

Index

ABANDONMENT OF PROPERTY

Generally, **9:5** [Footnote 32]
Absconding debtors
see Absconding debtors

ABSCONDING DEBTORS

Arrest
Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **32:3**
[Text Following Footnote 1]
Ontario, under (repealed) Fraudulent
Debtors Arrest Act, **36:1 et seq.**
[Footnote 1]
General, **1:5, 36:1 et seq.** [Footnote 1]
United Kingdom, statutes of Richard II
and Henry VII (fraudulent convey-
ances in the context of absconding
debtors), **1:14** [Footnote 3]
United States Uniform Fraudulent
Transfer Act, **14:42** [Footnote 145]

ACCOUNTANTS, FINANCIAL ADVISORS AND TRUSTEES, AND OTHERS, ADVICE FROM

See main heading “Advice, from solic-
itors, accountants, financial advisors,
trustees, and others”

ACTIONS AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS

See also Claim; Enforcement of money
judgments, the role of the execution
creditor and the seizure of the debt-
or’s property by the sheriff or other
similar official; Judgment; Proceed-
ings and procedure
Bars to, **5:11**
Challenges to plaintiff’s pleadings, **5:9**
Class actions, **5:1 to 5:9**
Commencement of, **5:1 to 5:9**
Damages and other pecuniary claims, **1:8**
[Footnote 68], **7:11 to 7:17**
Effect of successful, **7:5 to 7:30**
Personal action against transferee, **1:1**
[Footnote 9], **7:11 to 7:17**
Person standing in actual privity with the
debtor, whether necessary and/or

ACTIONS AND OTHER

PROCEEDINGS—Cont’d

proper party to fraudulent transaction
proceeding, **5:10**
Summary and other proceedings
general, **5:2**
Alberta, **5:4**
British Columbia, **5:3**
Ontario, **5:5**
reform, **5:6**
use of, to defraud creditors, **8:1 et seq.**
Transferor, whether necessary and/or
proper party to fraudulent transaction
proceeding, **5:10**

ACTS OF BANKRUPTCY

See Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bank-
ruptcy and Insolvency Act)

ADVANCEMENT, PRESUMPTION OF

See also, Trust, enforcement against
transferee
Generally, **6:1 et seq.** [Footnote 7]

ADVICE, FROM SOLICITORS, ACCOUNTANTS, FINANCIAL PLANNERS AND TRUSTEES, AND OTHERS

Accountants, financial planners and trust-
ees, and others, **2:1 et seq.** [Foot-
note 12]; **14:3** [Footnote 5]
Estate planning by solicitors and non-
solicitors, **2:4** [Text Following Foot-
note 25]
General, **2:4, 14:7, 27:1 et seq.**
“Huxter” or other non-professional,
advice by, **1:1 et seq.** [Footnote 22]
Insolvent debtor, advice from restructur-
ing practitioners, **2:1** [Footnote 12]
Litigation against debtors, see main head-
ing “Debt litigation”
Tax evasion, asset and tax sheltering,
money laundering, creation and
investment of illicit funds, and other
similar arrangements. See Index,
main headings “Estate planning,

**ADVICE, FROM SOLICITORS,
ACCOUNTANTS, FINANCIAL
PLANNERS AND TRUSTEES, AND
OTHERS—Cont'd**

asset and tax sheltering”; and
“Solicitors”

Transferee, advice given to, **14:7**

Whether advice or other conduct may be a
defence to a fraudulent transactions
challenge, **2:4**

AGENCY

See also Solicitors

Relationship between sheriff and execu-
tion creditor, **4:7**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN DEBTOR
AND TRANSFEREE**

See Prior agreements

ANTON PILLER ORDER

Generally, **36:7**

APPOINTMENT, POWERS OF

Generally, **9:18 to 9:33**

**ARM'S LENGTH AND NON-ARM'S
LENGTH TRANSACTIONS**

See Bankruptcy (subheadings for both
preferences and reviewable transac-
tions)

ARREST OF DEBTOR

Generally, **36:1 et seq. [Footnote 1]**

ASSET PROTECTION

See main heading “Estate planning”

**ASSOCIATES, TRANSACTIONS
BETWEEN**

See main heading “Consideration,” then
“transactions between relatives”

**ATWOOD, MARGARET, HAG-SEED
(2016)**

“Lawyer and Ponzi scheme scammer,” as
actor in prison theatrical company’s
The Tempest, **38:2 [Footnote 1.500]**

BADGES OF FRAUD

See also main heading “Presumption of
law, and fraudulent intent”

Generally, **14:41 to 14:43**

Assignments and Preferences Act model,
badges of fraud under, **14:61**

BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont'd

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, s. 96
(transfers at undervalue): s.

96(1)(a)(iii), use of badges of fraud
to determine debtor’s intent, **14:42**
[text accompanying Footnote 37];
26:4 [text accompanying Footnotes
14 to 25]; 27:7 [Footnote 21]

see main heading “Bankruptcy (Cana-
dian federal Bankruptcy and
Insolvency Act),” then “transfers
at undervalue (s 96, BIA), **26:1 et**
seq., 27:1 et seq., 28:1 et seq.,
29:1 et seq.

Bona fides/good faith, **15:3, 15:4, 15:6**
“concurrent intent” doctrine, **15:13 to**
15:15

protective provision, **15:3 [Footnote 1]**

Defence by appeal court to findings of
trial judge re badges, **14:42 [Foot-**
note 22], 14:54 [Footnote 12]

Effect of, **14:52 to 14:56**

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List
of examples”

benefit retained by debtor; possession
remaining in debtor, **14:3 [Foot-**
note 3]

see also main heading “Benefit to
debtor”

generally, **9:18 [Footnote 13]; 14:3**
[Footnote 3], 14:42 [Footnotes
25 to 28] (badge of fraud (2))

good faith and retention of benefit,
15:1 et seq.

where creditor, after seizure, allows
debtor to remain in possession
generally, **14:42 [Footnotes 25 to**
28]

secret understanding between
debtor and transferee, where
debtor remains in possession,
14:42 [Footnote 25], 14:42
[Footnote 30]

trust, presumption of, where
debtor remains in possession,
14:42 [Footnote 25], 14:42
[Footnote 33]

close relationship between debtor and
transferee, **1:8 [text accompany-**
ing Footnote 11]; 14:42 [Foot-
note 65] (badge of fraud (12))

concealment or removal of property
see main heading “Concealment or

BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont’d

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont’d

- concealment or removal of property
 - Cont’d
 - removal of property”
- consideration
 - cash transaction, **14:42 [Footnote 63] (badge of fraud (11))**
 - false statements concerning, **14:42 [Footnote 58] (badge of fraud (8))**
 - grossly inadequate, **14:42 [Footnotes 61, 62] (badge of fraud (9))**
 - harm to creditor by transaction, and generally, **14:29; 14:39**
 - Where there is no consideration passing, see also below, under subheading “examples (non-exhaustive),” “gift of all of debtor’s assets”; and main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- conveyance.
 - antedating deed or other document, **14:42 [Footnote 74]**
 - deed, instrument or other document containing self-serving, etc. language
 - affidavit or similar litigation document containing a self-serving statement of intent, **14:42 [Footnote 38]**
 - transfer deed containing a self-serving and unusual provision, **14:42 [Footnote 37]**
- documents
 - see also main headings “Prior agreements”; and “Sham transactions”
 - abolition of, or failure to keep, **14:42 [Footnote 74]**
 - concealment of, **14:42 [Footnote 75] (badge of fraud (24))**
 - destruction of, **14:42 [Footnote 74] (badge of fraud (24))**
 - made “honestly,” etc., **14:41, 14:42 [Footnote 36]**
 - see also “transfer deed contained

BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont’d

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont’d

- conveyance.—Cont’d
 - made “honestly,” etc., **14:41, 14:42 [Footnote 36]—Cont’d**
 - a self-serving and unusual provision, and “affidavit or similar litigation document containing a self-serving statement of intent,” above
 - made in respect of any sort of legal action contemplated, threatened, pending or initiated against debtor, **14:42 [Footnotes 31, 32] (badge of fraud (4))**
 - made to transferee without transferee’s knowledge, **14:42 [Footnote 72] (badge of fraud (23))**
- down payment, false statement of, **14:42 [Footnote 70] (badge of fraud (14))**
- gift of all of debtor’s assets, **14:42 [Footnote 24] (badge of fraud (1))**
- general, **14:42 [Footnote 4]**
- harm to creditor by transaction, and, **14:30; 14:39**
 - see also above, under subheading “examples (non-exhaustive),” “consideration”; and main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- haste, **14:42 [Footnote 63] (badge of fraud (10))**
- insolvency of debtor, at or after transaction, **14:42 [Footnote 91] (badge of fraud (27); 14:42 [Footnote 108] and badge of fraud (27.1))**
- harm to creditor by transaction, and, **14:32; 14:39**
 - see also main headings “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”; and “Insolvency/solvency of parties”
- payment to third person, not a party to conveyance, **14:41 to 14:43**

BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont'd

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont'd

- (**badge of fraud (13)**)
- possession remaining in debtor
 - see above, “benefit retained by debtor; possession remaining in debtor”
- ranking of badges of fraud (not all of equal weight), **14:42 [Text Following Footnote 132]**
- prominence of secrecy?, **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 133]**
- red flags in real estate transactions, **2:2 (LSUC, r. 3.2 to 7, Commentary [4.1], [4.2])**
- representation by debtor that property was the debtor's, after conveyance, **14:42 [Footnote 30, 80] (badge of fraud (26))**
- revocation of conveyance, power given to debtor, **14:41 to 14:43 (badge of fraud (7))**
- secrecy, **14:42 [Footnote 30] (badge of fraud (3))**. See also **Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud,”** then serially “**Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions,**” and “**secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to conspiracy**”
- associated with fraudulent conduct in medieval period, **1:1 et seq.**
- clandestine conveyances (re: landlord's distraint) and secret conveyances (re: badges of fraud), as some evidence of fraud, **14:42 [Footnote 30]; 37:3 [Footnote 1]**
- collusion and contrivance and debtor-transferee conspiracy, **1:1 [Footnote 18], 1:27 [Footnote 4], 1:28 [Footnote 1], 1:29 [Footnote 1], 1:35 [Footnote 1]**
- notice of impugned transaction, failure to give, **14:1 et seq., 14:42 [Footnote 30]**
- ranking of badges of fraud, prominence of secrecy?, **14:42 [Text**

BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont'd

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont'd

- secrecy, **14:42 [Footnote 30] (badge of fraud (3))**. See also **Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud,”** then serially “**Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions,**” and “**secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to conspiracy**”—Cont'd
- Accompanying and Following Footnote 133]**
- “suspicious” transactions, circumstances, etc.: whether a separate badge of fraud, a meaningful adjunct to a specific badge of fraud, or a general basket provision describing one or more specific fact situations
- badges of fraud
 - separate badge, where inherently “suspicious”?, **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 165]**
 - “suspicious” facts and circumstances
 - appearing alongside other badges, etc. (e.g., “typical and suspicious”), **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 160]**
 - equated with badges, **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 154]**
 - intimate link with a badge (e.g., transfer between close relatives), **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 161]**
- basket provision encompassing all, or virtually all, badges of fraud?, **14:42**
- conclusions, **14:42**
- general, **14:42**
- jurisprudence, **14:42**
- relationship between “suspicious” transactions, etc. and badges of fraud: intimate and confusing, **14:42**

BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont'd

- Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont'd
 - “suspicious” transactions, circumstances, etc.: whether a separate badge of fraud, a meaningful adjunct to a specific badge of fraud, or a general basket provision describing one or more specific fact situations—Cont'd terminology, often varies, **14:42**
- trusts
 - creation of, **14:42** [Footnote 33] (badge of fraud (5))
 - invocation of, bankruptcy (transfers at undervalue) context, **14:42** [Footnote 33]
- Good faith/bona fides, and, **15:3** [Footnote 1]
- List of examples
 - expansive, **14:42** [Footnotes 55, 56 and Accompanying Text]
 - limits to expansion, **14:42** [Footnote 57 and Accompanying Text]
- Ponzi schemes, **7:6** [Footnotes 27 and 28 and Accompanying Text], **38:3**
- Preference actions
 - badges of fraud, use in, **1:8** [Footnote 62], **19:13** [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]
- Presumption of law, and fraudulent intent
 - see main heading “Presumption of law, and fraudulent intent”
- Rebutting, **14:42**
- Registration of instrument, delay, **14:42** [Text Accompanying Footnote 146]
- Sufficiency or insufficiency and effect, of badges
 - general, **14:41**, **14:44**, **14:47**, **14:52**
 - quantity and quality of the evidence
 - badges of fraud, **14:42** [Footnote 22]
 - unnecessary to prove more than one badge of fraud, if one is sufficient, **14:42** [Footnote 22]
 - passage of time, effect of, **14:56**
 - presence of badge of fraud
 - mere presence not determinative of fraud, **26:4** [Text Following Footnote 16]
 - whether presence mandates inference of fraud by court, **26:4**

BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont'd

- Sufficiency or insufficiency and effect, of badges—Cont'd
 - quantity and quality of the evidence—Cont'd
 - presence of badge of fraud—Cont'd [Text Following Footnote 16]
 - proof and rebuttal of badges
 - proof: whether court can act on pleadings or needs badge to be “proven,” **14:53** [Text Following Footnote 15]
 - rebuttal, **14:69** [Footnote 6], **15:3** [Footnote 1]
 - “Suspicious” transactions, circumstances, etc.
 - See this main heading (“BADGES OF FRAUD”), then serially “Examples (non-exhaustive),” “‘suspicious’ transactions, circumstances, etc.: whether a separate badge of fraud, a meaningful adjunct to a specific badge of fraud, or a general basket provision describing one or more specific fact situations”
 - Term (“badges of fraud”) not always used to describe an inference of fact, **14:14** [Footnote 45]; **14:42** [Text Following Footnote 56]
 - Transfer is voluntary and transferor is solvent, **10:1** [Footnote 23], **14:42** [Footnote 94]
 - Trusts, sham, using “badges of fraud” to challenge, **14:43**
 - Where no badge is present, implications for fraudulent conveyances action, **14:69** [Footnote 6]
- BANK DEPOSITS, FREEZING OF (BANK ACT, S.C. 1991, C. 46, S. 437(2))**
- Generally, **36:1** [Footnote 1]
- BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT)**
- See also Legislation; Statute of Elizabeth and other English statutes; Statutes, provincial, fraudulent conveyances and preferences
 - Absconding bankrupt, arrest of, **32:3** [Text Following Footnote 1]

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Acts of

generally, **10:7 [Footnote 5], 23:1**
proving, **5:12**

Arrest of bankrupt

absconding bankrupt, **32:3 [Text Fol-
lowing Footnote 1]**
removal or destruction of property and
information, **32:3 [Text Following
Footnote 1]**

Bankrupt

may be added as proper defendant,
1:25 [Footnote 8]
no need to add as defendant, **1:25**
[Footnote 7]

Bankruptcy and provincial voidable
transactions provisions

coexistence of provincial voidable
transaction statutes (including,
where applicable, the Statute of
Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy
and Insolvency Act provisions
respecting frauds on creditors,
1:24, 1:25; 22:5, 22:6

harmonization of bankruptcy and
provincial law, proposed, **1:8**
[Footnote 85]

Claim, requirement to prove, **22:4 [Foot-
note 1.500]**

Consideration

abolition of debt, calls for, **1:1 [Text
preceding and following note
11.175]**

forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal
to collect, as consideration

See Index, main heading
“Consideration,” then serially
“Debts of debtor,” “forgiveness
or reduction of, or refusal to
collect,” “as consideration and
as a fraudulent conveyance”

general

See also Index, main heading
“Consideration”

See Index, this main heading,
subheading “Consideration”

nominal

See Index, main heading
“Consideration,” then “nomi-
nal consideration, meaning of”

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Consideration, nominal, meaning of,
27:13

Creditor

court order permitting creditor to
pursue remedy where trustee
refuses (BIA, s. 38), **32:4**

defined, **24:1, 24:14**

Under provincial law, see “Creditors or
others”

Debts, meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA), **22:4**
[Footnote 1.500]

Discharge of bankrupt, refusal of in rela-
tion to bankrupt’s fraud, **31:6**

Disposition of property

bankruptcy offences (s. 198)
concealment not a “disposition,”
**31:5 [Text Accompanying
Footnote 7]**

general, **31:3**

transfers at undervalue (s. 96), **26:4**
[Text Following Footnote 6]

Enforcement

see “remedies and enforcement” under
this main heading

Examination of bankrupt and others

attendance at examination

compelling, **23:3**

failure to attend, **23:3**

creditors and other parties, examination
by, **23:4**

introduction, **23:1**

licensed insolvency trustee, **23:3**

Official Receiver, examination by, **23:2**
questions, requirement to answer, **23:1,**
23:3

Good faith

bankruptcy offences (s. 198(1)(g)),
31:1 et seq.

settlements, **27:6 [Footnote 6]**

Initial bankruptcy event, defined, **24:4,**
27:15, 28:9, 30:2

Insolvency

(1) See this main heading, then (1)
sequentially “Preferences,” “ele-
ments of impeachable prefer-
ence,” “insolvency of bankrupt”;
and (2) sequentially “Transfers at
undervalue,” “insolvency”

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Insolvency—Cont'd

- (2) For Canadian provincial law, see main heading “Insolvency/solvency of parties,” subheading “Canada, provincial law”; and main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “Examples (non-exhaustive),” “insolvency of debtor, at or after transaction”

- (3) For American law, see main heading “Insolvency/solvency of parties,” subheading “United States”
badges of fraud and insolvency, similar to s. 2, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **14:42 [Footnotes 24, 91, 108]**

co-existence and harmonization of provincial and federal law re voidable transactions, **1:8, 1:24**

Interpretation of Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act

general, **22:3**

use of provincial legislation to interpret, **31:3 [Text Accompanying Footnote 10]**

Liabilities, meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA), **22:4 [Footnote 1.500]**

Licensed insolvency trustee

bankrupt, no need to add as defendant, **1:25 [Footnote 6]**

bankrupt's interest, trustee representing, **1:25 [Footnote 6]**

books, documents, papers and other property to be produced, **23:3**

examination of bankrupt and others
see Bankruptcy (subheading examination of bankrupt and others)

remedies and enforcement

see also this main heading, then sequentially “transfers at undervalue,” “court orders”

court order permitting creditor to pursue remedy where trustee refuses, **32:4**

enforcement, **32:1**

provincial remedies, right to assert, **1:24, 1:25, 23:1 et seq., 32:2.**

For a consideration of the coexistence of provincial void-

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Licensed insolvency trustee—Cont'd

remedies and enforcement—Cont'd

able transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors

see sec, **26:3**

transfers at undervalue (and former reviewable transactions), trustee's opinion of value of property, **28:12**

Limitation periods, application of provincial legislation, **5:23, 24:5, 42:46**

Offences

generally, **31:1 et seq.**

acts of bankruptcy and the institution of a voidable transactions proceeding, **5:12**

bankruptcy offences and Criminal Code offences, basic difference between, **30:2; 31:1 [Text Following Footnote 4]**

concealment, removal, destruction, etc. of property, **31:5**

concealment not a “disposition,” **31:5 [Text Accompanying Footnote 7]**

fraudulent disposition of property, **31:3, 31:4**

Payment of dividends/purchase or redemption of shares

generally, **30:2, 35:1 [Footnote 12]**

exception, **30:5**

initial bankruptcy event, and, **30:2**

remedy, **30:4**

reverse onus, **30:3**

right to director to seek recovery, **30:5**

statutory provisions, **30:1**

time periods, relevant, **30:2**

Preferences

arm's length and non-arm's length transactions

control, and, **28:8 [Text Following Footnote 21]**

directors and the corporations they serve, **24:6**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Preferences—Cont'd

arm's length and non-arm's length
transactions—Cont'd
general, **24:2, 24:6**

Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act
proceedings (s. 36.1), application
of ss. 95 and 96 (Bankruptcy and
Insolvency Act) in, **1:8 [Footnote
8], 9:23 [Footnote 7], 14:42
[Footnote 31, 61], 24:2 [Footnote
4], 28:8 [Footnote 35], 28:8 [Text
Following Footnote 36]**

control

arm's length and non-arm's length
transactions, and, **28:8 [Text
Following Footnote 21]**

meaning of, **24:7**

date of bankruptcy, **24:4**

deterrence: preference provisions deter
creditors from dismembering
bankrupt's estate before bank-
ruptcy and thereby precluding
equality of distribution of estate,
24:3 [Footnote 5]

diligent creditors and pressuring credi-
tors, **24:23**

elements of impeachable preference
effect of non-arm's length transac-
tions, **24:17**

elements, listed, **24:8**

federal and provincial legislation,
overlapping and conflicting,
1:24, 1:25

in favour of creditor, **24:14**

insolvency of bankrupt

balance sheet test, **24:13**

exempt property, **27:10, 27:11**

"obligation"

ceasing to meet current obliga-
tions as they become due,
24:12

choosing not to meet obliga-
tions as they become due,
whether person is
"insolvent" where assets
exceed liabilities, **10:1
[Footnote 18]**

inability to meet obligations as
they become due, **24:11**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Preferences—Cont'd

elements of impeachable preference
—Cont'd

insolvency of bankrupt—Cont'd
"obligation"—Cont'd

meaning of, **24:13**

statutory definition, **24:10**

intent, relationship of effect of
conveyance on issue of intent,
**24:17 [Text Accompanying
Footnotes 4 and 5]**

intention to prefer

general, **24:16**

test (objective), **24:16 [Text
Accompanying Footnote 6],
24:17 [Text Following Foot-
note 2]**

payment by debtor to creditor, **24:8
[Footnote 1]**

payment by third party to creditor,
24:8 [Footnote 1]

payment for work or services to be
performed, **24:15 [Footnote
5]**

presumption, statutory

generally, **24:17**

exemption from, for margin
deposit made by clearing
member, **24:2**

rebuttal of

continuing in business, **24:20**

diligent creditor, **24:17, 24:23**

generally, **19:36 to 19:38**

ordinary course of business,
24:19

prior agreement to grant secu-
rity, **24:21**

security for current advances,
24:22

trust, where transferee is trust
beneficiary, **24:3 [Foot-
note 5]**

transactions subject to attack

consent to judgment, **24:9**

consolidation of accounts by bank,
24:9

default judgment, **24:9**

landlord's distress, **24:9**

payment to secured creditor, **24:9**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

- Preferences—Cont'd
 - elements of impeachable preference
 - Cont'd
 - transactions subject to attack
 - Cont'd
 - property of bankrupt, **24:9**
 - repossession of goods, **24:9**
 - trust, where transferee is trust beneficiary, **24:3 [Footnote 5]**
- initial bankruptcy event, **24:2**
- introduction, **24:1**
- landlord's completion of distraint
 - against fraudulently removed property by tenant, whether a judicial proceeding under s. 95, **24:9 [Text Accompanying Footnote 22]**
- other jurisdictions, relevance of laws of, **24:17 [Footnote 18]**
- principle of equality of distribution, **24:3**
- related persons, **24:7**
- relevant date of transaction, **24:20 [Footnote 13]**
- statutory provisions, **24:2**
- time periods, relevant, **24:2,(e)**
- timing, **24:4**
- "void," use of term, **24:2 [Footnote 3]**
 - see also Void/voidable
- Proper forum and procedure to collect on money judgment, determination of (bankruptcy context), **1:24 (Text Following Footnote 7)**
- Provincial legislation
 - pleading both provincial and federal statutes, **27:1 [Footnote 2]**
 - use of to interpret bankruptcy legislation, **31:3 [Text Accompanying Footnote 10]**
- Purposes of bankruptcy legislation
 - equality among creditors (preferences), **24:3**
 - general, **23:1**
- Remedies and enforcement
 - see also this main heading, then "transfers at undervalue"
 - generally, **32:1**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

- Remedies and enforcement—Cont'd
 - court order permitting creditor to pursue remedy where trustee refuses, **32:4**
 - subordination of claims, **32:5**
 - trustee's remedies and enforcement tools, **32:3**
 - trustee's use of provincial legislation, **32:2**
- Reviewable transactions (former s. 100, BIA)
 - arm's length and non-arm's length transactions, **28:5 [Footnote 9]**
 - conspicuous difference in value, **28:11**
 - defendants, **28:14**
 - innocent defendant, **28:14**
 - knowledge of defendant, **28:14**
 - deficiencies and strengths in 1999 remedies, **27:1 et seq.**
 - deficiencies of pre-1999 remedies, **27:1 et seq.**
 - discretion of court, **28:15 to 28:18**
 - discretion to conduct an inquiry, **28:16**
 - discretion to grant relief, **28:17, 28:18**
 - introduction, **28:1**
 - judgment for difference in value, **28:13**
 - licensed insolvency trustee's opinion of value, **28:12**
 - prejudgment interest, **28:14**
 - privy to reviewable transaction
 - general, **28:14**
 - piercing the corporate veil and privacy, **28:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 5]**
 - "reviewable transaction" defined and interpreted: related persons (BIA, former section 4) and the legal/constitutional effect of the deeming provision (BIA, former section 3(3)); control; related groups and related corporations; arm's length and non-arm's length transactions; persons), **28:5 to 28:9**
 - statutory provisions, **28:3 to 28:19**
 - time periods, relevant, **28:10**
 - transaction between bankrupt and neighbour, **28:8**
 - transfers at undervalue (BIA, s. 96), relevance of former reviewable

BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN

**FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Reviewable transactions (former s. 100,
BIA)—Cont'd
 transactions provisions, **28:1 et
 seq.**
 within one year, **28:10**
Settlements (former s. 91, BIA), **27:1 et
 seq.**
 deficiencies of remedy, **27:4**
 defined
 generally, **27:6, 27:9, 27:14**
 intention, **27:7**
 judicial approaches, **27:10**
 new statutory definition, **27:12,
 27:13**
 purposive approach, **27:8**
 designation of beneficiary or RRIF;
 transfer from RRSP to RRIF,
 27:11
 exempt property
 see also main heading “Property,”
 under “exempt (including
 otherwise immune) property”
 conversion of non-exempt property
 to exempt property prior to
 bankruptcy, **27:10, 27:11**
 scope of, compared to “dispositions,”
 **7:7 [Footnote 82], 9:18 [Footnote
 5]**
 settlement on bankrupt himself or
 herself, **27:11 [Footnote 4]**
 time periods, relevant, **27:15**
 transfers at undervalue (BIA, s. 96),
 relevance of former settlement
 provisions, **27:1 et seq.**
 United Kingdom Insolvency Act, **27:12
 [Footnote 8], 27:13 [Footnote 36]**
 where no transfer of property, **27:14**
Statutory definition of, **24:4, 27:15, 30:2**
Statutory interpretation
 see Legislation (under “statutory inter-
 pretation”)
Subordination of claims (fraudulent credi-
tors), **32:5**
Tracing proceeds, **11:1 et seq.**
Transferee, may be added as proper
defendant, **1:1 et seq.**
Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)
 generally, **29:1 et seq.**

BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN

**FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)
 —Cont'd
 arm's length and non-arm's length
 transfers
 approach to defining arm's length/
 non-arm's length transfers
 (Income Tax Act context), **28:8
 [Text Following Footnote 12]**
 relationship between the parties
 bonds of dependence, control or
 influence between the par-
 ties, **28:8 [Text Following
 Footnote 5]**
 normal commercial dealings
 (indicia including bonds
 of dependence, control
 or influence in terms of
 the parties' relationship),
 indicia of, **28:8 [Text
 Following Footnote 29]**
 effect of BIA, s. 4 (“related”
 persons), **28:5 to 28:7**
 control (re: “related”
 persons), **28:6**
 family members, non-arm's
 length transfers between,
 test for attacking a non-
 arm's length transfer at
 undervalue, **26:4 [Text
 Following Footnote 27]**
 economic self-interest of the
 transferor is, or is likely
 to be, displaced by non-
 economic
 considerations, **28:8
 [Text Following Foot-
 note 29]**
 no incentive for the transferor
 to maximize the
 consideration, **28:8
 [Text Following Foot-
 note 29]**
 no such indicia: inference
 that the parties were not
 dealing at arm's-length,
 **28:8 [Text Following
 Footnote 29]**
consideration
 fair market value
 court, role of. See Index, this

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)
—Cont'd
arm's length and non-arm's length
transfers—Cont'd
consideration—Cont'd
fair market value—Cont'd
subheading, then "court,
role of"
determination of, **26:4 [Text
Following Footnote 36]**
"conspicuous" differ-
ence in value of
consideration received
by debtor and the fair
market value of the
consideration given by
the debtor, **28:11.70**
trustee, opinion of trustee,
**26:4 [Text Following
Footnote 36], 28:12**
court, role of, **28:12**
Uniform Law Conference of
Canada, Uniform
Reviewable Transac-
tions Act, **26:4 [Text
Following Footnote 40]**
time for determining amount (date
of transfer; subsequent
consideration not relevant),
**26:4 [Footnote 39.500],
28:11.30**
what constitutes, **28:11.50**
where consideration received by
debtor is "conspicuously"
less than fair market value of
the consideration given by
debtor (BIA, s.2), definition
of "transfer at undervalue,"
**26:4 [Text Following Foot-
note 34], 28:11**
court, role of, **28:12**
discretion, whether it exists and, if
so, its nature and scope
general, **28:15**
inquiry, to conduct, **28:16**
relief
against whom may relief be
obtained, **28:14**
does court have any discre-
tion to grant relief, **26:4**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)
—Cont'd
arm's length and non-arm's length
transfers—Cont'd
court, role of, **28:12**—Cont'd
discretion, whether it exists and, if
so, its nature and scope
—Cont'd
[Text Preceding and
Following Footnote
29], **28:17** and **28:18,**
29:1 to **29:6** alternative
interpretations of
"may" (BIA, s. 96(1),
**26:4 [Text Preceding
and Following Foot-
note 29], 28:17 [Text
Following Footnote 16]**
guidance for court in
exercising discretion,
**26:4 [Text Following
Footnote 31], 28:18**
where statutory ambi-
guity exists, **28:17**
[Text Following Foot-
note 16] a new
principle?, **28:18**
fair market value of the
consideration, determination
of, role of the court, **28:12**
overview (reviewable transactions
under former Act (and. In part,
BIA, s. 96))
"related persons" (former Act)
arm's length and non-arm's
length transfers, timing,
and consideration, **26:4**
[Text Following Footnote
11], **27:5** to **27:14, 28:3 et
seq.**
effect of s. 4 ("related"
persons), **28:5** to **28:7**
control (re: "related"
persons), **28:6**
badges of fraud, use of, to determine
debtor's intent, **26:4 [Text
Accompanying Footnote 14],**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)

