

# Index

## ABANDONMENT OF PROPERTY

Generally, **9:5** [Footnote 32]  
Absconding debtors  
see Absconding debtors

## ABSCONDING DEBTORS

Arrest  
Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **32:3**  
[Text Following Footnote 1]  
Ontario, under (repealed) Fraudulent  
Debtors Arrest Act, **36:1 et seq.**  
[Footnote 1]  
General, **1:5, 36:1 et seq.** [Footnote 1]  
United Kingdom, statutes of Richard II  
and Henry VII (fraudulent convey-  
ances in the context of absconding  
debtors), **1:14** [Footnote 3]  
United States Uniform Fraudulent  
Transfer Act, **14:42** [Footnote 145]

## ABUSE OF PROCESS

Generally, **5:7** [Text Following Footnote  
3]

## ACCOUNTANTS, FINANCIAL ADVISORS AND TRUSTEES, AND OTHERS, ADVICE FROM

See main heading “Advice, from solici-  
tors, accountants, financial advisors,  
trustees, and others”

## ACTIONS AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS

See also Claim; Enforcement of money  
judgments, the role of the execution  
creditor and the seizure of the debt-  
or’s property by the sheriff or other  
similar official; Judgment; Proceed-  
ings and procedure  
Bars to, **5:11**  
Challenges to plaintiff’s pleadings, **5:9**  
Class actions, **5:1 to 5:9**  
Commencement of, **5:1 to 5:9**  
Damages and other pecuniary claims, **1:8**  
[Footnote 68], **7:11 to 7:17**  
Effect of successful, **7:5 to 7:30**

## ACTIONS AND OTHER

### PROCEEDINGS—Cont’d

Personal action against transferee, **1:1**  
[Footnote 9], **7:11 to 7:17**  
Person standing in actual privity with the  
debtor, whether necessary and/or  
proper party to fraudulent transaction  
proceeding, **5:10**  
Summary and other proceedings  
general, **5:2**  
Alberta, **5:4**  
British Columbia, **5:3**  
Ontario, **5:5**  
reform, **5:6**  
use of, to defraud creditors, **8:1 et seq.**  
Transferor, whether necessary and/or  
proper party to fraudulent transaction  
proceeding, **5:10**

## ACTS OF BANKRUPTCY

See Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bank-  
ruptcy and Insolvency Act)

## ADVANCEMENT, PRESUMPTION OF

See also, Trust, enforcement against  
transferee  
Generally, **6:1 et seq.** [Footnote 7]

## ADVICE, FROM SOLICITORS, ACCOUNTANTS, FINANCIAL PLANNERS AND TRUSTEES, AND OTHERS

Accountants, financial planners and trust-  
ees, and others, **2:1 et seq.** [Foot-  
note 12]; **14:3** [Footnote 5]  
Estate planning by solicitors and non-  
solicitors, **2:4** [Text Following Foot-  
note 25]  
General, **2:4, 14:7, 27:1 et seq.**  
“Huxter” or other non-professional,  
advice by, **1:1 et seq.** [Footnote 22]  
Insolvent debtor, advice from restructur-  
ing practitioners, **2:1** [Footnote 12]  
Litigation against debtors, see main head-  
ing “Debt litigation”  
Tax evasion, asset and tax sheltering,  
money laundering, creation and

**ADVICE, FROM SOLICITORS,  
ACCOUNTANTS, FINANCIAL  
PLANNERS AND TRUSTEES, AND  
OTHERS—Cont'd**

investment of illicit funds, and other similar arrangements. See Index, main headings “Estate planning, asset and tax sheltering”; and “Solicitors”

Transferee, advice given to, **14:7**

Whether advice or other conduct may be a defence to a fraudulent transactions challenge, **2:4**

**AGENCY**

See also Solicitors

Relationship between sheriff and execution creditor, **4:7**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN DEBTOR  
AND TRANSFeree**

See Prior agreements

**ANTON PILLER ORDER**

Generally, **36:7**

**APPOINTMENT, POWERS OF**

Generally, **9:18 to 9:33**

**ARM'S LENGTH AND NON-ARM'S  
LENGTH TRANSACTIONS**

See Bankruptcy (subheadings for both preferences and reviewable transactions)

**ARREST OF DEBTOR**

Generally, **36:1 et seq. [Footnote 1]**

**ASSET PROTECTION**

See main heading “Estate planning”

**ASSOCIATES, TRANSACTIONS  
BETWEEN**

See main heading “Consideration,” then “transactions between relatives”

**ATWOOD, MARGARET, HAG-SEED  
(2016)**

“Lawyer and Ponzi scheme scammer,” as actor in prison theatrical company’s The Tempest, **38:2 [Footnote 1.500]**

**BADGES OF FRAUD**

See also main heading “Presumption of law, and fraudulent intent”

Generally, **14:41 to 14:43**

**BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont'd**

Assignments and Preferences Act model, badges of fraud under, **14:61**

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, s. 96

(transfers at undervalue): s.

96(1)(a)(iii), use of badges of fraud

to determine debtor’s intent, **14:42**

[text accompanying Footnote 37];

**26:4 [text accompanying Footnotes**

**14 to 25]; 27:7 [Footnote 21]**

see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then “transfers at undervalue (s 96, BIA), **26:1 et seq., 27:1 et seq., 28:1 et seq., 29:1 et seq.**

Bona fides/good faith, **15:3, 15:4, 15:6**

“concurrent intent” doctrine, **15:13 to 15:15**

protective provision, **15:3 [Footnote 1]**

Defence by appeal court to findings of trial judge re badges, **14:42 [Footnote 22], 14:54 [Footnote 12]**

Effect of, **14:52 to 14:56**

Evidentiary effect: conflicting and divergent jurisprudence and the practical consequences, **14:41.50**

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”

benefit retained by debtor; possession remaining in debtor, **14:3 [Footnote 3]**

see also main heading “Benefit to debtor”

generally, **9:18 [Footnote 13]; 14:3 [Footnote 3], 14:42 [Footnotes 25 to 28] (badge of fraud (2))**

good faith and retention of benefit, **15:1 et seq.**

where creditor, after seizure, allows debtor to remain in possession generally, **14:42 [Footnotes 25 to 28]**

secret understanding between debtor and transferee, where debtor remains in possession, **14:42 [Footnote 25], 14:42 [Footnote 30]**

trust, presumption of, where debtor remains in possession, **14:42 [Footnote 25], 14:42 [Footnote 33]**

**BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont’d**

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont’d

- close relationship between debtor and transferee, **1:8 [text accompanying Footnote 11]; 14:42 [Footnote 65] (badge of fraud (12))**
- concealment or removal of property
  - see main heading “Concealment or removal of property”
- consideration
  - cash transaction, **14:42 [Footnote 63] (badge of fraud (11))**
  - false statements concerning, **14:42 [Footnote 58] (badge of fraud (8))**
  - grossly inadequate, **14:42 [Footnotes 61, 62] (badge of fraud (9))**
  - harm to creditor by transaction, and generally, **14:29; 14:39**
    - Where there is no consideration passing, see also below, under subheading “examples (non-exhaustive),” “gift of all of debtor’s assets”; and main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- conveyance.
  - antedating deed or other document, **14:42 [Footnote 74]**
  - deed, instrument or other document containing self-serving, etc. language
    - affidavit or similar litigation document containing a self-serving statement of intent, **14:42 [Footnote 38]**
    - transfer deed containing a self-serving and unusual provision, **14:42 [Footnote 37]**
- documents
  - see also main headings “Prior agreements”; and “Sham transactions”
  - abolition of, or failure to keep, **14:42 [Footnote 74]**
  - concealment of, **14:42 [Footnote 75] (badge of fraud (24))**

**BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont’d**

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont’d

- conveyance.—Cont’d
- documents—Cont’d
  - destruction of, **14:42 [Footnote 74] (badge of fraud (24))**
  - made “honestly,” etc., **14:41, 14:42 [Footnote 36]**
    - see also “transfer deed contained a self-serving and unusual provision, and “affidavit or similar litigation document containing a self-serving statement of intent,” above
  - made in respect of any sort of legal action contemplated, threatened, pending or initiated against debtor, **14:42 [Footnotes 31, 32] (badge of fraud (4))**
  - made to transferee without transferee’s knowledge, **14:42 [Footnote 72] (badge of fraud (23))**
- down payment, false statement of, **14:42 [Footnote 70] (badge of fraud (14))**
- gift of all of debtor’s assets, **14:42 [Footnote 24] (badge of fraud (1))**
- general, **14:42 [Footnote 4]**
- harm to creditor by transaction, and, **14:30; 14:39**
  - see also above, under subheading “examples (non-exhaustive),” “consideration”; and main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- haste, **14:42 [Footnote 63] (badge of fraud (10))**
- insolvency of debtor, at or after transaction, **14:42 [Footnote 91] (badge of fraud (27); 14:42 [Footnote 108] and badge of fraud (27.1))**
- harm to creditor by transaction, and, **14:32; 14:39**
  - see also main headings “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent

#### BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont’d

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont’d

- insolvency of debtor, at or after transaction, **14:42 [Footnote 91] (badge of fraud (27)); 14:42 [Footnote 108] and badge of fraud (27.1))**—Cont’d
  - harm to creditor by transaction, and, **14:32; 14:39**—Cont’d
    - is also proven?”; and
    - “Insolvency/solvency of parties”
- payment to third person, not a party to conveyance, **14:41 to 14:43 (badge of fraud (13))**
- possession remaining in debtor
  - see above, “benefit retained by debtor; possession remaining in debtor”
- ranking of badges of fraud (not all of equal weight), **14:42 [Text Following Footnote 132]**
- prominence of secrecy?, **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 133]**
- red flags in real estate transactions, **2:2 (LSUC, r. 3.2 to 7, Commentary [4.1], [4.2])**
- representation by debtor that property was the debtor’s, after conveyance, **14:42 [Footnote 30, 80] (badge of fraud (26))**
- revocation of conveyance, power given to debtor, **14:41 to 14:43 (badge of fraud (7))**
- secrecy, **14:42 [Footnote 30] (badge of fraud (3)).** See also Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud,” then serially “Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions,” and “secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to conspiracy”
- associated with fraudulent conduct in medieval period, **1:1 et seq.**
- clandestine conveyances (re: landlord’s distraint) and secret conveyances (re: badges of fraud), as some evidence of

#### BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont’d

Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont’d

- secrecy, **14:42 [Footnote 30] (badge of fraud (3)).** See also Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud,” then serially “Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions,” and “secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to conspiracy”—Cont’d
  - fraud, **14:42 [Footnote 30]; 37:3 [Footnote 1]**
- collusion and contrivance and debtor-transferee conspiracy, **1:1 [Footnote 18], 1:27 [Footnote 4], 1:28 [Footnote 1], 1:29 [Footnote 1], 1:35 [Footnote 1]**
- notice of impugned transaction, failure to give, **14:1 et seq., 14:42 [Footnote 30]**
- ranking of badges of fraud, prominence of secrecy?, **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 133]**
- “suspicious” transactions, circumstances, etc.: whether a separate badge of fraud, a meaningful adjunct to a specific badge of fraud, or a general basket provision describing one or more specific fact situations
- badges of fraud
  - separate badge, where inherently “suspicious”?, **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 165]**
  - “suspicious” facts and circumstances
    - appearing alongside other badges, etc. (e.g., “typical and suspicious”), **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 160]**
  - equated with badges, **14:42 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 154]**
  - intimate link with a badge (e.g., transfer between close relatives), **14:42 [Text**

**BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont’d**

- Examples (non-exhaustive) see also “List of examples”—Cont’d
  - “suspicious” transactions, circumstances, etc.: whether a separate badge of fraud, a meaningful adjunct to a specific badge of fraud, or a general basket provision describing one or more specific fact situations—Cont’d
  - badges of fraud—Cont’d
    - “suspicious” facts and circumstances—Cont’d
      - Accompanying and Following Footnote 161]**
  - basket provision encompassing all, or virtually all, badges of fraud?, **14:42**
  - conclusions, **14:42**
  - general, **14:42**
  - jurisprudence, **14:42**
  - relationship between “suspicious” transactions, etc. and badges of fraud: intimate and confusing, **14:42**
  - terminology, often varies, **14:42**
- trusts
  - creation of, **14:42 [Footnote 33] (badge of fraud (5))**
  - invocation of, bankruptcy (transfers at undervalue) context, **14:42 [Footnote 33]**
- Good faith/bona fides, and, **15:3 [Footnote 1]**
- List of examples
  - expansive, **14:42 [Footnotes 55, 56 and Accompanying Text]**
  - limits to expansion, **14:42 [Footnote 57 and Accompanying Text]**
- Nature, scope and usage of badges of fraud: a miscellany of cases on a number of common badges, **14:42**
- Ponzi schemes, **7:6 [Footnotes 27 and 28 and Accompanying Text], 38:3**
- Preference actions
  - badges of fraud, use in, **1:8 [Footnote 62], 19:13 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]**
- Presumption of law, and fraudulent intent
  - see main heading “Presumption of law, and fraudulent intent”
- Rebutting, **14:42**

**BADGES OF FRAUD—Cont’d**

- Registration of instrument, delay, **14:42 [Text Accompanying Footnote 146]**
- Sufficiency or insufficiency and effect, of badges
  - general, **14:41, 14:44, 14:47, 14:52**
  - quantity and quality of the evidence
    - badges of fraud, **14:42 [Footnote 22]**
    - unnecessary to prove more than one badge of fraud, if one is sufficient, **14:42 [Footnote 22]**
  - passage of time, effect of, **14:56**
  - presence of badge of fraud
    - mere presence not determinative of fraud, **26:4 [Text Following Footnote 16]**
    - whether presence mandates inference of fraud by court, **26:4 [Text Following Footnote 16]**
  - proof and rebuttal of badges
    - proof: whether court can act on pleadings or needs badge to be “proven,” **14:53 [Text Following Footnote 15]**
    - rebuttal, **14:69 [Footnote 6], 15:3 [Footnote 1]**
- “Suspicious” transactions, circumstances, etc.
  - See this main heading (“BADGES OF FRAUD”), then serially
    - “Examples (non-exhaustive),”
    - “‘suspicious’ transactions, circumstances, etc.: whether a separate badge of fraud, a meaningful adjunct to a specific badge of fraud, or a general basket provision describing one or more specific fact situations”
- Term (“badges of fraud”) not always used to describe an inference of fact, **14:14 [Footnote 45]; 14:42 [Text Following Footnote 56]**
- Transfer is voluntary and transferor is solvent, **10:1 [Footnote 23], 14:42 [Footnote 94]**
- Trusts, sham, using “badges of fraud” to challenge, **14:43**
- Where no badge is present, implications for fraudulent conveyances action, **14:69 [Footnote 6]**

**BANK DEPOSITS, FREEZING OF**  
(BANK ACT, S.C. 1991, C. 46, S. 437(2))

Generally, **36:1** [Footnote 1]

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)**

See also Legislation; Statute of Elizabeth and other English statutes; Statutes, provincial, fraudulent conveyances and preferences

Absconding bankrupt, arrest of, **32:3**  
[Text Following Footnote 1]

Acts of

generally, **10:7** [Footnote 5], **23:1**  
proving, **5:12**

Arrest of bankrupt

absconding bankrupt, **32:3** [Text Following Footnote 1]

removal or destruction of property and information, **32:3** [Text Following Footnote 1]

Bankrupt

may be added as proper defendant, **1:25** [Footnote 8]

no need to add as defendant, **1:25**  
[Footnote 7]

Bankruptcy and provincial voidable transactions provisions

coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors, **1:24, 1:25; 22:5, 22:6**

harmonization of bankruptcy and provincial law, proposed, **1:8**  
[Footnote 85]

Claim, requirement to prove, **22:4** [Footnote 1.500]

Consideration

abolition of debt, calls for, **1:1** [Text preceding and following note 11.175]

forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal to collect, as consideration

See Index, main heading  
“Consideration,” then serially  
“Debts of debtor,” “forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal to collect,” “as consideration and

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**

Consideration—Cont’d

forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal to collect, as consideration  
—Cont’d  
as a fraudulent conveyance”

general

See also Index, main heading  
“Consideration”

See Index, this main heading,  
subheading “Consideration”

nominal

See Index, main heading  
“Consideration,” then “nomi-  
nal consideration, meaning of”

Consideration, nominal, meaning of,  
**27:13**

Creditor

court order permitting creditor to pursue remedy where trustee refuses (BIA, s. 38), **32:4**

defined, **24:1, 24:14**

Under provincial law, see “Creditors or others”

Debts, meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA), **22:4**  
[Footnote 1.500]

Discharge of bankrupt, refusal of in relation to bankrupt’s fraud, **31:6**

Disposition of property

bankruptcy offences (s. 198)  
concealment not a “disposition,”  
**31:5** [Text Accompanying  
Footnote 7]

general, **31:3**

transfers at undervalue (s. 96), **26:4**  
[Text Following Footnote 6]

Enforcement

see “remedies and enforcement” under  
this main heading

Examination of bankrupt and others

attendance at examination

compelling, **23:3**

failure to attend, **23:3**

creditors and other parties, examination  
by, **23:4**

introduction, **23:1**

licensed insolvency trustee, **23:3**

Official Receiver, examination by, **23:2**



**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

- Examination of bankrupt and others  
—Cont'd  
questions, requirement to answer, **23:1, 23:3**
- Good faith  
bankruptcy offences (s. 198(1)(g)),  
**31:1 et seq.**  
settlements, **27:6 [Footnote 6]**
- Initial bankruptcy event, defined, **24:4, 27:15, 28:9, 30:2**
- Insolvency
  - (1) See this main heading, then (1) sequentially “Preferences,” “elements of impeachable preference,” “insolvency of bankrupt”; and (2) sequentially “Transfers at undervalue,” “insolvency”
  - (2) For Canadian provincial law, see main heading “Insolvency/solvency of parties,” subheading “Canada, provincial law”; and main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “Examples (non-exhaustive),” “insolvency of debtor, at or after transaction”
  - (3) For American law, see main heading “Insolvency/solvency of parties,” subheading “United States”
- badges of fraud and insolvency, similar to s. 2, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **14:42 [Footnotes 24, 91, 108]**
- co-existence and harmonization of provincial and federal law re voidable transactions, **1:8, 1:24**
- Interpretation of Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act  
general, **22:3**  
use of provincial legislation to interpret, **31:3 [Text Accompanying Footnote 10]**
- Liabilities, meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA), **22:4 [Footnote 1.500]**
- Licensed insolvency trustee  
bankrupt, no need to add as defendant, **1:25 [Footnote 6]**  
bankrupt’s interest, trustee representing, **1:25 [Footnote 6]**  
books, documents, papers and other property to be produced, **23:3**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

- Licensed insolvency trustee—Cont'd  
examination of bankrupt and others  
see Bankruptcy (subheading examination of bankrupt and others)
- remedies and enforcement  
see also this main heading, then sequentially “transfers at undervalue,” “court orders”  
court order permitting creditor to pursue remedy where trustee refuses, **32:4**  
enforcement, **32:1**  
provincial remedies, right to assert, **1:24, 1:25, 23:1 et seq., 32:2.**  
**For a consideration of the coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors**  
see sec, **26:3**
- transfers at undervalue (and former reviewable transactions), trustee’s opinion of value of property, **28:12**
- Limitation periods, application of provincial legislation, **5:23, 24:5, 42:46**
- Offences  
generally, **31:1 et seq.**  
acts of bankruptcy and the institution of a voidable transactions proceeding, **5:12**  
bankruptcy offences and Criminal Code offences, basic difference between, **30:2; 31:1 [Text Following Footnote 4]**  
concealment, removal, destruction, etc. of property, **31:5**  
concealment not a “disposition,” **31:5 [Text Accompanying Footnote 7]**  
fraudulent disposition of property, **31:3, 31:4**
- Payment of dividends/purchase or redemption of shares  
generally, **30:2, 35:1 [Footnote 12]**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Payment of dividends/purchase or redemption of shares—Cont'd  
exception, **30:5**  
initial bankruptcy event, and, **30:2**  
remedy, **30:4**  
reverse onus, **30:3**  
right to director to seek recovery, **30:5**  
statutory provisions, **30:1**  
time periods, relevant, **30:2**

Preferences  
arm's length and non-arm's length transactions  
control, and, **28:8** [Text Following Footnote 21]  
directors and the corporations they serve, **24:6**  
general, **24:2, 24:6**

Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act proceedings (s. 36.1), application of ss. 95 and 96 (Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act) in, **1:8** [Footnote 8], **9:23** [Footnote 7], **14:42** [Footnote 31, 61], **24:2** [Footnote 4], **28:8** [Footnote 35], **28:8** [Text Following Footnote 36]

control  
arm's length and non-arm's length transactions, and, **28:8** [Text Following Footnote 21]  
meaning of, **24:7**

date of bankruptcy, **24:4**

deterrence: preference provisions deter creditors from dismembering bankrupt's estate before bankruptcy and thereby precluding equality of distribution of estate, **24:3** [Footnote 5]

diligent creditors and pressuring creditors, **24:23**

elements of impeachable preference  
effect of non-arm's length transactions, **24:17**  
elements, listed, **24:8**  
federal and provincial legislation, overlapping and conflicting, **1:24, 1:25**  
in favour of creditor, **24:14**  
insolvency of bankrupt  
balance sheet test, **24:13**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Preferences—Cont'd  
elements of impeachable preference—Cont'd  
insolvency of bankrupt—Cont'd  
exempt property, **27:10, 27:11**  
"obligation"  
ceasing to meet current obligations as they become due, **24:12**  
choosing not to meet obligations as they become due, whether person is "insolvent" where assets exceed liabilities, **10:1** [Footnote 18]  
inability to meet obligations as they become due, **24:11**  
meaning of, **24:13**  
statutory definition, **24:10**

intent, relationship of effect of conveyance on issue of intent, **24:17** [Text Accompanying Footnotes 4 and 5]

intention to prefer  
general, **24:16**  
test (objective), **24:16** [Text Accompanying Footnote 6], **24:17** [Text Following Footnote 2]

payment by debtor to creditor, **24:8** [Footnote 1]

payment by third party to creditor, **24:8** [Footnote 1]  
payment for work or services to be performed, **24:15** [Footnote 5]

presumption, statutory  
generally, **24:17**  
exemption from, for margin deposit made by clearing member, **24:2**

rebuttal of  
continuing in business, **24:20**  
diligent creditor, **24:17, 24:23**  
generally, **19:36 to 19:38**  
ordinary course of business, **24:19**  
prior agreement to grant security, **24:21**



## INDEX

### **BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

- Preferences—Cont'd
  - elements of impeachable preference—Cont'd
  - presumption, statutory—Cont'd
  - rebuttal of—Cont'd
  - security for current advances, **24:22**
  - trust, where transferee is trust beneficiary, **24:3 [Footnote 5]**
- transactions subject to attack
  - consent to judgment, **24:9**
  - consolidation of accounts by bank, **24:9**
  - default judgment, **24:9**
  - landlord's distress, **24:9**
  - payment to secured creditor, **24:9**
  - property of bankrupt, **24:9**
  - repossession of goods, **24:9**
  - trust, where transferee is trust beneficiary, **24:3 [Footnote 5]**
- initial bankruptcy event, **24:2**
- introduction, **24:1**
- landlord's completion of distraint against fraudulently removed property by tenant, whether a judicial proceeding under s. 95, **24:9 [Text Accompanying Footnote 22]**
- other jurisdictions, relevance of laws of, **24:17 [Footnote 18]**
- principle of equality of distribution, **24:3**
- related persons, **24:7**
- relevant date of transaction, **24:20 [Footnote 13]**
- statutory provisions, **24:2**
- time periods, relevant, **24:2,(e)**
- timing, **24:4**
- "void," use of term, **24:2 [Footnote 3]**
  - see also Void/voidable
- Proper forum and procedure to collect on money judgment, determination of (bankruptcy context), **1:24 (Text Following Footnote 7)**
- Provincial legislation
  - pleading both provincial and federal statutes, **27:1 [Footnote 2]**

### **BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

- Provincial legislation—Cont'd
  - use of to interpret bankruptcy legislation, **31:3 [Text Accompanying Footnote 10]**
- Purposes of bankruptcy legislation
  - equality among creditors (preferences), **24:3**
  - general, **23:1**
- Remedies and enforcement
  - see also this main heading, then "transfers at undervalue"
  - generally, **32:1**
  - court order permitting creditor to pursue remedy where trustee refuses, **32:4**
  - subordination of claims, **32:5**
  - trustee's remedies and enforcement tools, **32:3**
  - trustee's use of provincial legislation, **32:2**
- Reviewable transactions (former s. 100, BIA)
  - arm's length and non-arm's length transactions, **28:5 [Footnote 9]**
  - conspicuous difference in value, **28:11**
  - defendants, **28:14**
    - innocent defendant, **28:14**
    - knowledge of defendant, **28:14**
  - deficiencies and strengths in 1999 remedies, **27:1 et seq.**
  - deficiencies of pre-1999 remedies, **27:1 et seq.**
  - discretion of court, **28:15 to 28:18**
  - discretion to conduct an inquiry, **28:16**
  - discretion to grant relief, **28:17, 28:18**
  - introduction, **28:1**
  - judgment for difference in value, **28:13**
  - licensed insolvency trustee's opinion of value, **28:12**
  - prejudgment interest, **28:14**
  - privity to reviewable transaction
    - general, **28:14**
    - piercing the corporate veil and privacy, **28:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 5]**
  - "reviewable transaction" defined and interpreted: related persons (BIA, former section 4) and the legal/

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Reviewable transactions (former s. 100, BIA)—Cont'd  
     constitutional effect of the deeming provision (BIA, former section 3(3)); control; related groups and related corporations; arm's length and non-arm's length transactions; persons), **28:5 to 28:9**  
     statutory provisions, **28:3 to 28:19**  
     time periods, relevant, **28:10**  
     transaction between bankrupt and neighbour, **28:8**  
     transfers at undervalue (BIA, s. 96), relevance of former reviewable transactions provisions, **28:1 et seq.**  
     within one year, **28:10**  
 Settlements (former s. 91, BIA), **27:1 et seq.**  
     deficiencies of remedy, **27:4**  
     defined  
         generally, **27:6, 27:9, 27:14**  
         intention, **27:7**  
         judicial approaches, **27:10**  
         new statutory definition, **27:12, 27:13**  
         purposive approach, **27:8**  
     designation of beneficiary or RRIF; transfer from RRSP to RRIF, **27:11**  
     exempt property  
         see also main heading "Property," under "exempt (including otherwise immune) property"  
         conversion of non-exempt property to exempt property prior to bankruptcy, **27:10, 27:11**  
     scope of, compared to "dispositions," **7:7 [Footnote 82], 9:18 [Footnote 5]**  
     settlement on bankrupt himself or herself, **27:11 [Footnote 4]**  
     time periods, relevant, **27:15**  
     transfers at undervalue (BIA, s. 96), relevance of former settlement provisions, **27:1 et seq.**  
     United Kingdom Insolvency Act, **27:12 [Footnote 8], 27:13 [Footnote 36]**  
     where no transfer of property, **27:14**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Statutory definition of, **24:4, 27:15, 30:2**  
 Statutory interpretation  
     see Legislation (under "statutory interpretation")  
 Subordination of claims (fraudulent creditors), **32:5**  
 Tracing proceeds, **11:1 et seq.**  
 Transferee, may be added as proper defendant, **1:1 et seq.**  
 Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)  
     generally, **29:1 et seq.**  
     arm's length and non-arm's length transfers  
         approach to defining arm's length/non-arm's length transfers (Income Tax Act context), **28:8 [Text Following Footnote 12]**  
         relationship between the parties  
             bonds of dependence, control or influence between the parties, **28:8 [Text Following Footnote 5]**  
             normal commercial dealings (indicia including bonds of dependence, control or influence in terms of the parties' relationship), indicia of, **28:8 [Text Following Footnote 29]**  
         effect of BIA, s. 4 ("related" persons), **28:5 to 28:7**  
         control (re: "related" persons), **28:6**  
     family members, non-arm's length transfers between, test for attacking a non-arm's length transfer at undervalue, **26:4 [Text Following Footnote 27]**  
     economic self-interest of the transferor is, or is likely to be, displaced by non-economic considerations, **28:8 [Text Following Footnote 29]**  
     no incentive for the transferor to maximize the consideration, **28:8**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**  
 Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)  
   —Cont’d  
   arm’s length and non-arm’s length  
   transfers—Cont’d  
   approach to defining arm’s length/  
   non-arm’s length transfers  
   (Income Tax Act context), **28:8**  
   [**Text Following Footnote 12**]  
   —Cont’d  
   relationship between the parties  
   —Cont’d  
     [**Text Following Foot-  
     note 29**]  
   no such indicia: inference  
   that the parties were not  
   dealing at arm’s-length,  
   **28:8** [**Text Following  
   Footnote 29**]  
 consideration  
   fair market value  
   court, role of. See Index, this  
   subheading, then “court,  
   role of”  
   determination of, **26:4** [**Text  
   Following Footnote 36**]  
   “conspicuous” differ-  
   ence in value of  
   consideration received  
   by debtor and the fair  
   market value of the  
   consideration given by  
   the debtor, **28:11.70**  
   trustee, opinion of trustee,  
   **26:4** [**Text Following  
   Footnote 36**], **28:12**  
   court, role of, **28:12**  
   Uniform Law Conference of  
   Canada, Uniform  
   Reviewable Transac-  
   tions Act, **26.4** [**Text  
   Following Footnote 40**]  
 generally, **28:11 to 28:13**  
 time for determining amount (date  
 of transfer; subsequent  
 consideration not relevant),  
**26:4** [**Footnote 39.500**],  
**28:11.30**  
 what constitutes, **28:11.50**  
 where consideration received by  
 debtor is “conspicuously”

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**  
 Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)  
   —Cont’d  
   arm’s length and non-arm’s length  
   transfers—Cont’d  
   consideration—Cont’d  
     less than fair market value of  
     the consideration given by  
     debtor (BIA, s.2), definition  
     of “transfer at undervalue,”  
     **26:4** [**Text Following Foot-  
     note 34**]  
 court, role of, **28:12**  
 discretion, whether it exists and, if  
   so, its nature and scope  
   general, **28:15**  
 inquiry, to conduct, **28:16**  
 relief  
   against whom may relief be  
   obtained, **28:14**  
   does court have any discre-  
   tion to grant relief, **26:4**  
   [**Text Preceding and  
   Following Footnote  
   29**], **28:17** and **28:18**,  
   **29:1 to 29:6** alternative  
   interpretations of  
   “may” (BIA, s. 96(1),  
   **26:4** [**Text Preceding  
   and Following Foot-  
   note 29**], **28:17** [**Text  
   Following Footnote 16**]  
   guidance for court in  
   exercising discretion,  
   **26:4** [**Text Following  
   Footnote 31**], **28:18**  
   where statutory ambi-  
   guity exists, **28:17**  
   [**Text Following Foot-  
   note 16**] a new  
   principle?, **28:18**  
 fair market value of the  
   consideration, determination  
   of, role of the court, **28:12**  
 void as against ... the trustee” (s.  
 96(1)), interpretation,  
**28:13.50 et seq.**  
 See also this subheading, then  
 serially “pre-2009 bank-  
 ruptcy provisions and  
 transfers at undervalue,”

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)  
—Cont’d  
arm’s length and non-arm’s length  
transfers—Cont’d  
court, role of, **28:12**—Cont’d  
void as against ... the trustee” (s.  
96(1)), interpretation,  
**28:13.50 et seq.**—Cont’d  
then both “reviewable  
transactions provisions  
(former s. 100),” and  
“settlement provisions  
(former s. 91)  
where consideration received by  
debtor is “conspicuously”  
less than fair market value of  
the consideration given by  
debtor, **28:11 to 28:13**  
overview (reviewable transactions  
under former Act (and. In part,  
BIA, s. 96))  
“related persons” (former Act)  
arm’s length and non-arm’s  
length transfers, timing,  
and consideration, **26:4**  
**[Text Following Footnote**  
**11], 27:5 to 27:14, 28:3 et**  
**seq.**  
effect of s. 4 (“related”  
persons), **28:5 to 28:7**  
control (re: “related”  
persons), **28:6**  
badges of fraud, use of, to determine  
debtor’s intent, **26:4 [Text**  
**Accompanying Footnote 14],**  
**27:7 [Footnote 21]**  
see also this subheading, under  
“Mercado Capital Corporation  
v Qureshi”  
then sequentially “appellant’s  
Factum Prepared for Its  
Appeal to the Court of  
Appeal,” “badge of fraud  
alleged: transfer deed  
contained a self-serving and  
unusual provision”  
bankruptcy provisions, current and for-  
mer, **26:2**  
Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act  
proceedings (s. 36.1), application

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)  
—Cont’d  
of ss. 95 and 96 (Bankruptcy and  
Insolvency Act) in, **1:8 [Footnote**  
**8], 9:23 [Footnote 7], 14:42**  
**[Footnote 31, 61] 24:2 [Footnote**  
**4], 28:8 [Footnote 35], 28:8 [Text**  
**Following Footnote 36]**  
consideration. See this subheading,  
then serially “arm’s length and  
non-arm’s length transfers,”  
“consideration,” “‘conspicuous’  
difference in value of  
consideration received by debtor  
and the fair market value of the  
consideration given by the debtor  
“conspicuously,” meaning of. See this  
subheading, then serially “arm’s  
length and non-arm’s length  
transfers,” “consideration,” “fair  
market value,” “determination  
of”  
court, role of. See this subheading, then  
serially “arm’s length and non-  
arm’s length transfers,” “court,  
role of”  
deficiencies and strengths in 1999  
reform remedies, **27:1 et seq.**  
deficiencies of pre-2009 remedies (ss.  
91, 100), **27:4**  
“disposition” of property, **26:4 [Text**  
**Following Footnote 4]**  
see also this subheading, under  
“Mercado Capital Corporation  
v  
Qureshi”  
experts, financial statements and  
appraisals: use by court to  
determine fair market value, **28:11**  
**[Text Accompanying and Fol-**  
**lowing Notes for Footnotes 6, 12,**  
**22, 23, 24]**  
absence of experts or documentary  
evidence, **28:11 [Footnotes**  
**0.500, 22.500]**  
court may determine whether an  
expert is qualified to assess fair  
market value of transferred  
asset, **28:11 [Footnote 0.500]**  
Income Tax Act, relevance of, **28:8**

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**

- Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)
  - Cont’d
    - [Text Following Footnotes 12, 29]
- insolvency
  - definition of “insolvent person,” **26:2**
  - determining on “cash flow” or “balance sheet” basis, **10:3 [Footnote 3], 24:11 [Footnote 1]**
- introduction to s. 96, **26:1 et seq., esp.**
- Mercado Capital Corporation v. Qureshi, commentary on, **29:1 et seq.**
- appellant’s Factum Prepared for Its Appeal to the Court of Appeal, **27:4**
- badge of fraud alleged: transfer deed contained a self-serving and unusual provision, **14:42 [Text Accompanying Footnote 37]**
- see also this subheading, under “badges of fraud, use of, to prove intent”
- “disposition” of property.
  - not defined in s. 96, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **9:18 [Footnote 4]**
  - timing of “disposition”
    - date of the agreement of purchase and sale vs. date of execution of transfer deed or similar instrument, **9:27 [Footnote 4]**
    - general, **27:15; 28:10**
    - use of term in many Canadian statutes, **9:18 [Footnote 4]**
- Ontario Court of Appeal decision, **29:5**
- critique of, **29:6**
- Ontario Superior Court of Justice decision, **29:3**
- test for attacking a non-arm’s length transfer at undervalue, **26:4 [Text Following Footnote 27]**
- (mis)use of former s. 100, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act,

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**

- Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)
  - Cont’d
- Mercado Capital Corporation v. Qureshi, commentary on, **29:1 et seq.—Cont’d**
  - Appellant’s Factum to Court of Appeal, **29:4**
- [Note: A number of the references under this subheading refer to provisions in the former reviewable transactions and settlement legislation (BIA, ss. 100 and 91, respectively) relevant to an interpretation of current s. 96. see generally this main heading, subheadings “reviewable transactions” and “settlements.”], **26:1 et seq., 27:1 et seq., 28:1 et seq., 29:1 et seq.**
- pre-2009 bankruptcy provisions, and transfers at undervalue
  - see Note at this subheading, above reviewable transactions provisions (former s. 100)
  - relevance to transfers at undervalue
    - general, **28:1 et seq.**
    - interpretation of s. 96(1) phrase “void as against ... the trustee,” **28:13.50, 28:13.70**
  - settlement provisions (former s. 91)
    - relevance to transfers at undervalue
      - general, **28:1 et seq.**
      - interpretation of s. 96(1) phrase “void as against ... the trustee,” **28:13.50, 28:13.90**
- related and non-related persons
  - see also this main heading, subheading “‘reviewable transaction’ defined and interpreted”
  - BIA, effect of s. 4 (“related” persons), **28:5, 28:6, 28:7**
  - non-related persons, **28:8 [Text Following Footnote 13]**
- remedies
  - court, remedies available to Mercado Capital Corporation v.

