Index

ABORIGINAL RIGHTS	ABORIGINAL RIGHTS—Cont'd
Generally, 15:39	Crown's duty to consult—Cont'd
Competing interests, 15:1, 15:2	Haida Nation case origins of,
Constitution Act, 1982 s. 35(1),	15:14
3:16, 15:1, 15:2, 15:15, 15:21,	honour of Crown basis of, 15:14
15:29 to 15:39	interlocutory injunction and,
Constitution Act, 1982 s. 52(1)	15:14, 15:17
generally, 15:29, 15:34, 15:38,	jurisdictional issues, 15:21
15:39	limits on, 15:21
litigation to secure Aboriginal	Crown's fiduciary duty, 15:1 , 15:25
rights, remedies in, 15:30 to	to 15:28
15:37	Enforcement of
compensation, 15:36	declaratory relief, 15:2
declarations, 15:31	effectiveness issue, 15:2
declarations plus and negotia-	evolution and purpose of reme-
tions, 15:32	dies re, 15:2
equitable remedies, 15:35	fair process, 15:2
injunctions, 15:35	interlocutory remedies
reading down and	see interlocutory remedies
constitutional exemptions,	purposive approach, 15:2
15:34	reconciliation purpose, 15:2
suspended declarations of	variations, 15:1
invalidity/ transition	Extinguishment/diminution of
periods, 15:33	Aboriginal title (pre-1982),
prosecutions against Aboriginal rights, 15:38	remedies for
remedies, generally, 15:29	generally, 15:22 to 15:28
Crown's duty to consult	breach of fiduciary duty
generally, 15:1, 15:2, 15:14 to	criticisms re approach to,
15:21	15:26
breach of, remedies, 15:15 to	remedies for, 15:25
15:20	declarations concerning
damages and costs, 15:20	government's obligations,
"declaration plus" techniques,	15:27
15:19	expropriation, compensation for,
declarations, 15:18, 15:27	15:23
interlocutory injunction, 15:17	no legal right to compensation,
quashing government deci-	15:24
sions to allow develop-	Injunctions against Aboriginal
ment, 15:16	protests, 15:12

ABORIGINAL RIGHTS—Cont'd

Interlocutory remedies

generally, 15:3 to 15:13

American Cyanamid test, 7:4, 15:3, 15:4

balance of convenience test, 15:1, 15:3, 15:6, 15:8 to 15:11, 15:13, 15:39

determination of, **15:10** public interest, no monopoly on, **15:9**

Crown's duty to consult, **15:14**, **15:17**

defendant's undertaking to pay damages, **15:6**

injunctions against Aboriginal protests, 15:12

irreparable harm to defendant, 15:6

irreparable harm to plaintiff, **15:5**Aboriginal perspectives, **15:5**monetary compensation, **15:5**

James Bay case, **15:3**, **15:7**, **15:10**, **15:13**

preservation of status quo, **15:7** presumption of constitutionality, **15:7**

strength of plaintiff's case, **15:4** Pre-1982 Treaty Claims, **15:27:50** Pre-trial vs. final remedies, **15:1** Remedies and, generally, **15:1**

ABORIGINAL TITLE

See ABORIGINAL RIGHTS

ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS

Charter jurisdiction
see CHARTER JURISDICTION
Historical context
see HISTORICAL REMEDIES
Reading down legislation and,
14:12, 14:13

APPELLATE REVIEW

Charter claims, standard of, **5:14** Exclusion of evidence, standard of, **10:18**

APPELLATE REVIEW—Cont'd

Interlocutory remedies, **7:19**Stay of criminal proceedings, deference, **9:14**, **9:15**

BALANCE OF CONVENIENCE

See INTERLOCUTORY INJUNC-TIONS

BALANCE OF INTERESTS

Generally, 3:13, 9:11

BRITISH NORTH AMERICA ACT, 1867

See CONSTITUTION ACT, 1867

CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

See also STAY OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

Claims

see CHARTER CLAIMS

Constitutional exemption

see LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITU-TIONAL

Costs

see COSTS

Court of competent jurisdiction see COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION

