Index

ABSENT WITNESSES	ACCUSED PERSON,
Generally, 10:1	COMPELLABILITY OF
Absent from other jurisdictions and present in Canada	Canada Evidence Act, effect of s.4(6), 6:37
generally, 10:15	Co-accused, 6:39
foreign letters rogatory	Criminal (penal) proceedings, 6:36
evidentiary and procedural concerns, 10:20	General rule—not compellable at own trial, 6:35
judicial discretion to refuse	Officers of corporations, 6:40
conflict with Canadian policy	Separate proceedings, 6:38
objectives, 10:19	Spouse of accused person
materiality, 10:18	historical approach, 6:41
undue burden, 10:17	provincial prosecutions, 6:42
legislative prerequisites, 10:16	Tactical compulsion, 6:35.50
mutual legal assistance in Canadian criminal courts, 10:21	ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS
requesting the assistance of a Canadian	Compelling attendance, 8:11
court, 10:15	ADMISSIBILITY
Commission evidence	See also EXPERT WITNESSES
civil cases	Generally, 2:3
generally, 10:2	Conditional admissibility, 2:8
criteria for making order, 10:3	Consent, on
procedural concerns, 10:4	formal admissions, civil cases, 2:10
criminal cases	formal admissions, criminal cases, 2:11
generally, 10:5	Exclusion
application procedure, 10:5	judicial discretion
criteria for making order, 10:6	history, 2:16
presence of accused, 10:7	modernization of discretion, 2:17 to 2:19
Requesting the assistance of a Canadian court. 10:15	rationales for, 2:15
Testifying by video-conference or other	limited exclusion, 2:30
means	Judicial notice, 3:32
Advances in technology, 10:8	Legal prerequisite, 2:2
civil cases	Legal relevance, 2:5
historical approach, 10:9	Limited admissibility, 2:9
legislative guidelines, 10:10	Materiality, 2:4
modern approach, 10:11	Prejudicial effect
witnesses in foreign jurisdictions,	generally, 2:23
10:12	distraction or undue focus, 2:26
criminal cases	emotional prejudice, hostility or
Evidentiary and procedural ques-	sympathy, 2:24
tions, 10:14	misleading nature, 2:25
factors for consideration, 10:14.50	time and expense, 2:27
legislation, 10:13	ultimate issue. 2:29

ADMISSIBILITY—Cont'd

Prejudicial effect—Cont'd unfair surprise, 2:28

Probative value

generally, 2:5

measuring, 2:20 to 2:22

Procedural issues

objections, 2:13

voir dire, 2:14

Relaxed standards, 2:7

Weight, vs, 2:6

ADMISSION BY PARTIES, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, **14:13**

Adopted admissions, 14:14

Vicarious admissions, 14:15

ADULTERY

Privilege to protect against self-incrimination, 17:87

ADVERSARIAL PROCESS

See TRIAL PROCESS

ADVERSE WITNESSES

See also HOSTILE WITNESSES

Generally, 11:31

Adverse non-party witnesses, 11:34

Adversity, meaning, 11:27

Existing approach, concerns with, 11:29

Manner of examination, 11:33

Prohibited statements, 11:28

Scope of rule—technical requirements, 11:32

Statutory construction issues, 11:26

AFFIDAVITS

Generally, 3:4

AFFIRMATION, SWEARING

Generally, **6:5**

AUTHENTICATION OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE

Ancient documents, 3:8

Basic rule, 3:6

Direct or circumstantial evidence, by, 3:7

Judicial records, 3:9

Other statutory exceptions, 3:10

BAD CHARACTER EVIDENCE

Civil cases, use in, 15:7

BAD CHARACTER EVIDENCE

-Cont'd

Criminal cases, use in

accused, 15:2

co-accused, 15:3

non-accused

generally, 15:4

deceased or victim/complainant,

15:6

third party suspect, 15:5

Direct relevance

civil cases, 15:13

criminal cases

charging trier of fact, 15:11

prejudicial effect, 15:9

probative value, 15:8

raised by accused, 15:10

relevance to credibility, 15:12

BASIC PRINCIPLES OF LAW OF EVIDENCE

Bentham, Jeremy, 1:11

Categorical approach, 1:10

Efficiency, 1:7

Ehrenberg, Kenneth, 1:11

Evidentiary discretion, 1:10

Flexibility vs certainty, 1:10

Principled approach, 1:10

Protection of interests outside trial, 1:9

Protection of jury, 1:8

Requirement for, 1:11

Right to fair trial, 1:6

Search for truth, 1:5

Traditional approach, 1:10

BENTHAM, JEREMY

Generally, 1:11

BEST EVIDENCE RULE

Electronic evidence, 3:15

Exceptions

evidence not available, 3:13

production inconvenient (public

records), **3:14**

Modern approach, 3:11

Rationale, 3:11

Scope, **3:12**

BOARD AND TRIBUNAL MEMBERS, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

Compellability and scope of questioning, **6:30**

BOARD AND TRIBUNAL MEMBERS, **COMPETENCE AND** COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd Privative clauses, effect, 6:31 BROWNE v. DUNN, RULE IN Generally, 12:36 BURDEN OF PROOF Civil proceedings allocating burdens, 4:11 legal standard, 4:10 third standard of proof, 4:10 Criminal proceedings, accused due diligence defence, regulatory offences, and, 4:9 statutory and common law burdens, 4:8 Criminal proceedings, prosecution generally, 4:3 applies to case as whole, 4:4 burden never shifts to accused, 4:5 credibility, 4:7 reasonable doubt, meaning, 4:6, 4:6.50 Evidential burden generally, 4:12 absence of evidence, 4:6.50 civil cases. 4:15 criminal cases accused, on, 4:14 prosecution, on, 4:13 Need for, 4:1 Tendering evidence threshold, 4:16 who bears burden, 4:17 Types, **4:2** BUSINESS RECORDS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE Definition, 14:37 Historical development of exception, 14:35 Specific exclusions, 14:38 Which exception governs, 14:36 CALLING EVIDENCE Appeal, on, 7:6

Appeal, on, **7:6**due diligence (civil cases), **7:6.10**due diligence (criminal cases), **7:6.10**exceptions to general rule, **7:6.10**importance of evidence, **7:6.10**Order of evidence, **7:1**Re-calling a witness, **7:3.50**

CALLING EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Re-opening case civil cases, 7:4 criminal cases, 7:5 Rule against splitting case generally, 7:2 civil cases, 7:2 criminal cases, 7:3

CALLING WITNESSES

Generally, 7:7 Civil cases additional witnesses, 7:12 failure to call witnesses, 7:13 Crown in criminal cases adverse inference, 7:10 failure to call witness and adverse inference, 7:10, 7:11 crown commentary on in jury address, 7:11.50 no obligation to call witnesses, 7:8 oblique motive/abuse of process, 7:9 Defence in criminal cases, 7:11 Expert witnesses, 7:24 Trial judge's role calling witnesses, 7:14 jury's ability to question witnesses, 7:17 questioning witnesses in adversarial proceedings, 7:15

