INDEX

References are to page numbers.

– A –

Access to justice, 6, 63, 120, 126

Affidavit

Authorization application, 13-15 Procedural reform, 58

Agent orange, 125

Airport trilogy, 69

Alberta Dutton ruling, 50, 101

American Bar Critics on Rule 23, 37

Appeal

Of authorization, 19, 23 Of final judgment, 26

Applicant

 See also Representation, Respondent
 Authorization application, 7, 11, 13
 Capacity to act, 13
 Class description, 17
 Class representative, 22
 Conflict of interest, 22
 Examination, 10, 14-15
 Interest in the suit, 13, 65, 122
 Legal basis of the claim, 14
 Representation, 10
 Requirements, 20, 21

Application for particulars, 14, 17

Application to dismiss, 11-13 Applicant's interest in the suit, 13

Capacity of the applicant, 13 Discretion of the court, 64 Filing of two identical class actions, 11-12 Jurisdiction of the Superior Court, 13 *Res judicata*, 13

Arguable case, 20-22, 80, 81

Asbestos litigation, 125 Amchem ruling, 126 Controversy, 130 Ortiz ruling, 128

Attorney, 10, 26

 See also Class counsel Competence, 10
 Ethics, 133
 Fees, 137
 Foreign respondent, 11
 Liability insurance, 11
 Motives, 10
 Of the respondent, 10
 Preliminary applications, 16

Authorization application, 7, 11, 13

Evidence, 13-14, 86 Filtering mechanism, 63, 74 Intellectual rigour *Lallier* ruling, 67 Procedural reform Constitutionality, 58, 60 Proportionality principle, 64, 66, 67 Threshold requirements, 17, 45, 47, 61, 66, 74 Translation, 11

Authorization hearing, 11, 14, 16-24Arguable case, 20-22 Authorization, 23-24 Burden of proof, 17, 63, 85 Class description, 17-18 Commonality, 19-20 Criteria for Authorization, 18-19 Decision of the judge, 17 Factual basis of the claim, 17 Harm or injury, 20 Legal bases of the proposed class action, 17 Limited preferability, 22 Proceedings, 58 Proposed class representative, 22 - 23Purposes, 16, 59, 77 Service, 8

– B –

Balance of probabilities, 20, 74

Blackmail settlement, 61, 110

Blood solids HIV, 109

Breach of contract, 117

British Columbia, 73, 79 Commonality, 70

– C –

Canada

 See also Common law provinces Class action regime, 5, 27, 100 Ethics of settlement, 135 Supreme Court's judgments, 42

Canadian Bar Association, 8

Case management conference, 16 Scheduling of, 10

Case management judge Assignment, 9, 10 Authorization hearing, 17 Availability, 10 Discretion, 64 Functions, 9 Preliminary applications, 16 Selection, 9

Case protocol, 10

Charbonneau Commission, 123

Charitable group Unclaimed remainder, 26

Checks and balances doctrine, 105

Civil liability

Arguable cause, 21 In a class action setting *Hôpital St-Ferdinand* ruling, 47

Civil procedure

Administrative rules, 112 And fundamental principles, 106 Purposes, 112 Used as an *in terrorem* device, 109

Claims administrator Appointment, 26 **Class action** Advantages, 6, 118 Access to justice, 6, 63 Judicial economy, 6 Modifying bad behaviour, 6 Civic function, 54 Counterarguments, 102 Dignity culture, 117 Definition, 5-6 Ethics, 125 Flexibility, 54 Form of consolidation, 5-6 Groups or classes, 6-7 History, 27, 98

Liberal era, 46, 73, 84 Liability insurance, 10 Methodology, 44 Offensive legal mechanism, 29 Procedure, 55 Rulings, 52, 57 Theory, 97, 107, 124 Victim culture, 119

