

Table of Contents

<i>Editorial Advisory Board</i>	iii
<i>ARIL Society Inc Board of Directors</i>	v
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>About the Editors</i>	ix
<i>Preface</i>	xi
<i>Summary Table of Contents</i>	xiii

A Reframing of the Corporate Attribution Doctrine in the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Context	1
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Corporate Attribution Doctrine: Supreme Court Jurisprudence.....	3
1. <i>Canadian Dredge SCC</i>	3
2. <i>Livent</i>	5
3. <i>DBDC SCC</i>	8
III. Corporate Attribution Doctrine in Bankruptcy and Insolvency Cases.....	11
1. <i>Aquino</i>	11
2. <i>Golden Oaks</i>	15
IV. Corporate Attribution Doctrine: What Lies Ahead?.....	19

Staying Guarantees By Non-Debtors and Section 11.04 of the CCAA	21
I. Background to the Introduction of the Restriction on Stays Affecting Guarantees.....	23
1. Letters of Credit and Guarantees.....	23
2. <i>CCAA</i> Jurisdiction Interpreted Broadly.....	25
3. Letters of Credit: The <i>Woodward's</i> Problems.....	27
4. Guarantees: Unclear Whether Stay is Available.....	30
II. 1997–2009: Section 11.2 of the <i>CCAA</i>	32
1. Development of the Restriction.....	32
2. The Restriction in Section 11.2 of the <i>CCAA</i>	33
3. Scope of Exception Is Immediately Questioned.....	34
4. No Judicial Commentary Discussing the Scope of the Exception.....	35
III. Post-2009: Section 11.04 of the <i>CCAA</i>	36
1. Development of the Reworded Restriction.....	36

2. The Reworded Restriction in Section 11.04 of the <i>CCAA</i>	38
3. No Consistent Interpretation of Section 11.04 in Case Law.....	40
i. Broad interpretation of section 11.04: <i>Re Northern Transportation Company Limited</i> ...	40
ii. Narrow interpretation of section 11.04: <i>Re Charles Morissette inc.</i>	42
iii. Stays extended to guarantees without section 11.04 being discussed	44
4. Non-Derogation Provisions in Model Initial Orders.....	46
IV. Interpreting Section 11.04	47
1. Modern Approach to Statutory Interpretation	47
2. Interpreting the <i>CCAA</i> : General Principles	48
3. Considering a Narrow Interpretation of Section 11.04	50
i. Reading section 11.04 together with section 11.02	50
ii. Case law supports that third-party stays may be granted under section 11	52
iii. The language of the restriction seems designed to fix the <i>Woodward's</i> problem	53
iv. Consistent with majority of case law	54
v. Potential inconsistency with legislative history ...	54
vi. Impacts on reliability of letters of credit and guarantees	58
4. Considering a Broad Interpretation of Section 11.04	59
i. Remedial purpose of the <i>CCAA</i>	59
ii. Narrow interpretations have been applied to other restrictions	59
iii. A broad interpretation could extend the restriction beyond guarantees	60
iv. A broad interpretation may force otherwise solvent entities to file for <i>CCAA</i> protection.....	60
v. A broad interpretation may result in stays being unavailable to partnerships and individuals.....	61

vi. Inconsistent with increased use of stays for other types of joint or derivative obligations.....	61
5. Considering the Standalone Interpretation of Section 11.04	63
V. Conclusion	64
Split Success? A Cross-Country Retrospective on Seeking an Appeal under Section 193 of the BIA	65
I. Introduction.....	65
II. Research.....	65
1. Literature Review	65
2. Scope and Objectives	69
III. Overall Trends in Section 193 Jurisprudence.....	72
1. Number of Decisions per Year	72
2. Number of Decisions by Jurisdiction	73
3. Duration	74
4. Types of Parties.....	76
5. Types of Proceedings	77
6. Industry Type.....	78
7. Types of Lower Court Decisions.....	78
IV. Grounds of Appeal under Section 193	79
1. Success in Obtaining Appeal as of Leave or as of Right	80
2. Frequency of Grounds Chosen under Section 193 ...	80
3. Subsection 193(a): Issues Involving Future Rights...	83
4. Subsection 193(b): Affecting Cases of a Similar Nature	85
5. Subsection 193(c): Value of Property Involved in Appeal Exceeds \$10,000	88
6. Subsection 193(d): Grant or Refusal to Grant a Discharge if the Aggregate Unpaid Claims of Creditors Exceed \$500	92
7. Subsection 193(e): Leave to Appeal by Judge or Court	93
i. Factors considered by courts in granting leave to appeal.....	94
ii. Whether the proposed appeal prima facie is of general importance to the practice where leave to appeal is sought	98

