# Index

ABSENT WITNESSES	ACCUSED PERSON,
Generally, 10:1	COMPELLABILITY OF—Cont'd
Absent from other jurisdictions and pre-	Co-accused, 6:39
sent in Canada	Criminal (penal) proceedings, <b>6:36</b>
generally, 10:15	General rule—not compellable at own
foreign letters rogatory	trial, <b>6:35</b>
evidentiary and procedural concerns,	Officers of corporations, <b>6:40</b>
10:20	Separate proceedings, <b>6:38</b>
judicial discretion to refuse	Spouse of accused person
conflict with Canadian policy	historical approach, 6:41
objectives, 10:19	provincial prosecutions, <b>6:42</b>
materiality, 10:18	Tactical compulsion, <b>6:35.50</b>
undue burden, 10:17	ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS
legislative prerequisites, 10:16	
mutual legal assistance in Canadian	Compelling attendance, 8:11
criminal courts, 10:21	ADMISSIBILITY
Commission evidence	See also EXPERT WITNESSES
civil cases	Generally, 2:3
generally, 10:2	Conditional admissibility, 2:8
criteria for making order, 10:3	Consent, on
procedural concerns, 10:4 criminal cases	formal admissions, civil cases, <b>2:10</b>
	formal admissions, criminal cases, <b>2:1</b> 1
generally, <b>10:5</b> application procedure, <b>10:5</b>	Exclusion
criteria for making order, <b>10:6</b>	judicial discretion
presence of accused, 10:7	history, <b>2:16</b>
Testifying by video-conference or other	modernization of discretion, <b>2:17 to</b>
means	2:19
Advances in technology, <b>10:8</b>	rationales for, 2:15
civil cases	limited exclusion, 2:30
historical approach, 10:9	Judicial notice, 3:32
legislative guidelines, 10:10	Legal prerequisite, 2:2
modern approach, 10:11	Legal relevance, 2:5
witnesses in foreign jurisdictions,	Limited admissibility, <b>2:9</b>
10:12	· ·
criminal cases	Materiality, 2:4
Evidentiary and procedural ques-	Prejudicial effect
tions, <b>10:14</b>	generally, 2:23
factors for consideration, 10:14.50	distraction or undue focus, 2:26
legislation, 10:13	emotional prejudice, hostility or
	sympathy, 2:24
ACCUSED PERSON,	misleading nature, 2:25
COMPELLABILITY OF	time and expense, 2:27
Canada Evidence Act, effect of s.4(6),	ultimate issue, 2:29
6:37	unfair surprise, 2:28

Privative clauses, effect, 6:31

#### ADMISSIBILITY—Cont'd BAD CHARACTER EVIDENCE Probative value -Cont'd Criminal cases, use in-Cont'd generally, 2:5 non-accused measuring, 2:20 to 2:22 Procedural issues generally, 15:4 deceased or victim/complainant, objections, 2:13 voir dire, 2:14 third party suspect, 15:5 Relaxed standards, 2:7 Direct relevance Weight, vs, 2:6 civil cases, 15:13 ADMISSION BY PARTIES, criminal cases **EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE** charging trier of fact, 15:11 Generally, 14:13 prejudicial effect, 15:9 Adopted admissions, 14:14 probative value, 15:8 Vicarious admissions, 14:15 raised by accused, 15:10 **ADULTERY** relevance to credibility, 15:12 Privilege to protect against self-incrimina-**BASIC PRINCIPLES OF LAW OF** tion, 17:87 **EVIDENCE** ADVERSARIAL PROCESS Bentham, Jeremy, 1:11 Categorical approach, 1:10 See TRIAL PROCESS Efficiency, 1:7 ADVERSE WITNESSES Ehrenberg, Kenneth, 1:11 See also HOSTILE WITNESSES Evidentiary discretion, 1:10 Generally, 11:31 Flexibility vs certainty, 1:10 Adverse non-party witnesses, 11:34 Principled approach, 1:10 Adversity, meaning, 11:27 Protection of interests outside trial, 1:9 Existing approach, concerns with, 11:29 Protection of jury, 1:8 Manner of examination, 11:33 Requirement for, 1:11 Prohibited statements, 11:28 Right to fair trial, 1:6 Scope of rule—technical requirements, Search for truth, 1:5 Traditional approach, 1:10 Statutory construction issues, 11:26 BENTHAM, JEREMY **AFFIDAVITS** Generally, 1:11 Generally, 3:4 BEST EVIDENCE RULE AFFIRMATION, SWEARING Electronic evidence, 3:15 Generally, 6:5 **Exceptions AUTHENTICATION OF** evidence not available, 3:13 DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE production inconvenient (public Ancient documents, 3:8 records), 3:14 Basic rule, **3:6** Modern approach, 3:11 Direct or circumstantial evidence, by, 3:7 Rationale, 3:11 Judicial records, 3:9 Scope, 3:12 Other statutory exceptions, 3:10 **BOARD AND TRIBUNAL MEMBERS, BAD CHARACTER EVIDENCE COMPETENCE AND** Civil cases, use in, 15:7 COMPELLABILITY Criminal cases, use in Compellability and scope of questioning, accused, 15:2 6:30

co-accused, 15:3

BROWNE v. DUNN, RULE IN	CALLING EVIDENCE—Cont'd
Generally, 12:36 to 12:41	Rule against splitting case—Cont'd
BURDEN OF PROOF	criminal cases, 7:3
Civil proceedings	CALLING WITNESSES
allocating burdens, <b>4:11</b>	Generally, 7:7
legal standard, 4:10	Civil cases
third standard of proof, <b>4:10</b>	additional witnesses, 7:12
Criminal proceedings, accused	failure to call witnesses, 7:13
due diligence defence, regulatory	Crown in criminal cases
offences, and, 4:9	adverse inference, 7:10
statutory and common law burdens, <b>4:8</b>	failure to call witness and adverse
Criminal proceedings, prosecution	inference, 7:10, 7:11
generally, 4:3	crown commentary on in jury
applies to case as whole, <b>4:4</b>	address, <b>7:11.50</b>
burden never shifts to accused, <b>4:5</b>	no obligation to call witnesses, 7:8
credibility, <b>4:7</b>	oblique motive/abuse of process, 7:9
reasonable doubt, meaning, <b>4:6</b>	Defence in criminal cases, 7:11
Evidential burden	Expert witnesses, <b>7:24</b>
generally, 4:12	Trial judge's role
civil cases, <b>4:15</b>	calling witnesses, 7:14
criminal cases	jury's ability to question witnesses,
accused, on, 4:14	7:17
prosecution, on, 4:13	questioning witnesses in adversarial
Need for, <b>4:1</b>	proceedings, 7:15
Tendering evidence	CANADA EVIDENCE ACT
threshold, <b>4:16</b>	Adverse inference and effect of s.4(6),
who bears burden, 4:17	6:37
Types, <b>4:2</b>	Child witnesses, presumption of compe-
DICINECO DECODOS EVCEDAION	tence, <b>6:16</b>
BUSINESS RECORDS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE	Cross-examination
	s.10 of Canada Evidence Act
Definition, 14:37	generally, 12:63
Historical development of exception, 14:35	intention to contradict witness, <b>12:65</b>
Specific exclusions, 14:38	statement must have been made by
Which exception governs, 14:36	witness, <b>12:64</b>
which exception governs, 14.30	s.11 of Canada Evidence Act
CALLING EVIDENCE	addressing denial, proof of former
Appeal, on, <b>7:6</b>	statement, 12:67
due diligence (civil cases), 7:6.10	failing to contradict witness, 12:68
due diligence (criminal cases), 7:6.10	witness response to prior statement,
exceptions to general rule, 7:6.10	12:66
importance of evidence, 7:6.10	Journalist-source privilege, protection of,
Order of evidence, 7:1	17:90
Re-opening case	Public interest immunity, <b>18:6 to 18:16</b>
civil cases, <b>7:4</b>	CHARACTER EVIDENCE
criminal cases, 7:5	Bad character evidence
Rule against splitting case	civil cases, use in, 15:7
generally, 7:2	criminal cases, use in
civil cases, 7:2	accused. 15:2