—Cont'd

27:7 [Footnote 21]

see also this subheading, under
“Mercado Capital Corporation
v Qureshi”

then sequentially “appellant’s
Factum Prepared for Its
Appeal to the Court of
Appeal,” “badge of fraud
alleged: transfer deed
contained a self-serving and
unusual provision”

bankruptcy provisions, current and former, **26:2**

Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act
proceedings (s. 36.1), application
of ss. 95 and 96 (Bankruptcy and
Insolvency Act) in, **1:8 [Footnote
8], 9:23 [Footnote 7], 14:42
[Footnote 31, 61] 24:2 [Footnote
4], 28:8 [Footnote 35], 28:8 [Text
Following Footnote 36]**

consideration. See this subheading,
then serially “arm’s length and
non-arm’s length transfers,”
“consideration,” “‘conspicuous’
difference in value of
consideration received by debtor
and the fair market value of the
consideration given by the debtor
“conspicuously,” meaning of. See this
subheading, then serially “arm’s
length and non-arm’s length
transfers,” “consideration,” “fair
market value,” “determination
of”

court, role of. See this subheading, then
serially “arm’s length and non-
arm’s length transfers,” “court,
role of”

deficiencies and strengths in 1999
reform remedies, **27:1 et seq.**

deficiencies of pre-2009 remedies (ss.
91, 100), **27:4**

“disposition” of property, **26:4 [Text
Following Footnote 4]**

see also this subheading, under
“Mercado Capital Corporation
v
Qureshi”

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)

—Cont'd

experts, financial statements and
appraisals: use by court to
determine fair market value, **28:11
[Text Accompanying and Fol-
lowing Notes for Footnotes 6, 12,
22, 23, 24]**

absence of experts or documentary
evidence, **28:11 [Footnotes
0.500, 22.500]**

court may determine whether an
expert is qualified to assess fair
market value of transferred
asset, **28:11 [Footnote 0.500]**

Income Tax Act, relevance of, **28:8
[Text Following Footnotes 12,
29]**

insolvency

definition of “insolvent person,”
26:2

determining on “cash flow” or “bal-
ance sheet” basis, **10:3 [Foot-
note 3], 24:11 [Footnote 1]**

introduction to s. 96, **26:1 et seq., esp.
Mercado Capital Corporation v.
Qureshi, commentary on, 29:1 et
seq.**

appellant’s Factum Prepared for Its
Appeal to the Court of Appeal,
27:4

badge of fraud alleged: transfer
deed contained a self-serving
and unusual provision, **14:42
[Text Accompanying Foot-
note 37]**

see also this subheading, under
“badges of fraud, use of,
to prove intent”

“disposition” of property.
not defined in s. 96, Bankruptcy
and Insolvency Act, **9:18
[Footnote 4]**

timing of “disposition”
date of the agreement of
purchase and sale vs. date
of execution of transfer
deed or similar instrument,
9:27 [Footnote 4]

general, **27:15; 28:10**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**

- Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)
 - Cont’d
 - Mercado Capital Corporation v. Qureshi, commentary on, **29:1 et seq.**—Cont’d
 - “disposition” of property.—Cont’d
 - use of term in many Canadian statutes, **9:18 [Footnote 4]**
 - Ontario Court of Appeal decision, **29:5**
 - critique of, **29:6**
 - Ontario Superior Court of Justice decision, **29:3**
 - test for attacking a non-arm’s length transfer at undervalue, **26:4 [Text Following Footnote 27]**
 - (mis)use of former s. 100, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, Appellant’s Factum to Court of Appeal, **29:4**
- [Note: A number of the references under this subheading refer to provisions in the former reviewable transactions and settlement legislation (BIA, ss. 100 and 91, respectively) relevant to an interpretation of current s. 96. see generally this main heading, subheadings “reviewable transactions” and “settlements.”], **26:1 et seq., 27:1 et seq., 28:1 et seq., 29:1 et seq.**
- pre-2009 bankruptcy provisions, and transfers at undervalue
 - see Note at this subheading, above
 - reviewable transactions provisions (former s. 91), relevance to transfers at undervalue, **28:1 et seq.**
 - settlement provisions (former s. 100), relevance to transfers at undervalue, **27:1 et seq.**
- related and non-related persons
 - see also this main heading, subheading “reviewable transaction” defined and interpreted”
 - BIA, effect of s. 4 (“related” persons), **28:5, 28:6, 28:7**
 - non-related persons, **28:8 [Text Following Footnote 13]**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**

- Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)
 - Cont’d
- remedies
 - court, remedies available to
 - Mercado Capital Corporation v. Qureshi, appellant’s Factum Prepared for Its Appeal to the Court of Appeal, **29:4 [Text Following Footnote 11]**
 - see also generally this main heading, subheading “Mercado Capital Corporation v. Qureshi, commentary on, **29:1 et seq.**
 - orders, types of, **28:14**
 - court, role of (including whether discretion exists and, if so, its nature and scope). See Index, this subheading, then serially “arm’s length and non-arm’s length transfers,” “court, role of”
- licensed insolvency trustee
 - see also this main heading, then sequentially “licensed insolvency trustee,” “remedies and enforcement”
- remedies available to, **27:16**
- suing to recover shortfall in value, **26:4 [Text Accompanying Footnote 12], 27:4, 27:16**
- trustee’s opinion concerning value, **28:12**
- voiding the transfer, **26:4 [Text Accompanying Footnote 12], 27:16**
 - same effect as under provincial fraudulent conveyances law: “void” means voidable, **27:16 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]**
 - see also main heading “Void/voidable”
- persons entitled, **28:14**
- persons who are “privy” to the transfer, liability of (BIA, s. 96(3)), **28:1 et seq.**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)

—Cont’d

“reviewable transaction” defined and interpreted (related persons (BIA, former section 4) and the legal/constitutional effect of the deeming provision (BIA, former section 3(3); control; related groups and related corporations; arm’s length and non-arm’s length transactions; persons), **28:5 to 28:9**

transferee, may be added as proper defendant, **1:25 [Text Following Footnote 8]**

trustee in bankruptcy

see this main heading, under
“licensed insolvency trustee”

value of transferred property
appraisals sought by trustee, **26:4
[Text Following Footnote 9]**
judgment for difference in value,
28:12

trustee’s opinion concerning value,
28:12

Trust argument, invoked to legitimize
debtor’s transfer of property, **14:42
[Footnote 33]**

Trustee in bankruptcy

see this main heading, under “licensed
insolvency trustee”

Void/voidable

see Void/voidable

Wealth and inequality, how the law cre-
ates them (asset protection, trusts,
corporate status, bankruptcy law, and
the role of lawyers)

see also main heading “Corporations,”
subheading “corporate status and
incorporation; asset protection;
and corporate law: critique (in
terms of creation of wealth and its
distribution)”

Pistor, Katharina, *The Code of Capital:
How the Law Creates Wealth and
Inequality* (2019), reviewed of by
Adam Tooze: “How ‘Big Law’
Makes Big Money,” *The New
York Review of Books* (February
13, 2020), **9:24 [Footnote 1], 34:1
et seq.**

BENEFICIARY

Creditors as, directors’ duty of care, **34:1
et seq.**

Designation of, former bankruptcy settle-
ment provisions (s. 91, BIA), **27:11**

Designation of, of life insurance policy,
9:18 to 9:33

Exigibility of interests in land, **9:22
[Footnote 53]**

Trust interest, as conveyance, **9:18 to
9:33**

BENEFIT TO DEBTOR

See main heading “Badges of fraud,”
then sequentially “examples (non-
exhaustive)” and “benefit retained
by debtor; possession remaining in
debtor”; main heading “Fraudulent
conveyances,” subheading “benefit,
retention by debtor, no need to show,
for fraudulent conveyance”; and
main heading “Sham transactions”

**BILL OF EXCHANGE, ENDORSER OF,
AS CREDITOR**

Generally, **18:8**

BONA FIDES

See Good faith

**BONDS, JUDGMENTS, ORDERS AND
OTHER PROCEEDINGS:
WHETHER COVERED BY**

Fraudulent conveyances legislation, **1:5;
8:1 et seq.**

Fraudulent preference legislation, **20:3**

BULK SALES LEGISLATION

Generally, **40:1 et seq.**

Deterrence of fraudulent transfers of
property, **40:2 [Text Following
Footnote 5], 40:6**

Waiving compliance with mandatory pro-
visions, and “industry practice,”
40:6 [Text Following Footnote 7]

**BURDEN/ONUS OF PROOF AND
STANDARD OF PROOF [NOTE:
READERS SHOULD CONSULT
THE TEXT FOR BOTH MAIN
REFERENCES BELOW, SINCE
THE ISSUES ARE TREATED
SIMILARLY IN FRAUDULENT
CONVEYANCES AND
FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES
LITIGATION]**

Fraudulent conveyances

see main heading “Intent — fraudulent conveyances,” then sequentially “proving fraudulent intent,” “burden/onus of proof”

badges of fraud, presumptions and burden of proof, **14:52 to 14:56**

Fraudulent preferences

see main heading “Intent — fraudulent preferences,” then “burden of proof”

CAUTION

Generally, **36:6**

CAUTIONARY NOTE

Generally, **1:1 to 1:8**

**CEASING TO MEET LIABILITIES AS
THEY FALL DUE**

Generally, **10:3, 10:4, 24:12**

**CERTIFICATE OF PENDING
LITIGATION**

Generally, **36:6**

Registration as deterrent to dealing with property, **36:6 [Text Following Footnote 32]**

**CHANGE OF POSITION, DEFENCE
OF**

Generally, **24:3**

CHEATING

See also main heading “Conspiracy”

See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

CHOICE OF LAW

Generally, **12:2, 12:8**

CLAIMS

See also Actions and other proceedings; Proceedings and procedure; Enforce-

CLAIMS—Cont’d

ment of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of the debtor’s property by the sheriff or other similar official; Judgment

Meaning of

contingent claim, **19:5 [Footnote 5]**

unliquidated claim, **19:5 [Footnote 5]**

Standing for creditor with contingent

claim (fraudulent preferences), **18:3**

Standing for creditor with unliquidated claim

fraudulent conveyances

Assignment and Preferences Act model, **13:8 [Footnote 6]**

Fraudulent Conveyance Act model, **13:5 [Footnote 10]**

fraudulent preferences, **18:3**

CLASS ACTION

Generally, **5:8**

CLEARING HOUSE

Defined, **24:1**

Exemption from statutory presumption of preference, **24:1**

CLEARING MEMBER, DEFINED

Generally, **24:1**

**CLOSE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
TRANSFEROR AND
TRANSFeree**

See also Index, main headings “Family law: legislation; agreements and other arrangements; and jurisprudence”; “Consideration”; “Natural love and affection, as consideration”; and Trusts

General, **14:47 to 14:51, 15:11, 19:16 [Footnote 1]**

Whether leniency warranted in family arrangements, **15:11 [Footnote 3,19, 27]**

**COGNOVIT ACTIONEM, USE OF,
DEFRAUD CREDITORS**

Generally, **8:2**

COLLUSION

See also main heading “Conspiracy”

See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion,

COLLUSION—Cont'd

guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION

See Actions and other proceedings

**COMMERCIAL AND OTHER
SIMILAR INTERESTS**

See also main heading “Leveraged buyouts”

Corporate status and incorporation; trusts; asset protection; and corporate law: critique (in terms of creation of wealth and its distribution), **1:1** [Footnote 12], **1:1** [Text Following Footnote 24], **1:1** [Footnote 32], **1:15** [Footnote 8], **9:25** [Text Following Footnote 1]

Debtor’s intent and his or her business or financial circumstances

see also main heading “Intent — fraudulent conveyances,” “business or financial circumstances of debtor, current and/or future”

commercial justification of transaction, **1:35** [Footnote 62]

Financial information, difficulty in acquiring and verifying

mid-19th to early-20th century, **1:1** [Footnote 11]

modern requirements, **1:1** [Footnote 10]

Freedom of commerce impaired by voiding all conveyances, etc., **1:15** [Text Accompanying Footnote 8]

Law impairing or otherwise affecting commercial interests, **1:8** [Text Accompanying Footnote 38 et seq.], **1:15** [Text Following Footnote 6], **1:8** [Footnote 49], **19:29** [Text Accompanying Footnote 6 et seq.], **19:33** [Text Accompanying Footnote 5 et seq.], **20:1** [Footnotes 13, 14]

certainty in commercial transactions, stare decisis and judicial activism, **1:1**

law reform considerations, **1:15** [Text Following Footnote 11]

Private companies, shares, potential disruption of company’s share and management structure by seizure and sale of shares, **39:2** [Text

COMMERCIAL AND OTHER

SIMILAR INTERESTS—Cont'd

Accompanying Footnotes 13, 14], **39:8** [Text Accompanying Footnote 5]

Techniques to minimize business risk, **2:1** [Footnote 3]

see also Estate planning

wealthy individuals: how money and influence can insulate the very wealthy from the consequences of reckless decisions (Purdue Pharma/Sackler discussion), **1:1** [Footnote 1]

COMMON LAW

See also main heading “Statute of Elizabeth and other English statutes”

Certainty in commercial transactions, stare decisis and judicial activism, **1:1**

Courts effect changes for specific situations, not system-wide, **1:15** [Text Accompanying Footnote 11], **4:18** [Footnote 4], **14:42** [Footnote 57]

Fraudulent conveyances, **1:11**

Fraudulent preferences, **17:1**

Inception of voidable transactions law, **1:1** [Footnote 5], **1:12** [Footnote 1]

COMPANIES’ CREDITORS

**ARRANGEMENT ACT
PROCEEDINGS (S. 36.1),
APPLICATION OF S. 95
(BANKRUPTCY AND
INSOLVENCY ACT) IN**

Generally, **1:8** [Footnote 8], **9:23** [Footnote 7], **14:42** [Footnote 31, 61], **24:2** [Footnote 4], **28:8** [Footnote 35], **28:8** [Text Following Footnote 36]

**CONCEALMENT OR REMOVAL OF
PROPERTY**

See also main headings, “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality and illegality, in relation to fraud;” “Estate planning, asset and tax sheltering”; “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality and illegality, in relation to fraud;” and “Landlord and tenant matters,” subheading “landlord’s distraint against fraudulently removed property by tenant”

CONCEALMENT OR REMOVAL OF PROPERTY—Cont’d

- Badge of fraud, as, **1:30 [Footnote 7], 14:42 [Footnotes 30, 77]**
- Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (s. 198) concealment not a “disposition,” **31:5 [Text Accompanying Footnote 7 et seq.]**
 - general, **31:5**
- Criminal Code (Canada), **1:30**
- General, **1:6, 7:12 [Footnote 2], 14:77**
- “Offshore” accounts and tax havens
 - passport selling by “offshore”
 - countries, to enable fraud, money laundering, and tax evasion, **1:13 [Footnote 12.500]**
 - use of by world leaders, powerful politicians, billionaires, celebrities, religious leaders and drug dealers to hide their investments in mansions, exclusive beachfront property, yachts and other assets, 2021 report of the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (the “Pandora Papers”), **2:6 [Footnote 2]**
- Ontario, Rules of Civil Procedure (made under Courts of Justice Act), **3:2**
 - passport selling by “offshore”
 - countries, to enable fraud, money laundering, and tax evasion, **1:3 [Footnote 12.500]**
- Removal of tenant’s property, distraint by landlord if “fraudulently or clandestinely” removed, **37:3, 14:42 [Footnote 30]**
- Trusts, concealment of assets by means of, **1:1 [Footnote 20 et seq.] and Accompanying Text**
- United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **1:30 [Footnote 7], 14:77**

CONCURRENT INTENT DOCTRINE

- See also Transferee (under “notice or knowledge of debtor’s fraudulent intent (fraudulent conveyances)”)
 - Debtor’s answers on discovery, effect on proving transferee’s intent, **14:1 to 14:39, 15:14**
- Fraudulent conveyances, **15:11**
 - British Columbia, **15:14 [Footnote 48]**

CONCURRENT INTENT DOCTRINE —Cont’d

- Fraudulent preferences, **19:10, 19:11, 19:13**
- Not relevant in bankruptcy preferences cases, **24:16**

CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT, USE OF, TO DEFRAUD CREDITORS

- Generally, **8:2**
- Warrant of attorney to confess judgment, **8:2**

CONFLICTING AND OVERLAPPING LEGISLATION

- Generally, **1:18 to 1:29**

CONFLICT OF LAWS

- Choice of law, **12:2, 12:8**
- Forum non conveniens, **12:7**
- Introduction, **12:1**
 - choice of law, **12:2, 12:8**
 - service out of the jurisdiction, **12:3, 12:6**
- Jurisdiction, **12:4 to 12:7**
 - forum non conveniens, **12:7**
 - general limits on, **12:7**
 - oppression actions, **12:5**
 - service ex juris, **12:3, 12:6**
- Remedies, **12:9**

CONSENT TO JUDGMENT

- Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **24:9 [Text Accompanying Footnote 21]**
- Provincial legislation, **8:1 et seq.**

CONSIDERATION

- Adequacy of
 - Assignment and Preferences Act
 - model, **15:8, 15:9, 16:4 to 16:6**
 - Fraudulent Conveyances Act model, **14:47 [Footnote 4], 15:8, 15:9, 15:11**
 - commercial justification of transaction, **1:35 [Footnote 62]**
 - full consideration not required, **14:36 [Text Following Footnote 5], 14:42 [Text Following Footnote 61], 15:11 [Text Accompanying Footnote 26]**
 - law reform (New Brunswick Report), **7:24**
 - weighing the adequacy of consideration: not an exercise in

CONSIDERATION—Cont'd

- Adequacy of—Cont'd
 - precision but one of judgment, **15:9 [Footnote 14]**
- Antecedent debt, payment of, effect of fraudulent conveyances cases, **15:10**
fraudulent preference cases, **15:10 (in part); 20:4**
new promise to pay antecedent debt, **15:10 [Footnote 24]**
- Debts of debtor
 - See also Index, main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then “debts”, meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA)”
 - abolition of debt, calls for, **1:1 [Text preceding and following note 11.175]**
 - assumption of, as consideration, **15:12; 16:5**
 - extension of time for repayment, **19:34 [Text Following Footnote 25]**
 - forgiveness or reduction of a debt, as consideration, **1:1 [Text preceding and following note 11.175]; 15:11 [Footnote 12]; 19:34 [Footnote 6]; 19:35 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 6]; 28:11 [Text Following Footnote 7.100]**
 - contra, fraudulent preferences, protected transactions, consideration (present actual payment of money), **20:9 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 9]**
 - refusal to collect a debt, as a fraudulent conveyance, **9:18 [Footnote 35]**
- Debts of debtor, transferee’s assumption of, as sufficient consideration, **15:12, 16:5**
- Family property law claims, release or compromise of, **15:11 [Footnote 12], 19:34 [Footnote 6], 19:35 [Footnote 7], 20:8**
- Forbearance to sue, **15:11 [Footnote 12], 19:34 [Footnotes 6, 25], 19:35 [Footnote 7], 20:8, 28:11 [Text Following Footnote 7.100]**

CONSIDERATION—Cont'd

- Full consideration
 - voiding conveyance despite, **15:11 [Footnote 28]**
- “Good” and “valuable,” **15:11**
- Good faith, linked to, **15:5**
- “Grossly inadequate” consideration, **14:28 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 36]**
- Matrimonial claim, compromise of, **15:11 [Footnote 12]**
- Matrimonial dispute, settlement of, **15:11 [Footnote 12]**
- Natural love and affection. See below, under “provincial law,” then “natural love and affection”
- Nominal consideration, meaning of
 - bankruptcy, **27:12 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]**
 - provincial law. See also Index, main heading “Close relationship between transferor and transferee” considered to be essentially a “gift,” **15:11 [Footnote 31]**
 - creditor must prove, **15:11 [Text Accompanying Footnote 25]**
 - description (Black’s Law Dictionary), **15:11 [Text Following Footnote 47]**
 - various descriptions, **15:11**
 - gratuitous
 - transferee paying nothing or a nominal amount, **9:18 [Footnote 1.500], 15:11 [Footnote 45.500]**
 - “trifling, insignificantly small or a token,” **15:11 [Text Following Footnote 46]**
- natural love and affection. See also above, “natural love and affection considered to be “nil” or “nominal” consideration, **15:11 [Footnote 14]**
- natural love and affection. See also below, “nominal consideration”
 - badge of fraud, “grossly inadequate” (badge (9)), **14:42 [Footnotes 61, 62]**
 - not “good” consideration, **15:11 [Footnote 19]**
 - no consideration, as “gift,” **14:42 [Footnote 24]**

CONSIDERATION—Cont'd

- Nominal consideration, meaning of
 - Cont'd
 - provincial law. See also Index, main heading “Close relationship between transferor and transferee”
 - Cont'd
 - nominal consideration, **15:11**
 - considered to be no consideration, **15:8 [Footnote 3 and accompanying text]**
 - not judicially defined, **15:11 [Text Following Footnote 47]**
 - not “minimal,” **15:11 [Text Following Footnote 47]**
- Past and present consideration
 - fraudulent conveyances, **15:10**
 - fraudulent preferences, **15:10 (in part); 20:4**
 - new promise to pay antecedent debt, **15:10 [Text and Notes Following Footnote 25]**
- Presumption of intent where no valuable consideration, **14:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 44 et seq.]**
- Promise, unperformed, as consideration, **15:1 et seq.**
- Role of, **15:8 to 15:12**
- Sale of property for full value, **1:35 [Footnote 69], 1:41.70, 1:41.80, 1:42, 20:8**
- Security for existing debt, **15:8, 15:9**
- Spousal relationship, **15:11 [Footnote 19]**
- Transactions between relatives, **15:11**
- Transferee's intent, and, **14:42 [Footnote 61], 15:11**
 - presumption of intent where no valuable consideration, **14:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 44 et seq.]**
- United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **14:78**
- Valuation of, **15:11 [Footnote 8]**

CONSPIRACY

- See also Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud,” then sequentially “civil (voidable transactions) law,” “collusion”

CONSPIRACY—Cont'd

- Core elements of secrecy, collusion and contrivance to the prejudice of legitimately entitled third parties, comparison to conspiracy, **1:35 [Footnote 8], 7:14 [Footnote 3], 7:16 [Footnote 1]**
- Covin, originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **15:1 [Footnote 0.02]**
- Criminal conspiracy, **2:5 [Footnote 13]**
- Secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to debtor-transferee conspiracy, **1:27 [Footnote 3], 1:29 [Footnote 1], 1:35 [Footnote 22]**
- Tort of
 - see also Remedies
 - economic injury caused or interfered with, **2:5 [Footnote 10], 2:5 [Text Following Footnote 29]**
 - essential elements, **2:5**
 - criminal conspiracy, essential elements of, where germane to civil conspiracy, **2:5 [Footnote 13]**
 - inducing a tort, **2:5 [Footnote 12]**
 - solicitor's liability for, **2:6 [Footnote 2]**
 - transferee, use of tort against, **1:2 [Footnote 19], 2:5 [Footnote 14], 7:14**

CONSTITUTIONALITY

- Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, reviewable transactions (former s. 100): related persons (BIA, former section 4) and the legal/constitutional effect of the deeming provision (BIA, former section 3(3)), **28:5**
- Coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors, **26:3**
- Criminal and quasi-criminal provincial fraudulent transactions legislation, **1:27**
- Fraudulent conveyances legislation, **1:8 [Footnote 78]**
- Fraudulent preferences legislation, **18:7**

CONSTITUTIONALITY—Cont'd

Rules dealing with fraudulent concealment of property, **1:30**

CONTEMPT ORDERS, AGAINST DEBTOR

Generally, **1:32**

Imprisonment for debt (voidable transactions), **1:32** [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 2]

Ontario, Rules of Procedure, rule 60.18(5), **1:32** [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]

CONTINGENT CLAIM

See Claim

CONTINUING IN BUSINESS

Bankruptcy, **24:21**

Control, **28:6**

Hazardous business/investment, **2:1** [Text Following Footnote 4], **13:13** [Footnotes 7, 22, and 64], **14:14** [Footnotes 4, 5]

Provincial legislation, **19:35**

CONTROLLING OR DIRECTING MIND OF CORPORATION

See Corporations; Directors

CONVEYANCE, DISPOSITION AND OTHER SIMILAR TRANSACTIONS

See also Fraudulent conveyances; Fraudulent preferences; Transactions, void/voidable

All or virtually/essentially all of the debtor's property, **14:42** [Footnote 24]

Benefit, retention by debtor, **1:8, 14:33, 14:51**

By act outside debtor's control, **9:18** [Footnotes 17, 26]

Debtor must have interest in conveyed property, **13:1** [Footnote 2], **14:51**

Debts of debtor, forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal to collect, etc. See Index, main heading "Consideration," then "Debts of debtor." See also Index, main heading "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)," then "Debts", meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA)"

CONVEYANCE, DISPOSITION AND OTHER SIMILAR TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd

Delay - remedy where conveyance delays but does not defeat recovery, **1:35, 1:45, 14:32, 14:45**

Disclaimers of legacies or gifts conveyance, as, **9:22** disposition, and disclaimers, contrasted, **9:22** [Footnote 37]

law reform, **9:28 et seq.**

surrender or release, and disclaimers, contrasted, **9:22**

Disposition

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act bankruptcy offences (s. 198) concealment not a "disposition," **31:5** [Footnote 7]

general, **31:3**

transfers at undervalue (s. 96), **26:4** [Text Following Footnote 6]

see also main heading "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)," and then sequentially "transfers at undervalue," "Mercado Capital Corporation v

Qureshi, commentary on," and "disposition' of property"

British Columbia legislation

use of term "disposition"

definition

Fraudulent Conveyance Act, **1:30** [Text Following Footnote 12.200]

Interpretation Act, **1:30** [Text Following Footnote 12.500]

general, **9:18** [Footnotes 3 et seq. and Accompanying Text], **31:4**

Ponzi scheme, voidable transactions: "disposition" of "excess," where perpetrator of scheme pays investor an amount exceeding the amount invested (the "excess"), **38:3.50**

Harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading "Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for

**CONVEYANCE, DISPOSITION AND
OTHER SIMILAR
TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd**

- success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?"
- Insolvent debtor, refusal to collect money owed to him, not a prejudicial "disposition" (B.C. Report), **9:22 [Footnote 42]**
- Journal entries, whether reflecting actual conveyances, **1:8 [Footnote 7], 9:18 [Text Following Footnote 48], 26:4 [Footnote 7], 28:12 [Text Following Footnote 15]**
- Meaning of, **9:18 to 9:33, 24:9**
- Other than by debtor, **1:8 [Footnote 67], 9:33 [Footnote 2]**
- Ponzi scheme, voidable transactions, conveyance: payment of "excess," where perpetrator of scheme pays investor an amount exceeding the amount invested (the "excess"), **38:3.50**
- Prejudicial effect of
 - see main heading "Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?"
- Refusal of a gift (powers of appointment, disclaimers, legacies, beneficial interests)
 - current law, **9:22**
 - law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**
- Releases and other similar instruments
 - current law, **9:21**
 - law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**
- Sham transactions
 - see Sham transactions
- Timing of, **14:1 to 14:39**
 - see also main heading "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)," subheading "transfers at undervalue," and then sequentially "Mercado Capital Corporation v Qureshi, commentary on," "'disposition' of property," and "timing of 'disposition'"
- Transferee corporation controlled or organized by corporate or non-corporate debtor, **9:24, 9:25, 14:53 [Footnote 6]; 15:14 [Footnote 8]**

**CONVEYANCE, DISPOSITION AND
OTHER SIMILAR
TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd**

- "Voluntary" conveyances, meaning and use of term, **9:18 [Footnote 1.500], 15:11 [Footnote 45.500]**

CORPORATIONS

- See also main headings "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)," subheading "wealth and inequality, how the law creates (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers)"; and Directors
- Corporate status and incorporation; asset protection; and corporate law: critique (in terms of creation of wealth and its distribution), **1:1 [Footnote 12], 1:1 [Text Following Footnote 24], 1:1 [Footnote 32], 1:15 [Footnote 8], 9:24 [Text Following Footnote 1]**
- Corporate veil
 - piercing
 - "creditors or others," interpretation of "others," where mortgage corporations (which granted mortgages to secure legal fees) were controlled by an individual who was a judgment debtor of other creditors: whether each creditor of the judgment debtor was an "other" with standing to seek impeachment of the mortgages, **13:17.100**
 - general, **13:14 [Footnote 3], 14:3 [Footnote 5], 14:44 [Footnote 11], 28:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 5], 36:6 [Footnote 10]**
 - transferee corporation controlled or organized by corporate debtor, **9:24, 9:25, 14:53 [Footnote 6], 15:14 [Footnote 8]**
 - transferee's notice or knowledge of transferor's fraudulent intent, where same individual is "controlling mind" of both transferor and transferee (where not a corporate veil issue: Abalon Holdings Ltd. v. Bank of Nova Scotia), **14:3 [Footnote 5], 14:44 [Footnote 8], 15:10**