iii. Whether the proposed appeal prima facie has merit in cases where leave to appeal is sought	99
iv. Whether the proposed appeal would unduly hinder progress where leave to appeal is sought.....	100
8. Overall Success on the Merits of the Appeal.....	101
V. Conclusion	102
Going Green? New Interpretations of <i>Redwater</i> from Canada's Natural Resources Sectors	105
I. Introduction.....	105
II. <i>Redwater</i> Revisited	106
1. The SCC's Decision in <i>Redwater</i>	106
2. Some of the Questions Left Open by <i>Redwater</i>	109
i. Will regulators ever be creditors with provable claims?	109
ii. When will assets be unrelated to an environmental condition or damage?.....	110
iii. Other issues	111
III. Recent Interpretations of <i>Redwater</i> in Alberta	112
1. <i>PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc v Perpetual Energy Inc</i> (Number 1).....	112
2. <i>PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc v Perpetual Energy Inc</i> (Number 2).....	115
3. <i>Re Manitok Energy Inc</i>	116
IV. Other Recent Interpretations of <i>Redwater</i>	119
1. <i>British Columbia Attorney General v Quinsam Coal Corporation</i>	120
2. <i>Yukon (Government of) v Yukon Zinc Corporation</i> ..	121
3. <i>Bilodeau v Her Majesty the Queen in the Right of Ontario</i>	122
V. Conclusion and Outlook	123
Avoiding the Anti-Deprivation Rule: Drafting Considerations ..	125
I. Introduction.....	125
II. History of the Anti-Deprivation Rule.....	125
III. The SCC Decision in <i>Chandos</i> SCC	128
IV. Key Decisions Post- <i>Chandos</i>	130

1. <i>First National Financial GP Corporation v Golden Dragon Ho 10 Inc</i>	130
2. <i>Re Urbancorp Toronto Management Inc</i>	132
3. <i>Re Richards</i>	134
V. Drafting Considerations.....	136
1. Introduction.....	136
2. Construction Contracts	136
3. Loan and Security Agreements	138
4. Shareholder/Partnership Agreements	139
5. Leases	139
6. Wills and Trusts	140
VI. Conclusion	141

The Fraudulent Misrepresentation and False Pretences

Exception to the Bankruptcy Discharge: Balancing the Debtor's

Fresh Start with Confidence in the Credit System

I. Introduction.....	143
II. Discharge Policy	146
III. Elements of Section 178(1)(e)	153
1. Debt Must Arise from a Fraudulent Misrepresentation or a False Pretence	153
2. There Must Be a Causal Link between the Debt and the Fraud.....	155
3. The Creditor Must be Deprived of Property as a Result of the Fraud.....	158
IV. The Three Decisions	159
1. <i>Ste Rose</i>	159
i. Facts.....	160
ii. Trial decision	161
iii. Court of Appeal decision.....	162
2. <i>Hennig</i>	165
i. Facts.....	166
ii. King's Bench decision.....	167
iii. Alberta Court of Appeal.....	168
3. <i>Shaver-Kudell</i>	170
i. Facts.....	171
ii. Prior proceedings	172
iii. Decision of the Superior Court of Justice	173
iv. The Ontario Court of Appeal decision.....	174