CHARACTER EVIDENCE—Cont'd	CHARACTER EVIDENCE—Cont'd
Bad character evidence—Cont'd	Similar fact evidence, criminal cases
criminal cases, use in—Cont'd	—Cont'd
co-accused, 15:3	probative value
Crown witnesses, <b>15:4</b>	collusion, 15:22
Deceased or Victim complainant,	relevant factors, 15:21
15:6	Utility and dangers, 15:1
third party suspect, 15:5	CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND
direct relevance	FREEDOMS
civil cases, 15:13	See also IMPROPERLY OBTAINED
criminal cases	EVIDENCE EVIDENCE
charging trier of fact, 15:11	
prejudicial effect, 15:9	Presumptions of law, and, <b>4:22</b> s.13 and compellability in separate
probative value, 15:8	
raised by accused, 15:10	proceedings, <b>6:35.50</b> , <b>6:38</b>
relevance to credibility, <b>15:12</b>	s.7 and self-incrimination
Good character evidence, civil cases,	derivative use immunity, 17:15
15:36	residual protection against self-incrimi-
Good character evidence, criminal cases	nation, 17:14
generally, <b>15:30</b>	statements compelled by statute, <b>17:16</b>
accused, 15:31	s.13 and self-incrimination
impact of adducing	application, 17:7
admitting bad character evidence in	compelled testimony, 17:9
reply, impact, 15:34	non-criminal proceedings, 17:13
Crown's ability to respond, <b>15:33</b>	testifies in any proceedings, 17:8
putting character "in issue," 15:32	using prior testimony for other non-
prosecution, 15:35	incriminating purposes, 17:12
Similar fact evidence, civil cases, <b>15:29</b>	using prior testimony to impeach, 17:11
Similar fact evidence, criminal cases	whether s.13 can be waived, 17:10
generally, <b>15:14</b>	s.11(c) and compellability, <b>6:35.50</b> , <b>6:37</b> ,
•	6:38
admissibility	Source of evidence law, 1:18
balancing process, 15:26	Violation would be caused, grounds for
deference to trial judge's decision,	quashing subpoena, 8:18
15:25	CHILD WITNESSES
proving identity, 15:27	Historical treatment, <b>6:13</b>
conditions for admission	Presumption of competence under Canada
acts for which accused acquitted,	Evidence Act, <b>6:16</b>
15:19	Procedural issues in assessing compe-
evidence linking accused to similar	tence, <b>6:17</b>
acts, 15:20	Promising to tell truth, <b>6:15</b>
types of evidence, 15:18	Techniques to assist in providing evidence
historical development of rule	child-friendly techniques, rationale,
early common law/iMakin/i rule,	6:18
15:15	other measures and potential reforms,
evolving past iMakin/i, 15:16	6:22
R. v. Handy, <b>15:17</b>	personal cross-examination, <b>6:21</b>
instructing jury, 15:28	screens, in-camera evidence and
prejudicial effect	testifying remotely, 6:19
moral prejudice, 15:23	support persons and animals, 6:20
reasoning prejudice, 15:24	Unsworn testimony, <b>6:15</b>

#### CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

Authenticating by, documentary evidence, 3:7

Criminal cases, special rules in, **3:2, 3:3** Distinguished from direct evidence, **3:1** 

# CO-ACCUSED, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

Generally, **6:39** 

#### CO-CONSPIRATOR STATEMENTS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Admissibility, framework, **14:31**Admissibility in civil cases, **14:33**Concerns with exceptions and compliance with principled approach, **14:32**Principled basis for admission, **14:30** 

#### COLLATERAL FACT RULE

Generally, 12:41

#### COMMISSION EVIDENCE

Civil cases
generally, 10:2
criteria for making order, 10:3
procedural concerns, 10:4
Criminal cases
generally, 10:5
application procedure, 10:5
criteria for making order, 10:6
presence of accused, 10:7

#### COMMON LAW AS SOURCE OF EVIDENCE LAW

Generally, 1:12

#### **COMMON LAW PRIVILEGE**

Balancing of interests, **17:94** Confidentiality, **17:92** History, **17:91** Nature of relationship, **17:93** 

# COMMUNICATING WITH TESTIFYING WITNESS

Communication between witnesses, **7:27** Examination for discovery, **7:26** Trial, **7:25** 

#### **COMPELLING ATTENDANCE**

Generally, **8:1**Administrative tribunals, **8:11**Civil litigation
interprovincial subpoenas
generally, **8:3** 

#### **COMPELLING ATTENDANCE**

—Cont'd

Civil litigation—Cont'd interprovincial subpoenas—Cont'd proceedings where subpoena available, **8:4** 

witness fees and protection, 8:5 witnesses within jurisdiction, 8:2

Coroners' inquests, 8:10

Criminal proceedings

duration of subpoena, 8:8

issuance, 8:6

likely to provide material evidence, **8:7** technical requirements, **8:6** 

Enforcing attendance by arresting witness civil and administrative proceedings, 9:2

criminal proceedings, 9:3

Federal and provincial inquiries, 8:9

Subpoenas or summons, setting aside

onus and procedure

civil proceedings, 8:13

criminal proceedings, 8:14

quashing, grounds for

abuse of process, 8:17

Charter violation, 8:18

health of witness would be at risk, **8:19** 

irregularity in issuance, **8:15** witness can provide to material evidence, **8:16** 

# COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

Generally, 6:1

Accused persons

Canada Evidence Act, effect of s.4(6), **6:37** 

co-accused, 6:39

criminal (penal) proceedings, **6:36** general rule—not compellable at own trial, **6:35** 

officers of corporations, 6:40

separate proceedings, 6:38

spouse of accused person

historical approach, 6:41

provincial prosecutions, 6:42

tactical compulsion, 6:35.50

Child witnesses

historical treatment, 6:13

COMPETENCE AND	COMPETENCE AND
COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd	COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd
Child witnesses—Cont'd	State officials—Cont'd
presumption of competence under Can-	ombudspersons, investigators, and
ada Evidence Act, 6:16	mediators, <b>6:32</b>
procedural issues in assessing competence, <b>6:17</b>	representatives of foreign governments, <b>6:27</b>
promising to tell truth, <b>6:15</b>	sovereign, <b>6:23</b>
techniques to assist in providing evidence	CONFESSIONS AND OTHER
child-friendly techniques, rationale,	PROTECTED STATEMENTS
6:18	Generally, 19:1
other measures and potential	Application of confessions rule
reforms, <b>6:22</b>	generally, 19:9
personal cross-examination, <b>6:21</b>	appellate review, 19:11
screens, in-camera evidence and	burden of proof, <b>19:9</b>
testifying remotely, <b>6:19</b>	ivoir dire/i, <b>19:10</b>
support persons and animals, 6:20	Derived confessions rule, <b>19:6</b>
unsworn testimony, <b>6:14</b>	Improper means: Mr. Big investigations
Interest in proceedings	abuse of process, 19:32
civil, <b>6:11</b>	background: R v. Hart, 19:28
criminal, 6:12	extending approach to other types of
Intermediaries, 6:9.50	investigations, 19:34
Lawyers	jury instructions, 19:33
counsel for a party, <b>6:33</b>	measuring probative value
counsel for opposing party, <b>6:34</b>	circumstances surrounding confes-
Prerequisites	sion, <b>19:29</b>
intelligibility, <b>6:8</b>	markers of reliability, 19:30
mental capacity, <b>6:9</b>	prejudicial effect, <b>19:31</b>
swearing oath or affirmation	Persons in authority
affirmation, <b>6:5</b>	generally, 19:12
importance of sworn testimony, <b>6:3</b>	confessions made to person not in
oath, <b>6:4</b>	authority, 19:14
questioning witness's choice, <b>6:6</b>	evidentiary burden, 19:15 who is a person in authority, 19:13
unsworn testimony, <b>6:7</b>	Right to silence
Prior convictions, <b>6:10</b>	detention and choice
State officials	elicitation, 19:26
board and tribunal members	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	is recipient a state agent, 19:25
compellability and scope of questioning, <b>6:30</b>	recognition of right, R. v. Hebert, 19:24
privative clauses, effect, 6:31	police questioning, 19:27
Crown officials and Members of Parlia-	Scope of rule
ment	applicable only in criminal proceed-
discovery and inquiries, 6:25	ings, <b>19:8</b>
parliamentary privilege, 6:26	derivative evidence, 19:7
prima facie compellable at trial, 6:24	statements by accused, 19:5
judges and jurors	subsequent statements, derived confes-
incompetent in the particular	sions rule, 19:6
proceeding, 6:28	Voluntariness
unrelated proceedings, 6:29	generally, 19:16, 19:22