CANADA EVIDENCE ACT

Adverse inference and effect of s.4(6), **6:37**

Child witnesses, presumption of competence. **6:16**

Cross-examination

s.10 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64

s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67

failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66

Journalist-source privilege, protection of, 17:90

Public interest immunity, 18:6 to 18:16

CHARACTER EVIDENCE	CHARACTER EVIDENCE—Cont'd
Bad character evidence	Similar fact evidence, criminal cases
civil cases, use in, 15:7	—Cont'd
criminal cases, use in	prejudicial effect
accused, 15:2	moral prejudice, 15:23
co-accused, 15:3	reasoning prejudice, 15:24
Crown witnesses, 15:4	probative value
Deceased or Victim complainant,	collusion, 15:22
15:6	relevant factors, 15:21
third party suspect, 15:5	Utility and dangers, 15:1
direct relevance	CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND
civil cases, 15:13	FREEDOMS
criminal cases	See also IMPROPERLY OBTAINED
charging trier of fact, 15:11	EVIDENCE
prejudicial effect, 15:9	Presumptions of law, and, 4:22
probative value, 15:8	s.13 and compellability in separate
raised by accused, 15:10	proceedings, 6:35.50 , 6:38
relevance to credibility, 15:12	s.7 and self-incrimination
Good character evidence, civil cases,	derivative use immunity, 17:15
15:36	residual protection against self-incrimi-
Good character evidence, criminal cases	nation, 17:14
generally, 15:30	statements compelled by statute, 17:16
accused, 15:31	s.13 and self-incrimination
impact of adducing	application, 17:7
admitting bad character evidence in	compelled testimony, 17:9
reply, impact, 15:34	non-criminal proceedings, 17:13
Crown's ability to respond, 15:33	testifies in any proceedings, 17:8
putting character "in issue," 15:32	using prior testimony for other non-
prosecution, 15:35	incriminating purposes, 17:12
Similar fact evidence, civil cases, 15:29	using prior testimony to impeach, 17:11
Similar fact evidence, criminal cases	whether s.13 can be waived, 17:10
generally, 15:14	s.11(c) and compellability, 6:35.50 , 6:37 ,
admissibility	6:38
balancing process, 15:26	Source of evidence law, 1:18
deference to trial judge's decision,	Violation would be caused, grounds for
15:25	quashing subpoena, 8:18
proving identity, 15:27	CHILD WITNESSES
conditions for admission	Historical treatment, 6:13
acts for which accused acquitted, 15:19	Presumption of competence under Canada Evidence Act, 6:16
evidence linking accused to similar acts, 15:20	Procedural issues in assessing competence, 6:17
types of evidence, 15:18	Promising to tell truth, 6:15
historical development of rule	Techniques to assist in providing evidence
early common law/iMakin/i rule, 15:15	child-friendly techniques, rationale, 6:18
evolving past iMakin/i, 15:16 R. v. Handy, 15:17	other measures and potential reforms, 6:22
multi-count indictments 15:28	nerconal cross-examination 6.21

CHILD WITNESSES—Cont'd

Techniques to assist in providing evidence
—Cont'd

screens, in-camera evidence and testifying remotely, **6:19** support persons and animals, **6:20**

Unsworn testimony, **6:15**

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

Authenticating by, documentary evidence, **3:7**

Criminal cases, special rules in, **3:2, 3:3** Distinguished from direct evidence, **3:1**

CO-ACCUSED, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

Generally, 6:39

CO-CONSPIRATOR STATEMENTS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Admissibility, framework, 14:31
Admissibility in civil cases, 14:33
Concerns with exceptions and compliance with principled approach, 14:32
Principled basis for admission, 14:30

COLLATERAL FACT RULE

Abolish or reform rule, need to, **12:41** Exceptions, **12:44**

COMMISSION EVIDENCE

Civil cases generally, **10:2** criteria for making order, **10:3** procedural concerns, **10:4**

Criminal cases
generally, 10:5
application procedure, 10:5
criteria for making order, 10:6
presence of accused, 10:7

COMMON LAW AS SOURCE OF EVIDENCE LAW

Generally, 1:12

COMMON LAW PRIVILEGE

Balancing of interests, **17:94** Confidentiality, **17:92** History, **17:91** Nature of relationship, **17:93**

COMMUNICATING WITH TESTIFYING WITNESS

Communication between witnesses, 7:27

COMMUNICATING WITH

TESTIFYING WITNESS—Cont'd

Examination for discovery, **7:26** Trial, **7:25**

COMPELLING ATTENDANCE

Administrative tribunals, 8:11

Civil litigation

interprovincial subpoenas

generally, 8:3

proceedings where subpoena available, **8:4**

witness fees and protection, 8:5

witnesses within jurisdiction, 8:2

Coroners' inquests, 8:10

Criminal proceedings

duration of subpoena, 8:8

issuance. 8:6

likely to provide material evidence, 8:7

technical requirements, 8:6

Enforcing attendance by arresting witness civil and administrative proceedings, 9:2

criminal proceedings, 9:3

Federal and provincial inquiries, 8:9

Power to compel, 8:1

Subpoenas or summons, setting aside

onus and procedure

civil proceedings, 8:13

criminal proceedings, 8:14

quashing, grounds for

abuse of process, 8:17

Charter violation, 8:18

health of witness would be at risk,

8:19

irregularity in issuance, 8:15

witness can provide to material evidence, **8:16**

COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

Accused persons

Canada Evidence Act, effect of s.4(6), **6:37**

co-accused, 6:39

criminal (penal) proceedings, 6:36

general rule—not compellable at own trial, **6:35**

officers of corporations, 6:40

separate proceedings, 6:38

COMPETENCE AND	COMPETENCE AND
COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd	COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd
Accused persons—Cont'd	State officials—Cont'd
spouse of accused person	Crown officials and Members of Parlia
historical approach, 6:41	ment
provincial prosecutions, 6:42	discovery and inquiries, 6:25
tactical compulsion, 6:35.50	parliamentary privilege, 6:26
Child witnesses	prima facie compellable at trial, 6:2 4
historical treatment, 6:13	judges and jurors
presumption of competence under Can- ada Evidence Act, 6:16	incompetent in the particular proceeding, 6:28
procedural issues in assessing compe-	unrelated proceedings, 6:29
tence, 6:17	ombudspersons, investigators, and
promising to tell truth, 6:15	mediators, 6:32
techniques to assist in providing evi- dence	representatives of foreign governments 6:27
child-friendly techniques, rationale,	sovereign, 6:23
6:18	CONFESSIONS AND OTHER
other measures and potential	PROTECTED STATEMENTS
reforms, 6:22	Generally, 19:1
personal cross-examination, 6:21	Application of confessions rule
screens, in-camera evidence and	generally, 19:9
testifying remotely, 6:19	appellate review, 19:11
support persons and animals, 6:20	burden of proof, 19:9
unsworn testimony, 6:14	ivoir dire/i, 19:10
Evolution of Rules, 6:1	Derived confessions rule, 19:6
Interest in proceedings	Improper means: Mr. Big investigations
civil, 6:11	abuse of process, 19:32
criminal, 6:12	background: R v. Hart, 19:28
Intermediaries, 6:9.50	extending approach to other types of investigations, 19:34
Lawyers	jury instructions, 19:33
counsel for a party, 6:33	measuring probative value
counsel for opposing party, 6:34	circumstances surrounding confes-
Prerequisites	sion, 19:29
intelligibility, 6:8	markers of reliability, 19:30
mental capacity, 6:9	prejudicial effect, 19:31
swearing oath or affirmation	Persons in authority
affirmation, 6:5	generally, 19:12
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	confessions made to person not in
importance of sworn testimony, 6:3 oath, 6:4	authority, 19:14
*	evidentiary burden, 19:15
questioning witness's choice, 6:6	who is a person in authority, 19:13
unsworn testimony, 6:7	Right to silence
Prior convictions, 6:10	detention and choice
State officials	elicitation, 19:26
board and tribunal members	is recipient a state agent, 19:25
compellability and scope of questioning, 6:30	recognition of right, R. v. Hebert, 19:24
privative clauses, effect, 6:31	police questioning, 19:27

CONFESSIONS AND OTHER	CORROBORATION AND VETROVEC
PROTECTED STATEMENTS	WARNINGS—Cont'd
—Cont'd	Criminal cases—Cont'd
Scope of rule	common law—Cont'd
applicable only in criminal proceedings, 19:8	Vetrovec warnings apply exclusively to Crown evidence, 13:9
derivative evidence, 19:7 statements by accused, 19:5	Vetrovec warnings in cases involving Co-Accused, 13:9.50
subsequent statements, derived confes-	Criminal Code, 13:7
sions rule, 19:6	Historical approach
Voluntariness	absolute requirement, corroboration as,
generally, 19:16, 19:22	13:2
caution, 19:17	concept of proof, corroboration as, 13:1
excluding statements obtained by violations of fairness, 19:3	evolution of corroboration requirements, 13:3
modern approach to confessions rule,	Jailhouse informants
19:4	generally, 13:14
operating mind, 19:20	precluding informants from testifying,
oppression, 19:19	13:15
police trickery, 19:21	Vetrovec warnings for, 13:16
threats and inducements, 19:18	CREDIBILITY
unreliable statements, fear of prejudice/	Cross-examination
hope of advantage, 19:2	generally, 12:1 , 12:2
Young persons, 19:23	prior judicial findings, 12:28
CONTEMPT OF COURT	Enhancing, limits on
See also NON-COMPLIANT WIT-	rule against oath helping, 11:11 to
NESSES, Punishment	11:14
Authority to punish for contempt, 9:7	rule against prior inconsistent state- ments, 11:15 to 11:23
Civil or criminal contempt, 9:6	Experts, 16:20
Elements of offence, 9:8	Overview, 12:24
Rationale, 9:5	Physical symptoms, 12:15
Restraint in use, 9:9	Probative value and witness credibility,
CORONERS' INQUESTS,	2:21
COMPELLING ATTENDANCE	Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7
Generally, 8:10	
	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF
CORROBORATION AND VETROVEC WARNINGS	OPPOSING WITNESS
	Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20
Civil cases	Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69
estates litigation, 13:6	Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41
requirements, 13:5	Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking
when required, 13:4	credibility
Criminal cases	procedure, 12:26
common law	scope, 12:25
cautions reviewed on appeal, 13:13	Credibility
content of rule, 13:11	assessment, 12:1
content of warning, 13:12	demeanor evidence, 12:2
focus of rule, 13:8	Discretion
is Vetrovec warning required, 13:10	admissions, 12:59