V. Interpretative Issues Arising from <i>Hennig</i> and <i>Shaver-Kudell</i>	177
1. <i>Hennig</i> and <i>Ste Rose</i> : The Elements of Fraudulent Misrepresentation and False Pretence	180
2. <i>Hennig</i> and <i>Ste Rose</i> : “Directly Victimized”	182
3. <i>Shaver-Kudell</i>	186
VI. Conclusion	189
 The Expansive Scope of a CCAA Court’s Jurisdiction in the Bloom Lake/Wabush CCAA Proceedings: Far Enough or Too Far?	191
I. Introduction	191
II. The Treatment of the Single-Control Model	193
1. Purposes and Objectives of the CCAA and the Statutory and Equitable Jurisdiction under the CCAA	193
2. The Single-Control Model	195
3. The Jurisdictional Issues Challenged in <i>Bloom Lake</i>	199
i. Application of the single-control model to a multijurisdictional pension priority dispute	200
a. Judgment on the preliminary issue of whether the Québec CCAA Court should request the aid of a Newfoundland court with respect to the scope and priority of the purported deemed trust	201
b. Judgment on the <i>Pension Priority Motion</i>	203
ii. Application of the single-control model to the wind-up and dissolution of a non-debtor under the <i>Canada Business Corporations Act</i>	208
iii. Expansion of the monitor’s powers in furtherance of the single-control model	215
III. Post-Bloom/Wabush Jurisdiction Decisions	219
1. <i>Mundo Media</i>	219
2. Petrowest Corporation	223
IV. Exceptions to the Single-Control Model	228
V. Conclusion	232
Addendum	233

L'interprétation libérale de la juridiction de la Cour siégeant en matière de LACC dans l'affaire Bloom/Wabush : trop loin ou juste assez?	235
I. Introduction.....	235
II. Le traitement du modèle du contrôle unique	237
1. Fins et objectifs de la LACC et compétence en droit et en equity en vertu de la LACC.....	237
2. Le modèle du contrôle unique	240
3. Les questions de compétence contestées dans Bloom Lake.....	245
i. Application du modèle du contrôle unique à un litige portant sur la priorité de rang de réclamations découlant de régimes de retraite assujettis à des lois provinciales différentes.....	246
a. Jugement sur la question préliminaire à savoir si le tribunal chargé d'appliquer la LACC au Québec devrait demander l'aide d'un tribunal de Terre-Neuve concernant la portée et la priorité de la prétendue fiducie présumée	248
b. Jugement sur la Requête relative à la priorité des réclamations des régimes de retraite.....	250
ii. Application du modèle du contrôle unique à la liquidation et à la dissolution d'une entité non débitrice en vertu de la Loi canadienne sur les sociétés par actions	256
iii. Élargissement des pouvoirs du contrôleur en support au modèle du contrôle unique.....	263
III. Évolution jurisprudentielle depuis les décisions en matière de compétence dans Bloom/Wabush	267
1. Mundo Media	268
2. Petrowest Corporation	273
IV. Exceptions au modèle du contrôle unique	279
V. Conclusion	283

Diminished Discretion? A Review of the Supreme Court of Canada's Decisions in <i>Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act</i> Matters	287
I. Introduction and Background.....	287

