

## Index

### **AGUONIE V. GALION SOLID WASTE MATERIAL INC.**

See also HRYNIAK AND THE  
FUTURE OF CIVIL PROCE-  
DURE

Generally, § 1:5

### **ANDRZJEWESKI V. OTTAWA POLICE SERVICES BOARD**

See also NEGLIGENT  
INVESTIGATION AND REA-  
SONABLE AND PROBABLE  
GROUNDS

Generally, § 2:8

### **ARBITRAL AWARDS AND TALL SHIPS**

Generally, § 4:1, § 4:15

Law before Tall Ships, § 4:2

Tall Ships Development Inc. v.  
Brockville (City)

generally, § 4:3

arbitral decision, § 4:5 to § 4:8

court of appeal decision, § 4:13

facts, § 4:4

influence of decision, § 4:14

superior court decision, § 4:9 to  
§ 4:12

### **BOOMERANG SUMMARY JUDGMENTS**

See also HRYNIAK AND THE  
FUTURE OF CIVIL PROCE-  
DURE

Generally, § 1:18

### **CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT ACT**

See FEDERAL  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSES-  
MENT REGIMES

### **COMBINED AIR MECHANICAL SERVICES INC V. FLESCH**

See also HRYNIAK AND THE  
FUTURE OF CIVIL PROCE-  
DURE

Generally, § 1:8

Aftermath and leave application,  
§ 1:10

Decision, § 1:9

### **CONVERSION OF APPLICATION INTO ACTION**

See OPPRESSION APPLICA-  
TIONS IN ONTARIO

### **CORE POLICY IMMUNITY ANALYSIS: A PROPOSED ADDITION TO NELSON V. MARCHI**

Generally, § 8:1

Intermediate step

generally, § 8:5

justifications for, § 8:8, § 8:9

proposed intermediate step, § 8:7

unwritten constitutional  
principles, § 8:6

Post-Nelson analysis

core policy decisions, defined,  
§ 8:2

separation of powers rationale,  
§ 8:3

two-step analysis, § 8:4

Practice considerations, § 8:10

### **DAMAGES, ASSESSMENT**

See LAND DEVELOPMENT AND  
THE ASSESSMENT OF  
DAMAGES

**DAWSON V. REXCRAFT  
STORAGE AND  
WAREHOUSE INC.**

See also **HRYNIAK AND THE  
FUTURE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE**

Generally, § **1:6**

**ETTINGER V. PETERS ET AL.**

See also **NEGLIGENT  
INVESTIGATION AND REASONABLE  
AND PROBABLE  
GROUNDS**

Generally, § **2:8**

**FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT REGIMES**

Generally, § **9:1**, § **9:26**

Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (1992), § **9:1**, § **9:3**

Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012, § **9:1**, § **9:4**

Establishing environmental matters of national concern, § **9:17**

Guidelines Order (1984), § **9:1**, § **9:2**

Impact Assessment Act (2019) generally, § **9:5**

amendments, § **9:20**

constitutional solution, § **9:25**

effects within federal jurisdiction, § **9:21**

federal-provincial cooperation, § **9:24**

public interest decisions, § **9:23**

screening decisions, § **9:22**

constitutionality, § **9:6**

future, § **9:26**

post-reference re Impact Assessment Act, § **9:19 to § 9:26**

reference re Impact Assessment Act, 2022, § **9:7**

reference re Impact Assessment Act, 2023, § **9:10**

Judicial review of administrative decisions, limitations, § **9:18**

**FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT REGIMES**

**—Cont'd**

Limits to federal legislative authority

generally, § **9:14**

cooperative federalism, § **9:16**

limits of double aspect doctrine, § **9:16**

link to federal legislative authority, § **9:15**

**HILL V.**

**HAMILTON-WENTWORTH  
POLICE SERVICE**

See also **NEGLIGENT  
INVESTIGATION AND REASONABLE  
AND PROBABLE  
GROUNDS**

Generally, § **2:5**

**HRYNIAK AND THE FUTURE OF  
CIVIL PROCEDURE**

Generally, § **1:1**, § **1:22**

Combined Air Mechanical Services Inc. v. Flesch

generally, § **1:8**

aftermath and leave application, § **1:10**

decision, § **1:9**

Common law trial, history

common law, § **1:13**

equity, § **1:14**

fusion of law and equity, § **1:15**

Culture shift of Hryniak, § **1:1**, § **1:11**

Future of summary judgment

generally, § **1:20**

civil justice reform, § **1:21**

Post-Hryniak developments

generally, § **1:16**

boomerang summary judgments, § **1:18**

civil juries, § **1:17**

partial summary judgment, § **1:19**

**HRYNIAK AND THE FUTURE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE—Cont'd**

Summary judgment  
 backlash in 1990s, § 1:4  
 early systems, § 1:2  
 Osborne report and 2010 amendments, § 1:7  
 Pizza Pizza Ltd. v. Gillespie, § 1:3  
 rule 20, § 1:3

**IMPACT ASSESSMENT ACT**

See FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REGIMES

**LAND DEVELOPMENT AND THE ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES**

Generally, § 6:1, § 6:5  
 Post-Rosseau decisions: lost profits, loss of chance, § 6:4  
 Rosseau Group Inc. v. 2528061 Ontario Inc.  
 appeal decision, § 6:3  
 trial decision, § 6:2