CORPORATIONS—Cont’d

Corporate veil—Cont’d
 piercing—Cont’d
 [**Footnote 34**], **15:14** [**Footnote 8**]
 transfer of assets to a new corporation, whether a fraudulent transaction, **9:25**
 use to minimize business risk, **2:1** [**Footnote 3**]
 see also Estate planning
 veil not pierced, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, s. 198(1) (offences) (*Plante c. Gaudreau*), **31:5**

Corporation, defined, **28:9**

Directorships, interlocking corporate, **14:44**

Dividends (restrictions on payment of) and purchase or redemption of shares
 bankruptcy and insolvency provisions, **30:1 et seq.**
 general, **17:1** [**Footnote 28**]
 law reform, **35:2**
 provincial legislation, **35:1**

Fraud and dishonesty, advice from solicitor, **2:2** (**LSUC r. 3.2 to 8**)
 see also this main heading, subheading “corporate status and incorporation; asset protection; and corporate law: critique (in terms of creation of wealth and its distribution)”

Intent of “directing” or “controlling” mind, **14:3** [**Footnote 5**]

Notice or knowledge of transferor’s intent where transferor and transferee are the same, **15:14** [**Footnote 8**]
 transferee corporation controlled or organized by corporate debtor, **9:24**, **9:25**, **14:53** [**Footnote 6**], **15:14** [**Footnote 8**]
 transferee’s notice or knowledge of transferor’s fraudulent intent, where same individual is “controlling mind” of both transferor and transferee (where not a corporate veil issue: *Abalon Holdings Ltd. v. Bank of Nova Scotia*), **14:3** [**Footnote 5**], **14:44** [**Footnote 8**], **15:10** [**Footnote 34**], **15:14** [**Footnote 8**]

CORPORATIONS—Cont’d

Notice or knowledge of transferor’s intent where transferor and transferee are the same, **15:14** [**Footnote 8**]
 —Cont’d
 transferor and transferee having the same operating mind (so allegedly no legitimate transaction was concluded between them), **14:1 et seq.**

Private or closely held corporations, restrictions on share transfers and on other entitlements, **39:1 et seq.**
 company law, effect of court intervention or non-intervention on, **39:1**
 court intervention or non-intervention, **39:1**
 definition, **39:1** [**Footnote 4**]
 enforcement proceedings, effect of court intervention or non-intervention on, **39:1**
 law reform, **39:12 to 39:18**
 legislation (provincial) and jurisprudence
 jurisprudence before securities transfer legislation, **39:2**, **39:3**
 securities transfer legislation, restrictions on share transfers and on other entitlements
 different provincial approaches, **39:5 to 39:11**
 fraudulent conveyances legislation, links to, **39:6**
 voidable transactions legislation, **39:4**
 reasons for restrictions, **39:1**
 devices to evade execution, **39:13** [**Footnote 1**]
 share-holding rights, nature of, **39:1**
 transfers (voluntary) and transmissions (non-voluntary), **39:1**
 types of restrictions, **39:1**

Shareholders, liability of, **30:1**, **30:4**, **30:5**

Shares, issuance of additional shares in an existing corporation, as a fraudulent conveyance, **9:24**

Subsidiary, associated and related corporations or other entities, creation and legitimacy of, **14:44**

Transactions involving close corporate relationships, **14:44**

INDEX

CORPORATIONS—Cont'd

- Transferee corporation controlled or organized by corporate or non-corporate debtor, **9:24, 9:25, 14:53 [Footnote 6], 15:14 [Footnote 8]**
- Transfer of assets to a new corporation and other related conduct, such as the issuance of additional shares in an existing corporation, whether a fraudulent transaction, **9:24, 9:25**
see also this main heading, subheading “corporate status and incorporation; asset protection; and corporate law: critique (in terms of creation of wealth and its distribution)”
- Wealth production, inequality, etc., **1:1 [Text Following Footnote 24]**

CORROBORATION

- Generally, **14:48**
- Res ipsa loquitur, and, **14:48**

COURTS

- See also Anton Piller order; Damages; Derivative action; Enforcement of money judgments and seizure of debtor's property by sheriff or other similar official; Injunctions; Conspiracy, tort of; Judgment; Mareva injunctions; Oppression remedy; Preservation and similar orders; Proceedings and procedure; Remedies; Unjust enrichment, damages for
- Decisions not based on statutory provisions, **1:8 [Footnote 74], 9:18**
certainty in commercial transaction, stare decisis and judicial activism, **1:1**
courts effect changes in specific situations, not system-wide, **1:15 [Text Accompanying Footnote 11], 4:18 [Footnote 4], 14:42 [Footnote 57]**
- Declaratory relief (voidable transactions), **5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]; 7:5 to 7:22**
- Deference normally accorded by appeal courts to the findings of trial judges, **14:9 [Footnote 1], 14:54**
- Discretion of (bankruptcy)
reviewable transactions (former s. 100, BIA), **28:15 to 28:18**

COURTS—Cont'd

- Discretion of (bankruptcy)—Cont'd
transfers at undervalue, **29:1 et seq.**
Mercado Capital Corporation v Qureshi, appellant's Factum Prepared for Its Appeal to the Court of Appeal (for commentary on Mercado Capital Corporation v. Qureshi, see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then “transfers at undervalue”), **29:4 [Text Following Footnote 11], 29:5 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 4 to 7], 29:6 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 2 to 3]**
 - Judicial activism and legislative reform.
See this main heading, under “Legislative reform versus judicial activism”
 - Legislative reform versus judicial activism, **1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:41.85**
 - “Open courts,” principle of, re: removal or redaction of portions of the record from publicly filed materials, **2:7 [Footnote 7], 5:5 [Footnote 25]**
 - Powers of
judgment: entitlement, effect and relief granted
general, **Ch. 7**
judicial activism, judicial restraint and legislative reform, **1:41.55, 1:41.60, 1:41.65, 1:41.80, 1:41.85, 1:42**
law reform, **7:3, 7:24 to 7:31**
- ### COVIN
- See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”
 - Originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **15:1 [Footnote 0.02]**
 - Statute of Elizabeth, an Act against certain “covinous” transactions, **1:1 [Hunt's Description of Act (First Para.) Text Following Footnote 5]**

CREDIT

- Financial information, difficulty in acquiring and verifying
 - see also Information
 - mid-19th to early-20th century, **1:1** [**Footnote 11**]
 - modern requirements, **1:1** [**Footnote 10**]
- Responsible practices during credit relationship, ensuring, **1:1** [**Text Following Footnote 10**]
- Role of, and voidable transactions law, **1:1 to 1:8**

CREDITORS AND “OTHERS”

- See also Creditors’ relief legislation; Enforcement of money judgments and seizure of the debtor’s property by sheriff or other similar official; Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances
- Beneficiary of directors’ duty of care, **34:1 et seq.**
- Bill of exchange, endorser of, **18:8**
- Credit
 - see Credit, role of, and voidable transactions law
- Creditors or “others,” **13:4 to 13:8, 13:9, 13:10, 13:11**
 - piercing corporate veil, interpretation of “others,” where mortgage corporations (which granted mortgages to secure legal fees) were controlled by an individual who was a judgment debtor of other creditors: whether each creditor of the judgment debtor was an “other” with standing to seek impeachment of the mortgages, **13:17.100**
 - See also Index, main heading “Corporations,” then serially “Corporate veil,” “piercing”
 - statutory phrase used by the courts as a rule to determine standing to commence proceedings, **13:2** [**Text Following Footnote 1**]
- Creditors’ relief legislation
 - see Creditors’ relief legislation
- Declaratory relief (voidable transactions), **5:20** [**Text Following Footnote 23**]; **7:5 to 7:22**

CREDITORS AND “OTHERS”—Cont’d

- Defined
 - bankruptcy, **24:1, 24:14**
- Diligent, **24:23**
- Execution
 - see also Enforcement of money judgments and seizure of debtor’s property by sheriff or other similar official
- Expanded definitions and remedies (preferences)
 - Alberta Fraudulent Preferences Act, s. 10, **18:2**
 - general, **19:5**
 - Saskatchewan Fraudulent Preferences Act, s. 12(1), (2), **18:2** [**Footnote 19**]
 - standing, **18:2**
- General rule, **13:18, 18:1, 19:5**
 - expanded definition, **18:2**
- Guarantor, **18:8**
 - see also Guarantees
- Harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- Initiator of a fraudulent preference (pressure by preferred creditor), **19:36 to 19:38**
- Intent to defraud “any creditor” (U.S. Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act), **13:13** [**Footnote 24**]
- Judgment creditors, **13:3**
- Litigation against debtors, see main heading “Debt litigation”
- Non-judgment creditors, **13:4 to 13:8**
 - “Others” referring to monetary claimants only, **13:6** [**Footnote 7**]
- Promissory note, endorser of, **18:8**
- Ratification of transaction, **1:8** [**Footnote 10**]
- Remedies
 - see main heading “Illegal transactions”
- Remedy, where preferable to imposition of fiduciary duties, **11:1** [**Footnote 7**]
- Return of transferred property by, **1:8** [**Footnote 5**]
- Secured
 - payments to secured creditor, **24:9**
 - priority over unsecured judgment creditors, **1:3** [**Footnote 3**]

CREDITORS AND “OTHERS”—Cont’d

- Secured—Cont’d
 - protected transactions — fraudulent preferences, **20:9, 20:10**
 - secured financing as protected transaction, **20:9, 20:10**
 - security for current advances, **24:22**
 - standing to impeach fraudulent conveyance, **13:12**
 - standing to impeach fraudulent preference, **18:6**
- Sheriff or other similar official as creditor’s surrogate to seize property allegedly transferred fraudulently, **4:1**
- Subsequent/future creditors
 - standing to impeach fraudulent conveyance, **13:13, 13:18**
 - intent to defraud “any creditor” (U.S. Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act), **13:13 [Footnote 24]**
 - intent to defraud subsequent/future creditors in general or specific subsequent/future creditors, **13:13 [Esp. Footnotes 24, 25]**
 - standing to impeach fraudulent preference, **18:5**
- Substitution of new for old, **13:13 [Footnote 38]**
- Third party, of, **13:14**
- Traditional setting, debtor-creditor relationship as, **1:2**
- Use of term, **1:2, 1:3, 1:4**
- Waiver of rights, **1:8 [Footnote 5]**

CREDITORS’ RELIEF LEGISLATION

- Conveyance legislation, and, **7:19 to 7:21**
- Effect of, where statutory right to impeach judgment or execution used to defraud creditors, **8:3, 41:22**
- General, **7:19 to 7:21**
- Preference legislation, and, **1:12 [Footnote 16], 1:35 [Text Accompanying Footnote 49 et seq.], 17:1**
- Reform, **7:23 to 7:30; 17:6, 17:7**

CRIMINAL AND QUASI-CRIMINAL OFFENCES RESPECTING VOIDABLE TRANSACTIONS AND FRAUD, AND RELATED MATTERS

- Criminal and quasi-criminal provisions of, relevance of Statute of Elizabeth

CRIMINAL AND QUASI-CRIMINAL OFFENCES RESPECTING VOIDABLE TRANSACTIONS AND FRAUD, AND RELATED MATTERS—Cont’d

- to provincial voidable transactions law, **1:26 to 1:29**
- constitutional matters, **1:27**
 - British Columbia, **1:28**
 - outside British Columbia, **1:29**
- Deterrence, of person contemplating voidable transaction, resort to criminal law, **7:25 [Footnote 14]**
- Offences, **1:30; 2:3 [Footnote 41]**
 - Criminal Code offences and Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act offences, basic difference between, **1:1 et seq.; 31:1 [Text Following Footnote 4]**
 - extradition proceedings, legislation, liberal/broad interpretation, **1:30 [Footnote 27]**

CROWN, LEGISLATION BINDING ON

- Bankruptcy and insolvency legislation, **24:1**
 - deterrence, of creditor contemplating voidable transaction, best left to criminal law, **1:8 [Footnote 46]**
- Provincial legislation, **1:30**

CUSTODY, PRESERVATION AND DETENTION OF PROPERTY ORDERS, BANKRUPTCY LEGISLATION

- Generally, **36:1 et seq.**

DAMAGES

- See also Conspiracy, tort of; Remedies
- Conspiracy, linked to secrecy, collusion and contrivance, **1:1 [Footnote 18]; 1:27 [Footnote 4]; 1:28 [Footnote 1]; 1:29 [Footnote 1]; 1:35 [Footnotes 8, 22]**
- Exemplary damages
 - see this main heading, subheading “punitive or exemplary damages”
- Fiduciary duties, breach of
 - director of corporation, **34:1 et seq.**
 - transferor, **1:2 [Footnote 19]**
- Monetary judgment against recipient of a transfer at undervalue (Bankruptcy

DAMAGES—Cont'd

- and Insolvency Act, s. 96(1)), **26:4, 27:4**
- No right to, in voidable transactions proceedings
 - Against transferee, **7:13:25**
 - transferor, **7:13:50**
 - General, **5:20** [Text Accompanying Footnotes 19 et seq.], **7:13**
- Punitive or exemplary damages
 - oppression remedy, **33:5** [Text Following Footnote 43], **33:13** [Footnote 5]
 - voidable transactions, **7:15** [Esp. Text Following Footnotes 1, 3]
- Restitution, analogy with secrecy, collusion and contrivance, **1:35** [Footnotes 8, 22]
- Tort, actual damage or injury as a necessary element of liability for negligence, **14:9** [Footnote 1]. See also Index, main heading “Tort”
- Unjust enrichment, damages for, **7:14** [Text Following Footnote 16], **33:5** [Text Following Footnote 41]
- Analogy with secrecy, collusion and contrivance, **1:35** [Footnotes 8, 22]

DEBT LITIGATION, DEBT COLLECTION AND RELATED MATTERS

- Debt collection, see also main heading “Enforcement of money judgments”
 - frustrating collection, **1:4**
 - impediments to collection, **1:3**
 - money judgments and enforcement of judgment debts, **1:3**
- Debt litigation, **2:1**
- Limiting financial exposure to avoid litigation, **2:1**

DEBTOR AND DEBT

- See also Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of the debtor’s property by the sheriff or other similar official; Insolvency/solvency of parties
- Absconding, **1:1 to 1:8**

DEBTOR AND DEBT—Cont'd

- Arrest
 - Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **32:3** [Text Following Footnote 1]
 - Ontario, under (repealed) Fraudulent Debtors Arrest Act, **36:1** [Footnote 1]
- Church sanctuary (pre-modern) for fugitive debtors, **1:4** [Footnote 3]
- Collection of money judgment from, **1:3** [Esp. Footnote 6 et seq.]
 - title to or ownership or possession of debtor’s property bound by writ of execution, **1:3** [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 22]
- Concealment of property by, **3:2, 3:6**
- Consumer debt, consequences of increased, **1:1** [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 10]
- Conveyance by
 - see Conveyance, disposition and other similar transactions
- “Debt” and “debtor”: no definition in Ontario Legislation Act, 2006, S.O. 2006, c. 21, Schedule F (successor to the former Interpretation Act), **1:9** [Footnote 9]
- Debtor-solicitor relationship
 - dishonesty, fraud, etc. by debtor, **2:2** (LSUC r. 3.2 to 7)
 - general, **2:2, 2:3**
 - solicitor as dupe of debtor, **2:2**
 - traditional setting, debtor-creditor relationship as, **1:2**
- Debts of debtor, forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal to collect, etc. See Index, main heading “Consideration,” then “Debts of debtor.” See also Index, main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then “debts’, meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA)”
- Examination of
 - bankrupt and others (federal law), **23:1 et seq.**
 - debtor (provincial law), **3:1** [Footnote 12]
 - discovery, debtor’s answers, effect on proving transferee’s intent, **14:1 to 14:39, 15:14** [Footnote 15]

INDEX

DEBTOR AND DEBT—Cont'd

- Financial situation
 - see Insolvency of parties
- Imprisonment for debt, abolition, **1:32**
[Text Accompanying Footnotes 2 to 4]
- Intent of
 - bankruptcy, **24:16**
 - fraudulent conveyances
 - see Intent — fraudulent conveyances
 - fraudulent preferences, **19:6 to 19:9**
- Interest in conveyed property, **9:3, 13:1**
[Footnote 1], **14:51**
- Litigation against, see main heading
“Debt litigation”
- Payment to creditor by, **19:4** [Footnote 1], **20:10** [Footnote 3], **24:8** [Footnote 1]
- Remedies against
 - see Remedies
- Removal from jurisdiction, **1:5**
- Reputation for honesty, **1:1** [Footnote 26]
- Rights concerning conveyed property, **6:1**
[Footnote 7]
- Testify or give evidence, failure to, **14:42**
[Text at Footnote 110]
- Use of term, **1:2, 1:3, 1:4**

DECEIT, DISHONESTY, IMMORALITY, ILLEGALITY, COLLUSION, GUILLE, MALICE, ETC., IN RELATION TO FRAUD

- See also Index, main headings: “Concealment or removal of property”; “Fraud”; “Illegal transactions”; “Sham transactions”
- Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions
 - badges of fraud
 - See this subheading, under “voidable transactions”
 - cheating
 - See this subheading, under “covin”
 - collusion
 - covin, originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **14:43.50** [Text Accompanying Footnote 6]

DECEIT, DISHONESTY, IMMORALITY, ILLEGALITY, COLLUSION, GUILLE, MALICE, ETC., IN RELATION TO FRAUD —Cont'd

- Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions
 - Cont'd
 - cheating—Cont'd
 - secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to conspiracy, **1:1**
[Footnotes 18], **1:27** [Footnote 4], **1:28** [Footnote 1], **1:29**
[Footnote 1], **1:35** [Footnote 22]
- covin
 - generally, **14:43.50**
 - originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **14:43.50**
[Text Accompanying Footnote 6]
 - Statute of Elizabeth, an Act against certain “covinous” transactions, **1:14** [Footnote 5], **14:43.50**
[Text Following Note 6]
- deceit
 - deceit, unfair competition, and interference with profitable relations they maintain with business associates or employees, remedy against, **7:17** [Footnote 4]
 - “defraud and deceive” (Ontario Fraudulent Conveyances Act, s. 5: frauds against purchasers), different terminology than in provisions respecting frauds against creditors, **1:8** [Footnote 77]
 - fraud, whether the same as, **1:1** [Text Following Footnote 26], **1:1**
[Footnote 27], **1:8** [Footnote 77], **1:35** [Footnote 31]
- dishonesty and honesty
 - dishonesty not having a criminal or quasi-criminal dimension, **1:29**
 - honesty, reputation for, **1:1** [Footnote 26]
 - solicitor’s judgment-proofing advice, **2:6** [Footnote 5 and Accompanying Text]

**DECEIT, DISHONESTY,
IMMORALITY, ILLEGALITY,
COLLUSION, GUILE, MALICE,
ETC., IN RELATION TO FRAUD
—Cont'd**

Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions
—Cont'd

dishonesty and honesty—Cont'd
stretching and distorting existing
legislation to prevent dishonest
trading, **6:3 [Footnote 8.500
and accompanying text]**

transferee's notice or knowledge of
debtor's fraud, whether "dishonesty," **1:29 [Text
Accompanying Footnote 5]**
general, **1:28, 1:29, 1:27 [Footnote 5],
[1:35 and Following]**

guile

British Columbia (Fraudulent
Conveyance Act), **1:28, 15:15
other provinces, 1:29**

illegality

See Index, main heading "Illegal
transactions"

immorality

See Index, main heading "Deceit,
dishonesty, immorality, illegality,
collusion, guile, malice, etc.,
in relation to fraud"

mala fides, **1:28 [Text Accompanying
Footnotes 8, 17]; [Text Following
Footnote 15]**

malice

criminal and quasi-criminal law
British Columbia Fraudulent
Conveyance Act, **1:28 other
provinces, 1:29**

secrecy, collusion and contrivance
linked to conspiracy, **1:1 [Footnote
18]; 1:27 [Footnote 4];
1:28 [Footnote 1]; 1:29
[Footnote 1]; 1:35
[Footnotes 8, 22]**

unjust enrichment, restitution, the
tort of knowing receipt,
analogies with, "Tort," then
"Knowing receipt, tort of,"
**1:35 [Footnotes 8, 22]. See
also Index, main headings:
"Damages," then "Restitu-**

**DECEIT, DISHONESTY,
IMMORALITY, ILLEGALITY,
COLLUSION, GUILE, MALICE,
ETC., IN RELATION TO FRAUD
—Cont'd**

Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions
—Cont'd

malice—Cont'd
secrecy, collusion and contrivance
—Cont'd

**tion, analogy with secrecy,
collusion and contrivance"
and "Unjust enrichment,
damages for"**

voidable transactions, badges of fraud,
and deceit, dishonesty, immorality,
illegality, collusion, guile, malice,
etc., **14:42**

Criminal or quasi-criminal dimension?

British Columbia and other provinces

British Columbia (Fraudulent
Conveyance Act), **1:28**

collusion

legislation is remedial, therefore
"collusion, guile, malice
or fraud" ignored, **1:28
[Text Following Footnote
19]**

"defraud" not ordinarily used in
any criminal or quasi-criminal
sense under the various
Fraudulent Conveyances
Acts, **1:28**

contra, **[Text accompanying
and Following Footnote
1:28]**

dishonesty (having a criminal or
quasi-criminal dimension)
not required to be proven,
1:28

legislation is remedial, therefore
"collusion, guile, malice or
fraud" ignored, **1:28 [Text
Following Footnote 9]**

mala fides, **1:28 [Text
Accompanying and Following
Footnote 9]**

other Canadian common law
jurisdictions, **1:29**

general, **1:28, 1:29, 1:27 [Footnote 5],
[1:35 and Following]**

INDEX

DECEIT, DISHONESTY, IMMORALITY, ILLEGALITY, COLLUSION, GUILE, MALICE, ETC., IN RELATION TO FRAUD

—Cont'd

Criminal or quasi-criminal dimension?

—Cont'd

passport selling by “offshore” countries, to enable fraud, money laundering, and tax evasion, **1:3** [Footnote 12.500]

DEEMED, MEANING OF

Generally, **28:5** [Footnote 12]

DE FACTO CONTROL

Generally, **28:6** [Footnote 7]

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

Generally, **24:9**

Deemed admission of allegations, **14:1 to 14:39**

DEFENCES — FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

Change of position, **24:3**

Honest attempt to stave off going out of business, **19:35, 24:21**

Pressure, transfer made in response to, **19:36 to 19:38**

Previous promise to make future transfer, **19:34, 24:20**

DE JURE CONTROL

Generally, **28:6**

DERIVATIVE ACTION

See also Oppression remedy; Remedies

Introduction, **33:1, 33:8**

Legislation, **33:9**

Remedies, **33:13**

Standing, **33:10**

DESIGNATION OF BENEFICIARY OF LIFE INSURANCE POLICY

Generally, **9:18 to 9:33**

DETENTION, CUSTODY AND PRESERVATION OF PROPERTY ORDERS, BANKRUPTCY LEGISLATION

Generally, **36:1** [Footnote 1]

DETERRENCE, OF PERSON CONTEMPLATING FRAUDULENT DEALING WITH PROPERTY

“Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then sequentially “preferences,” “deterrence: preference provisions deter creditors from dismembering bankrupt’s estate before bankruptcy and thereby precluding equality of distribution of estate”

“Bulk sales legislation,” subheading “deterrence of fraudulent transfers of property”

“Certificate of pending litigation,” subheading “registration as a deterrent to dealing with property”

“Criminal Code (Canada) provisions respecting voidable transactions and related matters,” subheading “deterrence, of creditor contemplating voidable transaction, best left to criminal law”

“Fraudulent conveyances,” then sequentially, “goals,” “deterrence, of creditor contemplating voidable transaction”

“Fraudulent preferences,” then sequentially, “goals,” “deterrence, of creditor contemplating voidable transaction”

“Injunctions,” subheading “deterrence, refusal to freeze bank account, contempt of court”

“Landlord and tenant matters,” then sequentially “history,” “aiding tenant in fraudulent removal of property, ‘double-value’ penalty as deterrent (UK Distress for Rent Act, 1737)”

DIRECTORS

See also Corporations; Payment of dividends/purchase or redemption of shares; Oppression Remedy

Fraud and dishonesty, advice from solicitor, **2:2 (LSUC r. 3.2 to 8)**

Liability of bankruptcy and insolvency provisions, **30:1 et seq.**

CBCA liability, **30:5** [Footnote 1]

fiduciary duties, breach of, **34:1 et seq.**

DIRECTORS—Cont'd

Liability of—Cont'd
 fraudulent, as against creditors, **14:3** [Footnote 5]
 interlocking corporate directorships, **14:44**
 law reform, **35:2**
 personal liability, **14:3** [Footnote 5], **28:14** [Footnote 1], **30:1** [Footnote 3], **33:1** [Footnote 11], **33:13** [Footnote 9], **35:1 et seq.**,
 provincial legislation, **35:1**
 Notice, knowledge and privity of
 privity to reviewable transaction (former bankruptcy provision: s. 100, BIA), **28:14**
 transferee's notice or knowledge of transferor's fraudulent intent, where same individual is "controlling mind" of both transferor and transferee, **14:3** [Footnote 5], **14:44** [Footnote 8], **15:1 et seq.**, **15:10** [Footnote 34], **15:14** [Footnote 8]

DISCLAIMERS OF LEGACIES OR GIFTS

See main heading "Conveyance, disposition or other similar transactions," subheading "disclaimers of legacies or gifts"

DISCOVERY

Debtor's answers on discovery, effect on proving transferee's intent, **14:9** [Footnote 3], **15:14** [Footnote 15]
 Equitable (Norwich order), **3:4**

DISHONESTY

See Index, main heading "Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud"

DISPOSITION

See Conveyance, disposition and other similar transactions

DISTRIBUTION OF PROCEEDS OF ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS

See Creditors' relief legislation

DIVIDENDS, PAYMENT OF, AND PURCHASE OR REDEMPTION OF SHARES

See Corporations

DOUBLE INTENT REQUIREMENT

See Concurrent intent doctrine

DREISER, THEODORE

The Financier (1912)
 financial and other practical consequences of criminal fraud conviction, **1:1** [Footnote 19]
 motives for giving a preference, **17:1** [Footnote 17]
 see also Intent — fraudulent conveyances; Intent — fraudulent preferences
 The Titan (1914)
 liberal interpretation or original intent of legislation, where obscured or nullified by courts, legislatures, contracts, etc., **1:8** [Footnote 29]
 see also main heading "Legislation," then sequentially "interpretation," "voidable transactions statutes," "liberal/broad interpretation?"
 pyramid building schemes, **1:1** [Footnote 16]
 see also Pyramid building schemes
 reputation of debtor for honesty, **1:1** [Footnote 26]
 See also Index, main heading "Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud"

DUTY OF CARE

Creditors as beneficiaries of, **34:1 et seq.**

EFFECT OF TRANSACTION

See also Judgment

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act

Preferences

see Bankruptcy, under "preferences" ("elements of impeachable preference")

transfers at undervalue (s

96). see Bankruptcy, under "transfers at undervalue"

Harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading "Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is proven?"