CORROBORATION AND VETROVEC
WARNINGS—Cont'd
Historical approach—Cont'd
evolution of corroboration require-
ments, 13:3
Jailhouse informants
generally, 13:14
precluding informants from testifying, 13:15
Vetrovec warnings for, 13:16
CREDIBILITY
Cross-examination
generally, <b>12:1</b> , <b>12:2</b>
prior judicial findings, 12:28
Enhancing, limits on
rule against oath helping, <b>11:11 to</b>
11:14
rule against prior inconsistent statements, 11:15 to 11:23
Experts, <b>16:20</b>
Overview, <b>12:24</b>
Physical symptoms, 12:15
Probative value and witness credibility,
2:21
2:21 Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF  OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20  Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69  Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20  Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69  Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20  Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69  Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20  Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69  Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20 Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41 Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20 Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41 Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20 Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25  Credibility
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20 Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25  Credibility assessment, 12:1
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20  Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69  Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26  scope, 12:25  Credibility  assessment, 12:1  demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20 Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25  Credibility assessment, 12:1 demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion admissions, 12:59
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20  Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69  Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26  scope, 12:25  Credibility  assessment, 12:1  demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20 Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25  Credibility assessment, 12:1 demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion admissions, 12:59 convictions considered individually,
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20 Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25  Credibility assessment, 12:1 demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion admissions, 12:59 convictions considered individually, 12:52 convictions should be admitted, 12:51
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20 Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25  Credibility assessment, 12:1 demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion admissions, 12:59 convictions considered individually, 12:52
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20 Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69 Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25  Credibility assessment, 12:1 demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion admissions, 12:59 convictions considered individually, 12:52 convictions should be admitted, 12:51 crimes against the administration of
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20  Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69  Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25  Credibility assessment, 12:1 demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion admissions, 12:59 convictions considered individually, 12:52 convictions should be admitted, 12:51 crimes against the administration of justice, 12:54
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20  Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69  Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26 scope, 12:25  Credibility assessment, 12:1 demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion admissions, 12:59 convictions considered individually, 12:52  convictions should be admitted, 12:51 crimes against the administration of justice, 12:54 crimes of an abhorrent nature, 12:56
Reasonable doubt, and, 4:7  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS  Avoid unfair/adverse inference, 12:20  Bias or interest in outcome, 12:69  Browne v. Dunn, rule in, 12:36 to 12:41  Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility procedure, 12:26  scope, 12:25  Credibility assessment, 12:1 demeanor evidence, 12:2  Discretion admissions, 12:59  convictions considered individually, 12:52  convictions should be admitted, 12:51 crimes against the administration of justice, 12:54  crimes of an abhorrent nature, 12:56  crimes of dishonesty, 12:53

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS—Cont'd	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPPOSING WITNESS—Cont'd
Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule	Prior convictions—Cont'd
in Browne v. Dunn	procedural issues, 12:47
generally, 12:36	scope of examination, 12:47
concerns with rule, 12:4	types of offences covered, 12:45
honest mistaken belief, 12:23	Prior inconsistent statements
post charge sexual activity, 12:22	generally, 12:62
remedies for breach of rule	s.10 of Canada Evidence Act
drawing adverse inference, 12:40	generally, 12:63
similar conduct, 12:21	intention to contradict witness, 12:65
Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, <b>12:4</b>	statement must have been made by witness, 12:64
General reputation, 12:70	s.11 of Canada Evidence Act
Limits	addressing denial, proof of former
contradicting testimony/statements of	statement, <b>12:67</b>
complainant, 12:17 criminal case, in	failing to contradict witness, 12:68
contradicting element of actus reus,	witness response to prior statement, 12:66
12:16	Scope, 12:3
imposed on Crown, 12:29	Testimonial qualifications, lack of, <b>12:71</b>
interest in outcome, 12:31	Witness bias or interest in outcome, <b>12:69</b>
silence, <b>12:30</b>	
good faith for question, 12:5	CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE
legal restrictions, 12:6	AND COMPELLABILITY
narrative/relationship evidence, 12:18	Discovery and inquiries, <b>6:25</b>
physical symptoms, 12:15	Parliamentary privilege, <b>6:26</b>
prior judicial findings on credibility,	Prima facie compellable at trial, <b>6:24</b>
12:28	•
sexual experience of complainant—	CROWN PRIVILEGE See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY
ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code admissibility, test under s.276(2),	
12:13	DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE
forbidden inferences—s.276(1), 12:12	Improper communication with witness, <b>5:16</b>
history, 12:7 to 12:14	Physicians and experts retained by party,
probative value and prejudicial effect — s.276(3), <b>12:14</b>	5:15 Represented parties
scope of the provision, 12:8 to 12:10	generally, <b>5:13</b>
Motive to fabricate, 12:19	employees and directors of, <b>5:14</b>
Prior convictions	Unrepresented witnesses, <b>5:12</b>
discretion to exclude—civil cases,	DECLARATIONS AGAINST
discretion to exclude—criminal cases	INTEREST, EXCEPTION TO
concerns with existing approach,	HEARSAY RULE
12:60	Pecuniary interest, <b>14:16</b>
factors to assess, 12:50	Penal interest, 14:17
procedural issues, 12:49	DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE,
R. v. Corbett, <b>12:48</b>	EXPERIMENTS, AND
offences for which pardon has been	RECONSTRUCTIONS
granted, 12:46	Demonstrative evidence, 3:28

DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE, EXPERIMENTS, AND RECONSTRUCTIONS—Cont'd Distinctions, 3:24 Experiments generally, 3:25 opinion, and, 3:27 probative value, 3:26 Re-enactments or reconstructions, 3:29	EXAMINATION OF OWN WITNESS  —Cont'd  Adverse witness—Cont'd  manner of examination, 11:33  permissible use of prior inconsistent  statement, 11:30  prohibited statements, 11:28  scope of rule—technical requirements,  11:32
DIRECT EVIDENCE  Authenticating documentary evidence, 3:7  Criminal cases, special rules in, 3:2, 3:3  Distinguished from circumstantial evidence, 3:1	statutory construction issues, 11:26 Charging the jury, 11:23.30 Enhancing credibility, limits rule against oath helping, 11:11 to 11:14 rule against prior inconsistent state-
Generally, 3:5 Authentication ancient documents, 3:8 basic rule, 3:6 direct or circumstantial evidence, by, 3:7 judicial records, 3:9 other statutory exceptions, 3:10 photographs, videos and other recordings, 3:17 to 3:19 Definition, 3:5	ments, 11:15 to 11:23  Examination-in-chief direct examination, 11:2 re-examination, rules of, 11:3  Hostile witnesses common law rule, 11:25 existing approach, concerns with, 11:29  Impeaching own witness, rule against, 11:24  Memory and witness testimony general approach, 11:4 present memory revived, 11:5 Prior consistent statements, 11:23.70
DOUBLE HEARSAY Generally, 14:8	Refreshing memory, aids  prior to trial  general procedure and disclosure,
DYING DECLARATIONS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE Generally, 14:24	11:6 hypnosis and similar methods, 11:7 trial
ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE Generally, 3:15	can witness review document, 11:8 is witness's memory being refreshed, 11:9
EVIDENTIAL BURDEN Generally, 4:12 Civil cases, 4:15 Criminal cases accused, on, 4:14 prosecution, on, 4:13	should document be admitted as exhibit, 11:10  Rule against oath helping generally, 11:11  accused in criminal case, 11:14  common variants
EXAMINATION OF OWN WITNESS Generally, 11:1 Adverse witness generally, 11:31 adverse non-party witness, 11:34 adversity, meaning, 11:27 existing approach, concerns with, 11:29	opinions about credibility, 11:12 polygraph evidence, 11:13 self-bolstering statements, 11:12.50 Rule against prior inconsistent statements adopting video recording—ss.715.1 and 715.2 of Criminal Code, 11:19 exculpatory statements of accused on arrest, 11:22

#### EXAMINATION OF OWN WITNESS EXPERT WITNESSES—Cont'd —Cont'd Admissibility and cost/benefit analysis Rule against prior inconsistent statements —Cont'd factual foundation and hearsay -Cont'd general rule, 11:15 concerns, 16:35 to 16:37 narrative/context to physical actions, Court-appointed or jointly appointed 11:21 experts, **16:38** permissible use, 11:30 Exclusionary rules previous identification, 11:18 generally, 7:24 recent complaints and narrative exceprules of evidence, 16:25 tion, 11:20 statutory restrictions recent fabrication. 11:17 number of experts, 16:26 responding to prior inconsistent staterestrictions in civil proceedings, ment, 11:23 16:27 theory of exceptions, 11:16 Necessity **EXCLUDING WITNESSES** identity or propensity, 16:22 issues of credibility at trial, 16:20 Generally, 7:18 Administrative hearings, 7:22 providing context to human behaviour, 16:21 Discovery, during, 7:21 questions of law, 16:23 Failure to comply with exclusion order, 7:19 threshold, 16:18 Ordering accused to testify first, 7:23 ultimate issue, expertise going to, Trial. 7:20 16:24 Prejudicial effect, 16:6 **EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE** Probative value, 16:5 Judicial discretion Oualification history, 2:16 generally, **16:28** modernization of discretion expertise in specialized area, 16:29, civil cases, 2:19 16:30 Crown evidence in criminal cases, independence and impartiality, 16:31 to 16:33 defence evidence in criminal cases, Relevance, 16:11 2:18 Reliability in cases of novel or contested rationales for, 2:15 science Limited, 2:30 concerns raised by reliability of expert EXPERIMENTS, DEMONSTRATIVE opinion, **16:12 EVIDENCE AND** Frye/Daubert approaches to admissibil-RECONSTRUCTIONS ity, 16:13 Demonstrative evidence, 3:28 reliability standard, application, 16:14 to 16:16 Distinctions, 3:24 specialized rather than scientific knowl-**Experiments** edge, 16:17 generally, 3:25 Scrutinizing expert testimony opinion, and, 3:27 probative value, 3:26 classes of expert witness, 16:8 judicial role, 16:7 Re-enactments or reconstructions, 3:29 Standard of review on appeal, 16:10 EXPERT WITNESSES Admissibility, test for, 16:9, 16:13 FOREIGN LETTERS ROGATORY Admissibility and cost/benefit analysis Evidentiary and procedural concerns,

10:20

balancing risks and benefits, 16:34

FOREIGN LETTERS ROGATORY	HEARSAY—Cont'd
—Cont'd	Categorical exceptions—Cont'd
Judicial discretion to refuse	historical matters, 14:40
conflict with Canadian policy objec-	past recollection recorded, 14:26
tives, <b>10:19</b>	prior identification, 14:25
materiality, 10:18	prior inconsistent statements, 14:34
undue burden, 10:17	public records, 14:39
Legislative prerequisites, 10:16	ires gestae/i/spontaneous utterances
GENERAL REPUTATION,	components of exception, 14:19
CROSS-EXAMINATION	criticisms of exception, 14:20
Generally, 12:70	ires gestae/i as non-hearsay, 14:18
•	state of mind
GOOD CHARACTER EVIDENCE	generally, 14:22
Generally, <b>15:30</b>	circumstances of suspicion, 14:23.50
Accused, <b>15:31</b>	inadmissible to prove third party's
Civil cases, 15:36	intention, 14:23
Impact of adducing	sworn testimony from other proceed-
admitting bad character evidence in	ings
reply, impact, 15:34	civil cases—common law, 14:27
Crown's ability to respond, 15:33	civil cases—statutory exceptions,
putting character "in issue," 15:32	14:28
Prosecution, 15:35	criminal cases, 14:29
HEARSAY	Elements
Generally, 14:1	double hearsay, 14:8
Categorical exceptions	offered to prove truth
admission by parties	common examples of non-hearsay
generally, 14:13	usages, <b>14:6</b>
adopted admissions, 14:14	implied assertions and hearsay by
vicarious admissions, 14:15	conduct, 14:7
bodily condition, statements as to,	out-of-court statement
14:21	basic components, 14:2
business records	statements made by animals, 14:4
definition, 14:37	statements made by computers,
historical development of exception,	machines or instruments, 14:3
14:35	witness's prior statements, <b>14:5</b>
specific exclusions, 14:38	Exceptions to rule
which exception governs, 14:36	charging trier of fact about admissible
co-conspirator statements	hearsay, <b>14:12</b>
admissibility, framework, <b>14:31</b>	historical treatment—categorical or
admissibility in civil cases, 14:33	pigeon-hole approach, 14:9
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	modern approach—categorical and
concerns with exceptions and compliance with principled	principles, 14:11
approach, 14:32	principled approach, 14:10
principled basis for admission, <b>14:30</b>	Principled or residual exception
declarations against interest	admitting hearsay from defence, <b>14:62</b>
	necessity
pecuniary interest, 14:16	absolute necessity, 14:57
penal interest, 14:17	general approach, 14:56
dying declarations, 14:24	necessity and fairness concerns,
excluding evidence tendered under	14:60
exception, <b>14:11.50</b>	relativity necessity, 14:58, 14:59

# HEARSAY—Cont'd Principled or residual exception—Cont'd other policy concerns and resolving admissibility, 14:61 procedural reliability—adequate substitutes for cross-examination availability to cross-examination, 14:54 cross-examination in another forum, 14:53 lesser substitutes for cross-examination, 14:55 reliability and fairness circumstantial reliability, 14:41 different ways of assessing reli-

ability, **14:42** importance of the evidence, **14:43** substantive reliability

generally, 14:44

contents of statement, 14:47

corroborating evidence, 14:51

motive to fabricate, 14:46

relationship of declarant to recipient, 14:48

reliability of declarant, **14:50** reliability of testifying witness, **14:52** 

statement taken under oath or in formal setting, **14:45** timing and spontaneity, **14:49** 