Discretion—Cont'd convictions—Cont'd individually, 12:52 convictions should be admitted, 12:51 crimes against the administration of justice, 12:54 crimes of an abhorrent nature, 12:56 crimes of dishonesty, 12:53 Indigenous offenders, 12:57 remote or trivial convictions, 12:55 similar crimes, 12:58 Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:40 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:66 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF
sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code —Cont'd scope of the provision, 12:8 to 12:10 Motive to fabricate, 12:19 Prior convictions discretion to exclude—civil cases, 12:60 factors with rule, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:34 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits Contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code —Cont'd scope of the provision, 12:8 to 12:10 Motive to fabricate, 12:19 Prior convictions discretion to exclude—civil cases, 12:60 factors to assess, 12:50 procedural issues, 12:49 R. v. Corbett, 12:48 offences for which pardon has been granted, 12:46 procedural issues, 12:47 rocope of examination, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:62 s.10 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Prior inconsistent statements or contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— scape of the provision, 12:66 factors of sasess, 12:10 prior convictions discretion to exclude—criminal case concerns with existing approach, 12:60 procedural issues, 12:47 rycope of examination, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements Sc	OPPOSING WITNESS—Cont'd	OPPOSING WITNESS—Cont'd
ss. 276, 277 of Criminal Code —Cont'd convictions should be admitted, 12:51 crimes against the administration of justice, 12:54 crimes of dishonesty, 12:55 crimes of dishonesty, 12:57 remote or trivial convictions, 12:55 similar crimes, 12:58 Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss. 276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s. 276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect		
convictions should be admitted, 12:51 crimes against the administration of justice, 12:54 crimes of an abhorrent nature, 12:56 crimes of dishonesty, 12:53 Indigenous offenders, 12:57 remote or trivial convictions, 12:55 similar crimes, 12:58 Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 interest in outcome, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 marrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:850 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Improper communication with witness, 12:10 Motive to fabricate, 12:19 Motive to fabricate, 12:16 discretion to exclude—civil cases, 12:66 idiscretion to exclude—civil cases, 12:66 factors to assess, 12:50 procedural issues, 12:49 R. v. Corbett, 12:48 offences for which pardon has been granted, 12:46 procedural issues, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in o		
scope of the provision, 12:8 to 12:10 Motive to fabricate, 12:19 Prior convictions, 12:55 crimes of dishonesty, 12:53 Indigenous offenders, 12:57 remote or trivial convictions, 12:55 similar crimes, 12:58 Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting elstimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:35 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss. 276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s. 276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Motive to fabricate, 12:19 Prior convictions, discretion to exclude—criminal cases concerns with existing approach, 12:60 factors to assess, 12:50 procedural issues, 12:49 R. v. Corbett, 12:48 offences for which pardon has been granted, 12:46 procedural issues, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:66 satisfaction to exclude—criminal cases concerns with existing approach, 12:60 factors to assess, 12:50 procedural issues, 12:49 R. v. Corbett, 12:44 scope of the provision, 12:50 factors to assess, 12:50 procedural issues, 12:49 R. v. Corbett, 12:46 procedural issues, 12:46 scipe of fences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:63		
justice, 12:54 crimes of an abhorrent nature, 12:56 crimes of dishonesty, 12:53 Indigenous offenders, 12:57 remote or trivial convictions, 12:55 similar crimes, 12:58 Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:850 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Motive to fabricate, 12:19 Prior convictions discretion to exclude—criminal cases concerns with existing approach, 12:60 factors to assess, 12:50 procedural issues, 12:49 R. v. Corbett, 12:48 offences for which pardon has been granted, 12:46 procedural issues, 12:47 scope of examination, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:66 statement must have been made by mitnest in outcome, 12:33 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:		
rrimes of an abhorrent nature, 12:56 crimes of dishonesty, 12:53 Indigenous offenders, 12:57 remote or trivial convictions, 12:55 similar crimes, 12:58 Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss. 276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s. 276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:85 Indigenous of discretion to exclude—crivil cases, 12:60 factors to assess, 12:50 procedural issues, 12:49 R. v. Corbett, 12:48 offences for which pardon has been granted, 12:46 procedural issues, 12:47 scope of examination, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:62 satement, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facic compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
discretion to exclude—civil cases, 12:53 Indigenous offenders, 12:55 remote or trivial convictions, 12:55 similar crimes, 12:58 Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect proposative value and prejudici	•	
Indigenous offenders, 12:57 remote or trivial convictions, 12:55 similar crimes, 12:58 Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss. 276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s. 276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect 12:61 discretion to exclude—criminal cases concerns with existing approach, 12:60 factors to assess, 12:50 procedural issues, 12:49 recordural issues, 12:40 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 procedural issues, 12:47 recope of examination, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:62 s.10 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66		
discretion to exclude—criminal cases concerns with existing approach, 12:60 factors to assess, 12:50 procedural issues, 12:49 R. v. Corbett, 12:40 R. v. Cor		
similar crimes, 12:58 Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect		discretion to exclude—criminal cases
Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:40 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect		
in Browne v. Dunn generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
generally, 12:36 concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect procedural issues, 12:49 R. v. Corbett, 12:48 offences for which pardon has been granted, 12:46 procedural issues, 12:47 scope of examination, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:62 s.10 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness peops to prior statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness peops and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facic compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		factors to assess, 12:50
concerns with rule, 12:4 honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect R. v. Corbett, 12:48 offences for which pardon has been granted, 12:46 procedural issues, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:62 s.10 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,	generally, 12:36	
honest mistaken belief, 12:23 post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect		
post charge sexual activity, 12:22 remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect granted, 12:46 procedural issues, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:62 s.10 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		offences for which pardon has been
remedies for breach of rule drawing adverse inference, 12:40 similar conduct, 12:21 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect procedural issues, 12:47 scope of examination, 12:47 types of offences covered, 12:45 Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		granted, 12:46
types of offences covered, 12:45 Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect		procedural issues, 12:47
Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Prior inconsistent statements generally, 12:62 s.10 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,	drawing adverse inference, 12:40	scope of examination, 12:47
cross-examination, 12:4 General reputation, 12:70 Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect generally, 12:62 s.10 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:62 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,	similar conduct, 12:21	types of offences covered, 12:45
S. 10 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s. 11 of Canada Evidence Act generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s. 11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 scope, 12:3 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect	Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit	
Limits contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect generally, 12:63 intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,	cross-examination, 12:4	
contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect intention to contradict witness, 12:65 statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect statement must have been made by witness, 12:64 s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
criminal case, in contradicting element of actus reus, 12:16 imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect		
s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect s.11 of Canada Evidence Act addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect addressing denial, proof of former statement, 12:67 failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
imposed on Crown, 12:29 interest in outcome, 12:31 presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect		
failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect failing to contradict witness, 12:68 witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
presence in court, 12:33 receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect witness response to prior statement, 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
receipt of disclosure, 12:33 silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect 12:66 Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
silence, 12:30 good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Scope, 12:3 Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
good faith for question, 12:5 legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Testimonial qualifications, lack of, 12:71 Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
legal restrictions, 12:6 narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Witness bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,	,	
narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18 physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
physical symptoms, 12:15 Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
Presumption of openness, 12:12 prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect AND COMPELLABILITY Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
prior judicial findings on credibility, 12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Discovery and inquiries, 6:25 Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
12:28 sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Parliamentary privilege, 6:26 Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
sexual experience of complainant— ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect Prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24 CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		
ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2), 12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,	sexual experience of complainant—	
12:13 application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,		•
application to Crown, 12:8.50 history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,	admissibility, test under s.276(2),	
history, 12:7 to 12:14 probative value and prejudicial effect OPPOSITE SIDE Improper communication with witness,	12010	See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY
probative value and prejudicial effect Improper communication with witness,		DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON
probative value and prejudicial effect Improper communication with witness,		
	probative value and prejudicial effect	Improper communication with witness,

DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE—Cont'd

Physicians and experts retained by party, 5:15

Represented parties

generally, 5:13

employees and directors of, 5:14

Unrepresented witnesses, 5:12

DECLARATIONS AGAINST INTEREST, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Pecuniary interest, **14:16**Penal interest, **14:17**

DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE, EXPERIMENTS, AND RECONSTRUCTIONS

Demonstrative evidence. 3:28

Distinctions, 3:24

Experiments

generally, 3:25

opinion, and, 3:27

probative value, 3:26

Re-enactments or reconstructions, **3:29**

DIRECT EVIDENCE

Authenticating documentary evidence, 3:7

Criminal cases, special rules in, **3:2, 3:3**Distinguished from circumstantial evidence, **3:1**

DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE

Generally, 3:5

Authentication

ancient documents, 3:8

basic rule, 3:6

direct or circumstantial evidence, by, **3:7**

judicial records, 3:9

other statutory exceptions, 3:10

photographs, videos and other recordings, 3:17 to 3:19

Definition. 3:5

DOUBLE HEARSAY

Generally, 14:8

DYING DECLARATIONS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, **14:24**

ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE

Generally, 3:15

EVIDENTIAL BURDEN

Generally, 4:12

Absence of evidence, 4:6.50

Civil cases, 4:15

Criminal cases

absence of evidence, 4:6.50

accused, on, 4:14

prosecution, on, 4:13

EXAMINATION OF OWN WITNESS

Generally, 11:1

Adverse witness

generally, 11:31

adverse non-party witness, 11:34

adversity, meaning, 11:27

existing approach, concerns with, 11:29

manner of examination, 11:33

permissible use of prior inconsistent statement, 11:30

prohibited statements, 11:28

scope of rule—technical requirements,

11:32

statutory construction issues, 11:26

Charging the jury, 11:23.30

Enhancing credibility, limits

rule against oath helping, 11:11 to

11:14

rule against prior inconsistent statements, 11:15 to 11:23

Examination-in-chief

direct examination, 11:2

re-examination, rules of, 11:3

Hostile witnesses

common law rule, 11:25

existing approach, concerns with, 11:29

Impeaching own witness, rule against,

11:24

Memory and witness testimony

general approach, 11:4

present memory revived, 11:5

Prior consistent statements, 11:23.70

Refreshing memory, aids

prior to trial

general procedure and disclosure,

11:6

hypnosis and similar methods, 11:7

can witness review document, 11:8

EXAMINATION OF OWN WITNESS	EXPERIMENTS, DEMONSTRATIVE
—Cont'd	EVIDENCE AND
Refreshing memory, aids—Cont'd	RECONSTRUCTIONS
trial—Cont'd	Demonstrative evidence, 3:28
is witness's memory being refreshed,	Distinctions, 3:24
11:9	Experiments
should document be admitted as	generally, 3:25
exhibit, 11:10	opinion, and, 3:27
Rule against oath helping	probative value, 3:26
generally, 11:11	Re-enactments or reconstructions, 3:29
accused in criminal case, 11:14	The chaethers of reconstructions, 5.27
common variants	EXPERT WITNESSES
opinions about credibility, 11:12	Admissibility, test for, 16:9, 16:13
polygraph evidence, 11:13	Admissibility and cost/benefit analysis
self-bolstering statements, 11:12.50	balancing risks and benefits, 16:34
Rule against prior inconsistent statements	factual foundation and hearsay
adopting video recording—ss.715.1	concerns, 16:35 to 16:37
and 715.2 of Criminal Code, 11:19	Court-appointed or jointly appointed
exculpatory statements of accused on	experts, 16:38
arrest, 11:22	Exclusionary rules
general rule, 11:15	generally, 7:24
narrative/context to physical actions,	
11:21	rules of evidence, 16:25
permissible use, 11:30	statutory restrictions
previous identification, 11:18	number of experts, 16:26
recent complaints and narrative exception, 11:20	restrictions in civil proceedings, 16:27
recent fabrication, 11:17	Necessity
responding to prior inconsistent state-	identity or propensity, 16:22
ment, 11:23	issues of credibility at trial, 16:20
theory of exceptions, 11:16	providing context to human behaviour,
• •	16:21
EXCLUDING WITNESSES	questions of law, 16:23
Generally, 7:18	threshold, 16:18
Administrative hearings, 7:22	ultimate issue, expertise going to,
Discovery, during, 7:21	16:24
Failure to comply with exclusion order,	Prejudicial effect, 16:6
7:19	Probative value, 16:5
Ordering accused to testify first, 7:23	Qualification
Trial, 7:20	generally, 16:28
EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE	expertise in specialized area, 16:29 ,
Judicial discretion	16:30
history, 2:16	independence and impartiality, 16:31 to
modernization of discretion	16:33
civil cases, 2:19	Relevance, 16:11
Crown evidence in criminal cases,	Reliability in cases of novel or contested
2:17	science
defence evidence in criminal cases, 2:18	concerns raised by reliability of expert opinion, 16:12
rationales for, 2:15	Frye/Daubert approaches to admissibil-
Limited, 2:30	ity, 16:13

EXPERT WITNESSES—Cont'd

Reliability in cases of novel or contested Categorical exceptions—Cont'd science—Cont'd co-conspirator statements—Cont'd reliability standard, application, 16:14 admissibility in civil cases, 14:33 to 16:16 concerns with exceptions and specialized rather than scientific knowlcompliance with principled edge, 16:17 approach, 14:32 Scrutinizing expert testimony principled basis for admission, 14:30 classes of expert witness, 16:8 declarations against interest judicial role, 16:7 pecuniary interest, 14:16 Standard of review on appeal, 16:10 penal interest, 14:17 dying declarations, 14:24 FOREIGN LETTERS ROGATORY excluding evidence tendered under Evidentiary and procedural concerns. exception, 14:11.50 10:20 historical matters. 14:40 Judicial discretion to refuse past recollection recorded, 14:26 conflict with Canadian policy objecprior identification, 14:25 tives, 10:19 prior inconsistent statements, 14:34 materiality, 10:18 public records, 14:39 undue burden. 10:17 ires gestae/i/spontaneous utterances Legislative prerequisites, **10:16** components of exception, 14:19 GENERAL REPUTATION, criticisms of exception, 14:20 CROSS-EXAMINATION ires gestae/i as non-hearsay, 14:18 Generally, 12:70 state of mind GOOD CHARACTER EVIDENCE generally, 14:22 Generally, 15:30 circumstances of suspicion, 14:23.50 Accused. 15:31 inadmissible to prove third party's Civil cases, 15:36 intention, 14:23 Impact of adducing sworn testimony from other proceedadmitting bad character evidence in reply, impact, 15:34 civil cases—common law, 14:27 Crown's ability to respond, **15:33** civil cases—statutory exceptions, putting character "in issue," 15:32 14:28 Prosecution. 15:35 criminal cases. 14:29 Elements **HEARSAY** double hearsay, 14:8 Categorical exceptions offered to prove truth admission by parties common examples of non-hearsay generally, **14:13** usages, 14:6 adopted admissions, 14:14 implied assertions and hearsay by vicarious admissions. 14:15 conduct, 14:7 bodily condition, statements as to, out-of-court statement 14:21 basic components, 14:2 business records statements made by animals, 14:4 definition, 14:37 statements made by computers, historical development of exception, 14:35 machines or instruments, 14:3 specific exclusions, 14:38 witness's prior statements, 14:5 which exception governs, 14:36 Exceptions to rule co-conspirator statements charging trier of fact about admissible admissibility, framework, 14:31 hearsay, 14:12