1. Background: Leave to Appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada.....	290
2. More <i>CCAA</i> Cases?	291
II. Common Themes in Cases Granted and Denied	
Leave	293
1. Jurisdiction of the <i>CCAA</i> Judge and Section 11	293
i. <i>United Used Auto & Truck Parts Ltd v Aziz</i>	294
ii. <i>Century Services</i>	295
ii. Subsequent cases: <i>Re US Steel Canada Inc, Bluberi, Canada v Canada North Group Inc</i> and <i>Montréal (City) v Deloitte Restructuring</i>	299
2. Priority/Deemed Trusts	304
3. Unsettled Issues of Law	308
i. Set-off.....	309
ii. Equitable subordination.....	311
iii. Litigation funding as interim financing.....	312
4. Leave Not Granted.....	314
i. Mootness.....	314
ii. Appeals involving findings of fact.....	315
iii. An issue recently decided.....	316
iv. Global settlements and third-party releases.....	316
III. Why Have we Seen an Increase in Cases?	318
1. Decreased Deference	318
2. Has There Been an Increase in <i>CCAA</i> Filings or SCC Commercial Cases More Generally?	320
3. Liquidating <i>CCAAs</i>	322
IV. Conclusion	325
Appendix: Summary of Research Methods	325
We Don't Talk About Section 347: An Analysis From a Commercial Perspective	331
I. Introduction.....	331
II. The Current Criminal Rate of Interest Framework	333
1. Section 347's Offences	335
2. Section 347 in the Commercial Context	336
3. Exemption for Payday Loans.....	338
III. Proposed Section 347 Reforms.....	340
1. Bill C-274	343
2. Bill S-239	345

3. Government of Canada Public Consultation	347
IV. Current Legislative Environment Still Uncertain for Commercial Lending	348
1. Equity and Debt Hybrid Arrangements	348
2. Distressed Lending Context	351
V. Conclusion	353
 Putting it in Reverse: A Possible Path to US Chapter 15	
Recognition of Reverse Vesting Order and Cannabis Filings	357
I. Introduction.....	357
II. First Canadian Trend: the RVO.....	360
1. Canadian Tradition: Vesting Order or Plan.....	360
2. The RVO Alternative	361
3. The Framework for a Test.....	365
4. Availability of Releases	367
5. Going Forward	368
III. The RVO: the Case for US Chapter 15 Recognition	369
IV. The Second Canadian Trend: Cannabis	375
1. Cannabis Restructurings in Canada	377
2. Cross-border Issues	378
i. <i>Eureka 93 Inc</i>	379
ii. <i>CannTrust Holdings Inc</i>	380
iii. <i>Green Growth Brands Inc</i>	381
iv. <i>Vert Infrastructure Ltd</i>	382
v. <i>Sunniva</i>	383
vi. <i>MJardin Group Inc</i>	384
3. Observations	384
V. Cannabis and Chapter 15	384
1. Can Cannabis Co Overcome the Chapter 15 Public Policy Exception?	388
2. Chapter 15 Public Policy Exception	389
3. Risk of Cannabis Co Triggering Chapter 15 Public Policy Exception	393
4. Cannabis Co's Canadian Proceeding Should Not Trigger the Public Policy Exception	396
5. Recognition and Enforcement of Subsequent Orders.....	401
VI. Considerations Before Crossing the Border.....	402

VII. Conclusion	403
Addendum	404
 Getting a Seat at the Table: Regulator and Government Actions	
in Insolvency Proceedings	407
I. Introduction.....	407
II. Regulator or Creditor?	408
III. Recent Case Law	412
1. Deemed Trusts and the <i>CCAA</i>	413
2. Provable Claims versus Regulatory Claims by Governments for Environmental Damages	415
3. Fraud Claims Surviving Bankruptcy	417
4. Municipalities Advancing Interests and Super- Priorities.....	423
IV. Legislative Powers	427
V. Conclusion	431
 Getting DAO to Business: Decentralized Autonomous	
Organizations Under Canadian Insolvency Law	433
I. Introduction.....	433
II. What is a DAO?	434
III. Legal Status of DAOs in Canada	438
1. DAOs as Corporations	440
2. DAOs as Societies.....	441
3. DAOs as Partnerships	442
4. DAOs as Unincorporated Associations.....	444
5. DAOs as Cooperatives	447
6. Conclusion as to the Legal Status of DAOs in Canada	447
IV. DAOs Under Canadian Insolvency Law	448
1. Commencement of Insolvency Proceedings and Service Against a DOA.....	448
i. Partnerships	450
ii. Unincorporated associations.....	452
iii. Other methods of service on a DAO	453
2. Protection under the <i>Companies Creditors</i> <i>Arrangement Act</i>	454
3. Protection under the <i>Bankruptcy and Insolvency</i> <i>Act</i>	457