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)

—Cont'd

remedies—Cont'd

court, remedies available to—Cont'd

Qureshi, appellant's Factum  
Prepared for Its Appeal to the  
Court of Appeal, **29:4 [Text  
Following Footnote 11]**

see also generally this main  
heading, subheading  
"Mercado Capital  
Corporation v  
Qureshi, commentary on,  
**29:1 et seq.**

orders, types of, **28:14**

court, role of (including whether  
discretion exists and, if so, its  
nature and scope). See Index,  
this subheading, then serially  
"arm's length and non-arm's  
length transfers," "court, role  
of"

licensed insolvency trustee

see also this main heading, then  
sequentially "licensed  
insolvency trustee," "reme-  
dies and enforcement"

remedies available to, **27:16**

suing to recover shortfall in value,  
**26:4 [Text Accompanying  
Footnote 12], 27:4, 27:16**

trustee's opinion concerning  
value, **28:12**

voiding the transfer, **26:4 [Text  
Accompanying Footnote  
12], 27:16**

same effect as under provincial  
fraudulent conveyances  
law: "void" means void-  
able, **27:16 [Text  
Accompanying Footnote  
1]**

see also main heading "Void/  
voidable"

persons entitled, **28:14**

persons who are "privy" to the  
transfer, liability of (BIA, s.  
96(3)), **28:1 et seq.**

"reviewable transaction" defined and  
interpreted (related persons (BIA,

**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont'd**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA)

—Cont'd

former section 4) and the legal/  
constitutional effect of the deem-  
ing provision (BIA, former section  
3(3); control; related groups and  
related corporations; arm's length  
and non-arm's length transactions;  
persons), **28:5 to 28:9**

transferee, may be added as proper  
defendant, **1:25 [Text Following  
Footnote 8]**

trustee in bankruptcy

see this main heading, under  
"licensed insolvency trustee"

value of transferred property

appraisals sought by trustee, **26:4  
[Text Following Footnote 9]**

judgment for difference in value,  
**28:12**

trustee's opinion concerning value,  
**28:12**

void as against ... the trustee" (s.

96(1)), interpretation, **28:13.50 et  
seq.**

See also this subheading, then seri-  
ally "pre-2009 bankruptcy pro-  
visions and transfers at under-  
value," then both "reviewable  
transactions provisions (former  
s. 100)," and "settlement provi-  
sions (former s. 91)

Trust argument, invoked to legitimize  
debtor's transfer of property, **14:42  
[Footnote 33]**

Trustee in bankruptcy

see this main heading, under "licensed  
insolvency trustee"

Void/voidable

see Void/voidable

Wealth and inequality, how the law cre-  
ates them (asset protection, trusts,  
corporate status, bankruptcy law, and  
the role of lawyers)

see also main heading "Corporations,"  
subheading "corporate status and  
incorporation; asset protection;  
and corporate law: critique (in  
terms of creation of wealth and its  
distribution)"



**BANKRUPTCY (CANADIAN  
FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT)—Cont’d**

Wealth and inequality, how the law creates them (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers)—Cont’d

Pistor, Katharina, *The Code of Capital: How the Law Creates Wealth and Inequality* (2019), reviewed of by Adam Tooze: “How ‘Big Law’ Makes Big Money,” *The New York Review of Books* (February 13, 2020), **9:24 [Footnote 1]**, **34:1 et seq.**

**BENEFICIARY**

Creditors as, directors’ duty of care, **34:1 et seq.**

Designation of, former bankruptcy settlement provisions (s. 91, BIA), **27:11**

Designation of, of life insurance policy, **9:18 to 9:33**

Exigibility of interests in land, **9:22 [Footnote 53]**

Trust interest, as conveyance, **9:18 to 9:33**

**BENEFIT TO DEBTOR**

See main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “examples (non-exhaustive)” and “benefit retained by debtor; possession remaining in debtor”; main heading “Fraudulent conveyances,” subheading “benefit, retention by debtor, no need to show, for fraudulent conveyance”; and main heading “Sham transactions”

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”

Fiction

Cohen, *The Netanyahus* [:] An Account of a Minor and Ultimately Even Negligible Episode in the History of a Very Famous Family (2021), **1:1**

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

—Cont’d

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”  
—Cont’d

Fiction—Cont’d

**[Text Following Footnote  
11.180]**

Masters, *Spoon River Anthology* (1915), **2:2 [Footnote 0.500]**, **22:2 [Footnote 21]**

Ross, *Elizabethan Literature and the Law of Fraudulent Conveyance: Sidney, Spenser, and Shakespeare*, **1:8 [Footnote 63]**; **1:12 [Footnote 1]**

Snow, *Time of Hope* (1949), **22:2 [Footnote 21]**

Towles, *The Lincoln Highway* (2021), **1:35 [Footnote 50.500]**

Non-fiction (including corporate wrongdoing, fraud and fraudulent transactions, Ponzi schemes, and related corporate and legal matters).

Abrahamian, “The Right to Belong,” *The New York Review of Books* (December 17, 2020) 49, a review of Siegelberg, *Statelessness: A Modern History* (2020), and Kochenov, *Citizenship* (2019), **1:3 [Footnote 12.500]**

Balleisen, *Fraud: An American History from Barnum to Madoff* (2018), **1:1 [Footnote 1]**; **38:4 [Footnote 0.50]**; **38:4 [Footnote 7]**

Bazon, “Barrett Proves To Be a Careful Strategist,” *The New York Times* (October 31, 2021), **SR4, 1:41.85 [Footnote 3]**

Bernstein, “Loopholes for Kleptocrats,” *The New York Review of Books* (December 2, 2021) 23, reviewing Michel, *American Kleptocracy: How the US Created the World’s*

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

—Cont’d

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”  
—Cont’d

Non-fiction (including corporate wrongdoing, fraud and fraudulent transactions, Ponzi schemes, and related corporate and legal matters).—Cont’d

Greatest Money Laundering Scheme in History (2021), and Collins, *The Wealth Hoarders: How Billionaires Pay Millions to Hide Trillions* (2021), **1:1 [Footnote 27.500]**

Blight, “The Silent Type,” *The New York Review of Books* (May 24, 2018) 50, **38:4 [Text Following Footnote 2]**

Bustillos, “Crypto’s Crashes Won’t Kill Blockchain,” *The New York Times* (June 19, 2022) 2, **38:2 [Footnote 1.500]**

Chernow, Grant, **38:4 [Text Accompanying Footnote 2]**

Chernow, *The Death of the Banker [:] The Decline and Fall of the Great Financial Dynasties and the Triumph of the Small Investor* (1997), **1:1 [Footnotes 6, 11]**

Chernow, *The Warburgs [:] The Twentieth Century Odyssey of a Remarkable Jewish Family* (1993), **1:1 [Footnote 6]**

Churchill, *The Incredible Ivar Kreuger* (1957), Churchill, **38:4 [Footnote 6]**

Coffee, *Corporate Crime and Punishment: The Crisis of Underenforcement* (2020), Reviewed in Rakoff, “Getting Away With Murder,” *The New York Review of Books* (December 3, 2020) 51, **1:1 [Footnote 6]**

Cohen, “States in a Lucrative Fight

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

—Cont’d

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”  
—Cont’d

Non-fiction (including corporate wrongdoing, fraud and fraudulent transactions, Ponzi schemes, and related corporate and legal matters).—Cont’d

to Be a Haven for the Wealth of the 1 Percent,” *The New York Times* (August 9, 2016) B1, **1:1 [Text; 1:1 [Text Following Footnote 20]; 9:17 [Footnote 2]; 14:51 [Footnote 2]**

Collins, *The Wealth Hoarders: How Billionaires Pay Millions to Hide Trillions* (2021), reviewed by Bernstein, “Loopholes for Kleptocrats,” *The New York Review of Books* (December 2, 2021) 23, **1:1 [Footnote 27.500]**

Farrokhnia, R.A., quoted in Griffith and Yaffe-Bellany, “Bitcoin Plummets Below \$ 20,000, Caught in the Undertow of a Market Meltdown,” *The New York Times* (June 19, 2022) 19, **38:2 [Footnote 1.500]**

Feldman, “The Battle Over Scalia’s Legacy,” *The New York Review of Books* (December 17, 2020) 67, a review of Sutton et al.(eds.), *The Essential Scalia: On the Constitution, the Courts, and the Rule of Law* (2020), **1:42 [Footnote 11]**

Feldman, “Why Precedent Won’t Protect 8216Roe’,” *The New York Review of Books* (July 2, 2020) 29, **1:41.85 [Footnote 20]**

Ferguson, *High Financier [:] The Lives and Time of Siegmund Warburg* (2010), **1:1 [Footnote 6]**

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

**—Cont'd**

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”  
—Cont'd

Non-fiction (including corporate wrongdoing, fraud and fraudulent transactions, Ponzi schemes, and related corporate and legal matters).—Cont'd

Fine, “How Rosalie Abella’s personal history shaped a legal legacy,” *The Globe and Mail* (Saturday, June 26, 2021) A15, **1:41.85 [Footnote 20]**

Fine, “Supreme Court candidate highlights significance of his nomination,” *The Globe and Mail* (Toronto: Wednesday, June 23, 2021) A8, **1:41.85 [Footnote 20]**

Fine, “Trudeau appoints Charter expert to Supreme Court,” *The Globe and Mail* (Toronto: Friday, June 18) A1, **1:41.85 [Footnote 20]**

Fishman, “House of Cards,” *The New York Times Book Review* (March 29, 2020) 13, **38:4 [Footnote 7]**

Franklin, Benjamin, *Poor Richard Improved: Being an Almanack ... For the Year of Our Lord 1758*, appearing in Franklin’s *The Autobiography of Benjamin Franklin* (a.k.a. *Memoirs*) (1791 (French), 1793 (English))

Garrett, “A Bubble That Broke the World,” in Formaini (ed.), *The Great Depression and New Deal Monetary Policy* (1980), at 22, quoted in Liebmann, *The Fall of the House of Speyer* [:] *The Story of a Banking Dynasty* (2015), at 141, **38:2 [Text Following Footnote 8]**

Henriques, *The Wizard of Lies*:

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

**—Cont'd**

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”  
—Cont'd

Non-fiction (including corporate wrongdoing, fraud and fraudulent transactions, Ponzi schemes, and related corporate and legal matters).—Cont'd

Bernie Madoff and the Death of Trust (reprinted 2012), **38:4 [Footnote 7]**

Jobb, *Empire of Deception* (2015), **38:4 [Text Following Foot]**

Keefe, *Empire of Pain: The Secret History of the Sackler Dynasty*, **1:1 [Footnote 1]**

Keefe, “How Did the Sacklers Pull This Off?,” *The New York Times* (July 18, 2021) SR2, **1:1 [Footnote 1]**

Klaus, *Forging Capitalism: Rogues, Swindlers, Frauds and the Rise of Modern Finance* (2015), reviewed (with a nod to George Hudson) in Pemble, “Phantom Gold,” *London Review of Books* (January 7, 2016) 25, at 25. See also “George Hudson and the 1840s Railway Mania,” <http://som.yale.edu/our-approach/teaching-method/case-research-and-development/cases-directory/george-hudson-and-1840s>, **38:4 [Footnote 4]**

Kroll-Zaid, “The Revival of Church Sanctuary,” *The New York Review of Books* (November 19, 2020) 52, **1:4 [Footnote 3]; 1:5 [Footnote 1]**

Kuttner, “Dividends of a Just Economy,” *The New York Review of Books* (April 29, 2021) 15, **1:1 [Footnotes 11.100, 11.150]; 1:41.85 [Text Following Footnote 12]**

Liebmann, *The Fall of the House of*

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

—Cont’d

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”

—Cont’d

Non-fiction (including corporate wrongdoing, fraud and fraudulent transactions, Ponzi schemes, and related corporate and legal matters).—Cont’d

Speyer [:] *The Story of a Banking Dynasty* (2015), **38:2 [Footnote 8]**

Liedtke and Mattise, “Files reveal financial secrets of world’s elite,” *The Globe and Mail* (Toronto) (October 4, 2021) A3, **2:6 [Footnote 2]**

Lustbader, “Making Room for Forgiveness,” *The New York Review of Books* (November 18, 2021) 23, **22:2 [Footnote 8.500]**

Mandel, *The Glass Hotel* (2020, reviewed in Fishman, “House of Cards,” *The New York Times Book Review* (March 29, 2020), at 13, **38:4 [Footnote 7]**

Markopolos, *No One Would Listen: A True Financial Thriller* (2010), **38:4 [Footnote 7]**

Michel, *American Kleptocracy: How the US Created the World’s Greatest Money Laundering Scheme in History* (2021), reviewed by Bernstein, “Loopholes for Kleptocrats,” *The New York Review of Books* (December 2, 2021) 23, **1:1 [Footnote 27.500]**

Minow, “When Should Law Forgive” (2020), reviewed by Lustbader, “Making Room for Forgiveness,” *The New York Review of Books* (November 18, 2021) 23, **22:2 [Footnote 8.500]**

Pistor, *The Code of Capital: How the Law Creates Wealth and Ineq-*

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

—Cont’d

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”

—Cont’d

Non-fiction (including corporate wrongdoing, fraud and fraudulent transactions, Ponzi schemes, and related corporate and legal matters).—Cont’d

uity (2019), reviewed in Tooze, “How 8216Big Law’ Makes Big Money,” *The New York Review of Books* (February 13, 2020) 25, **1:1 [Footnote 12]; 1:1 [Footnote 32]; 1:1 [Text Preceding Footnote 24]**

Rakoff, “Getting Away With Murder,” *The New York Review of Books* (December 3, 2020) 51, **1:1 [Footnote 6]**

Rosen, Louis D. Brandeis [:] *American Prophet* (2016), **1:1 [Footnote 6], 1:41.85 [Footnote 20]**

Ross, *Elizabethan Literature and the Law of Fraudulent Conveyance: Sidney, Spenser, and Shakespeare*, **1:8 [Footnote 63]; 1:12 [Footnote 1]**

Shribman, “Breyer’s future could be pivotal for U.S. Supreme Court,” *The Globe and Mail* (Toronto: Saturday, June 19) A18, at A18.), **1:41.85 [Footnote 20]**

Soltes, *Why They Do It: Inside the Mind of the White-Collar Criminal* (2016), **38:4 [Text Following Footnote 8]**

Strauss, *The War That Made the Roman Empire* [:] Antony, Cleopatra and Octavian at Actium (2022), **15:11 [Footnote 12]**

Strouse, Morgan [:] *American Financier* (1999), **1:1 [Footnote 6]**

Sutton et al.(eds.), *The Essential Scalia: On the Constitution, the*

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

**—Cont’d**

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore  
javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”

**—Cont’d**

Non-fiction (including corporate wrongdoing, fraud and fraudulent transactions, Ponzi schemes, and related corporate and legal matters).—Cont’d

Courts, and the Rule of Law (2020), **1:42 [Footnote 11]**

Taylor, “Abolish Debt,” The New York Times (July 4, 2021) SR5, **1:1, [Text Following Footnote 11.175]**

Tooze, “How 8216Big Law’ Makes Big Money,” The New York Review of Books (February 13, 2020) 25, **1:1 [Footnote 12]; 1:1 [Footnote 32]; 1:1 [Text Following Footnote 24]**

Ward, A Disposition to Be Rich: How a Small-Town Pastor’s Son Ruined an American President, Brought on a Wall Street Crash, and Made Himself the Best-Hated Man in the United States (2012), **38:4 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 1]**

Wheatcroft, “Mogul of Mystery,” The New York Review of Books (October 7, 2021) 40, a review of Preston, The Mysterious Life and Death of Robert Maxwell, Britain’s Most Notorious Media Baron (2021), **1:1 [Footnote 11]**

Yaffe-Bellany, “Their Crypto Fund Collapsed Overnight. They Went to Bali.,” The New York Times (June 11, 2023) BU 6, at BU 7, **38:4 [Footnote 0.100]**

Yale School of Management, “George Hudson and the 1840s Railway Mania,” online: <http://som.yale.edu/our-approach/>

**BIBLIOGRAPHY: FICTION AND  
NON-FICTION BOOKS AND  
ARTICLES (EXCLUDING MOST  
LEGAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES).**

**—Cont’d**

See also Index, main headings: “Atwood, Margaret”; “Dreiser, Theodore  
javascript:void(0);”; “Trollope, Anthony”; “Warren, Robert Penn”

**—Cont’d**

Non-fiction (including corporate wrongdoing, fraud and fraudulent transactions, Ponzi schemes, and related corporate and legal matters).—Cont’d

teaching-method/case-research-and-development/cases-directory/george-hudson-and-1840s, **38:4 [Text Following Footnote 4]**

**BILL OF EXCHANGE, ENDORSER OF,  
AS CREDITOR**

Generally, **18:8**

**BONA FIDES**

See Good faith

**BONDS, JUDGMENTS, ORDERS AND  
OTHER PROCEEDINGS:  
WHETHER COVERED BY**

Fraudulent conveyances legislation, **1:5; 8:1 et seq.**

Fraudulent preference legislation, **20:3**

**BULK SALES LEGISLATION**

Generally, **40:1 et seq.**

Deterrence of fraudulent transfers of property, **40:2 [Text Following Footnote 5], 40:6**

Waiving compliance with mandatory provisions, and “industry practice,” **40:6 [Text Following Footnote 7]**

**BURDEN/ONUS OF PROOF AND  
STANDARD OF PROOF [NOTE:  
READERS SHOULD CONSULT  
THE TEXT FOR BOTH MAIN  
REFERENCES BELOW, SINCE  
THE ISSUES ARE TREATED  
SIMILARLY IN FRAUDULENT  
CONVEYANCES AND  
FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES  
LITIGATION]**

Fraudulent conveyances

see main heading “Intent — fraudulent

**BURDEN/ONUS OF PROOF AND  
STANDARD OF PROOF [NOTE:  
READERS SHOULD CONSULT  
THE TEXT FOR BOTH MAIN  
REFERENCES BELOW, SINCE  
THE ISSUES ARE TREATED  
SIMILARLY IN FRAUDULENT  
CONVEYANCES AND  
FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES  
LITIGATION]—Cont'd**

Fraudulent conveyances—Cont'd  
conveyances,” then sequentially  
“proving fraudulent intent,”  
“burden/onus of proof”  
badges of fraud, presumptions and  
burden of proof, **14:52 to 14:56**  
Fraudulent preferences  
see main heading “Intent — fraudulent  
preferences,” then “burden of  
proof”

**CAUTION**

Generally, **36:6**

**CAUTIONARY NOTE**

Generally, **1:1 to 1:8**

**CEASING TO MEET LIABILITIES AS  
THEY FALL DUE**

Generally, **10:3, 10:4, 24:12**

**CERTIFICATE OF PENDING  
LITIGATION**

Generally, **36:6**  
Registration as deterrent to dealing with  
property, **36:6 [Text Following  
Footnote 32]**

**CHANGE OF POSITION, DEFENCE  
OF**

Generally, **24:3**

**CHEATING**

See also main heading “Conspiracy”  
See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishon-  
esty, immorality, illegality, collusion,  
guile, malice, etc., in relation to  
fraud”

**CHOICE OF LAW**

Generally, **12:2, 12:8**

**CLAIMS**

See also Actions and other proceedings;  
Proceedings and procedure; Enforce-

**CLAIMS—Cont'd**

ment of money judgments, the role  
of the execution creditor and the  
seizure of the debtor’s property by  
the sheriff or other similar official;  
Judgment

**Meaning of**

contingent claim, **19:5 [Footnote 5]**  
unliquidated claim, **19:5 [Footnote 5]**

Standing for creditor with contingent  
claim (fraudulent preferences), **18:3**

Standing for creditor with unliquidated  
claim

fraudulent conveyances

Assignment and Preferences Act  
model, **13:8 [Footnote 6]**

Fraudulent Conveyance Act model,  
**13:5 [Footnote 10]**

fraudulent preferences, **18:3**

**CLASS ACTION**

Generally, **5:8**

**CLEARING HOUSE**

Defined, **24:1**

Exemption from statutory presumption of  
preference, **24:1**

**CLEARING MEMBER, DEFINED**

Generally, **24:1**

**CLOSE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN  
TRANSFEROR AND  
TRANSFeree**

See also Index, main headings “Family  
law: legislation; agreements and  
other arrangements; and jurispru-  
dence”; “Consideration”; “Natural  
love and affection, as  
consideration”; and Trusts

General, **14:47 to 14:51, 15:11, 19:16**  
**[Footnote 1]**

Whether leniency warranted in family  
arrangements, **15:11 [Footnote 3,19,**  
**27]**

**COGNOVIT ACTIONEM, USE OF,  
DEFRAUD CREDITORS**

Generally, **8:2**

**COLLUSION**

See also main heading “Conspiracy”

See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishon-  
esty, immorality, illegality, collusion,



**COLLUSION—Cont’d**

guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

**COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION**

See Actions and other proceedings

**COMMERCIAL AND OTHER  
SIMILAR INTERESTS**

See also main heading “Leveraged buyouts”

Corporate status and incorporation; trusts; asset protection; and corporate law: critique (in terms of creation of wealth and its distribution), **1:1** [Footnote 12], **1:1** [Text Following Footnote 24], **1:1** [Footnote 32], **1:15** [Footnote 8], **9:25** [Text Following Footnote 1]

Debtor’s intent and his or her business or financial circumstances

see also main heading “Intent — fraudulent conveyances,” “business or financial circumstances of debtor, current and/or future”

commercial justification of transaction, **1:35** [Footnote 62]

Financial information, difficulty in acquiring and verifying

mid-19th to early-20th century, **1:1** [Footnote 11]

modern requirements, **1:1** [Footnote 10]

Freedom of commerce impaired by voiding all conveyances, etc., **1:15** [Text Accompanying Footnote 8]

Law impairing or otherwise affecting commercial interests, **1:8** [Text Accompanying Footnote 38 et seq.], **1:15** [Text Following Footnote 6], **1:8** [Footnote 49], **19:29** [Text Accompanying Footnote 6 et seq.], **19:33** [Text Accompanying Footnote 5 et seq.], **20:1** [Footnotes 13, 14]

certainty in commercial transactions, stare decisis and judicial activism, **1:1**

law reform considerations, **1:15** [Text Following Footnote 11]

Private companies, shares, potential disruption of company’s share and management structure by seizure and sale of shares, **39:2** [Text

**COMMERCIAL AND OTHER**

**SIMILAR INTERESTS—Cont’d**

Accompanying Footnotes 13, 14], **39:8** [Text Accompanying Footnote 5]

Techniques to minimize business risk, **2:1** [Footnote 3]

see also Estate planning

wealthy individuals: how money and influence can insulate the very wealthy from the consequences of reckless decisions (Purdue Pharma/Sackler discussion), **1:1** [Footnote 1]

**COMMON LAW**

See also main heading “Statute of Elizabeth and other English statutes”

Certainty in commercial transactions, stare decisis and judicial activism, **1:1**

Courts effect changes for specific situations, not system-wide, **1:15** [Text Accompanying Footnote 11], **4:18** [Footnote 4], **14:42** [Footnote 57]

Fraudulent conveyances, **1:11**

Fraudulent preferences, **17:1**

Inception of voidable transactions law, **1:1** [Footnote 5], **1:12** [Footnote 1]

**COMPANIES’ CREDITORS**

**ARRANGEMENT ACT  
PROCEEDINGS (S. 36.1),  
APPLICATION OF S. 95  
(BANKRUPTCY AND  
INSOLVENCY ACT) IN**

Generally, **1:8** [Footnote 8], **9:23** [Footnote 7], **14:42** [Footnote 31, 61], **24:2** [Footnote 4], **28:8** [Footnote 35], **28:8** [Text Following Footnote 36]

**CONCEALMENT OR REMOVAL OF  
PROPERTY**

See also main headings, “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality and illegality, in relation to fraud;” “Estate planning, asset and tax sheltering”; “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality and illegality, in relation to fraud;” and “Landlord and tenant matters,” subheading “landlord’s distraint against fraudulently removed property by tenant”

**CONCEALMENT OR REMOVAL OF PROPERTY—Cont’d**

Badge of fraud, as, **1:30** [Footnote 7], **14:42** [Footnotes 30, 77]

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (s. 198) concealment not a “disposition,” **31:5** [Text Accompanying Footnote 7 et seq.]

general, **31:5**

Criminal Code (Canada), **1:30**

General, **1:6, 7:12** [Footnote 2], **14:77**

“Offshore” accounts and tax havens

passport selling by “offshore” countries, to enable fraud, money laundering, and tax evasion, **1:13** [Footnote 12.500]

use of by world leaders, powerful politicians, billionaires, celebrities, religious leaders and drug dealers to hide their investments in mansions, exclusive beachfront property, yachts and other assets, 2021 report of the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (the “Pandora Papers”), **2:6** [Footnote 2]

Ontario, Rules of Civil Procedure (made under Courts of Justice Act), **3:2**

passport selling by “offshore” countries, to enable fraud, money laundering, and tax evasion, **1:3** [Footnote 12.500]

Removal of tenant’s property, distraint by landlord if “fraudulently or clandestinely” removed, **37:3, 14:42** [Footnote 30]

Trusts, concealment of assets by means of, **1:1** [Footnote 20 et seq.] and Accompanying Text

United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **1:30** [Footnote 7], **14:77**

**CONCURRENT (TRANSFeree) INTENT DOCTRINE**

Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)

doctrine not relevant in preferences cases, **19:10, 19:11**

Provincial statutes. See (1) main heading “Fraudulent conveyances,” subheading “Protected transactions”; (2) main heading “Fraudu-

**CONCURRENT (TRANSFeree) INTENT DOCTRINE—Cont’d**

Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)—Cont’d  
lent preferences,” subheading  
“Protected transactions”

**CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT, USE OF, TO DEFRAUD CREDITORS**

Generally, **8:2**

Warrant of attorney to confess judgment, **8:2**

**CONFLICTING AND OVERLAPPING LEGISLATION**

Generally, **1:18 to 1:29**

**CONFLICT OF LAWS**

Choice of law, **12:2, 12:8**

Forum non conveniens, **12:7**

Introduction, **12:1**

choice of law, **12:2, 12:8**

service out of the jurisdiction, **12:3, 12:6**

Jurisdiction, **12:4 to 12:7**

forum non conveniens, **12:7**

general limits on, **12:7**

oppression actions, **12:5**

service ex juris, **12:3, 12:6**

Remedies, **12:9**

**CONSENT TO JUDGMENT**

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **24:9**  
[Text Accompanying Footnote 21]

Provincial legislation, **8:1 et seq.**

**CONSIDERATION**

Adequacy of

Assignment and Preferences Act  
model, **15:8, 15:9, 16:4 to 16:6**

Fraudulent Conveyances Act model,  
**14:47** [Footnote 4], **15:8, 15:9, 15:11**

commercial justification of transaction, **1:35** [Footnote 62]

full consideration not required, **14:36**  
[Text Following Footnote 5],  
**14:42** [Text Following Footnote 61], **15:11** [Text  
Accompanying Footnote 26]

law reform (New Brunswick Report),  
**7:24**

weighing the adequacy of  
consideration: not an exercise in

**CONSIDERATION—Cont'd**

- Adequacy of—Cont'd
  - precision but one of judgment, **15:9 [Footnote 14]**
- Antecedent debt, payment of, effect of
  - fraudulent conveyances cases, **15:10**
  - fraudulent preference cases, **15:10 (in part); 20:4**
  - new promise to pay antecedent debt, **15:10 [Footnote 24]**
- Debts of debtor
  - See also Index, main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then “debts”, meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA)”
  - abolition of debt, calls for, **1:1 [Text preceding and following note 11.175]**
  - assumption of, as consideration, **15:12; 16:5**
  - extension of time for repayment, **19:34 [Text Following Footnote 25]**
  - forgiveness or reduction of a debt
    - as consideration, **1:1 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 11.175]; 15:11 [Footnote 12]; 19:34 [Footnote 6]; 19:35 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 6]; 28:11 [Text Following Footnote 7.100]**
    - contra, fraudulent preferences, protected transactions, consideration (present actual payment of money), **20:9 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 9]**
    - debts of the poor, **1:1 [Footnote 1]**
    - refusal to collect a debt, as a fraudulent conveyance, **9:18 [Footnote 35]**
- Debts of debtor, transferee’s assumption of, as sufficient consideration, **15:12, 16:5**
- Family property law claims, release or compromise of, **15:11 [Footnote 12], 19:34 [Footnote 6], 19:35 [Footnote 7], 20:8**