HEARSAY—Cont'd

HEARSAY—Cont'd

Exceptions to rule—Cont'd
historical treatment—categorical or
pigeon-hole approach, 14:9
modern approach—categorical and
principles, 14:11

principled approach, 14:10

Principled or residual exception admitting hearsay from defence, **14:62** necessity

absolute necessity, **14:57** general approach, **14:56** necessity and fairness concerns, **14:60**

relativity necessity, **14:58**, **14:59** other policy concerns and resolving admissibility, **14:61**

procedural reliability—adequate substitutes for cross-examination availability to cross-examination, 14:54

cross-examination in another forum, 14:53

lesser substitutes for cross-examination, 14:55

reliability and fairness

circumstantial reliability, **14:41** different ways of assessing reli-

ability, **14:42**

importance of the evidence, **14:43**

substantive reliability

generally, 14:44

contents of statement, 14:47

corroborating evidence, 14:51

motive to fabricate, 14:46

relationship of declarant to recipient, 14:48

reliability of declarant, **14:50** reliability of testifying witness, **14:52**

statement taken under oath or in formal setting, **14:45** timing and spontaneity, **14:49** Wigmore principles, **17:91**

HISTORICAL MATTERS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, **14:40**

HODGE'S CASE, RULE IN

Generally, **3:2**

HOSTILE WITNESSES

Common law rule, 11:25 Existing approach, concerns with, 11:29

HUMAN SOURCE PRIVILEGE

Generally, 17:88

HYPNOSIS, REFRESHING MEMORY THROUGH

Generally, 11:7

IMPEACHING OWN WITNESS, RULE AGAINST

Choosing witnesses carefully, 11:24

IMPROPERLY OBTAINED EVIDENCE

Common law exclusionary rule since enactment of Charter

alternative route to exclusion in criminal trials, **20:37**

exclusion in civil proceedings generally, **20:38**

concerns about prevailing approach, **20:40**

current approach, 20:39

Connection of evidence and breach, 20:30.50

Exclusion of evidence and s.24(2) in civil cases

generally, 20:33

proceedings without state party, 20:34 proceedings with state party, 20:35

Exclusion of evidence under Charter in criminal cases

adjudication on the merits, society's interest in, **20:31**

importance of evidence to prosecution case, **20:31.50**

reliability of evidence, **20:31.20** seriousness of offence, **20:31.70**

appellate review, 20:32

deference, proper approach to, **20:32.70**

s. 24(2) findings made in the alternative, **20:32.70**

bringing administration of justice into disrepute

current approach: R. v. Grant, 20:17 history, 20:14

R. v. Collins, 20:15

R. v. Stillman, 20:16

court of competent jurisdiction, **20:4** government action, **20:5.50**

IMPROPERLY OBTAINED EVIDENCE INFORMER PRIVILEGE—Cont'd -Cont'd Exceptions—Cont'd Exclusion of evidence under Charter in Charter challenge, 17:69 criminal cases-Cont'd innocence at stake, 17:66 impact on accused's interests material witness. 17:68 generally, 20:26 Other uses. 17:69.50 bodily evidence, 20:28 Protecting confidential sources, 17:62 discoverability and impact on Scope accused's interests, 20:30 information protected by privilege, non-bodily physical evidence, 20:29 statements, 20:27 who is informant, 17:63 importance of evidence to prosecution Waiver. 17:65 case. 20:31.50 INQUIRIES, COMPELLING obtained in a manner ATTENDANCE causal connections and remoteness. Generally, 8:9 20:9 evidence not obtained in a manner, INTERPRETERS AT COMMON LAW severing the connection, **20:10** AND IN RULES OF CIVIL modern approach: searching for **PROCEDURE** contextual connections, 20:8 Charter, s.14, 7:30 modified approach proposal, 20:11 Official languages, 7:31 no need for causal connection, 20:6 Qualifying as interpreter, 7:29 temporal approach, 20:7 Types of Translation, 7:29.50 procedural issues When ordered, 7:28 burden of proof, 20:12 JAILHOUSE INFORMANTS revisiting a s.24(2) decision, 20:13, Generally, 13:14 to 13:16 20:32 to 20:32.70 reliability of evidence, 20:31.20 JOURNALIST-SOURCE PRIVILEGE seriousness of Charter-infringing state Common law. 17:89 conduct Statutory protection—Canada Evidence generally, **20:18** Act, 17:90 deceit or falsehoods by police, 20:23 JUDGES AND JURORS, good faith, 20:19 COMPETENCE AND gravity of breach, 20:20 COMPELLABILITY magnitude of breach—impact on Incompetent in the particular proceeding, third parties, 20:25 6:28 pattern of disregard, 20:24 Unrelated proceedings, 6:29 systemic concerns, 20:22 urgency, 20:21 JUDICIAL NOTICE seriousness of offence, 20:31.70 Generally, 3:30 standing, 20:5 Adjudicative facts Exclusion of evidence under s.24(1), defining, 3:31 20:36 established by sources of indisputable Historical approach accuracy, 3:34 Bill of Rights and pre-Charter negotiaimpact of judicial notice, 3:35 tions. 20:3 notorious or generally accepted facts, English common law, 20:1 3:33 R. v. Wray, 20:2 procedural concerns, 3:35 INFORMER PRIVILEGE threshold for admission, 3:32 Exceptions Legislative facts or social framework, 3:36 agent provocateur, 17:67

JUROR DELIBERATIONS, PRIVILEGE

Generally, 17:85

LAWYERS, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

Counsel for a party, **6:33**Counsel for opposing party, **6:34**

LEGAL ADVICE PRIVILEGE

Generally, **17:17**

Burden of proving valid claim, 17:39

Communication between lawyer and client

who is client, **17:20** who is lawyer, **17:19**

Communication made in course of seeking legal advice

acting in legal role, 17:24

communication made for purpose of seeking legal advice, 17:26

part of lawyer-client relationship, 17:25

Exceptions

crime/fraud, 17:34

innocence at stake, 17:36

limitation by statute, 17:37

public safety, 17:35

testamentary intentions, 17:38

Express waiver

limited waiver, 17:28

who can waive privilege, 17:27

Implied waiver

imputations against lawyer, 17:30

loss of confidentiality

accidental or compelled disclosure, 17:31

deliberate disclosure to third parties (common interest privilege), 17:32

state of mind or other fairness considerations, 17:29

Need for confidence

lack of relative confidentiality—parties with common interest, 17:22 presence of third parties, 17:21

LITIGATION PRIVILEGE

Generally, 17:40

Core components

dominant purpose standard, **17:42** need for adversarial proceedings, **17:41**

LITIGATION PRIVILEGE—Cont'd

Core components—Cont'd

privilege can be invoked against any

party, **17:45**

purpose for which material was created, 17:44

when and how dominant purpose is measured, **17:43**

Lawyer's work product, 17:46

Limitations

end of litigation, 17:48

exceptions, 17:50

statutory intervention, 17:47

waiver, 17:49

MARITAL COMMUNICATIONS PRIVILEGE

Generally, 17:80

Asserting privilege, 17:83

Need for valid marriage, 17:81

Protected communications, 17:82

Reform, need for, 17:84

MATERIALITY

Generally, 2:4

MEMORY OF WITNESS

General approach, 11:4

Present memory revived, 11:5

Refreshing memory, aids

prior to trial

general procedure and disclosure,

11:6

hypnosis and similar methods, 11:7

trial

can witness review document, **11:8** is witness's memory being refreshed,

11:9

should document be admitted as exhibit, **11:10**

MISCONDUCT OF WITNESS

Generally, 9:1

Enforcing attendance by arresting witness civil and administrative proceedings, 9:2

criminal proceedings, 9:3

Perjury

corroboration, 9:23

elements of offence

falsity of statement, 9:20

intent to mislead, 9:22

MISCONDUCT OF WITNESS-Cont'd

Perjury—Cont'd elements of offence-Cont'd knowledge of falsity, 9:21 qualifying statement, 9:19 historical approach, 9:18 issue estoppel, 9:24 offences related to perjury, 9:25 Punishment for non-compliance generally, 9:4 civil action for damages, 9:17 contempt exhibited by witnesses disruptive conduct of witnesses amounting to contempt, 9:11 refusing to testify or answer, 9:10 contempt of court authority to punish for contempt, 9:7 civil or criminal contempt, 9:6 elements of offence, 9:8 rationale, 9:5 restraint in use, 9:9 contempt procedures Charter considerations, 9:13 criminal cases, 9:14 summary process, 9:12 criminal offences refusal at preliminary inquiry, 9:15 refusal at trial, 9:16