4. <i>BIA</i> Receiverships and Bankruptcies	459
5. Considerations for Court Officers with Respect to DAO Insolvencies	460
V. Conclusion	462
Court-Appointed Liquidators Pursuant to Corporate Statutes:	
A Useful Mechanism That Protects All Stakeholders	465
I. Grounds for a Winding-Up Order	466
II. Who May Bring an Application for a Winding-Up Order	469
III. Powers of the Liquidator	469
1. Custodial Powers	470
2. Sale of Property	471
3. Differences Mitigated by Court Appointment.....	472
IV. Scope of Winding-Up Order	472
V. Stay of Proceedings.....	472
VI. Claims Processes	473
VII. Completion of a Winding-Up.....	474
VIII. Case Study	474
IX. Other Circumstances Where Liquidators are Useful	477
1. Succession Issues.....	478
2. Shareholder Disputes and Oppression Remedies	479
3. Emergence from Insolvency Proceedings.....	480
X. Receivership Versus Liquidation	480
1. Claims Process	481
2. Dissolution.....	481
XI. Conclusion	482
Set-Off and Compensation Under the <i>BIA/CCAA</i>: From <i>Kitco</i> to <i>SM Group</i> and the Harmonization of Canadian Law	
I. Introduction.....	483
II. Compensation and Set-Off: Same, Same but Different ..	485
1. Legal Set-Off and Compensation	485
i. Legal set-off in common law	485
ii. Legal compensation in civil law.....	486
2. Equitable Set-Off and Judicial Compensation.....	487
i. Equitable set-off in common law.....	487
ii. Judicial compensation in civil law	488

iii. The notion of connexité in judicial compensation and the influence of equitable set-off.....	488
3. Contractual Set-Off and Compensation	493
III. Set-Off and Compensation in Insolvency Proceedings...	493
IV. The Sticky Issue of Pre-Post Compensation	495
1. The <i>Kitco</i> Decision and the Asymmetry Between Québec and the Rest of Canada	496
2. Neutralizing <i>Kitco</i> through <i>SM Group</i> and the Harmonization of Canadian Law	497
i. The Facts in <i>SM Group</i>	498
ii. Superior Court of Québec judgment in <i>SM Group</i>	499
iii. Québec Court of Appeal judgment in <i>SM Group</i>	499
iv. The Supreme Court of Canada judgment in <i>SM Group</i> : Loosening the <i>Kitco</i> interpretation of section 21 of the <i>CCAA</i>	500
v. Section 21 of the <i>CCAA</i> continues to circumscribe pre-post compensation.....	501
vi. Justice Brown's dissent in <i>SM Group</i>	502
vii. Impact of <i>SM Group</i> on the legal landscape	504
V. Conclusion	506
The Hothouse of Real-Time Regulation: Emerging Law Under CCAA Section 11.1	507
I. Introduction.....	507
II. Legislative History and Overview of <i>CCAA</i> Section 11.1	509
1. Structure of <i>CCAA</i> Section 11.1.....	510
2. Stay Exceptions in the United States and the United Kingdom.....	516
III. Application of <i>CCAA</i> Section 11.1 in Canada.....	517
1. <i>Nortel</i>	517
2. <i>Just Energy</i>	518
3. <i>Laurentian University of Sudbury</i>	521
4. <i>Abbey Resources Corp.</i>	523
5. <i>Terrace Bay Pulp</i>	529
6. <i>Re Sears Canada Inc and IBEW, Local 123</i>	530
7. <i>LIUNA, Local 183 v Roniso Corp</i>	531

