § 6:41 An Illustrative Example of Confrontation Using the Witness' Discovery Evidence
- § 6:42 Third Excerpt from the Examination for Discovery of Anthony Watson by Nora Pearce
- § 6:43 Following the Protocol
- § 6:44 Third Excerpt from the Cross-Examination of Anthony Watson by Liz Livingstone
- § 6:45 A Word about Cross-Examination Style—A Baseball Analogy
- § 6:46 Persistence

V. TOOLS OF THE TRADE

A. *EARLY PREPARATION*

- § 6:47 Your Cross-Examination Plan
- § 6:48 Your Cross-Examination Structure

B. *DURING EXAMINATION IN CHIEF*

- § 6:49 Listening and Taking Notes
- § 6:50 Listening for "Tells"
- § 6:51 Listening Impassively
- § 6:52 Watching
- § 6:53 Objections During Examination in Chief
- § 6:54 Excusing the Witness from the Court or Hearing Room during the Argument of Objections

C. *CONSIDERATIONS APPLICABLE IN THE INITIAL STAGES OF YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION*

- § 6:55 Slow Is Fast
- § 6:56 Concentrate on What Matters
- § 6:57 Do Not Lose Track of the Trial Judge or Arbitrator
- § 6:58 Summarizing the Witness' Examination in Chief on a Point
- § 6:59 Definitions
- § 6:60 Low-Hanging Fruit

D. *THE MAIN EVENT*

- § 6:61 Close Questioning and Pinning the Witness Down

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 6:62	Questions that Require a “Yes” or “No” Answer
§ 6:63	Asking the Same Question More than Once
§ 6:64	Interrupting a Witness
§ 6:65	When a Witness Answers with a Speech
§ 6:66	When a Witness Refuses to Answer a Question
§ 6:67	Backtracking
§ 6:68	Casual Admissions
§ 6:69	Logic and Implications
§ 6:70	Restating or Interpreting the Last Answer
§ 6:71	Expanding the Last Answer
§ 6:72	Controlling the Conversation: Transitioning

E. *ADDITIONAL CROSS-EXAMINATION TOOLS*

§ 6:73	Pay Attention to Non-Verbal Clues
§ 6:74	Ignoring a Witness’ Answer
§ 6:75	Not Understanding an Answer
§ 6:76	Dipping Your Toe in the Water
§ 6:77	Just Touching an Area to See What Is Coming
§ 6:78	Chasing Rabbits
§ 6:79	Incredibility Riffs
§ 6:80	Incredibility Riffs on Collateral Issues
§ 6:81	“I do not recall” Can Be a Tell
§ 6:82	Excerpt from the Cross-Examination of Ingrid Sherlock by Liz Livingstone
§ 6:83	A Convoluted Answer to a Simple Question Can Also Be a Tell
§ 6:84	Emphasizing a Witness’ Untenable Position
§ 6:85	Other Questions Specifically Intended for the Trial Judge or Arbitrator
§ 6:86	The Treatment of Witnesses by the Court or Arbitrator, and Counsel
§ 6:87	Approaching the Witness
§ 6:88	The Power of Distance
§ 6:89	Being Unfair to a Witness
§ 6:90	Counsel Commenting on the Witness’ Answer
§ 6:91	Pace
§ 6:92	The Framing of Questions for Particular Witnesses
§ 6:93	Pressing the Witness for the Answer You Are Looking For
§ 6:94	Breaking the Fourth Wall
§ 6:95	Non-Party Witnesses
§ 6:96	Cross-Examining a Witness Called for a Narrow Purpose
§ 6:97	Limiting the Damage Done by a Non-Party Witness

SKILLFUL WITNESS EXAMINATIONS IN CIVIL AND ARBITRATION CASES

- § 6:98 Handling Surprise Evidence from a Non-Party Witness
- § 6:99 An Illustrative Example of an Effective Cross-Examination of a Non-Party Witness
- § 6:100 Cross-Examination of Harold Burns by Nate McManus
- § 6:101 Intervention in a Cross-Examination by the Trial Judge or Arbitrator
- § 6:102 Objections by Opposing Counsel
- § 6:103 Closing a Cross-Examination with a Flourish
- § 6:104 Excerpt from the Conclusion to the Cross-Examination of Anthony Watson by Liz Livingstone
- § 6:105 Excerpt from the Conclusion of the Cross-Examination of Steven Svengali by Nate McManus
- § 6:106 Re-Examination following Cross-Examination at Trial or the Arbitration Hearing
- § 6:107 Excerpt from the Re-examination of Harold Burns by Liz Livingstone
- § 6:108 Excerpt from the Re-examination of Ingrid Sherlock by Nate McManus
- § 6:109 Preparing a Witness for Cross-Examination