# HISTORICAL MATTERS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, 14:40

#### HODGE'S CASE, RULE IN

Generally, 3:2

#### **HOSTILE WITNESSES**

Common law rule, **11:25** Existing approach, concerns with, **11:29** 

#### HUMAN SOURCE PRIVILEGE

Generally, 17:88

# HYPNOSIS, REFRESHING MEMORY THROUGH

Generally, 11:7

# IMPEACHING OWN WITNESS, RULE AGAINST

Generally, 11:24

#### IMPROPERLY OBTAINED EVIDENCE

Common law exclusionary rule since enactment of Charter alternative route to exclusion in criminal trials, 20:37 exclusion in civil proceedings generally, 20:38 concerns about prevailing approach, 20:40

current approach, 20:39

Connection of evidence and breach, **20:30.50** 

Exclusion of evidence and s.24(2) in civil cases

generally, 20:33

proceedings without state party, 20:34 proceedings with state party, 20:35

Exclusion of evidence under Charter in criminal cases

adjudication on the merits, society's interest in, **20:31** 

importance of evidence to prosecution case, 20:31.50

reliability of evidence, 20:31.20 seriousness of offence, 20:31.70

appellate review, **20:32** 

deference, proper approach to, **20:32.70** 

s. 24(2) findings made in the alternative, **20:32.70** 

bringing administration of justice into disrepute

current approach: R. v. Grant, 20:17 history, 20:14

R. v. Collins, 20:15

R. v. Stillman, 20:16

court of competent jurisdiction, 20:4

government action, 20:5.50

impact on accused's interests

generally, 20:26

bodily evidence, 20:28

discoverability and impact on accused's interests, 20:30

non-bodily physical evidence, **20:29** statements, **20:27** 

importance of evidence to prosecution case, 20:31.50

obtained in a manner

causal connections and remoteness, **20:9** 

IMPROPERLY OBTAINED EVIDENCE —Cont'd	INQUIRIES, COMPELLING ATTENDANCE
Exclusion of evidence under Charter in	Generally, 8:9
criminal cases—Cont'd obtained in a manner—Cont'd evidence not obtained in a manner, severing the connection, <b>20:10</b>	INTERPRETERS AT COMMON LAW AND IN RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE Chapter a 14, 7:20
modern approach: searching for contextual connections, <b>20:8</b> modified approach proposal, <b>20:11</b> no need for causal connection, <b>20:6</b> temporal approach, <b>20:7</b>	Charter, s.14, 7:30 Official languages, 7:31 Qualifying as interpreter, 7:29 Types of Translation, 7:29.50 When ordered, 7:28
procedural issues burden of proof, <b>20:12</b>	JAILHOUSE INFORMANTS Generally, 13:14 to 13:16
revisiting a s.24(2) decision, 20:13, 20:32 to 20:32.70 reliability of evidence, 20:31.20 seriousness of Charter-infringing state conduct	JOURNALIST-SOURCE PRIVILEGE Common law, 17:89 Statutory protection—Canada Evidence Act, 17:90
generally, 20:18  deceit or falsehoods by police, 20:23 good faith, 20:19 gravity of breach, 20:20 magnitude of breach—impact on third parties, 20:25 pattern of disregard, 20:24 systemic concerns, 20:22 urgency, 20:21 seriousness of offence, 20:31.70 standing, 20:5 Exclusion of evidence under s.24(1), 20:36 Historical approach Bill of Rights and pre-Charter negotiations, 20:3	JUDGES AND JURORS, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Incompetent in the particular proceeding 6:28 Unrelated proceedings, 6:29  JUDICIAL NOTICE Generally, 3:30 Adjudicative facts defining, 3:31 established by sources of indisputable accuracy, 3:34 impact of judicial notice, 3:35 notorious or generally accepted facts, 3:33 procedural concerns, 3:35
English common law, 20:1 R. v. Wray, 20:2	threshold for admission, 3:32 Legislative facts or social framework, 3:36
INFORMER PRIVILEGE	
Generally, 17:62	JUROR DELIBERATIONS, PRIVILEGE
Exceptions	Generally, 17:85
agent provocateur, 17:67 Charter challenge, 17:69 innocence at stake, 17:66 material witness, 17:68 Scope	LAWYERS, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY Counsel for a party, 6:33 Counsel for opposing party, 6:34
information protected by privilege, 17:64	LEGAL ADVICE PRIVILEGE
who is informant, <b>17:63</b> Waiver, <b>17:65</b>	Generally, <b>17:17</b> Burden of proving valid claim, <b>17:39</b>

LEGAL ADVICE PRIVILEGE—Cont'd	LITIGATION PRIVILEGE—Cont'd
Communication between lawyer and client	Limitations—Cont'd waiver, 17:49
who is client, 17:20	MARITAL COMMUNICATIONS
who is lawyer, <b>17:19</b>	PRIVILEGE
Communication made in course of seek-	Generally, 17:80
ing legal advice	
acting in legal role, 17:24	Asserting privilege, 17:83
communication made for purpose of	Need for valid marriage, 17:81 Protected communications, 17:82
seeking legal advice, 17:26	
part of lawyer-client relationship, 17:25	Reform, need for, 17:84
Exceptions	MATERIALITY
crime/fraud, 17:34	Generally, <b>2:4</b>
innocence at stake, 17:36	•
limitation by statute, 17:37	MEMORY OF WITNESS
public safety, 17:35	General approach, 11:4
testamentary intentions, 17:38	Present memory revived, 11:5
Express waiver	Refreshing memory, aids
limited waiver, 17:28	prior to trial
who can waive privilege, 17:27	general procedure and disclosure,
Implied waiver	11:6
imputations against lawyer, 17:30	hypnosis and similar methods, 11:7
loss of confidentiality	trial
accidental or compelled disclosure,	can witness review document, 11:8
17:31	is witness's memory being refreshed
deliberate disclosure to third parties	11:9
(common interest privilege), 17:32	should document be admitted as exhibit, 11:10
state of mind or other fairness	MISCONDUCT OF WITNESS
considerations, 17:29	Generally, <b>9:1</b>
Need for confidence	Enforcing attendance by arresting witness
lack of relative confidentiality—parties	civil and administrative proceedings,
with common interest, 17:22	9:2
presence of third parties, 17:21	criminal proceedings, 9:3
LITIGATION PRIVILEGE	Perjury
Generally, 17:40	corroboration, 9:23
Core components	elements of offence
dominant purpose standard, 17:42	falsity of statement, 9:20
need for adversarial proceedings, <b>17:41</b>	intent to mislead, 9:22
1	knowledge of falsity, 9:21
privilege can be invoked against any party, <b>17:45</b>	qualifying statement, <b>9:19</b>
purpose for which material was created,	historical approach, 9:18
17:44	issue estoppel, 9:24
when and how dominant purpose is	offences related to perjury, 9:25
measured, 17:43	Punishment for non-compliance
Lawyer's work product, 17:46 Limitations	generally, 9:4
	civil action for damages, 9:17
end of litigation, 17:48	contempt exhibited by witnesses
exceptions, 17:50	disruptive conduct of witnesses
STATITION TO THE STATE OF THE S	amouning to contempt <b>9.11</b>