Criminal process remedies see CRIMINAL PROCESS, REMEDIES IN

Damage claims

see CHARTER DAMAGE CLAIMS

Equality rights

see EQUALITY RIGHTS

Exclusion of evidence

see EXCLUSION OF EVI-DENCE

Freedom of expression

see LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITU-TIONAL, reading down

CANADIAN CHARTER OF	CHARTER CLAIMS—Cont'd
RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS	Mootness—Cont'd
—Cont'd	early case re, 5:16
Future relief	institutional context issue, 5:19
see DECLARATIONS	judicial economy issue, 5:18
Interlocutory injunctions and	remedial powers and, 5:16
see INTERLOCUTORY	Ripeness of claim, 5:15
INJUNCTIONS	Standing
Jurisdiction	see STANDING
see CHARTER JURISDICTION	CHARTER DAMAGE CLAIMS
Origin and development of, 2:21, 2:22	Generally, 11:1, 11:35
Remedies	Alternative remedies, 11:2, 11:19
see CHARTER REMEDIES	Approach to, 11:12
Standing	Class proceedings, 11:5
see STANDING	Compensatory awards, 11:15, 11:2
Supremacy clause	Countervailing factors against
see LEGISLATION, REMEDIES	awards, 11:18 to 11:20
RE UNCONSTITU-	alternative remedies, 11:2, 11:19
TIONAL	effective governance concerns,
CERTIORARI	11:20
Generally, 2:15, 6:5, 6:11, 9:2,	Court of competent jurisdiction issue, 11:3 to 11:5
9:36, 9:40	provincial criminal courts, 11:4
,	superior courts of criminal juris-
CHARTER CLAIMS	diction, 11:5
Anticipatory claims, 5:15	Defendant, government as, 11:13
Appellate review, standard of, 5:14	Deterrence, 11:17, 11:25
Burdens of proof, 5:13	Distinct public law remedy, as,
Damages	11:12
see CHARTER DAMAGE CLAIMS	Estoppel and abuse of process, 11:
Establishment of, requirements,	Evolution and purpose of money
5:11 to 5:14	awards, 11:2
Facts re violation, requirements,	Exemplary/punitive damages, 11:2
5:12	Functional need for damages, 11:14
Mootness	to 11:17
generally, 5:16 to 5:22	deterrence of Charter violations,
actions immunized from review,	harm and loss, compensation for
5:20	11:15
adversarial context and, 5:17	vindication of Charter right,
bringing issue to court factor,	11:16
5:21	Government defendant, 11:13
confined prisoners context, 5:20	Immunities from civil actions
discretion re, exercise of, 5:16	generally, 11:9 to 11:11
doctrine re, exposition of, 5:16	absolute immunities, 11:10

CHARTER DAMAGE CLAIMS —Cont'd Immunities from civil actions —Cont'd qualified immunities, 11:11

Officials vs. governments, 11:2

Quantum, 11:2, 11:21 to 11:28 compensatory awards, 11:23

deterrence, 11:25

exemplary and punitive damages, 11:26

per se awards, rejection of, 11:22 vindication, 11:24

Statutes of limitations, 11:8

Vindication of Charter right, 11:16, 11:24

CHARTER JURISDICTION

Generally, 6:1, 6:17

Administrative process, in

see also Charter s 24(1), jurisdiction under

generally, **6:3**, **6:13**

Charter s. 24(1), 6:15

Constitution Act, 1982, s. 52(1), **6:3, 6:14**

Federal Court, role of, **6:16** provincial superior courts, role of, **6:16**

Charter s. 24(1), jurisdiction under generally, **6:2**

Conway case jurisprudence, **6:1**, **6:2**

Federal Court of Appeal, **6:2** functional and structural determination, **6:2**

habeas corpus application, **6:2** preliminary inquiry, **6:2**

principles re, 6:2

remedial question approach, **6:2** statutory/inherent jurisdiction of court/tribunal, **6:2**

superior court, 6:2

Civil process, in, **6:10 to 6:12** provincial superior courts generally, **6:10**