IV. Toward a Framework for the Test Under <i>CCAA</i>	
Section 11.1(3)	533
1. Section 11.1(3)(a)	533
2. Section 11.1(3)(b)	537
3. Nexus with Administrative Law	539
V. Conclusion	541
 More Flexible Than You Think: An Exploration of Creative Uses of the <i>BIA</i> Proposal Regime for Corporate Restructuring	
	543
I. Introduction	543
II. Payment of Pre-Filing Unsecured Supplier Claims	545
1. Contech Industries Inc.	546
2. 1787930 Ontario Inc and 1732427 Ontario Inc	550
III. Reverse Vesting Orders	553
1. Junction Craft Brewing Inc	554
IV. Paying Creditors in “Hope”	559
1. Wabi Iron & Steel Corp	559
2. Eureka 93 Inc	562
V. Conclusion	566
 You’re Hot and You’re Cold, You’re Yes and You’re No: Conflicting Appellate Decisions Regarding Whether Regulators’ Fines, Penalties or Restitution Orders Survive Bankruptcy	
	569
I. Overview	569
II. Introduction	570
III. Legislative Scheme and History of Section 178(1)	573
1. Subsection 178(1)(a): Any Fine, Penalty, Restitution Order or Other Order Similar in Nature to a Fine, Penalty or Restitution Order, Imposed by a Court in Respect of an Offence, or any Debt Arising out of a Recognizance or Bail	577
2. Subsection 178(1)(d): Any Debt or Liability Arising out of Fraud, Embezzlement, Misappropriation or Defalcation while Acting in a Fiduciary Capacity or, in the Province of Quebec, as a Trustee or Administrator of the Property of Others	578

3. Subsection 178(1)(e): Any Debt or Liability Resulting from Obtaining Property or Services by False Pretences or Fraudulent Misrepresentation, Other than a Debt or Liability that Arises from an Equity Claim	579
IV. Securities Regulators Regulatory Environment	579
1. Enforcement of a Securities Regulator's Administrative Penalty	581
V. Lower Court Decisions	582
1. <i>Hennig QB</i>	582
i. Factual background	582
ii. <i>Hennig QB</i> decision	585
a. Interpretive approach to section 178(1)	585
b. Subsection 178(1)(a)	586
c. Subsection 178(1)(d)	588
d. Subsection 178(1)(e)	588
2. <i>Poonian SC</i>	590
i. Factual background	590
ii. <i>Poonian SC</i> decision	592
a. Interpretive approach to section 178(1)	592
b. Subsection 178(1)(a)	593
c. Subsection 178(1)(e)	594
VI. Appellate Court Decisions	595
1. <i>Hennig CA</i>	595
i. Interpretive approach to section 178(1)	595
ii. Subsection 178(1)(a)	597
iii. Subsection 178(1)(e)	599
a. Misrepresentation or false pretence	599
b. Link between debt and fraud	600
2. <i>Poonian CA</i>	601
i. Interpretive approach to section 178(1)	602
ii. Subsection 178(1)(a)	602
iii. Subsection 178(1)(e)	603
VII. Discussion: an Approach to the Interpretation of Section 178(1)	605
1. The Function of Section 178(1)	606
2. Narrow versus Purposive Interpretation of Section 178(1)	608
3. The Purpose of Section 178(1)	609

i. Debtor's conduct approach.....	609
ii. Categorizing the exemptions	611
iii. No-master-rationale approach.....	612
4. Proposed Organizing Principle for Section 178(1)....	613
5. Narrow Interpretation of Section 178(1) Revisited ..	617
VIII. Epilogue	619
Litigation Trusts in CCAA Proceedings: Guidance on the Use of Trusts to Pursue Claims	625
I. Introduction.....	625
II. The Role of Litigation Trusts in CCAA Proceedings	626
1. Litigation Trustees as Plaintiffs.....	626
i. Typical powers of a litigation trustee	629
ii. Governance.....	631
iii. Funding of litigation trusts.....	632
2. Cases Where Litigation Trusts Have Been Created to Pursue Claims	634
III. Implications of the Expanded Role for Monitors in Pursuing Claims.....	636
1. <i>Essar</i>	637
2. <i>Aquadis</i>	638
3. Obstacles to Monitor Pursuing Litigation	639
IV. Monitor or Litigation Trustee: Who Should Pursue a Claim?.....	641
V. Proposed Test for the Creation of Litigation Trusts	642
1. Identity of the Proposed Trustee	642
2. Whether the Trust Furthers the Goals of the CCAA.....	643
3. Whether There Is Sufficient Funding in Place	643
4. Accountability	644
5. Whether Establishing a Litigation Trust Is Otherwise Appropriate.....	644
VI. Conclusion	645
Exclusion Principles: Balancing BIA Exemption Entitlements with Creditor Rights	647
I. Introduction.....	647
II. Exemptions in Bankruptcy: the Statutory Framework ..	648
1. Legislative Foundation for Exemptions in Bankruptcy	648

