

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. A SHIFTING LANDSCAPE: NON-DISCLOSURE OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENTS IN MULTI-PARTY LITIGATION

I. OVERVIEW

§ 1:1 Generally

II. THE ORIGINS OF THE DISCLOSURE OBLIGATION(S) FOR PARTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS

§ 1:2 Background

§ 1:3 The *Mary Carter* Agreement

§ 1:4 The *Pierringer* Agreement

§ 1:5 Disclosure of Other Litigation Agreements

III. THE *AECON* FRAMEWORK

§ 1:6 The *Aecon* Decision—Reconstructing the Facts of
Aecon

§ 1:7 —The Automatic Stay Rule

§ 1:8 *Handley Estate* Reaffirms the *Aecon* Framework

§ 1:9 The Octalogy

§ 1:10 —The Requirement of “Immediate” Disclosure

§ 1:11 —Sufficiency of Disclosure

IV. DEFINING A “FUNDAMENTAL SHIFT IN THE LITIGATION LANDSCAPE”

§ 1:12 Introduction

§ 1:13 Type of Agreement

§ 1:14 —Agreements That Do Not Change the Litigation
Landscape

§ 1:15 —Agreements That Always Change the Litigation
Landscape

§ 1:16 —Agreements That May Change the Litigation
Landscape

§ 1:17 — —Assignment Agreements

- § 1:18 — —Cooperation Agreements
- § 1:19 The Relationship Between the Parties
- § 1:20 —The Role of the Settling Parties
- § 1:21 —The Nature of the Allegations
- § 1:22 —Switching From Pleaded Positions
- § 1:23 —The Existence of Cross-Claims
- § 1:24 —Evidence of Cooperation
- § 1:25 —Intentional Non-Disclosure
- § 1:26 Terms of the Agreement
- § 1:27 Specific Terms of the Agreement—Extent of the Cooperation
- § 1:28 —Continued Participation

V. THE SHAKY FOUNDATIONS OF THE AUTOMATIC STAY RULE

- § 1:29 Generally

CHAPTER 2. PROPORTIONALITY IN PLEADINGS MOTIONS: APPLYING THE *HYRNIAC* CULTURE SHIFT TO THE ASSESSMENT OF NOVEL CLAIMS

- § 2:1 Introduction
- § 2:2 *Hryniak* and the “Culture Shift”
- § 2:3 Courts’ Reluctance to Strike Novel Claims
- § 2:4 Achieving Proportionality in Class Procedure
- § 2:5 The “Intrusion Upon Seclusion” Trilogy
- § 2:6 Conclusion

CHAPTER 3. A ROADMAP FOR UNJUST ENRICHMENT AND RESULTING TRUST CLAIMS (YES, THEY ARE DIFFERENT)

- § 3:1 Introduction
- § 3:2 Unjust Enrichment Claims
- § 3:3 Resulting Trust Claims

CHAPTER 4. NO LEG TO STAND ON? DEVELOPMENTS IN THE LAW OF STANDING IN CANADA

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 4:1 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. PUBLIC INTEREST STANDING

- § 4:2 Supreme Court Reaffirms and Clarifies the General Test for Public Interest Standing
- § 4:3 Patients Have No Standing to Challenge Regulatory Decisions of Discipline College Vis-à-Vis Their Doctor
- § 4:4 Standing of “Watchdog Groups” Will Depend on the Legislative Scheme
- § 4:5 Standing in Climate Change Litigation
- § 4:6 Standing for Self-Represented Litigants

III. OTHER STANDING CASES

- § 4:7 Test for Private Interest Standing Remains Distinct From the More Flexible Public Interest Standing Analysis
- § 4:8 Standing to Challenge Orders Regarding Court Openness
- § 4:9 Tribunal Standing to Appeal

IV. CONCLUSION

- § 4:10 Generally

CHAPTER 5. THE LAW OF LAW ENFORCEMENT: A PRIMER

- § 5:1 Introduction
- § 5:2 Overview of the Legal Framework Within Which Police Operate
- § 5:3 Civil Liability of the Police—Interplay Between the Criminal and Civil Justice Systems
- § 5:4 —Scope of the Police Duty of Care
- § 5:5 —Civil Adjudication of Alleged Police Liability
- § 5:6 —The Requirement to Prove An Absence of RPG
- § 5:7 —Malicious Prosecution
- § 5:8 —Negligent Investigation
- § 5:9 —Claims for Breach of *Charter* Rights
- § 5:10 —Damages for False/Wrongful Imprisonment
- § 5:11 Practical Considerations
- § 5:12 Conclusion