#### MISCONDUCT OF WITNESS—Cont'd NON-COMPLIANT WITNESSES, Punishment for non-compliance—Cont'd PUNISHMENT—Cont'd contempt exhibited by witnesses Contempt procedures—Cont'd -Cont'd summary process, 9:12 refusing to testify or answer, 9:10 OATH contempt of court Generally, **6:3 to 6:7** authority to punish for contempt, 9:7 OATH HELPING, RULE AGAINST civil or criminal contempt, 9:6 elements of offence, 9:8 Generally, 11:11 rationale, 9:5 Accused in criminal case, 11:14 restraint in use, 9:9 Common variants contempt procedures opinions about credibility, 11:12 Charter considerations, 9:13 polygraph evidence, 11:13 criminal cases, 9:14 self-bolstering statements, 11:12.50 summary process, 9:12 **OPINION EVIDENCE** criminal offences Distinguishing fact from opinion, 16:2 refusal at preliminary inquiry, 9:15 General prohibition, 16:1 refusal at trial, 9:16 Non-expert opinion evidence MR. BIG INVESTIGATIONS: lay opinion vs expert opinion, 16:4 CONFESSIONS OBTAINED R. v. Graat, flexible approach, 16:3 THROUGH IMPROPER MEANS ORAL TESTIMONY AND AFFIDAVITS Abuse of process, 19:32 Generally, 3:4 Background: R v. Hart, 19:28 Extending approach to other types of PARLIAMENT, WITNESSES BEFORE investigations, 19:34 Jurisdiction of courts to review. 22:13 Jury instructions, 19:33 Power to summon witnesses Measuring probative value Parliament, 22:1 circumstances surrounding confession, provincial legislatures, 22:2 19:29 Problem witness markers of reliability, 19:30 improving contempt procedures, 22:12 Prejudicial effect, 19:31 procedural concerns, 22:10 punishment, 22:11 MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE IN Witness protections CRIMINAL MATTERS ACT immunity from prosecution, 22:6 Generally, 10:21 other privileges and right to refuse to NON-COMPLIANT WITNESSES, answer, 22:7 PUNISHMENT other protections, 22:8 Contempt exhibited by witnesses Parliamentary privilege disruptive conduct of witnesses privilege for statements made in amounting to contempt, 9:11 provincial legislatures or comrefusing to testify or answer, 9:10 mittees, 22:5 Contempt of court statements made in Parliament authority to punish for contempt, 9:7 inadmissible in other proceeding, 22:3 civil or criminal contempt, 9:6 waiver, 22:4 elements of offence, 9:8 rationale, 9:5 PARLIAMENTARY PRIVILEGE restraint in use, 9:9 Generally, 6:26 Contempt procedures Privilege for statements made in Charter considerations, 9:13 provincial legislatures or commitcriminal cases, 9:14 tees, 22:5

#### PARLIAMENTARY PRIVILEGE —Cont'd

Statements made in Parliament inadmissible in other proceedings, 22:3 Waiver, 22:4

#### PAST RECOLLECTION RECORDED, **EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE**

Generally, 14:26

#### **PERJURY**

Corroboration, 9:23 Elements of offence falsity of statement, 9:20 intent to mislead, 9:22 knowledge of falsity, 9:21 qualifying statement, 9:19 Historical approach, 9:18 Issue estoppel, 9:24 Offences related to perjury, 9:25

#### PERSONAL RECORDS IN CASES OF SEXUAL NATURE, s.278.1 CRIMINAL CODE — PRIVILEGE

Constitutionality, **12:27**, **17:74** Framework for resolving applications, 12:24 to 12:27, 17:72 History, 12:24 to 12:27, 17:70 Likely relevance, 17:75 Material in hands of defence, 12:24 to 12:27

Production

need for accused to make full answer and defence, 12:24 to 12:27, 17:76

privacy interests, 17:78 probative value, 17:77

systemic and specific prejudice, 17:79

Sexual Assault cases

constitutionality and application, 12:27 overview. 12:24

procedure, 12:26

scope of s. 278.1 of Criminal Code regime, 12:25

Types of protected records, 12:24 to 12:27, 17:71

#### PREJUDICIAL EFFECT, EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

Generally, 2:23 Distraction or undue focus, 2:26 Emotional prejudice, hostility or sympathy, 2:24

#### PREJUDICIAL EFFECT, EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Misleading nature, 2:25 Time and expense, 2:27 Ultimate issue, 2:29 Unfair surprise, 2:28

#### **PRESUMPTIONS**

Use, 4:18

Meaning, **4:18** Presumption of fact as matter of proof, 4:19 Presumption of law Charter, and, 4:22 irrebuttable presumptions, 4:21 rebuttable presumptions, 4:20

#### PRINCIPLED OR RESIDUAL **EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE**

Admitting hearsay from defence, 14:62 Necessity

absolute necessity, 14:57 general approach, 14:56 necessity and fairness concerns, 14:60 relativity necessity, 14:58, 14:59 Other policy concerns and resolving

admissibility, 14:61

Procedural reliability—adequate substitutes for cross-examination availability to cross-examination, 14:54 cross-examination in another forum, 14:53

lesser substitutes for cross-examination, 14:55

Reliability and fairness circumstantial reliability, 14:41 different ways of assessing reliability, 14:42

importance of the evidence, 14:43

Substantive reliability generally, 14:44

> contents of statement, 14:47 corroborating evidence, 14:51

motive to fabricate, 14:46

relationship of declarant to recipient, 14:48

reliability of declarant, 14:50 reliability of testifying witness, 14:52 statement taken under oath or in formal setting, **14:45** timing and spontaneity, 14:49

PRIOR CONVICTIONS,	PRIVILEGE—Cont'd
CROSS-EXAMINATION	Informer privilege—Cont'd
Discretion to exclude—civil cases, 12:61	exceptions
Discretion to exclude—criminal cases	agent provocateur, 17:67
concerns with existing approach, 12:60	Charter challenge, 17:69
factors to assess, 12:50	innocence at stake, 17:66
procedural issues, 12:49	material witness, 17:68
R. v. Corbett, <b>12:48</b>	scope
Offences for which pardon has been granted, <b>12:46</b>	information protected by privilege, 17:64
Procedural issues, 12:47	who is informant, 17:63
Scope of examination, 12:47	waiver, <b>17:65</b>
Types of offences covered, 12:45	Journalist-source privilege
PRIOR IDENTIFICATION,	common law, <b>17:89</b>
EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE	statutory protection—Canada Evidence
Generally, 14:25	Act, 17:90
• •	Juror deliberations, 17:85
PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS	Legal advice privilege
Adopting video recording—ss.715.1 and	generally, 17:17
715.2 of Criminal Code, <b>11:19</b>	burden of proving valid claim, 17:39
Cross-examination, <b>12:62 to 12:68</b>	communication between lawyer and client, 17:18
Exculpatory statements of accused on arrest, 11:22	communication made in course of seek
General rule, 11:15	ing legal advice, <b>17:23</b>
Hearsay rule, and, 14:34	exceptions, 17:33
Narrative/context to physical actions,	express waiver, 17:27, 17:28
11:21	implied waiver, 17:29 to 17:32
Permissible use, 11:30	limiting state of mind waiver, 17:29.20
Previous identification, 11:18	need for confidence, <b>17:21</b> , <b>17:22</b>
Recent complaints and narrative excep-	Litigation privilege
tion, <b>11:20</b>	generally, 17:40
Recent fabrication, 11:17	core components
Responding to prior inconsistent state-	dominant purpose standard, 17:42
ment, <b>11:23</b>	need for adversarial proceedings,
Theory of exceptions, 11:16	17:41
PRIVILEGE	privilege can be invoked against any party, <b>17:45</b>
Adultery, 17:87	purpose for which material was cre-
Common law privilege	ated, 17:44
balancing of interests, 17:94	when and how dominant purpose is
confidentiality, 17:92	measured, 17:43
history, <b>17:91</b>	lawyer's work product, 17:46
nature of relationship, 17:93	limitations
Concept	end of litigation, 17:48
categories of privilege, 17:2	exceptions, 17:50
exclusion of probative information,	statutory intervention, 17:47
17:1	waiver, 17:49
Human source privilege, 17:88	Marital communications
Informer privilege	generally, 17:80
generally, <b>17:62</b>	asserting privilege, 17:83