2. A Brief History of Bankruptcy Exemption Legislation	660
III. The Development of Exemption Law in Alberta: Practical Implications of <i>Re Perry</i> and <i>Re Chan</i>	661
1. Overview of the Divergence in Case Law on Exemptions in Bankruptcy	661
2. Recent Developments in Alberta: An Introduction.....	662
IV. Judicial Treatment of Legislative Framework	666
1. Judicial Consideration of Provincial Exemption Legislation in Bankruptcy	666
i. Can a trustee convert an exempt asset into an asset available for distribution during bankruptcy?	667
ii. Can a trustee or creditor challenge a pre-bankruptcy conversion of a non-exempt asset to an exempt asset?.....	668
iii. Can an exemption be lost by virtue of the continued operation of the provincial exemption legislation?.....	669
a. Once exempt, always exempt.....	670
b. Exemptions can be lost by virtue of the continued operation of provincial legislation	672
iv. Who should receive the benefit of any increase over the prescribed value of an exempt asset that occurs during the bankruptcy?	674
2. Appellate-Level Commentary	675
3. Exemptions Upon Death of a Bankrupt	677
V. A Review of <i>Re Chan</i>	679
1. The Facts.....	679
2. Consideration of the Facts	681
VI. Broader Considerations Regarding Exemptions	686
VII. Conclusion	691
Anything You Can Do, I Can Do Better: Does the CCAA Provide Broader Discretionary Relief than the BIA?.....	695
I. Overview of the <i>BIA</i> and <i>CCAA</i>	697
1. Proposals under the <i>BIA</i>	697

2. Plans of Arrangement and Compromise under the <i>CCAA</i>	701
3. Choosing between the Two Restructuring Statutes..	704
II. Authority for Discretion Under the <i>CCAA</i>	707
1. Discretion in Insolvency Proceedings	707
2. General Discretionary Authority	708
3. Discretion under Section 11 of the <i>CCAA</i>	709
4. Examples of Relief Granted Relying on Discretionary Authority Under Section 11 of the <i>CCAA</i>	712
i. Barring voting on a plan of arrangement where a creditor is acting with an improper purpose.....	712
ii. Granting priority over the Crown's deemed trust claims	714
iii. Granting reverse vesting orders.....	717
iv. Approval of key employee retention plans	719
v. Releasing third-party claims.....	720
vi. Permitting payment of pre-filing obligations to critical suppliers	722
vii. Summary of relief granted through relying on discretionary authority under section 11 of the <i>CCAA</i>	723
III. Authority for Discretion Under the <i>BIA</i>	723
1. Discretion under the <i>BIA</i>	723
2. Examples of Relief Granted under the <i>BIA</i>	727
i. Barring voting on a proposal where a creditor is acting with an improper purpose	727
ii. Granting RVOs.....	728
iii. Approval of KERP.....	731
iv. Releasing third-party claims.....	731
v. Overriding contractual rights	734
vi. Granting priority over the Crown's deemed trust claims.....	736
vii. Summary of discretionary relief granted under the <i>BIA</i>	738
IV. Harmonizing the Insolvency Regimes.....	739
V. Conclusion	742
Addendum	743

Table of Cases	745
Subject Index	769