Class Action Chamber Judges, 9

Class action regime (Québec), 5, 40, 48, 100, 141 Answer. 8 Applications to dismiss, 11 Authorization, 7, 18, 23 Appeal, 19, 23 Conditions, 61 Authorization application, 7, 11, 13 - 14Procedural reform, 58 Authorization hearing, 10, 14, 16-24Carriage of the proceedings, 10 Case management conference, 10

Case protocol, 10 Class action on the merits, 24 Conduct of the action, 24 Consent, 6 Consolidation of proceedings, 5-6Evidence, 10 Final judgment, 25 History, 40, 119, 136 Individual claims, 6 Judge sitting alone, 10 Legal basis of the claim, 14 Limitation period, 8 Notice, 23, 26, 105 To class members, 9 To foreign respondents, 11 Number of claimants, 6 Preliminary application, 10, 13 - 16Pre-trial level, 24 Settlement, 9, 26 Special case management, 9 Timeline, 10 Trial level, 25

Class counsel, 10

See also Attorney Class representative, 23 Ethics, 125, 133 In consumer class actions, 82 Role, 138

Class description

See also Class members Authorization hearing, 17, 20, 22Criteria, 66, 67, 74, 76, 78 Discretionary power, 22 Ethics, 131 National or multijurisdictional classes, 17-18 Statutory differences between provinces, 76 Nullity of a class action, 68

Class members

 See also Class description Class representative, 22 Non-resident, 9 Notice, 9, 23 Representation, 10, 65 Rights of absent, 37 Settlement, 26 Sufficient interest, 78

Class representative

See also Applicant
 Adequacy, 22-23, 45, 62, 75, 82
 Interests, 37, 65

Collective recovery, 25

Commission of inquiry, 123

Common law judge Power, 34

Common law provinces Certification, 7 Class action regime, 5, 27, 48 Justification, 51 Securities legislation, 89

Commonality, 19-20, 37 Criteria (Ontario), 48 Demonstration, 66, 72, 75 *George* ruling, 66-67 Factually untenable, 68 Individual considerations, 70

Compensatory damages, 71

- See also Punitive damages Demonstration, 72

Conduct of the action, 24

Conflict of interest, 22 And commonality, 70

Conflict-resolution, 118

Consumer contract

Class representative, 22 Remote-parties contract, 74

Consumer protection

Advertisement, 71 Authorization application, 71, 74 Conversion charges, 78 Misrepresentations, 21, 71 Prohibited practices, 21 Role of class counsel, 82 Statutory specificities, 21

Consumer rights, 46

Contract

Absence of, 80, 81 Bilateral engagement, 42 Enlightened consent, 28 Investment products, 85 Nullity, 28 Reciprocal duties, 28

Conversion charge, 78

Coordinating justice

Assignment of a case management judge, 9, 10

Corporate responsibility, 46

Corporation

- See also Securities Class action regime, 55

Cost-benefit analysis, 49

Counsel

See Class counsel

Court of Appeal (Québec)

Appeal of authorization, 23 Arguable case, 81 Authorization application, 45 Discretion of the court, 64 Evidence, 86

Pharmascience ruling, 58 Proportionality principle, 64,66 Sibiga ruling, 81 Cause of action, 21, 73 Class action regime, 52 Class description, 17, 20, 22, 44 Criteria, 66, 67 Taxpayers, 43 Class representative, 22, 45, 65 Commonality, 68, 73 Consumer protection, 71 Examination of the applicant, 15Flexible approach, 81, 83, 85 Good colour of right, 73 Proportionality principle, 64, 67 Rulings, 51

Court of Chancery (England)

Abolition, 33 Class action, 27, 29, 98 Manorial jurisprudence, 30 Representative litigation, 30 Basic requirements, 32

Criminal law, 112

Culture of dignity, 116, 117

Culture of honour, 116

Culture of victimhood, 117, 119 Micro-injuries, 119

– D –

Dairy products, 64

Damages

See also Punitive damages
 Amount, 20
 Evaluation of the impact and scope
 Hôpital St-Ferdinand ruling, 46