CHARTER JURISDICTION

-Cont'd

Civil process, in, 6:10 to 6:12

—Cont'd

provincial superior courts

—Cont'd

administrative agencies/ schemes, in relation to,

6:12 Federal Court, in relation to,

6:11

Constitution Act, 1982, s. 52(1), jurisdiction under, **6:3**

administrative tribunals, **6:3**, **6:14**

human rights complaints, 6:3

positivistic approach, **6:3** presumption of jurisdiction, **6:3**

Criminal process, in

generally, 6:4 to 6:9

appellate courts, 6:8

Federal Court, 6:9

preliminary inquiries, **6:2**, **6:4** provincial and other statutory

courts, **6:6**

superior courts, **6:2**, **6:5**, **6:7**

CHARTER REMEDIES

s 24(1). see CHARTER JURISDICTION; CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES; COSTS; HABEAS CORPUS; LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITUTIONAL; STANDING

s 24(2). see CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES; EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

CIVIL LIBERTIES

Generally, 2:2

CIVIL PROCESS

See CHARTER JURISDICTION

COMPETING INTERESTS

Generally, 3:13, 7:15, 15:1, 15:2

CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES **COMPLIANCE** -Cont'd See CONSTITUTIONAL REME-**DIES** Context, effect of, 3:1 Corrective justice purpose, 3:9 **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1867** Countervailing factors and interests, See also HISTORICAL REME-3:13 **DIES** Effectiveness of, 3:9, 3:10 Civil liberties remedies, 2:2 Fairness to all parties, 3:12 Declarations of invalidity, 2:2 Future compliance purpose Division of powers generally, 3:10 enforcement of, 2:2 damages, 3:10 pith and substance analytical deterrence principle, 3:10 approach, 2:2 equality rights, 3:10 Education provisions, 2:2 human rights issues, 3:10 Remedies under, generally, 2:2 judicial approach to, 3:10 Reservation and disallowance of regulatory approach, 3:10 statutes, 2:2 stays of proceedings, 3:10 CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 Institutional roles, respect for, 3:11 s 35(1). see ABORIGINAL Judicial discretion, 3:7 **RIGHTS** Judicial interpretation, 3:8 s 52(1). see ABORIGINAL Judicial role, 3:1, 3:11 RIGHTS: Mitigating factors, 3:13 CONSTITUTIONAL REME-DIES; CHARTER JURISDIC-Positive vs. negative remedies, 3:6 TION; LEGISLATION, REM-Proportionality principle **EDIES RE** generally, 3:1, 3:15 to 3:17 UNCONSTITUTIONAL; alternative remedies and, 3:16 **STANDING** public interest constraints and, CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES 3:17 Prospective relief, 3:14 See also HISTORICAL REME-DIES Public interest constraints, 3:17 Generally, 3:1, 3:18 Purposes, 3:1, 3:9, 3:10 Alternative remedies and, 3:16 Purposive approaches, 3:8 Balance of interests approach, 3:13 Remedial discretion, 3:7 Charter criminal law remedies, 3:1 Retroactive relief, 3:14 Charter s. 24(1), textual analysis of, Textual approaches, 3:2 to 3:5 Vindication purpose, **3:9** Charter s. 24(2), textual analysis of, COSTS Generally, 11:1, 11:27, 11:35 Compensatory damages purpose, Advance costs, 11:28 Competing interests, 3:13 Charter remedy, as, 11:1 Constitution Act, 1982 s. 52(1), Criminal cases and appeals, 11:2, textual analysis of, 3:5 11:30 Constraints on, 3:1 Normal rules re, 11:2

COSTS-Cont'd

Rule in constitutional litigation, 11:2, 11:29

s. 24(1) remedy, use as, **11:34**, **11:35**

Successful Charter applicant, for, 11:33

Unsuccessful Charter applicant against, 11:31 for. 11:32

COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION

See CHARTER DAMAGE CLAIMS; CHARTER JURIS-DICTION; CRIMINAL PRO-CESS, REMEDIES IN

COURTS

Charter jurisdiction see CHARTER JURISDICTION Court of competent jurisdiction see COURT OF COMPETENT