**LUCAS V. SEMELHAGO**

See SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE AND LUCAS v. SEMELHAGO

**MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE AND NON-PATIENT HARM**

Generally, § 3:1, § 3:9  
 Canadian caselaw  
 Alafi v. Lindenbach, § 3:8  
 Lavery v. Community Living British Columbia, § 3:8  
 UK caselaw: Paul and another v. Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust  
 claims for nervous shock after witnessing accident, § 3:5  
 facts, § 3:3  
 medical negligence actions are non-accidents, § 3:6

**MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE AND NON-PATIENT HARM****—Cont'd**

UK caselaw: Paul and another v. Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust—Cont'd  
 physician's duty of care towards patient's family, § 3:7

**NEGLIGENT INVESTIGATION AND REASONABLE AND PROBABLE GROUNDS**

Generally, § 2:1, § 2:10  
 Absence of reasonable and probable grounds as element of tort, § 2:6; § 2:7  
 Andrzejewski v. Ottawa Police Services Board, § 2:8  
 Causation, relevance of, § 2:9  
 Circumstantial evidence of reasonable and probable grounds, § 2:4  
 Elements of tort, § 2:2  
 Ettinger v. Peters et al., § 2:8  
 Focus on information police have, § 2:3  
 Hill v. Hamilton-Wentworth Police Service, § 2:5  
 SR v. Edmonton (Police Service), § 2:8

**NELSON V. MARCHI**

See CORE POLICY IMMUNITY ANALYSIS

**OPPRESSION APPLICATIONS IN ONTARIO**

Generally, § 5:1, § 5:10  
 Conversion  
 appellate decisions, § 5:6 to § 5:8  
 hybridization, § 5:9  
 rejected, § 5:5  
 test for, § 5:3  
 trend towards, § 5:4  
 Pre-pandemic situation, § 5:2

**OSBORNE REPORT**

See also HRYNIAK AND THE  
FUTURE OF CIVIL PROCE-  
DURE

Generally, § 1:7

**PIZZA PIZZA LTD. V. GILLESPIE**

See also HRYNIAK AND THE  
FUTURE OF CIVIL PROCE-  
DURE

Generally, § 1:3

**PUBLIC AUTHORITIES’  
IMMUNITY FROM  
LIABILITY IN  
NEGLIGENCE**

See CORE POLICY IMMUNITY  
ANALYSIS

**REASONABLE AND PROBABLE  
GROUNDS**

See NEGLIGENT INVESTIGA-  
TION AND REASONABLE  
AND PROBABLE  
GROUNDS

**REFERENCE RE IMPACT  
ASSESSMENT ACT**

See FEDERAL  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESS-  
MENT REGIMES

**SATTVA CAPITAL CORP. V.  
CRESTON MOLY CORP.,  
ARBITRAL AWARDS AND**

Generally, § 4:2, § 4:14, § 4:15

**SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE AND  
LUCAS V. SEMELHAGO**

Generally, § 7:1, § 7:17  
Contracts for sale of goods, § 7:6  
Contracts of land, § 7:3  
Equitable factors, relevance of,  
§ 7:10  
Inadequacy of damages, § 7:15  
Investment properties, impact of  
Semelhago, § 7:11, § 7:16  
Lucas v. 1858793 Ontario Inc.  
(Howard Park), § 7:13

**SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE AND  
LUCAS V. SEMELHAGO**

**—Cont’d**

Matthew Brady Self Storage  
Corporation v. InStorage  
Limited Partnership, § 7:12  
Negative covenants, § 7:5  
Post-Semelhago approach, § 7:9,  
§ 7:13  
Pre-Semelhago categorical  
approach, § 7:2, § 7:7  
Sale of shares in corporations, § 7:4  
Semelhago v. Paramadevan deci-  
sion, § 7:8  
Uniqueness  
Lucas v. 1858793 Ontario Inc.  
(Howard Park), § 7:13  
Matthew Brady Self Storage  
Corporation v. InStorage  
Limited Partnership, § 7:12  
sufficient, but not necessary,  
§ 7:14

**SR V. EDMONTON (POLICE  
SERVICE)**

See also NEGLIGENT  
INVESTIGATION AND REA-  
SONABLE AND PROBABLE  
GROUNDS  
Generally, § 2:8

**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

See also HRYNIAK AND THE  
FUTURE OF CIVIL PROCE-  
DURE  
Backlash in the 1990s  
Aguonie v. Galion Solid Waste  
Material Inc., § 1:5  
Dawson v. Rexcraft Storage  
Warehouse Inc., § 1:6  
Boomerang summary judgments,  
§ 1:18  
Early systems, § 1:2  
Osborne Report and 2010 amend-  
ments, § 1:7  
Partial summary judgment, § 1:19  
Rule 20, § 1:3

**TALL SHIPS DEVELOPMENT  
INC. V. BROCKVILLE (CITY)**

See ARBITRAL AWARDS AND  
TALL SHIPS

**THE ROSSEAU GROUP INC. V.  
2528061 ONTARIO INC.**

See LAND DEVELOPMENT AND  
THE ASSESSMENT OF  
DAMAGES