**CONSIDERATION—Cont'd**

- Forbearance to sue, **15:11 [Footnote 12], 19:34 [Footnotes 6, 25], 19:35 [Footnote 7], 20:8, 28:11 [Text Following Footnote 7.100]**
- Full consideration
  - voiding conveyance despite, **15:11 [Footnote 28]**
- “Good” and “valuable,” **15:11**
- Good faith, linked to, **15:5**
- “Grossly inadequate” consideration, **14:28 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 36]**
- Matrimonial claim, compromise of, **15:11 [Footnote 12]**
- Matrimonial dispute, settlement of, **15:11 [Footnote 12]**
- Natural love and affection. See below, under “provincial law,” then “natural love and affection”
- Nominal consideration, meaning of
  - bankruptcy, **27:12 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]**
  - provincial law. See also Index, main heading “Close relationship between transferor and transferee” considered to be essentially a “gift,” **15:11 [Footnote 31]**
  - creditor must prove, **15:11 [Text Accompanying Footnote 25]**
  - description (Black’s Law Dictionary), **15:11 [Text Following Footnote 47]**
  - various descriptions, **15:11**
    - gratuitous
      - transferee paying nothing or a nominal amount, **9:18 [Footnote 1.500], 15:11 [Footnote 45.500]**
    - “trifling, insignificantly small or a token,” **15:11 [Text Following Footnote 46]**
  - natural love and affection. See also above, “natural love and affection considered to be “nil” or “nominal” consideration, **15:11 [Footnote 14]**
  - natural love and affection. See also below, “nominal consideration”
    - badge of fraud, “grossly inadequate” (badge (9)), **14:42 [Footnotes 61, 62]**

## CONSIDERATION—Cont'd

- Nominal consideration, meaning of
  - Cont'd
  - provincial law. See also Index, main heading “Close relationship between transferor and transferee”
    - Cont'd
    - natural love and affection. See also below, “nominal consideration”
      - Cont'd
      - not “good” consideration, **15:11 [Footnote 19]**
      - no consideration, as “gift,” **14:42 [Footnote 24]**
      - nominal consideration, **15:11**
        - considered to be no consideration, **15:8 [Footnote 3 and accompanying text]**
        - not judicially defined, **15:11 [Text Following Footnote 47]**
        - not “minimal,” **15:11 [Text Following Footnote 47]**
- Past and present consideration
  - fraudulent conveyances, **15:10**
  - fraudulent preferences, **15:10 (in part); 20:4**
  - new promise to pay antecedent debt, **15:10 [Text and Notes Following Footnote 25]**
- Presumption of intent where no valuable consideration, **14:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 44 et seq.]**
- Promise, unperformed, as consideration, **15:1 et seq.**
- Role of, **15:8 to 15:12**
- Sale of property for full value, **1:35 [Footnote 69], 1:41.70, 1:41.80, 1:42, 20:8**
- Security for existing debt, **15:8, 15:9**
- Spousal relationship, **15:11 [Footnote 19]**
- Transactions between relatives, **15:11**
- Transferee's intent, and, **14:42 [Footnote 61], 15:11**
  - presumption of intent where no valuable consideration, **14:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 44 et seq.]**
- United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **14:78**
- Valuation of, **15:11 [Footnote 8]**

## CONSPIRACY

- See also Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality,

## CONSPIRACY—Cont'd

- collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud,” then sequentially “civil (voidable transactions) law,” “collusion”
- Core elements of secrecy, collusion and contrivance to the prejudice of legitimately entitled third parties, comparison to conspiracy, **1:35 [Footnote 8], 7:14 [Footnote 3], 7:16 [Footnote 1]**
- Covin, originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **15:1 [Footnote 0.02]**
- Criminal conspiracy, **2:5 [Footnote 13]**
- Secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to debtor-transferee conspiracy, **1:27 [Footnote 3], 1:29 [Footnote 1], 1:35 [Footnote 22]**
- Tort of
  - see also Remedies
  - economic injury caused or interfered with, **2:5 [Footnote 10], 2:5 [Text Following Footnote 29]**
  - essential elements, **2:5**
    - criminal conspiracy, essential elements of, where germane to civil conspiracy, **2:5 [Footnote 13]**
  - inducing a tort, **2:5 [Footnote 12]**
  - solicitor's liability for, **2:6 [Footnote 2]**
  - transferee, use of tort against, **1:2 [Footnote 19], 2:5 [Footnote 14], 7:14**

## CONSTITUTIONALITY

- Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, reviewable transactions (former s. 100): related persons (BIA, former section 4) and the legal/constitutional effect of the deeming provision (BIA, former section 3(3)), **28:5**
- Coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors, **26:3**
- Criminal and quasi-criminal provincial fraudulent transactions legislation, **1:27**

**CONSTITUTIONALITY—Cont'd**

Fraudulent conveyances legislation, **1:8**  
[Footnote 78]

Fraudulent preferences legislation, **18:7**

Rules dealing with fraudulent concealment of property, **1:30**

**CONTEMPT ORDERS, AGAINST DEBTOR**

Generally, **1:32**

Imprisonment for debt (voidable transactions), **1:32** [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 2]

Ontario, Rules of Procedure, rule 60.18(5), **1:32** [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]

**CONTINGENT CLAIM**

See Claim

**CONTINUING IN BUSINESS**

Bankruptcy, **24:21**

Control, **28:6**

Hazardous business/investment, **2:1** [Text Following Footnote 4], **13:13** [Footnotes 7, 22, and 64], **14:14** [Footnotes 4, 5]

Provincial preference legislation, disproving fraudulent intent, honest attempt to facilitate continuing in business, **19:35**

**CONTROLLING OR DIRECTING MIND OF CORPORATION**

See Corporations; Directors

**CONVEYANCE, DISPOSITION AND OTHER SIMILAR TRANSACTIONS**

See also Fraudulent conveyances; Fraudulent preferences; Transactions, void/voidable

All or virtually/essentially all of the debtor's property, **14:42** [Footnote 24]

Benefit, retention by debtor, **1:8, 14:33, 14:51**

By act outside debtor's control, **9:18** [Footnotes 17, 26]

Debtor must have interest in conveyed property, **13:1** [Footnote 2], **14:51**

Debts of debtor, forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal to collect, etc. See Index, main heading "Consideration," then "Debts of

**CONVEYANCE, DISPOSITION AND OTHER SIMILAR TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd**

debtor." See also Index, main heading "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)," then "Debts", meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA)"

Delay - remedy where conveyance delays but does not defeat recovery, **1:35, 1:45, 14:32, 14:45**

Disclaimers of legacies or gifts conveyance, as, **9:22** disposition, and disclaimers, contrasted, **9:22** [Footnote 37]

law reform, **9:28 et seq.**

surrender or release, and disclaimers, contrasted, **9:22**

Disposition

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act

bankruptcy offences (s. 198)

concealment not a "disposition," **31:5** [Footnote 7]

general, **31:3**

transfers at undervalue (s. 96), **26:4** [Text Following Footnote 6]

see also main heading "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)," and then sequentially "transfers at undervalue," "Mercado Capital Corporation v

Qureshi, commentary on," and "disposition" of property"

British Columbia legislation

use of term "disposition"

definition

Fraudulent Conveyance Act, **1:30** [Text Following Footnote 12.200]

Interpretation Act, **1:30** [Text Following Footnote 12.500]

general, **9:18** [Footnotes 3 et seq. and Accompanying Text], **31:4**

Ponzi scheme, voidable transactions: "disposition" of "excess," where perpetrator of scheme pays investor an amount exceeding the

**CONVEYANCE, DISPOSITION AND  
OTHER SIMILAR  
TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd**

Disposition—Cont'd

British Columbia legislation—Cont'd  
use of term “disposition”—Cont'd  
amount invested (the  
“excess”), **38:3.50**

Harm to creditor, must it always be  
proven? see main heading “Harm to  
creditor, must it always be proven for  
success in action even where fraudu-  
lent intent is also proven?”

Insolvent debtor, refusal to collect money  
owed to him, not a prejudicial “dis-  
position” (B.C. Report), **9:22 [Foot-  
note 42]**

Journal entries, whether reflecting actual  
conveyances, **1:8 [Footnote 7], 9:18  
[Text Following Footnote 48], 26:4  
[Footnote 7], 28:12 [Text Following  
Footnote 15]**

Meaning of, **9:18 to 9:33, 24:9**

Other than by debtor, **1:8 [Footnote 67],  
9:33 [Footnote 2]**

Ponzi scheme, voidable transactions,  
conveyance: payment of “excess,”  
where perpetrator of scheme pays  
investor an amount exceeding the  
amount invested (the “excess”),  
**38:3.50**

Prejudicial effect of

see main heading “Harm to creditor,  
must it always be proven for suc-  
cess in action even where fraudu-  
lent intent is also proven?”

Refusal of a gift (powers of appointment,  
disclaimers, legacies, beneficial  
interests)

current law, **9:22**

law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**

Releases and other similar instruments

current law, **9:21**

law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**

Sham transactions

see Sham transactions

Timing of, **14:1 to 14:39**

see also main heading “Bankruptcy  
(Canadian federal Bankruptcy and  
Insolvency Act),” subheading  
“transfers at undervalue,” and  
then sequentially “Mercado

**CONVEYANCE, DISPOSITION AND  
OTHER SIMILAR  
TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd**

Timing of, **14:1 to 14:39—Cont'd**

Capital Corporation v

Qureshi, commentary on,” “‘disposi-  
tion’ of property,” and “timing  
of ‘disposition’”

Transferee corporation controlled or  
organized by corporate or non-  
corporate debtor, **9:24, 9:25, 14:53  
[Footnote 6]; 15:14 [Footnote 8]**

“Voluntary” conveyances, meaning and  
use of term, **9:18 [Footnote 1.500],  
15:11 [Footnote 45.500]**

**CORPORATIONS**

See also main headings “Bankruptcy  
(Canadian federal Bankruptcy and  
Insolvency Act),” subheading  
“wealth and inequality, how the law  
creates (asset protection, trusts,  
corporate status, bankruptcy law, and  
the role of lawyers)” and Directors

Corporate status and incorporation; asset  
protection; and corporate law:  
critique (in terms of creation of  
wealth and its distribution), **1:1  
[Footnote 12], 1:1 [Text Following  
Footnote 24], 1:1 [Footnote 32],  
1:15 [Footnote 8], 9:24 [Text Fol-  
lowing Footnote 1]**

Corporate veil

piercing

“creditors or others,” interpretation  
of “others,” where mortgage  
corporations (which granted  
mortgages to secure legal fees)  
were controlled by an individual  
who was a judgment debtor of  
other creditors: whether each  
creditor of the judgment debtor  
was an “other” with standing to  
seek impeachment of the mort-  
gages, **13:17.100**

general, **13:14 [Footnote 3], 14:3  
[Footnote 5], 14:44 [Footnote  
11], 28:14 [Text Accompany-  
ing Footnote 5], 36:6 [Foot-  
note 10]**

transferee corporation controlled or  
organized by corporate debtor,  
**9:24, 9:25, 14:53 [Footnote 6],  
15:14 [Footnote 8]**



**CORPORATIONS—Cont'd**

- Corporate veil—Cont'd
  - piercing—Cont'd
    - transferee's notice or knowledge of transferor's fraudulent intent, where same individual is "controlling mind" of both transferor and transferee (where not a corporate veil issue: *Abalon Holdings Ltd. v. Bank of Nova Scotia*), **14:3 [Footnote 5], 14:44 [Footnote 8], 15:10 [Footnote 34], 15:14 [Footnote 8]**
    - transfer of assets to a new corporation, whether a fraudulent transaction, **9:25, 14:42 [Footnote 23.700]**
    - use to minimize business risk, **2:1 [Footnote 3]**
      - see also Estate planning
    - veil not pierced, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, s. 198(1) (offences) (*Plante c. Gaudreau*), **31:5**
  - Corporation, defined, **28:9**
  - Directorships, interlocking corporate, **14:44**
  - Dividends (restrictions on payment of) and purchase or redemption of shares
    - bankruptcy and insolvency provisions, **30:1 et seq.**
    - general, **17:1 [Footnote 28]**
    - law reform, **35:2**
    - provincial legislation, **35:1**
  - Fraud and dishonesty, advice from solicitor, **2:2 (LSUC r. 3.2 to 8)**
    - see also this main heading, subheading "corporate status and incorporation; asset protection; and corporate law: critique (in terms of creation of wealth and its distribution)"
  - Intent of "directing" or "controlling" mind, **14:3 [Footnote 5]**
  - Notice or knowledge of transferor's intent where transferor and transferee are the same, **15:14 [Footnote 8]**
    - transferee corporation controlled or organized by corporate debtor, **9:24, 9:25, 14:53 [Footnote 6], 15:14 [Footnote 8]**

**CORPORATIONS—Cont'd**

- Notice or knowledge of transferor's intent where transferor and transferee are the same, **15:14 [Footnote 8]**
  - Cont'd
    - transferee's notice or knowledge of transferor's fraudulent intent, where same individual is "controlling mind" of both transferor and transferee (where not a corporate veil issue: *Abalon Holdings Ltd. v. Bank of Nova Scotia*), **14:3 [Footnote 5], 14:44 [Footnote 8], 15:10 [Footnote 34], 15:14 [Footnote 8]**
- transferor and transferee having the same operating mind (so allegedly no legitimate transaction was concluded between them), **14:1 et seq.**
- Private or closely held corporations,
  - restrictions on share transfers and on other entitlements, **39:1 et seq.**
  - company law, effect of court intervention or non-intervention on, **39:1**
  - court intervention or non-intervention, **39:1**
  - definition, **39:1 [Footnote 4]**
  - enforcement proceedings, effect of court intervention or non-intervention on, **39:1**
  - law reform, **39:12 to 39:18**
  - legislation (provincial) and jurisprudence
    - jurisprudence before securities transfer legislation, **39:2, 39:3**
    - securities transfer legislation, restrictions on share transfers and on other entitlements
      - different provincial approaches, **39:5 to 39:11**
      - fraudulent conveyances legislation, links to, **39:6**
    - voidable transactions legislation, **39:4**
  - reasons for restrictions, **39:1**
    - devices to evade execution, **39:13 [Footnote 1]**
  - share-holding rights, nature of, **39:1**
  - transfers (voluntary) and transmissions (non-voluntary), **39:1**
  - types of restrictions, **39:1**

## CORPORATIONS—Cont'd

- Shareholders, liability of, **30:1, 30:4, 30:5**
- Shares, issuance of additional shares in an existing corporation, as a fraudulent conveyance, **9:24**
- Subsidiary, associated and related corporations or other entities, creation and legitimacy of, **14:44**
- Transactions involving close corporate relationships, **14:44**
- Transferee corporation controlled or organized by corporate or non-corporate debtor, **9:24, 9:25, 14:53 [Footnote 6], 15:14 [Footnote 8]**
- Transfer of assets to a new corporation and other related conduct, such as the issuance of additional shares in an existing corporation, whether a fraudulent transaction, **9:24, 9:25, 14:42 [Footnote 23.700]**
  - see also this main heading, subheading “corporate status and incorporation; asset protection; and corporate law: critique (in terms of creation of wealth and its distribution)”
- Wealth production, inequality, etc., **1:1 [Text Following Footnote 24]**

## CORROBORATION

- Generally, **14:48**
- Res ipsa loquitur, and, **14:48**

## COURTS

- See also Anton Piller order; Damages; Derivative action; Enforcement of money judgments and seizure of debtor's property by sheriff or other similar official; Injunctions; Conspiracy, tort of; Judgment; Mareva injunctions; Oppression remedy; Preservation and similar orders; Proceedings and procedure; Remedies; Unjust enrichment, damages for
- Decisions not based on statutory provisions, **1:8 [Footnote 74], 9:18**
- certainty in commercial transaction, stare decisis and judicial activism, **1:1**
- courts effect changes in specific situations, not system-wide, **1:15 [Text Accompanying Footnote 11], 4:18 [Footnote 4], 14:42 [Footnote 57]**

## COURTS—Cont'd

- Declaratory relief (voidable transactions), **5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]; 7:5 to 7:22**
- Deference normally accorded by appeal courts to the findings of trial judges, **14:9 [Footnote 1], 14:54**
- Discretion of (bankruptcy)
  - reviewable transactions (former s. 100, BIA), **28:15 to 28:18**
  - transfers at undervalue, **29:1 et seq.**
    - Mercado Capital Corporation v Qureshi, appellant's Factum Prepared for Its Appeal to the Court of Appeal (for commentary on Mercado Capital Corporation v. Qureshi, see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then “transfers at undervalue”), **29:4 [Text Following Footnote 11], 29:5 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 4 to 7], 29:6 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 2 to 3]**
- Judicial activism and legislative reform.
  - See this main heading, under “Legislative reform versus judicial activism”
- Legislative reform versus judicial activism, **1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:41.85**
- “Open courts,” principle of, re: removal or redaction of portions of the record from publicly filed materials, **2:7 [Footnote 7], 5:5 [Footnote 25]**
- Powers of
  - judgment: entitlement, effect and relief granted
    - general, **Ch. 7**
    - judicial activism, judicial restraint and legislative reform, **1:41.55, 1:41.60, 1:41.65, 1:41.80, 1:41.85, 1:42**
    - law reform, **7:3, 7:24 to 7:31**
- COVIN
  - See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

**COVIN—Cont'd**

- Originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **15:1 [Footnote 0.02]**
- Statute of Elizabeth, an Act against certain “covinous” transactions, **1:1 [Hunt’s Description of Act (First Para.) Text Following Footnote 5]**

**CREDIT**

- Financial information, difficulty in acquiring and verifying
  - see also Information
  - mid-19th to early-20th century, **1:1 [Footnote 11]**
  - modern requirements, **1:1 [Footnote 10]**
- Responsible practices during credit relationship, ensuring, **1:1 [Text Following Footnote 10]**
- Role of, and voidable transactions law, **1:1 to 1:8**

**CREDITORS AND “OTHERS”**

- See also Creditors’ relief legislation; Enforcement of money judgments and seizure of the debtor’s property by sheriff or other similar official; Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances
- Beneficiary of directors’ duty of care, **34:1 et seq.**
- Bill of exchange, endorser of, **18:8**
- Credit
  - see Credit, role of, and voidable transactions law
- Creditors or “others,” **13:4 to 13:8, 13:9, 13:10, 13:11**
  - piercing corporate veil, interpretation of “others,” where mortgage corporations (which granted mortgages to secure legal fees) were controlled by an individual who was a judgment debtor of other creditors: whether each creditor of the judgment debtor was an “other” with standing to seek impeachment of the mortgages, **13:17.100**
  - See also Index, main heading “Corporations,” then serially “Corporate veil,” “piercing”

**CREDITORS AND “OTHERS”—Cont'd**

- Creditors or “others,” **13:4 to 13:8, 13:9, 13:10, 13:11—Cont'd**
  - statutory phrase used by the courts as a rule to determine standing to commence proceedings, **13:2 [Text Following Footnote 1]**
- Creditors’ relief legislation
  - see Creditors’ relief legislation
- Declaratory relief (voidable transactions), **5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]; 7:5 to 7:22**
- Defined
  - bankruptcy, **24:1, 24:14**
- Diligent, **24:23**
- Execution
  - see also Enforcement of money judgments and seizure of debtor’s property by sheriff or other similar official
- Expanded definitions and remedies (preferences)
  - Alberta Fraudulent Preferences Act, s. 10, **18:2**
  - general, **19:5**
  - Saskatchewan Fraudulent Preferences Act, s. 12(1), (2), **18:2 [Footnote 19]**
  - standing, **18:2**
- General rule, **13:18, 18:1, 19:5**
  - expanded definition, **18:2**
- Guarantor, **18:8**
  - see also Guarantees
- Harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- Initiator of a fraudulent preference (pressure by preferred creditor), **19:36 to 19:38**
- Intent to defraud “any creditor” (U.S. Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act), **13:13 [Footnote 24]**
- Judgment creditors, **13:3**
- Litigation against debtors, see main heading “Debt litigation”
- Non-judgment creditors, **13:4 to 13:8**
- “Others” referring to monetary claimants only, **13:6 [Footnote 7]**
- Promissory note, endorser of, **18:8**

## CREDITORS AND “OTHERS”—Cont’d

Ratification of transaction, **1:8** [Footnote 10]

Remedies

see main heading “Illegal transactions”

Remedy, where preferable to imposition of fiduciary duties, **11:1** [Footnote 7]

Return of transferred property by, **1:8** [Footnote 5]

Secured

payments to secured creditor, **24:9**

priority over unsecured judgment creditors, **1:3** [Footnote 3]

protected transactions — fraudulent preferences, **20:9, 20:10**

secured financing as protected transaction, **20:9, 20:10**

security for current advances, **24:22**

standing to impeach fraudulent conveyance, **13:12**

standing to impeach fraudulent preference, **18:6**

Sheriff or other similar official as creditor’s surrogate to seize property allegedly transferred fraudulently, **4:1**

Subsequent/future creditors

standing to impeach fraudulent conveyance, **13:13, 13:18**

intent to defraud “any creditor” (U.S. Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act), **13:13** [Footnote 24]

intent to defraud subsequent/future creditors in general or specific subsequent/future creditors, **13:13** [Esp. Footnotes 24, 25]

standing to impeach fraudulent preference, **18:5**

Substitution of new for old, **13:13** [Footnote 38]

Third party, of, **13:14**

Traditional setting, debtor-creditor relationship as, **1:2**

Use of term, **1:2, 1:3, 1:4**

Waiver of rights, **1:8** [Footnote 5]

## CREDITORS’ RELIEF LEGISLATION

Conveyance legislation, and, **7:20**

Effect of, where statutory right to impeach judgment or execution used to defraud creditors, **8:3, 41:22**

## CREDITORS’ RELIEF LEGISLATION

—Cont’d

General, **7:20**

Preference legislation, and, **1:12** [Footnote 16], **1:35** [Text Accompanying Footnote 49 et seq.], **17:1**

Reform, **7:23 to 7:30; 17:6, 17:7**

## CRIMINAL AND QUASI-CRIMINAL OFFENCES RESPECTING VOIDABLE TRANSACTIONS AND FRAUD, AND RELATED MATTERS

Criminal and quasi-criminal provisions of, relevance of Statute of Elizabeth to provincial voidable transactions law, **1:26 to 1:29**

constitutional matters, **1:27**

British Columbia, **1:28**

outside British Columbia, **1:29**

Deterrence, of person contemplating voidable transaction, resort to criminal law, **7:25** [Footnote 14]

Offences, **1:30; 2:3** [Footnote 41]

Criminal Code offences and Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act offences, basic difference between, **1:1 et seq.; 31:1** [Text Following Footnote 4]

extradition proceedings, legislation, liberal/broad interpretation, **1:30** [Footnote 27]

See also Index, main heading “Legislation,” then serially “Interpretation Acts (federal and provincial) and the judicial interpretation of voidable transactions statutes,” under headings “Alberta Interpretation Act,” “British Columbia, Interpretation Act,” “Canada, Interpretation Act,” “Ontario, Legislation Act, 2006,” and “Saskatchewan”

## CROWN, LEGISLATION BINDING ON

Bankruptcy and insolvency legislation, **24:1**

deterrence, of creditor contemplating voidable transaction, best left to criminal law, **1:8** [Footnote 46]

Provincial legislation, **1:30**

**CUSTODY, PRESERVATION AND  
DETENTION OF PROPERTY  
ORDERS, BANKRUPTCY  
LEGISLATION**

Generally, **36:1 et seq.**

**DAMAGES**

See also Conspiracy, tort of; Remedies

Conspiracy, linked to secrecy, collusion  
and contrivance, **1:1 [Footnote 18];  
1:27 [Footnote 4]; 1:28 [Footnote  
1]; 1:29 [Footnote 1]; 1:35  
[Footnotes 8, 22]**

Exemplary damages

see this main heading, subheading  
“punitive or exemplary damages”

Fiduciary duties, breach of

director of corporation, **34:1 et seq.**  
transferor, **1:2 [Footnote 19]**

Monetary judgment against recipient of a  
transfer at undervalue (Bankruptcy  
and Insolvency Act, s. 96(1)), **26:4,  
27:4**

No right to, in voidable transactions  
proceedings

Against

transferee, **7:13:25**

transferor, **7:13:50**

General, **5:20 [Text Accompany-  
ing Footnotes 19 et seq.],  
7:13**

Punitive or exemplary damages

oppression remedy, **33:5 [Text Follow-  
ing Footnote 43], 33:13 [Foot-  
note 5]**

voidable transactions, **7:15 [Esp. Text  
Following Footnotes 1, 3]**

Restitution, analogy with secrecy, collu-  
sion and contrivance, **1:35  
[Footnotes 8, 22]**

Tort, actual damage or injury as a neces-  
sary element of liability for  
negligence, **14:9 [Footnote 1]. See  
also Index, main heading “Tort”**

Unjust enrichment, damages for, **7:14  
[Text Following Footnote 16], 33:5  
[Text Following Footnote 41]**

Analogy with secrecy, collusion and  
contrivance, **1:35 [Footnotes 8,  
22]**

**DEBT LITIGATION, DEBT  
COLLECTION AND RELATED  
MATTERS**

Debt collection, see also main heading  
“Enforcement of money judgments”

frustrating collection, **1:4**

impediments to collection, **1:3**

money judgments and enforcement of  
judgment debts, **1:3**

Debt litigation, **2:1**

Limiting financial exposure to avoid liti-  
gation, **2:1**

**DEBTOR AND DEBT**

See also Enforcement of money judg-  
ments, the role of the execution cred-  
itor and the seizure of the debtor’s  
property by the sheriff or other simi-  
lar official; Insolvency/solvency of  
parties

Absconding, **1:1 to 1:8**

Arrest

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **32:3  
[Text Following Footnote 1]**

Ontario, under (repealed) Fraudulent  
Debtors Arrest Act, **36:1 [Foot-  
note 1]**

Church sanctuary (pre-modern) for fugi-  
tive debtors, **1:4 [Footnote 3]**

Collection of money judgment from, **1:3  
[Esp. Footnote 6 et seq.]**

title to or ownership or possession of  
debtor’s property bound by writ of  
execution, **1:3 [Text Preceding  
and Following Footnote 22]**

Concealment of property by, **3:2, 3:6**

Consumer debt, consequences of  
increased, **1:1 [Text Accompanying  
and Following Footnote 10]**

Conveyance by

see Conveyance, disposition and other  
similar transactions

“Debt” and “debtor”: no definition in  
Ontario Legislation Act, 2006, S.O.  
2006, c. 21, Schedule F (successor to  
the former Interpretation Act), **1:9  
[Footnote 9]**

Debtor-solicitor relationship

dishonesty, fraud, etc. by debtor, **2:2  
(LSUC r. 3.2 to 7)**

general, **2:2, 2:3**

solicitor as dupe of debtor, **2:2**

**DEBTOR AND DEBT—Cont'd**

Debtor-solicitor relationship—Cont'd  
traditional setting, debtor-creditor relationship as, **1:2**

Debts of debtor, forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal to collect, etc. See Index, main heading  
“Consideration,” then “Debts of debtor.” See also Index, main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then “debts”, meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA)”

Examination of  
bankrupt and others (federal law), **23:1 et seq.**  
debtor (provincial law), **3:1 [Footnote 12]**  
discovery, debtor’s answers, effect on proving  
transferee’s intent, **14:1 to 14:39, 15:14 [Footnote 15]**

Financial situation

see Insolvency of parties

Imprisonment for debt, abolition, **1:32 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 2 to 4]**

Intent of

bankruptcy, **24:16**

fraudulent conveyances

see Intent — fraudulent conveyances

fraudulent preferences, **19:6 to 19:9**

Interest in conveyed property, **9:3, 13:1 [Footnote 1], 14:51**

Litigation against, see main heading  
“Debt litigation”

Payment to creditor by, **19:4 [Footnote 1], 20:10 [Footnote 3], 24:8 [Footnote 1]**

Remedies against

see Remedies

Removal from jurisdiction, **1:5**

Reputation for honesty, **1:1 [Footnote 26]**

Rights concerning conveyed property, **6:1 [Footnote 7]**

Testify or give evidence, failure to, **14:42 [Text at Footnote 110]**

Use of term, **1:2, 1:3, 1:4**

**DECEIT, DISHONESTY, IMMORALITY, ILLEGALITY, COLLUSION, GUILE, MALICE, ETC., IN RELATION TO FRAUD**

See also Index, main headings: “Concealment or removal of property”;  
“Fraud”; “Illegal transactions”;  
“Sham transactions”

Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions

badges of fraud

See this subheading, under “voidable transactions”

cheating

See this subheading, under “covin”  
collusion

covin, originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **14:43.50 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6]**

secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to conspiracy, **1:1 [Footnotes 18], 1:27 [Footnote 4], 1:28 [Footnote 1], 1:29 [Footnote 1], 1:35 [Footnote 22]**

covin

generally, **14:43.50**

originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **14:43.50 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6]**

Statute of Elizabeth, an Act against certain “covinous” transactions, **1:14 [Footnote 5], 14:43.50 [Text Following Note 6]**

deceit

deceit, unfair competition, and interference with profitable relations they maintain with business associates or employees, remedy against, **7:17 [Footnote 4]**

“defraud and deceive” (Ontario Fraudulent Conveyances Act, s. 5: frauds against purchasers), different terminology than in provisions respecting frauds



**DECEIT, DISHONESTY,  
IMMORALITY, ILLEGALITY,  
COLLUSION, GUILLE, MALICE,  
ETC., IN RELATION TO FRAUD  
—Cont'd**

Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions

—Cont'd

deceit—Cont'd

against creditors, **1:8 [Footnote 77]**

fraud, whether the same as, **1:1 [Text Following Footnote 26], 1:1 [Footnote 27], 1:8 [Footnote 77], 1:35 [Footnote 31]**

dishonesty and honesty

dishonesty not having a criminal or quasi-criminal dimension, **1:29**

honesty, reputation for, **1:1 [Footnote 26]**

solicitor's judgment-proofing advice, **2:6 [Footnote 5 and Accompanying Text]**

stretching and distorting existing legislation to prevent dishonest trading, **6:3 [Footnote 8.500 and accompanying text]**

transferee's notice or knowledge of debtor's fraud, whether "dishonesty," **1:29 [Text Accompanying Footnote 5]**

general, **1:28, 1:29, 1:27 [Footnote 5], [1:35 and Following]**

guile

British Columbia (Fraudulent Conveyance Act), **1:28, 15:15 other provinces, 1:29**

illegality

See Index, main heading "Illegal transactions"

immorality

See Index, main heading "Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud"

mala fides, **1:28 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 8, 17]; [Text Following Footnote 15]**

malice

criminal and quasi-criminal law

British Columbia Fraudulent Conveyance Act, **1:28 other**

**DECEIT, DISHONESTY,  
IMMORALITY, ILLEGALITY,  
COLLUSION, GUILLE, MALICE,  
ETC., IN RELATION TO FRAUD  
—Cont'd**

Civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions

—Cont'd

malice—Cont'd

criminal and quasi-criminal law

—Cont'd

**provinces, 1:29**

secrecy, collusion and contrivance

linked to conspiracy, **1:1 [Footnote 18]; 1:27 [Footnote 4]; 1:28 [Footnote 1]; 1:29 [Footnote 1]; 1:35 [Footnotes 8, 22]**

unjust enrichment, restitution, the tort of knowing receipt, analogies with, "Tort," then "Knowing receipt, tort of," **1:35 [Footnotes 8, 22]. See also Index, main headings: "Damages," then "Restitution, analogy with secrecy, collusion and contrivance" and "Unjust enrichment, damages for"**

voidable transactions, badges of fraud, and deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., **14:42**

Criminal or quasi-criminal dimension?

British Columbia and other provinces

British Columbia (Fraudulent Conveyance Act), **1:28**

collusion

legislation is remedial, therefore "collusion, guile, malice or fraud" ignored, **1:28 [Text Following Footnote 19]**

"defraud" not ordinarily used in any criminal or quasi-criminal sense under the various Fraudulent Conveyances Acts, **1:28**

contra, **[Text accompanying and Following Footnote 1:28]**

dishonesty (having a criminal or quasi-criminal dimension)

**DECEIT, DISHONESTY,  
IMMORALITY, ILLEGALITY,  
COLLUSION, GUILLE, MALICE,  
ETC., IN RELATION TO FRAUD**

**—Cont'd**

Criminal or quasi-criminal dimension?

