CHAPTER 1. HRYNIAK TURNS 10: THE DEVIL, THE DETAILS, AND THE FUTURE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

I. OVERVIEW

§ 1:1 Generally

II. THE MODERN HISTORY OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT

- § 1:2 Early Systems of Summary Judgment
- § 1:3 Rule 20 Early Adoption of Summary Judgment
- § 1:4 The '90s and the First Backlash
- § 1:5 —Aguonie v. Galion Solid Waste Material Inc.
- § 1:6 —Dawson v. Rexcraft Storage and Warehouse Inc.
- § 1:7 The Osborne Report and the 2010 Amendments

III. COMBINED AIR AND THE SECOND BACKLASH

- § 1:8 The Occasion for Combined Air
- § 1:9 The Decision in Combined Air
- § 1:10 Aftermath and a Leave Application

IV. HRYNIAK AND ITS "CULTURE SHIFT"

§ 1:11 Generally

V. HISTORY OF THE COMMON LAW TRIAL

- § 1:12 Generally
- § 1:13 Common Law
- § 1:14 Equity
- § 1:15 Fusion of Law and Equity

VI. POST-HRYNIAK DEVELOPMENTS

- § 1:16 Generally
- § 1:17 Civil Juries
- § 1:18 Boomerang Summary Judgments

§ 1	:19	Partial	Summary	Jud	gment
-----	-----	---------	---------	-----	-------

VII. A WAY FORWARD

- § 1:20 Green Eggs and Ham
- § 1:21 Civil Justice Reform

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

§ 1:22 Generally

CHAPTER 2. IS THE ABSENCE OF REASONABLE AND PROBABLE GROUNDS AN ELEMENT OF THE TORT OF NEGLIGENT INVESTIGATION?

- § 2:1 Introduction
- § 2:2 The Elements of the Tort of Negligent Investigation in Ontario Today
- § 2:3 Reasonable and Probable Grounds is a Low Standard That Focuses on the Information Police Actually Have
- § 2:4 Circumstantial Evidence of Reasonable and Probable Grounds
- § 2:5 What the Supreme Court Decided in *Hill*
- § 2:6 How Did Absence of Reasonable and Probable Grounds Become an Element of Negligent Investigation?
- § 2:7 What is the Impact of the Elevation of Absence of Reasonable and Probable Grounds into an Essential Element of the Tort?
- § 2:8 Hints of a More Thoughtful Approach?
- § 2:9 The Relevance of Causation
- § 2:10 Conclusion

CHAPTER 3. IS NON-PATIENT HARM A COMPENSABLE HARM?: A REVIEW OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE CLAIMS BY NON-PATIENT PLAINTIFFS

- § 3:1 Introduction
- § 3:2 The UK Supreme Court: Paul and another v. Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust
- § 3:3 —Facts
- § 3:4 —Analysis

- § 3:5 ——Claims for Nervous Shock after Witnessing an "Accident"
- § 3:6 ——Medical Negligence Actions are Generally "Non-Accidents"
- § 3:7 ——Physician's Duty of Care Towards Patient's Family Members
- § 3:8 Where Does Canadian Jurisprudence Stand on this Issue?
- § 3:9 What's Next?

CHAPTER 4. TALL SHIPS CAST LONG SHADOWS: JUDICIAL SCRUTINY OF ARBITRAL AWARDS IN 2024 AND BEYOND

- § 4:1 Introduction
- § 4:2 Before Tall Ships
- § 4:3 The Tall Ships Saga
- § 4:4 —The Facts
- § 4:5 —The Arbitrator's Decision
- § 4:6 ——Remediation Costs Claim
- § 4:7 ——Additional Costs Claim
- § 4:8 ——Interest Claim
- § 4:9 —The Superior Court's Decision
- § 4:10 ——Remediation Costs Claim
- § 4:11 ——Additional Costs Claim
- § 4:12 ——Interest Claim
- § 4:13 —The Court of Appeal's Decision
- § 4:14 The Influence of Tall Ships
- § 4:15 Looking Ahead

CHAPTER 5. FAST, FAIR, OR FLEETING: THE EVOLUTION OF OPPRESSION APPLICATIONS IN ONTARIO

I. OVERVIEW

§ 5:1 Generally

II. PRE-PANDEMIC LANDSCAPE

§ 5:2 Introduction

III. FULSOME APPROACH TO CONVERSION

§ 5:3 Generally

IV. CONVERSION IN TODAY'S OPPRESSION LANDSCAPE

- § 5:4 The Hard Road—Trending Toward Conversion
- § 5:5 The Holdouts—Rejected Conversions
- § 5:6 The Mixed Signals—Appellate Oscillations
- § 5:7 Justein v. DeFi Technologies
- § 5:8 ——Pereira v. TYLT Technologies Inc. (TYLTGO)
- § 5:9 A "Middle Path"—Hybridization

V. FARING FORWARD

§ 5:10 Generally

CHAPTER 6. DAMAGES IN DEVELOPMENT: THE ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES IN BREACHED AGREEMENTS OF PURCHASE AND SALE FOR LAND DEVELOPMENT AND THE ROSSEAU GROUP INC. V. 2528061 ONTARIO INC., 2023 ONCA 814

- § 6:1 Introduction
- § 6:2 The Rosseau Group Inc. v. 2528061 Ontario Inc.: Trial Decision
- § 6:3 The Rosseau Group Inc. v. 2528061 Ontario Inc.: Appeal Decision
- § 6:4 Developments, Lost Profits, and the Loss of Chance
- § 6:5 Conclusion

CHAPTER 7. LUCAS V. SEMELHAGO: IS IT OPEN SEASON FOR SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE?

