

Table of Contents

Foreword.....	iii
Overview	v
Table of Cases	xxvii
 The Persuasive Value of Documentary Evidence in an Ever-Evolving Digital Time: The Art and Science of Persuasion—Chapter XIII—<i>The Honourable Todd L. Archibald and Bryan Birtles</i>	
Introduction	1
Part I	3
1. Know the Law.....	4
2. Know the Audience	8
3. Make a Plan.....	10
(a) Get organized	10
(b) Use the best stuff early	12
(c) Make an impact.....	12
(d) Less is more.....	13
Part II	13
1. Know the Law.....	15
2. Know the Audience	18
3. Make a Plan.....	19
(a) Get organized	19
(b) Address doubts early	20
(c) Ground the evidence.....	22
Conclusion	24
 Waiver of Appeal Rights in Canada: Drafting and Litigation Considerations—<i>Michael Shortt</i>	
Introduction	25
I. Appeal Waivers in the Federal Courts	28
II. Appeal Waivers in the Common Law Provinces.....	33
III. Appeal Waivers in Québec Civil Law.....	39
IV. Drafting Considerations for Appeal Waivers.....	43
V. Litigation Considerations for Appeal Waivers.....	46
VI. Doctrinal Considerations for Appeal Waivers	51
VII. Conclusion	58
 Indexing Influences of Supreme Court of Canada Interveners: A Preliminary Examination—<i>Richard Haigh and Thaddeus Hwong</i>	
I. Introduction: Brandeis' Influence	63

II.	Interventions at the Supreme Court of Canada	66
III.	Quantitative Analysis of Interventions: 2015-2019	70
IV.	Theory and Sample Cases	81
V.	Conclusion: Future Considerations	96
	Appendix A	98

Defence of Multijurisdictional Securities Class Actions—Linda Fuerst, Peter Stokes, Randy Sutton, Francesca Taddeo and Lauren Rennie

I.	Introduction	101
II.	Preliminary Considerations	102
1.	Jurisdiction	102
2.	<i>Forum Non Conveniens</i>	104
III.	Statutory Causes of Action for Misrepresentation in Securities Statutes 105	
1.	Actionable Misrepresentation in an Issuer's Disclosures Under Provincial Securities Legislation in Canada	105
(a)	What constitutes a misrepresentation	107
(b)	The prospectus misrepresentation right of action	110
(c)	The statutory secondary market misrepresentation rights of action	111
(i)	<i>Leave requirement</i>	112
(ii)	<i>Rights of action</i>	114
(A)	Misrepresentation in documents released by responsible issuer	114
(B)	Public oral statements by a responsible issuer	116
(C)	Misrepresentation by influential persons	117
(D)	Failure to make timely disclosure	118
(E)	Liability standard	118
2.	Actionable Misrepresentations in an Issuer's Disclosures Under U.S. Law	118
(a)	Sections 11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act: misrepre- sentations in a registration statement or prospectus	120
(i)	<i>Section 11 of the Securities Act: misrepresentation in a registration statement</i>	120
(ii)	<i>Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act: misrepresentation in a prospectus</i>	121
(iii)	<i>Section 15 of the Securities Act: control person liability</i> ...	122
(b)	Claims under sections 10(b) and 20 of the Exchange Act: secondary market claims	122
(c)	Claims under section 14 of the Exchange Act: misrepresenta- tions in proxy statements	124
(d)	Claims under state law	125

IV.	Defences	126
1.	Under Provincial Securities Legislation in Canada	126
2.	Under U.S. Law	131
	(a) Section 11 of the Securities Act.....	131
	(b) Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act	134
	(c) Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5	134
	(d) Section 14(a) and (e).....	135
	(e) State law claims.....	136
V.	Damages.....	136
1.	Rights of Action Under Provincial Law in Canada	136
2.	Rights of Action Under U.S. Law	139
VI.	Certification.....	140
1.	Canadian Provinces	140
	(a) Ontario.....	141
	(b) B.C.....	142
	(c) Quebec	143
2.	U.S. Federal Court	145
VII.	Motions Practice.....	149
1.	Canada	149
	(a) Motion to strike/dismiss	150
	(b) Summary judgment.....	151
2.	U.S.....	152
	(a) Motion to dismiss.....	152
	(b) Summary judgment.....	155
	(c) Motions in U.S. state court	155
VIII.	Conclusion	155