**—Cont'd**

British Columbia and other provinces

**—Cont'd**

British Columbia (Fraudulent  
Conveyance Act), **1:28**

**—Cont'd**

not required to be proven,  
**1:28**

legislation is remedial, therefore  
“collusion, guile, malice or  
fraud” ignored, **1:28** [Text  
Following Footnote 9]

mala fides, **1:28** [Text  
Accompanying and Follow-  
ing Footnote 9]

other Canadian common law  
jurisdictions, **1:29**

general, **1:28, 1:29, 1:27** [Footnote 5],  
**[1:35 and Following]**

passport selling by “offshore”  
countries, to enable fraud, money  
laundering, and tax evasion, **1:3**  
[Footnote 12.500]

**DEEMED, MEANING OF**

Generally, **28:5** [Footnote 12]

**DE FACTO CONTROL**

Generally, **28:6** [Footnote 7]

**DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

Generally, **24:9**

Deemed admission of allegations, **14:1 to  
14:39**

**DEFENCES — FRAUDULENT  
PREFERENCES**

Change of position, **24:3**

Honest attempt to stave off going out of  
business, **19:35, 24:21**

Pressure, transfer made in response to,  
**19:36 to 19:38**

Previous promise to make future transfer,  
**19:34, 24:20**

**DE JURE CONTROL**

Generally, **28:6**

**DERIVATIVE ACTION**

See also Oppression remedy; Remedies

Introduction, **33:1, 33:8**

Legislation, **33:9**

Remedies, **33:13**

Standing, **33:10**

**DESIGNATION OF BENEFICIARY OF  
LIFE INSURANCE POLICY**

Generally, **9:18 to 9:33**

**DETENTION, CUSTODY AND  
PRESERVATION OF PROPERTY  
ORDERS, BANKRUPTCY  
LEGISLATION**

Generally, **36:1** [Footnote 1]

**DETERRENCE, OF PERSON  
CONTEMPLATING  
FRAUDULENT DEALING WITH  
PROPERTY**

“Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bank-  
ruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then  
sequentially “preferences,” “deter-  
rence: preference provisions deter  
creditors from dismembering bank-  
rupt’s estate before bankruptcy and  
thereby precluding equality of distri-  
bution of estate”

“Bulk sales legislation,” subheading  
“deterrence of fraudulent transfers of  
property”

“Certificate of pending litigation,”  
subheading “registration as a deter-  
rent to dealing with property”

“Criminal Code (Canada) provisions  
respecting voidable transactions and  
related matters,” subheading “deter-  
rence, of creditor contemplating  
voidable transaction, best left to  
criminal law”

“Fraudulent conveyances,” then  
sequentially, “goals,” “deterrence,  
of creditor contemplating voidable  
transaction”

“Fraudulent preferences,” then  
sequentially, “goals,” “deterrence,  
of creditor contemplating voidable  
transaction”

“Injunctions,” subheading “deterrence,  
refusal to freeze bank account,  
contempt of court”

“Landlord and tenant matters,” then  
sequentially “history,” “aiding ten-

**DETERRENCE, OF PERSON**

**CONTEMPLATING  
FRAUDULENT DEALING WITH  
PROPERTY—Cont'd**

ant in fraudulent removal of prop-  
erty, 'double-value' penalty as deter-  
rent (UK Distress for Rent Act,  
1737)"

**DIRECTORS**

See also Corporations; Payment of  
dividends/purchase or redemption of  
shares; Oppression Remedy

Fraud and dishonesty, advice from solici-  
tor, **2:2 (LSUC r. 3.2 to 8)**

Liability of

bankruptcy and insolvency provisions,  
**30:1 et seq.**

CBCA liability, **30:5 [Footnote 1]**

fiduciary duties, breach of, **34:1 et seq.**

fraudulent, as against creditors, **14:3**  
**[Footnote 5]**

interlocking corporate directorships,  
**14:44**

law reform, **35:2**

personal liability, **14:3 [Footnote 5],**  
**28:14 [Footnote 1], 30:1 [Foot-**  
**note 3], 33:1 [Footnote 11], 33:13**  
**[Footnote 9], 35:1 et seq.,**

provincial legislation, **35:1**

Notice, knowledge and privity of

privity to reviewable transaction (former  
bankruptcy provision: s. 100,  
BIA), **28:14**

transferee's notice or knowledge of  
transferor's fraudulent intent,  
where same individual is "control-  
ling mind" of both transferor and  
transferee, **14:3 [Footnote 5],**  
**14:44 [Footnote 8], 15:1 et seq.,**  
**15:10 [Footnote 34], 15:14 [Foot-**  
**note 8]**

**DISCLAIMERS OF LEGACIES OR  
GIFTS**

See main heading "Conveyance, disposi-  
tion or other similar transactions,"  
subheading "disclaimers of legacies  
or gifts"

**DISCOVERY**

Debtor's answers on discovery, effect on  
proving transferee's intent, **14:9**  
**[Footnote 3], 15:14 [Footnote 15]**

**DISCOVERY—Cont'd**

Equitable (Norwich order), **3:4**

**DISHONESTY**

See Index, main heading "Deceit, dishon-  
esty, immorality, illegality, collusion,  
guile, malice, etc., in relation to  
fraud"

**DISPOSITION**

See Conveyance, disposition and other  
similar transactions

**DISTRIBUTION OF PROCEEDS OF  
ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS**

See Creditors' relief legislation

**DIVIDENDS, PAYMENT OF, AND  
PURCHASE OR REDEMPTION  
OF SHARES**

See Corporations

**DOUBLE INTENT REQUIREMENT**

See Concurrent intent doctrine

**DREISER, THEODORE**

The Financier (1912)

financial and other practical conse-  
quences of criminal fraud convic-  
tion, **1:1 [Footnote 19]**

motives for giving a preference, **17:1**  
**[Footnote 17]**

see also Intent — fraudulent convey-  
ances; Intent — fraudulent pref-  
erences

The Titan (1914)

liberal interpretation or original intent  
of legislation, where obscured or  
nullified by courts, legislatures,  
contracts, etc., **1:8 [Footnote 29]**

see also main heading "Legislation,"  
then sequentially "interpreta-  
tion," "voidable transactions  
statutes," "liberal/broad inter-  
pretation?"

pyramid building schemes, **1:1 [Foot-**  
**note 16]**

see also Pyramid building schemes  
reputation of debtor for honesty, **1:1**  
**[Footnote 26]**

See also Index, main heading

"Deceit, dishonesty, immoral-  
ity, illegality, collusion, guile,  
malice, etc., in relation to  
fraud"

## DUTY OF CARE

Creditors as beneficiaries of, **34:1 et seq.**

## EFFECT OF TRANSACTION

See also Judgment

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act

Preferences

see Bankruptcy, under “preferences”  
 (“elements of impeachable  
 preference”)

transfers at undervalue (s

96). see Bankruptcy, under  
 “transfers at undervalue”

Harm to creditor, must it always be  
 proven? see main heading “Harm to  
 creditor, must it always be proven for  
 success in action even where fraudu-  
 lent intent is proven?”

Impeachment, effect, see main headings  
 “Judgment” and “Void or voidable”

Oppression remedy, **33:1 [Footnote 9],**  
 **33:6 [Footnote 5]**

Provincial law

fraudulent conveyances

see Fraudulent conveyances

law reform, **14:62 to 14:67**

fraudulent preferences

see Fraudulent preferences

law reform, **19:14 to 19:18**

## ENDORSEMENT OF DEBTOR'S DEBT

As a “creditor” under preference legisla-  
 tion, **18:2**

Payment to, as preference, **19:5**

## ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY

### JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE EXECUTION CREDITOR AND THE SEIZURE OF THE DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR OFFICIAL

See also Index, main headings: “Actions  
 and other proceedings”; “Bank-  
 ruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy  
 and Insolvency Act),” then “Debts”,  
 meaning of (s. 121(1), BIA);  
 “Claim”; “Judgment”; “Proceed-  
 ings and procedure”

Debtor, examination of and information  
 concerning debtor's property

see Examination of debtors and others  
 (outside bankruptcy); Information

## ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY

### JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE EXECUTION CREDITOR AND THE SEIZURE OF THE DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR OFFICIAL—Cont'd

Debtor, examination of and information  
 concerning debtor's property

—Cont'd

concerning the debtor and the  
 debtor's property; for bankruptcy  
 proceedings, see main heading  
 “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal  
 Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)”

Enforcement of judgment

collection of money judgment, **1:1 et**  
 **seq.; 4:1 et seq.**

enforcement measures against debtor's  
 property, by judgment creditor.

See, below, execution creditor

enforcement not a right to pillage and  
 leave debtor destitute and still in  
 debt, **39:13 [Text Following Foot-**  
 **note 3]**

generally, **1:2, 7:1 et seq.**

frustrating debt collection, **1:4**

impediments to debt collection by  
 unsecured creditors, **1:3**

intentional interference with the eco-  
 nomic expectations of an  
 aggrieved judgment creditor,  
 fraudulent conveyance as, **7:17**

proceeds of property fraudulently  
 transferred

see Proceeds of property fraudulently  
 transferred; see also Pecuniary  
 judgment directly against fraud-  
 ulent transferees

“sheriff,” expanded meaning in Sec-  
 tion 4, Note before, **4:1**

stay of proceedings and other related  
 proceedings, **7:18**

voidable transactions law

adjunct to enforcement law, **1:49**  
 **[Footnote 3]**

effect of, on role of sheriff in enforc-  
 ing money judgments (the  
 meaning of “void”), **4:10**

inception of voidable transactions  
 law, **1:1 [Footnote 5], 1:12**  
 **[Footnote 1]**

**ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY  
JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE  
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND  
THE SEIZURE OF THE  
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE  
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR  
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

- Examination of debtor and others, see  
main heading "Examination of debt-  
ors and others"
- Execution creditor
  - see also Standing to impeach — fraud-  
ulent conveyances; Standing to  
impeach — fraudulent prefer-  
ences
  - bringing suit in own name alone, **5:8**  
[**Footnote 5**]
  - enforcement measures against debtor's  
property, by
    - generally, **1:15** [**Footnote 4**], **9:2**  
[**Footnotes 3, 4**]
    - liability of creditor, **4:17**
    - seeking instructions and/or  
indemnification from creditor's  
solicitor, **4:18**
  - execution, right to levy, **9:2** [**Footnotes**  
**3, 4**]
  - see also *infra*, this title, under  
"sheriffs or other similar  
officials and the seizure of debt-  
or's property"
  - harm to creditor, must it always be  
proven? see main heading "Harm  
to creditor, must it always be  
proven for success in action even  
where fraudulent intent is also  
proven?"
  - liability for excessive intervention in  
execution process, **4:3** [**Footnote**  
**6**]; **4:17**
  - money judgments and the enforcement  
of judgment debts, **1:1 to 1:3**
  - frustrating debt collection, **1:4**
  - impediments to debt collection, **1:3**
  - property of no or trivial value, execu-  
tion against, and fraudulent  
conveyances statutes, **1:7** [**Foot-**  
**note 4**], **9:2** [**Footnote 3**], **9:5**  
[**Footnote 1**], **14:26** [**Footnote 1**]
  - burden of proof on transferee, **9:2**  
[**Footnote 3**]
  - sheriff or other similar official as credi-  
tor's agent or surrogate to seize

**ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY  
JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE  
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND  
THE SEIZURE OF THE  
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE  
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR  
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

- Execution creditor—Cont'd
  - property allegedly transferred  
fraudulently, **4:7**
  - title to or ownership or possession of  
debtor's property bound by writ of  
execution, remaining in debtor  
until divested under applicable  
law, **1:3** [**Text Preceding and**  
**Following Footnote 22**]
- Legislation, **4:11 to 4:15**
  - judicial dispute resolution proceedings  
and related matters, sheriff's resort  
to interpleader and motions for  
directions, **4:15**
  - Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure,  
R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, **4:15**  
[**Text Following Footnote 8**]
  - property was transferred in bad faith  
(Ont. Execution Act), **4:14**
  - seizure of property in possession of a  
third party, **4:11 to 4:13**
- Litigation against debtors, see main head-  
ing "Debt litigation, debt collection  
and related matters"
- Private or closely held corporations,
  - restrictions on share transfers and on  
other entitlements
  - see Corporations (under "private or  
closely held corporations, restric-  
tions on share transfers and on  
other entitlements")
- enforcement proceedings, effect of  
court intervention or non-interven-  
tion on, **39:1**
- restrictions on share transfers, reasons  
for, as device to evade execution,  
**39:14** [**Text at Footnote 1**]
- Proper forum and procedure to collect on  
money judgment, determination of,  
**1:24** [**Text Following Footnote 7**]
- Sheriffs or other similar officials and the  
seizure of debtor's property
  - abandonment of seizure, **7:18** [**Foot-**  
**note 9**]
  - bar to voidable transaction proceeding  
by prior seizure, **5:11**

**ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY  
JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE  
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND  
THE SEIZURE OF THE  
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE  
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR  
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

Sheriffs or other similar officials and the seizure of debtor's property—Cont'd  
cautionary approach by sheriff, **4:8**  
“common law” (expansionist) view, **4:1 and (b)**  
response  
    general, **4:4**  
    law reform proposals, **4:6**  
    legislation, **4:11 to 4:15**  
    voidable transactions law and meaning of “void,” **4:10**  
dispute resolution proceedings, **4:15**  
duty to discover existence and location of debtor's property, **4:10**  
expansive role for sheriffs?, **4:1 et seq.**  
indemnification of sheriff or other similar official, **4:3 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 10, 11], 7:18 [Footnote 6 and Accompanying Text]**  
instructions to sheriff, **4:17**  
interpleader, by sheriff, **4:15**  
liability of sheriff or other similar official, **4:8 to 4:10; 4:15**  
motion for directions, **4:15**  
practice of sheriff or other similar official, **4:7 to 4:10**  
property subject (or not subject) to seizure  
    common law restrictions, continuing applicability of, **9:5 [Footnote 2]**  
    exchanged or substituted property, **11:1**  
    burden of proof on transferee, **9:2 [Footnote 3]**  
exempt property  
    see main heading “Property,” then sequentially “provincial law,” “property exempt from enforcement proceedings”  
sheriff or other similar official as creditor's agent or surrogate to seize property allegedly transferred fraudulently, **4:1 et seq.**

**ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY  
JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE  
EXECUTION CREDITOR AND  
THE SEIZURE OF THE  
DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE  
SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR  
OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

Sheriffs or other similar officials and the seizure of debtor's property—Cont'd  
property subject (or not subject) to seizure—Cont'd  
    title to or ownership or possession of debtor's property bound by writ of execution, **1:3**  
    trust property, **13:1 [Footnote 1]**  
    see also Trusts  
seizure, **1:1 to 1:8, 7:5 to 7:22**  
    generally, **1:3, 1:4, 7:5 to 7:22**  
    creditor's right to direct a seizure, **9:2 [Footnotes 3, 4]**  
    effect of seizure, property not impeachable under fraudulent conveyances statutes, property non-fraudulently conveyed and therefore cannot be reached by creditors, **1:7 [Footnote 4]; 9:5 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]; 14:1 et seq.**  
excessive seizure  
    see this heading (“seizure”), under “wrongful or excessive seizure.”  
expansive role for sheriffs?, **4:1 et seq.**  
frustrating debt collection, **1:4**  
impediments to debt collection by unsecured creditors, **1:3**  
property interest sheriff can seize and pass on at sheriff's sale, **39:1 et seq.**  
    common law restrictions, continuing applicability of, **9:5 [Text Accompanying Footnote 2]**  
sheriff's potential liability for the seizure or failure to seize the debtor's exigible property and for the wrongful seizure of a third party's property, **4:8 to 4:10**  
title to or ownership or possession of debtor's property bound by writ of execution, **1:3 [Text Preced-**



**ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY**

**JUDGMENTS, THE ROLE OF THE EXECUTION CREDITOR AND THE SEIZURE OF THE DEBTOR'S PROPERTY BY THE SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR OFFICIAL—Cont'd**

Sheriffs or other similar officials and the seizure of debtor's property—Cont'd seizure, **1:1 to 1:8, 7:5 to 7:22**

—Cont'd

**ing and Following Footnote 22]**

what constitutes

generally, **7:18 [Footnote 6 and Accompanying Text]**

physical possession of or contact with “seized” property, whether required, **7:18 [Footnote 9]**

“walking possession” agreement, **7:18 [Footnote 9]**

wrongful or excessive seizure, **4:1 et seq. (esp. 4(a.1)); 7:18 [Footnote 6 and Accompanying Text]**

“sheriff” or other similar official, expanded meaning in Section 4, Note before, **4:1**

status of sheriff in enforcement proceedings (agency, seizure and property interests, **4:7**

Solicitor for execution creditor

see also Solicitors

liability for excessive intervention in execution process, **4:17**

**EQUITY**

See also main heading “Statute of Elizabeth and other English statutes,” subheading “common law and equity”

Equitable change of position, doctrine of, **20:13; 24:3**

Equitable discovery (Norwich order), **3:4**

Ponzi schemes

see main heading “Ponzi schemes (transactions induced by fraud)”

United States law

equitable relief (for example, specific performance; constructive trust) denied to transferee (where fraud-

**EQUITY—Cont'd**

United States law—Cont'd

ulent conveyance is characterized as “illegal”)

see also main heading “Illegal transactions”

**ESTATE PLANNING, ASSET AND TAX SHELTERING**

See also main heading “Advice, from solicitors, accountants, financial advisors, trustees, and others”

Cloaking real purpose of transaction, **2:4**

Estate planning by non-solicitors, **2:4 [Text Following Footnote 25]**

Examples of, **2:1 [Text Following Footnote 3]**

General, **2:4, 14:7**

Income and other taxes

tax evasion, asset and tax sheltering, money laundering, creation and investment of illicit funds, and other similar arrangements. See also Index, main heading “Solicitors”

“offshore” accounts and tax havens passport selling by “offshore” countries, to enable fraud, money laundering, and tax evasion, **1:13 [Footnote 12.500]**

“offshore” accounts and tax havens, use of by world leaders, powerful politicians, billionaires, celebrities, religious leaders and drug dealers to hide their investments in mansions, exclusive beachfront property, yachts and other assets, 2021 report of the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (the “Pandora Papers”), **2:6 [Footnote 2]**

assets are transnational, mobile, and inaccessible to governments that wish to tax and regulate them, **1:1 [Footnote 27.500]**

tax havens, secrecy of, **1:1 [Footnote 27.500]**

solicitors, accountants, company incorporators, and wealth managers: enablers who facili-

**ESTATE PLANNING, ASSET AND TAX  
SHELTERING—Cont'd**

Income and other taxes—Cont'd  
     tax evasion, asset and tax sheltering,  
         money laundering, creation and  
         investment of illicit funds, and  
         other similar arrangements. See  
         also Index, main heading “Solicitors”—Cont'd  
             tate money laundering and tax  
             evasion, accountability of, **2:6**  
             [**Footnote 2**]  
     trusts, use of to shield assets of  
         “superrich,” etc., **9:17** [**Foot-**  
         **note 2**], **14:51** [**Footnote 2**]  
     vehicles to hide ownership (anony-  
         mous companies, trusts, founda-  
         tions) and to invest illicit funds  
         (real estate, hedge funds, art,  
         factories), **1:1** [**Footnote**  
         **27.500**]  
     transferor-transferee liability, **28:5**  
         [**Footnotes 10, 11**]  
     Judgment-proofing techniques, **1:8**  
         [**Footnotes 24, 26**]; **2:1** [**Footnote 3**]  
     asset protection: laws, “bulking up,”  
         **1:1** [**Text Accompanying Foot-**  
         **note 21 et seq.**]  
         by non-professionals, **1:1** [**Footnote**  
         **22**]  
     corporate status and incorporation;  
         trusts; asset protection; and  
         corporate law: critique (in terms of  
         creation of wealth and its distribu-  
         tion), **1:1** [**Footnote 12**]; **1:1**  
         [**Footnote 32**]; **1:1** [**Text Follow-**  
         **ing Footnote 24**]; **1:15** [**Footnote**  
         **8**]; **9:25** [**Text Following Foot-**  
         **note 1**]  
     see also main heading “Bankruptcy  
         (Canadian federal Bankruptcy  
         and Insolvency Act),” subhead-  
         ing “wealth and inequality, how  
         the law creates (asset protec-  
         tion, trusts, corporate status,  
         bankruptcy law, and the role of  
         lawyers)”  
     trusts, use of, to shield assets, **1:1** [**Text**  
         **Following Footnote 24**]; **9:17**  
         [**Footnote 2**]; **14:51** [**Footnote 2**]  
     Secrecy and estate planning, **2:1** [**Foot-**  
         **note 15**]; **2:4** [**Text Following Foot-**  
         **note 25**]

**EVIDENCE**

See also main headings Badges of fraud;  
     Proceedings and procedure; Intent —  
     fraudulent conveyances; Intent —  
     fraudulent preferences  
     Corroboration, **14:48**  
     Deference by appeal court to findings of  
         trial judge, **14:9** [**Text Accompany-**  
         **ing Footnote 1**]  
         badges of fraud, **14:42** [**Footnotes 22,**  
         **45**]; **14:54** [**Footnote 12**]  
     fact, overturning finding of fact by  
         lower court, etc.: subject to a  
         palpable and overriding error stan-  
         dard of review, **14:1 et seq.**  
     Direct evidence or express admission of  
         “actual” fraudulent intent  
         see main heading “Intent — fraudulent  
         conveyances,” subheading “direct  
         evidence or express admission of  
         ‘actual’ fraudulent intent”  
     Fact, overturning finding of fact by lower  
         court, etc.: subject to a palpable and  
         overriding error standard of review,  
         **14:1 et seq.**  
     Fresh evidence, introduction of on appeal,  
         **14:55**  
     Quantity and quality of the evidence  
         badges of fraud, **14:42** [**Footnote 22**]  
         books, records, financial statements and  
         other documents  
         incomplete, inaccurate or misleading  
         documents, **14:41 to 14:43**  
         (badge of fraud (24))  
         loss of, **14:41 to 14:43** (badge of  
         fraud (24))  
         credibility and reliability distinguished,  
         **14:54** [**Footnotes 6 to 8**]  
         failure of transferor or transferee to  
         testify or give evidence, **14:42**  
         [**Footnote 110**]  
         passage of time, effect of, **14:56**  
         removal or redaction of portions of the  
         record from publicly filed materi-  
         als; submissions relating to (a)  
         confidentiality of material; (b)  
         material respecting solicitor-client  
         privilege; and (c) the lack of a  
         Reply pleading with respect to the  
         limitation defence, **2:7** [**Footnote**  
         **7**], **5:5** [**Footnote 25**]  
     stay of proceedings pending appeal,  
         fraud must be pleaded with

## INDEX

### **EVIDENCE—Cont'd**

- Quantity and quality of the evidence
  - Cont'd
  - stay of proceedings pending appeal,
    - Cont'd
    - particularity, **14:53** [Footnote 16]
  - witness's honesty, **14:54** [Footnotes 6 to 8]
- Res ipsa loquitur, **14:48**
- "Second evidentiary rule," **14:48**

### **EXAMINATION OF DEBTORS AND OTHERS (OUTSIDE BANKRUPTCY)**

- See also Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act); Information concerning the debtor and the debtor's property
- Generally, **3:1**
- Admission of no intention to obey order or judgment
  - see also main heading "Intent — fraudulent conveyance," subheading "direct evidence or express admission of "actual" fraudulent intent"
- Contempt orders, **1:32**
  - generally, **1:3, 1:32**
  - against debtor, **1:32**
- Debtor, **3:2**
  - assets, secret, discovery of, **3:1 et seq.**
  - discovery, debtor's answers, effect on proving transferee's intent, **14:9** [Footnote 3]; **15:14** [Footnote 15]
  - examination for discovery, **3:2**
  - sheriff, examination by, **4:1**
- Information concerning debtors and debtors' property
  - generally, **1:3, 3**
  - financial information, **1:1**
- Persons other than debtor, of, **3:3, 3:4**

### **EXECUTION CREDITOR**

- See Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of debtor's property by the sheriff or other similar official

### **EXECUTION OF MONEY JUDGMENTS**

- See Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and

### **EXECUTION OF MONEY**

#### **JUDGMENTS—Cont'd**

- the seizure of debtor's property by the sheriff or other similar official

### **EXEMPLARY DAMAGES, AWARDED AGAINST DEFENDANT**

- See main heading "Damages"

### **EXEMPT PROPERTY**

- See Property

### **EXPERT WITNESSES**

- Generally, **14:9** [Text Accompanying Footnote 2]

### **FAMILY LAW: LEGISLATION; AGREEMENTS AND OTHER ARRANGEMENTS; AND JURISPRUDENCE**

- See also Close relationships; Trusts
- Disclosure of debtor's assets transferred or gifted to another person, **41:15** [Footnote 1]
- Family law agreements and other arrangements
  - consideration, inadequacy, whether leniency warranted where conveyance is between spouses or relatives, **14:1 et seq.**
  - pre-nuptial, pre-marital, co-habitation, and separation agreements
    - general, **41:18, 41:19**
    - law reform, **41:22**
- Family property legislation, disposition of property
  - badges and presumptions of fraud, and, **14:42** [Footnote 25]; **41:10**
  - fraudulent conveyances and potential claims under family property legislation (list of types of claims), **13:8** [Footnote 1]
  - injunctions, distinguished from, **41:13 to 41:17**
  - jurisprudence, **41:10**
  - restraining and preservation orders, **41:14**
  - statutes
    - British Columbia, Manitoba, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, **41:2**
    - Nova Scotia, **41:8**
  - statutes not expressly requiring fraudulent intention, **41:11**

**FAMILY LAW: LEGISLATION;  
AGREEMENTS AND OTHER  
ARRANGEMENTS; AND  
JURISPRUDENCE—Cont'd**

Family property legislation, disposition of property—Cont'd

statutes requiring fraudulent intention, **41:2 to 41:10**

statutory language, compared with Fraudulent Conveyances statutes, **41:9**

Marriage contracts

change, suspension or termination of order, evidence of prior fraudulent conveyance, **41:14 [Footnote 30]**

enforcement of order

preservation orders, **41:17**

restraining orders, **41:16**

spousal misconduct, fraudulent conveyance as, **14:15 [Footnote 1]**

matrimonial cases, **13:9, 14:51, 14:59, 14:60**

**FIDUCIARY DUTIES**

Breach of

see also main headings “Corporations”; “Directors”

by corporate directors, **2:5 [Footnote 11]; 34:1 et seq.**

by solicitors, **2:5 [Footnote 11]**

Imposition of, where necessary, **11:1 [Footnote 7]**

Tracing, theories behind, **11:1 [Text Following Footnote 4]**

**FINANCIAL PLANNERS, ADVICE  
FROM**

See Advice, from solicitors, accountants, financial planners, trustees, and other professionals; Estate planning; Solicitors

**FORFEITURE**

Fraudulent conveyances statutes, and, **1:12, 1:26, 1:27**

Illicit transactions, characterized as fraudulent, **1:1; 1:35 [Footnote 22]; 6:2 [Footnote 1]**

**FORGERY OF A DEED OR OTHER  
INSTRUMENT, AS A BADGE OF  
FRAUD**

See main heading Badges of fraud (under “examples (non-exhaustive),” then

**FORGERY OF A DEED OR OTHER  
INSTRUMENT, AS A BADGE OF  
FRAUD—Cont'd**

under “conveyance”)

**FRAUD**

See also Index, main headings, “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”; “Fraudulent conveyances”; “Fraudulent preferences”; “Legislation” (then sequentially “interpretation,” “voidable transactions statutes”)

Badges of fraud

see Badges of fraud

Consumer credit (modern dramatic increase in), over-indebtedness and fraud, **1:1 [Footnote 10]**

Elizabethan notions of fraud, including fraudulent conveyances, **1:1 [Footnote 5]**

Examples of, **1:1 [Text Following Footnote 12]**

Illegal transactions, fraudulent conveyances characterized as, see main heading “Illegal transactions”

Judgment obtained by, **8:1 et seq., 15:13**

Meaning, **1:35 to 1:41.55, 1:41.65, 1:41.70, 1:41.80, 1:42, 14:1 to 14:39**

Covin, originally a secret agreement to cheat and defraud, now referred to as a conspiracy or collusion to cheat and defraud, **15:1 [Footnote 0.02]**

secrecy, collusion and contrivance likened to debtor-transferee conspiracy, **1:27 [Footnote 3]; 1:29 [Footnote 1]; 1:35 [Footnote 22]**

term not used in narrow, technical or criminal sense, **1:1 et seq.**

Theories of, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 39 et seq.]**

Transactions induced by, in equity, **38:1 et seq.**

**FRAUDS AGAINST PURCHASERS OF  
REAL PROPERTY**

Generally, **1:8 [Footnote 77]**

## FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

- See also Index, main heading “Conveyance, disposition and other similar transactions”
- All or virtually/essentially all of the debtor’s property, **14:42 [Footnote 24]**
- Badges of fraud
  - see Badges of fraud
- Benefit, retained or not retained by debtor; conveyed or not conveyed to transferee
  - see also main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “examples (non-exhaustive)” and “benefit, retention by debtor”; and main heading “Sham transactions,” then sequentially “benefit to debtor or transferee,” “retention by debtor”
  - no intention to convey any benefit and no benefit conveyed to or obtained by transferee (therefore no fraudulent conveyance), **1:8 [Text Following Footnote 21]; 14:51 [Text Following Footnote 49]**
  - retained by debtor, no need to show for fraudulent conveyance, **9:18 [Footnote 11 and Accompanying Text]**
- Bonds, judgments, orders and other proceedings, whether covered by fraudulent conveyances legislation, **1:5; 8:1 et seq.**
- British Columbia Fraudulent Conveyance Act, **1:28, 14:40, 15:15**
- Characterization of, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]; 7:5 to 7:22**
- damages, no right to, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]**
- declaratory relief, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]; 7:5 to 7:22**
- Commercial interests, law affecting
  - see Commercial interests
- Constitutionality of legislation, **1:8 [Footnote 78]**
- Criminal Code, **2:6**
- Effect of conveyance
  - see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for suc-

## FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

### —Cont’d

- Effect of conveyance—Cont’d
  - cess in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- Elizabethan obsession with fraudulent conveyancing, **1:8 [Footnote 63]; 1:14 [Footnote 5]**
- Fraudulent Conveyances Act (Ontario), s. 4, expanded voiding provision, **1:8 [Footnote 13]; 15:11 [Footnote 8]**
- Fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances
  - distinguished, **1:1 and Following; 1:37; 15:10 (re: present and past consideration)**
  - confusing the distinction, **1:35 [Text Accompanying Footnote 3, Footnotes 4 to 6]**
  - fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances, same transaction as
    - See below, under subheading “fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances,” then serially “pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act,” “whether the same transaction may be both, or either, a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation”
- Elizabethan obsession with fraudulent conveyancing, **1:1 [Footnote 7]; 1:8 [Footnote 63]; 1:14 [Footnote 5]**
- inception of voidable transactions law, **1:1 [Footnote 5]; 1:12 [Footnote 1]**
- licensed insolvency trustee, right to pursue voidable transactions under provincial statutes, **1:24, 1:25, 32:2**
  - see also Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act), under “licensed insolvency trustee”
- persons unable to impeach a conveyance successfully
  - see also Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances

## FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

### —Cont'd

Fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances—Cont'd  
persons unable to impeach a conveyance successfully—Cont'd  
creditors “privy,” etc. to the conveyance, **15:14**

pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 62]; 1:19 [Text Accompanying Footnote 15]; 1:19 [Text Following Footnote 25]; 19:1 [Footnote 6]**

see also Proceedings and procedure  
coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors, **26:3**

whether the same transaction may be a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation, **Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12]; 1:35 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6; 1:36 and Following; 38:5 [Text Following Footnote 42]; 38:5 [Text Preceding Footnote 18.300]**

creditor, transfer to: can fraudulent conveyances legislation be invoked, whether or not fraudulent preferences legislation may also be invoked, **1:35 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12]; 1:39 and Following**

conclusions, **1:42**

fraudulent conveyances legislation, nature and scope of, **1:41**

Statute of Elizabeth, ambit of: the “traditional view” respecting preferences, including the “mere cloak exception,” **1:41**

jurisprudence and commentary, **1:40**

## FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

### —Cont'd

Fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances—Cont'd  
pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 62]; 1:19 [Text Accompanying Footnote 15]; 1:19 [Text Following Footnote 25]; 19:1 [Footnote 6]**—Cont'd

whether the same transaction may be a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation, **Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12]; 1:35 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6; 1:36 and Following; 38:5 [Text Following Footnote 42]; 38:5 [Text Preceding Footnote 18.300]**—Cont'd

creditor, transfer to: can fraudulent conveyances legislation be invoked, whether or not fraudulent preferences legislation may also be invoked, **1:35 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12]; 1:39 and Following**—Cont'd

Optical Recording Laboratories Inc., Re

decision, **1:41**

sceptics, **1:41** responses, **1:41** conclusions, **1:42** fraudulent conveyances legislation, nature and scope of, **1:41** legislative reform versus judicial activism, **1:41.55; 14:46** (see also **14:20**, and **14:36** to **14:37**) “traditional view,” including the “mere cloak” exception, **1:41** justifying “traditional view”: effect of enactment of fraudulent preferences legislation, **1:41** transfer to creditor as two separate transac-



## FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

### —Cont'd

- Fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances—Cont'd
  - pleading both fraudulent conveyances
    - Act and fraudulent preferences Act, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 62]; 1:19 [Text Accompanying Footnote 15]; 1:19 [Text Following Footnote 25]; 19:1 [Footnote 6]**—Cont'd
    - whether the same transaction may be a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation, **Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12]; 1:35 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6; 1:36 and Following; 38:5 [Text Following Footnote 42]; 38:5 [Text Preceding Footnote 18.300]**—Cont'd
    - creditor, transfer to: can fraudulent conveyances legislation be invoked, whether or not fraudulent preferences legislation may also be invoked, **1:35 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 12]; 1:39 and Following**—Cont'd
      - tions (both a fraudulent conveyance and a fraudulent preference), 1:41**
  - Fraudulent purchase of personal property otherwise exempt from enforcement proceedings, in order to defeat creditors, **9:14**
  - Goals, purpose, and “normative ideals” of legislation, **1:35 to 1:42, 17:1 et seq.**
    - deterrence, of person contemplating voidable transaction
      - criminal law, resort to, **7:25 [Footnote 14]**
      - law reform and commentary, **1:8 [Footnote 46]; 1:35 [Text Following Footnotes 40, 41]; 1:48; 14:12 [Text Following Footnote 5]**
    - leveraged buyouts, See main heading “Leveraged buyouts”

## FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

### —Cont'd

- Goals, purpose, and “normative ideals” of legislation, **1:35 to 1:42, 17:1 et seq.**—Cont'd
  - deterrence, of person contemplating voidable transaction—Cont'd
  - prejudicial effect of conveyance, See main heading “Harm to creditor”
  - punitive or exemplary damages, and, **7:15 [Text Following Footnotes 1, 3]; 7:25 [Footnote 14]**
  - United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **1:8 [Footnote 46]; 1:35 [Text Following Footnote 42]**
  - purpose of fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences legislation, confusion respecting, **1:35 [Footnotes 3 to 5]**
  - tort and fraudulent conveyances distinguished, **1:1 et seq.**
- Good faith
  - see “Protected transactions” under this main heading
- Harm to creditor: whether an impeaching creditor must suffer harm before a conveyance may be set aside, even where the debtor is shown to be fraudulent
  - see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- Illegal transactions, fraudulent conveyances as
  - see main heading “Illegal transactions”
- Impeachment of transaction, see main heading “Judgment”
- Insolvency and solvency
  - statutory provisions, extended scope, no reference to, **19:1 [Footnote 2]**
  - where debtor is solvent at time of conveyance and remains solvent thereafter
    - see Insolvency/solvency of parties
- Intent
  - see Intent — fraudulent conveyances
- Interpretation of legislation
  - see main heading “Legislation,” then sequentially under “interpreta-

## FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

### —Cont'd

- Interpretation of legislation—Cont'd  
tion,” “voidable transactions  
statutes”
- Motive  
see Intent — fraudulent conveyances.
- Proceedings and procedure  
see Proceedings and procedure
- Property, types of, impeachable and not  
impeachable under fraudulent  
conveyances statutes  
see Property
- Protected transactions  
Assignment and Preferences Act Model  
generally, **16:1 et seq.**  
consideration, role of, **16:4 to 16:6**  
good faith, **16:3 [Footnote 4]**  
insolvency of debtor, **16:2**  
notice or knowledge of debtor's  
intent, by transferee, **16:3**
- Fraudulent Conveyances Act Model  
generally, **15:1 et seq.**  
concurrent intent doctrine  
See headings below (under  
“Fraudulent Conveyances  
Act Model”)  
consideration  
good faith and, **15:5**  
role of, **15:8 to 15:12**  
good faith, conveyances in  
badges of fraud, as evidence of,  
**15:3 [Footnote 1]**  
see also Badges of fraud  
comprehensive basket provision,  
as, **15:7**  
consideration and, **15:5**  
meaning, **15:2 to 15:7**  
mortgage, where specific impeach-  
ment exception made, **15:1**  
reference to  
debtor, **15:3, 15:4**  
genuineness of transaction, **15:6**  
transferee, **15:5**  
law reform, **15:16 to 15:22**
- Registration, etc. of deed, intent.  
See Index, main heading “Registration  
or filing of transfer deed or other  
instrument”
- Sale of property, British Columbia, **7:5 to 7:22**

## FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

### —Cont'd

- Standing to impeach  
see Standing to impeach — fraudulent  
conveyances
- Timing to determine intent (time of trans-  
action)  
exempt property, whether exemption  
retained by debtor after convey-  
ance, timing issue  
critique of current law and an  
alternative approach in the  
context of fraudulent convey-  
ances actions, **9:5.50 to 9:5.65**  
introduction, **9:5, 9:5.25**
- Torts  
distinguished from fraudulent convey-  
ances, **1:35**  
purpose, **7:17 [Footnote 4]**  
whether the transferee of a fraudulent  
conveyance may be liable in tort  
for the intentional interference  
with the economic expectations of  
an aggrieved judgment creditor,  
**7:17**  
whether transferee may be liable for  
intentional interference with eco-  
nomic expectations of judgment  
creditor, **7:17 [Text Following  
Footnote 1]**
- Transferee corporation controlled or  
organized by corporate or non-  
corporate debtor, **9:24, 9:25, 14:53**  
**[Footnote 6]; 15:14 [Footnote 8]**
- Transfer of assets to a new corporation  
and other related conduct, such as  
the issuance of additional shares in  
an existing corporation, whether a  
fraudulent transaction, **9:24, 9:25**
- Value of conveyed property, relevance of  
see also main heading “Harm to credi-  
tor, must it always be proven for  
success in action even where  
fraudulent intent is also proven?”  
link between property value and the  
debtor's intent, **14:28**  
property value as determinative for  
success in action, **14:29**
- Void/voidable on impeachment  
see main heading “Void/voidable”
- Whether the same transaction may be  
both, or either, a fraudulent convey-

## FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

### —Cont'd

ance (under, *inter alia*, the Statute of Elizabeth) and a fraudulent preference, **1:36 to 1:41.75, 1:42**

Writ of execution, and, **4:3**

## FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

See also Conveyance, disposition and other similar transactions

Attack under fraudulent conveyances legislation, **1:37 et seq.**

Bankruptcy law, under

see Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act), preferences

Bonds, judgments, orders and other proceedings, whether covered by fraudulent preference legislation, **20:3**

British Columbia, Fraudulent Preference Act, “disposition,” examples of, **19:2 [Footnote 1]**

Characterization of, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]**

damages, no right to, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]**

declaratory relief, **5:20 [Footnote 24]; 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23]**

Commercial interests, law affecting  
see Commercial interests

Constitutionality of, **18:7**

Elements of impeachable preference  
under provincial legislation

disposition of property, **9:1 et seq.**

effect of transaction, **19:13 [Text Accompanying Footnote 17 et seq.]**

elements, listed, **19:1**

insolvency of debtor

see Insolvency/solvency of parties

intent

see Intent — fraudulent preferences

motive

see Intent — fraudulent preferences.

payment by debtor to creditor, **19:3**

**[Footnote 3]; 20:10 [Footnote 3]**

payment by third party to creditor, **19:3**

**[Footnote 3]; 20:10 [Footnote 3]**

proportionality test, **19:20**

## FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

### —Cont'd

Elements of impeachable preference

under provincial legislation—Cont'd

proving preferential effect

general, **19:13, 19:20**

harm to creditor: whether an

impeaching creditor must suffer

harm before a conveyance may

be set aside, even where the

debtor is shown to be fraudulent

see main heading “Harm to credi-

tor, must it always be proven

for success in action even

where fraudulent intent is

also proven?”

presumptions

within statutory presumption

period, **19:19 to 19:32**

Alberta, **19:23**

British Columbia, **19:22**

New Brunswick, **19:26**

Nova Scotia, **19:27**

Ontario, **19:25**

Prince Edward Island, **19:28**

Saskatchewan, **19:23**

Yukon, **19:24**

presumptions, inferences and badges  
of fraud

general, **19:13**

outside the statutory presumption

period, **19:29**

time period, calculation of, **19:21**

quid pro quo, need for, **19:13 [Foot-**  
**note 46]**

to or for creditor, **19:5**

“creditor,” expanded definitions and  
remedies

Alberta Fraudulent Preferences

Act, s. 10, **18:2**

general, **19:5**

Saskatchewan Fraudulent Prefer-

ences Act, s. 12(1), (2), **19:1**

**et seq.**

Fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent  
preferences

distinguished, and confusing the  
distinction.

See Index, under main heading

“Fraudulent conveyances,”

then serially “fraudulent prefer-

ences and fraudulent

## FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

### —Cont'd

Fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences—Cont'd distinguished, and confusing the distinction.—Cont'd conveyances," "distinguished" fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances, same transaction as

See Index, under main heading "Fraudulent conveyances," then serially "fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances," "pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act," "and "whether the same transaction may be both, or either, a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation"

failure to distinguish, **1:1 et seq.**

licensed insolvency trustee, right to pursue voidable transactions under provincial statutes, **1:24, 1:25 32:2**

see also Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act), under "licensed insolvency trustee"

pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act

See Index, main heading "Fraudulent conveyances," "then serially "fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances," "pleading both fraudulent conveyances Act and fraudulent preferences Act"

coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors

whether the same transaction may be both, or either, a fraudulent conveyance and/or a fraudulent preference under applicable legislation

## FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

### —Cont'd

Fraudulent conveyances provision in fraudulent preferences statutes, **1:20**

Goals, purpose, and "normative ideals" of legislation, **1:35 to 1:42, 17:1 et seq.**

deterrence, of creditor contemplating voidable transaction

see also main heading "Deterrence" law reform and commentary, **1:8 [Footnote 46]; 1:35 [Text Following Footnotes 40, 41]**

punitive or exemplary damages, and, **7:15 [Text Following Footnotes 1, 3]**

United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **1:35 [Text Following Footnote 42]**

mixing fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences, **1:1 et seq.**

Good faith, **20:5, 20:6**

Impeachment, see main headings "Judgment," "Void/voidable"

Interpretation of legislation

see main heading "Legislation," then sequentially under "interpretation," "voidable transactions statutes"

Introduction/history/goals, **1:35 to 1:41.75, 1:42, 17:1 et seq.**

Prejudicial effect of preference, role of, **19:40 to 19:46**

Proceedings and procedure

see Proceedings and procedure

Protected transactions, **20:1 et seq.**

generally, **20:1 et seq.**

court orders, payments under, **20:11, 20:12**

legislation, payments under, **20:11, 20:12**

payment of money to creditor, **14(b)(i), 20:7**

present actual payment of money:

forgiveness or reduction of, or refusal to collect, not satisfactory consideration, **20:9 [Text Following Footnote 8]**

sale in ordinary course of trade or calling, **20:5, 20:6**

sale of property for full value, **20:8**

secured financing, **20:9, 20:10**

## **FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES**

### **—Cont'd**

Protected transactions, **20:1 et seq.**

### **—Cont'd**

wages, payment of, **20:11, 20:12**

Reform, **19:9 [Footnote 9]**

Registration, etc. of deed, intent.

See Index, main heading “Registration or filing of transfer deed or other instrument”

Standing to impeach

see Standing to impeach — fraudulent preferences

Timing to determine intent (time of transaction), **14:1 to 14:39**

Void or voidable, see main heading “Void/voidable”

## **FUTURE INCOME PLANS**

See RRSPs and RRIFs

## **GIFTS**

Generally, **27:6**

As essentially evidencing nominal consideration, **1:8 [Footnote 4]; 11 [Footnote 31]; 15**

Refusal of a gift (powers of appointment, disclaimers, legacies, beneficial interests)

current law, **9:22**

law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**

## **GOALS OF VOIDABLE TRANSACTIONS LAW**

Generally, **1:35 to 1:41.65, 1:42, 1:48, 17:1 et seq., 24:3**

## **GOOD FAITH**

See various references under main headings “Fraudulent conveyances” and “Fraudulent preferences”

## **GRANT OF SECURITY**

Generally, **9:23**

## **GUARANTEES**

Future or contingent liability, **9:18, 10:5**

Giving of a guarantee, as a “conveyance” within voidable transactions legislation, **9:23**

Guarantor

creditor as, **13:4 to 13:8, 18:1, 18:2, 18:8**

debtor as, **13:7 [Footnote 2]**

## **GUARANTEES—Cont'd**

Payment made under a guarantee, **9:23**

Whether guarantee constitutes a debt, **10:5**

## **GUILE**

See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

## **HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?**

NOTE: The entries listed below under this main heading concern the role of the prejudicial effect of a fraudulent conveyance except where otherwise expressly indicated. In the latter connection, see for example subheading “Preferences, and the role of prejudicial effect,” below.

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**

bankruptcy, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, preferences, s. 95(1)(b), **1:48 [Footnote 3]**

common law provinces, **14:20, 14:27 to 14:34**

attention by courts to harm issue prejudicial effect, not debtor’s intent, as primary focus, **14:24 [Text Accompanying Footnote 7]; 14:28 [Text Accompanying Footnote 29]**

scant attention compared to focus on debtor’s intent, **1:48 [Text Accompanying Footnote 3]**

badges, or inferences, of fraud see below, at “presumptions and badges (inferences) of fraud to determine debtor’s intent, and prejudicial effect of transaction”

common law and Quebec law, compared, **14:35**

conclusions

badges (inferences) of fraud, rebuttable, **14:39**

presumption arising from prejudicial effect: rebuttable, **14:22**

**HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT  
ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR  
SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN  
WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT  
IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd**

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**—Cont'd  
common law provinces, **14:20, 14:27 to 14:34**—Cont'd  
conclusions—Cont'd  
proof of creditor harm  
early controversy, **14:20** [Text **Immediately Preceding Footnote 5, and Text Following Footnote 1.730**]  
necessary but not determinative, **14:25** [Text **Preceding Footnote 1**], **14:38**  
pre-trial due diligence by creditor to determine whether or not harm has occurred and therefore whether to litigate, relevance to issue whether proof of harm is required at trial, **14:20** [Text **Following Footnotes 1.690 and 1.890**]  
consideration, where likely to harm creditors, and **Index, main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “examples (non to exhaustive),” “consideration”**; **15:8 to 15:12. See also main headings 10:1 to 10:11; 16:4 to 16:6**  
conveyance delays but does not wholly defeat creditors, **14:14** [Text **Following Footnotes 59**]  
debtor, where solvent  
See **Index, main heading “Insolvency/solvency of parties,” then “debtor, solvency of, at and after conveyance**  
debtor's interest in conveyed property not actually transferred (including certain “shams”), **14:33, 14:42** [Footnotes **25 to 29 and Accompanying Text**], **14:51** [Text **Following Footnote 49**].  
See also (under “common law provinces”), below, at “gift,”

**HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT  
ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR  
SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN  
WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT  
IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd**

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**—Cont'd  
common law provinces, **14:20, 14:27 to 14:34**—Cont'd  
debtor's interest in conveyed property not actually transferred (including certain “shams”), **14:33, 14:42** [Footnotes **25 to 29 and Accompanying Text**], **14:51** [Text **Following Footnote 49**].—Cont'd  
and **Index, main heading “Sham transactions”**  
exempt or immune property, **14:31**  
see also main heading “Property,” subheading “property not seizable by creditors and therefore, where conveyed, not impeachable under traditional fraudulent conveyances statutes”  
fraudulent conveyances, **14:19 to 14:39**  
fraudulent preferences, **19:40 to 19:46**  
general, **1:8** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 140**]; **1:48** [Footnote **3**]; **14:3** [Footnote **1**]  
introduction, **14:20**  
issues, **14:19**  
gift, conveyances by way of, **14:23**  
harm to creditor: whether an impeaching creditor must suffer harm before a conveyance may be set aside, even where the debtor is shownes to be fraudulent, **14:17** [Footnote **8**]; **14:42** [Footnotes **24, 26**]  
where transaction is one that has not actually taken place or has not had any effect as a matter of law (no benefit to transferee), **1:43** [Footnote **7**]; **14:42** [Footnote **26**]  
illegal transactions  
see also main heading “Illegal transactions”



**HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd**

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35—Cont'd**  
 common law provinces, **14:20, 14:27 to 14:34—Cont'd**  
 illegal transactions—Cont'd  
   trust cases, **6:4**  
     prejudicial effect of transaction, relevance, **6:5 [Text Following Footnote 32]**  
 insolvent debtor, refusal to collect money owed to him, not a prejudicial “disposition” (B.C. Report), **9:22 [Footnote 42]**  
 intent of debtor  
   commercial justification of transaction, **1:65 [Footnote 62]**  
   see also main heading “Commercial and other similar interests”  
 effect of conveyance, relationship to issue of debtor’s intent, **1:8 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 142]: 14:9 et seq., esp. 14:12 to 14:16; 14:26 [Text Following Footnote 4]**  
 “objective” determination, **14:12 to 14:14, 14:45**  
 presumptions and badges (inferences) of fraud to determine debtor’s intent, and prejudicial effect of transaction  
   “badges,” or inferences, of fraud, **14:39; 14:41 to 14:43**  
   see also main heading “Badges of fraud”  
 presumptions of fraud, **1:8 [Footnote 141]; 14:22; 14:38; 14:45, 14:46**  
 sham transaction: creation of a transaction intended to give the appearance of creating legal rights or obligations that are different than those actually intended by the sham’s creator (the debtor), or

**HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd**

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35—Cont'd**  
 common law provinces, **14:20, 14:27 to 14:34—Cont'd**  
 intent of debtor—Cont'd  
   presumptions and badges (inferences) of fraud to determine debtor’s intent, and prejudicial effect of transaction—Cont'd  
     where transaction has otherwise not actually taken place. See Index, main heading “Sham transactions.”  
 joint tenancy interest conveyed, **14:34**  
 judicial discretion, **14:28**  
 law reform  
   fraudulent preferences, **19:9 [Footnote 9]**  
   general (fraudulent conveyances and preferences), **1:48; 14:24, 14:62 to 14:67**  
   uncertainty in current provisions, law reform, **14:24 [See For Example, Text Accompanying Footnote 5]**  
 litigation, practicalities initiating, **14:23**  
 solvent debtor (solvent before and after conveyance). See also Index, main heading “Insolvency/solvency of parties” whether remedy available against solvent debtor (e.g., where transaction delays but does not defeat creditors), **14:32**  
   contra, **1:1 et seq.; 14:1 et seq.**  
   law reform, **1:1 et seq.**  
 Statute of Elizabeth, **1:7, 14:20**  
 statutes, common law provinces (including Statute of Elizabeth, where applicable), **14:20; 14:27**  
 different provisions in different statutes should be read together, in accordance with

**HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd**

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**—Cont'd  
 common law provinces, **14:20, 14:27 to 14:34**—Cont'd  
 statutes, common law provinces (including Statute of Elizabeth, where applicable), **14:20; 14:27**—Cont'd  
     plain intention of the statutes (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **1:2 [Footnote 9]; 1:8 [Text Following Footnote 140]; 1:41.85 [Text Following Footnote 5]; 14:1 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]; 14:4 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6]; 14:20 [Footnote 8]**  
 preferences and early bankruptcy legislation, **14:21**  
 provisions dealing (implicitly or otherwise) with role of prejudicial effect, **14:20 [Text Preceding and Accompanying Footnote 1.600]**  
 statutes that do not include any reference to the prejudicial effect of the transaction, aid in re-interpreting statutes to require proof of such effect (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **14:20 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 6]**  
 uncertainty in current provisions, law reform, **14:24 [See For Example, Text Accompanying Footnote 5]**  
 voidable transactions statutes, using phrases such as “void as against the creditor or creditors injured, delayed or prejudiced” (or similar statutory language), whether reference is to a matter of standing or to a substantive matter to be proved by the plaintiff subsequent to any standing issue, **14:20 [Text Preceding**

**HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd**

Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary, **14:27 to 14:35**—Cont'd  
 common law provinces, **14:20, 14:27 to 14:34**—Cont'd  
 statutes, common law provinces (including Statute of Elizabeth, where applicable), **14:20; 14:27**—Cont'd  
     **and Following Footnote 3.400]**  
 value of conveyed property, relevance, **14:28, 14:29**  
 where no harm, creditor's conduct  
     return of transferred property by, **1:8 [Footnote 5]**  
     waiver of rights by, **1:8 [Footnote 5]**  
 Conclusions, common law provinces  
     See Index, this main heading, then sequentially “Canadian statutes, jurisprudence and commentary,” “common law provinces,” “conclusions”  
 Preferences, and the role of prejudicial effect  
     general, **19:39 to 19:47**  
     law reform, **19:9 [Footnote 9.500], 19:15 [Text Following Footnote 8], 19:47 [Text Following Footnote 1]. See also this main heading, subheading “Law reform”**  
     debtor's insolvency, etc., and prejudicial effect, **19:47 [Text Following Footnote 1]**  
 prejudicial effect of transaction, fraudulent preference and fraudulent conveyance law not fundamentally different, **19:41 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 1]**  
 preference that necessarily prejudices non-preferred creditors:  
     where debtor is insolvent at the time of the preference, or becomes insolvent as a result of the preference, or, for example where the debtor knows he or she is “on the eve of insolvency” (for example, s.

**HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd**

- Preferences, and the role of prejudicial effect—Cont'd
  - prejudicial effect of transaction, fraudulent preference and fraudulent conveyance law not fundamentally different, **19:41 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 1]**—Cont'd
    - 4(2) of the Ontario Assignments and Preferences Act, **19:40 [Text Preceding Footnote 3]**
  - debtor's insolvency, etc., and prejudicial effect, **19:40 [Text Preceding Footnote 2]**
- statutory provisions and prejudicial effect, **19:41 and following**
- bankruptcy
  - Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **19:46**
  - early United Kingdom preference legislation, **19:45**
- Criminal Code, creditor protected only where debtor intended to prefer that specific creditor (*R. v. Gingras*), potential provincial implications, **18:0.90, 18:0.95**
- presumption of debtor's fraudulent intent where preference causes prejudice, **19:43**
- deeming and other provisions
  - where no presumption is created, **19:44**
  - badges of fraud, application of, **19:43 [Footnote 1 and Accompanying Text]**
- provincial preference statutes
  - "evenhandedness" in treatment of creditors
    - incorporation of the goal of "evenhandedness" in provincial preference legislation, **18.0.30**
    - normative goal, and prejudicial effect, **18.0.30, 19:40**
  - standing and prejudicial effect, relationship in provincial statutes, **19:42 [Footnote 2]**

**HARM TO CREDITOR, MUST IT ALWAYS BE PROVEN FOR SUCCESS IN ACTION EVEN WHERE FRAUDULENT INTENT IS ALSO PROVEN?—Cont'd**

- Preferences, and the role of prejudicial effect—Cont'd
  - statutory provisions and prejudicial effect, **19:41 and following**—Cont'd
    - provincial preference statutes—Cont'd
      - where prejudicial effect is explicit (but using different language), **19:42**
  - Quebec (Paulian action), **42:18 to 42:30**
  - Quebec law and common law, compared, **14:35**
  - United States, statute, jurisprudence and commentary (including Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **14:26; 14:68 to 14:80**)

**ILLEGAL TRANSACTIONS**

- See also main heading "Remedies"
- Criminal law, **1:26 to 1:29 (criminal and quasi to criminal law); 1:30 (Criminal Code (Canada))**
- Fraudulent conveyances
  - transferee, restitutionary remedy, **6:4**
- General: fraudulent conveyances and preferences
  - characterized as "illegal" transactions?, **6:2 to 6:5; 7:6 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6]; 7:25 [Text Following Footnote 2]**
  - introduction: enforceability ("*Holman v. Johnson* Principle"), exceptions to non-enforcement (restitutionary relief), **6:3**
- law reform
  - B.C. Report (including reference to Report on Illegal Transactions), **6:5**
  - Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Amendment of the Law of Contract (1987), **6:3 [Footnotes 1, 12]**
- trust cases, **6:4**
  - prejudicial effect of transaction, relevance, **6:5 [Footnote 32]**

**ILLEGAL TRANSACTIONS—Cont’d**

Illegality of agreements made unenforceable under contract law, **6:3** [Text Following Footnote 3]

restitutionary relief for benefits conferred in performance of contracts, **6:3** [Text Following Footnote 3]

Illicit transactions, characterized as fraudulent, **1:35, 6:2**

Ponzi schemes, whether “unlawful” or “illegal,” **38:5** [Text Following Footnotes 11, 26, 37]

Preferences

as illegal or unlawful transactions, **7:6** (Text Accompanying Footnote 6), **7:25** (Text Accompanying Footnote 6)

remedy by preferred creditor after impeachment: creditor probably restored to original position by revival of original claim against the debtor, **7:6, 21:1**

**IMMORALITY, IN RELATION TO FRAUD**

See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

**IMPEACHMENT, EFFECT OF**

See Judgment

**IMPUTED KNOWLEDGE**

Generally, **19:13** [Footnote 13]

**INABILITY TO MEET OBLIGATIONS**

Generally, **24:11**

**INCOME TAX**

See main heading “Estate planning”

**INCORPORATION TO SHIELD ASSETS**

Generally, **9:18 to 9:33**

**INFORMATION CONCERNING THE DEBTOR AND THE DEBTOR’S PROPERTY**

Examination of bankrupt and others, **23:1 et seq.**

Financial information

consumers, need for financial literacy, **1:1** [Footnote 11]

**INFORMATION CONCERNING THE DEBTOR AND THE DEBTOR’S PROPERTY—Cont’d**

Financial information—Cont’d  
financial instruments, increased complexity of, **1:1** [Footnote 14]

**INJUNCTIONS**

See also main heading “Mareva injunctions”

Deterrence, refusal to freeze bank account, contempt of court, **36:2** [Footnote 24 and Accompanying Text]

Freezing bank deposits (Bank Act, S.C. 1991, c. 46, s. 437(2)), **36:1** [Footnote 1]

Injunctive relief, and judgment in voidable transaction proceeding, **7:2** [Footnotes 12, 13]; **7:3** [Footnote 3]

Interim preservation of property and specific funds (Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, s. 26(a)), **36:1** [Footnote 1]

Restraining and preservation orders  
legislation

family property legislation, **41:14**  
provincial maintenance and support legislation, enforcement of orders

preservation orders, **41:17**

restraining orders, **41:16**

Purdue Pharma/Sackler family, lawsuit against (New York, 2019), **1:1** [Footnote 1]

**INSOLVENCY/SOLVENCY OF PARTIES**

Canada, federal bankruptcy law

see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then  
sequentially “preferences,” “elements of impeachable preference,” “insolvency of bankrupt”

Canada, provincial law

debtor, insolvency of

badges of fraud, and

badges of fraud and presumptions of fraud, and insolvency, **14:42** [Footnotes 90 et seq.]

general. See Index, main heading “Badges of fraud,” then

**INSOLVENCY/SOLVENCY OF PARTIES—Cont'd**

Canada, provincial law—Cont'd  
 debtor, insolvency of—Cont'd  
     badges of fraud, and—Cont'd  
         sequentially “Examples (non-exhaustive),”  
         “insolvency of debtor, at or after transaction”  
     similarity to s. 2, Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **14:42** [Footnotes **24, 91, 108**]  
 consumer debt, consequences of increased, **1:1** [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote **10**]  
 date on which to determine insolvency/solvency  
     date of execution of instrument vs. date of registration (delay in registration), **9:27; 14:4 to 14:6**  
     general, **10:1** [Footnote **3**]; **19:2**  
 effect of future, contingent liabilities, **10:5**  
 evidence of intent in fraudulent conveyances, **10:1**  
 judgment-proof debtor, **1:8** [Footnote **88**]; **3:1** [Footnote **5**]; **14:40** [Footnote **17**]  
 knowledge of, by creditor, **19:13**  
 onus of proof, **10:2**  
 preferences, debtor’s insolvency, etc., and prejudicial effect: law reform, **19:40** [Footnote **3**], **19:47**  
 presumptions of fraud, and, **10:1** [Footnote **2**]; **14:42** [Footnotes **90, 91**]  
 prevention of (increasing financial literacy, etc.), **1:1** [Text Following Footnote **10**]  
 restructuring practitioners, advice from, **2:1** [Footnote **12**], **24:11**  
 statutory provisions, extended scope, no reference to, **19:1** [Footnote **6**]  
 tests, **10:3, 10:4**  
     cessation of payment, **10:3** [Footnote **3**]  
     compare definition of “insolvent person” under

**INSOLVENCY/SOLVENCY OF PARTIES—Cont'd**

Canada, provincial law—Cont'd  
 debtor, insolvency of—Cont'd  
     tests, **10:3, 10:4**—Cont'd  
         cessation of payment, **10:3** [Footnote **3**]—Cont'd  
             Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **10:3** [Footnote **3**]  
         distinction made between “legal” insolvency and “commercial” insolvency, **10:3** [Footnote **1** And Following Text]  
         fact of cessation not proof of inability to pay, **10:3** [Footnote **18** And Text Preceding And Accompanying]  
     equitable liability principles, **19:1 et seq.; 38:1 et seq.**  
     inability to pay: should plaintiff make a fresh demand for payment to establish inability?, **10:3** [Footnote **3** And Following Text]  
         fact of cessation not proof of inability to pay, **10:3** [Footnote **18** Text Preceding And Accompanying]  
     insolvency on “cash flow” basis vs on “balance sheet” basis, **10:3** [Footnote **3**]; **24:11** [Footnote **1**]  
     statutory tests read disjunctively, **10:1** [Footnote **7**]  
 valuation of debtor’s assets and liabilities, **10:5**  
     assets outside the province, **10:7** [Footnote **5**]  
     future and contingent assets and liabilities, **10:5**  
 where, proof of, required, **10:1, 16:2**  
     no proof required (Fraudulent Conveyances Act model), **10:1** [Footnote **2**]  
 debtor, solvency of, at and after conveyance  
 fraudulent conveyances  
     badges and presumptions of fraud, **14:42** [Footnotes **20, 90 et seq.**]

## INSOLVENCY/SOLVENCY OF PARTIES—Cont'd

- Canada, provincial law—Cont'd
  - debtor, solvency of, at and after conveyance—Cont'd
    - fraudulent conveyances—Cont'd
      - Bank of Montreal v. Crowell, **13:6**
      - B.C. Report, exchange of property as consideration, **1:35**
      - generally, **1:3 [Footnote 5]; 1:20**
      - harm, as essential element of action
        - see also main heading “Harm to creditor”
        - generally, **14:16**
        - debtor, solvent before and after conveyance, **1:35, 1:45, 14:32, 14:45**
      - insolvency, where it must be proved, **10:1**
      - liberal statutory construction, see main heading “Legislation”
    - fraudulent preferences, **1:3 [Footnote 5]; 14:42 [Footnote 20]; 19:2**
- United States
  - generally, **10:4**
  - “commercial insolvency” (failure to pay debts when due), **10:1 [Footnote 13]; 10:3 [Footnotes 3, 6]**
  - exclusion of certain property interests from determining value of debtor's assets, **10:4**
  - “legal insolvency” (insufficient assets to pay debts), **10:1 [Footnotes 12, 13]; 10:3 [Footnote 30]**
  - presumption of insolvency, **10:1 [Footnote 13]; 10:3 [Footnotes 3, 6]**
  - Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, **14:79**

## INSURANCE, DESIGNATION OF BENEFICIARY AS CONVEYANCE

- Generally, **9:18 [Footnote 40]; 9:20 [Footnotes 2, 3]**

## INTENT — FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

- See also Intent — fraudulent preferences
- Generally, **14:1 et seq.**
- “Actual” intent to defraud (U.S. Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act), **14:68**

## INTENT — FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES—Cont'd

- Badges of fraud
  - see Badges of fraud
- Burden of proof
  - see this title, below, at “proving fraudulent intent”
- Burden/onus of proof
  - see this main heading, at “proving fraudulent intent”
- Business or financial circumstances of debtor, current and/or future. See also main heading “Commercial and other similar interests”
  - belief of debtor concerning, **1:35 [Text Following Footnote 57]; 14:3 [Text Following Footnote 47]**
  - intent to protect debtor's assets, possible or intended future business likely to be risky, etc., **14:3 [Text Following Footnote 23]**
- Corporations, **14:1 to 14:39**
- Deemed, by default judgment, **14:1 to 14:39**
- Degree of proof required, **14:3 [Footnote 23]; 14:9 [Footnotes 1, 5]**
- Delays but does not defeat creditors, **14:14 [Text Following Footnote 59], 14:32**
  - contra, **1:35 [Footnote 89 and Accompanying Text], 14:46 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 39]**
  - law reform, **1:35 [Footnote 89 and Accompanying Text]**
- Direct evidence or express admission of “actual” fraudulent intent, **1:1 et seq.; 14:12 [Text Following Footnote 5]; 14:14 [Text Accompanying Footnote 49]; 14:14 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 55 to 58]; 31:1 et seq.**
- Dominant intent and mixed motives
  - see this main heading, then sequentially
    - “proving fraudulent intent,”
    - “dominant intent, mixed motives and measuring the degree or level of fraudulent intent: how much fraudulent intent is required to be proved?”
- Effect of conveyance
  - see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for suc-



**INTENT — FRAUDULENT**

**CONVEYANCES—Cont’d**

- Effect of conveyance—Cont’d
  - cess in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- Fact, intent as a question of, **14:9** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 1**]; **31:3** [**Text Accompanying Footnotes 1 to 4**]
- Failure to testify, **14:58**
- Fraud, meaning of, etc
  - see main heading “Fraud”
- Harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”
- Hindsight
  - see this main heading, under “business or financial circumstances of debtor, current and/or future”
- Insolvency of debtor, as some evidence of intent, **10:1**
- “Intent” and other terms (“motive,” “purpose,” “reason,” “design,” etc.), similar or distinguishable?, **14:2**
  - (fraudulent preferences), **14:57**; **19:1 et seq.**
- Intent to prejudice only some creditors, **14:1 to 14:39**
- Knowledge of debtor, **1:35** [**Footnote 57**]
- Motive and mixed motives
  - see this main heading, then sequentially
    - “proving fraudulent intent,”
    - “dominant intent, mixed motives and measuring the degree or level of fraudulent intent: how much fraudulent intent is required to be proved?”
- Pre-existing agreement, effect of, **14:59**, **14:60**
- Presumption of fraudulent intent
  - see main heading “Presumption of law, and fraudulent intent” see Presumptions of fraudulent intent—fraudulent conveyances
- Proving fraudulent intent
  - See also main heading “Evidence”
  - burden/onus of proof
    - see also main heading “Intent — fraudulent preferences,” then

**INTENT — FRAUDULENT**

**CONVEYANCES—Cont’d**

- Proving fraudulent intent—Cont’d
  - burden/onus of proof—Cont’d
    - “burden of proof”
  - general, **14:8**
    - badges of fraud, presumptions and burden of proof, **14:52 to 14:56**
    - primary and secondary onus, shifting, **14:8** [**Text Following Footnote 2**]; **14:52**
  - non-arm’s length transactions
    - between close relatives, associates and others, **14:42** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 65**]; **14:44** [**Text Accompanying Footnotes 6 to 18**]
    - family arrangements: more forgiving treatment?, **14:47** [**Footnote 4**]
  - res ipsa loquitur, **14:48**
  - deemed fraudulent intent under legislation other than traditional voidable transactions legislation, effect on fraudulent conveyance and fraudulent preference actions, **14:57**
  - differences between Fraudulent Conveyances Act Model (including Statute of Elizabeth) and Assignments and Preferences Act Model, **14:61**
    - general, **14:1 et seq.**
    - onus, burden and standard of proof, **14:8**
  - discharging burden/onus, **14:9 to 14:14**
  - family expenses, conveyance proceeds used to meet, **41:18** [**Footnote 1**]
  - family law agreements, **41:18**, **41:19**
  - non-arm’s length transactions
    - between close relatives, associates and others, **14:1 et seq. (esp. 14:48 and (iv) (need for corroboration?))**
  - evidence of fraud
    - deference by appeal court to findings of trial judge, **14:54**
    - direct evidence, **14:11**, **14:14** [**Text Following Footnote 34**, and **Text Accompanying Footnote 35**], **14:28**.