MR. BIG INVESTIGATIONS: CONFESSIONS OBTAINED THROUGH IMPROPER MEANS

Abuse of process, 19:32

Background: R v. Hart, 19:28

Extending approach to other types of investigations, 19:34

Jury instructions, 19:33

Measuring probative value circumstances surrounding confession, 19:29

markers of reliability, 19:30

Prejudicial effect, 19:31
MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE IN

CRIMINAL MATTERS ACT
Generally, 10:21

NON-COMPLIANT WITNESSES, PUNISHMENT

Contempt exhibited by witnesses disruptive conduct of witnesses amounting to contempt, **9:11**

NON-COMPLIANT WITNESSES, PUNISHMENT—Cont'd

Contempt exhibited by witnesses

—Cont'd
refusing to testify or answer, 9:10
Contempt of court
authority to punish for contempt, 9:7
civil or criminal contempt, 9:6
elements of offence, 9:8
rationale, 9:5
restraint in use, 9:9
Contempt procedures
Charter considerations, 9:13
criminal cases, 9:14
summary process, 9:12

OATH

Generally, **6:3 to 6:7**

OATH HELPING, RULE AGAINST

Generally, 11:11
Accused in criminal case, 11:14
Common variants
opinions about credibility, 11:12
polygraph evidence, 11:13
self-bolstering statements, 11:12.50

OPINION EVIDENCE

Distinguishing fact from opinion, **16:2** General prohibition, **16:1** Non-expert opinion evidence lay opinion vs expert opinion, **16:4** R. v. Graat, flexible approach, **16:3**

ORAL TESTIMONY AND AFFIDAVITS Generally, 3:4

PARLIAMENT, WITNESSES BEFORE

Jurisdiction of courts to review, 22:13
Power to summon witnesses
Parliament, 22:1
provincial legislatures, 22:2
Problem witness

improving contempt procedures, 22:12 procedural concerns, 22:10 punishment, 22:11

Witness protections
immunity from prosecution, 22:6
other privileges and right to refuse to
answer, 22:7
other protections, 22:8

PARLIAMENT, WITNESSES BEFORE —Cont'd

Witness protections—Cont'd
Parliamentary privilege
privilege for statements made in
provincial legislatures or committees, 22:5
statements made in Parliament
inadmissible in other proceeding, 22:3
waiver, 22:4

PARLIAMENTARY PRIVILEGE

Generally, 6:26

Privilege for statements made in provincial legislatures or committees. 22:5

Statements made in Parliament inadmissible in other proceedings, **22:3**Waiver, **22:4**

PAST RECOLLECTION RECORDED, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, 14:26

PERJURY

Corroboration, 9:23
Elements of offence
falsity of statement, 9:20
intent to mislead, 9:22
knowledge of falsity, 9:21
qualifying statement, 9:19
Historical approach, 9:18
Issue estoppel, 9:24
Offences related to perjury, 9:25

PERSONAL RECORDS IN CASES OF SEXUAL NATURE, s.278.1 CRIMINAL CODE — PRIVILEGE

Constitutionality, 12:27, 17:74
Framework for resolving applications, 12:24 to 12:27, 17:72
History, 12:24 to 12:27, 17:70
Likely relevance, 17:75
Material in hands of defence, 12:24 to 12:27
Production

need for accused to make full answer and defence, 12:24 to 12:27, 17:76

privacy interests, 17:78 probative value, 17:77 systemic and specific prejudice, 17:79

PERSONAL RECORDS IN CASES OF SEXUAL NATURE, s.278.1 CRIMINAL CODE — PRIVILEGE —Cont'd

Sexual Assault cases
constitutionality and application, 12:27
overview, 12:24
procedure, 12:26
scope of s. 278.1 of Criminal Code
regime, 12:25
Types of protected records, 12:24 to
12:27, 17:71

PREJUDICIAL EFFECT, EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

Generally, 2:23
Distraction or undue focus, 2:26
Emotional prejudice, hostility or sympathy, 2:24
Misleading nature, 2:25
Time and expense, 2:27
Ultimate issue, 2:29
Unfair surprise, 2:28

PRESUMPTIONS

Meaning, 4:18

Presumption of fact as matter of proof, **4:19**

Presumption of law Charter, and, **4:22** irrebuttable presumptions, **4:21** rebuttable presumptions, **4:20** Use, **4:18**

PRINCIPLED OR RESIDUAL EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Admitting hearsay from defence, **14:62**Necessity
absolute necessity, **14:57**

general approach, 14:56 necessity and fairness concerns, 14:60 relativity necessity, 14:58, 14:59

Other policy concerns and resolving admissibility, **14:61**

Procedural reliability—adequate substitutes for cross-examination availability to cross-examination, **14:54** cross-examination in another forum, **14:53**

lesser substitutes for cross-examination, 14:55

PRINCIPLED OR RESIDUAL **EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE** —Cont'd

Reliability and fairness circumstantial reliability, 14:41 different ways of assessing reliability,

importance of the evidence, 14:43

Substantive reliability

generally, 14:44

contents of statement, 14:47

corroborating evidence, 14:51

motive to fabricate, 14:46

relationship of declarant to recipient, 14:48

reliability of declarant, 14:50

reliability of testifying witness, 14:52

statement taken under oath or in formal setting, 14:45

timing and spontaneity, 14:49

PRIOR CONVICTIONS, **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

Discretion to exclude—civil cases, 12:61 Discretion to exclude—criminal cases

concerns with existing approach, 12:60

factors to assess, 12:50

procedural issues, 12:49

R. v. Corbett, 12:48

Offences for which pardon has been granted, 12:46

Procedural issues, 12:47

Scope of examination, 12:47

Types of offences covered, 12:45

PRIOR IDENTIFICATION, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, 14:25

PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

Adopting video recording—ss.715.1 and 715.2 of Criminal Code, **11:19**

Cross-examination, 12:62 to 12:68

Exculpatory statements of accused on arrest, 11:22

General rule, 11:15

Hearsay rule, and, 14:34

Narrative/context to physical actions,

11:21

Permissible use, 11:30

Previous identification, 11:18

PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

-Cont'd

Recent complaints and narrative exception, 11:20

Recent fabrication, 11:17

Responding to prior inconsistent statement, 11:23

Theory of exceptions, 11:16

PRIVILEGE

Adultery, 17:87

Common law privilege

balancing of interests, 17:94

confidentiality, 17:92

history, 17:91

nature of relationship, 17:93

Concept

categories of privilege, 17:2

exclusion of probative information,

17:1

Human source privilege, 17:88

Informer privilege

generally, 17:62

exceptions

agent provocateur, 17:67

Charter challenge, 17:69

innocence at stake, 17:66

material witness. 17:68

scope

information protected by privilege, 17:64

who is informant, 17:63

waiver, 17:65

Journalist-source privilege

common law, 17:89

statutory protection—Canada Evidence Act. 17:90

Juror deliberations, 17:85

Legal advice privilege

generally, **17:17**

burden of proving valid claim, 17:39

communication between lawyer and

client, 17:18

communication made in course of seeking legal advice, 17:23

exceptions, 17:33

express waiver, 17:27, 17:28

implied waiver, 17:29 to 17:32

limiting state of mind waiver, 17:29.20

need for confidence, 17:21, 17:22

PRIVILEGE—Cont'd	PRIVILEGE—Cont'd
Litigation privilege	Self-incrimination, privilege against
generally, 17:40	generally, 17:3
core components	common law privilege, 17:4
dominant purpose standard, 17:42	protection against civil liability, 17:6
need for adversarial proceedings,	s.7 of Charter
17:41	derivative use immunity, 17:15
privilege can be invoked against any party, 17:45	residual protection against self-in- crimination, 17:14
purpose for which material was created, 17:44	statements compelled by statute, 17:16
when and how dominant purpose is measured, 17:43	s.13 of Charter
lawyer's work product, 17:46	application, 17:7
limitations	compelled testimony, 17:9
end of litigation, 17:48	non-criminal proceedings, 17:13
exceptions, 17:50	testifies in any proceedings, 17:8
statutory intervention, 17:47	using prior testimony for other non-
waiver, 17:49	incriminating purposes, 17:12
Marital communications	using prior testimony to impeach,
generally, 17:80	17:11
asserting privilege, 17:83	whether s.13 can be waived, 17:10
need for valid marriage, 17:81	statutory intervention, restricting future
protected communications, 17:82	use of incriminatory statements, 17:5
reform, need for, 17:84	Settlement privilege—statements made
Personal records in cases of sexual nature,	without prejudice
s.278.1 Criminal Code	components of privilege
constitutionality, 12:27, 17:74	communication made in effort to
framework for resolving applications,	settle, 17:54
17:72	existence of dispute, 17:52
history, 12:24 to 12:27, 17:70	intention for non-disclosure, 17:53
likely relevance, 17:75	exceptions
material in hands of defence, 12:24 to	competing interest, 17:59
12:27	general theory, 17:56
production	threatening or fraudulent conduct,
need for accused to make full answer	17:58
and defence, 17:76	waiver, 17:57
privacy interests, 17:78	frank discussions in settlement negotia
probative value, 17:77	tion, 17:51
systemic and specific prejudice, 17:79	scope and application, 17:55
Sexual Assault cases	statutory provisions creating, 17:60
constitutionality and application,	Voting, 17:86
12:27	PROBATIVE VALUE
overview, 12:24	Generally, 2:5
procedure, 12:26	Measuring
scope of s. 278.1 of Criminal Code	factors guiding assessment, 2:20
regime, 12:25	reliability, challenging common sense, 2:22
types of protected records, 12:24 to 12:27, 17:71	witness credibility, 2:21

PROOF, TYPES OF	PROOF, TYPES OF—Cont'd
Best evidence rule	Judicial notice—Cont'd
electronic evidence, 3:15	legislative facts or social framework,
exceptions	3:36 —Cont'd
evidence not available, 3:13	types, 3:30.5
production inconvenient (public	Oral testimony and affidavits, 3:4
records), 3:14	Real evidence
modern approach, 3:11	objects, 3:16
rationale, 3:11	photographs and other recordings, authentication, 3:17
scope, 3:12	videos
Direct and circumstantial evidence	authentication, 3:18
criminal cases, special rules	use of, 3:19
Hodge's Case, rule in, 3:2	Views
modern approach, 3:3 distinction, 3:1	evidentiary use, 3:22
Documentary evidence	procedure, 3:20
generally, 3:5	safeguards, 3:23
authentication	when ordered, 3:21
ancient documents, 3:8	PROTECTION OF WITNESSES
basic rule, 3:6	
direct or circumstantial evidence, by,	Generally, 21:1 Protection from civil actions—witness
3:7	immunity
judicial records, 3:9	generally, 21:14
other statutory exceptions, 3:10	expert witnesses, 21:18
photographs, videos and other	scope of immunity
recordings, 3:17 to 3:19	all witness statements absolutely
definition, 3:5	protected, 21:15
Experiments, demonstrative evidence and	is it a witness statement, 21:16
reconstructions	statements ancillary to other actions
demonstrative evidence, 3:28	not protected, 21:17
distinctions, 3:24	Protection from physical harm, intimidate
experiments generally, 3:25	or retribution
	civil protection from retribution, 21:25 need to protect witnesses, 21:19
opinion, and, 3:27 probative value, 3:26	specific crimes
re-enactments or reconstructions, 3:29	contempt, 21:23
Judicial notice	intimidation, 21:22
adjudicative facts	obstruction of justice, 21:21
defining, 3:31	witness protection program, 21:24
established by sources of indisput-	Protection from publicity
able accuracy, 3:34	anonymity, 21:13
impact of judicial notice, 3:35	Criminal Code and international
notorious or generally accepted facts,	comparisons, 21:13.50
3:33	in camera hearing
procedural concerns, 3:35	civil and administrative proceedings,
threshold for admission, 3:32	21:6
legislative facts or social framework,	criminal proceedings, 21:5
3:36	presumption of open courts, 21:4
Rationale and Underlying Condi-	publication bans, civil proceedings,
tions, 3:30	21:12

PROTECTION OF WITNESSES —Cont'd

Protection from publicity—Cont'd publication bans, criminal proceedings generally, 21:7 other witnesses, 21:10 varying order, 21:11 victims in cases of sexual offences, 21:8

young persons, 21:9

Protection of witnesses in the courtroom, 21:26

Right to counsel, 21:2

Standing to represent witnesses in court, 21:3

Statutory Protections in Criminal Proceedings, **21:27**

PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY

Generally, 18:1

Canada Evidence Act

generally, 18:6

Cabinet confidences—s.39

generally, 18:13

constitutional challenges to

immunity, **18:16**

procedural issues, **18:14** waiver of immunity, **18:15**

general public interest—s.37

balancing test for production, **18:7**

jurisdiction and procedure, 18:8

special information-s.38

criminal proceedings, 18:12

information covered, 18:9

procedural issues, 18:10

residual power to withhold information—certificate. **18:11**

tion certificate, 10.

test for production, 18:10

Common law immunity

disclosure, balancing test, 18:5

early developments, 18:2

power to examine documents, 18:4

relevance, 18:3

Jurisdictional concerns, 18:1

PUBLICITY, PROTECTION OF WITNESSES FROM

Anonymity, 21:13

Criminal Code and international comparisons, 21:13.50

PUBLICITY, PROTECTION OF WITNESSES FROM—Cont'd

In camera hearing

civil and administrative proceedings,

21:6

criminal proceedings, 21:5

Presumption of open courts, 21:4

Publication bans, civil proceedings, 21:12

Publication bans, criminal proceedings

generally, 21:7

other witnesses, 21:10

varying order, 21:11

victims in cases of sexual offences,

21:8

young persons, 21:9

PUBLIC RECORDS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, **14:39**

REAL EVIDENCE

Objects, 3:16

Photographs and other recordings,

authentication, 3:17

Videos

authentication, 3:18

use of, 3:19

Views

evidentiary use, 3:22

procedure, 3:20

safeguards, 3:23

when ordered, 3:21

RECONSTRUCTIONS,

DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE AND EXPERIMENTS

Demonstrative evidence, 3:28

Distinctions, 3:24

Experiments

generally, 3:25

opinion, and, 3:27

probative value, 3:26

Re-enactments or reconstructions, 3:29

RE-ENACTMENTS

Generally, 3:29

REFRESHING MEMORY, AIDS TO

Prior to trial

general procedure and disclosure, 11:6

hypnosis and similar methods, 11:7

Trial

can witness review document, 11:8

REFRESHING MEMORY, AIDS TO —Cont'd

Trial—Cont'd

is witness's memory being refreshed,

should document be admitted as exhibit, 11:10

RELEVANCE

Objective of legal proceedings, 2:1 Rationale, 2:1

RES GESTAE/SPONTANEOUS UTTERANCES, EXCEPTION TO **HEARSAY RULE**

Generally, 14:18

RIGHT TO COUNSEL

Generally, 21:2

RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL

Generally, 1:6

RIGHT TO REFUSE TO ANSWER

Generally, 22:7

RIGHT TO SILENCE

Detention and choice elicitation, 19:26 is recipient a state agent, 19:25 recognition of right, R. v. Hebert, 19:24 Right to silence, 19:27