Breach of Confidence: A Versatile Cause of Action—Lynda K. Troup and Ross A. McFaden

I.	Introduction	157
II.	Development of the Cause of Action to Date	157
III.	Scope of the Cause of Action	159
IV.	Elements of the Tort.....	161
1.	Information Conveyed Must Be Confidential.....	161
2.	Information Must Be Communicated in Confidence	164
3.	Information Must Be Misused by the Party to Whom It Was Communicated to the Detriment of the Party Communicating.	167
V.	Applicable Parties.....	171
VI.	Defences	173
1.	Required at Law.....	173
2.	Public Interest	173
3.	Delay.....	173

4.	Lack of Damages.....	173
VII.	Remedies	174
1.	Constructive Trusts.....	174
2.	Compensatory Damages	175
3.	Aggravated Damages.....	176
4.	Punitive Damages	177
5.	Accounting of Profits.....	178
6.	Injunctive Relief	178
VIII.	Comparison to Other Causes of Action	179
IX.	Conclusion	180

Demystifying Class Action Lawsuits and the Continued Intensification of Corporate Governance Trends—Jonathan A. Pinto

I.	Introduction	183
II.	Environmental, Social and Governance Overview	184
1.	Environmental Items: Climate Change Is Not a Topic Which Will Be Going Away Any Time Soon.....	184
2.	Say-on-Climate Proposals	192
3.	Social Items: Learning to Adjust to the ‘New Norm’ in the Corporate World in Particular	193
III.	Demystifying Class Action Lawsuits	195
1.	COVID-19: The “Gift that Keeps on Giving”.....	197
2.	Pharmaceutical Companies Not Above Questionable Business Practices	199
3.	#Metoo / Harassment: Long Overdue Accountability for Many Bad Actors	199
4.	Data Privacy: Is “Big Brother” Looking Over Your Shoulder, or Even More Unsavory Characters?.....	202
5.	Environmental Disasters: Companies All Claim Best Practices; Yet Tragedies Still Occur.....	204
6.	Other Class Action Lawsuits.....	206
IV.	Discussion — Trends in the Canadian Context, Ontario Under the Microscope	208

Minding the Gap: The Duty of Honesty and Good Faith in the Banking Industry—Dan Chitiz, Michael Crampton, Alastair McNish and Kevin Massicotte

I.	Overview	215
II.	Banks’ Duties to their Customers	216
III.	Impact of Anti-Money Laundering Rules on the Banks	219
1.	Canada’s Anti-Money Laundering Regime	219

2.	Courts' Consideration of AML Provisions in the Negligence Context	221
IV.	<i>Bhasin</i> and the Expanding Duty of Good Faith	224
1.	Clarifying <i>Bhasin</i> in <i>Callow</i>	225
2.	<i>Canlanka</i> : Expanding Application of <i>Callow</i> 's Principles?	226
V.	How the Duty of Good Faith Can Inform Bank-Customer Relationships	227

Sealing Orders in Approval Motions Revisited: *Sherman Estate*, the Open Court Presumption and *Parens Patriae* in the Internet Age—Barbara Legate and Ashley Jacinto Maciuk

I.	Introduction	231
II.	Overview of Approval Motions	236
III.	<i>Parens Patriae</i> Jurisdiction	238
1.	Foundations of <i>Parens Patriae</i> and Best Interests	238
2.	<i>Parens Patriae</i> Is a Primary, Overriding Principle	240
3.	<i>Parens Patriae</i> 's Application to the Open Court Presumption ..	243
4.	Best Interests of a Person Under a Disability	244
IV.	The Open Court Presumption	249
1.	<i>Sherman Estate v. Donovan</i>	249
2.	Legislating the Open Court Presumption: <i>Courts of Justice Act</i> and <i>Rules of Civil Procedure</i>	251
V.	Application of <i>Sherman Estate</i> to Approval Motions	256
1.	The Public Interest in Privacy	256
(a)	Basic principles	256
(b)	Stigmatized medical conditions	258
2.	Solicitor-Client Privilege	261
(a)	Basic principles	261
(b)	Solicitor-client privilege as a public interest	263
3.	Alternative Measures	266
4.	Proportionality	267
VI.	Summary	268