PRIVILEGE—Cont'd	PRIVILEGE—Cont'd
Marital communications—Cont'd	Self-incrimination, privilege against
need for valid marriage, 17:81	—Cont'd
protected communications, 17:82	statutory intervention, restricting future
reform, need for, 17:84	use of incriminatory statements,
Personal records in cases of sexual nature,	17:5
s.278.1 Criminal Code	Settlement privilege—statements made without prejudice
constitutionality, 12:27, 17:74	generally, <b>17:51</b>
framework for resolving applications, 17:72	components of privilege
history, <b>12:24 to 12:27, 17:70</b>	communication made in effort to
likely relevance, 17:75	settle, <b>17:54</b>
material in hands of defence, <b>12:24 to</b>	existence of dispute, 17:52
12:27	intention for non-disclosure, 17:53
production	exceptions
need for accused to make full answer	competing interest, 17:59
and defence, 17:76	general theory, 17:56
privacy interests, 17:78	threatening or fraudulent conduct,
probative value, 17:77	17:58
systemic and specific prejudice,	waiver, 17:57
17:79	scope and application, 17:55
Sexual Assault cases	statutory provisions creating, <b>17:60</b> Voting, <b>17:86</b>
constitutionality and application,	voung, 17:80
12:27	PROBATIVE VALUE
overview, <b>12:24</b>	Generally, 2:5
procedure, <b>12:26</b>	Measuring
scope of s. 278.1 of Criminal Code	factors guiding assessment, 2:20
regime, <b>12:25</b>	reliability, challenging common sense,
types of protected records, <b>12:24 to 12:27, 17:71</b>	2:22
Self-incrimination, privilege against	witness credibility, 2:21
generally, 17:3	PROOF, TYPES OF
common law privilege, 17:4	Best evidence rule
protection against civil liability, <b>17:6</b>	electronic evidence, 3:15
s.7 of Charter	exceptions
derivative use immunity, <b>17:15</b>	evidence not available, 3:13
residual protection against self-in-	production inconvenient (public
crimination, 17:14	records), <b>3:14</b>
statements compelled by statute,	modern approach, 3:11
17:16	rationale, 3:11
s.13 of Charter	scope, <b>3:12</b>
application, 17:7	Direct and circumstantial evidence
compelled testimony, 17:9	criminal cases, special rules
non-criminal proceedings, 17:13	Hodge's Case, rule in, 3:2
testifies in any proceedings, 17:8	modern approach, 3:3
using prior testimony for other non-	distinction, 3:1
incriminating purposes, 17:12	Documentary evidence
using prior testimony to impeach,	generally, 3:5
17:11	authentication
whether s.13 can be waived, <b>17:10</b>	ancient documents, 3:8

PROTECTION OF WITNESSES
—Cont'd
Protection from civil actions—witness
immunity
generally, 21:14
expert witnesses, 21:18
scope of immunity
all witness statements absolutely
protected, 21:15
is it a witness statement, 21:16
statements ancillary to other actions
not protected, 21:17
Protection from physical harm, intimidate
or retribution
civil protection from retribution, 21:25
need to protect witnesses, 21:19
specific crimes
contempt, <b>21:23</b>
intimidation, 21:22
obstruction of justice, 21:21
witness protection program, 21:24
Protection from publicity
anonymity, 21:13
Criminal Code and international
comparisons, <b>21:13.50</b>
in camera hearing
civil and administrative proceedings 21:6
criminal proceedings, 21:5
presumption of open courts, 21:4
publication bans, civil proceedings, 21:12
publication bans, criminal proceedings
generally, 21:7
other witnesses, 21:10
varying order, 21:11
victims in cases of sexual offences,
21:8
young persons, 21:9
Protection of witnesses in the courtroom,
21:26
Right to counsel, 21:2
Standing to represent witnesses in court,
21:3
Statutory Protections in Criminal
Proceedings, 21:27
PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY
Generally, 18:1
Canada Evidence Act
generally, 18:6

#### REAL EVIDENCE—Cont'd PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY —Cont'd Photographs and other recordings, Canada Evidence Act—Cont'd authentication, 3:17 Cabinet confidences—s.39 Videos generally, 18:13 authentication, 3:18 constitutional challenges to use of, 3:19 immunity, **18:16** Views procedural issues, 18:14 evidentiary use, 3:22 waiver of immunity, 18:15 procedure, 3:20 general public interest—s.37 safeguards, 3:23 balancing test for production, 18:7 when ordered, 3:21 jurisdiction and procedure, 18:8 RECONSTRUCTIONS, special information—s.38 DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE criminal proceedings, 18:12 AND EXPERIMENTS information covered, 18:9 Demonstrative evidence, 3:28 procedural issues. 18:10 Distinctions, 3:24 residual power to withhold informa-**Experiments** tion—certificate, 18:11 generally, 3:25 test for production, 18:10 opinion, and, 3:27 Common law immunity probative value, 3:26 disclosure, balancing test, 18:5 Re-enactments or reconstructions, 3:29 early developments, 18:2 **RE-ENACTMENTS** power to examine documents, 18:4 Generally, 3:29 relevance, 18:3 Jurisdictional concerns, 18:1 REFRESHING MEMORY, AIDS TO Prior to trial PUBLICITY, PROTECTION OF general procedure and disclosure, 11:6 WITNESSES FROM hypnosis and similar methods, 11:7 Anonymity, 21:13 Trial Criminal Code and international can witness review document, 11:8 comparisons, **21:13.50** is witness's memory being refreshed, In camera hearing 11:9 civil and administrative proceedings, should document be admitted as 21:6 exhibit, 11:10 criminal proceedings, 21:5 Presumption of open courts, 21:4 RELEVANCE Publication bans, civil proceedings, 21:12 Objective of legal proceedings, 2:1 Publication bans, criminal proceedings Rationale, 2:1 generally, 21:7 **RES GESTAE/SPONTANEOUS** other witnesses, 21:10 UTTERANCES, EXCEPTION TO varying order, 21:11 **HEARSAY RULE** victims in cases of sexual offences, Generally, 14:18 21:8 RIGHT TO COUNSEL young persons, 21:9 Generally, 21:2 PUBLIC RECORDS, EXCEPTION TO **HEARSAY RULE** RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL Generally, 14:39 Generally, 1:6 RIGHT TO REFUSE TO ANSWER **REAL EVIDENCE** Objects, 3:16 Generally, 22:7

# RIGHT TO SILENCE Detention and choice elicitation, 19:26 is recipient a state agent, 19:25 recognition of right, R. v. Hebert, 19:24 Police questioning, 19:27 RULE AGAINST OATH HELPING Generally, 11:11 Accused in criminal case, 11:14 Common variants

#### RULE AGAINST PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

polygraph evidence, 11:13

opinions about credibility, 11:12

self-bolstering statements, 11:12.50

Adopting video recording—ss.715.1 and 715.2 of Criminal Code, **11:19** Exculpatory statements of accused on

arrest, **11:22**General rule, **11:15** 

Narrative/context to physical actions, 11:21

Permissible use, 11:30

Previous identification, 11:18

Recent complaints and narrative exception, 11:20

Recent fabrication, 11:17

Responding to prior inconsistent statement, 11:23

Theory of exceptions, 11:16

# SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST

Generally, 17:3

Common law privilege, 17:4

Protection against civil liability, 17:6

s.7 of Charter

derivative use immunity, 17:15

residual protection against self-incrimination, 17:14

statements compelled by statute, 17:16

s.13 of Charter

application, 17:7

compelled testimony, 17:9

non-criminal proceedings, 17:13

testifies in any proceedings, 17:8

using prior testimony for other non-

incriminating purposes, **17:12** using prior testimony to impeach, **17:11** 

whether s.13 can be waived, **17:10** 

### SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST—Cont'd

Statutory intervention, restricting future use of incriminatory statements, **17:5** 