JURISDICTION

Remedies

see CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES; HISTORICAL REMEDIES

CRIMINAL PROCESS, REMEDIES IN

Generally, **3:1**, **9:1**, **9:53**Bail review, **9:50**

Charter jurisdiction

see CHARTER JURISDICTION

Charter violation as criminal defence, **9:44**

Conditions of imprisonment issues, **9:49**

Costs, 11:2, 11:30

Court of competent jurisdiction issue, **9:42**

Evolution and purpose of, **9:2** Exclusion of evidence

see EXCLUSION OF EVI-DENCE

CRIMINAL PROCESS, REMEDIES IN—Cont'd

Habeas corpus

see HABEAS CORPUS

Historical

see HISTORICAL REMEDIES

Prerogative remedies

generally, 9:37 to 9:40

certiorari, 2:15, 6:5, 6:11, 9:2, 9:36, 9:40

mandamus, 9:39

prohibition, 9:38

Pre-trial relief, 9:35, 9:36

Return of evidence, 9:41 to 9:43

court of competent jurisdiction issue. **9:42**

tests for. 9:43

Sentence reductions

generally, 9:45 to 9:48

Charter violation and circumstances of offence, **9:46**

general considerations, 9:45

serious crimes, 9:45

statutory minimum sentences and, **9:47**

Stay of proceedings

see STAY OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

CROWN DUTIES

See ABORIGINAL RIGHTS

CROWN IMMUNITY

See CHARTER DAMAGE CLAIMS; HISTORICAL REMEDIES; MANDATORY REMEDIES

DAMAGES

Charter claims

see CHARTER DAMAGE CLAIMS

Judicial remedies, as, 2:8

Undertaking to pay, 7:10, 15:6

DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY

See CONSTITUTION ACT, 1867; LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITUTIONAL

DECLARATIONS

Generally, **12:1**, **12:18**Aboriginal rights and, **15:18**, **15:31 to 15:33**

Consent declarations, 12:14 Constitutional rights, re, 12:5 to 12:8

equality cases, 12:7 suspended declarations, 12:8 minority language cases, 12:6

Directions, as, 12:12
Disputes re meaning of, 12:11
Evolution and purposes of, 12:2

Future Charter relief, re generally, **12:3**

detailed declarations, 12:3

leading case, 12:3 legislative policy-making function an, 12:3

probable future harm requirement, 12:3

temporary remedy, as, **12:3** Generalized effect of, **12:9**

Implementation of, consultation and

negotiations re, **12:13**Inappropriate contexts for

generally, **12:15** to **12:17** custodial institutions, **12:16**

governmental resistance and neglect, 12:17

Injunctions vs., **12:1, 12:10** Interim declarations, **7:17**

Invalidity

see DECLARATION OF INVA-LIDITY

Judicial development of, 2:10 Limits of, 12:1 Origins of declaratory relief, 12:2

Past violations, re generally, **12:4**

DECLARATIONS—Cont'd

Past violations, re—Cont'd advantages/disadvantages of declaratory relief, 12:4 historical violations, 12:4 limited practical effect of, 12:4 routine administrative actions, re, 12:4

Retention of jurisdiction re, 12:11

DISCLOSURE

See STAY OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

DIVISION OF POWERS

See CONSTITUTION ACT, 1867; LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITUTIONAL

EQUALITY RIGHTS

See also HUMAN RIGHTS
Declarations and, 12:7
Enforcement
see MANDATORY REMEDIES
Future compliance and, 3:10

EVIDENCE

Exclusion of see EXCLUSION OF EVI-DENCE Return of. 9:41 to 9:43

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

Generally, 10:50
Burden of proof
evidential burdens, 10:22
persuasive burden, 10:21
Charter s. 24(2) provision re, 10:1
Collins/Stillman tests
generally, 10:6 to 10:12
abolition of fair trial test, 10:12
Collins test, 10:6
criticisms of, 10:11
effects of excluding evidence, 10:9
fair trial exclusion purpose, 10:7