EFFECT OF TRANSACTION—Cont'd

- Impeachment, effect, see main headings
“Judgment” and “Void or voidable”
- Oppression remedy, **33:1 [Footnote 9],
33:6 [Footnote 5]**
- Provincial law
 - fraudulent conveyances
 - see Fraudulent conveyances
 - law reform, **14:62 to 14:67**
 - fraudulent preferences
 - see Fraudulent preferences
 - law reform, **19:14 to 19:18**

ENDORSER OF DEBTOR'S DEBT

- As a “creditor” under preference legisla-
tion, **18:2**
- Payment to, as preference, **19:5**

ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY

**JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND
THE SEIZURE OF THE
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR
OFFICIAL**

- See also Index, main headings: “Actions
and other proceedings”; “Bank-
ruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy
and Insolvency Act),” then “Debts”,
meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA);
“Claim”; “Judgment”; “Proceed-
ings and procedure”
- Debtor, examination of and information
concerning debtor's property
 - see Examination of debtors and others
(outside bankruptcy); Information
concerning the debtor and the
debtor's property; for bankruptcy
proceedings, see main heading
“Bankruptcy (Canadian federal
Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)”
- Enforcement of judgment
 - collection of money judgment, **1:1 et
seq.; 4:1 et seq.**
 - enforcement measures against debtor's
property, by judgment creditor.
See, below, execution creditor
 - enforcement not a right to pillage and
leave debtor destitute and still in
debt, **39:13 [Text Following Foot-
note 3]**
 - generally, **1:2, 7:1 et seq.**
 - frustrating debt collection, **1:4**

ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY

**JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND
THE SEIZURE OF THE
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

- Enforcement of judgment—Cont'd
 - generally, **1:2, 7:1 et seq.**—Cont'd
 - impediments to debt collection by
unsecured creditors, **1:3**
 - intentional interference with the eco-
nomic expectations of an
aggrieved judgment creditor,
fraudulent conveyance as, **7:17**
 - proceeds of property fraudulently
transferred
 - see Proceeds of property fraudulently
transferred; see also Pecuniary
judgment directly against fraud-
ulent transferees
 - “sheriff,” expanded meaning in Sec-
tion 4, Note before, **4:1**
 - stay of enforcement proceedings, **7:17**
 - voidable transactions law
 - adjunct to enforcement law, **1:49
[Footnote 3]**
 - effect of, on role of sheriff in enforc-
ing money judgments (the
meaning of “void”), **4:10**
 - inception of voidable transactions
law, **1:1 [Footnote 5], 1:12
[Footnote 1]**
- Examination of debtor and others, see
main heading “Examination of debt-
ors and others”
- Execution creditor
 - see also Standing to impeach — fraud-
ulent conveyances; Standing to
impeach — fraudulent prefer-
ences
 - bringing suit in own name alone, **5:8
[Footnote 5]**
 - enforcement measures against debtor's
property, by
 - generally, **1:15 [Footnote 4], 9:2
[Footnotes 3, 4]**
 - liability of creditor, **4:17**
 - seeking instructions and/or
indemnification from creditor's
solicitor, **4:18**

ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY

**JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND
THE SEIZURE OF THE
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

- Execution creditor—Cont'd
 - execution, right to levy, **9:2 [Footnotes 3, 4]**
 - see also *infra*, this title, under “sheriffs or other similar officials and the seizure of debtor’s property”
 - harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
 - liability for excessive intervention in execution process, **4:3 [Footnote 6]; 4:17**
 - money judgments and the enforcement of judgment debts, **1:1 to 1:3**
 - frustrating debt collection, **1:4**
 - impediments to debt collection, **1:3**
 - property of no or trivial value, execution against, and fraudulent conveyances statutes, **1:7 [Footnote 4], 9:2 [Footnote 3], 9:5 [Footnote 1], 14:26 [Footnote 1]**
 - burden of proof on transferee, **9:2 [Footnote 3]**
 - sheriff or other similar official as creditor’s agent or surrogate to seize property allegedly transferred fraudulently, **4:7**
 - title to or ownership or possession of debtor’s property bound by writ of execution, remaining in debtor until divested under applicable law, **1:3 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 22]**
- Legislation, **4:11 to 4:15**
 - judicial dispute resolution proceedings and related matters, sheriff’s resort to interpleader and motions for directions, **4:15**
 - Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, **4:15 [Text Following Footnote 8]**

ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY

**JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND
THE SEIZURE OF THE
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

- Legislation, **4:11 to 4:15—Cont'd**
 - property was transferred in bad faith (Ont. Execution Act), **4:14**
 - seizure of property in possession of a third party, **4:11 to 4:13**
- Litigation against debtors, see main heading “Debt litigation, debt collection and related matters”
- Private or closely held corporations,
 - restrictions on share transfers and on other entitlements
 - see Corporations (under “private or closely held corporations, restrictions on share transfers and on other entitlements”)
 - enforcement proceedings, effect of court intervention or non-intervention on, **39:1**
 - restrictions on share transfers, reasons for, as device to evade execution, **39:14 [Text at Footnote 1]**
- Proper forum and procedure to collect on money judgment, determination of, **1:24 [Text Following Footnote 7]**
- Sheriffs or other similar officials and the seizure of debtor’s property
 - abandonment of seizure, **7:18 [Footnote 9]**
 - bar to voidable transaction proceeding by prior seizure, **5:11**
 - cautionary approach by sheriff, **4:8**
 - “common law” (expansionist) view, **4:1 and (b)**
 - response
 - general, **4:4**
 - law reform proposals, **4:6**
 - legislation, **4:11 to 4:15**
 - voidable transactions law and meaning of “void,” **4:10**
 - dispute resolution proceedings, **4:15**
 - duty to discover existence and location of debtor’s property, **4:10**
 - expansive role for sheriffs?, **4:1 et seq.**
 - indemnification of sheriff or other similar official, **4:3 [Text Accompany-**

**ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY
JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND
THE SEIZURE OF THE
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

Sheriffs or other similar officials and the seizure of debtor's property—Cont'd
ing **Footnotes 10, 11**, **7:18** [**Footnote 6 and Accompanying Text**]
instructions to sheriff, **4:17**
interpleader, by sheriff, **4:15**
liability of sheriff or other similar official, **4:8 to 4:10**; **4:15**
motion for directions, **4:15**
practice of sheriff or other similar official, **4:7 to 4:10**
property subject (or not subject) to seizure
common law restrictions, continuing applicability of, **9:5** [**Footnote 2**]
exchanged or substituted property, **11:1**
burden of proof on transferee, **9:2** [**Footnote 3**]
exempt property
see main heading "Property," then sequentially "provincial law," "property exempt from enforcement proceedings"
sheriff or other similar official as creditor's agent or surrogate to seize property allegedly transferred fraudulently, **4:1 et seq.**
title to or ownership or possession of debtor's property bound by writ of execution, **1:3**
trust property, **13:1** [**Footnote 1**]
see also **Trusts**
seizure, **1:1 to 1:8**, **7:5 to 7:22**
generally, **1:3**, **1:4**, **7:5 to 7:22**
creditor's right to direct a seizure, **9:2** [**Footnotes 3, 4**]
effect of seizure, property not impeachable under fraudulent conveyances statutes, property non-fraudulently conveyed and therefore cannot be reached by creditors, **1:7** [**Footnote 4**]; **9:5**

**ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY
JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND
THE SEIZURE OF THE
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

Sheriffs or other similar officials and the seizure of debtor's property—Cont'd
seizure, **1:1 to 1:8**, **7:5 to 7:22**
—Cont'd
[**Text Accompanying Footnote 1**]; **14:1 et seq.**
excessive seizure
see this heading ("seizure"),
under "wrongful or excessive seizure."
expansive role for sheriffs?, **4:1 et seq.**
frustrating debt collection, **1:4**
impediments to debt collection by unsecured creditors, **1:3**
property interest sheriff can seize and pass on at sheriff's sale, **39:1 et seq.**
common law restrictions, continuing applicability of, **9:5** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 2**]
sheriff's potential liability for the seizure or failure to seize the debtor's exigible property and for the wrongful seizure of a third party's property, **4:8 to 4:10**
title to or ownership or possession of debtor's property bound by writ of execution, **1:3** [**Text Preceding and Following Footnote 22**]
what constitutes
generally, **7:18** [**Footnote 6 and Accompanying Text**]
physical possession of or contact with "seized" property, whether required, **7:18** [**Footnote 9**]
"walking possession" agreement, **7:18** [**Footnote 9**]
wrongful or excessive seizure, **4:1 et seq. (esp. 4(a.1))**; **7:18** [**Footnote 6 and Accompanying Text**]

**ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY
JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND
THE SEIZURE OF THE
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

Sheriffs or other similar officials and the seizure of debtor's property—Cont'd
“sheriff” or other similar official, expanded meaning in Section 4, Note before, **4:1**
status of sheriff in enforcement proceedings (agency, seizure and property interests, **4:7**
Solicitor for execution creditor
see also Solicitors
liability for excessive intervention in execution process, **4:17**

EQUITY

See also main heading “Statute of Elizabeth and other English statutes,” subheading “common law and equity”
Equitable change of position, doctrine of, **20:13; 24:3**
Equitable discovery (Norwich order), **3:4**
Ponzi schemes
see main heading “Ponzi schemes (transactions induced by fraud)”
United States law
equitable relief (for example, specific performance; constructive trust) denied to transferee (where fraudulent conveyance is characterized as “illegal”)
see also main heading “Illegal transactions”

**ESTATE PLANNING, ASSET AND TAX
SHELTERING**

See also main heading “Advice, from solicitors, accountants, financial advisors, trustees, and others”
Cloaking real purpose of transaction, **2:4**
Estate planning by non-solicitors, **2:4** [Text Following Footnote 25]
Examples of, **2:1** [Text Following Footnote 3]
General, **2:4, 14:7**
Income and other taxes
tax evasion, asset and tax sheltering, money laundering, creation and

**ESTATE PLANNING, ASSET AND TAX
SHELTERING—Cont'd**

Income and other taxes—Cont'd
investment of illicit funds, and other similar arrangements. See also Index, main heading “Solicitors”
“offshore” accounts and tax havens
passport selling by “offshore” countries, to enable fraud, money laundering, and tax evasion, **1:13** [Footnote **12.500**]
“offshore” accounts and tax havens, use of by world leaders, powerful politicians, billionaires, celebrities, religious leaders and drug dealers to hide their investments in mansions, exclusive beachfront property, yachts and other assets, 2021 report of the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (the “Pandora Papers”), **2:6** [Footnote **2**]
assets are transnational, mobile, and inaccessible to governments that wish to tax and regulate them, **1:1** [Footnote **27.500**]
tax havens, secrecy of, **1:1** [Footnote **27.500**]
solicitors, accountants, company incorporators, and wealth managers: enablers who facilitate money laundering and tax evasion, accountability of, **2:6** [Footnote **2**]
trusts, use of to shield assets of “superrich,” etc., **9:17** [Footnote **2**], **14:51** [Footnote **2**]
vehicles to hide ownership (anonymous companies, trusts, foundations) and to invest illicit funds (real estate, hedge funds, art, factories), **1:1** [Footnote **27.500**]
transferor-transferee liability, **28:5** [Footnotes **10, 11**]

**ESTATE PLANNING, ASSET AND TAX
SHELTERING—Cont'd**

- Judgment-proofing techniques, **1:8**
[Footnotes **24, 26**]; **2:1** [Footnote **3**]
- asset protection: laws, “bulking up,”
1:1 [Text Accompanying Foot-
note **21 et seq.**]
- by non-professionals, **1:1** [Footnote
22]
- corporate status and incorporation;
trusts; asset protection; and
corporate law: critique (in terms of
creation of wealth and its distribu-
tion), **1:1** [Footnote **12**]; **1:1**
[Footnote **32**]; **1:1** [Text Follow-
ing Footnote **24**]; **1:15** [Footnote
8]; **9:25** [Text Following Foot-
note **1**]
- see also main heading “Bankruptcy
(Canadian federal Bankruptcy
and Insolvency Act),” subhead-
ing “wealth and inequality, how
the law creates (asset protec-
tion, trusts, corporate status,
bankruptcy law, and the role of
lawyers)”
- trusts, use of, to shield assets, **1:1** [Text
Following Footnote **24**]; **9:17**
[Footnote **2**]; **14:51** [Footnote **2**]
- Secrecy and estate planning, **2:1** [Foot-
note **15**]; **2:4** [Text Following Foot-
note **25**]

EVIDENCE

- See also main headings Badges of fraud;
Proceedings and procedure; Intent —
fraudulent conveyances; Intent —
fraudulent preferences
- Corroboration, **14:48**
- Deference by appeal court to findings of
trial judge, **14:9** [Text Accompany-
ing Footnote **1**]
- badges of fraud, **14:42** [Footnotes **22,**
45]; **14:54** [Footnote **12**]
- fact, overturning finding of fact by
lower court, etc.: subject to a
palpable and overriding error stan-
dard of review, **14:1 et seq.**
- Direct evidence or express admission of
“actual” fraudulent intent
see main heading “Intent — fraudulent
conveyances,” subheading “direct
evidence or express admission of

EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Direct evidence or express admission of
“actual” fraudulent intent—Cont'd
“actual” fraudulent intent”
- Fact, overturning finding of fact by lower
court, etc.: subject to a palpable and
overriding error standard of review,
14:1 et seq.
- Fresh evidence, introduction of on appeal,
14:55
- Quantity and quality of the evidence
badges of fraud, **14:42** [Footnote **22**]
- books, records, financial statements and
other documents
incomplete, inaccurate or misleading
documents, **14:41 to 14:43**
(badge of fraud (**24**))
- loss of, **14:41 to 14:43** (badge of
fraud (**24**))
- credibility and reliability distinguished,
14:54 [Footnotes **6 to 8**]
- failure of transferor or transferee to
testify or give evidence, **14:42**
[Footnote **110**]
- passage of time, effect of, **14:56**
- removal or redaction of portions of the
record from publicly filed materi-
als; submissions relating to (a)
confidentiality of material; (b)
material respecting solicitor-client
privilege; and (c) the lack of a
Reply pleading with respect to the
limitation defence, **2:7** [Footnote
7], **5:5** [Footnote **25**]
- stay of proceedings pending appeal,
fraud must be pleaded with
particularity, **14:53** [Footnote
16]
- witness’s honesty, **14:54** [Footnotes **6**
to **8**]
- Res ipsa loquitur, **14:48**
- “Second evidentiary rule,” **14:48**
- EXAMINATION OF DEBTORS AND
OTHERS (OUTSIDE
BANKRUPTCY)**
- See also Bankruptcy (Canadian federal
Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act);
Information concerning the debtor
and the debtor’s property
- Generally, **3:1**
- Admission of no intention to obey order
or judgment
see also main heading “Intent — fraud-

EXAMINATION OF DEBTORS AND OTHERS (OUTSIDE BANKRUPTCY)—Cont'd

Admission of no intention to obey order or judgment—Cont'd
 ulent conveyance,” subheading
 “direct evidence or express admission of “actual” fraudulent intent”

Contempt orders, **1:32**

generally, **1:3, 1:32**

against debtor, **1:32**

Debtor, **3:2**

assets, secret, discovery of, **3:1 et seq.**

discovery, debtor’s answers, effect on proving transferee’s intent, **14:9**
[Footnote 3]; 15:14 [Footnote 15]

examination for discovery, **3:2**

sheriff, examination by, **4:1**

Information concerning debtors and debtors’ property

generally, **1:3, 3**

financial information, **1:1**

Persons other than debtor, of, **3:3, 3:4**

EXECUTION CREDITOR

See Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of debtor’s property by the sheriff or other similar official

EXECUTION OF MONEY JUDGMENTS

See Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of debtor’s property by the sheriff or other similar official

EXEMPLARY DAMAGES, AWARDED AGAINST DEFENDANT

See main heading “Damages”

EXEMPT PROPERTY

See Property

EXPERT WITNESSES

Generally, **14:9 [Text Accompanying Footnote 2]**

FAMILY LAW: LEGISLATION; AGREEMENTS AND OTHER ARRANGEMENTS; AND JURISPRUDENCE

See also Close relationships; Trusts

FAMILY LAW: LEGISLATION; AGREEMENTS AND OTHER ARRANGEMENTS; AND JURISPRUDENCE—Cont'd

Disclosure of debtor’s assets transferred or gifted to another person, **41:15**
[Footnote 1]

Family law agreements and other arrangements

consideration, inadequacy, whether leniency warranted where conveyance is between spouses or relatives, **14:1 et seq.**

pre-nuptial, pre-marital, co-habitation, and separation agreements

general, **41:18, 41:19**

law reform, **41:22**

Family property legislation, disposition of property

badges and presumptions of fraud, and, **14:42 [Footnote 25]; 41:10**

fraudulent conveyances and potential claims under family property legislation (list of types of claims), **13:8 [Footnote 1]**

injunctions, distinguished from, **41:13 to 41:17**

jurisprudence, **41:10**

restraining and preservation orders, **41:14**

statutes

British Columbia, Manitoba, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, **41:2**

Nova Scotia, **41:8**

statutes not expressly requiring fraudulent intention, **41:11**

statutes requiring fraudulent intention, **41:2 to 41:10**

statutory language, compared with Fraudulent Conveyances statutes, **41:9**

Marriage contracts

change, suspension or termination of order, evidence of prior fraudulent conveyance, **41:14 [Footnote 30]**

enforcement of order

preservation orders, **41:17**

restraining orders, **41:16**

spousal misconduct, fraudulent conveyance as, **14:15 [Footnote 1]**

matrimonial cases, **13:9, 14:51, 14:59, 14:60**

FIDUCIARY DUTIES

- Breach of
 - see also main headings “Corporations”; “Directors”
 - by corporate directors, **2:5 [Footnote 11]; 34:1 et seq.**
 - by solicitors, **2:5 [Footnote 11]**
- Imposition of, where necessary, **11:1 [Footnote 7]**
- Tracing, theories behind, **11:1 [Text Following Footnote 4]**

FINANCIAL PLANNERS, ADVICE FROM

- See Advice, from solicitors, accountants, financial planners, trustees, and other professionals; Estate planning; Solicitors

FORFEITURE

- Fraudulent conveyances statutes, and, **1:12, 1:26, 1:27**
- Illicit transactions, characterized as fraudulent, **1:1; 1:35 [Footnote 22]; 6:2 [Footnote 1]**

FORGERY OF A DEED OR OTHER INSTRUMENT, AS A BADGE OF FRAUD

- See main heading Badges of fraud (under “examples (non-exhaustive),” then under “conveyance”)

FRAUD

- See also Index, main headings, “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”; “Fraudulent conveyances”; “Fraudulent preferences”; “Legislation” (then sequentially “interpretation,” “voidable transactions statutes”)
- Badges of fraud
 - see Badges of fraud
- Consumer credit (modern dramatic increase in), over-indebtedness and fraud, **1:1 [Footnote 10]**
- Elizabethan notions of fraud, including fraudulent conveyances, **1:1 [Footnote 5]**
- Examples of, **1:1 [Text Following Footnote 12]**

FRAUD—Cont’d

- Illegal transactions, fraudulent conveyances characterized as, see main heading “Illegal transactions”
- Judgment obtained by, **8:1 et seq., 15:13**
- Meaning, **1:35 to 1:41.55, 1:41.65, 1:41.70, 1:41.80, 1:42, 14:1 to 14:39**
- Covin, originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **15:1 [Footnote 0.02]**
- secrecy, collusion and contrivance likened to debtor-transferee conspiracy, **1:27 [Footnote 3]; 1:29 [Footnote 1]; 1:35 [Footnote 22]**
- term not used in narrow, technical or criminal sense, **1:1 et seq.**
- Theories of, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 39 et seq.]**
- Transactions induced by, in equity, **38:1 et seq.**

FRAUDS AGAINST PURCHASERS OF REAL PROPERTY

- Generally, **1:8 [Footnote 77]**

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

- See also Index, main heading “Conveyance, disposition and other similar transactions”
- All or virtually/essentially all of the debtor’s property, **14:42 [Footnote 24]**
- Badges of fraud
 - see Badges of fraud
- Benefit, retained or not retained by debtor; conveyed or not conveyed to transferee
 - see also main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “examples (non-exhaustive)” and “benefit, retention by debtor”; and main heading “Sham transactions,” then sequentially “benefit to debtor or transferee,” “retention by debtor”
- no intention to convey any benefit and no benefit conveyed to or obtained by transferee (therefore no fraudulent conveyance), **1:8 [Text Following Footnote 21]; 14:51 [Text**

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

—Cont'd

Benefit, retained or not retained by debtor; conveyed or not conveyed to transferee—Cont'd

Following Footnote 49]

retained by debtor, no need to show for fraudulent conveyance, **9:18**

[Footnote 11 and Accompanying Text]

Bonds, judgments, orders and other proceedings, whether covered by fraudulent conveyances legislation, **1:5; 8:1 et seq.**

British Columbia Fraudulent Conveyance Act, **1:28, 14:40, 15:15**

Characterization of, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]; 7:5 to 7:22**

damages, no right to, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]**

declaratory relief, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]; 7:5 to 7:22**

Commercial interests, law affecting
see Commercial interests

Constitutionality of legislation, **1:8 [Footnote 78]**

Criminal Code, **2:6**

Effect of conveyance

see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”

Elizabethan obsession with fraudulent conveyancing, **1:8 [Footnote 63]; 1:14 [Footnote 5]**

Fraudulent Conveyances Act (Ontario), s. 4, expanded voiding provision, **1:8 [Footnote 13]; 15:11 [Footnote 8]**

Fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances

distinguished, **1:1 and Following; 1:37; 15:10 (re: present and past consideration)**

confusing the distinction, **1:35 [Text Accompanying Footnote 3, Footnotes 4 to 6]**

fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances, same transaction as

See below, under subheading

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

—Cont'd

Fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances—Cont'd

distinguished, **1:1 and Following;**

1:37; 15:10 (re: present and past consideration)—Cont'd

fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances, same transaction as—Cont'd

“fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances,”

then serially “pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act,” “whether the same transaction may be both, or either, a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation”

Elizabethan obsession with fraudulent conveyancing, **1:1 [Footnote 7]; 1:8 [Footnote 63]; 1:14 [Footnote 5]**

inception of voidable transactions law, **1:1 [Footnote 5]; 1:12 [Footnote 1]**

licensed insolvency trustee, right to pursue voidable transactions under provincial statutes, **1:24, 1:25, 32:2**

see also Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act), under “licensed insolvency trustee”

persons unable to impeach a conveyance successfully

see also Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances

creditors “privy,” etc. to the conveyance, **15:14**

pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 62]; 1:19 [Text Accompanying Footnote 15]; 1:19 [Text Following Footnote 25]; 19:1 [Footnote 6]**

see also Proceedings and procedure

coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

—Cont'd

- Fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances—Cont'd
 - pleading both fraudulent conveyances
 - Act and fraudulent preferences
 - Act, **1:8** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 62**]; **1:19** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 15**]; **1:19** [**Text Following Footnote 25**]; **19:1** [**Footnote 6**]—Cont'd
 - Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors, **26:3**
 - whether the same transaction may be a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation, **Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12**; **1:35** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 6**; **1:36 and Following**; **38:5** [**Text Following Footnote 42**]; **38:5** [**Text Preceding Footnote 18.300**]
 - creditor, transfer to: can fraudulent conveyances legislation be invoked, whether or not fraudulent preferences legislation may also be invoked, **1:35** [**Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12**]; **1:39 and Following**
 - conclusions, **1:42**
 - fraudulent conveyances legislation, nature and scope of, **1:41**
 - Statute of Elizabeth, ambit of: the “traditional view” respecting preferences, including the “mere cloak exception,” **1:41**
 - jurisprudence and commentary, **1:40**
 - Optical Recording Laboratories Inc., Re
 - decision, **1:41**
 - sceptics, **1:41** responses, **1:41** conclusions, **1:42** fraudulent conveyances legislation,

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

—Cont'd

- Fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances—Cont'd
 - pleading both fraudulent conveyances
 - Act and fraudulent preferences
 - Act, **1:8** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 62**]; **1:19** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 15**]; **1:19** [**Text Following Footnote 25**]; **19:1** [**Footnote 6**]—Cont'd
 - whether the same transaction may be a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation, **Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12**; **1:35** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 6**; **1:36 and Following**; **38:5** [**Text Following Footnote 42**]; **38:5** [**Text Preceding Footnote 18.300**]—Cont'd
 - creditor, transfer to: can fraudulent conveyances legislation be invoked, whether or not fraudulent preferences legislation may also be invoked, **1:35** [**Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12**]; **1:39 and Following**—Cont'd
 - nature and scope of, **1:41** legislative reform versus judicial activism, **1:41.55**; **14:46** (see also **14:20**, and **14:36** to **14:37**) “traditional view,” including the “mere cloak” exception, **1:41** justifying “traditional view”: effect of enactment of fraudulent preferences legislation, **1:41**
 - transfer to creditor as two separate transactions (both a fraudulent conveyance and a fraudulent preference), **1:41**
- Fraudulent purchase of personal property otherwise exempt from enforcement

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

—Cont’d

- proceedings, in order to defeat creditors, **9:14**
- Goals, purpose, and “normative ideals” of legislation, **1:35 to 1:42, 17:1 et seq.**
- deterrence, of person contemplating avoidable transaction
 - criminal law, resort to, **7:25 [Footnote 14]**
 - law reform and commentary, **1:8 [Footnote 46]; 1:35 [Text Following Footnotes 40, 41]; 1:48; 14:12 [Text Following Footnote 5]**
- leveraged buyouts, See main heading “Leveraged buyouts”
- prejudicial effect of conveyance, See main heading “Harm to creditor”
- punitive or exemplary damages, and, **7:15 [Text Following Footnotes 1, 3]; 7:25 [Footnote 14]**
- United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **1:8 [Footnote 46]; 1:35 [Text Following Footnote 42]**
- purpose of fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences legislation, confusion respecting, **1:35 [Footnotes 3 to 5]**
- tort and fraudulent conveyances distinguished, **1:1 et seq.**
- Good faith
 - see “protected transactions” under this main heading
- Harm to creditor: whether an impeaching creditor must suffer harm before a conveyance may be set aside, even where the debtor is shown to be fraudulent
 - see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- Illegal transactions, fraudulent conveyances as
 - see main heading “Illegal transactions”
- Impeachment of transaction, see main heading “Judgment”

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

—Cont’d

- Insolvency and solvency
 - statutory provisions, extended scope, no reference to, **19:1 [Footnote 2]**
 - where debtor is solvent at time of conveyance and remains solvent thereafter
 - see Insolvency/solvency of parties
- Intent
 - see Intent — fraudulent conveyances
- Interpretation of legislation
 - see main heading “Legislation,” then sequentially under “interpretation,” “voidable transactions statutes”
- Motive
 - see Intent — fraudulent conveyances.
- Proceedings and procedure
 - see Proceedings and procedure
- Property, types of, impeachable and not impeachable under fraudulent conveyances statutes
 - see Property
- Protected transactions
 - Assignment and Preferences Act Model
 - generally, **16:1 et seq.**
 - consideration, role of, **16:4 to 16:6**
 - good faith, **16:3 [Footnote 4]**
 - insolvency of debtor, **16:2**
 - notice or knowledge of debtor’s intent, by transferee, **16:3**
 - Fraudulent Conveyances Act Model
 - generally, **15:1 et seq.**
 - consideration
 - good faith and, **15:5**
 - role of, **15:8 to 15:12**
 - good faith, conveyances in
 - badges of fraud, as evidence of, **15:3 [Footnote 1]**
 - see also Badges of fraud
 - comprehensive basket provision, as, **15:7**
 - consideration and, **15:5**
 - meaning, **15:2 to 15:7**
 - mortgage, where specific impeachment exception made, **15:1**
 - notice or knowledge of debtor’s intent, by transferee, **15:2 [Esp. Footnote 7 et seq.]**

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

—Cont'd

- Protected transactions—Cont'd
 - Fraudulent Conveyances Act Model
 - Cont'd
 - good faith, conveyances in—Cont'd
 - reference to
 - debtor, **15:3, 15:4**
 - genuineness of transaction, **15:6**
 - transferee, **15:5**
 - notice or knowledge of debtor's
 - intent, by transferee
 - generally, **15:13 to 15:15**
 - good faith and, **15:2 [Esp. Footnote 7 et seq.]**
 - Registration, etc. of deed, intent.
 - See Index, main heading "Registration or filing of transfer deed or other instrument"
 - Sale of property, British Columbia, **7:5 to 7:22**
 - Standing to impeach
 - see Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances
 - Timing to determine intent (time of transaction)
 - exempt property, whether exemption retained by debtor after conveyance, timing issue
 - critique of current law and an alternative approach in the context of fraudulent conveyances actions, **9:5.50 to 9:5.65**
 - introduction, **9:5, 9:5.25**
 - Torts
 - distinguished from fraudulent conveyances, **1:35**
 - purpose, **7:17 [Footnote 4]**
 - whether the transferee of a fraudulent conveyance may be liable in tort for the intentional interference with the economic expectations of an aggrieved judgment creditor, **7:17**
 - whether transferee may be liable for intentional interference with economic expectations of judgment creditor, **7:17 [Text Following Footnote 1]**
 - Transferee corporation controlled or organized by corporate or non-corporate debtor, **9:24, 9:25, 14:53**

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

—Cont'd

- [Footnote 6]; 15:14 [Footnote 8]**
- Transfer of assets to a new corporation and other related conduct, such as the issuance of additional shares in an existing corporation, whether a fraudulent transaction, **9:24, 9:25**
- Value of conveyed property, relevance of
 - see also main heading "Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?"
 - link between property value and the debtor's intent, **14:28**
 - property value as determinative for success in action, **14:29**
- Void/voidable on impeachment
 - see main heading "Void/voidable"
- Whether the same transaction may be both, or either, a fraudulent conveyance (under, inter alia, the Statute of Elizabeth) and a fraudulent preference, **1:36 to 1:41.75, 1:42**
- Writ of execution, and, **4:3**

FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

- See also Conveyance, disposition and other similar transactions
- Attack under fraudulent conveyances legislation, **1:37 et seq.**
- Bankruptcy law, under
 - see Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act), preferences
- Bonds, judgments, orders and other proceedings, whether covered by fraudulent preference legislation, **20:3**
- British Columbia, Fraudulent Preference Act, "disposition," examples of, **19:2 [Footnote 1]**
- Characterization of, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]**
 - damages, no right to, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]**
 - declaratory relief, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]**
- Commercial interests, law affecting
 - see Commercial interests
- Constitutionality of, **18:7**

FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

—Cont'd

Elements of impeachable preference
under provincial legislation
disposition of property, **9:1 et seq.**
effect of transaction, **19:13** [Text
Accompanying Footnote 17 et
seq.]
elements, listed, **19:1**
insolvency of debtor
see Insolvency/solvency of parties
intent
see Intent — fraudulent preferences
motive
see Intent — fraudulent preferences.
payment by debtor to creditor, **19:3**
[Footnote 3]; 20:10 [Footnote 3]
payment by third party to creditor, **19:3**
[Footnote 3]; 20:10 [Footnote 3]
proportionality test, **19:20**
proving preferential effect
general, **19:13, 19:20**
harm to creditor: whether an
impeaching creditor must suffer
harm before a conveyance may
be set aside, even where the
debtor is shown to be fraudulent
see main heading “Harm to credi-
tor, must it always be proven
for success in action even
where fraudulent intent is
also proven?”
presumptions
within statutory presumption
period, **19:19 to 19:32**
Alberta, **19:23**
British Columbia, **19:22**
New Brunswick, **19:26**
Nova Scotia, **19:27**
Ontario, **19:25**
Prince Edward Island, **19:28**
Saskatchewan, **19:23**
Yukon, **19:24**
presumptions, inferences and badges
of fraud
general, **19:13**
outside the statutory presumption
period, **19:29**
time period, calculation of, **19:21**
quid pro quo, need for, **19:13 [Foot-**
note 46]

FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

—Cont'd

Elements of impeachable preference
under provincial legislation—Cont'd
to or for creditor, **19:5**
“creditor,” expanded definitions and
remedies
Alberta Fraudulent Preferences
Act, s. 10, **18:2**
general, **19:5**
Saskatchewan Fraudulent Prefer-
ences Act, s. 12(1), (2), **19:1**
et seq.
Fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent
preferences
distinguished, and confusing the
distinction.
See Index, under main heading
“Fraudulent conveyances,”
then serially “fraudulent prefer-
ences and fraudulent
conveyances,” “distinguished”
fraudulent preferences and fraudu-
lent conveyances, same transac-
tion as
See Index, under main heading
“Fraudulent conveyances,”
then serially “fraudulent
preferences and fraudulent
conveyances,” “pleading both
fraudulent conveyances Act
and fraudulent preferences
Act,” “and “whether the
same transaction may be
both, or either, a fraudulent
conveyance and/or a fraudu-
lent preference under
applicable legislation”
failure to distinguish, **1:1 et seq.**
licensed insolvency trustee, right to
pursue voidable transactions under
provincial statutes, **1:24, 1:25**
32:2
see also Bankruptcy (Canadian
federal Bankruptcy and
Insolvency Act), under
“licensed insolvency trustee”
pleading both fraudulent conveyances
Act and fraudulent preferences Act
See Index, main heading “Fraudu-
lent conveyances,” “then seri-
ally “fraudulent preferences
and fraudulent

FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

—Cont'd

- Fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences—Cont'd
 - pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act—Cont'd
 - conveyances,""pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act"
 - coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors
 - whether the same transaction may be both, or either, a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation
- Fraudulent conveyances provision in fraudulent preferences statutes, **1:20**
- Goals, purpose, and "normative ideals" of legislation, **1:35 to 1:42, 17:1 et seq.**
- deterrence, of creditor contemplating voidable transaction
 - see also main heading "Deterrence" law reform and commentary, **1:8 [Footnote 46]; 1:35 [Text Following Footnotes 40, 41]**
 - punitive or exemplary damages, and, **7:15 [Text Following Footnotes 1, 3]**
 - United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **1:35 [Text Following Footnote 42]**
 - mixing fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences, **1:1 et seq.**
- Good faith, **20:5, 20:6**
- Impeachment, see main headings "Judgment," "Void/voidable"
- Interpretation of legislation
 - see main heading "Legislation," then sequentially under "interpretation," "voidable transactions statutes"
- Introduction/history/goals, **1:35 to 1:41.75, 1:42, 17:1 et seq.**
- Prejudicial effect of preference, role of, **19:40 to 19:46**

FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

—Cont'd

- Proceedings and procedure
 - see Proceedings and procedure
- Protected transactions, **20:1 et seq.**
 - generally, **20:1 et seq.**
 - court orders, payments under, **20:11, 20:12**
 - legislation, payments under, **20:11, 20:12**
 - payment of money to creditor, **14(b)(i), 20:7**
 - present actual payment of money:
 - forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal to collect, not satisfactory consideration, **20:9 [Text Following Footnote 8]**
 - sale in ordinary course of trade or calling, **20:5, 20:6**
 - sale of property for full value, **20:8**
 - secured financing, **20:9, 20:10**
 - wages, payment of, **20:11, 20:12**
- Reform, **19:9 [Footnote 9]**
- Registration, etc. of deed, intent.
 - See Index, main heading "Registration or filing of transfer deed or other instrument"
- Standing to impeach
 - see Standing to impeach — fraudulent preferences
- Timing to determine intent (time of transaction), **14:1 to 14:39**
- Void or voidable, see main heading "Void/voidable"

FUTURE INCOME PLANS

- See RRSPs and RRIFs

GIFTS

- Generally, **27:6**
- As essentially evidencing nominal consideration, **1:8 [Footnote 4]; 11 [Footnote 31]; 15**
- Refusal of a gift (powers of appointment, disclaimers, legacies, beneficial interests)
 - current law, **9:22**
 - law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**

GOALS OF VOIDABLE TRANSACTIONS LAW

- Generally, **1:35 to 1:41.65, 1:42, 1:48, 17:1 et seq., 24:3**

GOOD FAITH

See various references under main headings “Fraudulent conveyances” and “Fraudulent preferences”

GRANT OF SECURITY

Generally, **9:23**

GUARANTEES

Future or contingent liability, **9:18, 10:5**
 Giving of a guarantee, as a “conveyance” within voidable transactions legislation, **9:23**
 Guarantor
 creditor as, **13:4 to 13:8, 18:1, 18:2, 18:8**
 debtor as, **13:7 [Footnote 2]**
 Payment made under a guarantee, **9:23**
 Whether guarantee constitutes a debt, **10:5**

GUILE

See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?

NOTE: The entries listed below under this main heading concern the role of the prejudicial effect of a fraudulent conveyance except where otherwise expressly indicated. In the latter connection, see for example subheading “Preferences, and the role of prejudicial effect,” below.

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**
 bankruptcy, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, preferences, s. 95(1)(b), **1:48 [Footnote 3]**
 common law provinces, **14:27 to 14:34**
 attention by courts to harm issue
 prejudicial effect, not debtor’s intent, as primary focus, **14:24 [Text Accompanying Footnote 7]; 14:28 [Text Accompanying Footnote 29]**
 scant attention compared to focus on debtor’s intent, **1:48 [Text**

HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont’d

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**—Cont’d
 common law provinces, **14:27 to 14:34**

—Cont’d

attention by courts to harm issue

—Cont’d

Accompanying Footnote 3]

badges, or inferences, of fraud
 see below, at “presumptions and badges (inferences) of fraud to determine debtor’s intent, and prejudicial effect of transaction”

common law and Quebec law,
 compared, **14:35**

conclusions

badges (inferences) of fraud,
 rebuttable, **14:39**

presumption arising from prejudicial effect: rebuttable, **14:22**

proof of creditor harm: necessary but not determinative, **14:38**

consideration, where likely to harm creditors, **15:8 to 15:12**. See also main headings **10:1 to 10:11; 16:4 to 16:6**; and Index, main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “examples (non-exhaustive),” “consideration”

conveyance delays but does not wholly defeat creditors, **14:14 [Text Following Footnotes 59]**

debtor, where solvent

see below, at “solvent debtor (solvent before and after conveyance)”

debtor’s interest in conveyed property not actually transferred (including certain “shams”), **14:33, 14:42 [Footnotes 25 to 29 and Accompanying Text], 14:51 [Text Following Footnote 49]**.

See also (under “common law provinces”), below, at “gift,” and Index, main heading “Sham transactions”

HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd

- Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**—Cont'd
- common law provinces, **14:27 to 14:34**—Cont'd
 - exempt or immune property, **14:31**
 - see also main heading “Property,” subheading “property not seizable by creditors and therefore, where conveyed, not impeachable under traditional fraudulent conveyances statutes”
- fraudulent conveyances, **14:19 to 14:39**
- fraudulent preferences, **19:40 to 19:46**
- general, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 140]; 1:48 [Footnote 3]; 14:3 [Footnote 1]**
- introduction, **14:20**
- issues, **14:19**
- gift, conveyances by way of, **14:23**
 - harm to creditor: whether an impeaching creditor must suffer harm before a conveyance may be set aside, even where the debtor is shownes to be fraudulent, **14:17 [Footnote 8]; 14:42 [Footnotes 24, 26]**
 - where transaction is one that has not actually taken place or has not had any effect as a matter of law (no benefit to transferee), **1:43 [Footnote 7]; 14:42 [Footnote 26]**
- illegal transactions
 - see also main heading “Illegal transactions”
- trust cases, **6:4**
 - prejudicial effect of transaction, relevance, **6:5 [Text Following Footnote 32]**
- insolvent debtor, refusal to collect money owed to him, not a prejudicial “disposition” (B.C. Report), **9:22 [Footnote 42]**

HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd

- Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**—Cont'd
- common law provinces, **14:27 to 14:34**—Cont'd
 - intent of debtor
 - commercial justification of transaction, **1:65 [Footnote 62]**
 - see also main heading “Commercial and other similar interests”
 - effect of conveyance, relationship to issue of debtor’s intent, **1:8 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 142]; 14:9 et seq., esp. 14:12 to 14:16; 14:26 [Text Following Footnote 4]**
 - “objective” determination, **14:12 to 14:14, 14:45**
 - presumptions and badges (inferences) of fraud to determine debtor’s intent, and prejudicial effect of transaction
 - “badges,” or inferences, of fraud, **14:39; 14:41 to 14:43**
 - see also main heading “Badges of fraud”
 - presumptions of fraud, **1:8 [Footnote 141]; 14:22; 14:38; 14:45, 14:46**
 - sham transaction: creation of a transaction intended to give the appearance of creating legal rights or obligations that are different than those actually intended by the sham’s creator (the debtor), or where transaction has otherwise not actually taken place. See Index, main heading “Sham transactions.”
- joint tenancy interest conveyed, **14:34**
- judicial discretion, **14:28**

HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**—Cont'd
 common law provinces, **14:27 to 14:34**
 —Cont'd
 law reform
 fraudulent preferences, **19:9**
 [**Footnote 9**]
 general (fraudulent conveyances and preferences), **1:48; 14:24**
 14:62 to 14:67
 litigation, practicalities initiating, **14:23**
 solvent debtor (solvent before and after conveyance). See also Index, main heading
 “Insolvency/solvency of parties” whether remedy available against solvent debtor (e.g., where transaction delays but does not defeat creditors), **14:32**
 contra, **1:1 et seq.; 14:1 et seq.**
 law reform, **1:1 et seq.**
 Statute of Elizabeth, **1:7**
 statutes, common law provinces (including Statute of Elizabeth, where applicable), **14:20; 14:27**
 different provisions in different statutes should be read together, in accordance with plain intention of the statutes (*Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar*), **1:2** [**Footnote 9**]; **1:8** [**Text Following Footnote 140**]; **1:41.85** [**Text Following Footnote 5**]; **14:1** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 1**]; **14:4** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 6**]; **14:20** [**Footnote 8**]
 preferences and early bankruptcy legislation, **14:21**
 voidable transactions statutes, using phrases such as “void as against the creditor or creditors injured, delayed or prejudiced” (or similar statutory language), whether reference is to a matter of standing

HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**—Cont'd
 common law provinces, **14:27 to 14:34**
 —Cont'd
 statutes, common law provinces (including Statute of Elizabeth, where applicable), **14:20; 14:27**
 —Cont'd
 or to a substantive matter to be proved by the plaintiff subsequent to any standing issue, **14:20** [**Text Preceding and Following Footnote 3.400**]
 value of conveyed property, relevance, **14:28, 14:29**
 where no harm, creditor’s conduct
 return of transferred property by, **1:8** [**Footnote 5**]
 waiver of rights by, **1:8** [**Footnote 5**]
 Conclusions, common law provinces
 See Index, this main heading, then sequentially “Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary,” “common law provinces,” “conclusions”
 Preferences, and the role of prejudicial effect
 general, **19:39 to 19:47**
 law reform, **19:9** [**Footnote 9.500**], **19:15** [**Text Following Footnote 8**], **19:47** [**Text Following Footnote 1**]. See also this main heading, subheading “Law reform”
 debtor’s insolvency, etc., and prejudicial effect, **19:47** [**Text Following Footnote 1**]
 prejudicial effect of transaction, fraudulent preference and fraudulent conveyance law not fundamentally different, **19:41** [**Text Preceding and Following Footnote 1**]
 preference that necessarily prejudices non-preferred creditors: where debtor is insolvent at the time of the preference, or becomes insolvent as a result of

HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd

- Preferences, and the role of prejudicial effect—Cont'd
 - prejudicial effect of transaction, fraudulent preference and fraudulent conveyance law not fundamentally different, **19:41 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 1]**
 - Cont'd
 - the preference, or, for example where the debtor knows he or she is “on the eve of insolvency” (for example, s. 4(2) of the Ontario Assignments and Preferences Act, **19:40 [Text Preceding Footnote 3]**
 - debtor’s insolvency, etc., and prejudicial effect, **19:40 [Text Preceding Footnote 2]**
- statutory provisions and prejudicial effect, **19:41 and following**
 - bankruptcy
 - Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **19:46**
 - early United Kingdom preference legislation, **19:45**
 - Criminal Code, creditor protected only where debtor intended to prefer that specific creditor (R. v. Gingras), potential provincial implications, **18:0.90, 18:0.95**
 - presumption of debtor’s fraudulent intent where preference causes prejudice, **19:43**
 - deeming and other provisions where no presumption is created, **19:44**
 - badges of fraud, application of, **19:43 [Footnote 1 and Accompanying Text]**
 - provincial preference statutes
 - “evenhandedness” in treatment of creditors
 - incorporation of the goal of “evenhandedness” in provincial preference legislation, **18.0.30**
 - normative goal, and prejudicial effect, **18.0.30, 19:40**

HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd

- Preferences, and the role of prejudicial effect—Cont'd
 - statutory provisions and prejudicial effect, **19:41 and following**
 - Cont'd
 - provincial preference statutes
 - Cont'd
 - standing and prejudicial effect, relationship in provincial statutes, **19:42 [Footnote 2]**
 - where prejudicial effect is explicit (but using different language), **19:42**
 - Quebec (Paulian action), **42:18 to 42:30**
 - Quebec law and common law, compared, **14:35**
 - United States, statute, jurisprudence and commentary (including Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **14:26; 14:68 to 14:80**)

ILLEGAL TRANSACTIONS

- See also main heading “Remedies”
- Criminal law, **1:26 to 1:29 (criminal and quasi to criminal law); 1:30 (Criminal Code (Canada))**
- Fraudulent conveyances
 - transferee, restitutionary remedy, **6:4**
- General: fraudulent conveyances and preferences
 - characterized as “illegal” transactions?, **6:2 to 6:5; 7:6 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6]; 7:25 [Text Following Footnote 2]**
 - introduction: enforceability (“Holman v. Johnson Principle”), exceptions to non-enforcement (restitutionary relief), **6:3**
- law reform
 - B.C. Report (including reference to Report on Illegal Transactions), **6:5**
 - Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Amendment of the Law of Contract (1987), **6:3 [Footnotes 1, 12]**
- trust cases, **6:4**
 - prejudicial effect of transaction, relevance, **6:5 [Footnote 32]**

ILLEGAL TRANSACTIONS—Cont’d

Illegality of agreements made unenforceable under contract law, **6:3** [Text Following Footnote 3]

restitutionary relief for benefits conferred in performance of contracts, **6:3** [Text Following Footnote 3]

Illicit transactions, characterized as fraudulent, **1:35, 6:2**

Ponzi schemes, whether “unlawful” or “illegal,” **38:5** [Text Following Footnotes 11, 26, 37]

Preferences

as illegal or unlawful transactions, **7:6** (Text Accompanying Footnote 6), **7:25** (Text Accompanying Footnote 6)

remedy by preferred creditor after impeachment: creditor probably restored to original position by revival of original claim against the debtor, **7:6, 21:1**

IMMORALITY, IN RELATION TO FRAUD

See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

IMPEACHMENT, EFFECT OF

See Judgment

IMPUTED KNOWLEDGE

Generally, **19:13** [Footnote 13]

INABILITY TO MEET OBLIGATIONS

Generally, **24:11**

INCOME TAX

See main heading “Estate planning”

INCORPORATION TO SHIELD ASSETS

Generally, **9:18 to 9:33**

INFORMATION CONCERNING THE DEBTOR AND THE DEBTOR’S PROPERTY

Examination of bankrupt and others, **23:1 et seq.**

Financial information

consumers, need for financial literacy, **1:1** [Footnote 11]

INFORMATION CONCERNING THE DEBTOR AND THE DEBTOR’S PROPERTY—Cont’d

Financial information—Cont’d
financial instruments, increased complexity of, **1:1** [Footnote 14]

INJUNCTIONS

See also main heading “Mareva injunctions”

Deterrence, refusal to freeze bank account, contempt of court, **36:2** [Footnote 24 and Accompanying Text]

Freezing bank deposits (Bank Act, S.C. 1991, c. 46, s. 437(2)), **36:1** [Footnote 1]

Injunctive relief, and judgment in voidable transaction proceeding, **7:2** [Footnotes 12, 13]; **7:3** [Footnote 3]

Interim preservation of property and specific funds (Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, s. 26(a)), **36:1** [Footnote 1]

Restraining and preservation orders
legislation

family property legislation, **41:14**
provincial maintenance and support legislation, enforcement of orders

preservation orders, **41:17**

restraining orders, **41:16**

Purdue Pharma/Sackler family, lawsuit against (New York, 2019), **1:1** [Footnote 1]

INSOLVENCY/SOLVENCY OF PARTIES

Canada, federal bankruptcy law

see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then sequentially “preferences,” “elements of impeachable preference,” “insolvency of bankrupt”

Canada, provincial law

debtor, insolvency of

badges of fraud, and

badges of fraud and presumptions of fraud, and insolvency, **14:42** [Footnotes 90 et seq.]

general. See Index, main heading “Badges of fraud,” then

INSOLVENCY/SOLVENCY OF PARTIES—Cont'd

Canada, provincial law—Cont'd
debtor, insolvency of—Cont'd
badges of fraud, and—Cont'd
 sequentially “Examples (non-exhaustive),”
 “insolvency of debtor, at or after transaction”
similarity to s. 2, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **14:42** [Footnotes **24, 91, 108**]
consumer debt, consequences of increased, **1:1** [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 10]
date on which to determine insolvency/solvency
 date of execution of instrument vs. date of registration (delay in registration), **9:27; 14:4 to 14:6**
 general, **10:1** [Footnote 3]; **19:2**
effect of future, contingent liabilities, **10:5**
evidence of intent in fraudulent conveyances, **10:1**
judgment-proof debtor, **1:8** [Footnote 88]; **3:1** [Footnote 5]; **14:40** [Footnote 17]
knowledge of, by creditor, **19:13**
onus of proof, **10:2**
preferences, debtor's insolvency, etc., and prejudicial effect: law reform, **19:40** [Footnote 3], **19:47**
presumptions of fraud, and, **10:1** [Footnote 2]; **14:42** [Footnotes **90, 91**]
prevention of (increasing financial literacy, etc.), **1:1** [Text Following Footnote 10]
restructuring practitioners, advice from, **2:1** [Footnote 12], **24:11**
statutory provisions, extended scope, no reference to, **19:1** [Footnote 6]
tests, **10:3, 10:4**
 cessation of payment, **10:3** [Footnote 3]
 compare definition of “insolvent person” under

INSOLVENCY/SOLVENCY OF PARTIES—Cont'd

Canada, provincial law—Cont'd
debtor, insolvency of—Cont'd
tests, **10:3, 10:4**—Cont'd
 cessation of payment, **10:3** [Footnote 3]—Cont'd
 Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **10:3** [Footnote 3]
 distinction made between “legal” insolvency and “commercial” insolvency, **10:3** [Footnote 1 And Following Text]
 fact of cessation not proof of inability to pay, **10:3** [Footnote 18 And Text Preceding And Accompanying]
equitable liability principles, **19:1 et seq.; 38:1 et seq.**
inability to pay: should plaintiff make a fresh demand for payment to establish inability?, **10:3** [Footnote 3 And Following Text]
 fact of cessation not proof of inability to pay, **10:3** [Footnote 18 Text Preceding And Accompanying]
insolvency on “cash flow” basis vs on “balance sheet” basis, **10:3** [Footnote 3]; **24:11** [Footnote 1]
statutory tests read disjunctively, **10:1** [Footnote 7]
valuation of debtor's assets and liabilities, **10:5**
 assets outside the province, **10:7** [Footnote 5]
 future and contingent assets and liabilities, **10:5**
where, proof of, required, **10:1, 16:2**
 no proof required (Fraudulent Conveyances Act model), **10:1** [Footnote 2]
debtor, solvency of, at and after conveyance
 fraudulent conveyances
 badges and presumptions of fraud, **14:42** [Footnotes **20, 90 et seq.**]

INSOLVENCY/SOLVENCY OF PARTIES—Cont'd

- Canada, provincial law—Cont'd
 - debtor, solvency of, at and after conveyance—Cont'd
 - fraudulent conveyances—Cont'd
 - Bank of Montreal v. Crowell, **13:6**
 - B.C. Report, exchange of property as consideration, **1:35**
 - generally, **1:3 [Footnote 5]; 1:20**
 - harm, as essential element of action
 - see also main heading “Harm to creditor”
 - generally, **14:16**
 - debtor, solvent before and after conveyance, **1:35, 1:45, 14:32, 14:45**
 - insolvency, where it must be proved, **10:1**
 - liberal statutory construction, see main heading “Legislation”
 - fraudulent preferences, **1:3 [Footnote 5]; 14:42 [Footnote 20]; 19:2**
- United States
 - generally, **10:4**
 - “commercial insolvency” (failure to pay debts when due), **10:1 [Footnote 13]; 10:3 [Footnotes 3, 6]**
 - exclusion of certain property interests from determining value of debtor's assets, **10:4**
 - “legal insolvency” (insufficient assets to pay debts), **10:1 [Footnotes 12, 13]; 10:3 [Footnote 30]**
 - presumption of insolvency, **10:1 [Footnote 13]; 10:3 [Footnotes 3, 6]**
 - Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **14:79**

INSURANCE, DESIGNATION OF BENEFICIARY AS CONVEYANCE

- Generally, **9:18 [Footnote 40]; 9:20 [Footnotes 2, 3]**

INTENT — FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

- See also Intent — fraudulent preferences
- Generally, **14:1 et seq.**
- “Actual” intent to defraud (U.S. Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act), **14:68**

INTENT — FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES—Cont'd

- Badges of fraud
 - see Badges of fraud
- Burden of proof
 - see this title, below, at “proving fraudulent intent”
- Burden/onus of proof
 - see this main heading, at “proving fraudulent intent”
- Business or financial circumstances of debtor, current and/or future. See also main heading “Commercial and other similar interests”
 - belief of debtor concerning, **1:35 [Text Following Footnote 57]; 14:3 [Text Following Footnote 47]**
 - intent to protect debtor's assets, possible or intended future business likely to be risky, etc., **14:3 [Text Following Footnote 23]**
- Corporations, **14:1 to 14:39**
- Deemed, by default judgment, **14:1 to 14:39**
- Degree of proof required, **14:3 [Footnote 23]; 14:9 [Footnotes 1, 5]**
- Delays but does not defeat creditors, **14:14 [Text Following Footnote 59], 14:32**
 - contra, **1:35 [Footnote 89 and Accompanying Text], 14:46 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 39]**
 - law reform, **1:35 [Footnote 89 and Accompanying Text]**
- Direct evidence or express admission of “actual” fraudulent intent, **1:1 et seq.; 14:12 [Text Following Footnote 5]; 14:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 49]; 14:14 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 55 to 58]; 31:1 et seq.**
- Dominant intent and mixed motives
 - see this main heading, then sequentially
 - “proving fraudulent intent,”
 - “dominant intent, mixed motives and measuring the degree or level of fraudulent intent: how much fraudulent intent is required to be proved?”
- Effect of conveyance
 - see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for suc-

INTENT — FRAUDULENT

CONVEYANCES—Cont'd

- Effect of conveyance—Cont'd
 - cess in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?"
- Fact, intent as a question of, **14:9** [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]; **31:3** [Text Accompanying Footnotes 1 to 4]
- Failure to testify, **14:58**
- Fraud, meaning of, etc
 - see main heading "Fraud"
- Harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading "Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?"
- Hindsight
 - see this main heading, under "business or financial circumstances of debtor, current and/or future"
- Insolvency of debtor, as some evidence of intent, **10:1**
- "Intent" and other terms ("motive," "purpose," "reason," "design," etc.), similar or distinguishable?, **14:2**
 - (fraudulent preferences), **14:57; 19:1 et seq.**
- Intent to prejudice only some creditors, **14:1 to 14:39**
- Knowledge of debtor, **1:35** [Footnote 57]
- Motive and mixed motives
 - see this main heading, then sequentially "proving fraudulent intent," "dominant intent, mixed motives and measuring the degree or level of fraudulent intent: how much fraudulent intent is required to be proved?"
- Pre-existing agreement, effect of, **14:59, 14:60**
- Presumption of fraudulent intent
 - see main heading "Presumption of law, and fraudulent intent" see Presumptions of fraudulent intent—fraudulent conveyances
- Proving fraudulent intent
 - See also main heading "Evidence" burden/onus of proof
 - see also main heading "Intent — fraudulent preferences," then

INTENT — FRAUDULENT

CONVEYANCES—Cont'd

- Proving fraudulent intent—Cont'd
 - burden/onus of proof—Cont'd
 - "burden of proof"
 - general, **14:8**
 - badges of fraud, presumptions and burden of proof, **14:52 to 14:56**
 - primary and secondary onus, shifting, **14:8** [Text Following Footnote 2]; **14:52**
- non-arm's length transactions
 - between close relatives, associates and others, **14:42** [Text Accompanying Footnote 65]; **14:44** [Text Accompanying Footnotes 6 to 18]
 - family arrangements: more forgiving treatment?, **14:47** [Footnote 4]
 - res ipsa loquitur, **14:48**
- deemed fraudulent intent under legislation other than traditional voidable transactions legislation, effect on fraudulent conveyance and fraudulent preference actions, **14:57**
- differences between Fraudulent Conveyances Act Model (including Statute of Elizabeth) and Assignments and Preferences Act Model, **14:61**
 - general, **14:1 et seq.**
 - onus, burden and standard of proof, **14:8**
- discharging burden/onus, **14:9 to 14:14**
 - family expenses, conveyance proceeds used to meet, **41:18** [Footnote 1]
 - family law agreements, **41:18, 41:19**
- non-arm's length transactions
 - between close relatives, associates and others, **14:1 et seq. (esp. 14:48 and (iv) (need for corroboration?))**
- evidence of fraud
 - deference by appeal court to findings of trial judge, **14:54**
 - direct evidence, **14:11, 14:14** [Text Following Footnote 34, and Text Accompanying Footnote 35], **14:28.**

INTENT — FRAUDULENT

CONVEYANCES—Cont'd

Proving fraudulent intent—Cont'd
discharging burden/onus, **14:9 to 14:14**
—Cont'd

non-arm's length transactions
between close relatives, associates and others, **14:1 et seq.**
(esp. **14:48** and (iv) (need for corroboration?))—Cont'd
evidence of fraud—Cont'd

Respecting direct evidence in fraudulent preferences context, see Index, main heading “Intent to fraudulent preferences,” then serially “proving fraudulent intent,” “intent to defeat, delay, defraud creditors, need to prove,” “admission of such intent as “direct” evidence of intent to prefer”

fresh evidence, introduction of
on appeal, **14:55**

quantity and quality of the evidence

badges of fraud, **14:3 [Footnote 40], 14:53.**

Respecting burden of proof, see Index, this main heading, then serially “proving fraudulent intent,” general,” “badges of fraud, presumptions and burden of proof”

passage of time, effect of, **14:56**

terminology respecting the burden and/or standard of proof (“balance of probabilities,” “clear and sufficient proof,” “preponderance of evidence,” “substantial evidence,” “tangible evidence,” “persuasive burden,” “most persuasive factor”), **14:42 [Footnotes 14, 16, 65]; 14:47 [Footnote 2]**

higher degree of probability, **14:8 [Text Following Footnote 9]; 14:51 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 68]**

INTENT — FRAUDULENT

CONVEYANCES—Cont'd

Proving fraudulent intent—Cont'd
transfer/shifting of burden/onus, **14:8 [Footnote 2]**

admissions of fraudulent intent, **14:11**

“objective” determination of debtor's intent, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 142], 14:12 to 14:14, 14:16; 14:26, 14:41 to 14:43**

Registration of transfer deed or other instrument, delay or no registration
see Registration or filing of transfer deed or other instrument

Sham transaction: creation of a transaction intended to give the appearance of creating legal rights or obligations that are different than those actually intended by the sham's creator (the debtor). See Index, main heading “Sham “transactions.”

Standard of proof
see this main heading, at “proving fraudulent intent”

Standing to impeach, **13:19**

Subsequent events, relevance of, **14:2, 14:3**

Surrounding circumstances, **14:42 [Footnote 7]**

Timing, **14:1 to 14:39**
events subsequent to conveyance, effect of, on intent, **14:1 to 14:39**
registration of instrument, effect of, on intent, **1:35 [Footnote 46]; 14:3 [Footnote 9]**

INTENT — FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

See also Intent — fraudulent conveyances

Admission of fraudulent intent
see main heading “Intent — Fraudulent conveyances,” subheading “admission of fraudulent intent”

Bankruptcy
intent to prefer, **24:16**
settlements (former s. 91, BIA), **27:7**

Burden of proof, **19:12**
see also main heading “Intent - fraudulent conveyances,” then sequentially “proving fraudulent

INTENT — FRAUDULENT

PREFERENCES—Cont'd

Burden of proof, **19:12**—Cont'd
intent,” “burden/onus of proof”

Disproving requisite intent
see Defences — fraudulent preferences

Fact, intent as a question of, **14:9** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 1**]; **31:3** [**Text Accompanying Footnotes 1 to 4**]

Fraud, meaning of, etc
see main heading “Fraud”

General, **19:6 to 19:13**

intention to prefer recipient creditor
over other creditors, **19:6 to 19:9**
goal of evenhandedness among creditors harmed by a fraudulent preference and incorporation of goal in legislation, **19:6**

R. v. Gingras: A Different Statutory Model (Criminal Code), **19:7**

whether for the plaintiff to succeed he or she must prove that each of the debtor’s creditors sued has been the object of the debtor’s fraudulent intent, **19:6 to 19:8**

Harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”

Hindsight, **1:35** [**Footnote 57**]

Insolvency of debtor, as some evidence of intent, **10:1**

“Intent” and other terms (“motive,” “purpose,” “reason,” “design,” etc.), similar or distinguishable?, **14:2; 19:1 et seq.**

Intent of debtor, **19:6 to 19:9**

Intent of recipient creditor, **19:10, 19:11**
creditor’s knowledge of debtor’s insolvency, **19:13**

Pressure, doctrine of, **19:36 to 19:38**

Presumptions of fraudulent intent
see main heading “Presumption of law, and fraudulent intent,” then “fraudulent preferences and presumptions”

Proving fraudulent intent, **19:12, 19:13**.
See also main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven

INTENT — FRAUDULENT

PREFERENCES—Cont'd

for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”

deemed fraudulent intent under legislation other than traditional voidable transactions legislation, effect on fraudulent conveyance and fraudulent preference actions, **14:57**

dominant intent, mixed motives and measuring the degree or level of fraudulent intent: how much fraudulent intent is required to be proved?, **19:12** [**Footnote 2**]. **For fraudulent conveyances, see, for example, 1:8** [**Footnote 53**]

reason for giving a preference, **17:1.55** [**Footnotes 8 to 10 and Accompanying Text**]

relevance of debtor’s reason for acting, in assessing dominant intent, **17:1.55** [**Footnote 10 and Accompanying Text**]

Reasonable belief of debtor, **1:35** [**Footnote 59**]

Reform, **19:9** [**Footnote 9**]

Registration of transfer deed or other instrument, delay or no registration
see Registration or filing of transfer deed or other instrument

deemed fraudulent intent
see subsection under this main heading.

