

Table of Contents

<i>Editorial Panel</i>	iii
<i>Dedication</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>Introduction</i>	xlvi

PART I LAW APPLICABLE TO TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITIONS

<i>Chapter 1</i> Testamentary Capacity Necessary for a Will	1
1 Summary of Testamentary Capacity	2
2 Onus and Standard of Proof	5
(a) Onus of Proof for Testamentary Capacity	5
(b) Standard of Proof for Testamentary Capacity	6
(c) Meaning of Scrutinizing “According to Gravity”	6
3 The Presumption of Testamentary Capacity	10
(a) The Presumption of Testamentary Capacity in General	10
(b) The Expression of the Presumption in <i>Vout v. Hay</i>	12
(c) Triggering the Presumption of Testamentary Capacity	20
(d) Displacing the Presumption of Capacity	30
(e) Nature of the Presumption of Capacity	33
(f) Sidestepping the Presumption of Capacity	34
(g) Relying on the Presumption of Capacity	38
(h) Application to Other Testamentary Documents	39
4 The Test from <i>Banks v. Goodfellow</i>	41
5 Efforts at a Modern Restatement of the Test	45
6 Meaning of a “Disposing Mind and Memory”	46
7 Test Broken Down by Elements	48
(a) Assets	49
(b) Objects of Bounty	58
(c) Nature and Effect of Will	65
(d) Common Field of View	75
8 Technical Provisions and Tax Structuring	77
9 The Diminishing Mind	82
(a) A Failing Mind Can Still Exhibit Testamentary Capacity	82
(b) The “Imprecise Divide”	86
(c) Underlying Judicial Policy	87
10 Disorders of the Mind	88

11	Practical Nature of the Test	95
12	Standard for Capacity at Execution of a Will	97
	(a) Timing of Testamentary Capacity in General	97
	(b) Historical Review of <i>Parker v. Felgate</i> and the English Case Law	98
	(c) The Application of <i>Parker v. Felgate</i> in Canada	108
	(d) Expanding the Principles From <i>Parker v. Felgate</i>	110
	(e) Actual Understanding versus Capacity to Understand	113
	(f) Impact of Delay	114
	(g) Change of Mind	116
13	Relevant and Irrelevant Considerations	118
	(a) Ability to Carry On Normal Conversation	119
	(b) Eccentricity	119
	(c) The Content of the Will Itself	120
	(d) Miscellaneous Considerations	122
	(e) Consent by Heirs Irrelevant	124
14	Medical Assessments	126
15	Relaxing the Test from <i>Banks v. Goodfellow</i>	133
16	Impact on Will Instrument and Availability of Severance	137
	(a) Impact of Incapacity in General	137
	(b) Void or Voidable	137
	(c) Availability or Non-Availability of Severance	142
<i>Chapter 2</i>	The Impact of Delusions on Will-Making	157
1	Delusions in Summary	157
2	Definition of Delusion	159
3	Requirement that Delusion be Impelling	164
4	Lucid Intervals	167
5	Delusions as to Fact Contrasted to Delusions as to Motive	170
6	Delusions Contrasted With Mistaken Beliefs	177
7	Delusions Contrasted With Disorders of the Mind	178
8	Delusions Causing Rational Wills	181
9	Lay and Medical Evidence of Delusions	184
10	Influencing Non-Dispositive Provisions	185
<i>Chapter 3</i>	Testamentary Dispositions Other Than Wills	187
1	Codicils	187
	(a) General Rules for Codicils	187
	(b) Applicable Capacity Test for Codicils	188
	(c) The Impact of Republication	195