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE -Cont'd -Cont'd Collins/Stillman tests—Cont'd Grant test-Cont'd implications of Collins test, seriousness of Charter violation 10:10 -Cont'd judicial condonation exclusion compliance with Charter durpurpose, 10:8 ing investigation, 10:29 Stillman test, 10:6 deliberate/blatant/flagrant Evidence obtained in manner violatviolations, 10:38 ing Charter rights discoverability of evidence, generally, 10:23, 10:24 alternative means, 10:39 remoteness, 10:24 good faith violations temporal/causal connection see good faith violations between violation and eviinadvertent/minor Charter dence, 10:23, 10:24 violation, **10:37** Evolution and purposes of purpose of, 10:28 generally, 10:2 to 10:5 urgency/necessity, 10:40 balancing tests for, 10:5 society's interest in adjudication police conduct, regulation of, on the merits 10:4 generally, 10:46 to 10:49 violation of constitutional right, disrepute, determining, 10:47 10:3 importance/reliability of Grant test subject evidence, 10:49 generally, 10:26 seriousness of offence, 10:48 ascendency of, 10:12 Historical remedy, as, 2:13 good faith violations Procedure for exclusionary claims generally, 10:30 to 10:36 generally, **10:16** reliance on judicial decisions, appeal, on, 10:17 10:32 appellate review, standard of, reliance on practices and poli-10:18 cies, 10:34 no substantial wrong/miscarriage reliance on statutes, **10:31** of justice, 10:19 reliance on warrants, 10:33 trial, at, 10:16 uncertainty in law, effect of, use to be made of impugned evi-10:35 dence, 10:20 impact of breach on accused's Standing issue, **5:10**, **10:14**, **10:15** Charter-based interests Stay and, 9:22, 9:33 generally, 10:41 to 10:45 Third party rights violations, 10:14, bodily substances, 10:43 10:15 derivative evidence, 10:45 physical evidence, 10:44 **FEDERALISM** statements from accused, See DIVISION OF POWERS 10:42 **HABEAS CORPUS** seriousness of Charter violation generally, 10:27 to 10:40 Ancillary remedies, 9:52

HABEAS CORPUS—Cont'd	HUMAN RIGHTS
Availability of, expanded, 9:51,	See also EQUALITY RIGHTS
9:53	Constitution Act, 1982, s. 52(1)
Charter ss. 24(1) and 10(c)	jurisdiction, 6:3
incorporation, 6:2, 9:50	Future compliance issue, 3:10
Criminal Code bail review provision re, 9:50	Human rights commissions, 2:18
Historical context, 2:15	Mandatory remedies and
,	see MANDATORY REMEDIES
HISTORICAL REMEDIES	INJUNCTIONS
See also CONSTITUTION ACT,	See also MANDATORY REME-
1867	DIES DIES
Administrative remedies, develop-	Indian practice, 13:9
ment of generally, 2:17 to 2:19	Interlocutory
human rights commissions, 2:18	see INTERLOCUTORY
social and economic rights, 2:19	INJUNCTIONS
Canadian Bill of Rights, 2:16	Preventive injunctions, 13:11
Canadian Charter of Rights and	Reparative injunctions, 13:12,
Freedoms, origin and develop-	13:13
ment of, 2:21	Reference re: Language Rights
Civil disobedience, 2:7	under the Manitoba Act,
Criminal process remedies	1870, 13:13
generally, 2:13 to 2:15	South African practice, 13:10
exclusion of evidence, 2:13	Structural injunctions
habeas corpus, 2:15	generally, 13:14
stays of proceedings, 2:14	denied/overturned
Crown immunity, 2:8	generally, 13:24
International law, development of,	Federal Court of Appeal cases 13:23
2:20	Khadr v.Canada (Prime
Judicial remedies, development of	Minister), 13:22
generally, 2:8 to 2:12, 2:22	Little Sisters Book and Art
damages, 2:8	Emporium v. Canada
declarations, 2:10	(Minister of Justice),
injunctions, 2:9	13:21
prerogative writs, 2:12 standing, 2:11	granted and sustained
Manitoba Act, 1870 issues, 2:3 to	Doucet-Boudreau v. Nova
2:6	Scotia, 13:18
denominational school rights, 2:5	La Societe des Acadiens v.
Manitoba Official Language Act,	Minority Language School Board, 13:15
2:3	Lavoie v. Nova Scotia, 13:17
Metis land rights, 2:5.50	Marchand v. Simcoe (County)
minority language rights, 2:4	Board of Education,
Prerogative writs, 2:12	13:16