Sham transaction: creation of a transaction intended to give the appearance of creating legal rights or obligations that are different than those actually intended by the sham’s creator (the debtor). See Index, main heading “Sham transactions.”

INTERPLEADER PROCEEDINGS AND PRESERVATION OF PROPERTY (ONTARIO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, R.R.O. 1990, REG. 194, MADE UNDER THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O. 1990, C. 43, R. 43)

Generally, **11:2**

INTERPRETATION ACTS (FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL)

See main heading “Legislation”

**JOINT TENANCY, CONVEYANCE OF,
AS FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE**

Canada, **9:5 [Footnote 11]; 9:18 [Footnote 6], 9:15**

United States, **9:3 [Footnote 3]**

JUDGMENT

See also Actions and other proceedings;
Claims; Conspiracy, tort of; Courts;
Damages; Enforcement of money
judgments, the role of the execution
creditor and the seizure of the debt-
or's property by the sheriff or other
similar official; Proceedings and pro-
cedure; Remedies; Void/voidable

British Columbia, **7:7 [Text to Footnote 71 et seq.]; 7:14 [Text to Footnote 7 et seq.]**

Common law and equity, distinguished,
7:5 to 7:22

Confession of judgment, use of, to
defraud creditors, **8:2**

Consent, use of, to defraud or prefer cred-
itors, **8:1 et seq., 15:13, 19:4**

Consent to judgment

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **24:9**
[Text Accompanying Footnote
21]

provincial legislation, **8:1 et seq.**

Default judgment, **24:9**

deemed admission of allegations, **14:1**
to **14:39**

Effect of impeachment of transaction
general, **7:5 and Following**

order granted in fraudulent convey-
ances action, characterization of
order in the context of limitations
statutes (action to "recover
land"?; "consequential relief"?):
Limitations Act, 2002, S.O. 2002,
c. 24, Sched. B, and Real Property
Limitations Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.
L-15), **7:9**

Relief granted and effect of impeach-
ment

generally, **7:5 to 7:30**

pecuniary judgment against
transferee, **7:11 to 7:17, 11:1**

Standing, and, **7:1 to 7:4, 13:4 to 13:8**

Summary and other similar proceedings
see main heading Proceedings and
procedure

JUDGMENT—Cont'd

Effect of impeachment of transaction
—Cont'd

Use of, to defraud or prefer creditors,
1:5, 8:1 et seq., 15:13, 19:4

Where value of property has increased
since conveyance, **7:5 to 7:30**

Enforcement of, **9:2 [Footnotes 3, 4]; 9:5**
[Footnote 12], **23:1 et seq.**

Entitlement to

general, **7:1 to 7:4**

harm to creditor, must it always be
proven? see main heading "Harm
to creditor, must it always be
proven for success in action even
where fraudulent intent is
proven?"

injunctive relief, **7:2**

right to sue and right to judgment,
distinction, **7:2**

valid claim, evidence of, **7:2**

voidable transactions proceeding and
main action, timing and process,
7:2; 13:6

Limitations Act, 2002 (Ont.) proceedings,
characterization of court order in,
5:22, 7:8, 7:9

Monetary judgment against recipient of a
transfer at undervalue (Bankruptcy
and Insolvency Act, s. 96(1)), **26:4,**
27:4

JUDGMENT DEBTOR EXAMINATION

Generally, **3:1 [Footnote 12]**

**JUDGMENT-PROOFING
TECHNIQUES**

See also Estate planning

General, **1:8 [Footnotes 24, 26]**

**JUDICIAL PROCESS, USE OF, TO
DEFRAUD CREDITORS**

Generally, **8:1 et seq., 15:13**

**JURISDICTION (CONFLICT OF
LAWS)**

See also Conflict of laws

Forum non conveniens, **12:7**

General limits on, **12:4**

Oppression actions, **12:5**

Service ex juris, **12:3, 12:6**

LACHES

Generally, **5:25**

LACHES—Cont'd

- Inordinate delay and prejudice (fading memories, loss of documents), **14:42**
- Limitation periods and, **5:25** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 20 et seq.**], **33:7** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 1, 2**]
- Oppression remedy, limitation periods and laches, **5:25** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 20 et seq.**], **33:7** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 1, 2**]

LANDLORD AND TENANT MATTERS

- Bankruptcy, completion of landlord's distraint, whether a judicial proceeding under Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, s. 95 (preferences), **24:9** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 22**]
- History, **37:1**
- Landlord's distraint against fraudulently removed property by tenant
 - general, **Ch. 37**
 - clandestine conveyances (re: landlord's distraint) and secret conveyances (re: badges of fraud), as some evidence of fraud, **14:42** [Footnotes **4, 30**], **37:3** [Footnotes **1, 2** and **Accompanying Text**]
- issues, **37:3**
- law reform, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 27**], **37:6**
- legislation
 - Canada
 - general commentary (all provinces and territories), **Ch. 37**
 - specific provinces and territories
 - Alberta, **37:1** [Footnotes **3, 12**]
 - British Columbia, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying or Following Footnotes 18, 23**]
 - Manitoba, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 15**]
 - New Brunswick, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 19**]
 - Newfoundland and Labrador, **37:1** [Footnote **13**]
 - Northwest Territories, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 25**]

LANDLORD AND TENANT MATTERS

—Cont'd

- Landlord's distraint against fraudulently removed property by tenant—Cont'd
 - legislation—Cont'd
 - Canada—Cont'd
 - specific provinces and territories—Cont'd
 - Nova Scotia, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 24**]
 - Nunavut, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 25**]
 - Ontario, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying or Following Footnotes 3, 14, 16**]
 - Prince Edward Island, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 20**]
 - Saskatchewan, **37:1** [Text **Following Footnote 8** and **Text Accompanying Footnote 16**], **37:5** [Text **Preceding Footnote 10**]
 - Yukon Territory, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 21**]
 - United Kingdom, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 27**], **37:5** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 10**]
 - tenant fraudulently removing inventory, **37:2**

LAW REFORM (LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS, LEGISLATURES)

- See also main headings "Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Canada)"; "Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Outside Canada)"
- Courts effect changes for specific situations, not system-wide, **1:24, 14:42**
- Inclusion in text, reasons for, **1:10**
- Justinian (Roman Emperor), Code of, **1:10** [Footnote **7.500**]
- Need for, **1:2** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 9**]

LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)

- See also main headings "Law reform (law reform commissions, legislatures)";

LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)

—Cont'd

- “Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Outside Canada)”
- Alberta Institute of Law Research and Reform
 - Remedies of Unsecured Creditors, **3:1** [Footnotes 12, 13]
- Alberta Law Reform Institute
 - Enforcement of Money Judgments (Report No. 61, 1991), **39:8** [Footnote 8], **39:13** [Footnote 4]
 - Exemption of Future Income Plans, **1:8** [Footnote 71], **27:11** [Footnote 21]
 - The Bulk Sales Act (1990), **40:2** [Footnote 5]
- British Columbia, Law Reform Commission of
 - Fraudulent Conveyances and Preferences (1986) (“B.C. Working Paper”), **1:8** [Footnote 94], **1:12** [Footnote 16], **5:17** [Footnote 1], **5:17** [Footnote 8], **9:22** [Footnote 36], **14:17** [Footnote 6], **14:37** [Footnote 9], **14:37** [Footnote 11], **17:5** [Footnote 4]
 - Report on Bulk Sales Legislation (1983), **40:2** [Footnote 5]
 - Report on Fraudulent Conveyances and Preferences (1988) (“B.C. Report”), **1:1** [Text Accompanying Footnote 7], **1:1** [Footnote 31], **1:7** [Footnote 1], **1:7** [Footnote 2], **1:8** [Footnote 25], **1:8** [Footnote 26], **1:8** [Footnote 76], **1:8** [Footnote 92], **1:8** [Footnote 96 to 102], **1:8** [Footnote 158], **1:35** [Footnote 24], **1:35** [Footnote 69], **1:41** [Footnote 12], **1:41** [Footnote 14 to 15], **5:1** [Footnote 1], **5:8**, [Footnote 13], **6:1** [Footnote 7], **6:2** [Footnote 2], **6:5** [Footnote 23], **7:7** [Footnote 72 to 73], **7:7** [Footnote 79], **9:2** [Footnote 1], **9:3** [Footnote 5], **9:18** [Footnote 20 to 21], **9:19** [Footnote 46 to 47], **9:22** [Footnote 1], **9:22** [Footnote 36], **9:22** [Footnote 41], **9:22** [Footnote 42], **10:3** [Footnote 22], **11:1** [Footnote 25], **11:1** [Footnote

LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)

—Cont'd

- British Columbia, Law Reform Commission of—Cont'd
 - 35**, **11:1** [Footnote 38], **11:2** [Footnote 43], **13:5** [Footnote 10], **13:6** [Footnote 3], **13:9** [Footnote 16], **13:9** [Footnote 22], **13:13** [Footnote 1], **13:13** [Footnote 8], **13:13** [Footnote 21], **13:13** [Footnote 26], **13:13** [Footnote 34], **13:13** [Footnote 38], **13:13** [Footnote 63], **13:14** [Footnote 1], **13:14** [Footnote 3], **14:12** [Footnote 5], **14:14** [Footnote 25 to 27], **14:14** [Footnote 38], **14:14** [Footnote 42], **14:14** [Footnote 47], **14:14** [Footnote 49], **14:17** [Footnote 7], **14:24** [Footnote 4], **14:24** [Footnote 5], **14:24** [Footnote 6], **14:30** [Footnote 1], **14:37** [Footnote 9], **14:37** [Footnote 10], **14:37** [Footnote 11], **14:37** [Footnote 12], **14:42** [Footnote 3], **14:42** [Footnote 23], **14:42** [Footnote 68], **14:44** [Footnote 5], **14:47** [Footnote 3], **14:52** [Footnote 9], **14:52** [Footnote 13 to 21], **14:52** [Footnote 37], **14:53** [Footnote 17], **14:53** [Footnote 25], **14:59** [Footnote 7], **14:60** [Footnote 2], **15:1** [Footnote 2], **15:3** [Footnote 1], **15:5** [Footnote 13], **15:6** [Footnotes 6 to 7], **15:11** [Footnote 9], **15:11** [Footnote 31], **15:11** [Footnote 37], **15:14** [Footnotes 38 to 39], **15:14** [Footnote 65], **15:15** [Footnote 78], **17:1** [Footnote 27], **17:1** [Footnote 28], **17:5** [Footnote 5], **18:2** [Footnote 10], **18:6** [Footnote 13], **19:10** [Footnote 12], **19:16** [Footnote 2], **19:17** [Footnote 1], **19:22** [Footnote 11], **19:22** [Footnote 14], **19:32** [Footnote 3], **20:1** [Footnote 1], **20:8** [Footnote 15 to 17], **20:9** [Footnote 2]
- Report on Illegal Transactions (1983), **7:27** [Footnote 2]

LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)

—Cont’d

British Columbia, Law Reform Commission of—Cont’d
Testamentary Intent and Unexpected Circumstances, **9:22** [Footnote 37]

Manitoba Law Reform Commission
Report on the Bulk Sales Act (1988), **40:2** [Footnote 5]

New Brunswick, Department of Justice, Law Reform Division
Third Report of the Consumer Project, Vol. II, Legal Remedies of the Unsecured Creditor After Judgment (1976) (“New Brunswick Report”), **4:3** [Footnote 13], **4:3** [Footnote 15], **4:6** [Footnote 5], **4:8** [Footnote 1], **4:8** [Footnote 3], **5:2** [Footnote 8], **5:5** [Footnote 2], **7:6** [Footnote 5], **7:23** [Footnote 3], **9:8** [Footnote 1], **10:1** [Footnotes 17 to 18], **10:3** [Footnote 14], **10:3** [Footnote 18], **10:5** [Footnote 12], **10:9** [Footnote 5], **11:1** [Footnote 2], **11:1** [Footnote 25], **11:1** [Footnote 35], **11:4** [Footnote 2], **11:4** [Footnote 5 to 7], **13:1** [Footnote 8], **13:5** [Footnote 6], **13:6** [Footnote 3], **13:7** [Footnote 2], **13:16** [Footnote 1], **13:18** [Footnote 8 to 9], **13:18** [Footnote 12], **13:22** [Footnotes 8 to 9], **14:14** [Footnote 41], **14:37** [Footnote 1], **14:59** [Footnote 8], **15:6** [Footnote 4], **15:14** [Footnote 39], **15:14** [Footnote 66 to 67], **16:3** [Footnote 6 to 8], **16:5** [Footnote 1], **18:5** [Footnote 3], **19:10** [Footnote 22], **20:1** [Footnote 1], **20:8** [Footnote 12], **20:8** [Footnote 33 to 37]

Northwest Territories, Committee on Law Reform

The Bulk Sales Act, Working Paper No. 3 (1990), **40:2** [Footnote 5]

Ontario, Business Law Advisory Council,
Report to Minister of Government and Consumer Services (Fall 2016), **40:1 et seq.**

Ontario, Business Law Agenda
Stakeholder Panel, Business Law

LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)

—Cont’d

Agenda: Priority Findings & Recommendations Report (Ontario: June 2015) (“Business Law Agenda Report (Ontario: 2015)”), **1:49** [Footnote 1], **40:2** [Footnote 7]

Ontario Law Reform Commission

Report on Amendment of the Law of Contract (1987), **6:1** [Footnote 7], **6:3** [Footnotes 1, 12], **6:5** [Footnotes 25, 26], **7:6** [Footnote 6]

Report on Class Actions (1982), **5:8** [Footnotes 1, 22, 25]

Report on Family Law, Part IV, Family Property Law (1974), **41:1** [Footnotes 4, 5]

Report on Limitation of Actions, **5:1 et seq.**

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part I (1981), **3:3** [Footnotes 13, 14], **4:12** [Footnote 1], **7:18** [Footnote 6]

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part II (1981), **4:6** [Footnote 2], **7:18** [Footnote 9]

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part III (1981), **7:18** [Footnotes 7, 8]

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part IV (1983) (“O.L.R.C. Report”), **1:8** [Footnote 105], **1:15** [Footnote 11], **1:15** [Footnote 13], **1:21** [Footnote 2], **1:30** [Footnote 2], **1:35** [Footnote 22], **1:35** [Footnote 39], **1:35** [Footnote 69], **1:39** [Footnote 1], **4:6** [Footnote 4], **5:8** [Footnote 15], **9:3** [Footnote 5], **11:1** [Footnote 39], **14:14** [Footnote 43], **14:19** [Footnote 3], **14:37** [Footnote 2], **14:37** [Footnote 3], **14:37** [Footnote 4], **14:37** [Footnote 7], **14:52** [Footnote 23], **15:17** [Footnote 6], **16:3** [Footnote 8], **17:3** [Footnote 1 to 4], **18:5** [Footnote 3], **18:6** [Footnote 13], **19:9**

LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)

—Cont'd

Ontario Law Reform Commission

—Cont'd

[Footnote 9], 19:19 [Footnote 2], 19:20 [Footnote 3], 20:8 [Footnote 11], 20:8 [Footnote 14 to 15]

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part V (1983), 1:45 [Footnote 2], 4:1 [Footnote 1], 4:7 [Footnote 4], 4:9 [Footnote 9, 14], 4:15 [Footnote 5], 4:17 [Footnotes 1, 2], 7:18 [Footnote 6]

Report on the Law of Landlord and Tenant, 37:1 [Footnote 27]

Saskatchewan Law Reform Commission

The Bulk Sales Act: Report to the Minister of Justice (1990), 40:2 [Footnote 5]

Uniform Law Conference of Canada

“ULCC (Buckwold),” 1:35 to 1:41.60, 1:41.85 [Footnote 1], 1:42, 1:48; 5:24; 6:1 [Footnote 5], 9:23 [Footnote 14], 13:12 [Footnote 5], 14:14 [Footnote 23], 14:24 [Footnote 13], 14:42 [Footnote 2], 14:68 [Footnote 3], 14:75 [Footnote 2], 15:17 [Footnote 6]

“ULCC (Buckwold) (Preferences: 2008),” 1:3 [Footnote 3], 1:35 [Footnote 52], 1:35 [Footnote 66], 7:22 [Footnote 1], 10:1 [Footnote 13], 17:1 [Footnote 26], 17:1 [Footnote 32], 18:2 [Footnote 10], 18:6 [Footnote 3], 19:3 [Footnote 1], 19:3 [Footnote 4], 19:9 [Footnote 1], 19:19 [Footnote 3], 19:26 [Footnote 3], 19:29 [Footnote 5], 19:33 [Footnote 2], 19:33 [Footnote 4], 19:34 [Footnote 21], 19:37 [Footnote 6], 20:1 [Footnote 2], 20:1 [Footnote 5], 20:1 [Footnote 14]

“ULCC (Buckwold) (Preferences: Final 2011),” 1:35 [Footnote 50], 1:35 [Footnote 51], 7:6 [Footnote 6], 7:22 [Footnote 4]

ULCC “Second Progress Report on the Civil Enforcement of Judgments Project” (2002), 39:17

LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)

—Cont'd

Uniform Law Conference of Canada

—Cont'd

Uniform Acts

Uniform Civil Enforcement of Money Judgments Act (2004), 39:18

Uniform Reviewable Transactions Act (2012) (“ULCC Act (2012)”) (The complete Uniform Reviewable Transactions Act appears in the Legislation tab of the text.)

general, 1:1 [Footnote 34], 1:4 [Footnote 4], 1:8 [Footnote 45], 1:8 [Footnote 71], 1:8 [Footnote 86], 1:8 [Footnote 89], 1:8 [Footnote 141], 1:9 [Footnote 17], 1:26 [Footnote 14], 9:5 [Footnote 22], 9:5 [Footnote 44], 9:11 [Footnote 2], 9:18 [Footnote 8], 9:22 [Footnote 5], 9:22 [Footnote 13], 10:1 [Footnote 9], 10:1 [Footnote 22], 13:5 [Footnote 10], 13:7 [Footnote 2], 14:28 [Footnote 3], 14:37 [Footnote 15], 14:42 [Footnote 20], 17:1 [Footnote 18], 17:1 [Footnote 19], 19:5 [Footnote 3], 19:5 [Footnote 4]

Uniform Securities Transfer Act (2004), 39:16

LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (OUTSIDE CANADA)

See also main headings “Law reform (law reform commissions, legislatures)”;

“Law reform (law reform commissions, legislatures)”

New Zealand Law Commission

Company Law Reform and Restatement, 19:9 [Footnote 9]

United Kingdom

Payne Committee

Report of the Committee on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts (1969), 3:1

The Law Commission

Distress for Rent (Working Paper

LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (OUTSIDE CANADA)—Cont'd

United Kingdom—Cont'd

The Law Commission—Cont'd
No. 97 (1990)), **37:11 [Footnote 27]**

United States

see United States law, National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act and Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act

LAWYERS

See Solicitors

LEGACY, REFUSAL OF

Generally, **9:22**

LEGISLATION

See also Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act); Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act (s 36.1); Courts; Statute of Elizabeth and other English statutes; Statutes, provincial, fraudulent conveyances and preferences

Alberta Fraudulent Preferences Act (s. 1) as codification of Statute of Elizabeth, **14:61 [Footnotes 6, 8 and Accompanying Text]**

Bankruptcy

see Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act), and this main heading under "Interpretation"

British Columbia, **1:27 to 1:29, 14:40**

Fraudulent Conveyance Act

constitutional issues, **1:27**

history of, **1:27**

sale of property, **7:19 [Footnote 8]**

section 1: re: "despite a pretence or other matter to the contrary," **1:8 [Footnote 84], 1:43 [Footnote 2]**

sections 1 and 2

collusion, guile, malice, dishonesty, deceit, fraud, **1:27, 1:28**

"disposition," rather than a "conveyance," of property, **1:8 [Footnote 81]**

LEGISLATION—Cont'd

Case law

confusing mixture of English common law and equitable remedies, **1:2 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]**

outsized role in settling fraudulent conveyances law, **1:2 [Text Accompanying Footnote 3]**

Coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors, **26:3**

Consumer debtor legislation (common law), inheritance from United Kingdom bankruptcy and insolvency law, **17:1 [Footnote 21]**

Derivative action, **33:9**

General, **1:1 to 1:8**

Goals, **1:35 to 1:42**

History, **1:11 to 1:17**

Inappropriate interference with commercial transactions, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 38]**

Interpretation

see also main headings "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)"; headings "Deceit, dishonesty, immorality and illegality, in relation to fraud"; "Fraud"; "Guile"; and "Sham transactions"

general approach (abbreviated), under federal bankruptcy and provincial voidable transactions legislation dictionary meaning, use of, **31:1 et seq.**

provincial legislation, use of, to interpret Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **31:3 [Text Accompanying Footnote 10]**

statutory provisions, to be interpreted in accordance with purpose of provision and context of statute, **31:1 et seq.**

words, to be given their ordinary meaning but in accordance with such purpose of provision and context of statute, **31:1 et seq.**

voidable transactions statutes

acts as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpreta-

LEGISLATION—Cont'd

Interpretation—Cont'd

voidable transactions statutes—Cont'd
tion. See this main heading,
then “Interpretation Acts
(federal and provincial) and the
Judicial Interpretation of Void-
able Transactions Statutes”

counter-argument, **1:8 [Footnote
38], 1:35 [Footnote 25 and
Accompanying Text]**

criminal law, fraud legislation. See
Index, main heading “Criminal
and quasi-criminal offences
respecting voidable transactions
and fraud, and related matters,”
then sequentially, “Offences,”
“extradition proceedings,
legislation, liberal/broad inter-
pretation

deceit, negligent misconduct, sharp
practice, improvident business:
fraud broader than, **1:35 [Text
Following Footnote 23]**

different provisions in different
statutes should be read together,
in accordance with plain inten-
tion of the statutes
(Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v.
Buchar), **1:1 et seq.**

fraud, meaning of, **1:35 to 1:41.55,
1:41.70, 1:41.80, 1:42; 14:1 to
14:39**

term not used in narrow, technical
or criminal sense, **1:35 [Text
Accompanying Footnote 22]**

“fraud”: too narrow a term, **1:35
[Footnote 22 and Accompany-
ing Text]**

general, **1:8 [Text Accompanying
Footnote 28], 1:11 [Footnote
7]**

harm to creditor, must it always be
proven? see main heading
“Harm to creditor, must it
always be proven for success in
action even where fraudulent
intent is proven?”

Interpretation Acts (federal and
provincial). See this main head-
ing, then “Interpretation Acts
(federal and provincial) and the
Judicial Interpretation of Void-

LEGISLATION—Cont'd

Interpretation—Cont'd

voidable transactions statutes—Cont'd
able Transactions Statutes”

liberal interpretation or original
intent of legislation, where
obscured or nullified by courts,
legislatures, contracts, etc.
(Dreiser, The Titan), **1:8 [Foot-
note 29]**

normative ideals respecting voidable
transactions legislation and law
federal, **1:35 [Footnote 25], 24:3
[Footnote 5]**

provincial

general, **1:35 and Following**

preferences, **17:1**

normative goal of
“evenhandedness” among
creditors

incorporation of this goal in
provincial preference
legislation, **17:1.55**

normative goal, and prejudi-
cial effect, **17:1.55,
19:40**

remedial in nature, **1:8 [Footnote 28
and Accompanying Text]**

tracing provisions and a liberal inter-
pretation of preference legisla-
tion

See also Index, main heading

“Tracing property
fraudulently reconveyed by
debtor’s transferee, and
proceeds respecting the sale
of such property,” **11:1 [Text
Following Footnote 15]**

Interpretation Acts (federal and
provincial) and the Judicial Interpre-
tation of Voidable Transactions
Statutes

Alberta Interpretation Act

voidable transactions legislation as
remedial and to be given a fair,
large and liberal interpretation,
**1:30 [Footnote 14 and
Accompanying Text]**

British Columbia, Interpretation Act

binding the Crown, **1:33 [Text
Accompanying and Following
Footnote 5]; 1:34 [Footnote 5]**

LEGISLATION—Cont’d

- Interpretation Acts (federal and provincial) and the Judicial Interpretation of Voidable Transactions Statutes—Cont’d
- British Columbia, Interpretation Act—Cont’d
 - courts ignoring Interpretation Acts, **1:35 [Footnote 7 and Accompanying Text]**
 - “dispose,” definition of, **1:30 [Text Following Footnote 12 and Accompanying Footnote 14]; 9:18 [Text Following Footnotes 4, 20 and 25]; 31:3**
 - release, as a conveyance with voidable transactions Act, **9:18 [Text Accompanying Footnote 7]; 9:21 [Text Following Footnote 2]; 9:30 [Footnote 2]**
 - voidable transactions legislation as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation, **1:30 [Footnote 14 and Accompanying Text]; 31:3 [Footnote 8]**
- Canada, Interpretation Act
 - binding the Crown, **1:33 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 1 to 5]**
 - purpose of voidable transactions legislation, often ignored by Interpretation Act, **1:35 [Footnote 7]**
 - voidable transactions legislation as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation, **1:30 [Text Following Footnote 11]; 1:35 [Footnote 7 and Accompanying Text]**
 - “disposition,” “disposes” (in Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act), interpretation consistent with, **31:3 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 5]**
- Ontario, Legislation Act, 2006, S.O. 2006, c. 21, Schedule F (successor to the former Interpretation Act), approach the same in other common law provinces, except British Columbia and Prince Edward Island, **1:33 [Text Preceding Footnote 5]**

LEGISLATION—Cont’d

- Interpretation Acts (federal and provincial) and the Judicial Interpretation of Voidable Transactions Statutes—Cont’d
- Ontario, Legislation Act, 2006, S.O. 2006, c. 21, Schedule F (successor to the former Interpretation Act),—Cont’d
 - binding the Crown, **1:33 [Text Following Footnote 4]**
 - “debt,” “debtor” or “creditor,” no definition under, **1:9 [Footnote 9]**
 - law reform, **1:34 [Especially Text Following Footnote 5]**
 - purpose of voidable transactions legislation, often ignored by Legislation Act, 2006, and former Interpretation Act, **1:35 [Footnote 7 and Accompanying Text]**
 - voidable transactions legislation as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation, **1:30 [Footnote 14 and Accompanying Text]; 31:3 [Text Accompanying Footnote 8]**
- Prince Edward Island
 - binding the Crown, **1:33 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 5]**
- Saskatchewan
 - voidable transactions legislation as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation, **1:30 [Footnote 14 and Accompanying Text]; 9:15 [Footnote 38]; 9:20 [Text Following Footnote 9]**
- Judicial activism and legislative reform. See this main heading, under “Legislative reform versus judicial activism”
- Law of Property Act, 1925, 15 Geo 5, c. 20, **1:16 [Footnote 2], 15:11 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 18, 26]**
- whether replacing Statute of Elizabeth, **1:16 [Footnote 2], 1:19 [Footnote 8 and Accompanying Text], 9:22 [Footnote 16.500 and Accompanying Text]**

LEGISLATION—Cont'd

- Legislative reform versus judicial activism, **1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:41.85**
- List of legislation applicable in Canadian provinces (including the Statute of Elizabeth), **1:8 [Footnote 81]**
- Ontario
 - See also Index, main heading “Solicitors,” subheading “Professional obligations,” for solicitors’ rules/codes of professional conduct antecedents
 - common law, **1:11**
 - pre-modern English legislation, **1:12**
 - Bills of Sale Act, R.S.O. 1980, c. 43 (since repealed), **1:8 [Footnote 77], 2:1 [Text Following Footnote 23: Scenario B], 14:57; 14:67**
 - generally, **1:1 to 1:8, 1:16**
 - limitations statutes
 - See Index, main heading “Limitations statutes”
 - Rules of Civil Procedure, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, **4:15 [Text Following Footnote 7]**
 - Oppression remedy, **33:2**
 - Pleading both Fraudulent Conveyances Act/Statute of Elizabeth and fraudulent conveyances provisions of Fraudulent Preferences Act
 - trustee in bankruptcy, right to pursue voidable transactions under provincial statutes, **1:24, 1:25, 32:2**
 - Provincial (statutory presumption of intent), **19:22 to 19:28**
 - Quebec
 - see Paulian action
 - United Kingdom
 - Bills of Sale Acts, **1:8 [Footnote 77]**
 - 27 Eliz. c. 4, **1:8 [Footnote 77], 1:26 [Footnote 2]**
 - United States
 - see United States law