2	The Capacity to Revoke a Will.....	198
	(a) Revocation in General.....	198
	(b) Onus and Standard of Proof for Revocation.....	199
	(c) Applicable Capacity Test for Revocation.....	203
	(d) Presumption of Revocation in Lost Will Situations....	210
3	Beneficiary Designations.....	211
	(a) Beneficiary Designations Generally.....	211
	(b) Applicable Capacity Test for Beneficiary Designations.....	212
	(c) The Interface with Contract.....	222
	(d) Concurrent with Other Dispositions.....	223
<i>Chapter 4</i>	Knowledge and Approval.....	225
1	Summary of Knowledge and Approval.....	225
2	Onus and Standard of Proof for Knowledge and Approval.....	227
	(a) Onus of Proof for Knowledge and Approval.....	227
	(b) Standard of Proof for Knowledge and Approval.....	228
3	Presumption of Knowledge and Approval.....	234
	(a) The Presumption of Knowledge and Approval in General.....	234
	(b) Triggering the Presumption of Knowledge and Approval.....	236
	(c) Displacing the Presumption of Knowledge and Approval.....	246
	(d) Relationship Between the Two Presumptions.....	251
4	Knowledge and Approval as a Separate Requirement.....	254
5	The Core Concept of Knowledge and Approval.....	256
6	Mistake.....	259
7	Barriers of Language and Literacy.....	260
8	No Requirement that Will be Read.....	264
9	Knowledge and Approval as a Precursor Issue.....	266
10	Boiler-Plate and Other Add-in Clauses.....	269
11	Predators — The Doctrine of Righteousness.....	272
	(a) Doctrine of Righteousness in General.....	272
	(b) History and Development of the Requirement for True and Informed Approval.....	274
	(c) Applicability to Beneficiary Designations and Other Transfers.....	302
	(d) Doctrine Operating as an Effective Bar to Probate....	307
	(e) Proper Characterization of the Rule.....	310

12	Impact of Lack of Knowledge and Approval on Will Instrument.....	312
	(a) Void or Voidable Where Knowledge and Approval Absent.....	312
	(b) Severance Where Knowledge and Approval Absent ...	315
	(c) Severance Compared to Equitable Rectification	321
	(d) Possibility of Ratification by Will-Maker	330
<i>Chapter 5</i>	Undue Influence as a Challenge to Wills	337
1	Testamentary Undue Influence Generally.....	337
2	Onus and Standard of Proof for Testamentary Undue Influence.....	340
	(a) Onus of Proof for Testamentary Undue Influence.....	340
	(b) Standard of Proof for Testamentary Undue Influence.....	341
3	Type of Conduct Amounting to Testamentary Undue Influence.....	342
	(a) Conduct Must Amount to Coercion	342
	(b) Persuasion Permitted for Wills.....	353
	(c) Fraud as a Subspecies of Undue Influence.....	355
4	Causation as a Necessary Requirement	366
5	No Presumption of Undue Influence.....	368
	(a) Presumption of Undue Influence Not Applying to Wills.....	368
	(b) Presumption Not Applying to Other Testamentary Dispositions.....	388
6	Impact on Will Instrument and Availability of Severance	391
	(a) Void or Voidable for Testamentary Undue Influence	391
	(b) Availability of Severance in Undue Influence Cases ...	393
PART II LAW APPLICABLE TO <i>INTER VIVOS</i> DISPOSITIONS		
<i>Chapter 6</i>	Capacity to Make <i>Inter Vivos</i> Wealth Transfers	399
1	Capacity for <i>Inter Vivos</i> Transfers Summarized	399
2	Onus and Standard of Proof.....	402
	(a) Summary Relating to Onus and Standard in Gift Cases.....	402
	(b) Case Law Review Relating to Onus of Proof in Gift Cases.....	403
	(c) Conclusions Relating to Onus.....	414

	(d) Standard of Proof in <i>Inter Vivos</i> Wealth Transfers.....	418
3	Test for Capacity Applicable to Gifts	419
	(a) Traditional Expression of the Test	419
	(b) The Modern Evolution of the Test Applying to Gifts.....	420
	(c) The Application of the Test to Various Kinds of Gifts.....	423
4	Test Applicable to Other <i>Inter Vivos</i> Wealth Transfers	431
	(a) Transfers into Joint Tenancy	431
	(b) Settlements of Property into Trust	437
	(c) Other <i>Inter Vivos</i> Wealth Transfers.....	441
5	Standard for Capacity at Execution for <i>Inter Vivos</i> Transfer.....	441
6	Impact of Finding of Incapacity on Gift.....	444
7	Special Rule for Wards of Court	456
<i>Chapter 7</i>	Intention to Make <i>Inter Vivos</i> Wealth Transfers.....	463
1	Intention for <i>Inter Vivos</i> Transfers Summarized	463
2	Onus and Standard of Proof Relating to Intent	465
	(a) General Approach to Onus and Standard	465
	(b) The English Approach to Onus and Presumptions.....	466
	(c) The Canadian Approach to Onus and Presumptions	471
	(d) The Conceptual Model for Explaining Onus	476
	(e) Applicability of the Presumption of Advancement	484
3	The Requirement for Intent	486
	(a) The Core Requirement	486
	(b) Intent Required for an <i>Inter Vivos</i> Gift.....	488
	(c) Intent Required for a Gift <i>Donatio Mortis Causa</i>	490
	(d) Intent Required to Settle a Trust	491
4	Marshalling Evidence to Establish Intent	495
	(a) The Parol Evidence Rule	495
	(b) Evidence Before and After the Act.....	503
5	Comparing Intention to Knowledge and Approval	505
6	<i>Non Est Factum</i>	508
7	The Impact of Want of Intention.....	518
8	Rectification	520
<i>Chapter 8</i>	<i>Inter Vivos</i> Undue Influence.....	523
1	Summary of <i>Inter Vivos</i> Undue Influence	523
2	Onus and Standard of Proof for <i>Inter Vivos</i> Undue Influence.....	529
	(a) Onus of Proof for <i>Inter Vivos</i> Undue Influence.....	529