#### **SETTLEMENT**

# PRIVILEGE—STATEMENTS MADE WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Generally, **17:51** 

Components of privilege

communication made in effort to settle, **17:54** 

6.1

existence of dispute, 17:52

intention for non-disclosure, 17:53

Exceptions

competing interest, 17:59

general theory, 17:56

threatening or fraudulent conduct,

17:58

waiver. 17:57

Scope and application, 17:55

Statutory provisions creating, 17:60

#### SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE

Generally, 15:14

Admissibility

balancing process, 15:26

deference to trial judge's decision,

15:25

proving identity, 15:27

Civil cases, 15:29

Conditions for admission

acts for which accused acquitted, **15:22** evidence linking accused to similar

acts, 15:19

types of evidence, 15:21

Historical development of rule

early common law/iMakin/i rule, 15:15

evolving past iMakin/i, 15:16

R. v. Handy, 15:17

Instructing jury, 15:28

Prejudicial effect

moral prejudice, 15:25

reasoning prejudice, 15:26

Probative value

collusion, 15:24

relevant factors, 15:23

#### SOURCES OF EVIDENCE LAW

Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1:18

Common law, 1:12

Statutory intervention, 1:13 to 1:17

#### SPONTANEOUS UTTERANCES, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, 14:18

#### SPOUSE OF ACCUSED PERSON, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

Historical approach, **6:41** Provincial prosecutions, **6:42** 

#### STATEMENTS AS TO BODILY CONDITION, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, **14:21** 

#### STATE OFFICIALS, COMPELLABILITY AND COMPETENCE

Board and tribunal members compellability and scope of questioning, **6:30** privative clauses, effect, **6:31** Crown officials and Members of Parlia-

Crown officials and Members of Parlia ment discovery and inquiries, **6:25** 

parliamentary privilege, **6:26** prima facie compellable at trial, **6:24** 

Judges and jurors

incompetent in the particular proceeding, 6:28

unrelated proceedings, 6:29

Ombudspersons, investigators, and mediators, **6:32** 

Representatives of foreign governments, 6:27

Sovereign, **6:23** 

# STATE OF MIND, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, 14:22

Inadmissible to prove third party's intention, 14:23

#### STATE SECRETS

See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY

# STATUTORY INTERVENTION AS SOURCE OF EVIDENCE LAW

Ethical rules, 1:16
Evidence legislation, 1:13
Other legislation, 1:14
Rules of Court and Civil Procedure, 1:15
Statutory reform, need for, 1:17

#### SUBPOENAS OR SUMMONS, SETTING ASIDE

See also COMPELLING ATTENDANCE

Onus and procedure

civil proceedings, 8:13

criminal proceedings, 8:14

Quashing, grounds for

abuse of process, 8:17

Charter violation, 8:18

health of witness would be at risk, 8:19

irregularity in issuance, 8:15

witness can provide to material evi-

dence, 8:16

# SWORN TESTIMONY FROM OTHER PROCEEDINGS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Civil cases—common law, 14:27 Civil cases—statutory exceptions, 14:28 Criminal cases, 14:29

#### TESTIFYING BY VIDEO-CONFERENCE OR OTHER MEANS

Advances in technology, 10:8

Civil cases

historical approach, 10:9

legislative guidelines, 10:10

modern approach, 10:11

witnesses in foreign jurisdictions,

10:12

Criminal cases

Evidentiary and procedural questions, **10:14** 

legislation, 10:13

#### TRIAL PROCESS, GENERALLY

Adversarial process, generally, 1:2

Calling evidence

appeal, on, 7:6

order of evidence, 7:1

re-opening case

civil cases, 7:4

criminal cases, 7:5, 7:5.50

rule against splitting case

generally, 7:2

civil cases, 7:2

criminal cases, 7:3

Calling witnesses

generally, 7:7

civil cases

additional witnesses, 7:12

TRIAL PROCESS, GENERALLY —Cont'd	TRIAL PROCESS, GENERALLY —Cont'd
Calling witnesses—Cont'd	Types of Translation, <b>7:29.50</b>
civil cases—Cont'd	* *
failure to call witnesses, 7:13	Witnesses not property of any party, <b>5:1</b> Witness preparation
Crown in criminal cases	
adverse inference, 7:10	Crown ability to communicate with witness pre-charge, <b>5:11</b>
failure to call witness and adverse	interview, 5:10
inference, 7:10	interview, 3.10
no obligation to call witnesses, <b>7:8</b>	ULTIMATE ISSUE
oblique motive/abuse of process, 7:9	Generally, 2:29
defence in criminal cases, 7:11	·
failure to call witnesses, 7:11	UNFAIR SURPRISE
·	Generally, 2:28
crown commentary on, in jury address, <b>7:11.50</b>	VETROVEC WARNINGS
trial judge's role	Generally, 13:3, 13:8 to 13:13, 13:16
calling witnesses, <b>7:14</b>	VIDEO-CONFERENCE OR OTHER
jury's ability to question witnesses,	MEANS, TESTIFYING BY
7:17	Advances in technology, <b>10:8</b>
questioning witnesses in adversarial	Civil cases
proceedings, 7:15	historical approach, 10:9
Communicating with testifying witness	legislative guidelines, <b>10:10</b>
communication between witnesses, 7:27	modern approach, 10:11
	witnesses in foreign jurisdictions,
examination for discovery, 7:26 trial. 7:25	10:12
,	Criminal cases
Dealing with witnesses on opposite side improper communication with witness,	Evidentiary and procedural questions,
5:16	10:14
physicians and experts retained by	legislation, 10:13
party, 5:15	VIDEO DECODDINGS
represented parties	VIDEO RECORDINGS
generally, <b>5:13</b>	Authentication, 3:18
employees and directors of, <b>5:14</b>	Use of, <b>3:19</b>
unrepresented witnesses, <b>5:12</b>	VIEWS
Excluding witnesses	Evidentiary use, <b>3:22</b>
generally, 7:18	Procedure, 3:20
administrative hearings, <b>7:22</b>	Safeguards, 3:23
discovery, during, 7:21	When ordered
failure to comply with exclusion order,	contested issue, 3:21
7:19	extent of change since material time,
ordering accused to testify first, 7:23 trial, 7:20	3:21
Expert witnesses, 7:24	importance of issue to be decided, <b>3:21</b>
Inquisitorial process, generally, 1:3	information available from other
Interpreters at common law and in iRules	sources, 3:21
of Civil Procedure/i	logistical difficulties, 3:21
Charter, s.14, <b>7:30</b>	security or safety concerns, 3:21
official languages, 7:31	WEIGHT, DISTINGUISHED FROM
qualifying as interpreter, 7:29	ADMISSIBILITY
when ordered, <b>7:28</b>	Generally, 2:6

#### WITNESSES BEFORE PARLIAMENT

Jurisdiction of courts to review, 22:13
Power to summon witnesses
Parliament, 22:1
provincial legislatures, 22:2
Problem witness
improving contempt procedures, 22:12

procedural concerns, 22:10 punishment, 22:11

Witness protections

immunity from prosecution, **22:6** other privileges and right to refuse to answer, **22:7** 

other protections, 22:8

Parliamentary privilege privilege for statements made in provincial legislatures or committees, 22:5

statements made in Parliament inadmissible in other proceedings, 22:3

waiver, 22:4

#### WITNESS IDENTITY

Civil procedure

litigation privilege and witness identity, **5:4** 

obligation to disclose identity of witnesses, **5:3** 

Criminal procedure

Crown disclosure and witness identity, **5:5, 5:5.50** 

defence disclosure and witness identity alibi evidence, **5:8** expert evidence, **5:9** limited tactical disclosure, **5:7** no general obligation to disclose, **5:6** 

#### WITNESS PREPARATION

Crown ability to communicate with witness pre-charge, **5:11**Interview, **5:10**