RULE AGAINST OATH HELPING

Generally, **11:11**

Accused in criminal case, 11:14

Common variants

opinions about credibility, 11:12 polygraph evidence, 11:13

self-bolstering statements, 11:12.50

RULE AGAINST PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

Adopting video recording—ss.715.1 and 715.2 of Criminal Code, **11:19**

Exculpatory statements of accused on arrest, 11:22

General rule, 11:15

Narrative/context to physical actions, 11:21

Permissible use, 11:30

Previous identification, 11:18

Recent complaints and narrative exception, 11:20

Recent fabrication, 11:17

RULE AGAINST PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

—Cont'd

Responding to prior inconsistent statement, 11:23

Theory of exceptions, 11:16

SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST

Generally, 17:3

Common law privilege, 17:4

Protection against civil liability, 17:6

s.7 of Charter

derivative use immunity, 17:15

residual protection against self-incrimination, 17:14

statements compelled by statute, 17:16

s.13 of Charter

application, 17:7

compelled testimony, 17:9

non-criminal proceedings, 17:13

testifies in any proceedings, 17:8

using prior testimony for other non-

incriminating purposes, 17:12

using prior testimony to impeach, 17:11 whether s.13 can be waived, 17:10

Statutory intervention, restricting future use of incriminatory statements, 17:5

SETTLEMENT

PRIVILEGE—STATEMENTS MADE WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Components of privilege

communication made in effort to settle, 17:54

existence of dispute, 17:52

intention for non-disclosure, 17:53

Exceptions

competing interest, 17:59

general theory, 17:56

threatening or fraudulent conduct. 17:58

waiver, 17:57

Frank discussions in settlement negotiation, 17:51

Scope and application, 17:55

Statutory provisions creating, 17:60

SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE

Generally, **15:14**

Admissibility

balancing process, 15:26

SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Admissibility—Cont'd deference to trial judge's decision, 15:25

presumption of inadmissibility, **15:29** proving identity, **15:27**

Civil cases, 15:29

Conditions for admission

acts for which accused acquitted, **15:22** evidence linking accused to similar acts, **15:19**

types of evidence, 15:21

Historical development of rule early common law/iMakin/i rule, **15:15** evolving past iMakin/i, **15:16**

R. v. Handy, 15:17

Instructing jury, 15:28

Prejudicial effect

moral prejudice, **15:25** reasoning prejudice, **15:26**

Probative value

collusion, 15:24

relevant factors, 15:23

SOURCES OF EVIDENCE LAW

Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1:18 Common law, 1:12 Statutory intervention, 1:13 to 1:17

SPONTANEOUS UTTERANCES, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, 14:18

SPOUSE OF ACCUSED PERSON, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

Historical approach, **6:41** Provincial prosecutions, **6:42**

STATEMENTS AS TO BODILY CONDITION, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, **14:21**

STATE OFFICIALS, COMPELLABILITY AND COMPETENCE

Board and tribunal members compellability and scope of questioning, **6:30** privative clauses, effect, **6:31**

STATE OFFICIALS, COMPELLABILITY AND COMPETENCE—Cont'd

Crown officials and Members of Parliament

discovery and inquiries, 6:25

parliamentary privilege, 6:26

prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24

Judges and jurors

incompetent in the particular proceeding, **6:28**

unrelated proceedings, 6:29

Ombudspersons, investigators, and mediators, **6:32**

Representatives of foreign governments, **6:27**

Sovereign, 6:23

STATE OF MIND, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, 14:22

Inadmissible to prove third party's intention, **14:23**

STATE SECRETS

See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY

STATUTORY INTERVENTION AS SOURCE OF EVIDENCE LAW

Ethical rules, 1:16

Evidence legislation, 1:13

Other legislation, 1:14

Rules of Court and Civil Procedure, 1:15 Statutory reform, need for, 1:17

SUBPOENAS OR SUMMONS, SETTING ASIDE

See also COMPELLING ATTENDANCE

Onus and procedure

civil proceedings, 8:13

criminal proceedings, 8:14

Quashing, grounds for

abuse of process, 8:17

Charter violation, 8:18

health of witness would be at risk, 8:19

irregularity in issuance, 8:15

witness can provide to material evidence, **8:16**

SWORN TESTIMONY FROM OTHER PROCEEDINGS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Civil cases—common law, 14:27

SWORN TESTIMONY FROM OTHER PROCEEDINGS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd Civil cases—statutory exceptions, 14:28	TRIAL PROCESS, GENERALLY —Cont'd Calling witnesses—Cont'd trial judge's role—Cont'd
Criminal cases, 14:29	jury's ability to question witnesses, 7:17
TESTIFYING BY VIDEO-CONFERENCE OR OTHER MEANS	questioning witnesses in adversarial proceedings, 7:15
OTHER MEANS Advances in technology, 10:8 Civil cases	Communicating with testifying witness communication between witnesses,
historical approach, 10:9 legislative guidelines, 10:10 modern approach, 10:11 witnesses in foreign jurisdictions,	7:27 examination for discovery, 7:26 trial, 7:25 Dealing with witnesses on opposite side improper communication with witness
10:12	5:16
Criminal cases Evidentiary and procedural questions, 10:14	physicians and experts retained by party, 5:15
legislation, 10:13	represented parties
	generally, 5:13
TRIAL PROCESS, GENERALLY	employees and directors of, 5:14
Adversarial process, generally, 1:2	unrepresented witnesses, 5:12
Calling evidence	Excluding witnesses
appeal, on, 7:6	generally, 7:18
order of evidence, 7:1	administrative hearings, 7:22
re-calling a witness, 7:3.50	discovery, during, 7:21
re-opening case	failure to comply with exclusion order,
civil cases, 7:4	7:19
criminal cases, 7:5 , 7:5.50	ordering accused to testify first, 7:23
rule against splitting case	trial, 7:20
generally, 7:2	Expert witnesses, 7:24
civil cases, 7:2	Inquisitorial process, generally, 1:3
criminal cases, 7:3	Interpreters at common law and in iRules
Calling witnesses	of Civil Procedure/i
generally, 7:7	Charter, s.14, 7:30
civil cases	official languages, 7:31
additional witnesses, 7:12	qualifying as interpreter, 7:29
failure to call witnesses, 7:13	when ordered, 7:28
Crown in criminal cases	Types of Translation, 7:29.50
adverse inference, 7:10	Witnesses not property of any party, 5:1
failure to call witness and adverse	Witness preparation
inference, 7:10	Crown ability to communicate with
no obligation to call witnesses, 7:8	witness pre-charge, 5:11
oblique motive/abuse of process, 7:9	interview, 5:10
defence in criminal cases, 7:11	,
failure to call witnesses, 7:11	ULTIMATE ISSUE
crown commentary on, in jury address, 7:11.50	Generally, 2:29
trial judge's role	UNFAIR SURPRISE
calling witnesses, 7:14	Generally, 2:28

VETROVEC WARNINGS

Generally, 13:3, 13:8 to 13:13, 13:16

VIDEO-CONFERENCE OR OTHER MEANS, TESTIFYING BY

Advances in technology, 10:8

Civil cases

historical approach, 10:9

legislative guidelines, 10:10

modern approach, 10:11

witnesses in foreign jurisdictions,

10:12

Criminal cases

Evidentiary and procedural questions,

10:14

legislation, 10:13

VIDEO RECORDINGS

Authentication, 3:18

Use of, 3:19

VIEWS

Evidentiary use, 3:22

Procedure, 3:20

Safeguards, 3:23

When ordered

contested issue, 3:21

extent of change since material time,

3:21

importance of issue to be decided, **3:21** information available from other

sources, 3:21

logistical difficulties, 3:21

security or safety concerns, 3:21

WEIGHT, DISTINGUISHED FROM ADMISSIBILITY

Generally, 2:6

WITNESSES BEFORE PARLIAMENT

Jurisdiction of courts to review, 22:13

Power to summon witnesses

Parliament, 22:1

WITNESSES BEFORE PARLIAMENT

-Cont'd

Power to summon witnesses—Cont'd provincial legislatures, 22:2

Problem witness

improving contempt procedures, 22:12

procedural concerns, 22:10

punishment, 22:11

Witness protections

immunity from prosecution, 22:6

other privileges and right to refuse to

answer, 22:7

other protections, 22:8

Parliamentary privilege

privilege for statements made in provincial legislatures or com-

mittees, 22:5

statements made in Parliament

inadmissible in other proceed-

ings, **22:3** waiver, **22:4**

WITNESS IDENTITY

Civil procedure

litigation privilege and witness identity,

obligation to disclose identity of witnesses, 5:3

Criminal procedure

Crown disclosure and witness identity,

5:5, 5:5.50

defence disclosure and witness identity

alibi evidence, 5:8

expert evidence, 5:9

limited tactical disclosure, 5:7

no general obligation to disclose, 5:6

WITNESS PREPARATION

Crown ability to communicate with witness pre-charge, **5:11**

Interview, **5:10**