CHAPTER 6. EMPLOYMENT LAW LITIGATION POST-COVID-19

- § 6:1 Introduction: A Workplace Changed Overnight
- § 6:2 Looking Back: A Timeline of Temporary Covid-19 Measures

- § 6:3 Workplace Closures, Reductions in Work and Resulting Constructive Dismissal Litigation
- § 6:4 *Coutinho*: Ontario’s First IDEL Constructive Dismissal Decision
- § 6:5 *Taylor V. Hanley Hospitality Inc.*—A Conflicting Decision
- § 6:6 The *Taylor* Appeal
- § 6:7 *Pham V. Qualified Metal Fabricators Ltd.*, 2023 ONCA 255
- § 6:8 Vaccination Policy Litigation
- § 6:9 Vaccination Policy Litigation in Provincial Courts
- § 6:10 *National Organized Workers Union V. Sinai Health System*, 2022 ONCA 802
- § 6:11 Failure to Comply With Employer’s Vaccination Policy Resulted in Frustration of the Employment Contract
- § 6:12 The Way Ahead: the Full Effect of the Pandemic Remains Unknown

CHAPTER 7. JUDGING IN A CULTURALLY COMPLEX SOCIETY: WHAT IS CULTURAL COMPETENCE AND WHY IS IT IMPORTANT?

- § 7:1 Judging in a Culturally Complex Society: What Is Cultural Competence and Why Is It Important?

CHAPTER 8. THE CIVIL JURY IN CANADA: EVADING THE INSCRUTABLE AND UPHOLDING JUSTICE THROUGH COMMUNITY STANDARDS

- § 8:1 Introduction
- § 8:2 Why We Have Civil Juries
- § 8:3 How Civil Juries Are Deployed
- § 8:4 Why Litigants and Lawyers Choose Civil Juries
- § 8:5 Civil Juries and the Myth of Case Complexity
- § 8:6 Problems With How We Deploy Civil Juries—Problems With Jury Instructions
- § 8:7 —Jurors Lack Legal Training
- § 8:8 —Juries, Confusing Questions and Confusing Answers
- § 8:9 — —The Challenges With the *Maher* Questions
- § 8:10 —Juries and Particulars
- § 8:11 — —The History Behind Asking for Jury Particulars

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:12 — —The Loss of the Oracle
- § 8:13 — —What Standards to Evaluate the Particulars?
- § 8:14 — —What Process for Writing Particulars?
- § 8:15 — —Unjust to Require Particulars Only for Positive Findings
- § 8:16 — —The Unanimity Conundrum
- § 8:17 — —The Problem With Peeking Behind A Verdict
- § 8:18 Conclusion

CHAPTER 9. ALLEVIATING DELAY AND ADVOCATING EFFECTIVELY THROUGH THE PRE-TRIAL AND TRIAL MANAGEMENT PROCESSES

- § 9:1 Introduction
- § 9:2 Blurring the Lines Between Procedural and Substantive Issues in Pre-Trial and Trial Management
- § 9:3 Pre-trial
- § 9:4 Pre-Trial—Curtailing Motions Culture Through Case Management
- § 9:5 —Striking Jury Notices to Manage Trial Times
- § 9:6 —Moving for Summary Judgment—Availability of Summary Judgment
- § 9:7 — —Salvaging Summary Judgment Motions
- § 9:8 —Seeking A Summary Trial
- § 9:9 Managing the Trial
- § 9:10 —Effective Use of Pre-Trial Conferences
- § 9:11 —Creative Trial Management: Non-Continuous Trials
- § 9:12 —Broad Trial Management Powers
- § 9:13 Conclusion

CHAPTER 10. CIVIL TRIAL MANAGEMENT TOOLKIT

- § 10:1 Introduction
- § 10:2 Judiciary's Trial Management Duties
- § 10:3 Trial Management Responsibilities of the Advocates
- § 10:4 The Self-Represented Litigant
- § 10:5 The Media and the Public
- § 10:6 Trial Management: Strategy and Practical Tips
- § 10:7 Trial Management Tools
- § 10:8 —Conduct of the Trial

ANNUAL REVIEW OF CIVIL LITIGATION

- § 10:9 —Adjournments
- § 10:10 —Evidence and the Examination of Witnesses
- § 10:11 —Argument
- § 10:12 Trial Management Enforcement Powers
- § 10:13 —Declaring A Mistrial
- § 10:14 —Disqualifying Counsel
- § 10:15 —The Contempt Power
- § 10:16 —Awarding Costs
- Appendix 10A. Schedule A—Memorandum to Self-
Represented Litigant in Non-Jury Trial
- Appendix 10B. Schedule B—Memorandum to Self-
Represented Litigant in A Jury Trial

Table of Cases

Index