LEVERAGED BUYOUTS

- Generally, **1:8, 9:23, 14:19 [Text Following Footnote 5], 14:46**

LIFE INSURANCE POLICIES

- Generally, **9:20**

LIMITATIONS STATUTES

- Alberta, **5:19**
- British Columbia, **5:16 to 5:18**
 - current Limitation Act, S.B.C. 2012, c. 13, **5:18**
 - former Limitation Act, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 266, **5:17**
- Manitoba, Newfoundland and Labrador, New Brunswick, Northwest Territories, Saskatchewan, and Yukon, **5:15**
- Ontario
 - limitation periods, **5:2**
 - Limitations Act, 2002, S.O. 2002, c. 24, Sched. B, and Real Property Limitations Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. L-15
 - application of limitations statutes to real property, **7:9**
 - conflicting Ontario limitations jurisprudence respecting the Limitations Act, 2002, and the Real Property Limitations Act, and the matter of “consequential relief,” **7:9**
 - Limitations Act, 2002
 - law prior to Limitations Act, 2002, **5:20**
 - law reform prior to Limitations Act, 2002, **5:21**
 - Limitations Act, 2002, **5:22**

LIS PENDENS

- See also main headings “Actions and other proceedings”; “Proceedings and procedure”
- Generally, **36:6**

MALA FIDES

- See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

MALICE

- See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”
- Generally, **1:28, 14:40**

MAREVA INJUNCTIONS

- See also main headings “Injunctions”; “Remedies,” subheading “prejudgment remedies”

MAREVA INJUNCTIONS—Cont’d

- Generally, **36:2**
- Claims by third parties, **36:3**
- Conditions, **36:2 [Footnote 24]**
- Effect, **36:4, 36:5**
- Injunctions pre-Mareva, **36:1**
- Nondisclosure, consequences of, **36:2 [Footnote 15]**
- Post-judgment injunction, **36:2 [Footnote 2]**
- Preservation order (interim) for funds, distinguished, **36:1 [Footnote 1], 36:7 [Text Following Footnote 8]**
- Reconciliation with fraudulent conveyances and preferences law, **36:4, 36:5**
- Where property transferred out of jurisdiction, **36:2 [Footnote 5]**
- World-wide injunction, **36:2 [Footnotes 11, 12]**

MARGIN DEPOSIT

- Defined, **24:1**
- Exemption from statutory presumption of preference, **24:1**

MARRIAGE CONTRACTS

- See Index, main heading “Family law; legislation; agreements and other arrangements; and jurisprudence”

**MATRIMONIAL, AND
MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT,
MATTERS**

- See Index, main heading “Family law; legislation; agreements and other arrangements; and jurisprudence”

MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED

- Transferee, action by, **7:10 [Text Following Footnote 5]**

**MORAL PRINCIPLES AND
NORMATIVE IDEALS
SUPPORTING VOIDABLE
TRANSACTIONS LAW**

- Effect of Ontario Fraudulent Conveyances Act, on lawful mortgage, **36:6**
- Equitable, created by impugned transaction, **14:60 [Footnote 20]**
- General, **1:35 to 1:41.65, 1:42**
- Preferences, **17:1 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]**

MOTIVE OF PARTIES

- See Intent — fraudulent conveyances;
Intent — fraudulent preferences

**NATURAL LOVE AND AFFECTION,
AS CONSIDERATION**

- Generally, **15:11 [Footnotes 3, 19]**

**NON-OWNERSHIP OF CONVEYED
PROPERTY**

- Generally, **9:3 [Footnote 4]**

**NORMATIVE IDEALS SUPPORTING
VOIDABLE TRANSACTIONS
LAW**

- See Moral principles and normative ideals supporting voidable transactions law

**NORWICH ORDER (EQUITABLE
DISCOVERY OF THIRD PARTY**

- See main heading “Examination of debtors and others (outside bankruptcy),” subheading “discovery of third party, equitable (Norwich order)”

**NOTICE OR KNOWLEDGE BY
TRANSFeree OF
TRANSFEROR’S INTENT**

- See Transferee

OPPRESSION REMEDY

- See also Derivative action; Remedies
- Introduction, **33:1**
- Legislation, **7:15, 33:2**
- Limitation periods and laches, **5:25 [Text Accompanying Footnote 20 et seq.], 33:7 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1, 2]**
- Remedies, **7:15, 33:13**
- Standing, **33:3 to 33:6**

OPTIONS

- See also Disclaimers of legacy or gifts;
Powers of Appointment; Releases
and other similar instruments
- Refusal to exercise, as a fraudulent conveyance, **9:22 [Footnote 34]**

ORDER

- See Judgment

ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS

- Bankruptcy, **24:19**
- Provincial legislation, **20:5, 20:6**

**OVERLAPPING AND CONFLICTING
LEGISLATION**

Generally, **1:18 to 1:29**

**PARTIES TO FRAUDULENT
TRANSACTION PROCEEDING**

See also Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances and Standing to impeach — fraudulent preferences

Generally, **5:10**

**PAULIAN ACTION (L'ACTION EN
INOPPOSABILITÉ)**

Generally, **42:1 et seq.**

Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?

general, **42:18 to 42:30**

Quebec law and common law, compared, **14:35**

Limitation period, application to bankruptcy proceedings, **24:4, 42:46**

Uniform Canadian voidable transactions legislation, as part of, **42:1 [Footnote 1]**

Uniform Reviewable Transactions Act, proposed, adaptation in Quebec, **1:8 [Footnote 88]**

PECUNIARY RELIEF (DAMAGES)

See also Index, main headings Damages; Remedies

General, **5:20 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 19 et seq.]**, **7:13**

Punitive or exemplary damages, **7:15**

Relief against

transferee, **7:13:25**

transferor, **7:13:50**

Tort of conspiracy, **7:14**

Unjust enrichment, **7:16**

**PENALTIES, UNDER FRAUDULENT
CONVEYANCES STATUTES**

Generally, **1:12, 1:26, 1:27**

PERSON, DEFINED

Generally, **28:9**

**PERSONAL PROPERTY
LEGISLATION**

Generally, **20:9, 20:10**

PIERCING CORPORATE VEIL

Generally, **13:14 [Footnote 3]**, **14:3 [Footnote 5]**, **14:44 [Footnote 12]**, **28:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 5]**, **36:6 [Footnote 10]**

PLEADINGS

See Actions and other proceedings; Proceedings and procedure

PLEDGE OF ASSETS

Generally, **9:23**

**PONZI SCHEMES (TRANSACTIONS
INDUCED BY FRAUD)**

Bankruptcy, whether trustee in bankruptcy of perpetrator could recover “excess payments” received by “investors” (an “excess payment” is the amount that an “investor” has received from the perpetrator that is over and above the aggregate total of the defendant’s “investments” in the Ponzi scheme), **38:5 [Footnote 4]**

Characteristics, **38:2**

Effect on parties, **38:2 [Text Following Footnote 6]**

“Excess payments” to “investors”

Constituting a fraudulent transaction, **38:5**

constituting an “unlawful” transfer, **38 [Text Following Footnote 18]**

meaning of excess, **38:5**

Fraud in the courts of equity and at common law, **38:1**

Jurisprudence, **38:3**

claims other than respecting a voidable transaction

money had and received, **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 18]**

unjust enrichment, **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 18]**

consideration from “investors,”

whether “good,” **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 26]**

evidence necessary to prove fraudulent intent of perpetrator

inferences and badges of fraud, **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 24]**

where the term “badge of fraud” and “inference” have both been used in reference to the same fraudulent transaction, **14:40 [Footnote 1 (And Accompany-]**

PONZI SCHEMES (TRANSACTIONS INDUCED BY FRAUD)—Cont'd

- Jurisprudence, **38:3**—Cont'd
 - evidence necessary to prove fraudulent intent of perpetrator—Cont'd **ing Text**], **38:5** [**Footnote 24**]
- fraudulent transaction
 - what constitutes (“excess” payments to “investors”), **38:5**
 - whether British Columbia Fraudulent Conveyance Act applies where perpetrator retains no benefit in the “excess” paid to investors, **38:5** [**Text Following Footnote 18**]
- insolvency, Ponzi schemes deemed to be insolvent from the outset, **14:41** [**Footnote 1.500**]; **38:5** [**Text Following Footnotes 3, 20**]
- pleading both fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences legislation respecting the same transaction, **1:42** [**Text Following Footnote 7**]
- intent of Statute of Elizabeth (in the context of a Ponzi scheme case), **38:5** [**Text Following Footnote 42**]
- Perpetrators, “investors” and victims (a non-exhaustive miscellany), **1:1** [**Footnote 29**], **38:2**
- Pyramid schemes, **38:3**
- United States law, **7:6** [**Text Following Footnote 30 at para. 43**]
- Unlawful scheme, whether Ponzi scheme constitutes, **38:5** [**Text Following Footnote 37**]

POWERS OF APPOINTMENT

- As a conveyance, **9:22**
- Law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**

PREJUDGMENT REMEDIES

- See Remedies

PRESERVATION AND SIMILAR ORDERS

- Bankruptcy legislation: preservation, detention or custody of property, order for, **36:1** [**Footnote 1**]
- Provincial legislation
 - family law legislation, **41:17**

PRESERVATION AND SIMILAR ORDERS—Cont'd

- Provincial legislation—Cont'd
 - non-family law legislation
 - Anton Piller orders, **36:7**
 - difference between a Mareva injunction and an order to preserve specific funds, **36:7** [**Text Following Footnote 8**]
 - freezing bank deposits (Bank Act, S.C. 1991, c. 46, s. 437(2)), **36:1** [**Footnote 1**]
 - general, **36:1** [**Footnote 1**], **41:17** [**Footnote 1**]
 - preservation of property
 - interim order for funds (Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, made under the Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, r. 45), **36:1**
 - general, **36:1** [**Footnote 1**], **41:17** [**Footnote 1**]
 - Mareva injunction, distinguished, **36:1** [**Footnote 1**], **41:17** [**Footnote 1**]
 - interpleader proceedings (Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, made under the Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, r. 43), **11:2**
 - receivership, **36:1** [**Footnotes 1, 2**]

PRESSURE, DOCTRINE OF

- See also: diligent creditor, bankruptcy, **24:23**
- Generally, **19:36 to 19:38**

PRESUMPTION OF LAW, AND FRAUDULENT INTENT

- See also main heading “Badges of fraud”
- Bankruptcy
 - see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then sequentially under “preferences,” and “elements of impeachable preference”

PRESUMPTION OF LAW, AND

FRAUDULENT INTENT—Cont'd

Fraudulent conveyances and presumption
badges of fraud distinguished, **14:1 to 14:39**

burden of proof (badges and
presumption), **14:52 to 14:56**
evidence required, **14:53 to 14:56**
see also main heading "Evi-
dence"

jurisprudential confusions of
badges and presumption of
fraud, **14:42 [Text Following
Footnote 39], 14:46 [Foot-
note 2]**

effect of presumption, **14:44 to 14:46**
where necessary effect is to defraud
creditors

general, **14:45, 14:46**

harm to creditor, must it always be
proven for success in action
even where fraudulent intent is
also proven? see also main
heading "Harm to creditor,
must it always be proven for
success in action even where
fraudulent intent is also
proven?"

general, **14:19 to 14:39**

jurisprudence, statutes and com-
mentary

Canadian

common law provinces,
14:27 to 14:34

general, **14:20, 14:21**

Quebec, **14:35**

Statute of Elizabeth, **14:20**

United States, **14:26**

Fraudulent preferences and presumptions,
19:19 to 19:32

see also this main heading, under
"fraudulent conveyances and
presumption"

statutory presumptions
calculating relevant time period,
19:21

provincial statutes

Alberta, Saskatchewan, **19:23**

British Columbia, **19:22**

New Brunswick, **19:26**

Nova Scotia, **19:27**

Ontario, **19:25**

PRESUMPTION OF LAW, AND

FRAUDULENT INTENT—Cont'd

Fraudulent preferences and presumptions,
19:19 to 19:32—Cont'd

statutory presumptions—Cont'd
provincial statutes—Cont'd

Prince Edward Island, **19:28**

Yukon, **19:24**

Rebuttable or irrebuttable presumption of
law?

general, **14:22**

presumption arising from prejudicial
effect is rebuttable, **14:38**

**PRETENCE, CONCERNING NATURE
OF DEBTOR'S CONVEYANCE**

See main heading "Sham transactions"

PRIOR AGREEMENTS

See also main headings "Badges of
fraud," then sequentially "examples
(non-exhaustive)," "conveyance,"
and "documents"; and "Sham
transactions"

Bankruptcy, **24:20**

Effect on proving debtor's intent, **14:59,
14:60**

Family law agreements (pre-nuptial or
pre-marital agreements, co-habita-
tion agreements, and separation
agreements)

general, **41:18, 41:19**

law reform, **41:22**

Formality required, **14:59 [Footnote 9],
19:34 [Footnotes 19, 20]**

Method of proof, **14:59 [Footnote 2]**

Previous promise to make future transfer,
19:34

Series of agreements, **19:34**

**PRIVATE OR CLOSELY-HELD
COMPANIES**

See Corporations, private or closely-held
corporations

PROCEEDINGS AND PROCEDURE

See also Actions and other proceedings;
Enforcement of money judgments,
the role of the execution creditor and
the seizure of debtor's property by
the sheriff or other similar official;
Evidence

Bars to proceedings, **5:11**

PROCEEDINGS AND PROCEDURE

—Cont'd

- Claims for damages and other relief, **1:8**
[Footnote 68], **7:11 to 7:17**
see also Claims
- Class actions, **5:1 to 5:9**
- Commencement and prosecution, **5:1 et seq.**
- Effect of successful proceedings, **7:5 to 7:30**
- Evidence
see Evidence
- Institution of action
class action, **5:8**
commencement, general rule, **5:1**
laches, **5:25**
limitation periods, **5:13**
parties, **5:10**
res judicata, **5:7**
- Judgment
see Judgment
- Judicial activism and legislative reform.
See this main heading, under
“Legislative reform versus judicial activism”
- Legislative reform versus judicial activism, **1:8** [Footnote 38], **1:41.85**
- Party to fraudulent transaction proceeding, whether necessary and/or proper person standing in actual privity with the debtor, **5:10**
transferor of debtor’s property, **5:10**
- Persons unable to impeach a conveyance successfully
see also Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances; Property (under “property, types of, not impeachable under fraudulent conveyances statutes”)
creditors “privy,” etc. to the conveyance, **15:14**
- Pleadings
see also Fraudulent conveyances (under “fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances”), Fraudulent preferences (under “fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences”)
challenges to plaintiff’s pleadings, **5:9**
pleading both fraudulent conveyances and Fraudulent Preferences Acts, **1:8** [Text Accompanying Foot-

PROCEEDINGS AND PROCEDURE

—Cont'd

- Pleadings—Cont’d
note 62; **1:20**; **1:38**; **1:41.55**; **19:1** [Footnote 6]
whether the same transaction may be both, or either, a fraudulent conveyance (under, inter alia, the Statute of Elizabeth) and a fraudulent preference, **1:36 to 1:41.75**, **1:42**
summary proceedings, defendants’ motion to have portions of the record removed or redacted from publicly filed materials for lack of a Reply pleading with respect to the limitation defence; evidence in record supporting plaintiff’s claim; court must consider record as a whole rather than focus narrowly on pleadings alone, **7:9**, **2:7** [Footnote 2 and Text Following Footnote 8], **5:15** [Text Accompanying Footnote 24]
- Proper forum and procedure to collect on money judgment, determination of, **1:24** [Text Following Footnote 7]
- Removal or redaction of portions of the record from publicly filed materials; submissions relating to (a) confidentiality of material; (b) material respecting solicitor-client privilege; and (c) the lack of a Reply pleading with respect to the limitation defence, **7:9**, **2:7** [Footnote 2 and Text Following Footnote 8], **5:15** [Text Accompanying Footnote 24]
- Summary proceedings
general, **5:2**
Alberta, **5:4**
British Columbia, **5:3**
Ontario, **5:5**
reform, **5:6**
use of, to defraud creditors, **8:1 et seq.**
- Timing of voidable transactions proceedings and underlying action or claim, **5:4**, **7:2**
- Transferee, proceedings against, **7:11 to 7:17**, **11:1** [Footnote 9]
- Under both Fraudulent Conveyances and Fraudulent Preferences Acts, **1:8**,

PROCEEDINGS AND PROCEDURE

—Cont'd

1:35 to 1:41.50, 1:42 19:1 [Footnote 6]

Use of proceedings to defraud creditors, 1:5, 8:1 et seq., 15:13

PROCEEDS OF PROPERTY

FRAUDULENTLY TRANSFERRED

See Index, main heading “Tracing property fraudulently reconveyed by debtor’s transferee, and proceeds respecting the sale of such property.” See also main headings: “Enforcement of money judgments”; “Pecuniary judgment directly against fraudulent transferees (damages for tort of conspiracy, punitive or exemplary damages, damages for unjust enrichment, etc.)”

PROFESSIONAL ADVICE

Accountants, financial planners, insolvency and restructuring practitioners, and trustees, 2:1 [Footnote 12]

Lawyers, 2:1 et seq.

PROMISSORY NOTE, HOLDER OR ENDORSER OF, AS CREDITOR

Generally, 13:7 [Footnote 5], 18:8

PROPERTY

See also main heading “Conveyance, disposition and other similar transactions”

Concealment or removal

see Concealment or removal of property

Conveyance of all or virtually/essentially all of the debtor’s property, 14:42 [Footnote 24]

Detention, custody or preservation, order for, 36:1 [Footnote 2]

Enforcement against debtor’s property, by judgment creditor, 9:2 [Footnotes 3, 4], 9:5 [Footnote 12]

Exchanged or substituted property, execution against, 11:1

Joint tenancy, conveyance of, as fraudulent conveyance

Canada, 9:5 [Footnote 11]

United States, 9:3 [Footnote 3]

PROPERTY—Cont'd

Meaning of, 9:3, 27:14, 28:4 [Footnote 3]

Property not seizable by creditors and therefore, where conveyed, not impeachable under traditional voidable transactions statutes

bankruptcy, 24:14 [Footnote 20]; 27:14; 31:5 [Text Accompanying Footnote 5]

provincial law

general

harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is proven? (property that cannot be reached by creditors), 1:7 [Footnote 4], 9:5 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1], 14:25 to 14:35

see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”

law reform commentary, 14:14 [Footnote 40]

property exempt from enforcement proceedings

conveyance of exempt property current law, 9:5

critique of current law and an alternative approach in the context of fraudulent conveyances actions, 9:5.50 introduction: two approaches to current and reformed law on the scope of exemption provisions in the context of fraudulent conveyances actions, 9:5.50 reform proposals early reform proposal, 9:5.55 a critical response, 9:5.60 revised reform proposal, 9:5.65

law reform, 9:6 to 9:11

exempt property acquired by debtor pursuant to a conveyance, 9:14

proceeds of the sale of exempt property, 9:13

PROPERTY—Cont'd

- Property not seizable by creditors and therefore, where conveyed, not impeachable under traditional voidable transactions statutes—Cont'd provincial law—Cont'd
 - property exempt from enforcement proceedings—Cont'd
 - seizure of consideration given for exempt property, **9:5 [Footnote 12]**
 - value of property exceeds maximum legislated exempt amount, **9:5 [Footnote 12]**
 - property other than exempt property
 - property in which the debtor has no beneficial or other interest, **9:3 [Footnote 4]**
 - property of no or trivial value, **1:7 [Footnote 4], 9:2 [Footnote 3], 9:5 [Footnote 1], 14:26 [Text Following Footnote 1]**
 - property that is non-assignable or restrictively assignable, **9:5 [Text Following Footnote 3]**
 - property rendered exempt from enforcement proceedings by a conveyance, **9:14**
 - personal property (otherwise exempt from enforcement proceedings) purchased in order to defeat creditors, **1:7.50**
- United States law (Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act), **9:3 [Footnote 3], 9:5 [Footnote 5]**
 - see also main heading United States law
 - exempt property, excluded under definition of “assets,” **9:18 [Footnote 8]**
 - insolvency, exclusion of exempt property from determining value of debtor’s assets, **10:4; 24:13**
 - other property not reachable under enforcement proceedings, **9:18 [Footnote 8]**
- Receiver, appointment of, **36:1 [Footnotes 1, 2]**
- Recovery of, **11:1 et seq.**
- Retention of property interest by debtor badge of fraud, **14:42 [Text]**

PROPERTY—Cont'd

- Retention of property interest by debtor—Cont'd
 - Accompanying Footnote 25]**
 - sham transactions
 - see Sham transactions
- Return of property by transferee to debtor, **1:8 [Footnote 5]**
- Sale of, in British Columbia, **7:19 [Footnote 8]**
- Tangible and intangible property, application of statutes to, **9:2 to 9:17**
- Trust property, **9:17**

PROTECTED TRANSACTIONS

- Fraudulent conveyances
 - see Fraudulent conveyances (under “protected transactions”)
- Fraudulent preferences
 - see Fraudulent preferences (under “protected transactions”)

PUNITIVE DAMAGES, AWARDED AGAINST DEFENDANT

- See Damages

PURCHASE OF SHARES (LIABILITY OF DIRECTORS)

- Bankruptcy and insolvency provisions, **30:1 et seq.**

PURCHASER, BONA FIDE

- Generally, **7:1 et seq.**

PYRAMID BUILDING SCHEMES

- Generally, **1:1 [Footnote 16]**

QUEBEC LAW

- See Paulian action

RATIFICATION OF TRANSACTION BY CREDITOR

- Generally, **1:8 [Footnote 10]**

REDEMPTION OF SHARES (LIABILITY OF DIRECTORS)

- Bankruptcy and insolvency provisions, **30:1 et seq.**

REFORM

- See Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Canada); Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Outside Canada)

REGISTRATION OR FILING OF TRANSFER DEED OR OTHER INSTRUMENT

Delay, effect on intent, **14:3** [Footnote 9],
14:4 to 14:6.50, **14:42** [Footnote
77]

No registration or filing, and secrecy,
14:42 [Footnote 133]

Receivers

Appointment of, **2:1** [Text Following
Footnote 23 (Scenario A)], **36:1**
[Footnote 2]

Standing to bring fraudulent convey-
ances action in receivership
context, where plaintiff is not the
receiver, but is a creditor in the
receivership, **13:17.100**, **36:1**
[Footnote 2]

RELATED PERSONS AND OTHER ENTITIES

Related groups and corporations, **28:7**

Related individuals, **28:5**

RELATIVES

See Consideration, transactions between
relatives

RELEASES AND OTHER SIMILAR INSTRUMENTS

Current law, **9:21**

Law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**

REMEDIES

See also Index, main headings: “Anton
Piller order”; “Common law”;
“Conspiracy, tort of”; “Courts”;
“Creditors’ relief legislation”;
“Damages”; “Derivative action”;
“Enforcement of money judgments
and seizure of debtor’s property by
sheriff or other similar official”;
“Illegal transactions”; “Injunc-
tions”; “Judgment”; “Mareva
injunctions”; “Oppression remedy”;
“Preservation and similar orders”;
“Tort”; “Transferee (under “reme-
dies of transferee, after impeach-
ment”)”; “Unjust enrichment, dam-
ages for”

Generally, **7:5 to 7:30**

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (Canada),
32:1

REMEDIES—Cont’d

Characterization of court order in Limita-
tion Act proceedings, **5:22**, **7:8**, **7:9**

Conveyances defeating, **1:4** [Footnote 1],
1:8 [Footnote 93], **34:1 et seq.**

Courts effect changes for specific situa-
tions, not system-wide, **1:15** [Text
Accompanying Footnote 11], **4:18**
[Footnote 4], **14:42** [Footnote 57]

Declaratory relief (voidable transactions)
general, **5:20** [Text Following Foot-
note 23], **7:5 to 7:7**

Derivative action, **33:13**

Difficulties in pursuing, see main heading
“Debt litigation, debt collection and
related matters”

Impeachment, effect of, **7:1 et seq.**

Judicial activism and legislative reform.
See this main heading, under
“Legislative reform versus judicial
activism”

Legislative reform versus judicial activ-
ism, **1:8** [Footnote 38], **1:41.85**

Monetary and pecuniary judgment against
transferee, **7:11 to 7:17**, **11:1**

Oppression remedy, **33:13**

Prejudgment remedies

absconding debtors

see Absconding debtors

damages, claim for, **1:8** [Footnote 68]

pecuniary judgment against transferee,
7:11 to 7:17

removal or concealment of property

see Removal or concealment of
property

Punitive damages, awarded against
defendants, **7:15**

Sanctions for debtor’s failure to produce
information, **3:6**

Unjust enrichment, damages for, **7:16**

Wealthy individuals: how money and
influence can insulate the very
wealthy from the consequences of
reckless decisions, **1:1** [Footnote 1]

Where property transferred out of juris-
diction, **2:3** [Footnote 49], **12:9**

REMOVAL OR CONCEALMENT OF PROPERTY

As a badge of fraud, **1:30** [Footnote 7]

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (Canada),
arrest of bankrupt for removal or

REMOVAL OR CONCEALMENT OF PROPERTY—Cont'd

destruction of property and information, **32:3 [Footnote 1]**

Criminal Code (Canada), **1:30**

General, **1:6, 14:77**

United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **1:30 [Footnote 7], 14:77**

Wealthy individuals, and, **1:1 [Footnote 1]**

REPRESENTATIVE ACTIONS

Generally, **5:1 to 5:9**

RESCISSION, CONTRASTED WITH IMPEACHMENT

Generally, **7:7 [Footnote 81]**

RES IPSA LOQUITUR AND CORROBORATION

Generally, **14:48**

RES JUDICATA

Generally, **5:7**

RESTRAINING ORDERS

See Injunctions

REVIEWABLE TRANSACTIONS (FORMER BANKRUPTCY PROVISION: S

100, BIA). see Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)

RIGHT OF REVINDICATION

Generally, **24:9**

ROLLOVERS

Generally, **27:11**

RRSPS AND RRIFs

Exempt property, as, **27:14 [Footnote 7]**

Legislation, **9:14 [Footnote 3], 9:18 [Footnote 40]**

Transfer of rights in, **1:1 et seq., 9:18 [Footnote 40], 27:11 [Footnote 21]**

Valuing RRSP, consideration of tax cost to access funds, **10:3 [Footnote 29]**

RULES/CODES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

See Index, main heading “Solicitors,” subheading “Professional obligations”

SALE OF PROPERTY, IN BRITISH COLUMBIA

Generally, **7:19 [Footnote 8]**

SECRECY

See Index, main headings: “Badges of fraud,” then serially “examples (non-exhaustive: see “list of examples,” below),” and “secrecy”; and “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud,” then serially “civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions,” and “secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to conspiracy”

SECURED CREDITORS

Grant and recording of security interest, whether prejudicial to creditors, **9:23, 20:9**

Payments to secured creditor, **24:9**

Priority over unsecured judgment creditors, **1:3 [Footnote 3]**

Protected transactions — fraudulent preferences, **20:9, 20:10**

Secured financing as protected transaction, **20:9, 20:10**

Security for current advances, **24:22**

Standing to impeach fraudulent conveyance, **13:12**

Standing to impeach fraudulent preference, **18:6**

SEIZURE, BY SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR OFFICIAL

See Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of debtor’s property by the sheriff or other similar official

SETTLEMENT, MATRIMONIAL DISPUTE, AS GOOD CONSIDERATION

Generally, **15:11 [Footnote 12]**

SETTLEMENTS (FORMER BANKRUPTCY PROVISION: S

91, BIA). see Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)

SHAM TRANSACTIONS

See also Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality,”

SHAM TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd

collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

Generally, **1:1 et seq.**

Appearances, false, **1:8 [Footnote 84]**

Badges of fraud, and, **14:42 [Text Accompanying Footnote 25]**

Benefit to debtor or transferee

debtor, retention of benefit by badges of fraud

see main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “examples (non-exhaustive),” “benefit retained by debtor; possession remaining in debtor”

general, **1:7 [Footnote 4], 1:8 [Footnotes 18, 21], 9:18 [Footnote 13]**

no intention to convey any benefit and no benefit conveyed to or obtained by transferee (therefore no fraudulent conveyance), **14:33, 14:42 [Footnotes 25 to 29 and Accompanying Text], 14:51 [Text Following Footnote 49]**

transferee

accrual of benefit by, **1:8 [Footnote 19], 27:6 [Footnote 7, 12, 22], 27:12 [Footnote 22]**

no benefit conveyed to or obtained by transferee (therefore no fraudulent conveyance), **1:8 [Text Following Footnote 21], 14:51 [Text Following Footnote 49]**

Definition, **1:35 [Footnote 45]; 9:26 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 1 and Following]; 14:43; 15:6 [Footnote 1]**

Estate planning arrangements, as, **2:4 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 11, 12]**

“Feigned” conveyance or consideration, **1:8 [Footnote 84]**

shams, and harm to creditor, **14:27**

Genuineness of the transaction, and, **1:43 [Footnote 3], 15:6**

Good faith, and, **1:8 [Footnote 21], 9:26 [Text Accompanying Footnote 2]**

Preferences under Statute of Elizabeth, not covered except where preference

SHAM TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd

was a cloak to benefit the debtor, **1:8 [Text Following Footnotes 107, 110]**

Pretence, concerning the nature of debtor’s conveyance (Statute of Elizabeth; British Columbia Fraudulent Conveyance Act), **1:8 [Footnote 84]; 1:43 [Footnote 2]; 9:26 [Footnote 3.500]**