## INTENT — FRAUDULENT

### CONVEYANCES—Cont'd

Proving fraudulent intent—Cont'd  
discharging burden/onus, **14:9 to 14:14**  
—Cont'd

non-arm's length transactions  
between close relatives, associates and others, **14:1 et seq.**  
(esp. **14:48** and (iv) (need for corroboration?))—Cont'd  
evidence of fraud—Cont'd

**Respecting direct evidence in fraudulent preferences context, see Index, main heading “Intent to fraudulent preferences,” then serially “proving fraudulent intent,” “intent to defeat, delay, defraud creditors, need to prove,” “admission of such intent as “direct” evidence of intent to prefer”**

fresh evidence, introduction of  
on appeal, **14:55**

quantity and quality of the evidence

badges of fraud, **14:3 [Footnote 40], 14:53.**

**Respecting burden of proof, see Index, this main heading, then serially “proving fraudulent intent,” general,” “badges of fraud, presumptions and burden of proof”**

passage of time, effect of, **14:56**

terminology respecting the burden and/or standard of proof (“balance of probabilities,” “clear and sufficient proof,” “preponderance of evidence,” “substantial evidence,” “tangible evidence,” “persuasive burden,” “most persuasive factor”), **14:42 [Footnotes 14, 16, 65]; 14:47 [Footnote 2]**

higher degree of probability, **14:8 [Text Following Footnote 9]; 14:51 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 68]**

## INTENT — FRAUDULENT

### CONVEYANCES—Cont'd

Proving fraudulent intent—Cont'd  
transfer/shifting of burden/onus, **14:8 [Footnote 2]**

admissions of fraudulent intent, **14:11**

“objective” determination of debtor's intent, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 142], 14:12 to 14:14, 14:16; 14:26, 14:41 to 14:43**

Registration of transfer deed or other instrument, delay or no registration  
see Registration or filing of transfer deed or other instrument

Sham transaction: creation of a transaction intended to give the appearance of creating legal rights or obligations that are different than those actually intended by the sham's creator (the debtor). See Index, main heading “Sham “transactions.”

Standard of proof  
see this main heading, at “proving fraudulent intent”

Standing to impeach, **13:19**

Subsequent events, relevance of, **14:2, 14:3**

Surrounding circumstances, **14:42 [Footnote 7]**

Timing, **14:1 to 14:39**  
events subsequent to conveyance, effect of, on intent, **14:1 to 14:39**  
registration of instrument, effect of, on intent, **1:35 [Footnote 46]; 14:3 [Footnote 9]**

## INTENT — FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES

See also Intent — fraudulent conveyances

Admission of fraudulent intent  
see main heading “Intent — Fraudulent conveyances,” subheading “admission of fraudulent intent”

Bankruptcy  
intent to prefer, **24:16**  
settlements (former s. 91, BIA), **27:7**

Burden of proof, **19:12**  
see also main heading “Intent - fraudulent conveyances,” then sequentially “proving fraudulent

**INTENT — FRAUDULENT**

**PREFERENCES—Cont’d**

Burden of proof, **19:12**—Cont’d  
intent,” “burden/onus of proof”

Disproving requisite intent  
see Defences — fraudulent preferences

Fact, intent as a question of, **14:9** [**Text Accompanying Footnote 1**]; **31:3** [**Text Accompanying Footnotes 1 to 4**]

Fraud, meaning of, etc  
see main heading “Fraud”

General, **19:6 to 19:13**

intention to prefer recipient creditor  
over other creditors, **19:6 to 19:9**  
goal of evenhandedness among creditors harmed by a fraudulent preference and incorporation of goal in legislation, **19:6**

R. v. Gingras: A Different Statutory Model (Criminal Code), **19:7**

whether for the plaintiff to succeed he or she must prove that each of the debtor’s creditors sued has been the object of the debtor’s fraudulent intent, **19:6 to 19:8**

Harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”

Hindsight, **1:35** [**Footnote 57**]

Insolvency of debtor, as some evidence of intent, **10:1**

“Intent” and other terms (“motive,” “purpose,” “reason,” “design,” etc.), similar or distinguishable?, **14:2; 19:1 et seq.**

Intent of debtor, **19:6 to 19:9**

Intent of recipient creditor, **19:10, 19:11**  
creditor’s knowledge of debtor’s insolvency, **19:13**

Pressure, doctrine of, **19:36 to 19:38**

Presumptions of fraudulent intent  
see main heading “Presumption of law, and fraudulent intent,” then “fraudulent preferences and presumptions”

Proving fraudulent intent, **19:12, 19:13**.  
See also main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven

**INTENT — FRAUDULENT**

**PREFERENCES—Cont’d**

**for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”**

deemed fraudulent intent under legislation other than traditional voidable transactions legislation, effect on fraudulent conveyance and fraudulent preference actions, **14:57**

dominant intent, mixed motives and measuring the degree or level of fraudulent intent: how much fraudulent intent is required to be proved?, **19:12** [**Footnote 2**]. **For fraudulent conveyances, see, for example, 1:8** [**Footnote 53**]

reason for giving a preference, **17:1.55** [**Footnotes 8 to 10 and Accompanying Text**]

relevance of debtor’s reason for acting, in assessing dominant intent, **17:1.55** [**Footnote 10 and Accompanying Text**]

Reasonable belief of debtor, **1:35** [**Footnote 59**]

Reform, **19:9** [**Footnote 9**]

Registration of transfer deed or other instrument, delay or no registration  
see Registration or filing of transfer deed or other instrument

deemed fraudulent intent  
see subsection under this main heading.

Sham transaction: creation of a transaction intended to give the appearance of creating legal rights or obligations that are different than those actually intended by the sham’s creator (the debtor). See Index, main heading “Sham transactions.”

**INTERPLEADER PROCEEDINGS AND PRESERVATION OF PROPERTY (ONTARIO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, R.R.O. 1990, REG. 194, MADE UNDER THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O. 1990, C. 43, R. 43)**

Generally, **11:2**

**INTERPRETATION ACTS (FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL)**

See main heading “Legislation”

**JOINT TENANCY, CONVEYANCE OF,  
AS FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE**

Canada, **9:5 [Footnote 11]; 9:18 [Footnote 6], 9:15**

United States, **9:3 [Footnote 3]**

**JUDGMENT**

See also Actions and other proceedings;  
Claims; Conspiracy, tort of; Courts;  
Damages; Enforcement of money  
judgments, the role of the execution  
creditor and the seizure of the debt-  
or's property by the sheriff or other  
similar official; Proceedings and pro-  
cedure; Remedies; Void/voidable

British Columbia, **7:7 [Text to Footnote 71 et seq.]; 7:14 [Text to Footnote 7 et seq.]**

Common law and equity, distinguished,  
**7:5 to 7:22**

Confession of judgment, use of, to  
defraud creditors, **8:2**

Consent, use of, to defraud or prefer cred-  
itors, **8:1 et seq., 15:13, 19:4**

Consent to judgment

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **24:9**  
[Text Accompanying Footnote  
21]

provincial legislation, **8:1 et seq.**

Default judgment, **24:9**

deemed admission of allegations, **14:1**  
to **14:39**

Effect of impeachment of transaction  
general, **7:5 and Following**

order granted in fraudulent convey-  
ances action, characterization of  
order in the context of limitations  
statutes (action to "recover  
land"?; "consequential relief"?):  
Limitations Act, 2002, S.O. 2002,  
c. 24, Sched. B, and Real Property  
Limitations Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.  
L-15), **7:9**

Relief granted and effect of impeach-  
ment

generally, **7:5 to 7:30**

pecuniary judgment against  
transferee, **7:11 to 7:17, 11:1**

Standing, and, **7:1 to 7:4, 13:4 to 13:8**

Summary and other similar proceedings  
see main heading Proceedings and  
procedure

**JUDGMENT—Cont'd**

Effect of impeachment of transaction  
—Cont'd

Use of, to defraud or prefer creditors,  
**1:5, 8:1 et seq., 15:13, 19:4**

Where value of property has increased  
since conveyance, **7:5 to 7:30**

Enforcement of, **9:2 [Footnotes 3, 4]; 9:5**  
[Footnote 12], **23:1 et seq.**

Entitlement to

general, **7:1 to 7:4**

harm to creditor, must it always be  
proven? see main heading "Harm  
to creditor, must it always be  
proven for success in action even  
where fraudulent intent is  
proven?"

injunctive relief, **7:2**

right to sue and right to judgment,  
distinction, **7:2**

valid claim, evidence of, **7:2**

voidable transactions proceeding and  
main action, timing and process,  
**7:2; 13:6**

Limitations Act, 2002 (Ont.) proceedings,  
characterization of court order in,  
**5:22, 7:8, 7:9**

Monetary judgment against recipient of a  
transfer at undervalue (Bankruptcy  
and Insolvency Act, s. 96(1)), **26:4,**  
**27:4**

**JUDGMENT DEBTOR EXAMINATION**

Generally, **3:1 [Footnote 12]**

**JUDGMENT-PROOFING  
TECHNIQUES**

See also Estate planning

General, **1:8 [Footnotes 24, 26]**

**JUDICIAL PROCESS, USE OF, TO  
DEFRAUD CREDITORS**

Generally, **8:1 et seq., 15:13**

**JURISDICTION (CONFLICT OF  
LAWS)**

See also Conflict of laws

Forum non conveniens, **12:7**

General limits on, **12:4**

Oppression actions, **12:5**

Service ex juris, **12:3, 12:6**

**LACHES**

Generally, **5:25**

**LACHES—Cont'd**

- Inordinate delay and prejudice (fading memories, loss of documents), **14:42**
- Limitation periods and, **5:25** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 20 et seq.**], **33:7** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 1, 2**]
- Oppression remedy, limitation periods and laches, **5:25** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 20 et seq.**], **33:7** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 1, 2**]

**LANDLORD AND TENANT MATTERS**

- Bankruptcy, completion of landlord's distraint, whether a judicial proceeding under Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, s. 95 (preferences), **24:9** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 22**]
- History, **37:1**
- Landlord's distraint against fraudulently removed property by tenant
  - general, **Ch. 37**
  - clandestine conveyances (re: landlord's distraint) and secret conveyances (re: badges of fraud), as some evidence of fraud, **14:42** [Footnotes **4, 30**], **37:3** [Footnotes **1, 2** and **Accompanying Text**]
  - issues, **37:3**
  - law reform, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 27**], **37:6**
  - legislation
    - Canada
      - general commentary (all provinces and territories), **Ch. 37**
      - specific provinces and territories
        - Alberta, **37:1** [Footnotes **3, 12**]
        - British Columbia, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying or Following Footnotes 18, 23**]
        - Manitoba, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 15**]
        - New Brunswick, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 19**]
        - Newfoundland and Labrador, **37:1** [Footnote **13**]
        - Northwest Territories, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 25**]

**LANDLORD AND TENANT MATTERS**

**—Cont'd**

- Landlord's distraint against fraudulently removed property by tenant—Cont'd
  - legislation—Cont'd
    - Canada—Cont'd
      - specific provinces and territories
        - Cont'd
          - Nova Scotia, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 24**]
          - Nunavut, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 25**]
          - Ontario, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying or Following Footnotes 3, 14, 16**]
          - Prince Edward Island, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 20**]
          - Saskatchewan, **37:1** [Text **Following Footnote 8** and **Text Accompanying Footnote 16**], **37:5** [Text **Preceding Footnote 10**]
          - Yukon Territory, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 21**]
      - United Kingdom, **37:1** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 27**], **37:5** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 10**]
    - tenant fraudulently removing inventory, **37:2**

**LAW REFORM (LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS, LEGISLATURES)**

- See also main headings "Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Canada)"; "Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Outside Canada)"
- Courts effect changes for specific situations, not system-wide, **1:24, 14:42**
- Inclusion in text, reasons for, **1:10**
- Justinian (Roman Emperor), Code of, **1:10** [Footnote **7.500**]
- Need for, **1:2** [Text **Accompanying Footnote 9**]

**LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)**

- See also main headings "Law reform (law reform commissions, legislatures)";

**LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)**

**—Cont'd**

- “Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Outside Canada)”
- Alberta Institute of Law Research and Reform
  - Remedies of Unsecured Creditors, **3:1** [Footnotes 12, 13]
- Alberta Law Reform Institute
  - Enforcement of Money Judgments (Report No. 61, 1991), **39:8** [Footnote 8], **39:13** [Footnote 4]
  - Exemption of Future Income Plans, **1:8** [Footnote 71], **27:11** [Footnote 21]
  - The Bulk Sales Act (1990), **40:2** [Footnote 5]
- British Columbia, Law Reform Commission of
  - Fraudulent Conveyances and Preferences (1986) (“B.C. Working Paper”), **1:8** [Footnote 94], **1:12** [Footnote 16], **5:17** [Footnote 1], **5:17** [Footnote 8], **9:22** [Footnote 36], **14:17** [Footnote 6], **14:37** [Footnote 9], **14:37** [Footnote 11], **17:5** [Footnote 4]
  - Report on Bulk Sales Legislation (1983), **40:2** [Footnote 5]
  - Report on Fraudulent Conveyances and Preferences (1988) (“B.C. Report”), **1:1** [Text Accompanying Footnote 7], **1:1** [Footnote 31], **1:7** [Footnote 1], **1:7** [Footnote 2], **1:8** [Footnote 25], **1:8** [Footnote 26], **1:8** [Footnote 76], **1:8** [Footnote 92], **1:8** [Footnote 96 to 102], **1:8** [Footnote 158], **1:35** [Footnote 24], **1:35** [Footnote 69], **1:41** [Footnote 12], **1:41** [Footnote 14 to 15], **5:1** [Footnote 1], **5:8**, [Footnote 13], **6:1** [Footnote 7], **6:2** [Footnote 2], **6:5** [Footnote 23], **7:7** [Footnote 72 to 73], **7:7** [Footnote 79], **9:2** [Footnote 1], **9:3** [Footnote 5], **9:18** [Footnote 20 to 21], **9:19** [Footnote 46 to 47], **9:22** [Footnote 1], **9:22** [Footnote 36], **9:22** [Footnote 41], **9:22** [Footnote 42], **10:3** [Footnote 22], **11:1** [Footnote 25], **11:1** [Footnote

**LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)**

**—Cont'd**

- British Columbia, Law Reform Commission of—Cont'd
  - 35**, **11:1** [Footnote 38], **11:2** [Footnote 43], **13:5** [Footnote 10], **13:6** [Footnote 3], **13:9** [Footnote 16], **13:9** [Footnote 22], **13:13** [Footnote 1], **13:13** [Footnote 8], **13:13** [Footnote 21], **13:13** [Footnote 26], **13:13** [Footnote 34], **13:13** [Footnote 38], **13:13** [Footnote 63], **13:14** [Footnote 1], **13:14** [Footnote 3], **14:12** [Footnote 5], **14:14** [Footnote 25 to 27], **14:14** [Footnote 38], **14:14** [Footnote 42], **14:14** [Footnote 47], **14:14** [Footnote 49], **14:17** [Footnote 7], **14:24** [Footnote 4], **14:24** [Footnote 5], **14:24** [Footnote 6], **14:30** [Footnote 1], **14:37** [Footnote 9], **14:37** [Footnote 10], **14:37** [Footnote 11], **14:37** [Footnote 12], **14:42** [Footnote 3], **14:42** [Footnote 23], **14:42** [Footnote 68], **14:44** [Footnote 5], **14:47** [Footnote 3], **14:52** [Footnote 9], **14:52** [Footnote 13 to 21], **14:52** [Footnote 37], **14:53** [Footnote 17], **14:53** [Footnote 25], **14:59** [Footnote 7], **14:60** [Footnote 2], **15:1** [Footnote 2], **15:3** [Footnote 1], **15:5** [Footnote 13], **15:6** [Footnotes 6 to 7], **15:11** [Footnote 9], **15:11** [Footnote 31], **15:11** [Footnote 37], **15:14** [Footnotes 38 to 39], **15:14** [Footnote 65], **15:15** [Footnote 78], **17:1** [Footnote 27], **17:1** [Footnote 28], **17:5** [Footnote 5], **18:2** [Footnote 10], **18:6** [Footnote 13], **19:10** [Footnote 12], **19:16** [Footnote 2], **19:17** [Footnote 1], **19:22** [Footnote 11], **19:22** [Footnote 14], **19:32** [Footnote 3], **20:1** [Footnote 1], **20:8** [Footnote 15 to 17], **20:9** [Footnote 2]
- Report on Illegal Transactions (1983), **7:27** [Footnote 2]



**LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)**

**—Cont’d**

British Columbia, Law Reform Commission of—Cont’d  
Testamentary Intent and Unexpected Circumstances, **9:22** [Footnote 37]

Manitoba Law Reform Commission  
Report on the Bulk Sales Act (1988), **40:2** [Footnote 5]

New Brunswick, Department of Justice, Law Reform Division  
Third Report of the Consumer Project, Vol. II, Legal Remedies of the Unsecured Creditor After Judgment (1976) (“New Brunswick Report”), **4:3** [Footnote 13], **4:3** [Footnote 15], **4:6** [Footnote 5], **4:8** [Footnote 1], **4:8** [Footnote 3], **5:2** [Footnote 8], **5:5** [Footnote 2], **7:6** [Footnote 5], **7:23** [Footnote 3], **9:8** [Footnote 1], **10:1** [Footnotes 17 to 18], **10:3** [Footnote 14], **10:3** [Footnote 18], **10:5** [Footnote 12], **10:9** [Footnote 5], **11:1** [Footnote 2], **11:1** [Footnote 25], **11:1** [Footnote 35], **11:4** [Footnote 2], **11:4** [Footnote 5 to 7], **13:1** [Footnote 8], **13:5** [Footnote 6], **13:6** [Footnote 3], **13:7** [Footnote 2], **13:16** [Footnote 1], **13:18** [Footnote 8 to 9], **13:18** [Footnote 12], **13:22** [Footnotes 8 to 9], **14:14** [Footnote 41], **14:37** [Footnote 1], **14:59** [Footnote 8], **15:6** [Footnote 4], **15:14** [Footnote 39], **15:14** [Footnote 66 to 67], **16:3** [Footnote 6 to 8], **16:5** [Footnote 1], **18:5** [Footnote 3], **19:10** [Footnote 22], **20:1** [Footnote 1], **20:8** [Footnote 12], **20:8** [Footnote 33 to 37]

Northwest Territories, Committee on Law Reform

The Bulk Sales Act, Working Paper No. 3 (1990), **40:2** [Footnote 5]

Ontario, Business Law Advisory Council,  
Report to Minister of Government and Consumer Services (Fall 2016), **40:1 et seq.**

Ontario, Business Law Agenda  
Stakeholder Panel, Business Law

**LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)**

**—Cont’d**

Agenda: Priority Findings & Recommendations Report (Ontario: June 2015) (“Business Law Agenda Report (Ontario: 2015)”), **1:49** [Footnote 1], **40:2** [Footnote 7]

Ontario Law Reform Commission

Report on Amendment of the Law of Contract (1987), **6:1** [Footnote 7], **6:3** [Footnotes 1, 12], **6:5** [Footnotes 25, 26], **7:6** [Footnote 6]

Report on Class Actions (1982), **5:8** [Footnotes 1, 22, 25]

Report on Family Law, Part IV, Family Property Law (1974), **41:1** [Footnotes 4, 5]

Report on Limitation of Actions, **5:1 et seq.**

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part I (1981), **3:3** [Footnotes 13, 14], **4:12** [Footnote 1], **7:18** [Footnote 6]

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part II (1981), **4:6** [Footnote 2], **7:18** [Footnote 9]

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part III (1981), **7:18** [Footnotes 7, 8]

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part IV (1983) (“O.L.R.C. Report”), **1:8** [Footnote 105], **1:15** [Footnote 11], **1:15** [Footnote 13], **1:21** [Footnote 2], **1:30** [Footnote 2], **1:35** [Footnote 22], **1:35** [Footnote 39], **1:35** [Footnote 69], **1:39** [Footnote 1], **4:6** [Footnote 4], **5:8** [Footnote 15], **9:3** [Footnote 5], **11:1** [Footnote 39], **14:14** [Footnote 43], **14:19** [Footnote 3], **14:37** [Footnote 2], **14:37** [Footnote 3], **14:37** [Footnote 4], **14:37** [Footnote 7], **14:52** [Footnote 23], **15:17** [Footnote 6], **16:3** [Footnote 8], **17:3** [Footnote 1 to 4], **18:5** [Footnote 3], **18:6** [Footnote 13], **19:9**

**LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)**

**—Cont'd**

Ontario Law Reform Commission

**—Cont'd**

[Footnote 9], 19:19 [Footnote 2], 19:20 [Footnote 3], 20:8 [Footnote 11], 20:8 [Footnote 14 to 15]

Report on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts and Related Matters, Part V (1983), 1:45 [Footnote 2], 4:1 [Footnote 1], 4:7 [Footnote 4], 4:9 [Footnote 9, 14], 4:15 [Footnote 5], 4:17 [Footnotes 1, 2], 7:18 [Footnote 6]

Report on the Law of Landlord and Tenant, 37:1 [Footnote 27]

Saskatchewan Law Reform Commission

The Bulk Sales Act: Report to the Minister of Justice (1990), 40:2 [Footnote 5]

Uniform Law Conference of Canada

“ULCC (Buckwold),” 1:35 to 1:41.60, 1:41.85 [Footnote 1], 1:42, 1:48; 5:24; 6:1 [Footnote 5], 9:23 [Footnote 14], 13:12 [Footnote 5], 14:14 [Footnote 23], 14:24 [Footnote 13], 14:42 [Footnote 2], 14:68 [Footnote 3], 14:75 [Footnote 2], 15:17 [Footnote 6]

“ULCC (Buckwold) (Preferences: 2008),” 1:3 [Footnote 3], 1:35 [Footnote 52], 1:35 [Footnote 66], 7:22 [Footnote 1], 10:1 [Footnote 13], 17:1 [Footnote 26], 17:1 [Footnote 32], 18:2 [Footnote 10], 18:6 [Footnote 3], 19:3 [Footnote 1], 19:3 [Footnote 4], 19:9 [Footnote 1], 19:19 [Footnote 3], 19:26 [Footnote 3], 19:29 [Footnote 5], 19:33 [Footnote 2], 19:33 [Footnote 4], 19:34 [Footnote 21], 19:37 [Footnote 6], 20:1 [Footnote 2], 20:1 [Footnote 5], 20:1 [Footnote 14]

“ULCC (Buckwold) (Preferences: Final 2011),” 1:35 [Footnote 50], 1:35 [Footnote 51], 7:6 [Footnote 6], 7:22 [Footnote 4]

ULCC “Second Progress Report on the Civil Enforcement of Judgments Project” (2002), 39:17

**LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (CANADA)**

**—Cont'd**

Uniform Law Conference of Canada

**—Cont'd**

Uniform Acts

Uniform Civil Enforcement of Money Judgments Act (2004), 39:18

Uniform Reviewable Transactions Act (2012) (“ULCC Act (2012)”) (The complete Uniform Reviewable Transactions Act appears in the Legislation tab of the text.)

general, 1:1 [Footnote 34], 1:4 [Footnote 4], 1:8 [Footnote 45], 1:8 [Footnote 71], 1:8 [Footnote 86], 1:8 [Footnote 89], 1:8 [Footnote 141], 1:9 [Footnote 17], 1:26 [Footnote 14], 9:5 [Footnote 22], 9:5 [Footnote 44], 9:11 [Footnote 2], 9:18 [Footnote 8], 9:22 [Footnote 5], 9:22 [Footnote 13], 10:1 [Footnote 9], 10:1 [Footnote 22], 13:5 [Footnote 10], 13:7 [Footnote 2], 14:28 [Footnote 3], 14:37 [Footnote 15], 14:42 [Footnote 20], 17:1 [Footnote 18], 17:1 [Footnote 19], 19:5 [Footnote 3], 19:5 [Footnote 4]

Uniform Securities Transfer Act (2004), 39:16

**LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (OUTSIDE CANADA)**

See also main headings “Law reform (law reform commissions, legislatures)”;

“Law reform (law reform commissions, legislatures)”

New Zealand Law Commission

Company Law Reform and Restatement, 19:9 [Footnote 9]

United Kingdom

Payne Committee

Report of the Committee on the Enforcement of Judgment Debts (1969), 3:1

The Law Commission

Distress for Rent (Working Paper

**LAW REFORM AND LAW REFORM COMMISSIONS (OUTSIDE CANADA)—Cont'd**

- United Kingdom—Cont'd
  - The Law Commission—Cont'd
    - No. 97 (1990)), **37:11 [Footnote 27]**
- United States
  - see United States law, National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act and Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act

**LAWYERS**

- See Solicitors

**LEGACY, REFUSAL OF**

- Generally, **9:22**

**LEGISLATION**

- See also Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act); Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act (s 36.1); Courts; Statute of Elizabeth and other English statutes; Statutes, provincial, fraudulent conveyances and preferences
- Alberta Fraudulent Preferences Act (s. 1) as codification of Statute of Elizabeth, **14:61 [Footnotes 6, 8 and Accompanying Text]**
- Bankruptcy
  - see Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act), and this main heading under "Interpretation"
- Brevity of Statute of Elizabeth, problems associated with, **1:1**
- British Columbia, **1:27 to 1:29, 14:40**
  - fraudulent conveyance act
    - constitutional issues, **1:27**
    - history of, **1:27**
    - sale of property, **7:19 [Footnote 8]**
    - section 1: re: "despite a pretence or other matter to the contrary," **1:8 [Footnote 84], 1:43 [Footnote 2]**
    - sections 1 and 2
      - collusion, guile, malice, dishonesty, deceit, fraud, **1:27, 1:28**
      - "disposition," rather than a "conveyance," of property,

**LEGISLATION—Cont'd**

- British Columbia, **1:27 to 1:29, 14:40**
  - Cont'd
  - fraudulent conveyance act—Cont'd
    - sections 1 and 2—Cont'd **1:8 [Footnote 81]**
- Case law
  - confusing mixture of english common law and equitable remedies, **1:2 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]**
  - outsized role in settling fraudulent conveyances law, **1:2 [Text Accompanying Footnote 3]**
- Coexistence of provincial voidable transaction statutes (including, where applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth) and related Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act provisions respecting frauds on creditors, **26:3**
- Consumer debtor legislation (common law), inheritance from United Kingdom bankruptcy and insolvency law, **17:1 [Footnote 21]**
- Derivative action, **33:9**
- General, **1:1 to 1:8**
- Goals, **1:35 to 1:42**
- History, **1:11 to 1:17**
- Inappropriate interference with commercial transactions, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 38]**
- Interpretation
  - see also main "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)"; headings "Deceit, dishonesty, immorality and illegality, in relation to fraud"; "Fraud"; "Guile"; and "Sham transactions"
  - general approach (abbreviated), under federal bankruptcy and provincial voidable transactions legislation dictionary meaning, use of, **31:1 et seq.**
  - provincial legislation, use of, to interpret Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, **31:3 [Text Accompanying Footnote 10]**
  - statutory provisions, to be interpreted in accordance with purpose of provision and context of statute, **31:1 et seq.**
  - words, to be given their ordinary meaning but in accordance with

**LEGISLATION—Cont'd**

Interpretation—Cont'd

general approach (abbreviated), under federal bankruptcy and provincial voidable transactions legislation—Cont'd

such purpose of provision and context of statute, **31:1 et seq.**

voidable transactions statutes

as remedial and therefore to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation.

For broad interpretation, see also Index, this main heading, then serially “Interpretation Acts (federal and provincial) and the judicial interpretation of voidable transactions statutes,” under headings “Alberta Interpretation Act,” “British Columbia, Interpretation Act,” “Canada, Interpretation Act,” “Ontario, Legislation Act, 2006,” and “Saskatchewan”

counterargument, **1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:35 [Footnote 25 and Accompanying Text]**

criminal law, fraud legislation. See Index, main heading “Criminal and quasi-criminal offences respecting voidable transactions and fraud, and related matters,” then sequentially, “Offences,” “extradition proceedings, legislation, liberal/broad interpretation

deceit, negligent misconduct, sharp practice, improvident business: fraud broader than, **1:35 [Text Following Footnote 23]**

different provisions in different statutes should be read together, in accordance with plain intention of the statutes (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **1:1 et seq.**

fraud, meaning of, **1:35 to 1:41.55, 1:41.70, 1:41.80, 1:42; 14:1 to 14:39**

term not used in narrow, technical or criminal sense, **1:35 [Text Accompanying Footnote 22]**

**LEGISLATION—Cont'd**

Interpretation—Cont'd

voidable transactions statutes—Cont'd

“fraud”: too narrow a term, **1:35**

**[Footnote 22 and Accompanying Text]**

general, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 28], 1:11 [Footnote 7]**

harm to creditor, must it always be proven? see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is proven?”

Interpretation Acts (federal and provincial). See this main heading, then “Interpretation Acts (federal and provincial) and the Judicial Interpretation of Voidable Transactions Statutes”

liberal interpretation or original intent of legislation, where obscured or nullified by courts, legislatures, contracts, etc. (Dreiser, The Titan), **1:8 [Footnote 29]**

normative ideals respecting voidable transactions legislation and law federal, **1:35 [Footnote 25], 24:3 [Footnote 5]**

provincial

general, **1:35 and Following**  
preferences, **17:1**

normative goal of  
“evenhandedness” among  
creditors

incorporation of this goal in  
provincial preference  
legislation, **17:1.55**

normative goal, and prejudi-  
cial effect, **17:1.55,**  
**19:40**

remedial in nature, **1:8 [Footnote 28 and Accompanying Text]**

tracing provisions and a liberal interpretation of preference legislation

See also Index, main heading  
“Tracing property  
fraudulently reconveyed by  
debtor’s transferee, and  
proceeds respecting the sale

**LEGISLATION—Cont'd**

Interpretation—Cont'd

voidable transactions statutes—Cont'd  
tracing provisions and a liberal interpretation of preference legislation—Cont'd  
of such property,” **11:1 [Text Following Footnote 15]**

Interpretation Acts (federal and provincial) and the Judicial Interpretation of Voidable Transactions Statutes

alberta interpretation act

voidable transactions legislation as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation, **1:30 [Footnote 14 and Accompanying Text]**

british columbia, interpretation act

binding the Crown, **1:33 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 5]; 1:34 [Footnote 5]**

courts ignoring Interpretation Acts, **1:35 [Footnote 7 and Accompanying Text]**

“dispose,” definition of, **1:30 [Text Following Footnote 12 and Accompanying Footnote 14]; 9:18 [Text Following Footnotes 4, 20 and 25]; 31:3**

release, as a conveyance with voidable transactions Act, **9:18 [Text Accompanying Footnote 7]; 9:21 [Text Following Footnote 2]; 9:30 [Footnote 2]**

voidable transactions legislation as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation, **1:30 [Footnote 14 and Accompanying Text]; 31:3 [Footnote 8]**

canada, interpretation act

binding the Crown, **1:33 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 1 to 5]**

purpose of voidable transactions legislation, often ignored by Interpretation Act, **1:35 [Footnote 7]**

voidable transactions legislation as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation, **1:30 [Text Following Footnote**

**LEGISLATION—Cont'd**

Interpretation Acts (federal and

provincial) and the Judicial Interpretation of Voidable Transactions

Statutes—Cont'd

canada, interpretation act—Cont'd

**11: 1:35 [Footnote 7 and Accompanying Text]**

“disposition,” “disposes” (in Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act), interpretation consistent with, **31:3 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 5]**

ontario, legislation act, 2006, S.O.