INJUNCTIONS—Cont'd

Structural injunctions—Cont'd granted and sustained—Cont'd minority language rights cases, 13:19

national security and criminal cases, 13:20

United States practice generally, 13:5 to 13:8 academic commentators, 13:8 Fiss's typology, 13:7 judicial experience, 13:6

INTERIM DECLARATIONS

Generally, 7:17

INTERIM STAYS OF LEGISLATION

Generally, **7:1**, **7:16**Competition Act cases, **7:16**Interlocutory injunction vs., **7:16**Public interest, **7:16**Suspensions, as, **7:16**

INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTIONS

Aboriginal rights and
see ABORIGINAL RIGHTS,
interlocutory remedies
Balance of convenience factor
Charter rights violations and,
7:15
competing rights issues, 7:15
maintenance of status quo, 7:11
proportionality and, 7:14
public interest, consideration of,
7:12
suspensions and exemptions,
7:13

Early jurisprudence, **7:2**Interim stay of legislation vs., **7:16**Irreparable harm factor
harm to defendant, **7:9**harm to plaintiff, **7:8**minority language school cases, **7:8**

INTERLOCUTORY

INJUNCTIONS—Cont'd

Irreparable harm factor—Cont'd no harm, decisions finding, **7:8** purposive approach, **7:8** search and seizure cases, **7:8** types of irreparable harm, **7:8** undertaking to pay damages, **7:10** Leading case, **7:2**

Public interest and balance of convenience, 7:2

Standard of review, 7:3

Strength of plaintiff's case factor final determination of action, **7:6** justification of limitation, **7:4** no presumption of constitutionality, **7:7** serious question not frivolous/

vexatious, **7:4** simple questions of law, **7:5**

INTERLOCUTORY REMEDIES

Generally, **7:1, 7:20**Aboriginal rights and see ABORIGINAL RIGHTS
Appellate review of trial decisions, **7:19**