	(b) Standard of Proof for <i>Inter Vivos</i> Undue Influence.....	531
	(c) Operation of the Presumption of Undue Influence.....	532
3	Categorizing <i>Inter Vivos</i> Undue Influence	539
4	Undue Influence by Conduct (“Actual Undue Influence”).....	542
	(a) Undue Influence by Conduct Generally	542
	(b) Types of Conduct Amounting to Actual Undue Influence.....	545
	(c) Distinguishing Between Persuasion and Actual Undue Influence.....	551
5	Undue Influence by Relationship (“Presumed Undue Influence”).....	552
	(a) Undue Influence by Relationship Generally	552
	(b) Relationships That Qualify	553
	(c) Transactions That Qualify	563
	(d) The Relationship as Imposing Duties.....	567
	(e) Impact of Relationship on Conduct Threshold	571
	(f) Defending the Wealth Transfer from Undue Influence by Relationship	578
6	Some <i>Inter Vivos</i> Transfers Treated as Testamentary	587
7	Gifts Rendered Voidable and Not Void	591
8	Equitable Defences of Laches and Acquiescence	591
9	Securing the Return of Assets from Innocent Third Parties.....	595
<i>Chapter 9</i>	Other Equitable Challenges to Gifts	599
1	Equitable Challenges in General	599
2	Unconscionable Bargains (Exploitation of Special Disadvantage).....	604
	(a) Unconscionable Bargains in General.....	604
	(b) English Case Law	606
	(c) Evolution of the Doctrine in Canada	607
	(d) The Applicable Onus and Presumption for Unconscionable Bargain	615
	(e) The Best Expression of the Doctrine of Unconscionable Bargain	617
	(f) The Requirement for Special Disadvantage.....	620
	(g) The Requirement for Undervalue.....	621
	(h) Relational Inequality Versus Special Disadvantage	625
	(i) Whether Culpable Conduct Required.....	625
	(j) Impact and Remedy for Unconscionable Bargain	627
3	Unconscionable Procurement.....	627
	(a) Unconscionable Procurement Generally	627

(b) The Applicable Onus and Presumption for Unconscionable Procurement.....	630
(c) The Best Expression of the Doctrine of Unconscionable Procurement.....	633
(d) Situations Where Applicable.....	639
(e) Defending the Wealth Transfer from Unconscionable Procurement.....	645
(f) Whether a Freestanding Doctrine	652
(g) Current Status of the Doctrine.....	655
(h) The Requirement That the Gift Be Significant	659
(i) Whether Conduct Must Be Culpable	661
(j) Comparison to Common Law Doctrine of Righteousness	663
(k) Impact and Remedy	664
4 Transactions Amounting to Equitable Fraud	666
5 Transactions Violating the Policy of the Law.....	668

PART III GENERAL ISSUES

<i>Chapter 10</i> Overarching Capacity Principles	675
1 An Across-the-Board Test for Capacity	675
2 An Across-the-Board Approach to Onus	679
3 Theoretical Considerations Relating to Capacity.....	682
(a) Intrinsic Complexity as a Consideration.....	682
(b) Significance as a Consideration.....	682
(c) Social Purpose as a Consideration	683
(d) Linear Increase Model	684
(e) Dual Roles Model	685
(f) Different Tests for Different Purposes.....	686
4 The Concept of a Capacity Hierarchy.....	687
<i>Chapter 11</i> Compound Transactions	691
1 The Challenge Presented by Compound Transactions	691
2 Dealing with Capacity in Compound Transactions	692
(a) Framework for Addressing Capacity in Compound Transactions	692
(b) Capacity and Same-Day Compound Transactions.....	694
(c) Capacity and Multiple-Day Compound Transactions	696
3 Intention and Testamentary Knowledge and Approval	698
(a) Framework for Addressing Intention and Testamentary Knowledge and Approval	698