All Are Welcome: Diversity, Access to Justice and Public Confidence in the Legal System—Bronwyn M. Martin

I.	Introduction	271
II.	Access to Justice: Definitions	272
1.	Academic & Political Definitions	272
2.	Jurisprudential Definitions	274
III.	Diversity	277
1.	The Demographics of Canada	277
2.	The Demographics of Legal Profession	278

IV.	Public Perception of Access to Justice.....	281
V.	Our Professional Responsibility to Promote a Culture Shift.....	283
VI.	Conclusion	287

The COVID-19 Pandemic: Government Shutdowns and the Application of Force Majeure in Contracts—Associate Justice Karen Jolley and Ms. Subhah Wadhawan

I.	Introduction	293
II.	Historical Origins of Non-Performance Clauses	293
1.	Roman Origin	293
III.	Contemporary Developments.....	296
1.	Frustration	296
2.	Force Majeure	297
3.	Frustration vs. Force Majeure	300
(a)	Inclusion in contract.....	300
(i)	<i>Frustration</i>	300
(ii)	<i>Force majeure</i>	301
(b)	Threshold	
(i)	<i>Frustration</i>	301
(ii)	<i>Force majeure</i>	302
(A)	Triggering event.....	302
(B)	Threshold	303
(C)	Result and expected behaviour.....	304
(c)	Outcome.....	304
(i)	<i>Frustration</i>	304
(ii)	<i>Force majeure</i>	305
IV.	Application of Force Majeure Clauses — COVID-19.....	305
1.	COVID-19 and Canadian Jurisprudence	307
(a)	<i>Fairstone Financial Holdings v. Duo Bank of Canada</i>	307
(b)	<i>Cineplex v. Cineworld</i>	308
(c)	<i>Hengyun International Investment Commerce Inc. v. 9368-7614 Quebec inc.</i>	308
(d)	<i>Durham Sports Barn Inc. Bankruptcy Proposal</i>	309
(e)	<i>Windsor-Essex Catholic District School Board v. 2313846 Ontario Limited o/a Central Park Athletics</i>	310
(f)	<i>Braebury Development Corporation v. Gap (Canada) Inc.</i>	311
(g)	<i>1100 Walkers Line Inc. v. Elliott Sports Medicine Clinic Inc.</i> .3-13	
(h)	<i>Cherry Lane Shopping Centre Holdings Ltd. V. Hudson's Bay Company ULC Compagnie de la Baie d'Hudson Sri</i>	313
2.	Policy Analysis	314
V.	Conclusion: A Way Forward and What to Expect.....	316

Evolution of the Statutory Derivative Action in Canada: Corporate vs. Personal Remedy—*Lara Jackson, Joseph Hamaliuk and Sarah Kemp*

Part I — Introduction.....	317
Part II — History of Derivative Actions in Canada	319
1. The Rule in <i>Foss v. Harbottle</i>	319
2. The Need for Reform	320
Part III — Canadian Statutory Context.....	322
1. Introduction of the Statutory Derivative Action in Canada.....	322
2. Note on Derivative Actions in Québec.....	324
Part IV — Statutory Requirements for Commencing a Derivative Action.....	326
1. The Complainant.....	326
(a) Current or former securityholder	327
(b) Of a corporation or affiliate.....	328
(c) Proper or appropriate person.....	329
2. The Leave Requirement.....	330
(a) Reasonable notice and reasonable efforts.....	332
(b) Good faith.....	333
(c) Best interest of the corporation.....	334
Part V — Derivative Actions and the Oppression Remedy.....	336
1. A “Personal” vs. “Corporate” Remedy.....	336
(a) <i>Rea v. Wildeboer</i> and “overlapping” claims.....	338
Part VI — Derivative Actions and Class Actions	342
1. Similarities Between Derivative and Class Actions	342
2. Derivative Actions Brought as or Concurrently with Class Proceedings.....	345
Part VII — Conclusion.....	348