Injunctions

see INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTIONS

Interim costs, **7:18**Interim declarations, **7:17**

Special issues, 7:1

Stay of judgment pending appeal, 7:1

Stay of legislation

see INTERIM STAYS OF LEGISLATION

Test re, **7:1**

JURISDICTION

See CHARTER JURISDICTION

LANGUAGE

See MINORITY LANGUAGE RIGHTS

LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITUTIONAL UNCONSTITUTIONAL -Cont'd Generally, **14:51** Declaration of invalidity—Cont'd American practice de facto doctrine and, 14:50 generally, 14:2 issues re, 14:1 Canadian approach vs., 14:2 retroactive relief, 14:50, 14:51 constitutional exemptions, 14:2 suspended narrow approach, 14:2 see suspended declaration of reading down, 14:2 invalidity stays and prospective rulings, transition period, 14:50 14:2 Division of powers, enforcement of, Charter s. 24(1), application of 14:3 constitutional exemption Extension of legislation see constitutional exemption see reading in/nullification of remedial effect, 14:1 underinclusive legislation Constitution Act, 1982, 52(1), Interpretive devices to save legislaapplication of tion, use of, 14:4 constitutional exemption Reading down see constitutional exemption generally, 14:3, 14:4, 14:6 to declarations of invalidity 14:13 see declaration of invalidity administrative cases, 14:12 evolution of remedies under, 14:4 criminal cases extension of legislation generally, 14:9 to 14:11 see reading in/nullification of case survey, 14:9 underinclusive legislation Criminal Code s. 43, **14:11** reading down reading down not appropriate, see reading down cases re, 14:10 reading in/nullification freedom of expression cases see reading in/nullification of generally, 14:7, 14:8 underinclusive legislation Butler case, 14:8 remedial effect, 14:1 Osborne case, 14:7 severance Rocket case, 14:7 see severance Sharpe case, 14:8 upholding permissive legislation, 14:5 Zundel case, 14:8 Constitutional exemption functional alternative, 14:19 generally, 14:14 to 14:19 Reading in/nullification of under-Charter s. 24(1) remedies, **14:17**, inclusive legislation 14:18 generally, 14:24 to 14:37 early jurisprudence, 14:15 appropriate cases for, 14:35 Ferguson case, 14:16 Charter purposes, respect for, suspended declaration of invalid-14:31, 14:32 ity, during, 14:18 constitutional rights and hints, Declaration of invalidity role of, 14:32 generally, **14:1**, **14:4** included group, size issue, 14:30

LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE MANDATORY REMEDIES UNCONSTITUTIONAL Generally, 13:1, 13:34 —Cont'd Crown immunity, declaratory relief Reading in/nullification of underpreference, 13:4 inclusive legislation—Cont'd Equality rights, enforcement of legislative objectives, consistency generally, 13:29 to 13:33 with, 14:29 American experience, 13:30 legislature's role, respect for, human rights codes and 14:27, 14:30 see human rights codes non-appropriate cases for, 14:36 Equity, role of, 13:3 remedial precision issue, 14:28 Evolution and purposes of, 13:2 to Schachter case, 14:26 to 14:34 13:4 temporary suspension of, 14:33 Human rights codes vulnerable minorities, protection generally, 13:31 of, 14:31 Action Travail des Femmes v. Remedial discretion, exercise of, C.N.R. Co., 13:33 14:1 adverse effect discrimination. Retroactive relief, 14:50 13:32 Severance affirmative action programs, 13:33 generally, 14:20 to 14:23 Charter s. 15 violations and, appropriate cases for, 14:22 13:32 non-appropriate cases for, 14:21 discriminatory effects vs. Supremacy, 14:1 purposes, 13:32 Suspended declaration of invalidity remedial plans remedy, 13:32, generally, 14:38 to 14:50 13:33 early jurisprudence, 14:40 remedies available under, 13:31 exemption for successful Charter Injunctions applicants, 14:45 to 14:47 see INJUNCTIONS non-appropriate cases for, 14:48 Mandamus Reference re: Language Rights generally, 13:26, 13:27 under the Manitoba Act, declaratory relief alternative, 1870, **14:39** 13:26 retroactive relief. 14:50 Insite case, 13:27 Schachter case, 14:42 prisoners' rights, 13:26 Schachter guidelines voting rights cases, 13:26 generally, 14:42 Prerogative writs, 13:25 cases inside, 14:43 Prohibition, 13:28 cases outside, 14:44 Upholding permissive legislation, MINORITY LANGUAGE RIGHTS 14:5 Declarations, 12:6 Denominational school rights, 2:5 **MANDAMUS** Irreparable harm issue, 7:8 Generally, 2:12, 3:11, 4:1, 5:12, 6:5, 6:11, 9:39, 12:12, 12:13, Manitoba Act, 1870 issues, 2:3 to