2006, c. 21, schedule F (successor to the former Interpretation Act),

approach the same in other common law provinces, except British Columbia and Prince Edward Island, **1:33 [Text Preceding Footnote 5]**

binding the Crown, **1:33 [Text Following Footnote 4]**

“debt,” “debtor” or “creditor,” no definition under, **1:9 [Footnote 9]**

law reform, **1:34 [Especially Text Following Footnote 5]**

purpose of voidable transactions legislation, often ignored by Legislation Act, 2006, and former Interpretation Act, **1:35 [Footnote 7 and Accompanying Text]**

voidable transactions legislation as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation, **1:30 [Footnote 14 and Accompanying Text]; 31:3 [Text Accompanying Footnote 8]**

prince edward island

binding the Crown, **1:33 [Text Accompanying and Following Footnote 5]**

saskatchewan

voidable transactions legislation as remedial and to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation, **1:30 [Footnote 14 and Accompanying Text]; 9:15 [Footnote 38]; 9:20 [Text Fol-**

## LEGISLATION—Cont'd

- Interpretation Acts (federal and provincial) and the Judicial Interpretation of Voidable Transactions Statutes—Cont'd
  - saskatchewan—Cont'd
    - lowing Footnote 9]**
- Judicial activism and legislative reform.
  - See this main heading, under “Legislative reform versus judicial activism”
- Law of Property Act, 1925, 15 Geo 5, c. 20, **1:16 [Footnote 2], 15:11 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 18, 26]**
  - whether replacing Statute of Elizabeth, **1:16 [Footnote 2], 1:19 [Footnote 8 and Accompanying Text], 9:22 [Footnote 16.500 and Accompanying Text]**
- Legislative reform versus judicial activism, **1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:41.85**
- List of legislation applicable in Canadian provinces (including the Statute of Elizabeth), **1:2 [Footnote 14], 1:8 [Footnote 81]**
- Ontario
  - see also Index, main heading “Solicitors,” subheading “Professional obligations,” for solicitors’ rules/ codes of professional conduct
  - antecedents
    - common law, **1:11**
    - pre-modern English legislation, **1:12**
  - bills of sale act, R.S.O. 1980, c. 43 (since repealed), **1:8 [Footnote 77], 2:1 [Text Following Footnote 23: Scenario B], 14:57; 14:67**
  - generally, **1:1 to 1:8, 1:16**
  - limitations statutes
    - See Index, main heading “Limitations statutes”
  - rules of civil procedure, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, **4:15 [Text Following Footnote 7]**
- Oppression remedy, **33:2**
- Pleading both Fraudulent Conveyances Act/Statute of Elizabeth and fraudulent conveyances provisions of Fraudulent Preferences Act
  - trustee in bankruptcy, right to pursue voidable transactions under

## LEGISLATION—Cont'd

- Pleading both Fraudulent Conveyances Act/Statute of Elizabeth and fraudulent conveyances provisions of Fraudulent Preferences Act—Cont'd
  - provincial statutes, **1:24, 1:25, 32:2**
- Provincial (statutory presumption of intent), **19:22 to 19:28**
- Quebec
  - see Paulian action
- United Kingdom
  - bills of sale acts, **1:8 [Footnote 77]**
  - 27 Eliz. c. 4, **1:8 [Footnote 77], 1:26 [Footnote 2]**
- United States
  - see United States law
- Voidable transactions statutes, as remedial and therefore to be given a fair, large and liberal interpretation. See Index, this subheading, then serially under headings “Alberta, Interpretation Act,” “British Columbia, Interpretation Act,” “Canada, Interpretation Act,” “Ontario, Legislation Act, 2006,” and “Saskatchewan”
  - counterargument, **1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:35 [Footnote 25 and Accompanying Text]**
- Whether the same transaction may be both, or either, a fraudulent conveyance (under, inter alia, the Statute of Elizabeth) and a fraudulent preference, **1:36 to 1:41.75, 1:42**

## LEVERAGED BUYOUTS

- Generally, **1:8, 9:23, 14:19 [Text Following Footnote 5], 14:46**

## LIFE INSURANCE POLICIES

- Generally, **9:20**

## LIMITATIONS STATUTES

- Alberta, **5:19**
- British Columbia, **5:16 to 5:18**
  - current Limitation Act, S.B.C. 2012, c. 13, **5:18**
  - former Limitation Act, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 266, **5:17**
- Manitoba, Newfoundland and Labrador, New Brunswick, Northwest Territories, Saskatchewan, and Yukon, **5:15**



## LIMITATIONS STATUTES—Cont'd

### Ontario

- limitation periods, **5:2**
- Limitations Act, 2002, S.O. 2002, c. 24, Sched. B, and Real Property Limitations Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. L-15
  - application of limitations statutes to real property, **7:9**
  - conflicting Ontario limitations jurisprudence respecting the Limitations Act, 2002, and the Real Property Limitations Act, and the matter of “consequential relief,” **7:9**
- Limitations Act, 2002
  - law prior to Limitations Act, 2002, **5:20**
  - law reform prior to Limitations Act, 2002, **5:21**
  - Limitations Act, 2002, **5:22**

## LIS PENDENS

- See also main headings “Actions and other proceedings”; “Proceedings and procedure”

Generally, **36:6**

## MALA FIDES

- See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

## MALICE

- See Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

Generally, **1:28, 14:40**

## MAREVA INJUNCTIONS

- See also main headings “Injunctions”; “Remedies,” subheading “prejudgment remedies”
- Generally, **36:2**
- Claims by third parties, **36:3**
- Conditions, **36:2 [Footnote 24]**
- Effect, **36:4, 36:5**
- Injunctions pre-Mareva, **36:1**
- Nondisclosure, consequences of, **36:2 [Footnote 15]**
- Post-judgment injunction, **36:2 [Footnote 2]**

## MAREVA INJUNCTIONS—Cont'd

- Preservation order (interim) for funds, distinguished, **36:1 [Footnote 1], 36:7 [Text Following Footnote 8]**
- Reconciliation with fraudulent conveyances and preferences law, **36:4, 36:5**
- Where property transferred out of jurisdiction, **36:2 [Footnote 5]**
- World-wide injunction, **36:2 [Footnotes 11, 12]**

## MARGIN DEPOSIT

- Defined, **24:1**
- Exemption from statutory presumption of preference, **24:1**

## MARRIAGE CONTRACTS

- See Index, main heading “Family law; legislation; agreements and other arrangements; and jurisprudence”

## MATRIMONIAL, AND MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT, MATTERS

- See Index, main heading “Family law; legislation; agreements and other arrangements; and jurisprudence”

## MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED

- Where fraudulent transferee converts transferred property into money, creditors unable to maintain an action for money had and received for their use, since creditors never owned or had title to the property, **7:10 [Quoted Text Following Footnote 5]**

## MORAL PRINCIPLES AND NORMATIVE IDEALS SUPPORTING VOIDABLE TRANSACTIONS LAW

- Effect of Ontario Fraudulent Conveyances Act, on lawful mortgage, **36:6**
- Equitable, created by impugned transaction, **14:60 [Footnote 20]**
- General, **1:35 to 1:41.65, 1:42**
- Preferences, **17:1 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1]**

## MOTIVE OF PARTIES

- See Intent — fraudulent conveyances; Intent — fraudulent preferences

**NATURAL LOVE AND AFFECTION,  
AS CONSIDERATION**

Generally, **15:11** [Footnotes 3, 19]

**NON-OWNERSHIP OF CONVEYED  
PROPERTY**

Generally, **9:3** [Footnote 4]

**NORMATIVE IDEALS SUPPORTING  
VOIDABLE TRANSACTIONS  
LAW**

See Moral principles and normative ideals  
supporting voidable transactions law

**NORWICH ORDER (EQUITABLE  
DISCOVERY OF THIRD PARTY**

See main heading “Examination of debt-  
ors and others (outside bank-  
ruptcy),” subheading “discovery of  
third party, equitable (Norwich  
order)”

**NOTICE OR KNOWLEDGE BY  
TRANSFeree OF  
TRANSFEROR’S INTENT**

See Transferee

**OPPRESSION REMEDY**

See also Derivative action; Remedies  
Introduction, **33:1**  
Legislation, **7:15, 33:2**  
Limitation periods and laches, **5:25** [Text  
Accompanying Footnote 20 et  
seq.], **33:7** [Text Accompanying  
Footnote 1, 2]  
Remedies, **7:15, 33:13**  
Standing, **33:3 to 33:6**

**OPTIONS**

See also Disclaimers of legacy or gifts;  
Powers of Appointment; Releases  
and other similar instruments  
Refusal to exercise, as a fraudulent  
conveyance, **9:22** [Footnote 34]

**ORDER**

See Judgment

**ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS**

Bankruptcy, **24:19**  
Provincial legislation, **20:5, 20:6**

**OVERLAPPING AND CONFLICTING  
LEGISLATION**

Generally, **1:18 to 1:29**

**PARTIES TO FRAUDULENT  
TRANSACTION PROCEEDING**

See also Standing to impeach — fraudu-  
lent conveyances and Standing to  
impeach — fraudulent preferences  
Generally, **5:10**

**PAULIAN ACTION (L’ACTION EN  
INOPPOSABILITÉ)**

Generally, **42:1 et seq.**  
Harm to creditor, must it always be  
proven for success in action even  
where fraudulent intent is also  
proven?  
general, **42:18 to 42:30**  
Quebec law and common law,  
compared, **14:35**  
Limitation period, application to bank-  
ruptcy proceedings, **24:4, 42:46**  
Uniform Canadian voidable transactions  
legislation, as part of, **42:1** [Foot-  
note 1]  
Uniform Reviewable Transactions Act,  
proposed, adaptation in Quebec, **1:8**  
[Footnote 88]

**PECUNIARY RELIEF (DAMAGES)**

See also Index, main headings Damages;  
Remedies  
General, **5:20** [Text Accompanying  
Footnotes 19 et seq.], **7:13**  
Punitive or exemplary damages, **7:15**  
Relief against  
transferee, **7:13:25**  
transferor, **7:13:50**  
Tort of conspiracy, **7:14**  
Unjust enrichment, **7:16**

**PENALTIES, UNDER FRAUDULENT  
CONVEYANCES STATUTES**

Generally, **1:12, 1:26, 1:27**

**PERSON, DEFINED**

Generally, **28:9**

**PERSONAL PROPERTY  
LEGISLATION**

Generally, **20:9, 20:10**

**PIERCING CORPORATE VEIL**

Generally, **13:14** [Footnote 3], **14:3**  
[Footnote 5], **14:44** [Footnote 12],  
**28:14** [Text Accompanying Foot-  
note 5], **36:6** [Footnote 10]

## PLEADINGS

See Actions and other proceedings;  
Proceedings and procedure

## PLEDGE OF ASSETS

Generally, **9:23**

## PONZI SCHEMES (TRANSACTIONS INDUCED BY FRAUD)

Bankruptcy, whether trustee in bankruptcy of perpetrator could recover “excess payments” received by “investors” (an “excess payment” is the amount that an “investor” has received from the perpetrator that is over and above the aggregate total of the defendant’s “investments” in the Ponzi scheme), **38:5 [Footnote 4]**

Characteristics, **38:2**

Effect on parties, **38:2 [Text Following Footnote 6]**

“Excess payments” to “investors”

Constituting a fraudulent transaction, **38:5**

constituting an “unlawful” transfer, **38 [Text Following Footnote 18]**

meaning of excess, **38:5**

Fraud in the courts of equity and at common law, **38:1**

Jurisprudence, **38:3**

claims other than respecting a voidable transaction

money had and received, **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 18]**

unjust enrichment, **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 18]**

consideration from “investors,” whether “good,” **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 26]**

evidence necessary to prove fraudulent intent of perpetrator

inferences and badges of fraud, **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 24]**

where the term “badge of fraud” and “inference” have both been used in reference to the same fraudulent transaction, **14:40 [Footnote 1 (And Accompanying Text)], 38:5 [Footnote 24]**

fraudulent transaction

what constitutes (“excess” payments to “investors”), **38:5**

## PONZI SCHEMES (TRANSACTIONS INDUCED BY FRAUD)—Cont’d

Jurisprudence, **38:3—Cont’d**

fraudulent transaction—Cont’d

whether British Columbia Fraudulent Conveyance Act applies where perpetrator retains no benefit in the “excess” paid to investors, **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 18]**

insolvency, Ponzi schemes deemed to be insolvent from the outset, **14:41 [Footnote 1.500]; 38:5 [Text Following Footnotes 3, 20]**

pleading both fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences legislation respecting the same transaction, **1:42 [Text Following Footnote 7]**

intent of Statute of Elizabeth (in the context of a Ponzi scheme case), **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 42]**

Perpetrators, “investors” and victims (a non-exhaustive miscellany), **1:1 [Footnote 29], 38:2**

Pyramid schemes, **38:3**

United States law, **7:6 [Text Following Footnote 30 at para. 43]**

Unlawful scheme, whether Ponzi scheme constitutes, **38:5 [Text Following Footnote 37]**

## POWERS OF APPOINTMENT

As a conveyance, **9:22**

Law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**

## PREJUDGMENT REMEDIES

See Remedies

## PRESERVATION AND SIMILAR ORDERS

Bankruptcy legislation: preservation, detention or custody of property, order for, **36:1 [Footnote 1]**

Provincial legislation

family law legislation, **41:17**

non-family law legislation

Anton Piller orders, **36:7**

difference between a Mareva injunction and an order to preserve specific funds, **36:7 [Text Following Footnote 8]**

**PRESERVATION AND SIMILAR ORDERS—Cont'd**

Provincial legislation—Cont'd  
 non-family law legislation—Cont'd  
     freezing bank deposits (Bank Act, S.C. 1991, c. 46, s. 437(2)), **36:1 [Footnote 1]**  
     general, **36:1 [Footnote 1], 41:17 [Footnote 1]**  
     preservation of property  
         interim order for funds (Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, made under the Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, r. 45), **36:1**  
         general, **36:1 [Footnote 1], 41:17 [Footnote 1]**  
         Mareva injunction, distinguished, **36:1 [Footnote 1], 41:17 [Footnote 1]**  
         interpleader proceedings (Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, made under the Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, r. 43), **11:2**  
     receivership, **36:1 [Footnotes 1, 2]**

**PRESSURE, DOCTRINE OF**

See also: diligent creditor, bankruptcy, **24:23**  
 Generally, **19:36 to 19:38**

**PRESUMPTION OF LAW, AND FRAUDULENT INTENT**

See also main heading “Badges of fraud”  
 Bankruptcy  
     see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” then sequentially under “preferences,” and “elements of impeachable preference”  
 Fraudulent conveyances and presumption  
     effect of presumption, **14:44 to 14:46**  
     presumptions, badges of fraud and the burden of proof, **14:41.50, 14:42, 14:52**  
     presumptions and badges of fraud distinguished (the jurisprudential confusion),

**PRESUMPTION OF LAW, AND FRAUDULENT INTENT—Cont'd**

Fraudulent conveyances and presumption—Cont'd  
 presumptions, badges of fraud and the burden of proof, **14:41.50, 14:42, 14:52—Cont'd**  
     **14:42 [Text Following Footnote 39], 14:52**  
 where necessary effect is to defraud creditors  
     general, **14:45, 14:46**  
     harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven? see also main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”  
         general, **14:19 to 14:39**  
         jurisprudence, statutes and commentary  
             Canadian  
                 common law provinces, **14:27 to 14:34**  
                 general, **14:20, 14:21**  
                 Quebec, **14:35**  
                 Statute of Elizabeth, **14:20**  
                 United States, **14:26**  
 Fraudulent preferences and presumptions, **19:19 to 19:32**  
     see also this main heading, under “fraudulent conveyances and presumption”  
     statutory presumptions  
         calculating relevant time period, **19:21**  
         provincial statutes  
             Alberta, Saskatchewan, **19:23**  
             British Columbia, **19:22**  
             New Brunswick, **19:26**  
             Nova Scotia, **19:27**  
             Ontario, **19:25**  
             Prince Edward Island, **19:28**  
             Yukon, **19:24**  
 Rebuttable or irrebuttable presumption of law?  
     general, **14:22**  
     presumption arising from prejudicial effect is rebuttable, **14:38**

**PRETENCE, CONCERNING NATURE OF DEBTOR'S CONVEYANCE**

See main heading "Sham transactions"

**PRIOR AGREEMENTS**

See also main headings "Badges of fraud," then sequentially "examples (non-exhaustive)," "conveyance," and "documents"; and "Sham transactions"

Bankruptcy, **24:20**

Effect on proving debtor's intent, **14:59, 14:60**

Family law agreements (pre-nuptial or pre-marital agreements, co-habitation agreements, and separation agreements)

general, **41:18, 41:19**

law reform, **41:22**

Formality required, **14:59 [Footnote 9], 19:34 [Footnotes 19, 20]**

Method of proof, **14:59 [Footnote 2]**

Previous promise to make future transfer, **19:34**

Series of agreements, **19:34**

**PRIVATE OR CLOSELY-HELD COMPANIES**

See Corporations, private or closely-held corporations

**PROCEEDINGS AND PROCEDURE**

See also Actions and other proceedings; Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of debtor's property by the sheriff or other similar official; Evidence

Bars to proceedings, **5:11**

Claims for damages and other relief, **1:8 [Footnote 68], 7:11 to 7:17**

see also Claims

Class actions, **5:1 to 5:9**

Commencement and prosecution, **5:1 et seq.**

Effect of successful proceedings, **7:5 to 7:30**

Evidence

see Evidence

Institution of action

class action, **5:8**

commencement, general rule, **5:1**

laches, **5:25**

**PROCEEDINGS AND PROCEDURE**

**—Cont'd**

Institution of action—Cont'd

limitation periods, **5:13**

parties, **5:10**

res judicata, **5:7**

Judgment

see Judgment

Judicial activism and legislative reform.

See this main heading, under

"Legislative reform versus judicial activism"

Legislative reform versus judicial activism, **1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:41.85**

Party to fraudulent transaction proceeding, whether necessary and/or proper person standing in actual privity with the debtor, **5:10**

transferor of debtor's property, **5:10**

Persons unable to impeach a conveyance successfully

see also Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances; Property (under "property, types of, not impeachable under fraudulent conveyances statutes")

creditors "privity," etc. to the conveyance, **15:14**

Pleadings

see also Fraudulent conveyances (under "fraudulent preferences and fraudulent conveyances"), Fraudulent preferences (under "fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences")

challenges to plaintiff's pleadings, **5:9**

pleading both fraudulent conveyances and fraudulent preferences statutes, **1:8 [Text Accompanying Footnote 62.500]; 1:20; 1:38; 1:41.55; 19:1 [Footnote 6]**

whether the same transaction may be both, or either, a fraudulent conveyance (under, inter alia, the Statute of Elizabeth) and a fraudulent preference, **1:36 to 1:41.75, 1:42**

summary proceedings, defendants' motion to have portions of the record removed or redacted from publicly filed materials for lack of a Reply pleading with respect to

## PROCEEDINGS AND PROCEDURE

### —Cont'd

#### Pleadings—Cont'd

the limitation defence; evidence in record supporting plaintiff's claim; court must consider record as a whole rather than focus narrowly on pleadings alone, **7:9, 2:7 [Footnote 2 and Text Following Footnote 8], 5:15 [Text Accompanying Footnote 24]**

Proper forum and procedure to collect on money judgment, determination of, **1:24 [Text Following Footnote 7]**

Removal or redaction of portions of the record from publicly filed materials; submissions relating to (a) confidentiality of material; (b) material respecting solicitor-client privilege; and (c) the lack of a Reply pleading with respect to the limitation defence, **7:9, 2:7 [Footnote 2 and Text Following Footnote 8], 5:15 [Text Accompanying Footnote 24]**

#### Summary proceedings

general, **5:2**

Alberta, **5:4**

British Columbia, **5:3**

Ontario, **5:5**

reform, **5:6**

use of, to defraud creditors, **8:1 et seq.**

Timing of voidable transactions proceedings and underlying action or claim, **5:4, 7:2**

Transferee, proceedings against, **7:11 to 7:17, 11:1 [Footnote 9]**

Under both Fraudulent Conveyances and Fraudulent Preferences Acts, **1:8, 1:35 to 1:41.50, 1:42 19:1 [Footnote 6]**

Use of proceedings to defraud creditors, **1:5, 8:1 et seq., 15:13**

## PROCEEDS OF PROPERTY

### FRAUDULENTLY TRANSFERRED

See Index, main heading "Tracing property fraudulently reconveyed by debtor's transferee, and proceeds respecting the sale of such property." See also main headings: "Enforcement of money judgments"; "Pecuniary judgment directly

## PROCEEDS OF PROPERTY

### FRAUDULENTLY TRANSFERRED

#### —Cont'd

against fraudulent transferees (damages for tort of conspiracy, punitive or exemplary damages, damages for unjust enrichment, etc.)"

## PROFESSIONAL ADVICE

Accountants, financial planners, insolvency and restructuring practitioners, and trustees, **2:1 [Footnote 12]**

Lawyers, **2:1 et seq.**

## PROMISSORY NOTE, HOLDER OR ENDORSER OF, AS CREDITOR

Generally, **13:7 [Footnote 5], 18:8**

## PROPERTY

See also main heading "Conveyance, disposition and other similar transactions"

#### Concealment or removal

see Concealment or removal of property

Conveyance of all or virtually/essentially all of the debtor's property, **14:42 [Footnote 24]**

Detention, custody or preservation, order for, **36:1 [Footnote 2]**

Enforcement against debtor's property, by judgment creditor, **9:2 [Footnotes 3, 4], 9:5 [Footnote 12]**

Exchanged or substituted property, execution against, **11:1**

Joint tenancy, conveyance of, as fraudulent conveyance

Canada, **9:5 [Footnote 11]**

United States, **9:3 [Footnote 3]**

Meaning of, **9:3, 27:14, 28:4 [Footnote 3]**

Property not seizable by creditors and therefore, where conveyed, not impeachable under traditional voidable transactions statutes

bankruptcy, **24:14 [Footnote 20]; 27:14; 31:5 [Text Accompanying Footnote 5]**

provincial law

general

harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action



**PROPERTY—Cont’d**

Property not seizable by creditors and therefore, where conveyed, not impeachable under traditional voidable transactions statutes—Cont’d provincial law—Cont’d general—Cont’d even where fraudulent intent is proven? (property that cannot be reached by creditors), **1:7 [Footnote 4], 9:5 [Text Accompanying Footnote 1], 14:25 to 14:35** see main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?” law reform commentary, **14:14 [Footnote 40]** property exempt from enforcement proceedings conveyance of exempt property current law, **9:5** critique of current law and an alternative approach in the context of fraudulent conveyances actions, **9:5.50 introduction: two approaches to current and reformed law on the scope of exemption provisions in the context of fraudulent conveyances actions, 9:5.50 reform proposals early reform proposal, 9:5.55 a critical response, 9:5.60 revised reform proposal, 9:5.65** law reform, **9:6 to 9:11** exempt property acquired by debtor pursuant to a conveyance, **9:14** proceeds of the sale of exempt property, **9:13** seizure of consideration given for exempt property, **9:5 [Footnote 12]** value of property exceeds maximum legislated exempt amount, **9:5 [Footnote 12]**

**PROPERTY—Cont’d**

Property not seizable by creditors and therefore, where conveyed, not impeachable under traditional voidable transactions statutes—Cont’d provincial law—Cont’d property other than exempt property property in which the debtor has no beneficial or other interest, **9:3 [Footnote 4]** property of no or trivial value, **1:7 [Footnote 4], 9:2 [Footnote 3], 9:5 [Footnote 1], 14:26 [Text Following Footnote 1]** property that is non-assignable or restrictively assignable, **9:5 [Text Following Footnote 3]** property rendered exempt from enforcement proceedings by a conveyance, **9:14** personal property (otherwise exempt from enforcement proceedings) purchased in order to defeat creditors, **1:7.50** United States law (Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act), **9:3 [Footnote 3], 9:5 [Footnote 5]** see also main heading United States law exempt property, excluded under definition of “assets,” **9:18 [Footnote 8]** insolvency, exclusion of exempt property from determining value of debtor’s assets, **10:4; 24:13** other property not reachable under enforcement proceedings, **9:18 [Footnote 8]** Receiver, appointment of, **36:1 [Footnotes 1, 2]** Recovery of, **11:1 et seq.** Retention of property interest by debtor badge of fraud, **14:42 [Text Accompanying Footnote 25]** sham transactions see Sham transactions Return of property by transferee to debtor, **1:8 [Footnote 5]** Sale of, in British Columbia, **7:19 [Footnote 8]**

**PROPERTY—Cont’d**

Tangible and intangible property, application of statutes to, **9:2 to 9:17**

Trust property, **9:17**

**PROTECTED TRANSACTIONS**

Fraudulent conveyances

see Fraudulent conveyances (under “protected transactions”)

Fraudulent preferences

see Fraudulent preferences (under “protected transactions”)

**PUNITIVE DAMAGES, AWARDED AGAINST DEFENDANT**

See Damages

**PURCHASE OF SHARES (LIABILITY OF DIRECTORS)**

Bankruptcy and insolvency provisions, **30:1 et seq.**

**PURCHASER, BONA FIDE**

Generally, **7:1 et seq.**

**PYRAMID BUILDING SCHEMES**

Generally, **1:1 [Footnote 16]**

**QUEBEC LAW**

See Paulian action

**RATIFICATION OF TRANSACTION BY CREDITOR**

Generally, **1:8 [Footnote 10]**

**REDEMPTION OF SHARES (LIABILITY OF DIRECTORS)**

Bankruptcy and insolvency provisions, **30:1 et seq.**

**REFORM**

See Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Canada); Law Reform and Law Reform Commissions (Outside Canada)

**REGISTRATION OR FILING OF TRANSFER DEED OR OTHER INSTRUMENT**

Delay, effect on intent, **14:3 [Footnote 9], 14:4 to 14:6.50, 14:42 [Footnote 77]**

No registration or filing, and secrecy, **14:42 [Footnote 133]**

**REGISTRATION OR FILING OF TRANSFER DEED OR OTHER INSTRUMENT—Cont’d**

Receivers

Appointment of, **2:1 [Text Following Footnote 23 (Scenario A)], 36:1 [Footnote 2]**

Standing to bring fraudulent conveyances action in receivership context, where plaintiff is not the receiver, but is a creditor in the receivership, **13:17.100, 36:1 [Footnote 2]**

**RELATED PERSONS AND OTHER ENTITIES**

Related groups and corporations, **28:7**

Related individuals, **28:5**

**RELATIVES**

See Consideration, transactions between relatives

**RELEASES AND OTHER SIMILAR INSTRUMENTS**

Current law, **9:21**

Law reform, **9:28 to 9:33**

**REMEDIES**

See also Index, main headings: “Anton Piller order”; “Common law”; “Conspiracy, tort of”; “Courts”; “Creditors’ relief legislation”; “Damages”; “Derivative action”; “Enforcement of money judgments and seizure of debtor’s property by sheriff or other similar official”; “Illegal transactions”; “Injunctions”; “Judgment”; “Mareva injunctions”; “Oppression remedy”; “Preservation and similar orders”; “Tort”; “Transferee (under “remedies of transferee, after impeachment”)”; “Unjust enrichment, damages for”

Generally, **7:5 to 7:30**

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (Canada), **32:1**

Characterization of court order in Limitation Act proceedings, **5:22, 7:8, 7:9**

Conveyances defeating, **1:4 [Footnote 1], 1:8 [Footnote 93], 34:1 et seq.**

Courts effect changes for specific situations, not system-wide, **1:15 [Text**

**REMEDIES—Cont'd**

- Accompanying Footnote 11], 4:18 [Footnote 4], 14:42 [Footnote 57]
- Declaratory relief (voidable transactions)
  - general, 5:20 [Text Following Footnote 23], 7:5 to 7:7
- Derivative action, 33:13
- Difficulties in pursuing, see main heading “Debt litigation, debt collection and related matters”
- Impeachment, effect of, 7:1 et seq.
- Judicial activism and legislative reform.
  - See this main heading, under “Legislative reform versus judicial activism”
- Legislative reform versus judicial activism, 1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:41.85
- Monetary and pecuniary judgment against transferee, 7:11 to 7:17, 11:1
- Oppression remedy, 33:13
- Prejudgment remedies
  - absconding debtors
    - see Absconding debtors
  - damages, claim for, 1:8 [Footnote 68]
  - pecuniary judgment against transferee, 7:11 to 7:17
  - removal or concealment of property
    - see Removal or concealment of property
- Punitive damages, awarded against defendants, 7:15
- Sanctions for debtor’s failure to produce information, 3:6
- Unjust enrichment, damages for, 7:16
- Wealthy individuals: how money and influence can insulate the very wealthy from the consequences of reckless decisions, 1:1 [Footnote 1]
- Where property transferred out of jurisdiction, 2:3 [Footnote 49], 12:9

**REMOVAL OR CONCEALMENT OF PROPERTY**

- As a badge of fraud, 1:30 [Footnote 7]
- Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (Canada),
  - arrest of bankrupt for removal or destruction of property and information, 32:3 [Footnote 1]
- Criminal Code (Canada), 1:30
- General, 1:6, 14:77
- United States Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, 1:30 [Footnote 7], 14:77

**REMOVAL OR CONCEALMENT OF PROPERTY—Cont'd**

- Wealthy individuals, and, 1:1 [Footnote 1]

**REPRESENTATIVE ACTIONS**

- Generally, 5:1 to 5:9

**RESCISSION, CONTRASTED WITH IMPEACHMENT**

- Generally, 7:7 [Footnote 81]

**RES IPSA LOQUITUR AND CORROBORATION**

- Generally, 14:48

**RES JUDICATA**

- Generally, 5:7

**RESTRAINING ORDERS**

- See Injunctions

**REVIEWABLE TRANSACTIONS (FORMER BANKRUPTCY PROVISION: S**

- 100, BIA). see Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)

**RIGHT OF REVINDICATION**

- Generally, 24:9

**ROLLOVERS**

- Generally, 27:11

**RRSPS AND RRIFs**

- Exempt property, as, 27:14 [Footnote 7]
- Legislation, 9:14 [Footnote 3], 9:18 [Footnote 40]
- Transfer of rights in, 1:1 et seq., 9:18 [Footnote 40], 27:11 [Footnote 21]
- Valuing RRSP, consideration of tax cost to access funds, 10:3 [Footnote 29]

**RULES/CODES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT**

- See Index, main heading “Solicitors,” subheading “Professional obligations”

**SALE OF PROPERTY, IN BRITISH COLUMBIA**

- Generally, 7:19 [Footnote 8]

**SECRECY**

- See Index, main headings: “Badges of fraud,” then serially “examples

## SECRECY—Cont'd

(non-exhaustive: see “list of examples,” below),” and “secrecy”; and “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud,” then serially “civil (voidable transactions) law in Canadian common law jurisdictions,” and “secrecy, collusion and contrivance linked to conspiracy”

## SECURED CREDITORS

Grant and recording of security interest, whether prejudicial to creditors, **9:23, 20:9**

Payments to secured creditor, **24:9**

Priority over unsecured judgment creditors, **1:3 [Footnote 3]**

Protected transactions — fraudulent preferences, **20:9, 20:10**

Secured financing as protected transaction, **20:9, 20:10**

Security for current advances, **24:22**

Standing to impeach fraudulent conveyance, **13:12**

Standing to impeach fraudulent preference, **18:6**

## SEIZURE, BY SHERIFF OR OTHER SIMILAR OFFICIAL

See Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of debtor's property by the sheriff or other similar official

## SETTLEMENT, MATRIMONIAL DISPUTE, AS GOOD CONSIDERATION

Generally, **15:11 [Footnote 12]**

## SETTLEMENTS (FORMER BANKRUPTCY PROVISION: S

91, BIA). see Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)