CHAPTER 7. CROSS-EXAMINING THE DEPONENT OF AN AFFIDAVIT OR WITNESS STATEMENT

- § 7:1 Introduction
- § 7:2 You Are Cross-Examining the Affiant, not the Affidavit or Witness Statement
- § 7:3 The Cross-Examination Opportunity Inherent in the Nature of Affidavits and Witness Statements
- § 7:4 The Transcript
- § 7:5 The Examination Room
- § 7:6 Interference by Opposing Counsel
- § 7:7 Re-examination on an Out-of-Court Cross-Examination
- § 7:8 Illustrative Cross-Examination on a Motion
- § 7:9 Excerpt from the Cross-Examination of Anthony Watson on his Affidavit by Liz Livingstone—Proper Technique
- § 7:10 Excerpt from a Hypothetical Cross-Examination of Anthony Watson on his Affidavit by Liz Livingstone — Improper Technique
- § 7:11 Cross-Examining on Filed Affidavits or Witness

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statements at a Hearing before a Judge or
Arbitrator

CHAPTER 8. EXAMINATION AND CROSS- EXAMINATION OF EXPERTS

- § 8:1 Introduction
- § 8:2 Expert Reports in *Laveneer v. Svengali*
- § 8:3 The Expert Report of Simon Foster Delivered by the Plaintiff
- § 8:4 The Expert Report of Vivian Chandler Delivered by the Defendants
- § 8:5 Lay Witnesses vs. Expert Witnesses
- § 8:6 The Admissibility of Opinion Evidence
- § 8:7 The Four Elemental Criteria for the Admission of Expert Evidence
- § 8:8 Residual Discretion
- § 8:9 A Fifth Criterion: Independence and Impartiality
- § 8:10 Threshold Admissibility vs. Weight
- § 8:11 Expert Reports at Trial or the Arbitration Hearing
- § 8:12 Applicable Rules of Civil Procedure or Arbitration Rules
- § 8:13 The Expert's Mandate
- § 8:14 Draft Expert Reports and the Expert's Working "File"
- § 8:15 The *Voir Dire*: An Expert's Qualifications
- § 8:16 Preparation for Cross-Examination of an Expert
- § 8:17 Meeting with Your own Expert to Prepare
- § 8:18 The Extent of the Opposing Expert's Expertise
- § 8:19 The Expert's Working "File"
- § 8:20 Communicating with the Trier of Fact: Laying the Groundwork
- § 8:21 The Basis of Control: Cementing in the Expert
- § 8:22 The Overriding Objective
- § 8:23 Experts Are People Too
- § 8:24 Credibility and Reliability
- § 8:25 What the Expert Did - or Did Not Do — to Reach Their Opinion
- § 8:26 Assumptions
- § 8:27 Incomplete Information
- § 8:28 Fairness vs. "Torquing"
- § 8:29 Hypotheticals
- § 8:30 Out on a Limb
- § 8:31 Illustrative Cross-Examinations of Experts in *Laveneer v. Svengali*
- § 8:32 Cross-Examination of Simon Foster

SKILLFUL WITNESS EXAMINATIONS IN CIVIL AND ARBITRATION CASES

- § 8:33 Transcript of the Cross-Examination of Simon Foster by Liz Livingstone
- § 8:34 Cross-Examination of Vivian Chandler
- § 8:35 Transcript of the Cross-Examination of Vivian Chandler by Nate McManus

CHAPTER 9. A SURPRISE AT TRIAL

- § 9:1 Introduction
- § 9:2 Excerpt from the Second Cross-Examination of Ingrid Sherlock by Liz Livingstone

CHAPTER 10. EPILOGUE

- § 10:1 McManus Reaches Out

Table of Cases

Index