2:6

13:26, 13:27

MINORITY LANGUAGE RIGHTS

—Cont'd

Manitoba Official Language Act, **2:4**

Structural injunctions and see INJUNCTIONS

MOOTNESS

See CHARTER CLAIMS

PREROGATIVE REMEDIES

See CRIMINAL PROCESS, REM-EDIES IN; HISTORICAL REMEDIES; MANDATORY REMEDIES

PROHIBITION

Generally, 9:38, 13:28

PROPORTIONALITY

Generally, 3:1, 3:15 to 3:17, 7:14

PUBLIC INTEREST

Generally, **3:17**, **7:2**, **7:12**, **7:16**, **15:9**

PUBLIC INTEREST STANDING

Generally, 5:2 to 5:7

READING DOWN

See LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITUTIONAL

READING IN

See LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITUTIONAL

RETROACTIVITY

Generally, 3:14, 14:50, 14:51

SEVERANCE

See LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITUTIONAL

STANDING

See also CHARTER CLAIMS Generally, **5:1** Charter s. 24(1), under generally, **5:10** causal nexus issue, **5:10**

STANDING-Cont'd

Charter s. 24(1), under—Cont'd
Constitution Act, 1982, s. 52(1)
standing vs., 5:10
entitlement to remedy vs., 5:10
exclusion of evidence relief and,
5:10

personal remedy, as, 5:10

restrictive approach to, **5:10** ripeness of claim, **5:10** third party rights violations, **5:10** Constitution Act, 1982, s. 52(1), under

generally, 5:2 to 5:9

criminally accused standing, **5:9** discretionary standing (non-direct affect)

see public interest standing private interest standing generally, **5:8** direct affect, defined, **5:8** restricted approach to **5:8**.

restricted approach to, **5:8** public interest standing

generally, **5:3 to 5:7**

genuine interest in validity of legislation, **5:5**

rationale for, 5:3

reasonableness and effectiveness, **5:6**, **5:7**

requirements, 5:3

serious issue of constitutionality, **5:4**

standing as of right (direct affect) see private interest standing

STAY OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

Generally, 9:3, 9:34

Abuse of process origins, 9:3, 9:5
Conditions of confinement, violation of rights re, 9:28
Detention, unconstitutional, 9:33
Disclosure rights, violations of generally, 9:16 to 9:25

STAY OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS—Cont'd Disclosure rights, violations of —Cont'd alternative remedies generally, 9:19 to 9:25

disclosure order, 9:20
exclusion of non-disclosed
evidence, 9:22

mistrial, 9:21 new trial, 9:24, 9:25

stay granted, cases re, 9:17 stay reversed, cases re, 9:18 Drastic remedy, as, 9:3

Exclusion of evidence cases, 9:33
Fair trial, violation of right to, 9:30
Functional approach, 9:33
Interpretar violation of right to

Interpreter, violation of right to, 9:29

Language rights, violation of, **9:29**Legal assistance, violation of right to

generally, **9:26**, **9:27** alternative remedies, **9:27** stay of proceedings, **9:26**

Procedural issues generally, **9:15**

appellate deference to trial judges' decisions, **9:14**

burden/standard of proof, 9:12 timing of application, 9:13

Restrictive approach, **9:33**Search and seizure, unreasonable, **9:33**

Test, three-part

generally, **9:4 to 9:15**

adequate alternative remedy issue, **9:10**

aggravation/abuse perpetuated by subsequent trial, **9:9**

alternative grounds factor generally, **9:5 to 9:8**

court integrity vs. rights of accused, 9:5

STAY OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS—Cont'd

Test, three-part—Cont'd alternative grounds factor
—Cont'd cumulative effects re integrity and rights, 9:8 fair trial, stays based on, 9:7 integrity-based test, 9:5 judicial integrity, stays based on, 9:6

balance of affected interests, 9:11 seriousness of charges, 9:11
Trial within reasonable time, violation of right to, 9:31, 9:32 child apprehension hearings, 9:32

STAY OF JUDGMENT

Generally, 7:1

STAY OF LEGISLATION

See INTERIM STAYS OF LEGISLATION

STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal proceedings see STAY OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS Future compliance purpose, **3:10** Historical context see HISTORICAL REMEDIES

SUPREMACY

See LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITUTIONAL

SUSPENDED DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY

See LEGISLATION, REMEDIES RE UNCONSTITUTIONAL

TIMING

See CHARTER CLAIMS

VINDICATION OF CHARTER RIGHTS

Generally, 3:9, 11:16, 11:24