## SHAM TRANSACTIONS

See also Index, main heading “Deceit, dishonesty, immorality, illegality, collusion, guile, malice, etc., in relation to fraud”

Generally, **1:1 et seq.**

Appearances, false, **1:8 [Footnote 84]**

## SHAM TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd

Badges of fraud, and, **14:42 [Text Accompanying Footnote 25]**

Benefit to debtor or transferee

debtor, retention of benefit by

badges of fraud

see main heading “Badges of fraud,” then sequentially “examples (non-exhaustive),” “benefit retained by debtor; possession remaining in debtor”

general, **1:7 [Footnote 4], 1:8**

**[Footnotes 18, 21], 9:18 [Footnote 13]**

no intention to convey any benefit and no benefit conveyed to or obtained by transferee (therefore no fraudulent conveyance), **14:33, 14:42 [Footnotes 25 to 29 and Accompanying Text], 14:51 [Text Following Footnote 49]**

transferee

accrual of benefit by, **1:8 [Footnote 19], 27:6 [Footnote 7, 12, 22], 27:12 [Footnote 22]**

no benefit conveyed to or obtained by transferee (therefore no fraudulent conveyance), **1:8 [Text Following Footnote 21], 14:51 [Text Following Footnote 49]**

Definition, **1:35 [Footnote 45]; 9:26 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 1 and Following]; 14:43; 15:6 [Footnote 1]**

Estate planning arrangements, as, **2:4 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 11, 12]**

“Feigned” conveyance or consideration, **1:8 [Footnote 84]**

shams, and harm to creditor, **14:27**

Genuineness of the transaction, and, **1:43 [Footnote 3], 15:6**

Good faith, and, **1:8 [Footnote 21], 9:26 [Text Accompanying Footnote 2]**

Preferences under Statute of Elizabeth, not covered except where preference was a cloak to benefit the debtor, **1:8 [Text Following Footnotes 107, 110]**

**SHAM TRANSACTIONS—Cont'd**

- Pretence, concerning the nature of debtor's conveyance (Statute of Elizabeth; British Columbia Fraudulent Conveyance Act), **1:8 [Footnote 84]; 1:43 [Footnote 2]; 9:26 [Footnote 3.500]**
- Transferee, no retention of a benefit, **9:26**
- Trusts, as
  - see main heading "Trusts," subheading "sham trusts"

**SHARES AND SHAREHOLDERS**

- See Corporations

**SHERIFF, OR OTHER SIMILAR OFFICIAL**

- See Enforcement of money judgments, the role of the execution creditor and the seizure of debtor's property by the sheriff or other similar official

**SOLICITORS**

- See also main headings "Advice, from solicitors, accountants, financial advisors, trustees, and others"; "Estate planning"
- Advising clients respecting conveyances, general, **2:1 et seq.**
- Advisors and advocates, distinction, **2:2, 2:3**
- Civil liability of, **2:5**
- Codes of professional conduct, **2:2**
- Counselling of fraud, **2:4**
- Criminal aspects of judgment proofing, **1:30**
- Criminal liability of, **1:30 [Footnotes 28, 29 and Accompanying Text], 2:6**
- Estate planning advice, **2:4, 14:7**
  - whether advice or other conduct may be a defence to a fraudulent transactions challenge, **2:4**
- Ethical considerations, **2:1 et seq.**
- Fiduciary obligation, breach of, by, **2:5 [Footnote 11]**
- Imputing knowledge to client, **14:6 [Text Accompanying Footnotes 22, 25], 19:13 [Footnote 13]**
- Liability for excessive intervention in execution process, **4:17**
- Litigation against debtors, see main heading "Debt litigation, debt collection and related matters"

**SOLICITORS—Cont'd**

- Payment of fees, as preference, **24:20 [Footnote 13]**
- Professional obligations, **2:2, 2:3**
  - advising transferee, Law Society of Ontario, **2:2 [Footnote 1], 15:14 [Footnote 62]**
  - dishonesty, fraud, etc. by debtor-client, Law Society of Ontario, r. 3.2-8, **2:2 [Text Following Footnote 11]**
  - dupe of debtor-client, solicitor as, Law Society of Ontario, r.3.2-7.1, **2:2 [Text Following Footnote 4]**
  - fiduciary obligations, Law Society of Ontario, r. 3.2-2 (Commentary [1.1]), **2:2 [Text Following Footnote 3]**
  - honesty and candour, text following, **2:2 [Footnote 3]**
  - ignorance (wilful) vs diligent review of client's intentions, etc., **2:2 [Footnote 1]**
  - information, providing and withholding, Law Society of Ontario, r. 3.2-2, (Commentary [1.1]-[2]); r. 3.2-7.2; r. 3.2-7.3 (Commentary [3]), **2:2 [Text Following Footnotes 3 and 4]**
  - red flags in real estate transactions, Law Society of Ontario, r. 3.2-7.3 (Commentary [3.1], [4.1], [4.2]), **2:2 [Text Following Footnotes 4 and 5]**
  - representation, withdrawal from, Law Society of Ontario, r. 3.7-1, **2:2 [Text Following Footnote 11]**
  - rules of professional conduct
    - American Bar Association, Professional Responsibility and Code of Judicial Conduct, **2:2 [Footnote 14]**
    - Canadian Bar Association, Code of Professional Conduct, **2:2**
    - Law Society of British Columbia, Professional Conduct Handbook, **2:2 [Footnote 3]**
    - Law Society of Ontario (formerly, Law Society of Upper Canada) Practice Tips, **2:3 [Footnote 6]**
    - Rules of Professional Conduct, **2:2**
  - transactions where vigilance is required, **2:2 (LSUC r. 3.2 to 7.3)**

**SOLICITORS—Cont’d**

Professional obligations, **2:2, 2:3**

—Cont’d

(**Commentary [2]**)

Removal of property from jurisdiction by debtor, assistance by, **1:6**

Retainer, possible detrimental effect on access to solicitors if retainer found fraudulent, **1:1 et seq.**

Solicitor-client privilege, **2:7**

Wealth and inequality, how the law creates (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers)

see under main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” subheading “wealth and inequality, how the law creates (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers)”

wealth creation by lawyers for elites, through creation of corporate entities and other legal protections from creditors, **9:25 [Footnote 1]**

**SOLVENCY OF PARTIES**

See Insolvency/solvency of parties

**SPOUSES**

See Family law legislation (provincial) and matrimonial cases

**STANDING—DERIVATIVE ACTIONS**

Creditor, applicant need not have been, **33:11**

Good faith, **33:12**

In interests of corporation, **33:12**

**STANDING—OPPRESSION REMEDIES**

Applicant “creditor,” **33:11**

Improper conduct, **33:4**

“Proper” person, **33:4**

**STANDING TO IMPEACH —**

**FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES**

See also Standing to impeach — fraudulent preferences

Alberta Rules of Court, r. 9.24, **1:5 [Footnote 2], 1:8 [Footnote 81]**

Assignments and Preferences Act model, **13:18**

Alberta Rules of Court, r. 9.24, **1:5 [Footnote 2], 1:8 [Footnote 81]**

**STANDING TO IMPEACH —**

**FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES**

—Cont’d

Fraudulent Conveyances Act model

Alberta Rules of Court, r. 9.24, **1:5**

**[Footnote 2], 1:8 [Footnote 81]**

common law spouses cases, **13:11**

constructive trust cases, **13:10**

contingent claimants, **13:9 [Text Following Footnote 42]**

creditor of third party, **1:8 [Footnote 67], 13:14**

creditors and “others”

see Creditors and “others”

family law cases, **13:9**

judgment creditors, **13:3**

lien claimants, **13:12 [Footnote 14]**

non-judgment creditors/claimants, **13:4 to 13:8**

secured creditors

generally, **13:12**

as “subsequent creditors,” **13:12**

**[Text Accompanying Footnote 12]**

spouses, **13:9**

standing to bring a claim, confused with the nature of the claim itself, **7:9 [Quoted Passage and Text Following Footnote 42]**

subsequent creditors

generally, **13:13**

as “secured creditors,” **13:12 [Text Accompanying Footnote 12]**

Intent of debtor, **13:19**

Judgment, and, **7:1 to 7:4, 13:4 to 13:8**

Prejudicial effect, role of, statutory provisions: any relation to standing?, **14:20 [Footnote 3.500]**

**STANDING TO IMPEACH —**

**FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES**

See also Standing to impeach — fraudulent conveyances

Alberta Rules of Court, r. 9.24, **1:5 [Footnote 2], 1:8 [Footnote 81]**

Creditor, expanded definition of, **18:2**

Judgment, and, **7:1 to 7:4**

Licensed insolvency trustees, **18:7**

Persons with unliquidated claims, **18:3**

Secured creditors, **18:6**

Statutes, using phrases such as “void as against the creditor or creditors



**STANDING TO IMPEACH —  
FRAUDULENT PREFERENCES  
—Cont'd**

injured, delayed or prejudiced” (or similar statutory language), whether reference is to a matter of standing or to a substantive matter to be proved by the plaintiff subsequent to any standing issue, **14:20 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 3.400]; 19:42 [Footnote 2]**

Subsequent creditors, **18:5**

**STATUTE OF ELIZABETH AND  
OTHER UNITED KINGDOM  
STATUTES**

See also Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act); Legislation; Statutes, provincial, fraudulent conveyances and preferences

Assignments and Preferences Act Model (re: fraudulent conveyances), and Statute of Elizabeth

coexistence of Statute of Elizabeth and fraudulent conveyances provision in Assignments and Preferences Act Model, **1:20; 26:3**

differences in proving fraudulent intent, **14:61**

Bills of Sale Act, **1:8 [Footnote 77], 14:57 [Text Following Footnote 1]**

Brevity of, problems associated with, **1:1**

Common law and equity

see also main heading “Equity”  
common law (early modern), authority of, **1:11 [Footnote 10]**

equity, fraud in courts of, **38:1**

pre-modern English legislation, **1:12; 38:1**

statutory provisions, reason for (certainty, etc.), **1:11 [Footnote 10]**

Description, Statute of Elizabeth, **1:12**

Elizabethan obsession with fraudulent conveyancing, **1:8 [Footnote 63], 1:12 [Footnote 1]**

Harm to creditor: must it be proven under the statute? See Index, main heading “Harm to creditor, must it always be proven for success in action even where fraudulent intent is also proven?”

Statute of Elizabeth, and, **1:7, 14:20**

**STATUTE OF ELIZABETH AND  
OTHER UNITED KINGDOM  
STATUTES—Cont'd**

Inception of voidable transactions law, **1:1 [Footnote 5], 1:12 [Footnote 1]**

Interpretation, broad/liberal

see under main heading “Legislation,”  
then sequentially “voidable  
transactions statutes,” “liberal/  
broad interpretation?”

Landlord and tenant matters, tenant’s  
fraudulent removal of inventory and  
landlord’s right of distraint. See gen-  
erally Index, main heading  
“Landlord and tenant matters”

United Kingdom legislation, **37:1 [Text  
Accompanying Footnote 27],  
37:5 [Text Accompanying Foot-  
note 10]**

Law of Property Act, 1925, c. 20 (U.K.),  
**1:16 [Footnote 2]**

Legacy of Statute of Elizabeth, **1:27 to  
1:29, 14:40**

criminal and quasi-criminal provisions  
of, relevance to provincial void-  
able transactions law, **1:26 to 1:29**

forfeiture and penalty provisions,  
relevance to provincial voidable  
transactions law, **1:19 [Text  
Accompanying Footnote 3]**

pleading both Statute of Elizabeth and  
provincial Fraudulent Preferences  
Act

(coexistence of provincial voidable  
transaction statutes (including,  
where applicable, the Statute of  
Elizabeth) and related Bank-  
ruptcy and Insolvency Act pro-  
visions respecting frauds on  
creditors), **26:3**

Alberta, **1:19 [Footnote 6]**

general, **1:8 [Text Accompanying  
Footnote 62]; 1:20; 1:38**

retention, problems, **1:19 [Text  
Accompanying Footnote 4, 5]**

Preferences

not covered by Statute of Elizabeth, **1:8  
[Text Preceding and Following  
Footnote 106], 1:15 [Footnote 2]**

common law right to challenge  
fraudulent preferences created  
in insolvency and bankruptcy  
proceedings, **1:15 [Text Fol-**

**STATUTE OF ELIZABETH AND  
OTHER UNITED KINGDOM  
STATUTES—Cont'd**

**Preferences—Cont'd**

not covered by Statute of Elizabeth, **1:8**

[**Text Preceding and Following  
Footnote 106**], **1:15** [**Footnote 2**]

**—Cont'd**

**lowing Footnote 3]**

exception: where preference was a  
cloak to benefit the debtor, **1:8**

[**Text Following Footnote 107**]

see also main heading “Sham  
transactions”

Pre-modern English legislation, **1:14**

statutes earlier than Statute of Elizabeth  
dealing with aspects of fraudulent  
conveyances, **1:14** [**Text  
Accompanying Footnotes 1 to 4**],  
**38:1 et seq.**

Pretense, concerning the nature of debt-  
or's conveyance, **1:8** [**Footnote 84**]

Short-form title sometimes, but rarely,  
used instead of Statute of Elizabeth,  
1571, or 13 Eliz. 1, c. 5 (1571):  
Fraudulent Conveyances Act, 1571,  
**1:13**

Statute of Elizabeth and Assignments and  
Preferences Act Model

coexistence of Statute of Elizabeth and  
fraudulent conveyances provision  
in Assignments and Preferences  
Act Model, **1:20**

(coexistence of provincial voidable  
transaction statutes (including,  
where applicable, the Statute of  
Elizabeth) and related Bank-  
ruptcy and Insolvency Act pro-  
visions respecting frauds on  
creditors), **26:3**

differences in proving fraudulent intent,  
**14:61**

Statute of Elizabeth as part of provincial  
law, **1:8** [**Footnote 78**], **26:3**

Tangible and intangible property, applica-  
tion of Statute to, **9:2 to 9:17**

Whether the same transaction may be  
both, or either, a fraudulent convey-  
ance (under, inter alia, the Statute of  
Elizabeth) and a fraudulent prefer-  
ence, **1:1** [**Text Preceding and Fol-  
lowing Note 13**]; **1:8** [**Text Follow-  
ing Note 118**]; **1:36 to 1:41.50**,

**STATUTE OF ELIZABETH AND  
OTHER UNITED KINGDOM  
STATUTES—Cont'd**

**1:41.70, 1:41.75, 1:42; 38:3** [**Text  
Preceding Footnote 3**]

**STATUTES, PROVINCIAL,  
FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES  
(INCLUDING THE STATUTE OF  
ELIZABETH) AND  
PREFERENCES**

See also main headings “Bankruptcy  
(Canadian federal Bankruptcy and  
Insolvency Act)”; “Fraudulent  
conveyances”; “Fraudulent prefer-  
ences”; “Legislation”; “Statute of  
Elizabeth and other English  
statutes.”

Brevity of Statute of Elizabeth, problems  
associated with, **1:1**

Coexistence of provincial voidable trans-  
action statutes (including, where  
applicable, the Statute of Elizabeth)  
and related Bankruptcy and  
Insolvency Act provisions respecting  
frauds on creditors, **26:3**

Interpretation, broad/liberal

see under main heading “Legislation,”  
then sequentially “voidable  
transactions statutes,” “liberal/  
broad interpretation?”

List of statutes applicable in Canadian  
provinces (including the Statute of  
Elizabeth), **1:8** [**Footnote 81**]

Whether the same transaction may be  
both, or either, a fraudulent convey-  
ance (under, inter alia, the Statute of  
Elizabeth) and a fraudulent prefer-  
ence, **1:36 to 1:41.75, 1:42**

**SUMMARY AND OTHER SIMILAR  
PROCEEDINGS**

See Proceedings and procedure

**SURETY OF DEBTOR'S DEBT**

As a “creditor” under preference legisla-  
tion, **18:2**

Payment to, as preference, **19:5**

**SUSPICIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES**

Generally, **14:41 to 14:43, 14:49**

**TAX SHELTERING ARRANGEMENTS**

See main heading “Estate planning”

## INDEX

### **TENANT, FRAUDULENT REMOVAL OF INVENTORY AND LANDLORD'S RIGHT OF DISTRAINT**

See Index, main heading "Landlord and tenant matters"

Generally, **37:2**

### **TERMINOLOGY ("DEBTOR," "CREDITOR," ETC.)**

Generally, **1:9**

### **TESTIMONY OR EVIDENCE OF DEBTOR OR TRANSFEREE**

Failure to testify or give evidence, **14:42** [Text at Footnote 110]

Value of testimony or evidence of debtor or transferee

corroboration, **14:42** [Text Accompanying Footnote 111], **14:59** [Text Accompanying Footnote 11]

general, **14:42** [Text Accompanying Footnote 111], **14:59** [Text Accompanying Footnote 11]

### **TITLE, CHANGE OF REGISTRATION ON SUCCESSFUL IMPEACHMENT OF FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE**

Generally, **7:6** [Footnote 10]

### **TOOLS OF THE TRADE EXEMPTION**

Generally, **9:4 to 9:12**

### **TORT**

Actual damage or injury as necessary element of negligence liability, **14:19** [Footnote 1]

Deceit, remedy for, **7:16**

Deceit, unfair competition, and interference with profitable relations they maintain with business associates or employees, remedy against, **7:17** [Footnote 4]

Harm, need to prove, **7:16**

Intentional interference with the economic expectations of an aggrieved judgment creditor, **7:17** [Footnote 6]

fraudulent conveyance as, **7:17** [Footnote 6]

Knowing receipt, tort of, **1:1** [Footnote 18]; **1:35** [Footnotes 8, 22]

### **TORT—Cont'd**

Negligence or negligent misstatement, remedies under, preferable to imposition of fiduciary duties, **11:1** [Footnote 7]

Purpose of, distinguished from fraudulent conveyances, **1:35** [Footnote 8]

Remedy, where preferable to imposition of fiduciary duties, **10:1**

Whether transferee may be liable for intentional interference with economic expectations of judgment creditor, **7:16**

### **TRACING PROPERTY**

#### **FRAUDULENTLY RECONVEYED BY DEBTOR'S TRANSFEREE, AND PROCEEDS RESPECTING THE SALE OF SUCH PROPERTY**

See also Index, main headings: "Enforcement of money judgments"; "Pecuniary judgment directly against fraudulent transferees"; "Tort"; then "Intentional interference with the economic expectations of an aggrieved judgment creditor"

Calculation of monetary judgment against transferee, **7:14**

General, **11:1 et seq.**, **7:1 et seq.**

Proceeds of enforcement proceedings, distribution of. See Index, main heading "Creditors' relief legislation"

Recovery of proceeds from transferee, **11:1 et seq.**

fraudulent transferee as trustee of proceeds replacing transferred property, for the benefit of defrauded creditors (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **15:15.50** [Footnote 11]

Ontario and British Columbia tracing provisions in fraudulent preferences statutes, applicability to proceedings under fraudulent conveyances statutes (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **11:1** [Text Following Footnote 15]

tracing provisions and a liberal interpretation of preference legislation, **11:1** [Text Fol-

## TRACING PROPERTY

### FRAUDULENTLY RECONVEYED BY DEBTOR'S TRANSFEREE, AND PROCEEDS RESPECTING THE SALE OF SUCH PROPERTY —Cont'd

See also Index, main headings: "Enforcement of money judgments"; "Pecuniary judgment directly against fraudulent transferees"; "Tort"; then "Intentional interference with the economic expectations of an aggrieved judgment creditor"  
—Cont'd

Recovery of proceeds from transferee,  
**11:1 et seq.**—Cont'd

Ontario and British Columbia tracing provisions in fraudulent preferences statutes, applicability to proceedings under fraudulent conveyances statutes (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **11:1 [Text Following Footnote 15]**—Cont'd  
**lowing Footnote 15]**

Theories behind, **11:1 [Text Following Footnote 4]**

## TRANSACTIONS, VOID/VOIDABLE

See also Conveyance; Fraudulent conveyances; Fraudulent preferences

Between relatives and associates  
generally, **14:47**

close relationships

inferences arising from, **14:44**

suspicious circumstances, and,  
**14:49, 15:11 24:7**

types of close relationships, **14:44**

consideration, **15:11**

corroboration, **14:48**

Induced by fraud, **38:1 et seq.**

pressure, doctrine of, **19:37 [Footnote 24]**

Intent to transfer debtor's interest to transferee, Ontario Fraudulent Conveyances Act, s. 4, expanded voiding provision, **1:8 [Footnote 13], 15:11 [Footnote 8]**

Registration of transfer deed or other instrument, delay, effect on intent  
see Registration or filing of transfer deed or other instrument

## TRANSACTIONS, VOID/VOIDABLE

### —Cont'd

Registration of transfer deed or other instrument, delay, effect on intent

### —Cont'd

types of close relationships, **14:44**

Sham and genuine

see Sham transactions

Timing, **14:1 to 14:39**

Transfers at undervalue (s. 96, BIA), **26:1 et seq., 27:1 et seq., 28:1 et seq.**

see main heading "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)," at subheading "transfers at undervalue"

## TRANSFERABILITY OF PRIVATE COMPANY SHARES

See Corporations, private or closely-held corporations

## TRANSFeree

Advice given to, by solicitors and others,  
**14:7**

Benefit, transferee not receiving, **15:14 [Footnote 62]**

Due diligence, lack of, **2:2 [Footnote 1], 15:14 [Footnote 62]**

Failure to inquire, **2:2 [Footnote 1], 15:14 [Footnote 62]**

Good bargain, transferee seeking only,  
**15:14 [Footnote 39]**

Intent to transfer debtor's interest to transferee, Ontario Fraudulent Conveyances Act, s. 4, expanded voiding provision, **1:8 [Footnote 13], 15:3 [Footnote 1]**

Monetary and pecuniary judgment  
against, **7:11 to 7:17**

Notice or knowledge of debtor's fraudulent intent (fraudulent conveyances)

see also Concurrent intent doctrine

Assignments and Preferences Act  
model, **16:3**

Fraudulent Conveyances Act model,  
**10:1 [Footnote 2], 15:13 to 15:15**

whether notice or knowledge constitutes "dishonesty," **1:29**

see also Deceit and dishonesty, in  
relation to fraud

Personal action against, **7:7 [Text at Footnote 72], 11:1 [Text at Footnote 9]**

**TRANSFeree—Cont'd**

- Prince Edward Island, abolition of need to prove transferee's notice or knowledge, **15:14 [Footnote 20]**, **19:10 [Footnote 1]**, **19:10 [Text Following Footnote 7]**
- Remedies against
  - see Remedies
- Remedies of transferee, after impeachment
  - see also main heading "Illegal transactions"
  - general, **7:13**
    - "innocent" transferee, protection of, **7:26**
  - law reform
    - Law Reform Commission of British Columbia, **7:26**
    - New Brunswick Department of Justice, Law Reform Division (1976), **7:24**
    - Ontario Law Reform Commission, **7:25**
    - Uniform Law Conference of Canada, **7:27 to 7:30**
      - Buckwold Progress Report (2009), **7:28 to 7:30**
      - Dunlop Feasibility Study (2004), **7:27**
  - preference, creditor probably restored to original position by revival of original claim against the debtor, **7:25 [Footnotes 2, 6]**, **21:1**
  - Return of property by transferee to debtor, **1:8 [Footnote 5]**
    - where insolvent transferee conveys in fraud of transferee's creditors, **1:8 [Footnote 5]**
  - Transferee corporation controlled or organized by corporate or non-corporate debtor, **9:24**, **9:25**, **14:53 [Footnote 6]**, **15:14 [Footnote 8]**
  - Transferee having no control over transferred property, **15:14 [Footnote 62]**
  - Transfer made without transferee's knowledge or consent
    - general, **15:14 [Footnote 62]**
  - Transferor-transferee liability — income tax, **28:5 [Footnotes 10, 11]**
  - Where bond, judgment, proceeding, etc., **15:13**

**TROLLOPE, ANTHONY, THE WAY WE LIVE NOW**

- Financial information, difficulty in acquiring and verifying in mid-19th to early-20th century, **1:1 [Footnote 11]**
- Harassment of debtors by creditors, **19:36 [Footnote 1]**
- Reference to The Way We Live Now, **1:1 [Footnote 11]**
- Sham transactions, **9:1 et seq.**
- Transferee as passive recipient of transfer, **1:1 et seq.**; **15:14 [Footnote 62]**

**TRUSTS**

- Asset protection trust laws, "bulking up," **1:1 [Text Accompanying Footnote 20]**, **9:17 [Footnote 2]**
- Badges of fraud
  - See Index, main heading "Badges of fraud," then sequentially "Examples (non-exhaustive)," "trusts"
- Bankruptcy (Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)
  - See Index, main heading "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)," then (1) sequentially "Preferences," "elements of impeachable preference," "insolvency of bankrupt"; and (2) sequentially "Transfers at undervalue," "insolvency"
- Bare trustee, **9:17**
- Beneficiary's interest, as conveyance, **9:18 to 9:33**
- Cases (general), **9:17**, **13:1 [Footnote 2]**, **14:51**, **14:59**, **14:60**
- Constructive, **14:60 [Footnote 20]**
  - claim, sufficient to grant standing, **13:7 [Footnote 4]**, **13:10**
  - common law spouses, **13:11**
- Declaration of trust against direct transferee from debtor, **11:1**
  - where direct transferee makes no further transfer, **7:10 [Text Accompanying Footnote 6]**
  - where direct transferee subsequently transfers the fraudulently conveyed property to innocent second transferee, **1:1 et seq.**, **7:10**



## TRUSTS—Cont'd

- Enforcement by transferor against transferee, **6:1 et seq.**
  - see also Advancement, presumption of
- Illegality and, **6:3**
- Property held in trust, **9:17, 13:1 [Footnote 2]**
- Sham trusts
  - badges of fraud, use of, to challenge sham trusts, **14:43**
  - definition, **14:43 [Text Following Footnote 6]**
  - invalidity of, **14:43**
  - purpose of (fraudulent, deceitful or illegal purpose), **14:43 [Text Following Footnote 6]**
  - settlor's intention, **14:43 [Text Following Footnote 6]**
- Tracing property and proceeds, fraudulent transferee as trustee of proceeds replacing transferred property, for the benefit of defrauded creditors (Westinghouse Canada Ltd. v. Buchar), **15:15.50 [Footnote 11]**
- Transferee as trust beneficiary, **24:3 [Footnote 5]**
- Use of, to shield assets of "superrich"
  - generally, **1:1 [Footnote 10], 1:1 [Text Following Footnote 24], 1:1 [Footnote 32], 1:15 [Footnote 6], 9:17 [Footnote 2], 14:51 [Footnote 2]**
  - wealth and inequality, how the law creates (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers)
    - see under main heading "Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act)," subheading "wealth and inequality, how the law creates (asset protection, trusts, corporate status, bankruptcy law, and the role of lawyers)."

## UNITED STATES LAW

- Discussion by American courts and commentators (see, inter alia, reference to Alces and Glenn in List of Works Abbreviated in Footnotes), **1:1 [Footnote 22], 1:2 [Footnote 24], 1:7 [Footnote 4], 1:8 [Footnote 5],**

## UNITED STATES LAW—Cont'd

- 1:8 [Footnote 15], 1:8 [Footnote 16], 1:8 [Footnote 25], 1:8 [Footnote 39], 1:8 [Footnote 74], 1:8 [Footnote 103], 1:35 [Footnote 1], 1:35 [Footnote 34], 1:35 [Footnotes 37 to 38], 1:35 [Footnote 45], 1:35 [Footnote 47], 1:35 [Footnote 49], 1:35 [Footnote 69], 1:41 [Footnote 7], 1:41 [Footnote 9 to 10], 1:41 [Footnote 13], 1:43 [Footnote 4 to 5], 2:1 [Footnote 15], 2:4 [Footnote 23], 2:4 [Footnote 24], 2:4 [Footnote 25], 5:8 [Footnote 2], 5:10 [Footnote 3], 5:10 [Footnote 13 to 14], 5:10 [Footnote 17], 6:1 [Footnote 5], 7:2 [Footnote 3], 7:2 [Footnote 7], 7:2 [Footnote 10], 7:6 [Footnote 2], 7:13 [Footnote 1], 9:2 [Footnote 1 to 3], 9:5 [Footnote 5], 9:5 [Footnote 9], 9:5 [Footnote 13], 9:5 [Footnote 16], 9:5 [Footnote 18], 9:13 [Footnote 2], 9:14 [Footnote 4], 9:22 [Footnote 4], 9:22 [Footnote 5 to 12], 9:23 [Footnote 10], 10:1 [Footnote 11], 13:1 [Footnote 9], 13:5 [Footnote 7], 13:12 [Footnote 2], 14:19 [Footnote 5], 14:19 [Footnote 6], 14:25 [Text Preceding and Following Footnote 18], 14:26 [Footnote 1 to 3 and Accompanying Text], 14:26 [Footnote 5], 14:31 [Footnote 1], 14:33 [Footnote 3], 14:33 [Footnote 4], 14:42 [Footnote 27], 14:53 [Footnote 6], 14:72 [Footnote 1], 14:72 [Footnote 2], 15:5 [Footnote 2], 15:6 [Footnote 18], 15:14 [Footnote 8], 17:5 [Footnote 6], 19:29 [Footnote 7], 19:34 [Footnote 7], 20:1 [Footnote 11]**
- National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act and Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act, **1:1 [Footnote 26], 1:2 [Footnote 1], 1:2 [Footnote 2], 1:5 [Footnote 13], 1:8 [Footnote 16], 1:8 [Footnote 38], 1:8 [Footnote 73], 1:8 [Footnote 87], 1:30 [Footnote 7], 2:4 [Footnote 24], 9:5 [Footnote 5], 9:5 [Footnote 12], 9:18 [Footnote 7], 9:18 [Footnote 18], 9:18 [Footnote 19], 9:21 [Footnote 4], 9:29 [Foot-**



**UNITED STATES LAW—Cont’d**

note 3], 10:1 [Footnote 11], 10:1 [Footnote 13], 10:4 [Footnote 1], 10:4 [Footnote 3], 10:10 [Footnote 11], 10:11 [Footnote 1, 2], 13:4 [Footnote 3], 13:5 [Footnote 8], 13:12 [Footnote 2], 13:13 [Footnote 24], 13:22 [Footnote 10], 14:3 [Footnote 2], 14:26 [Footnote 5], 14:31 [Footnote 1], 14:42 [Footnote 1], 14:42 [Footnote 24], 14:42 [Footnote 25], 14:42 [Footnote 30], 14:42 [Footnote 31], 14:42 [Footnote 61], 14:42 [Footnote 64], 14:42 [Footnote 143], 14:52 [Footnote 23], 14:64 [Footnote 4], 14:69 [Footnote 5], 15:5 [Footnote 2], 15:8 [Footnote 3], 15:10 [Footnote 4], 15:11 [Footnote 6], 15:11 [Footnote 19], 15:14 [Footnote 2], 15:23 [Footnote 1], 19:20 [Footnote 3], 24:10 [Footnote 2], 24:10 [Footnote 3], 24:16 [Footnote 12 to 13], 30:5 [Footnote 2], 31:3 [Footnote 5], 38:5 [Footnote 10]

(see also the complete Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act in the Legislation tab of the text.)

**UNJUST ENRICHMENT, DAMAGES FOR**

See Damages

**UNJUST PREFERENCES**

See Fraudulent preferences

**UNLIQUIDATED CLAIM**

See Claim

**VOID OR VOIDABLE, FOR FRAUDULENT TRANSACTIONS?**

See also Judgment

Bankruptcy

preferences, use of term “void,” 24:2 [Footnote 3]

**VOID OR VOIDABLE, FOR FRAUDULENT TRANSACTIONS? —Cont’d**

Bankruptcy—Cont’d

transfers at undervalue (s 96, BIA), (commentary on Mercado Capital Corporation v. Qureshi). see main heading “Bankruptcy (Canadian federal Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act),” at subheading “transfers at undervalue,” 26:1 et seq., 27:1 et seq., 28:1 et seq., 29:1 et seq.

Provincial law

timing of determination, 14:1 to 14:39  
“void”

meaning of

generally, 6:1

meaning void ab initio, not voidable, 6:1 [Text Following Footnotes 2 et seq.]

declaration that property

“reverts in,” “reverts to,”

etc. the fraudulent debtor

(that is, conveyance is void

ab initio), 7:7 [Text Pre-

ceding, Accompanying

and Following Footnote 17]

“void ab initio” vs. “voidable,”

effect of impeachment of

transaction, 7:5’7:7

**WAGES, PAYMENT OF**

Generally, 20:11, 20:12

**WAIVER OF RIGHTS BY CREDITOR**

Generally, 1:8 [Footnote 10]

**WARRANT OF ATTORNEY TO CONFESS JUDGMENT, USE OF, TO DEFRAUD CREDITORS**

Generally, 8:2

**WILL, GIFTS UNDER**

Generally, 9:18 to 9:33