Jurisdiction of Québec courts, 74 Justifying a class action, 114 Proof, 19-20, 75 To a group of petitioners, 33

Directors and officers Shareholder class actions, 92

Discrimination, 66

Distributive justice, 132

Document production Authorization application, 13

Duty of care, 21

Dynamic random-access memory chips (DRAM) Price fixing, 74

– E –

Efficient market theory, 89

England

 See also Court of Chancery (England)
 History of class action, 28-29
 Parliamentary government, 108

Enlightened consent, 28

Equality

Concept, 116

Ethics, 125-130

Evidence, 10

Analysis, 83 Arguable case, 20-22 At the authorization stage, 13-14, 86 Preliminary application, 15

Exhibit, 21

Expert evidence, 83

– F –

Facts, 62 And commonality, 68 Arguable case, 21 Consumer protection, 71 Good colour of right, 73

Final judgment, 25, 122 Appeal, 26

Fonds d'aide aux recours collectifs Unclaimed remainder, 26

Fonds de solidarité des travailleurs/travailleuses du Québec, 93

Foreign

Interpretation, 12

Foreign respondent

- See also Respondent Notification of, 11

Fraud-on-the-market theory, 89

– G –

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Good colour of right}, 61, 72\text{-}73, \\ 75 \end{array}$

– H –

Harm, 20, 71-72 Civil liability, 21 Demonstration, 72-73 Selling or purchase of assets, 93

Head office, 18

Health insurance plan Vivendi ruling, 73, 75

House of Commons History, 108 - I -

Individual justice, 105

Individual liberty Concept, 27 Contractual relations, 28

Individual recovery, 26

Injury, 20

Inter-jurisdictional immunity and paramountcy, 78

International air travel, 69

International business firm, 55

Investment, 84

– J –

Judge

 See also Case management judge
 Case manager and "coach", 121
 Discretion, 121
 Interests of class members, 121
 Legislator, 105, 122
 Resources allocation, 122
 Role, 120

Judgment, 25, 122

Judicial administration

Analysis of evidence, 87 Representative litigation, 30-31

Jury, 10

– L –

Lalonde, Fernand, 41

Law firm, 10
- See also Attorney

Legal costs, 11 Liability insurance, 10 Duty-to-defend clause, 11 Duty-to-indemnify clause, 11 Legal expenses clause, 11

Limited preferability, 22

Litigation therapy, 123

– M –

Mandate, 6

Mass tort, 120

Media Authorization, 19

Micro-aggression, 115

Micro-injury, 115 Victim culture, 119

Minor Applicant, 13

Misrepresentation, 117

– N –

National Assembly, 40, 48, 58

National Class Action Database Authorization application, 8

Negligence, 117

Non-profit organization Unclaimed remainder, 26

Non-resident class member Authorization application

Effect on limitation, 9

Notice

Authorization, 23, 105 Costs, 23 U.S. judgments, 39 Publishing, 23 Settlement, 26 To class members, 9 To foreign respondents, 11 To non-parties, 11

- 0 -

Ontario

Class action regime, 48, 100 Naken ruling, 48 Class proceedings filed in foreign jurisdictions, 9 Commonality, 70 Securities legislation, 89 Issue of prior authorization, 91 Strike suit, 61

Ontario Law Reform Commission (OLRC) Report, 49, 100, 139

– P –

Parliamentary government History, 108

Parliamentary supremacy, 113

Parti québécois, 40

Petroleum companies Calibration errors at the pump, 72

Pragmatism, 61

Preliminary application, 10, 13-16 Application for particulars, 14, 17 Discretion of the judge, 16 Documentary evidence, 15 Double-edged sword, 16 Facts not relevant, 15 Right to examine the applicant, 14-15