Transferee, no retention of a benefit, **9:26**

Trusts, as

see main heading “Trusts,” subheading “sham trusts”

SHARES AND SHAREHOLDERS

See Corporations

SHERIFF, OR OTHER SIMILAR OFFICIAL

See Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of debtor’s property by the sheriff or other similar official

SOLICITORS

See also main headings “Advice, from solicitors, accountants, financial advisors, trustees, and others”; “Estate planning”

Advising clients respecting conveyances, general, **2:1 et seq.**

Advisors and advocates, distinction, **2:2, 2:3**

Civil liability of, **2:5**

Codes of professional conduct, **2:2**

Counselling of fraud, **2:4**

Criminal aspects of judgment proofing, **1:30**

Criminal liability of, **1:30 [Footnotes 28, 29 and Accompanying Text], 2:6**

Estate planning advice, **2:4, 14:7**

whether advice or other conduct may be a defence to a fraudulent transactions challenge, **2:4**

Ethical considerations, **2:1 et seq.**

Fiduciary obligation, breach of, by, **2:5 [Footnote 11]**

Imputing knowledge to client, **14:6 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 22, 25], 19:13 [Footnote 13]**

Liability for excessive intervention in execution process, **4:17**

SOLICITORS—Cont'd

- Litigation against debtors, see main heading “Debt litigation, debt collection and related matters”
- Payment of fees, as preference, **24:20 [Footnote 13]**
- Professional obligations, **2:2, 2:3**
 - advising transferee, **2:2 [Footnote 1], 15:14 [Footnote 62]**
 - dishonesty, fraud, etc. by debtor-client, **2:2 (LSUC r. 3.2 to 7)**
 - dupe of debtor-client, solicitor as, **2:2 (LSUC r.3.2 to 7.1, Commentary [1])**
 - fiduciary obligations, **2:2 (LSUC r. 3.2 to 2, Commentary [1.1])**
 - honesty and candour, text following, **2:2 [Footnote 3]**
 - ignorance (wilful) vs diligent review of client’s intentions, etc., **2:2 [Footnote 1]**
 - information, providing and withholding, **LSUC r. 3.2 to 7.2, Commentary [3]; 2:2 (LSUC r. 3.2 to 2, Commentary [1.1], [1.2])**
 - red flags in real estate transactions, **2:2 (LSUC r. 3.2 to 7.3 (Commentary [4.1], [4.2])**
 - representation, withdrawal from, **2:2 (LSUC r. 3.7 to 1)**
 - rules of professional conduct
 - American Bar Association, Professional Responsibility and Code of Judicial Conduct, **2:2 [Footnote 14]**
 - Canadian Bar Association, Code of Professional Conduct, **2:2**
 - Law Society of British Columbia, Professional Conduct Handbook, **2:2 [Footnote 3]**
 - Law Society of Ontario (formerly, Law Society of Upper Canada) Practice Tips, **2:3 [Footnote 6]**
 - Rules of Professional Conduct, **2:2**
 - transactions where vigilance is required, **2:2 (LSUC r. 3.2 to 7.3 (Commentary [2])**
- Removal of property from jurisdiction by debtor, assistance by, **1:6**
- Retainer, possible detrimental effect on access to solicitors if retainer found

SOLICITORS—Cont'd

- fraudulent, **1:1 et seq.**
- Solicitor-client privilege, **2:7**
- Wealth and inequality, how the law creates (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers)
 - see under main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” subheading “wealth and inequality, how the law creates (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers)”
 - wealth creation by lawyers for elites, through creation of corporate entities and other legal protections from creditors, **9:25 [Footnote 1]**

SOLVENCY OF PARTIES

- See Insolvency/solvency of parties

SPOUSES

- See Family law legislation (provincial) and matrimonial cases

STANDING—DERIVATIVE ACTIONS

- Creditor, applicant need not have been, **33:11**
- Good faith, **33:12**
- In interests of corporation, **33:12**

STANDING—OPPRESSION REMEDIES

- Applicant “creditor,” **33:11**
- Improper conduct, **33:4**
- “Proper” person, **33:4**

STANDING TO IMPEACH — FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

- See also Standing to impeach — fraudulent preferences
- Alberta Rules of Court, r. 9.24, **1:5 [Footnote 2], 1:8 [Footnote 81]**
- Assignments and Preferences Act model, **13:18**
 - Alberta Rules of Court, r. 9.24, **1:5 [Footnote 2], 1:8 [Footnote 81]**
- Fraudulent Conveyances Act model
 - Alberta Rules of Court, r. 9.24, **1:5 [Footnote 2], 1:8 [Footnote 81]**
- common law spouses cases, **13:11**
- constructive trust cases, **13:10**

**STANDING TO IMPEACH —
FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES
—Cont'd**

Fraudulent Conveyances Act model
—Cont'd
contingent claimants, **13:9** [**Text Following Footnote 42**]
creditor of third party, **1:8** [**Footnote 67**], **13:14**
creditors and “others”
see Creditors and “others”
family law cases, **13:9**
judgment creditors, **13:3**
lien claimants, **13:12** [**Footnote 14**]
non-judgment creditors/claimants, **13:4 to 13:8**
secured creditors
generally, **13:12**
as “subsequent creditors,” **13:12** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 12**]
spouses, **13:9**
standing to bring a claim, confused with the nature of the claim itself, **7:9** [**Quoted Passage and Text Following Footnote 42**]
subsequent creditors
generally, **13:13**
as “secured creditors,” **13:12** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 12**]
Intent of debtor, **13:19**
Judgment, and, **7:1 to 7:4**, **13:4 to 13:8**
Prejudicial effect, role of, statutory provisions: any relation to standing?, **14:20** [**Footnote 3.500**]

**STANDING TO IMPEACH —
FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES**

See also Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances
Alberta Rules of Court, r. 9.24, **1:5** [**Footnote 2**], **1:8** [**Footnote 81**]
Creditor, expanded definition of, **18:2**
Judgment, and, **7:1 to 7:4**
Licensed insolvency trustees, **18:7**
Persons with unliquidated claims, **18:3**
Secured creditors, **18:6**
Statutes, using phrases such as “void as against the creditor or creditors injured, delayed or prejudiced” (or similar statutory language), whether reference is to a matter of standing or

**STANDING TO IMPEACH —
FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES
—Cont'd**

to a substantive matter to be proved by the plaintiff subsequent to any standing issue, **14:20** [**Text Preceding and Following Footnote 3.400**]; **19:42** [**Footnote 2**]

Subsequent creditors, **18:5**

**STATUTE OF ELIZABETH AND
OTHER UNITED KINGDOM
STATUTES**

See also Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act); Legislation; Statutes, provincial, fraudulent conveyances and preferences
Assignments and Preferences Act Model (re: fraudulent conveyances), and Statute of Elizabeth
coexistence of Statute of Elizabeth and fraudulent conveyances provision in Assignments and Preferences Act Model, **1:20**; **26:3**
differences in proving fraudulent intent, **14:61**
Bills of Sale Act, **1:8** [**Footnote 77**], **14:57** [**Text Following Footnote 1**]
Brevity of, problems associated with, **1:1**
Common law and equity
see also main heading “Equity”
common law (early modern), authority of, **1:11** [**Footnote 10**]
equity, fraud in courts of, **38:1**
pre-modern English legislation, **1:12**; **38:1**
statutory provisions, reason for (certainty, etc.), **1:11** [**Footnote 10**]
Description, Statute of Elizabeth, **1:12**
Elizabethan obsession with fraudulent conveyancing, **1:8** [**Footnote 63**], **1:12** [**Footnote 1**]
Harm to creditor: must it be proven under the statute? See Index, main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
Statute of Elizabeth, and, **1:7**, **14:20**
Inception of voidable transactions law, **1:1** [**Footnote 5**], **1:12** [**Footnote 1**]

**STATUTE OF ELIZABETH AND
OTHER UNITED KINGDOM
STATUTES—Cont'd**

Interpretation, broad/liberal

see under main heading “Legislation,”
then sequentially “voidable
transactions statutes,” “liberal/
broad interpretation?”

Landlord and tenant matters, tenant’s
fraudulent removal of inventory and
landlord’s right of distraint. See gen-
erally Index, main heading
“Landlord and tenant matters”

United Kingdom legislation, **37:1 [Text
Accompanying Footnote 27],
37:5 [Text Accompanying Foot-
note 10]**

Law of Property Act, 1925, c. 20 (U.K.),
1:16 [Footnote 2]

Legacy of Statute of Elizabeth, **1:27 to
1:29, 14:40**

criminal and quasi-criminal provisions
of, relevance to provincial void-
able transactions law, **1:26 to 1:29**

forfeiture and penalty provisions,
relevance to provincial voidable
transactions law, **1:19 [Text
Accompanying Footnote 3]**

pleading both Statute of Elizabeth and
provincial Fraudulent Preferences
Act

(coexistence of provincial voidable
transaction statutes (including,
where applicable, the Statute of
Elizabeth) and related Bank-
ruptcy and Insolvency Act pro-
visions respecting frauds on
creditors), **26:3**

Alberta, **1:19 [Footnote 6]**

general, **1:8 [Text Accompanying
Footnote 62]; 1:20; 1:38**

retention, problems, **1:19 [Text
Accompanying Footnote 4, 5]**

Preferences

not covered by Statute of Elizabeth, **1:8
[Text Preceding and Following
Footnote 106], 1:15 [Footnote 2]**

common law right to challenge
fraudulent preferences created
in insolvency and bankruptcy
proceedings, **1:15 [Text Fol-
lowing Footnote 3]**

**STATUTE OF ELIZABETH AND
OTHER UNITED KINGDOM
STATUTES—Cont'd**

Preferences—Cont'd

not covered by Statute of Elizabeth, **1:8
[Text Preceding and Following
Footnote 106], 1:15 [Footnote 2]**
—Cont'd

exception: where preference was a
cloak to benefit the debtor, **1:8
[Text Following Footnote 107]**
see also main heading “Sham
transactions”

Pre-modern English legislation, **1:14**

statutes earlier than Statute of Elizabeth
dealing with aspects of fraudulent
conveyances, **1:14 [Text
Accompanying Footnotes 1 to 4],
38:1 et seq.**

Pretense, concerning the nature of debt-
or’s conveyance, **1:8 [Footnote 84]**

Short-form title sometimes, but rarely,
used instead of Statute of Elizabeth,
1571, or 13 Eliz. 1, c. 5 (1571):
Fraudulent Conveyances Act, 1571,
1:13

Statute of Elizabeth and Assignments and
Preferences Act Model

coexistence of Statute of Elizabeth and
fraudulent conveyances provision
in Assignments and Preferences
Act Model, **1:20**

(coexistence of provincial voidable
transaction statutes (including,
where applicable, the Statute of
Elizabeth) and related Bank-
ruptcy and Insolvency Act pro-
visions respecting frauds on
creditors), **26:3**

differences in proving fraudulent intent,
14:61

Statute of Elizabeth as part of provincial
law, **1:8 [Footnote 78], 26:3**

Tangible and intangible property, applica-
tion of Statute to, **9:2 to 9:17**

Whether the same transaction may be
both, or either, a fraudulent convey-
ance (under, inter alia, the Statute of
Elizabeth) and a fraudulent prefer-
ence, **1:1 [Text Preceding and Fol-
lowing Note 13]; 1:8 [Text Follow-
ing Note 118]; 1:36 to 1:41.50,
1:41.70, 1:41.75, 1:42; 38:3 [Text**

**STATUTE OF ELIZABETH AND
OTHER UNITED KINGDOM
STATUTES—Cont'd**
 Preceding Footnote 3]

**STATUTES, PROVINCIAL,
FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES
(INCLUDING THE STATUTE OF
ELIZABETH) AND
PREFERENCES**

See also main headings “Bankruptcy
(Canadian federal Bankruptcy and
Insolvency Act)”; “Fraudulent
conveyances”; “Fraudulent prefer-
ences”; “Legislation”; “Statute of
Elizabeth and other English
statutes.”

Brevity of Statute of Elizabeth, problems
associated with, **1:1**

Coexistence of provincial voidable trans-
action statutes (including, where
applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth)
and related Bankruptcy and
Insolvency Act provisions respecting
frauds on creditors, **26:3**

Interpretation, broad/liberal
 see under main heading “Legislation,”
 then sequentially “voidable
 transactions statutes,” “liberal/
 broad interpretation?”

List of statutes applicable in Canadian
provinces (including the Statute of
Elizabeth), **1:8 [Footnote 81]**

Whether the same transaction may be
both, or either, a fraudulent convey-
ance (under, inter alia, the Statute of
Elizabeth) and a fraudulent prefer-
ence, **1:36 to 1:41.75, 1:42**

**SUMMARY AND OTHER SIMILAR
PROCEEDINGS**

See Proceedings and procedure

SURETY OF DEBTOR’S DEBT

As a “creditor” under preference legisla-
tion, **18:2**

Payment to, as preference, **19:5**

SUSPICIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES

Generally, **14:41 to 14:43, 14:49**

TAX SHELTERING ARRANGEMENTS

See main heading “Estate planning”

**TENANT, FRAUDULENT REMOVAL
OF INVENTORY AND
LANDLORD’S RIGHT OF
DISTRAINT**

See Index, main heading “Landlord and
tenant matters”

Generally, **37:2**

**TERMINOLOGY (“DEBTOR,”
“CREDITOR,” ETC.)**

Generally, **1:9**

**TESTIMONY OR EVIDENCE OF
DEBTOR OR TRANSFEREE**

Failure to testify or give evidence, **14:42**
 [Text at Footnote 110]

Value of testimony or evidence of debtor
or transferee

 corroboration, **14:42 [Text**
 Accompanying Footnote 111],
 14:59 [Text Accompanying Foot-
 note 11]

 general, **14:42 [Text Accompanying**
 Footnote 111], 14:59 [Text
 Accompanying Footnote 11]

**TITLE, CHANGE OF REGISTRATION
ON SUCCESSFUL
IMPEACHMENT OF
FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE**

Generally, **7:6 [Footnote 10]**

TOOLS OF THE TRADE EXEMPTION

Generally, **9:4 to 9:12**

TORT

Actual damage or injury as necessary ele-
ment of negligence liability, **14:19**
 [Footnote 1]

Deceit, remedy for, **7:16**

Deceit, unfair competition, and interfer-
ence with profitable relations they
maintain with business associates or
employees, remedy against, **7:17**
 [Footnote 4]

Harm, need to prove, **7:16**

Intentional interference with the economic
expectations of an aggrieved judg-
ment creditor, **7:17 [Footnote 6]**

 fraudulent conveyance as, **7:17 [Foot-**
 note 6]

Knowing receipt, tort of, **1:1 [Footnote**
 18]; 1:35 [Footnotes 8, 22]

TORT—Cont'd

- Negligence or negligent misstatement, remedies under, preferable to imposition of fiduciary duties, **11:1 [Footnote 7]**
- Purpose of, distinguished from fraudulent conveyances, **1:35 [Footnote 8]**
- Remedy, where preferable to imposition of fiduciary duties, **10:1**
- Whether transferee may be liable for intentional interference with economic expectations of judgment creditor, **7:16**

TRACING PROPERTY

FRAUDULENTLY RECONVEYED BY DEBTOR'S TRANSFEE, AND PROCEEDS RESPECTING THE SALE OF SUCH PROPERTY

- See also Index, main headings: "Enforcement of money judgments"; "Pecuniary judgment directly against fraudulent transferees"; "Tort"; then "Intentional interference with the economic expectations of an aggrieved judgment creditor"
- Calculation of monetary judgment against transferee, **7:14**
- General, **11:1 et seq., 7:1 et seq.**
- Proceeds of enforcement proceedings, distribution of. See Index, main heading "Creditors' relief legislation"
- Recovery of proceeds from transferee, **11:1 et seq.**
 - fraudulent transferee as trustee of proceeds replacing transferred property, for the benefit of defrauded creditors (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **15:15.50 [Footnote 11]**
 - Ontario and British Columbia tracing provisions in fraudulent preferences statutes, applicability to proceedings under fraudulent conveyances statutes (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **11:1 [Text Following Footnote 15]**
 - tracing provisions and a liberal interpretation of preference legislation, **11:1 [Text Following Footnote 15]**

TRACING PROPERTY

FRAUDULENTLY RECONVEYED BY DEBTOR'S TRANSFEE, AND PROCEEDS RESPECTING THE SALE OF SUCH PROPERTY —Cont'd

- See also Index, main headings: "Enforcement of money judgments"; "Pecuniary judgment directly against fraudulent transferees"; "Tort"; then "Intentional interference with the economic expectations of an aggrieved judgment creditor" —Cont'd
- Recovery of proceeds from transferee, **11:1 et seq.—Cont'd**
 - Ontario and British Columbia tracing provisions in fraudulent preferences statutes, applicability to proceedings under fraudulent conveyances statutes (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **11:1 [Text Following Footnote 15]—Cont'd**
 - lowing Footnote 15]
 - Theories behind, **11:1 [Text Following Footnote 4]**

TRANSACTIONS, VOID/VOIDABLE

- See also Conveyance; Fraudulent conveyances; Fraudulent preferences
- Between relatives and associates generally, **14:47**
 - close relationships
 - inferences arising from, **14:44**
 - suspicious circumstances, and, **14:49, 15:11 24:7**
 - types of close relationships, **14:44**
 - consideration, **15:11**
 - corroboration, **14:48**
- Induced by fraud, **38:1 et seq.**
 - pressure, doctrine of, **19:37 [Footnote 24]**
- Intent to transfer debtor's interest to transferee, Ontario Fraudulent Conveyances Act, s. 4, expanded voiding provision, **1:8 [Footnote 13], 15:11 [Footnote 8]**
- Registration of transfer deed or other instrument, delay, effect on intent
 - see Registration or filing of transfer deed or other instrument

TRANSACTIONS, VOID/VOIDABLE

—Cont’d

Registration of transfer deed or other instrument, delay, effect on intent

—Cont’d

types of close relationships, **14:44**

Sham and genuine

see Sham transactions

Timing, **14:1 to 14:39**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA), **26:1 et seq., 27:1 et seq., 28:1 et seq.**

see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” at subheading “transfers at undervalue”

TRANSFERABILITY OF PRIVATE COMPANY SHARES

See Corporations, private or closely-held corporations

TRANSFeree

Advice given to, by solicitors and others, **14:7**

Benefit, transferee not receiving, **15:14 [Footnote 62]**

Due diligence, lack of, **2:2 [Footnote 1], 15:14 [Footnote 62]**

Failure to inquire, **2:2 [Footnote 1], 15:14 [Footnote 62]**

Good bargain, transferee seeking only, **15:14 [Footnote 39]**

Intent to transfer debtor’s interest to transferee, Ontario Fraudulent Conveyances Act, s. 4, expanded voiding provision, **1:8 [Footnote 13], 15:3 [Footnote 1]**

Monetary and pecuniary judgment against, **7:11 to 7:17**

Notice or knowledge of debtor’s fraudulent intent (fraudulent conveyances)

see also Concurrent intent doctrine

Assignments and Preferences Act model, **16:3**

Fraudulent Conveyances Act model, **10:1 [Footnote 2], 15:13 to 15:15**

whether notice or knowledge constitutes “dishonesty,” **1:29**

see also Deceit and dishonesty, in relation to fraud

Personal action against, **7:7 [Text at Footnote 72], 11:1 [Text at Footnote 9]**

TRANSFeree—Cont’d

Prince Edward Island, abolition of need to prove transferee’s notice or knowledge, **15:14 [Footnote 20], 19:10 [Footnote 1], 19:10 [Text Following Footnote 7]**

Remedies against

see Remedies

Remedies of transferee, after impeachment

see also main heading “Illegal transactions”

general, **7:13**

“innocent” transferee, protection of, **7:26**

law reform

Law Reform Commission of British Columbia, **7:26**

New Brunswick Department of Justice, Law Reform Division (1976), **7:24**

Ontario Law Reform Commission, **7:25**

Uniform Law Conference of Canada, **7:27 to 7:30**

Buckwold Progress Report (2009), **7:28 to 7:30**

Dunlop Feasibility Study (2004), **7:27**

preference, creditor probably restored to original position by revival of original claim against the debtor, **7:25 [Footnotes 2, 6], 21:1**

Return of property by transferee to debtor, **1:8 [Footnote 5]**

where insolvent transferee conveys in fraud of transferee’s creditors, **1:8 [Footnote 5]**

Transferee corporation controlled or organized by corporate or non-corporate debtor, **9:24, 9:25, 14:53 [Footnote 6], 15:14 [Footnote 8]**

Transferee having no control over transferred property, **15:14 [Footnote 62]**

Transfer made without transferee’s knowledge or consent

general, **15:14 [Footnote 62]**

Transferor-transferee liability — income tax, **28:5 [Footnotes 10, 11]**

Where bond, judgment, proceeding, etc., **15:13**

TROLLOPE, ANTHONY, THE WAY WE LIVE NOW

- Financial information, difficulty in acquiring and verifying in mid-19th to early-20th century, **1:1 [Footnote 11]**
- Harassment of debtors by creditors, **19:36 [Footnote 1]**
- Reference to The Way We Live Now, **1:1 [Footnote 11]**
- Sham transactions, **9:1 et seq.**
- Transferee as passive recipient of transfer, **1:1 et seq.; 15:14 [Footnote 62]**

TRUSTS

- Asset protection trust laws, “bulking up,” **1:1 [Text Accompanying Footnote 20], 9:17 [Footnote 2]**
- Badges of fraud
 - See Index, main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “Examples (non-exhaustive),” “trusts”
- Bankruptcy (Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)
 - See Index, main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then (1) sequentially “Preferences,” “elements of impeachable preference,” “insolvency of bankrupt”; and (2) sequentially “Transfers at undervalue,” “insolvency”
- Bare trustee, **9:17**
- Beneficiary’s interest, as conveyance, **9:18 to 9:33**
- Cases (general), **9:17, 13:1 [Footnote 2], 14:51, 14:59, 14:60**
- Constructive, **14:60 [Footnote 20]**
 - claim, sufficient to grant standing, **13:7 [Footnote 4], 13:10**
 - common law spouses, **13:11**
- Declaration of trust against direct transferee from debtor, **11:1**
 - where direct transferee makes no further transfer, **7:10 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6]**
 - where direct transferee subsequently transfers the fraudulently conveyed property to innocent second transferee, **1:1 et seq., 7:10**

TRUSTS—Cont’d

- Enforcement by transferor against transferee, **6:1 et seq.**
 - see also Advancement, presumption of
- Illegality and, **6:3**
- Property held in trust, **9:17, 13:1 [Footnote 2]**
- Sham trusts
 - badges of fraud, use of, to challenge sham trusts, **14:43**
 - definition, **14:43 [Text Following Footnote 6]**
 - invalidity of, **14:43**
 - purpose of (fraudulent, deceitful or illegal purpose), **14:43 [Text Following Footnote 6]**
 - settlor’s intention, **14:43 [Text Following Footnote 6]**
- Tracing property and proceeds, fraudulent transferee as trustee of proceeds replacing transferred property, for the benefit of defrauded creditors (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **15:15.50 [Footnote 11]**
- Transferee as trust beneficiary, **24:3 [Footnote 5]**
- Use of, to shield assets of “superrich”
 - generally, **1:1 [Footnote 10], 1:1 [Text Following Footnote 24], 1:1 [Footnote 32], 1:15 [Footnote 6], 9:17 [Footnote 2], 14:51 [Footnote 2]**
 - wealth and inequality, how the law creates (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers)
 - see under main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” subheading “wealth and inequality, how the law creates (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers).”

UNITED STATES LAW

- Discussion by American courts and commentators (see, inter alia, reference to Alces and Glenn in List of Works Abbreviated in Footnotes), **1:1 [Footnote 22], 1:2 [Footnote 24], 1:7 [Footnote 4], 1:8 [Footnote 5],**

UNITED STATES LAW—Cont'd

1:8 [Footnote 15], 1:8 [Footnote 16], 1:8 [Footnote 25], 1:8 [Footnote 39], 1:8 [Footnote 74], 1:8 [Footnote 103], 1:35 [Footnote 1], 1:35 [Footnote 34], 1:35 [Footnotes 37 to 38], 1:35 [Footnote 45], 1:35 [Footnote 47], 1:35 [Footnote 49], 1:35 [Footnote 69], 1:41 [Footnote 7], 1:41 [Footnote 9 to 10], 1:41 [Footnote 13], 1:43 [Footnote 4 to 5], 2:1 [Footnote 15], 2:4 [Footnote 23], 2:4 [Footnote 24], 2:4 [Footnote 25], 5:8 [Footnote 2], 5:10 [Footnote 3], 5:10 [Footnote 13 to 14], 5:10 [Footnote 17], 6:1 [Footnote 5], 7:2 [Footnote 3], 7:2 [Footnote 7], 7:2 [Footnote 10], 7:6 [Footnote 2], 7:13 [Footnote 1], 9:2 [Footnote 1 to 3], 9:5 [Footnote 5], 9:5 [Footnote 9], 9:5 [Footnote 13], 9:5 [Footnote 16], 9:5 [Footnote 18], 9:13 [Footnote 2], 9:14 [Footnote 4], 9:22 [Footnote 4], 9:22 [Footnote 5 to 12], 9:23 [Footnote 10], 10:1 [Footnote 11], 13:1 [Footnote 9], 13:5 [Footnote 7], 13:12 [Footnote 2], 14:19 [Footnote 5], 14:19 [Footnote 6], 14:25 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 18], 14:26 [Footnote 1 to 3 and Accompanying Text], 14:26 [Footnote 5], 14:31 [Footnote 1], 14:33 [Footnote 3], 14:33 [Footnote 4], 14:42 [Footnote 27], 14:53 [Footnote 6], 14:72 [Footnote 1], 14:72 [Footnote 2], 15:5 [Footnote 2], 15:6 [Footnote 18], 15:14 [Footnote 8], 17:5 [Footnote 6], 19:29 [Footnote 7], 19:34 [Footnote 7], 20:1 [Footnote 11]

National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act and Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act, 1:1 [Footnote 26], 1:2 [Footnote 1], 1:2 [Footnote 2], 1:5 [Footnote 13], 1:8 [Footnote 16], 1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:8 [Footnote 73], 1:8 [Footnote 87], 1:30 [Footnote 7], 2:4 [Footnote 24], 9:5 [Footnote 5], 9:5 [Footnote 12], 9:18 [Footnote 7], 9:18 [Footnote 18], 9:18 [Footnote 19], 9:21 [Footnote 4], 9:29 [Foot-

UNITED STATES LAW—Cont'd

note 3], 10:1 [Footnote 11], 10:1 [Footnote 13], 10:4 [Footnote 1], 10:4 [Footnote 3], 10:10 [Footnote 11], 10:11 [Footnote 1, 2], 13:4 [Footnote 3], 13:5 [Footnote 8], 13:12 [Footnote 2], 13:13 [Footnote 24], 13:22 [Footnote 10], 14:3 [Footnote 2], 14:26 [Footnote 5], 14:31 [Footnote 1], 14:42 [Footnote 1], 14:42 [Footnote 24], 14:42 [Footnote 25], 14:42 [Footnote 30], 14:42 [Footnote 31], 14:42 [Footnote 61], 14:42 [Footnote 64], 14:42 [Footnote 143], 14:52 [Footnote 23], 14:64 [Footnote 4], 14:69 [Footnote 5], 15:5 [Footnote 2], 15:8 [Footnote 3], 15:10 [Footnote 4], 15:11 [Footnote 6], 15:11 [Footnote 19], 15:14 [Footnote 2], 15:23 [Footnote 1], 19:20 [Footnote 3], 24:10 [Footnote 2], 24:10 [Footnote 3], 24:16 [Footnote 12 to 13], 30:5 [Footnote 2], 31:3 [Footnote 5], 38:5 [Footnote 10]

(see also the complete Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act in the Legislation tab of the text.)

UNJUST ENRICHMENT, DAMAGES FOR

See Damages

UNJUST PREFERENCES

See Fraudulent preferences

UNLIQUIDATED CLAIM

See Claim

VOID OR VOIDABLE, FOR FRAUDULENT TRANSACTIONS?

See also Judgment

Bankruptcy

preferences, use of term “void,” 24:2 [Footnote 3]

transfers at undervalue (s 96, BIA), (commentary on *Mercado Capital Corporation v. Qureshi*). see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” at subheading “transfers at undervalue,” 26:1 et seq., 27:1 et seq., 28:1 et seq., 29:1 et seq.

INDEX

VOID OR VOIDABLE, FOR FRAUDULENT TRANSACTIONS? —Cont’d

Provincial law

timing of determination, **14:1 to 14:39**

“void”

meaning of

generally, **6:1**

meaning void ab initio, not void-
able, **6:1 [Text Following
Footnotes 2 et seq.]**

declaration that property

“revests in,” “reverts to,”

etc. the fraudulent debtor
(that is, conveyance is void
ab initio), **7:7 [Text Pre-
ceding, Accompanying
and Following Footnote
17]**

“void ab initio” vs. “voidable,”
effect of impeachment of

VOID OR VOIDABLE, FOR FRAUDULENT TRANSACTIONS? —Cont’d

Provincial law—Cont’d

“void”—Cont’d

meaning of—Cont’d

meaning void ab initio, not void-
able, **6:1 [Text Following**

Footnotes 2 et seq.]—Cont’d
transaction, **7:5’7:7**

WAGES, PAYMENT OF

Generally, **20:11, 20:12**

WAIVER OF RIGHTS BY CREDITOR

Generally, **1:8 [Footnote 10]**

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY TO CONFESS JUDGMENT, USE OF, TO DEFRAUD CREDITORS

Generally, **8:2**

WILL, GIFTS UNDER

Generally, **9:18 to 9:33**