- § 7:1 Introduction
- § 7:2 The Pre-Semelhago Categorical Approach
- § 7:3 Specific Performance of Contracts of Land
- § 7:4 Specific Performance for the Sale of Shares in Corporations
- § 7:5 Specific Performance in the Case of Negative Covenants
- § 7:6 Specific Performance for Contracts for the Sale of Goods
- § 7:7 Shifting Landscape Pre-Semelhago
- § 7:8 Semelhago v. Paramadevan: From Categories to Principles

Semelhago's Progeny and the Tension Between its § 7:9 Intention and the Principled Approach The Continued Relevance of Equitable Factors § 7:10 § 7:11 The Impact of Semelhago on Specific Performance for Investment Properties § 7:12 Matthew Brady Self Storage: Ontario's Test for Uniqueness § 7:13 What of Semelhago? Lucas and the Current State of the Jurisprudence Uniqueness: Sometimes Sufficient, But Not § 7:14 Necessary? § 7:15 Inadequacy of Damages: Two Contrasting Approaches § 7:16 Opening the Door to Awarding Specific Performance for Investment Properties

CHAPTER 8. THE POWER AT ISSUE: A PROPOSED ADDITION TO NELSON V. MARCHI'S CORE POLICY ANALYSIS

Conclusion: Towards a Consistent Test for Specific

I. OVERVIEW

Performance

§ 8:1 Generally

§ 7:17

II. THE "CORE POLICY" IMMUNITY ANALYSIS AFTER NELSON

- § 8:2 Defining "Core Policy" Decisions
- § 8:3 The Separation of Powers Rationale for "Core Policy" Immunity and the New Fundamental Question
- § 8:4 The Two-Step Analysis in Nelson

III. THE PROPOSED INTERMEDIATE STEP

- § 8:5 Generally
- § 8:6 Three Other Related Unwritten Constitutional Principles
- § 8:7 The Proposed Intermediate Step

IV. TWO JUSTIFICATIONS FOR THE INTERMEDIATE STEP

- § 8:8 Expressly Considering the Power at Issue Enhances the Court's Analysis of the Fundamental Question
- § 8:9 Expressly Considering the Power at Issue Ensures

Compliance With Parliamentary Sovereignty and the Rule of Law

V. SOME RESULTING PRACTICE CONSIDERATIONS

§ 8:10 Generally

CHAPTER 9. RIDING THE CONSTITUTIONAL WAVES: THE SUPREME COURT'S GUIDANCE ON THE FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PENDULUM

I. OVERVIEW

§ 9:1 Generally

II. A BRIEF HISTORY OF FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REGIMES

- § 9:2 The Guidelines Order (1984)
- § 9:3 Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (1992)
- § 9:4 Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (2012)
- § 9:5 Impact Assessment Act (2019)

III. THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE IAA

- § 9:6 Generally
- § 9:7 Reference re Impact Assessment Act, 2022 ABCA 165 (C.A.)
- § 9:8 —Majority Opinion
- § 9:9 —Dissenting Opinion
- § 9:10 Reference re Impact Assessment Act, 2023 SCC 23 (S.C.C.)
- § 9:11 —Majority Opinion
- § 9:12 —Dissenting Opinion

IV. KEY TAKEAWAYS FROM THE COURT'S DECISIONS

- § 9:13 Generally
- § 9:14 Limits to Federal Legislative Authority
- § 9:15 —A Clear Link to Federal Legislative Authority
- § 9:16 —Limits of the Double Aspect Doctrine and Principle of Cooperative Federalism

- § 9:17 Establishing Environmental Matters of National Concern § 9:18 Limitations of Case-Specific Judicial Review of
- § 9:18 Limitations of Case-Specific Judicial Review of Administrative Decisions

V. IMPLICATIONS POST-IAA REFERENCE AND THE NEW AMENDMENTS TO THE IAA

§ 9:19 Generally § 9:20 Amendments to the IAA § 9:21 —Effects within Federal Jurisdiction —Screening Decisions § 9:22 § 9:23 —Public Interest Decision —Federal-Provincial Cooperation § 9:24 —The Amendments: A Constitutional Solution or § 9:25 Temporary Bandage? § 9:26 Moving Forward

Table of Cases

Index