Pre-trial level Proceedings, 24

Price of drugs, 61

Price-fixing Infineon ruling, 73

Prince Edward Island, 9

Principal establishment, 18

Private international law Rules, 12-13

Proceedings

Consolidation, 6 Joinder of claims or plaintiffs, 6 Proportionality principle, 64 Representation through mandate, 6

Proportionality principle, 13 Approach to authorization, 64, 66, 67, 77, 78 Ethics of settlement, 136

Punitive damages, 20, 22, 78 Criteria, 114

- Q -

Québec

 See also Class action regime (Québec)
 Civil law system, 5
 Class action regime, 5, 27, 40, 48, 100, 119, 136, 141
 Ethics of settlement, 135
 Hôpital St-Ferdinand ruling, 46
 Supreme Court's judgments, 42
 Securities legislation, 89

Québec Bar, 41, 112-113, 119

Québec Council of Employers, 41, 112

– R –

Rawls, John, 134, 140

Régie de l'assurance-maladie, 62

Registry of Class Actions Authorization application, 8

Remedies, 20

Representation

 See also Class representative Concept, 102
 Ethics, 125
 Fair representation, 104
 Justification Consent, 103
 Interest, 103
 Rule 23, 104

Resources allocation, 122

Respondent

See also Applicant
 Attorney, 10, 11
 Foreign respondent
 Notice, 11
 Legal costs, 11
 Written contestation, 14

Roaming fees, 79

Rule 23 Amendment, 37 History, 34-40 Influence on Ontario's legislation, 50 Representation, 104 Supreme Court's judgments, 38, 99 Technical orientation of U.S. courts, 36 Rule 38, 35-36

,

Rule 48, 35

– S –

Secondary-market securities class action, 88 Theratechnologies ruling, 89 **Securities** Material information, 88 Misrepresentations, 89 Rule of corporate law BioSyntech ruling, 93 Foss ruling, 92 Selling or purchase of assets, 93 Suing a director or officer, 94 Settlement, 9, 26, 118 Adequate compensation, 132 Asbestos litigation, 126 Authorization, 19 Ethics, 125 In Canada and Québec, 135 Fairness, 134 In terrorem strategy, 61, 109, 111 Notice, 26

Sexual abuse case Modus operandi, 20

Shareholder class action, 88 Evidentiary threshold, 94

Social justice, 99

Social policy And class action, 105

Special case management, 9

Standard of care, 21

Strike suit, 61

Superior Court of Québec

Attorney's fees, 139 Authorization application, 8 Class description, 68 Jurisdiction, 13, 17, 24 National class, 18 **Supreme Court of Canada** Adequacy of representation, 75 Arguable case, 20 Authorizaion hearing Purposes, 77 Authorization process Discretion of the court, 64 Large and liberal approach, 73,84 Class action regime, 52, 118 Class description, 18, 74, 75 Commonality, 19-20, 70, 76 Consumer protection, 71 Contract law and class litigation, 42 Criteria for authorization, 74 Good colour of right, 75 Judges' roles, 121 Multi-juridictional cases, 76 Proportionality principle, 77, 78 Shareholder class action, 88, 92 Theratechnologies ruling, 90 Trilogy of judgments, 50-52, 66, 139Dutton ruling, 50, 101, 119

Supreme Court (U.S.A.) Asbestos class action settlements, 126, 130 Bule 23, 35, 99

Rule 23, 35, 99 Trilogy of judgments, 38, 49

Sworn statement, 13-14 Preliminary application, 15

– T –

Taxpayer, 43

Tenant, 28-29

Toxic smoke, 72

Trial level Proceedings, 25 – U –

– V –

United States

Vehicle conditions, 67

Certification, 7 Class action regime, 5, 27, 98 *Declaration of Independence*, 34 Inequities, 108 Representative procedure, 34 Rule 23, 34-40, 99

Unjust enrichment, 117 Arguable case, 21

Video lottery terminal Gambling addiction, 68