The Return of Looted Art in Canada Through Civil Litigations—*Sophie Y. Zhao*

I. Introduction	351
II. Cases of Returned Nazi-Looted Art.....	352
III. The State of Canadian Law	355
1. Claims that Plaintiffs Can Try to Make.....	355
(a) Compiling evidence.....	356
(b) Burden of proof.....	357
(c) Replevin	357
(d) Conversion	358
2. Defences Which Possessors Might Put Forth	359
(a) Requirements of good faith	359
(b) Limitation periods	362
(c) Laches	363
3. What Plaintiffs Can Do in Response to Defences	364

(a)	Arguments about limitation periods.....	364
(b)	Suggesting there is no good faith	364
(c)	Turning to Canadian criminal law	364
IV.	Reform Suggestions for Canadian Law.....	366
1.	Non-Binding Guiding Principles	367
(a)	Washington principles.....	367
(b)	Vilnius forum declaration	367
(c)	Terezin declaration	368
2.	Canadian Reforms.....	368
V.	Practical Suggestions for Canadian Civil Litigations	370
1.	First Steps	370
2.	Outright Restitution and Other Options.....	371
3.	Litigation.....	371
4.	Using the Media	372
5.	Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanisms	372
(a)	Negotiation.....	373
(b)	Arbitration	373
(c)	Mediation.....	374
6.	Helpful External Sources	374
(a)	Art Loss Register.....	375
(b)	Max Stern Restitution Project.....	375
	Conclusion	375

The Oppression Remedy’s Broad Reach: Personal Liability of Officers and Directors and Creditors as Claimants—Kathryn J. Manning

I.	Introduction	379
II.	Overview of the Oppression Remedy	379
1.	Statutory Provisions	381
2.	Courts’ Interpretation of the Oppression Remedy	382
III.	Personal Liability of Directors and Officers	383
1.	Test for Personal Liability under <i>Budd</i> and <i>Alharayeri</i>	383
2.	Application of <i>Budd</i> by the Supreme Court of Canada on <i>Alharayeri</i> 384	
(a)	Application of <i>Budd</i> and <i>Alharayeri</i> in Canadian courts.....	386
(b)	Fact-specific analysis.....	388
(c)	<i>Sidaplex-Plastic Suppliers Inc. v. Elta Group Inc.</i>	389
(d)	Distinction between effect of impugned acts/omissions and intentions.....	392
(e)	Director/officer misappropriation of funds for personal benefit 393	
IV.	When Are Creditors of the Corporation “Claimants?:.....	397
1.	Discretion to Include Creditors in Definition of Complainant..	397

2.	Creditors' Reasonable Expectations	398
3.	Transfer of Funds to Defeat, Delay or Prejudice Creditors	399
4.	Transfer of Assets Out of Reach of Creditors to Benefit Controlling Directors	402
5.	Must Be a Creditor at Time of Alleged Oppression	404
V.	Conclusion	406
1.	Test for Oppression Remedy	406
(a)	Principles of interpretation — <i>Alharayeri</i> and <i>Budd</i>	406
2.	Personal Liability of Directors and Officers for Oppression	407
(a)	Two-pronged approach for personal liability	407
3.	When Are Creditors of the Corporation Claimants?	408
(a)	Factors for finding creditors are claimants	408
 Case Note: <i>Cheung v. Samra</i>—Joseph J. Colangelo		
I.	Introduction	411
II.	Judicial History	411
III.	Analysis of the Majority Decision in the Divisional Court	412
IV.	Analysis of the Dissenting Opinion in the Divisional Court	413
V.	Analysis of the Decision of the Court of Appeal for Ontario	414
VI.	Discussion and Reflections	415
	<i>Index</i>	417
	<i>Consolidated Table of Contents 2001-2021</i>	423

- Part I — Introduction
- Part II — Background
- Part III — The Supreme Court of Canada Weighs In
- Part IV — Application of the *Pointes* Framework
- Part V — Trends

**The Weatherman in the 21st Century: Expert Evidence in Canada—Ryan
Breedon and Jessica Mor**

- I. Introduction
- II. Admissibility of Expert Evidence
- III. Hot Topics in Expert Witnesses
- IV. Practical Considerations
- V. Conclusion