

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELUDE	1
I. ANDANTE	
THE NATURE OF CLASS ACTIONS	5
ANDANTE: A MODERATELY SLOW TEMPO	
What is a Class Action?	5
The Authorization Application	7
Suspension of Limitation Periods	8
Special Case Management and Timeline	9
Carriage, Service, and Preliminary Matters	10
Who Gets Carriage of the Class Action?	10
Who Chooses the Respondent’s Attorney?	10
Notification (Service) of Foreign Respondents	11
Applications to Dismiss	11
Preliminary Applications (Art. 574 C.C.P.)	13
The Authorization Hearing	16
The Class (Art. 574 C.C.P.)	17
The Criteria for Authorization (Art. 575 C.C.P.)	18
Commonality (Art. 575(1) C.C.P.)	19
Arguable Case (Art. 575(2) C.C.P.)	20
Limited Preferability (Art. 575(3) C.C.P.)	22
Adequacy of the Proposed Class Representative (Art. 575(4) C.C.P.)	22
Decision Authorizing a Class Action and Appeal (Arts. 578 and 579 C.C.P.)	23
Conduct of the Action	24

Final Judgment and Recovery	25
Settlement	26

II. ADAGIO

THE HISTORY OF CLASS ACTIONS	27
------------------------------------	----

ADAGIO: IN SLOW TIME

Setting the Scene: Anglo-American Origins and Development	27
1805: <i>Adair v. The New River Company</i>	30
1901: <i>Duke of Bedford v. Ellis</i>	31
1901: <i>The Taff Vale Railway Company v. The Amalgamated Society of Railway Servants</i>	32
1910: <i>Markt & Co. Limited v. Knight Steamship Company, Limited</i>	33
From English Émigré to Naturalized Citizen: A Short Biography of Rule 23	34
1853: <i>Smith et al. v. Swormstedt</i>	34
1921: <i>Supreme Tribe of Ben-Hur v. Cauble</i>	35
1940: <i>Hansberry v. Lee</i>	36
1969: <i>Snyder v. Harris</i>	38
1973: <i>Zahn v. International Paper Co.</i>	39
1974: <i>Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacquelin</i>	39
A Civil Law Approach: Québec's Decision to Codify the Class Action	40
1981: <i>Nault v. Canadian Consumer Co. Ltd.</i>	42
1986: <i>Comité de citoyens et d'action municipale de St-Césaire v. Ville de St-Césaire</i>	43
1990: <i>Tremaine v. A.H. Robins Canada Inc.</i>	44
1990: <i>Comité d'environnement de La Baie Inc. v. Société d'électrolyse et de chimie Alcan ltée</i>	45
1994: <i>Syndicat national des employés de l'Hôpital St-Ferdinand v. Québec (Curateur public)</i>	46
A Canadian Common Law Approach	48
1983: <i>Naken v. General Motors of Canada Ltd.</i>	48
2001: Supreme Court Trilogy	50
The Class Action of Today	52

III. MODERATO**CLASS ACTIONS BEFORE THE COURTS 57**

MODERATO: AT A MODERATE PACE

The Procedural Reforms of 2003 and Their Impact on Authorization	58
<i>Option consommateurs v. Novopharm: The Voice of Pragmatism</i>	61
Establishing a “Good Colour of Right”	61
Determining the Adequacy of a Proposed Representative	62
Putting the Onus Where it Belongs	63
<i>Bouchard v. Agropur Coopérative: The Court of Appeal Takes a Hard Second Look</i>	64
The Moderate Case Law: 2006 - 2013	66
<i>George v. Québec</i>	66
<i>Lallier v. Volkswagen Canada Inc.</i>	67
<i>Brochu v. Loto-Québec</i>	68
The Airport Trilogy	69
<i>Ata v. 9118-8169 Québec Inc.</i>	71
<i>Deraspe v. Zinc électrolytique du Canada ltée</i>	72
<i>Lorrain v. Pétro-Canada</i>	72
The Applicants Strike Back: The Supreme Court of Canada Embraces a Large and Liberal Approach to Authorization	73
<i>Infineon Technologies AG v. Option consommateurs and Vivendi Canada Inc. v. Dell’Anniello</i>	73
<i>Bank of Montreal v. Marcotte</i>	78
<i>Sibiga v. Fido Solutions inc.</i>	79
<i>Charles v. Boiron Canada inc.</i>	83
<i>Asselin v. Desjardins Cabinets de services financiers inc.</i>	84
Secondary-Market Securities Class Actions	88
<i>Theratechnologies inc. v. 121851 Canada inc.</i>	89
<i>Groupe d’action d’investisseurs dans BioSyntech v. Tsang and Robillard v. Arsenault</i>	92

IV. ALLEGRO

THE THEORY OF CLASS ACTIONS	97
ALLEGRO: AT A BRISK SPEED	
The Apologia for Class-Wide Litigation	98
A Survey of Leading Counterarguments	102
On Representation	102
The Judge as Legislator	105
Procedural Extortion	108
Civil Procedure or Public Weapon?	111
Of Micro-Aggressions and Micro-Injuries	115
Cultures of Honour, Dignity, and Victimhood	115
The Class Action as a Reflection of Dignity Culture ..	117
The Class Action as a Reflection of Victim Culture ...	119
(i) Class Actions Often Involve “Micro-Injuries” ...	119
(ii) The Impartiality and Restraint of the Court are attenuated in the Class Action Setting	120
(iii) In a Class Action, the Court Reallocates Resources	122
(iv) The Class Action as Litigation Therapy	123
The Ethics of Legal Representation and Settlement	125
<i>Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor</i>	126
<i>Ortiz v. Fibreboard Corp.</i>	128
Perspectives and Proposed Reforms	130
The Ethics of Settlement in Canada and Québec	135
POSTLUDE	141
NOTES TO THE NOTES	145
AFTERWORD AND CONCORDANCE	165
BIBLIOGRAPHY	169
TABLE OF LEGISLATION	175
TABLE OF CASES	181
INDEX	191