	(b) Intention and Testamentary Knowledge and Approval in Same-Day Compound Transactions	699
	(c) Intention and Testamentary Knowledge and Approval in Multiple-Day Compound Transactions...	699
4	Testamentary Undue Influence and <i>Inter Vivos</i> Undue Influence.....	700
	(a) Framework for Addressing Testamentary and <i>Inter Vivos</i> Undue Influence	700
	(b) Undue Influence in Same-Day Compound Transactions	701
	(c) Undue Influence in Multiple-Day Compound Transactions	701
5	Dealing with Procurement in Compound Transactions	704
	(a) Framework for Addressing Procurement in Compound Transactions.....	704
	(b) Procurement in the Context of Same-Day Compound Transactions.....	705
	(c) Procurement in the Context of Multiple-Day Compound Transactions.....	705
6	Framework for Addressing Other Types of Challenge.....	706
Chapter 12 Controlling for Capacity During Planning		709
1	General Remarks.....	709
2	Interviewing and Taking Instructions.....	713
	(a) The Process of Asking Questions	713
	(b) The “Bounty Question”	717
	(c) Additional Screening Questions	718
	(d) Dealing Directly with Will-Maker.....	719
3	Making Notes.....	720
4	Verifying Information	722
5	Commissioning Medical Assessments	723
	(a) General Comments and Suggestions	723
	(b) Sample Letters of Commission.....	732
6	Active Assessment Techniques	759
	(a) Recovered Capacity	760
	(b) Charts and Graphs	762
	(c) Gearing the Plan to the Capacity Level.....	763
	(d) Manipulating the Interview Circumstances.....	764
7	Steps at Execution	764
8	Grey Area Execution When Capacity in Doubt	765
	(a) The Case for Proceeding to Execution	766
	(b) The Permissible Level of Doubt.....	777

9	Suggested Practice Protocols.....	780
	(a) Assessing Capacity in the Normal Course.....	780
	(b) Assessing Questionable Capacity in an Emergency Setting	782
	(c) Assessing Questionable Capacity in a Non-Emergency.....	786
10	Tear-Away Wills.....	787
11	Codicils Republishing and Confirming.....	791
12	Retainer to “Bullet Proof” a Will.....	791
13	Structuring the Retainer	794
<i>Chapter 13 Controlling for Other Types of Challenge</i>		799
1	Controlling for Testamentary Undue Influence	800
	(a) General Comments in Controlling for Testamentary Undue Influence	800
	(b) Express the Concern to the Client.....	800
	(c) Interview Alone	801
	(d) Ask Blunt Questions.....	801
	(e) Offer a Confidential Replacement Will	803
	(f) Course of Conduct in “Grey Area”.....	806
	(g) Fee Considerations in Dealing with Undue Influence	807
	(h) Medical Opinions and Testamentary Undue Influence	809
	(i) Protocol Controlling for Testamentary Undue Influence	809
2	Controlling for Testamentary Knowledge and Approval	810
	(a) General Comments in Controlling for Testamentary Knowledge and Approval	810
	(b) Confirming Knowledge and Approval in the Ordinary Course.....	810
	(c) Identifying Procurers	812
	(d) Confirming Knowledge and Approval When Procurer Present	813
	(e) A Protocol to Respond to the Doctrine of Righteousness.....	815
	(f) The Interface with Diminished Capacity	815
	(g) Grey Area Executions When Knowledge and Approval is at Question.....	816
3	Controlling for <i>Inter Vivos</i> Intention.....	816

4	Controlling for <i>Inter Vivos</i> Undue Influence and Other Challenges	818
(a)	General Comments in Controlling for Equitable Challenges	818
(b)	Look for Victimization	820
(c)	Look for Protective Measures	821
(d)	Ensure Advice Independent	822
(e)	Ensure True and Complete Understanding	824
(f)	Have Client Sign-Off on Warning	825
(g)	Possible Value of Medical Opinion	826
(h)	The Problem Posed by Grey Area Execution	828
(i)	A Protocol to Deal With Equitable Challenges	829
(j)	Elder Abuse and Breaching Confidentiality.....	830
<i>Chapter 14</i>	Liability for Negligence During the Planning Process	831
1	General Remarks in Relation to Liability	831
2	Liability to Intended Beneficiaries.....	831
3	Liability to Beneficiaries Under Prior Wills.....	833
4	Case Law Review	835
<i>Index</i>	843